# **CONSTRUCTIONS AND DEFORMATIONS OF CALABI–YAU 3-FOLDS IN CODIMENSION 4**

SUMAYYA MOHSIN, SHAHEEN NAZIR, AND MUHAMMAD IMRAN QURESHI

Abstract. We construct polarized Calabi–Yau 3-folds with at worst isolated canonical orbifold points in codimension 4 that can be described in terms of the equations of the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^8.$  We investigate the existence of other deformation families in their Hilbert scheme by either studying Tom and Jerry degenerations or by comparing their Hilbert series with those of existing low codimension Calabi–Yau 3-folds. Among other interesting results, we find a family of Calabi–Yau 3-fold with five distinct Tom and Jerry deformation families, a phenomenon not seen for Q-Fano 3-folds. We compute the Hodge numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$ Calabi–Yau 3-folds and corresponding manifolds obtained by performing crepant resolutions. We obtain a manifold with a pair of Hodge numbers that does not appear in the famously known list of 30108 distinct Hodge pairs of Kruzer–Skarke, in the list of 7890 distinct Hodge pairs corresponding to complete intersections in the product of projective spaces and in Hodge paris obtained from Calabi–Yau 3-folds having low codimension embeddings in weighted projective spaces.

#### CONTENTS





#### 1. Introduction

<span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>1.1. **Calabi–Yau 3-folds and Gorenstein rings.** In this article, a Calabi–Yau (CY) 3-fold is a three dimensional normal projective algebraic variety  $X$  such that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and trivial canonical divisor class  $K_X$ , having at worst canonical isolated orbifold points as its singularities and admits crepant resolution to a smooth CY 3-fold.

Over the last 4 decades, the study of CY 3-folds has been an important area of research in algebraic geometry and string theory, paving the way for connections between the two areas. A key role of CY 3-folds in the string theory was first highlighted by Candelas-Horowitz-Strominger-Witten in [\[CHSW85\]](#page-27-0). Since then the construction and classification of CY 3-folds have been a subject of unifying interest in both areas of research. Some of the initial lists of CY 3-folds were constructed as complete intersections in the product of projec-tive spaces by Candelas–Dale–Lütken–Schimmrigk [\[CDLS88\]](#page-26-1) and by Candelas-Lynker-Schimmrigk [\[CLS90\]](#page-27-1) as hypersurfaces in the weighted projective space  $w\mathbb{P}^4$ .

We construct Calabi–Yau 3-folds as polarized orbifolds  $(X, D)$ : X is a normal projective algebraic variety with a choice of an ample  $\mathbb Q$ -Cartier divisor D. A choice of D give rise to graded ring

$$
R(X,D) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mD)).
$$

A surjective morphism

$$
k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\twoheadrightarrow R(X,D)
$$

from a free graded polynomial ring with degree $(x_i) = a_i$ , gives the embedding of X in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ . If the image of X is described by a minimal generating set  $f_1, \ldots, f_k$  and  $\mathbb{I}(X) = (f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  then

$$
R(X, D) \cong \frac{k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]}{\mathbb{I}(X)}.
$$

We are interested in the case when  $X$  is a wellformed, quasismooth, and projectively Gorenstein variety. Recall that a weighted projective variety  $X \subset w\mathbb{P}$  of codimension  $c$  is wellformed if it does not contain a singular locus of dimension greater than or equal to  $c - 1$ , it is quasismooth if the punctured affine cone  $\widetilde{X}\setminus\{0\}$  contains no singularities, and it is projectively Gorenstein if  $R(X, D)$  is Cohen–Macaulay and the canonical divisor  $K_X$  is subcanonical.

The case of CY 3-fold hypersurface (I(X) = (f)) in  $\mathrm{w}\mathbb{P}^4$  was initially studied by Candelas et al in [\[CLS90\]](#page-27-1) and completely classified by Kruezer–Skarke in [\[KS00\]](#page-27-2),

resulting in 7555 orbifolds [\[BK\]](#page-26-2). In codimension 2, Gorenstein varieties are complete intersections, i.e. defined by two equations; and in codimension 3 they are described by the maximal Pfaffians of  $(2n+1)\times(2n+1)$  skew-symmetric matrix by Buchsbaum–Eisenbud [\[BE77\]](#page-26-3). These algebraic structures have been utilized to construct many models of CY 3-folds  $w\mathbb{P}^6$  in [\[Ton04,](#page-28-0) [KK10,](#page-27-3) [Kap15,](#page-27-4) [BKZ22\]](#page-26-4) and elsewhere.

There is currently no "user-friendly" structure theorem in codimension greater than or equal to 4, though progress on the codimension 4 case has been made by Reid [\[Rei15\]](#page-28-1). Therefore a variety of ideas have been employed to construct and classify smooth codimension 4 Gorenstein CY 3-folds in  $\mathbb{P}^7$  by Bertin [\[Ber09\]](#page-26-5), Caughlan–Golebiowski–Kapustka–Kapustka [\[CGKK16\]](#page-27-5), and Schenck–Stillman– Yuan [\[SSY20\]](#page-28-2). In the weighted  $\text{w}\mathbb{P}^{7}$  case, some lists of Gorenstein polarized CY 3-folds in codimension greater than or equal to 4 have been constructed by using techniques of Gorenstein formats [\[QS11,](#page-27-6) [QS12,](#page-27-7) [Qur15,](#page-27-8) [BKZ22\]](#page-26-4) and parallel type-I unprojection [\[BG17\]](#page-26-6).

We focus on the construction of deformation families of Gorenstein canonical CY 3-folds in codimension 4 such that one of the deformation families  $X$  is described by using equations of the Segre embedding of  $\mathbb{P}^2{\times}\mathbb{P}^2$ , i.e. as  $2{\times}2$  minors of the size 3 generic square matrix. Equivalently, we can consider  $X$  as a (weighted) complete intersection of some projective cone(s) over a weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety. We say that  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  Calabi–Yau 3-fold or  $X$  lives in  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  Gorenstein format [\[BKQ18\]](#page-26-7).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>1.2.  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **Calabi-Yau 3-folds.** We start by recalling the definition of weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  and  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  be two vectors of integers or half integers satisfying

$$
u_1 + v_1 \ge 1
$$
,  $u_1 \le u_2 \le u_3$ ,  $v_1 \le v_2 \le v_3$ .

Consider the Segre embedding

$$
j: \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 := W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^8(x_{ij} : 1 \le i, j \le 3)
$$

then image of the 4-fold embedding can be described by

$$
\left(\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} \end{pmatrix} = 0\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^8(x_{ij}).
$$

The the *weighted*  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  *variety*  $\mathcal F$  *i*s the quotient of the punctured affine cone  $\widetilde{W} \setminus \{0\}$  by  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$  action :

$$
\epsilon: x_{ij} \to \epsilon^{a_{ij}} x_{ij},
$$

where  $a_{ij} = u_i + v_j$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le 3$ , giving the embedding  $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^8(a_{ij})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is wellformed then the canonical divisor class  $K_X = \mathcal{O}$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i\right)$  $j=1$  $a_{jj}$  $\setminus$  $\cdot$ 

We start by producing a list of candidate families of isolated canonical CY 3 folds in  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  format by using an algorithm developed in [\[Qur17,](#page-27-9) [BKZ22\]](#page-26-4). In

practice, the algorithm searches for the Hilbert series corresponding to some equations format (like minors of a matrix, Pfaffians of a matrix, etc.) that may be the Hilbert series of a polarized orbifold with isolated orbifold points. We apply it to search for those Hilbert series in codimension four  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  format, that may be realized by isolated canonical CY 3-fold.

We focus on a detailed study of CY 3-folds with relatively small sets of weights of  $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_8)$ . In particular, we restrict our attention to CY 3-folds X in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_8)$ with  $\sum a_i \leq 20$  and we get 24 Hilbert series that satisfy the above condition. We carry out a complete singularity and quasismoothness analysis of the corresponding equations obtained from  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  format. Among these, 23 of these give rise to a wellformed and quasismooth  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold with at worst isolated canonical orbifold points, and one of the candidates contains worse singularities.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** *Let* X *be a polarized Calabi–Yau 3-fold with at worst isolated canon*ical orbifold points embedded as codimension 4 variety in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_8)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$ *Gorenstein format. Then there exist at least 23 families of wellformed and quasismooth Calabi–Yau 3-folds in*  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_8)$ *.* 

Out of 23 working cases, 4 of them are smooth CY 3-folds, i.e. three dimensional Calabi–Yau manifolds. The rest of the 19 families are orbifolds and they admit crepant resolution to a smooth CY manifold. We then compute the Hodge numbers of each  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold  $X$  and its crepant resolution  $\hat{X}$  by using com-puter algebra, as explained in Section [2.3.](#page-9-0) The resolution  $\hat{X}$  of the family no. 7 (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) is a smooth CY 3-fold with Hodge numbers  $h^{1,1}(\hat{X}) = 3$  and  $h^{2,1}(\hat{X}) = 62$ . The Hodge pair (3, 62) appears neither in the Kruzer–Skarke [\[KS00\]](#page-27-2) list of distinct 30108 Hodge pairs nor in the list of complete intersections in the product of projective spaces list of 7890 distinct Hodge pairs in [\[GHL89\]](#page-27-10), giving it a distinctive position in the Calabi–Yau landscape [\[He21\]](#page-27-11).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>1.3. **Deformation families of**  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **CY 3-folds.** We investigate the existence of other deformation families of every  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold  $X$  of Theorem [1.2](#page-3-1) by using the following two ideas.

- (i) According to [\[BKR12b\]](#page-26-8), a typical codimension four  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  variety with a numerical type-I center, comes from a special Tom unprojection of a codimension 3 Pfaffian variety. So our first approach is to construct other possible Tom and Jerry families of  $X$ ; requiring analysis of the corresponding Pfaffian CY 3-fold in codimension 3 that is obtained from the projection of type-I center of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold.
- (ii) The other possibility is to search for low codimension models by matching the Hilbert series of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  model with existing classes of low codimension CY 3-folds and show that they lie in different deformation families.

We start our deformation analysis with a quasismooth and wellformed  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$ CY 3-fold X with isolated canonical orbifold points embedded in the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7, r)$ . If X contains numerical type-I center i.e. a point  $p := \frac{1}{r}(a, b, c)$  where local variables  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  of weight  $a, b$  and  $c$  respectively are part of basis of vector spaces  $H^0(aD),H^0(bD)$  and  $H^0(cD),$  for some  $\{a,b,c\}\subset$ 

 ${a_1, \ldots, a_7}$ , then we perform a Gorenstein projection to a codimension 3 CY 3-fold

$$
(\overline{Y} \supset D) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)
$$

which maps p to the complete intersection plane divisor  $D := \mathbb{P}(a, b, c)$  that is projectively normal in  $\overline{Y}$ . The equations of  $\overline{Y}$  can be written as maximal Pfaffians of  $5 \times 5$  skew-symmetric matrix M, in Tom<sub>i</sub> format for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$ . If  $\overline{Y}$ contains at worst nodes as its singularities then we say that  $X$  is a CY 3-fold of Tom<sub>i</sub> type. This is followed by a deformation  $\overline{Y} \to Y$  of Tom<sub>i</sub> to Pfaffian CY 3-fold  $Y$  by deforming the entries of  $M$  to generic forms in the graded polynomial ring corresponding to  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)$ , providing the resolution of nodes. Then we perform all possible Tom and Jerry degenerations of  $Y$  and all distinct nodal CY 3-folds give an unprojection to a polarized CY 3-fold in codimension 4 having the same numerical data (Hilbert series, Hilbert polynomial, orbifold points) but different Euler characteristics, i.e. they lie in different components of the Hilbert scheme of  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold X.

Our analysis partly relies on the classical method of Hirzerbruch [\[Hir87\]](#page-27-12): constructing nodal CY 3-folds and then performing resolution of these singularities to get new CY 3-folds, used in various contexts, see [\[BG17,](#page-26-6) [Esc19\]](#page-27-13) for example.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>The following table summarizes the results of our analysis, including the number of deformation families.

<b>HS</b>	Embedding	Orbifold points	$D^3$		$h^{2,1}(X)$ $h^{1,1}(\hat{X})$ #Fam.	
$\mathbf{1}$	$X_{2^3,3^6}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}^7$		17	58	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\overline{2}$	$X_{2^3,4^6}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^7,3)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{34}{3}$	65	3	$\overline{2}$
3	$X_{3^6,4^3}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^6, 2^2)$		11	50	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\overline{4}$	$X_{2,3^4,4^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^7,3)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{40}{3}$	78	3	$\overline{4}$
5	$X_{2,3^4,4^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^6, 2^2)$		10	50	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$
6	$X_{49}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2^4)$		6	38	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$
$\overline{7}$	$X_{3^2,4^5,5^2}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^2, 3)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$rac{22}{3}$	62	3	$\overline{5}$
8	$X_{4^6,6^3}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5,3^3)$	$3 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\boldsymbol{6}$	62	$\overline{5}$	3
9	$X_{2,3^2,5^2,6^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^2, 5)$	$\frac{1}{5}(1,2,2)$	$\frac{29}{5}$	$84\,$	$\overline{4}$	$1\,$
10	$X_{3,4^3,5^3,6^2}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2^2, 3^2)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{13}{3}$	61	3	3

Table 1: Summary of results

6 S. MOHSIN, S. NAZIR, M.I. QURESHI

	HS Embedding	Orbifold points	$D^3$		$h^{2,1}(X)$ $h^{1,1}(\hat{X})$ #Fam.	
11	$X_{2,4^4,6^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 3^3)$	$2 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{14}{3}$	97	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$
12	$X_{2,4^4,6^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^6,3,5)$	$\frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$	$\frac{42}{5}$	73	$\overline{4}$	3
13	$X_{4^4,5^4,6}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2^2, 3^2)$	$2 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{14}{3}$	48	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$
14	$X_{3,4^2,5,6^3,7^2}$ $\subset \mathbb{P} (1^4,2^2,3,5)$	$\frac{1}{3}(2,2,2), \frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$	$\frac{61}{15}$	67	$\overline{5}$	$\mathbf{1}$
15	$X_{4,5^4,6^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2^2, 3^3)$	$2 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\frac{8}{3}$	44	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$
16	$X_{69}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 3^5)$	$6 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\overline{2}$	35	8	$\overline{2}$
17	$X_{2,3^2,7^2,8^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P} (1^4,2^2,3,7)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1), \frac{1}{7}(2,2,3)$	$\frac{58}{21}$	114	$6\phantom{.}6$	$\mathbf{1}$
18	$X_{4^2,6^5,8^2}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 3^3, 5)$	$2 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1), \frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$	$\frac{46}{15}$	73	6	$\overline{4}$
20	$X_{2,5^4,8^4}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2, 4, 7)$	$\frac{1}{7}(1,2,4)$	$\frac{36}{7}$	89	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{2}$
21	$X_{4,5^2,6^3,7^2,8}$ $\subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2^2,3^2,5)$	$\frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$	$\frac{12}{5}$	52	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$
22	$X_{4,5^2,6^3,7^2,8}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3^3, 4)$	$3 \times \frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$	$\overline{2}$	57	$\overline{5}$	$\overline{4}$
23	$X_{3,4^2,7,8^3,9^2}$ $\subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2^2,3^2,7)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1), \frac{1}{7}(1,3,3)$	$\frac{40}{21}$	86	6	$\mathbf{1}$
24	$X_{4,5,6^2,7^2,8^2,9}$ $\subset \mathbb{P}({1}^3, 2, 3^2, 4, 5)$	$\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1), \frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$	$\frac{26}{15}$	69	$\overline{5}$	3

The first column lists the number of the Hilbert series from Table [2](#page-23-0) and it does not list 19 since that contains worse singularities. The data in columns  $2 - 4$ provides the equations degrees, weights of the ambient weighted projective space, canonical orbifold points, and the self intersection number  $D^3$  for all codimension 4 deformation families of X. The column  $5 - 6$  represents the data associated to the  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  family  $X$ : the Hodge number  $h^{2,1}$  of  $X$ , and Hodge number  $h^{1,1}$  of its crepant resolution  $\hat{X}$ . The Hodge number  $h^{1,1}$  of  $X$  is equal to 2 for all  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ families so we do not list it for each case. The last column lists the number of deformation families found for each Hilbert series given in Table [2.](#page-23-0)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>1.4. **Nature of CY 3-folds.** In our analysis of studying deformations of these 23 families of CY 3-folds, we come across various interesting phenomena. Expectedly some of these properties resemble those of Q-Fano 3-folds [\[BKQ18\]](#page-26-7), but we also encounter some new phenomena that were not seen in the Fano 3-fold case. We provide a summary of various novelties, and results obtained in our analysis of these CY 3-folds.

1.4.1. *CY 3-folds with five distinct Tom and Jerry families.* In the study of Q-Fano 3-folds at most four distinct Tom and Jerry families were found [\[BKR12a\]](#page-26-9) for each Hilbert series. For a family CY 3-fold, we prove the existence of a CY 3 fold family with 5 distinct Tom and Jerry deformation families in Section [4,](#page-12-0) with the following details.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Theorem 1.3.** *Let* X *be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold given with the Hilbert series*

$$
P_7(t) = \frac{1 - 2t^3 - 5t^4 + 2t^5 + 8t^6 + 2t^7 - 5t^9 - 2t^9 + t^{12}}{(1 - t)^5 (1 - t^2)^2 (1 - t^3)}
$$

*with a single orbifold point*  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  *and*  $D^3 = \frac{22}{3}$ 3 *. Then there exist two Tom and three Jerry deformation families: realizing*  $P_7(t)$  *by five deformation families, distinguished by their Euler characteristics.*



1.4.2. *CY 3-folds with low codimension components.* In 4 cases the Hilbert series of our codimension 4 CY 3-fold matches that of a known codimension 3 Pfaffian CY 3-fold but we find new deformation families in codimension 4. Among these, three of them are orbifolds and one of them is a smooth CY 3-folds. In all orbifold cases, we have a weight zero entry in the syzygy matrix of the Pfaffian CY 3-fold obtained after projection. These Pfaffian CY 3-folds are degenerations of codimension 2 complete intersection CY 3-folds and the codimension 4 families are unprojections of these degenerations.

These 3 cases are no. 10, 22, and 24 in Table [2](#page-23-0) and have the same Hilbert series of codimension 3 CY 3-folds with GRDB ID 745, 752, and 757 respectively on [\[BK\]](#page-26-2). We present a detailed analysis of no. 22 in Section [5](#page-16-0) and present the following theorem as a representative result.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Theorem 1.4.** *Let* X *be a Calabi–Yau 3-fold given with the Hilbert series*

(1) 
$$
P_{22}(t) = \frac{1 - t^4 - 2t^5 - 3t^6 + 3t^8 + 4t^9 + \dots + t^{18}}{(1 - t)^3(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)^3(1 - t^4)} = 1 + 3t + 7t^2 + 16t^3 + \dots
$$

*having three orbifold points of type*  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  *and*  $D^3 = 2$ *. Then there exist four deformation families realizing the Hilbert series*  $P_{22}(t)$ *. Two of them are Tom, one is Jerry, and one is a codimension 3 Pfaffian family.*

Family		Tom Tom Jer codim 3
Euler Characteristics $e(X)$   -110 -106 -102		-94

1.4.3. **Smooth CY 3-folds in**  $w\mathbb{P}^7$ . We find 4 families of smooth CY 3-folds among 23 working cases. The classification of smooth CY 3-folds in  $\mathbb{P}^7$  has been conjecturally completed in [\[CGKK16\]](#page-27-5). The classification of all possible Betti tables for such CY 3-folds has been proved in [\[SSY20\]](#page-28-2). Here we show the existence of three smooth CY 3-folds in strictly weight projective space  $\mathrm{w}\mathbb{P}^{7}$  and the other one lives in straight  $\mathbb{P}^7$ , also appeared in [\[CGKK16\]](#page-27-5). For CY 3-fold corresponding to the Hilbert series of CY 3-fold no. 5, we show the existence of a new deformation family of the codimension 3 Pfaffians CY 3-fold with ID 925 on [\[BK\]](#page-26-2). We compute the Hodge numbers for both of them by using their explicit equations and they

have different Euler numbers: they lie in two different components of the Hilbert scheme. We prove the following theorem in Section [6.](#page-19-0)

<span id="page-7-3"></span>**Theorem 1.5.** *The Hilbert series no. 1,3, 5 and 6 in Table [2](#page-23-0) can be realized by a* smooth Calabi–Yau 3-folds in  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  format. The no. 5 gives a new deformation *family of a known smooth Pfaffian Calabi–Yau 3-fold of codimension 3.*

1.4.4. *Unprojections of non nodal Tom formats.* In the study of Q-Fano 3- folds in [\[BKQ18\]](#page-26-7), each  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  family having a numerical type-I center admits a Gorenstein projection to a nodal Pfaffian Fano 3-fold. For CY 3-folds, this does not hold, despite the existence of a numerical type-I center. Further analysis of the Pfaffian 3-fold shows that none of the Tom and Jerry ansatz give a nodal degeneration. In all of these cases, we are only able to find a single deformation family of Calabi–Yau 3-fold, given by  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  format. There are 3 such cases; no. 14, 17, and 23 and we describe the no. 14 in Section [7.](#page-20-1)

1.4.5. *Unprojection of a CY 3-fold with curve of singularities.* In all known cases of codimension 4 Fano and CY 3-folds with isolated orbifold points, having a numerical type-I center, give a Gorenstein projection to a Pfaffian 3-fold that at worst contains only isolated orbifold points. However, a CY 3-fold corresponding to the Hilbert series  $P_{20}$  admits a Gorenstein projection to Pfaffian CY 3-fold with  $a\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$  curve of singularities and we discuss this case in Section [8.](#page-21-0)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Acknowledgement.** We wish to thank Gavin Brown for numerous helpful discussions during this project. Sumayya Mohsin was supported by the Lahore University of Management Sciences for a doctoral studentship and Muhammad Imran Qureshi was supported by a research grant INSS2308 of the Interdisciplinary Research Center of Intelligent and Secure Systems at the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia.

## 2. Preliminaries

# <span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>2.1. **Notations and conventions.**

- $\bullet$  We work over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}.$
- A matrix of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ & m_{23} & m_{24} & m_{25} \\ & & m_{34} & a_{34} \\ & & & m_{45} \end{pmatrix}
$$

represents the  $5 \times 5$  skew-symmetric matrix or weights of such matrix. We omit the diagonal and lower triangular parts.

- $X, Y$  and  $Z$  represents varieties in codimension 4, 3, and 2 respectively.
- $X_i$  for  $1 \le i \le 5$  represents a Tom and  $X_{ij}$  represents a Jerry codimension 4 CY 3-fold.
- A capital letters with subscript, for example,  $F_i$  represents a generic form of degree i.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>2.2. **Type-I unprojections and Tom & Jerry.** We recall the notion of type-I unprojection, also known as Kustin–Miller unprojection [\[KM83,](#page-27-14) [Rei00\]](#page-27-15). Geometrically, it uses low codimension varieties to construct varieties in higher codimension.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{I}(\overline{Y}) = (g_1, \ldots, g_s)$  be the ideal of a projectively Gorenstein variety  $\overline{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  of codimension c and let  $\mathbb{I}(D) = (h_1, \ldots, h_t)$  be the ideal of a codimension  $c + 1$  variety D contained in  $\overline{Y}$ . Then there exists a rational function  $\nu$  on  $\overline{Y}$  such that it has a pole along D, that is:

 $\nu = \frac{l_1}{l_1}$  $\frac{l_1}{h_1} = \cdots = \frac{l_t}{h_t}$  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial h_t}$ ; where  $l_i$  are weighted homogeneous polynomials.

The *type-I unprojection* of  $\overline{Y}$  is projectively Gorenstein variety X of codimension  $c + 1$  defined by

$$
\mathbb{V}(g_1,\ldots,g_s,\nu h_1-l_1,\ldots,\nu h_t-l_t),
$$

in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_n,a_{n+1})$  where  $a_{n+1}$  is the weight of  $\nu$ .

In codimension three, most of the interesting classes of Gorenstein varieties appear as vanishing locus of the maximal Pfaffians of a  $5 \times 5$  skew-symmetric matrix M. The type-I unprojection requires a specialization of the equations of the Pfaffian variety to contain the divisor  $D$ . Tom and Jerry matrices are those specializations that provide the containment of divisor D.

**Definition 2.2.** Let *J* be the ideal of a divisor *D* of a codimension 3 Pfaffian variety. Then for  $1 \le i \le 5$ , we define *i-th Tom matrix* Tom<sub>i</sub> to be the matrix where the six entries  $a_{jk} \in J$  for all  $j, k \neq i$  and the remaining four entries of the *i*th row and column are free choices. For a pair  $ij : 1 \le i, j \le 5$ , we define  $ij$ -th Jerry *matrix* Jer<sub>ij</sub> to be the matrix where the seven entries  $a_{kl} \in J$  if either k or l equals  $i$  or  $j$ . The rest of the three entries are free choices.

For example, if  $j_{ij}$  denote the entries in the ideal J and  $f_{ij}$  be free entries then Tom<sup>3</sup> and Jer<sup>45</sup> matrices are given below.

$$
Tom_3 = \begin{pmatrix} j_{12} & f_{13} & j_{14} & j_{15} \\ & f_{23} & j_{24} & j_{25} \\ & & f_{34} & f_{35} \\ & & & j_{45} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Jer}_{45} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{12} & f_{13} & j_{14} & j_{15} \\ & f_{23} & j_{24} & j_{25} \\ & & j_{34} & j_{35} \\ & & & j_{45} \end{pmatrix}
$$

2.2.1. *Computing number of nodes.* To calculate the number of nodes on the Tom or Jerry degeneration  $\overline{Y}$  of a codimension 3 Pfaffian variety Y containing a complete intersection divisor  $D$ , we can compute the number of nodes either by computer algebra or by hand using the following formula of Brown–Kerber–Reid [\[BKR12b\]](#page-26-8).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Lemma 2.3.** [\[BKR12b,](#page-26-8) Sec. 7] *Consider the skew-symmetric matrix* M

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ & m_{23} & m_{24} & m_{25} \\ & & m_{34} & m_{35} \\ & & & m_{45} \end{pmatrix},
$$

*corresponding to a codimension 3 Pfaffian variety Y. Let*  $\mathbb{I}(D) = \langle x_1, ..., x_4 \rangle$  *be an ideal of a divisor* D *in* Y *. If* M *is in* Tom<sup>i</sup> *format then the four entries of the* ith *row and column are free choices and provide a syzygy*

$$
\Sigma_i = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq i}}^5 m_{ik} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{f}_k
$$

Let  $d_k = \deg x_k$ ,  $a_j = \deg \mathrm{Pf}_j$  and  $\sigma = \deg \Sigma_i$ . Then the number of nodes on the  $d$ ivisor is given by the coefficient of the  $h^2$  term in the expansion of

$$
\frac{\prod_{k=1}^4(1-d_kh)(1-\sigma h)}{\prod_{j\neq i}(1-a_jh)}.
$$

*If* M is a Jer<sub>ij</sub> matrix for the ideal  $\mathbb{I}(D)$ , then the entries in the *i*th and *j*th rows and *columns belong to the ideal. These give three syzygies*  $\Sigma_l$  *of degree*  $\sigma_l$  *and another syzygy T of degree*  $t =$  adjunction number – wt  $m_{ij}$ . Then the number of nodes is again the coefficient of the  $h^2$  term in the expansion of

$$
\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{4} (1 - d_k h) \prod_{l=1}^{3} (1 - \sigma_l h)}{\prod_{j=1}^{5} (1 - a_j h)(1 - th)}.
$$

<span id="page-9-0"></span>2.3. **Computing Hodge numbers of**  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **CY 3-folds.** The most important invariants of a CY 3-fold  $X$  are its Hodge numbers. As all our varieties are quasismooth, so by [\[Ste77\]](#page-28-3) one can define a pure Hodge structure on them and compute Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}$  as in the smooth case. For a CY 3-fold X, computing  $h^{1,1}(X)$  and  $h^{2,1}(X)$  is sufficient to determine its Hodge diamond

(2) 1 0 0 0 h <sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> 0 1 h <sup>2</sup>,<sup>1</sup> h <sup>2</sup>,<sup>1</sup> 1 0 h <sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> 0 0 0 1 .

The Euler charactersistic  $e(X)$  is well known to be equal to  $2(h^{1,1}(X) - h^{2,1}(X))$ .

We compute both Hodge numbers of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-folds  $X$  by using [\[DNFF17,](#page-27-16) Theorem 2.8] and implementation of Versal Defomrations package of [\[Ilt12\]](#page-27-17) in the computer algebra system Macaulay2 [\[GS\]](#page-27-18). For each  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold we get  $h^{1,1}(X) = 2$ ; expected due to  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  being a Picard rank 2 variety. For other Tom and Jerry we can easily compute the equations by using the Papadakis method [\[Pap04\]](#page-27-19). It is straightforward to compute the Euler characteristics of other families but the explicit computation of  $h^{1,1}$  and  $h^{2,1}$  by using computer algebra turns out to be computationally expensive as the equations in those cases are not as simple as those for  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  family.

All our families of CY 3-folds  $X$  have canonical orbifold points and they admit a crepant resolution to a smooth CY 3-fold  $\hat{X}$ . The resolutions of these orbifold points only change  $h^{1,1}(X)$ , as described by Roan and Yau [\[RY87,](#page-28-4) Sec. 2]. One can compute  $h^{1,1}(\hat{X})$  by computing toric resolution of the singularities  $\frac{1}{r}(a, b, c)$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>[\[Rei87a\]](#page-27-20). We come across orbifold points with  $r$  equal to 3,5 and 7 whose resolution adds 1, 2 and 3 to  $h^{1,1}(X)$  respectively by using Ron–Yau [\[RY87\]](#page-28-4).

## 3. General Scheme of constructions

In this section, we describe a general scheme of the proofs for all cases appearing in Table [1.](#page-4-0)

- **Step 1: Existence of**  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **CY3.** We consider a candidate Calabi–Yau 3-fold in  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  format, obtained using an algorithmic approach of [\[Qur17,](#page-27-9) [BKZ22\]](#page-26-4). We prove the existence of the given canonical Calabi–Yau 3-fold  $X$  with the given invariants and singularities by checking the singular locus and base locus for quasismoothness: method described in detail in [\[Qur19\]](#page-27-21). If the candidate  $X$  is quasismooth then we compute Hodge numbers of X and its crepant resolution  $\hat{X}$ , following Section [2.3.](#page-9-0) Moreover, If X contains a numerical type-I center, we move to step 2.
- **Step 2:** Special  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY3. Consider the embedding of

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
X\subset \text{w}\mathbb{P}^7
$$

of a Calabi-Yau 3-fold in codimension 4 given by  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  format obtained at step 1. Let the weights of the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_7, z$  be given by  $a_1, \ldots, a_7, r$ and  $P_Z := \frac{1}{r} (a_5, a_6, a_7)$  be a type-I center, after necessary rearrangement of indices. Then we deform the equations of  $X$  that can be expressed as the  $2 \times 2$  minors of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix:

(3)  $\sqrt{ }$  $\mathbf{I}$  $x_1$   $x_2$   $M$  $x_3$   $x_4$  N  $K$   $L$   $z$  $\setminus$  $\mathbf{I}$ 

> where  $K, L, M, N$  are general forms. These are total 9 equations describing X in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1,\ldots,a_7,r)$ . In all cases, we verify that a presentation of the type [\(3\)](#page-10-1) gives a quasismooth  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold X.

**Step 3: Projection from Type-I center.** In this step we perform a Gorenstein projection from the orbifold point  $P_z$ , which is a type-I center. Geometrically it means that the image of the point  $P_z$  under projection is a weighted projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(a_5, a_6, a_7)$  that is projectively normal in  $\overline{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)$ . The projection is algebraically equivalent to excluding 4 out of 9 equations containing the variable  $z$  and the remaining 5 equations give a Calabi–Yau 3-fold  $\overline{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)$  in codimension 3 containing the divisor  $D = \mathbb{V}(x_1, \ldots, x_4)$ . The equations of  $\overline{Y}$  can be described by the  $4 \times 4$ Pfaffians of the following skew-symmetric matrix, having zeros at  $m_{23}$  and  $m_{45}$  positions

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nK & L & M & N \\
0 & x_1 & x_2 \\
& x_3 & x_4 \\
& & & 0\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

The homogeneity of the Pfaffian equations determines the degrees of the zero entries. Without loss of generality we have written the equations of  $\overline{Y}$  in the Tom<sub>1</sub> format, though we can write in any of the Tom<sub>i</sub> formats for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$ . We check the singularities of  $\overline{Y}$  and if  $\overline{Y}$  contains only nodes that lie on  $D$  then we conclude that our  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold is of Tom<sub>1</sub> type.

If  $X$  contains multiple type-I centers then we perform the projection from each type-I center point and continue with subsequent steps accordingly. There are two cases with two type-I centers that exhibit a similar phenomenon to [\[BKR12b\]](#page-26-8), i.e. giving the same number of Tom and Jerry families with equally spaced number of nodes.

**Step 4: Deformation to Pfaffian CY 3-fold** Y. In this step we deform the entries of the  $Tom<sub>1</sub>$  matrix into generic Pfaffian matrix to study the corresponding codimension three CY 3-fold  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)$ . This provides the resolution of nodes and  $D$  is not a divisor of Y. The equations of Y are maximal Pfaffians of

$$
M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & m_{15} \\ & m_{23} & m_{24} & m_{25} \\ & & m_{34} & m_{34} \\ & & & m_{45} \end{pmatrix}
$$

where  $m_{ij}$  generic forms of the appropriate degrees in the weighted polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_7]$ . For simplicity, we usually take the entry in M to be  $x_i$  if  $\deg(m_{ij}) = \text{wt}(x_i)$ . If all the weights of M are positive, In all but one of these cases, we land into one of the codimension three CY 3-folds constructed [\[BKZ22\]](#page-26-4), listed on [\[BK\]](#page-26-2). In one case  $Y$  turns out to be a CY 3-fold with a curve of singularities containing a dissident singular point, which is in itself a new model of CY 3-folds. If one of the weights of M are non-positive then it can not be quasismooth by [\[BKZ22,](#page-26-4) Proposition 2.7].

**Step 5: Studying all Tom and Jerry degenerations of Y.** At this stage, we proceed with an additional 4 Tom and 10 Jerry degenerations of Y . We choose the entries  $m_{ij}$  in such a way that the matrix takes the form of one of Tom and Jerry matrix format having  $D$  lying in the corresponding degeneration  $\overline{Y}$ . For each Tom and Jerry degeneration  $\overline{Y}$  which contains only nodes as its singularities and all of them lie on  $D$ , there exists a corresponding codimension four quasismooth CY 3-fold in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7, r)$ , with the same Hilbert series, orbifold points, etc. but distinct Euler characteristic due to the following lemma.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Lemma 3.1.** [\[Cle83,](#page-27-22) [Rei87b\]](#page-27-23) *Let* X *and* Y *be CY 3-folds linked via diagram given below, then*  $e(X) = e(Y) + 2N - 2$  *where* N *is the number of nodes on the divisor* D.

We summarize our generic scheme of study in the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc} X\subset {\mathbf{w}\mathbb{P}}^7 & & X_{\mathrm{T}_i/\mathrm{J}_{ij}}\subset {\mathbf{w}\mathbb{P}}^7\\ \downarrow \mathrm{T}_1 & & \mathrm{T}_i\downarrow \mathrm{J}_{ij}\\ D\subset \overline{Y}_{T_1} & \to & Y & \underset{\mathrm{J}_{ij}}\leadsto & D\subset \overline{Y}\\ \end{array}
$$

In general the unprojection from a nodal Tom or Jerry family  $X \dashrightarrow \overline{Y}$  factorizes through D-ample small resolution of nodes  $\overline{Y} \rightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ , followed by a contraction  $\tilde{Y} \to X$  of the divisor D.

### 4. Calabi–Yau 3-fold with five distinct Tom and Jerry families

<span id="page-12-0"></span>In the literature, all known examples of codimension 4 Q-Fano 3-folds contain at most 4 distinct types of Tom and Jerry families, see [\[BKR12a\]](#page-26-9). However, we show that the Hilbert series  $P_7(t)$ , given in Table [2,](#page-23-0) can be realized by five distinct Tom and Jerry families. The following provides the proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-6-0)

<span id="page-12-1"></span>4.1.  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **CY 3-fold family.** We start with a weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety  $\mathcal F$ 

$$
w(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^2, 2^5, 3^2)
$$

with the weight matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \ 2 & 2 & 3 \ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

As  $F$  does not contain a singular locus of dimension 3, it is wellformed and the canonical divisor class  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}(-6)$ . A triple projective cone over  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e. we add three new variables in the ambient ring which are not involved in the defining equations of  $\mathrm{w}(\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2)$ , giving a codimension four  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  7-fold

$$
\mathcal{C}^3 \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^5, 3^2) \text{ with } K_{\mathcal{C}^3 \mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}(-9).
$$

Then a weighted complete intersection of  $\mathcal{C}^3\mathcal{F}$  with three general quadrics and one general cubic gives a 3-fold

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
X = C3 \mathcal{F} \cap (2)3 \cap (3) \subset \mathbb{P}(15, 22, 3) := \mathbb{P}(x_1, \ldots, x_5, y_1, y_2, z),
$$

with trivial canonical class  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-9 + 2.3 + 3) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

The 3-fold X has the Hilbert series  $P_7(t)$  and the Hilbert numerator for the ambient  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  variety and  $X$  is the same, as it propagates the free resolution of their defining ideals. The equations of  $X$  are given by

(4) 
$$
\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & A_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 & B_3 \\ C_2 & D_2 & z \end{pmatrix} = 0
$$

where  $A_2, B_3, C_2, D_2$  are general forms.

Equivalently, we can describe  $X$  as a regular pullback from  $\mathbb{P}(1^5,2^2,3)$ , i.e. the en-tries of matrix in [\(4\)](#page-12-2) are weighted homogeneous forms in variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_5, y_1, y_2, z$ . We can easily check that  $X$  does not intersect with the orbifold locus of weight 2. The orbifold point of weight 3 lies on  $z$  and can be easily shown to be of type 1  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  by using the implicit function theorem.

**Quasismoothness**. We prove the quasismoothness either by using a version of Bertini's theorem that if a hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is a general element of a linear system  $L = |O(d)|$ , then the singularities (non-quasismooth points) of X may only occur on the reduced part of the base locus of L or by using the computer algebra. We explain one case in detail by using both approaches. Let

$$
V_1 = \mathcal{C}^3 \mathcal{F} \cap (2)^3 \cap (3) \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^2, 3)
$$

be the triple projective cone over  $\mathcal F$  with vertex  $\mathbb P^2.$  Consider  $V_2\subset V_1,$  the locus of a general cubic. Then its base locus is given by

$$
Bs(|\mathcal{O}(3)|) = \mathbb{V}(\text{degree 3 weighted homogeneous monomials})
$$

$$
= \mathbb{V}(x_i^3, x_iy_j, z) = \mathbb{P}(2^5)
$$

The linear system of the cubic has base locus  $V_1 \cap \mathbb P(2^5)$ . This is two-dimensional in  $\mathbb{P}(2^5)$  and  $V_2$  is quasismooth away from this locus.

Now let  $X \subset V_2$  be the general complete intersection of three quadrics. Then

 $\text{Bs}(|\mathcal{O}(2)|) = \mathbb{V}(\text{degree 2 weighted homogeneous monomials}) = \mathbb{V}(x_i^2, y_j)$ 

which is a coordinate point of the variable  $z$  of degree 3 and  $X$  is already shown to be quasismooth on this point of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$ . Therefore, X is a quasismooth CY 3-fold.

If the base locus is more complicated, we can prove quasismoothness using computer algebra. We write down explicit equations over the rational numbers of the given CY 3-fold and show that the affine cone of  $X$  is smooth by using the Magma [\[BCP97\]](#page-26-10). The challenge, in this case, is to come up with a sparse representation that can be handled easily by computer algebra. For this example, the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the following give a quasismooth family.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nx_1 & x_2 & y_2+y_1+x_4^2 \\
y_1 & y_2 & z+x_1^3+x_2^3+x_3^3+x_4^3+x_5^3\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

We compute Hodge numbers of  $X$  by using these equations in Macaulay2 [\[GS\]](#page-27-18). We get  $h^{1,1}(X) = 2$  and  $h^{2,1}(X) = 62$ , giving Euler characteristics  $e(X) = -120$ . As X contains only one orbifold point of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$ , the crepant resolution  $\hat{X} \to X$  is a smooth CY 3-fold with  $h^{1,1}(\hat{X}) = 3$  and  $h^{2,1}(\hat{X}) = 62$ . The Hodge pair (3, 62) appears neither in the Kreuzer–Skarke [\[KS00\]](#page-27-2) list nor in the Green– Hübsch-Lütken [\[GHL89\]](#page-27-10), and probably a a new Hodge pair.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>4.2. **Projection from Type I center.** We perform a Gorenstein projection from the type-I center point  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  to a Pfaffian CY 3-fold. The projection from this point is a CY 3-fold  $\overline{Y}$  containing the divisor  $D = V(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$  and it is given by the maximal Pfaffian of the matrix

(5) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} C_2 & D_2 & A_2 & B_3 \ 0 & x_1 & y_1 \ & & x_2 & y_2 \ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The matrix is given in  $Tom<sub>1</sub>$  format, i.e. the entries other than the first row or column are in  $\mathbb{I}(D)$ : the ideal of the divisor D. The by using the Lemma [2.3](#page-8-1) or computer algebra, we can show that  $\overline{Y}$  contains 10 nodes and all of them lie on D. The unprojection of the pair  $(\overline{Y}, D)$  gives the  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold described by the matrix [\(4\)](#page-12-2), by using [\[PR04,](#page-27-24) 2.4].

<span id="page-14-0"></span>4.3. **Pfaffian CY 3-fold** Y**.** The homogeneity of the Pfaffian equations gives deg  $m_{23} = 1$  and deg  $m_{45} = 2$ . A deformation  $\overline{Y} \rightarrow Y$  provides a resolution of nodes and gives a quasismooth Pfaffian CY 3-fold

$$
Y_{3^2,4^3} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2^2)
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \ & 1 & 1 & 2 \ & & 1 & 2 \ & & & 2 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

In fact, this is the family of CY 3-fold with GRDB ID. 928 on [\[BK\]](#page-26-2), constructed in [\[BKZ22\]](#page-26-4). Then by using Lemma [3.1](#page-11-0)

$$
e(Y) = -120 - 2 \times 10 + 2 = -138.
$$

<span id="page-14-1"></span>4.4. **Further Tom and Jerry families.** In this section, we find other deformation families of  $X$  by studying other possible 4 Tom and 10 Jerry degenerations  $Y \rightsquigarrow \overline{Y}_{Tom_i,Jer_{ij}}$ . If the corresponding degeneration is at worst nodal, then its unprojection will give a quasismooth CY 3-fold in codimension 4 with Hilbert series  $P_7(t)$  and single orbifold point  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$ .

**Tom CY 3-fold**  $X_2$  To construct Tom<sub>2</sub> we need the entries of the 2nd row and column of the weight matrix to be general forms and all other entries will be in  $\mathbb{I}(D)$ . For example,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} K_2 & y_1 & y_2 & \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle_3 \\ L_1 & M_1 & N_2 \\ & x_1 & \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2 \\ & & \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle_2 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where  $K_2, L_1, M_1$ , and  $N_2$  are general forms of the degrees equal to their subscripts and the rest of the entries are taken to be in  $\mathbb{I}(D)$ , after performing row and column operations on the more general entries in  $\mathbb{I}(D)$ . Then the maximal Pfaffians of the matrix give a nodal CY 3-fold with 12 nodes on  $D$ , which we compute by using Lemma [2.3.](#page-8-1) We have

$$
Y_{3^2,4^3}\subset \mathbb{P}(1^5,2^2), D=\mathbb{P}(1,1,1),
$$

then  $d_i = 1, 1, 2, 2, a_j = \text{wt Pf}_j$  and  $\sigma_1 = \text{wt } \Sigma_1$  where

$$
\Sigma_1 = C_2 \text{Pf}_2 + D_2 \text{Pf}_3 + A_2 \text{Pf}_4 + B_3 \text{Pf}_5.
$$

Then

with the weight matrix

$$
f(h) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{4} (1 - d_i h)(1 - \sigma_1 h)}{\prod_{j \neq 1} (1 - a_j h)} = \frac{(1 - h)^2 (1 - 2h)^2 (1 - 6h)}{(1 - 3h)(1 - 4h)^3}.
$$

The number of nodes is then the coefficient of the  $h^2$  term in the expansion of  $f(h)$ , which equals 10. The Euler number  $e(X_2) = -138 + 2 \times 12 - 2 = -116$ .

Notice that due to the symmetry in the weight matrix, interchanging the 2nd row with the 3rd or 4th row gives back the same weight matrix. Therefore,  $T_{\text{om}_2}$ is equivalent to  $Tom_3$  and  $Tom_4$ , and all of them give 12 nodes on D. Furthermore, the 1st and 5th rows and columns also have the same weights so  $Tom<sub>5</sub>$  is equivalent to  $Tom<sub>1</sub>$  and has 10 nodes on the divisor  $D$ .

**Jerry CY 3-folds**  $X_{12}, X_{15}, X_{23}$  We find 3 more deformation families of X by using Jerry degenerations, and one of them is presented below. To construct  $Jer<sub>12</sub>$ , we take entries  $m_{ik}$  with  $j, k = 3, 4, 5$  to be general forms and the entries where j or k equal to either 1 or 2 to be in the ideal of D. For example, the following matrix is a presentation of Y in  $\text{Jer}_{12}$  format, where the subscripts of  $K, L, M, \langle y_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  denotes their degree.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\ny_1 & y_2 & \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_2 & \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_3 \\
x_1 & x_2 & \langle y_2 \rangle_2 \\
K_1 & L_2 & M_2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

Then  $\text{Jer}_{12}$  contains 14 nodes lying on the divisor D, which we calculate from [\[BKR12b,](#page-26-8) Sec. 7] given in Lemma [2.3.](#page-8-1) Therefore the Euler characteristics is given by

$$
e(X_{12}) = -138 + 2 \times 14 - 2 = -112.
$$

Since the 2nd, 3rd and 4th rows and columns have identical weights we see that  $Jer_{13}$  and  $Jer_{14}$  are identical to  $Jer_{12}$  and also have 14 nodes on D.

For the case of  $Jer_{15}$ , we can take the following matrix



and show that it contains 13 nodes on the divisor D. Therefore its Euler characteristics is  $e(X_{15}) = -138 + 2 \times 13 - 2 = -114$ .

The case  $Jer_{23}$  can be represented the Pfaffians of the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\ny_1 & y_2 & K_2 & L_3 \\
x_1 & x_2 & \langle y_2 \rangle_2 \\
0 & \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle_2 \\
M_2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

It contains 16 nodes, so we get

$$
e(X_{23}) = -138 + 2 \times 16 - 2 = -108.
$$

Following the same reasoning as before, we see that  $\text{Jer}_{24}$  and  $\text{Jer}_{34}$  are also equivalent to  $Jer_{23}$  because the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th rows and columns have the same weights, so each case has 16 nodes on D.

Lastly, we can see that  $Jer_{25}$  is equivalent to  $Jer_{35}$  and  $Jer_{45}$ , and our calculations show that each of these has 14 nodes on the divisor.

#### <span id="page-16-0"></span>5. CY 3-folds as unprojection of degenerations of complete intersections

In this section, we discuss CY 3-folds in codimension 4 that are obtained as unprojection of Pfaffians that are degenerations of codimension 2 complete intersections. They exhibit new deformation families in the Hilbert scheme of the existing codimension 3 Pfaffian CY 3-folds of Brown–Kasprzyk–Zhou [\[BKZ22\]](#page-26-4). In the orbifold case, the Hilbert series no. 10, 22, and 24 in the Table [2](#page-23-0) matches with the Hilbert series of CY 3-folds with GRDB ID 745, 752, and 757 respectively. For 745 and 757, we get 2 new deformation families and for 752 we get 3 new deformation families. In the smooth case, the Hilbert series  $P_5$  matches that of CY 3-fold with GRDB ID 925. We discuss the deformation families of CY 3-folds corresponding to Hilbert series  $P_{22}(t)$  that provides the proof of Theorem [1.4.](#page-6-1)

<span id="page-16-1"></span>5.1.  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **CY 3-fold.** Consider a weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety  $\mathcal{F}$  with the weight matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \ 2 & 3 & 4 \ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

It is well formed and its canonical divisor class  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}(-9)$ . Taking a double projective cone over  $F$  and taking a weighted complete intersection with a quadric, a quartic and a quintic gives a 3-fold

$$
X = C2 \mathcal{F} \cap (2) \cap (4) \cap (5) \subset \mathbb{P}(13, 2, 33, 4) := \mathbb{P}(x_1, x_2, x_3, y, z_1, z_2, z_3, u)
$$

with trivial canonical class  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ . The Hilbert series of X is

<span id="page-16-4"></span>(6) 
$$
P_{22}(t) = \frac{1 - t^4 - 2t^5 - 3t^6 + 3t^8 + 4t^9 + \dots + t^{18}}{(1 - t)^3(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)^3(1 - t^4)} = 1 + 3t + 7t^2 + 16t^3 + \dots
$$

The defining equations of

are given by

$$
\bigwedge^{2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & A_2 & z_1 \\ y & z_2 & B_4 \\ z_3 & u & C_5 \end{pmatrix}
$$

X

where  $A_2, B_4, C_5$  are general forms.

It can be shown that the singular locus of  $X$  consists of three orbifold points, each of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  and X is a quasismooth CY 3-fold, following the last section. As discussed in Section [2.3](#page-9-0) we get  $h^{2,1}(X) = 57$  and therefore  $e(X) = -110$ .

<span id="page-16-2"></span>5.2. **Projection from type-I center.** A Gorenstein projection from the type-I center  $P_{z_1}$  gives a Pfaffian CY 3-fold  $\overline{Y}$  that is the vanishing locus of the  $4\times 4$  Pfaffians of

<span id="page-16-3"></span>(7) 
$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & A_2 & B_4 & C_5 \ 0 & y & z_2 \ z_3 & u & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

containing the divisor  $D = \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{V}(y, z_2, z_3, u)$ . The homogeneity of the Pfaffian equations forces the deg  $m_{23} = 0$  and deg  $m_{45} = 6$ . Then by using Lemma [2.3](#page-8-1) or computer algebra, we can show that  $\overline{Y}$  contains 22 nodes and all of them lie on D, so its unprojection is a  $\text{Tom}_1$  CY 3-fold X in  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  format.

A deformation  $\overline{Y} \rightarrow Y$  of entries of M gives a CY 3-fold given by

$$
Y_{4,5,6,7,8} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3,2,3^2,4)
$$

with weight matrix

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\
0 & 2 & 3 \\
 & 3 & 4 \\
 & & 6\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Notice that the matrix of weights of Y contains a weight zero entry, so by [\[BKZ22,](#page-26-4) Prop. 2.7], Y cannot be quasismooth. The degree 0 entry is a zero polynomial in the matrix  $(7)$  but in matrix  $(8)$  it can be any constant. One can describe Y by the Pfaffians of

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y & u & K_5 \\ & \alpha & L_2 & z_1 \\ & & z_2 & M_4 \\ & & & N_6 \end{pmatrix},
$$

where  $K_5, L_2, M_4, N_6$  are general forms and  $\alpha$  is a constant. The following are the Pfaffian equations of  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3^2, 4)$ :

$$
Pf_1 = \alpha N_6 - L_2 M_4 + z_1 z_2
$$
  
\n
$$
Pf_2 = yN_6 - uM_4 + K_5 z_2
$$
  
\n
$$
Pf_3 = x_1N_6 - u z_1 + K_5 L_2
$$
  
\n
$$
Pf_4 = x_1M_4 - y z_1 + \alpha K_5
$$
  
\n
$$
Pf_5 = x_1 z_2 - y L_2 + \alpha u
$$

By varying the entry  $m_{23}$  in the Pfaffian matrix to be equal to 1,  $Pf_5$  gives us  $u = yL_2 - x_1z_2$ . This provides two syzygies that eliminate two of the four remaining Pfaffian equations. Taking this value of  $u$ , we get

$$
Pf_2 = yN_6 - (yL_2 - x_1z_2)M_4 + K_5z_2
$$
  
\n
$$
Pf_3 = x_1N_6 - (yL_2 - x_1z_2)z_1 + K_5L_2
$$

Notice that  $Pf_2 = yPf_1 + z_2Pf_4$  and  $Pf_3 = x_1Pf_1 + L_2Pf_4$ . This means that we are left with only 2 equations of degree 5 and 6 so that  $Y_{4,5,6,7,8} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2,3^2,4)$  is just a degeneration of  $Y_{5,6} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2,3^2).$  Therefore, the degeneration  $Y_\alpha \, \rightarrow \, \mathbb{A}^1$  has generic fibre  $Z_{5,6}$  and central fibre  $Y_0$  is a codimension 3 Pfaffian CY 3-fold.

The Euler characteristic  $e(Z_{5,6}) = -152$  is well known. Given that the projection from  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold  $X$  has  $22$  nodes and  $e(X)=-110,$  one can see that

$$
e(X) = e(Z_{5,6}) + 2 \times 22 - 2,
$$

in accordance with Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-0) So we conclude that  $X$  can be obtained from the unprojection of Tom<sub>1</sub> format of the Pfaffian degeneration of  $Z_{5,6}$ .

<span id="page-18-0"></span>5.3. **Further Tom and Jerry families.** Now we study all possible Tom and Jerry degenerations to find the ones that are at worst nodal. This gives further two deformation families whose unprojection will give a quasismooth CY 3-fold in codimension 4 with Hilbert series  $P_{22}(t)$  and three orbifold points of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$ .

**A Tom CY 3-fold**  $X_2$  To construct  $X_2$  we consider  $\text{Tom}_2$  format of the weight matrix [\(8\)](#page-17-0), i.e.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nK_1 & y & u & \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle_5 \\
\alpha & L_2 & M_3 \\
\langle z_2, z_3 \rangle_3 & \langle z_2, z_3, u \rangle_4 \\
\langle y, z_2, z_3 \rangle_6\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

This has 24 nodes on the divisor  $D = \mathbb{P}^2$  and its unprojection to codimension 4 is a CY 3-fold  $X_2$  with Euler characteristic:

$$
e(X_2) = -152 + 2 \times 24 - 2 = -106
$$

**A Jerry CY 3-fold**  $X_{35}$  If we take the Jer<sub>35</sub> format of [\(8\)](#page-17-0), then it can be described by the maximal Pfaffians of

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\nK_1 & y & L_4 & \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle_5 \\
0 & M_2 & \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle_3 \\
z_2 & u & \langle y, z_3 \rangle_6\n\end{pmatrix}.
$$

We calculate that it contains 26 nodes lying on the divisor  $D=\mathbb{P}^2$  and its unprojection is a codimension 4 CY 3-fold with Euler characteristics:

$$
e(X_{35}) = -152 + 2 \times 26 - 2 = -102.
$$

<span id="page-18-1"></span>5.4. **Codimension 3 family ID 752.** Consider the CY 3-fold Y ID 752 on the the graded ring data base [\[BK\]](#page-26-2) then its Hilbert series

$$
P_{752}(t) = \frac{1 - 2t^5 - 3t^6 + 3t^8 + 2t^9 - t^{14}}{(1 - t)^3(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)^3} = 1 + 3t + 7t^2 + 16t^3 + \cdots,
$$

matches the Hilbert series [\(6\)](#page-16-4) of codimension 4 deformation families. We show that this lies in a different deformation family than the above three families. The weight matrix of  $Y$  is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ & & 3 & 3 \\ & & & 4 \end{pmatrix},
$$

and we have the embedding

$$
Y_{5^2,6^3} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2,3^3)
$$

containing three orbifold points of type  $\frac{1}{3}(1,1,1)$  each of which are type-I centers. The projection from any one of these points is a complete intersection CY 3-fold

$$
(\overline{Z}_{5,6} \supset D = \mathbb{P}^2) \subset \mathbb{P}(1^3, 2, 3^2).
$$

It contains 30 nodes that lie on the divisor D. The small resolution  $\overline{Z} \rightarrow Z$  of nodes gives a CY 3-fold  $Z_{5,6} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^3,2,3^2)$  with  $e(Z_{5,6}) = -152.$  Therefore by Lemma

[3.1,](#page-11-0) we have  $e(Y) = -152 + 2 \times 30 - 2 = -94$  and Y is a different deformation family than the three codimension 4 Tom and Jerry families discussed above.

### 6. Smooth Calabi–Yau 3-folds

<span id="page-19-0"></span>Among our 23 working cases, we found four of these, no. 2, 3, 5, and 6 in Table [2,](#page-23-0) to be smooth CY 3-folds, i.e. they are Calabi–Yau manifolds. They can also be obtained as linear sections of the smooth Fano 4-folds constructed in [\[Qur21\]](#page-27-25), but here they are of independent interest. The CY 3-fold no. 2 was given in [\[CGKK16\]](#page-27-5) but other cases present new models of smooth CY 3-folds in  $\mathbb{W}\mathbb{P}^7.$  Their degree  $D^3$  and Hodge numbers are listed in Table [1.](#page-4-0) In this section, we discuss no. 5 which also provides a proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-7-3) by showing that there are two deformation families of CY 3-folds corresponding to the Hilbert series  $P_5(t)$ .

<span id="page-19-1"></span>6.1.  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  **family.** Consider a weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety

$$
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2^4, 3),
$$

with the weight matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Then the canonical class is  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}(-5)$  as  $\mathcal F$  is wellformed, i.e. does not contain a singular divisor. Indeed,  $F$  contains singularities coming from weight 3 point and weight 2 locus. We take two projective cones over  $\mathcal F$  to get

$$
V := \mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^6, 2^4, 3) \text{ with } K_{\mathcal{C}^2 \mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{O}(-7).
$$

Then the complete intersection of V with a general cubic  $A_3$  and two quadrics  $B_2, C_2$  is a CY 3-fold

$$
X = V \cap A_3 \cap B_2 \cap C_2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^6, 2^2) := \mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_6, y_1, y_2).
$$

The equations of  $X$  are given by

$$
\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & y_1 \\ x_3 & x_4 & y_2 \\ B_2 & C_2 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where  $A_3, B_2, C_2$  are general forms.

The weight 2 locus is

$$
\mathbb{V}(B_2y_1, B_2y_2, C_2y_1, C_2y_2) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(y_1, y_2),
$$

which is an empty set, so  $X$  is a smooth CY 3-fold. The Hodge numbers are  $h^{1,1} = 2$  and  $h^{2,1} = 50$ , giving the Euler characteristic to be  $e(X) = 2(2 - 50) = -96$ .

<span id="page-20-0"></span>6.2. **Pfaffian family.** The Hilbert series the CY 3-fold with GRDB ID. 925 matches that of  $P_5(t)$ . The ID. 925 is a smooth Pfaffian CY 3-fold Y that can be described in  $\mathbb{P}(1^6, 2)$  by the maximal Pfaffians of the skew-symmetric matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \ y & y + x_2^2 + x_5x_6 + x_5^2 & y + x_1^2 + x_3x_5 + x_6^2 \ x_6x_4 + x_5^2 - x_1x_3 + x_4^2 & x_5^2 - x_6^2 + x_3x_4 + y \ x_5^2 + x_1x_4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where  $wtx_i = 1$  and  $wty = 2$ . We compute the Hodge numbers using this sparse presentation of equations of Y, which gives  $h^{1,1}(X) = 1$  and  $h^{2,1}(X) = 59$ , with Euler characteristics is given by

$$
e(Y) = 2(1 - 59) = -116.
$$

<span id="page-20-1"></span>This shows that the two families are topologically distinct.  $\Box$ 

## 7. CY 3-fold from non nodal Tom unprojection

In the case of Q-Fano 3-folds, it was noticed in [\[BKQ18\]](#page-26-7) that a Gorenstein projection from a numerical type-I center of every quasismooth  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  Fano 3fold is a nodal Pfaffian Fano 3-folds  $\overline{Y}$  that admits a resolution of nodes to a quasismooth Pfaffian Q-Fano 3-fold  $Y_{\rm gen}.$  For the case of  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-folds, this no more holds. In the families no. 14, 17, and 23 in Table [2\)](#page-23-0) we discover that neither the image of projection  $\overline{Y}$  is nodal nor the general Pfaffian CY 3-fold  $Y_{\text{gen}}$ is quasismooth. Moreover, all other Tom and Jerry degenerations of  $Y_{\text{gen}}$  also contain worse singularities than nodes on D. We briefly discuss the family no. 14 for the description.

Following the ideas from earlier sections, we can show that

$$
X \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2^2, 3, 5) := \mathbb{P}(x_1, \dots, x_4, y_1, y_2, z, u)
$$

with a weight matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}
$$

is a quasismooth CY 3-fold with two orbifold points:  $\frac{1}{3}(2,2,2)$  and  $\frac{1}{5}(1,1,3)$ . The equations of  $X$  can be given by

$$
\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & A_2 \\ y_1 & y_2 & z \\ B_4 & C_4 & u \end{pmatrix}
$$
 where  $A_2, B_4, C_4$  are general forms.

The orbifold point  $\frac{1}{3}(2,2,2)$  is not a type-I center, so we perform a projection from a type-I center  $P_u := \frac{1}{5}(1, 1, 3)$ . The image of the projection is given by the  $4 \times 4$ Pfaffians of the following  $5 \times 5$  skew-symmetric matrix:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & z & B_4 & C_4 \ 0 & x_1 & x_2 \ y_1 & y_2 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

By the homogeneity of the Pfaffian equations, the degree of  $m_{23}$  is zero, and the degree of  $m_{45}$  is equal to 3. This a  $T<sub>om<sub>1</sub></sub>$  presentation of projection so the entries in the first row and column are in the ideal of the divisor

$$
D = \mathbb{V}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 3).
$$

However, notice that the pure power of the coordinate variable  $z$  can appear as a multiple of linear variable in only two equations of Y, so  $P_z$  is a point of higher embedding dimension in Y. Hence,  $Tom_1$  fails and  $\overline{Y}$  contains singularities that are worse than nodes on the divisor D.

The deformation to  $Y_{\text{gen}}$  is also not quasismooth by [\[BKZ22\]](#page-26-4) as the syzygy weight matrix contains a zero entry. All other Tom and Jerry degenerations of Y also fail due to having points of higher embedding dimension. The CY 3-folds with Hilbert series No. 17 and 23 also propagate the same phenomena.

### <span id="page-21-0"></span>8. CY 3-folds as unprojections of CY 3-folds with curve singularities

In all known cases of codimension four Fano 3-folds with numerical type-I centers and isolated orbifold points, the image Pfaffian Fano 3-fold also contains isolated orbifold points at worst. However, a CY 3-fold No. 20 in Table [2](#page-23-0) that contains an isolated point of type  $\frac{1}{7}(1,2,4)$ , admits a Gorenstein projection to Pfaffian CY 3-fold Y with a curve of singularities  $C$ , containing a non-isolated orbifold point lying on C.

Consider a weighted  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  variety  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^4, 4^4, 7)$  with weight matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 4 \ 1 & 1 & 4 \ 4 & 4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Suppose that  $V:=\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^2\mathcal{F}$  is a double cone over  $\mathcal{F}$ , where the cone variables are of degree 1 and 2. Then a weighted complete intersection of  $V$  with 3 generic quartics  $X = V \cap \{Q_i\}_{i=1}^3$  is a CY 3-fold

$$
X \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2, 4, 7) := \mathbb{P}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y, z, u).
$$

The equations of X can be describe by the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the above  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & z \\ x_3 & x_4 & A_4 \\ B_4 & C_4 & u \end{pmatrix}
$$
 where  $A_4, B_4, C_4$  are general forms.

One can show that  $X$  is a quasismooth CY 3-fold with a single orbifold point of type  $P_u := \frac{1}{7}(1, 2, 4)$  which is a type-I center. The Hodge number  $h^{2,1} = 89$ , giving Euler characteristics to be  $e(X) = -174$ . The projection from  $P_u$  is a CY 3-fold  $\overline{Y}$ containing the divisor

$$
D = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4) = \mathbb{V}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)
$$

that can be described in  $Tom<sub>1</sub>$  format by the maximal Pfaffians of

$$
\begin{pmatrix} B_4 & C_4 & A_4 & z \\ & 0 & x_3 & x_1 \\ & & x_4 & x_2 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The homogeneity of the Pfaffian equations forces the degree of  $m_{23}$  and  $m_{45}$  to be equal to 1. Then singularities on  $\overline{Y}$  turn out to be 4 nodes that all lie on D. In this case the divisor  $D = \mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4)$  is non-normal and contains a curve C of singularities of type  $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$  and a dissident singular point  $\frac{1}{4}(1,1,2)$  lying on  $C.$  We get  $X$ as an unprojection of a non-normal divisor  $D$  containing a curve of singularities and unprojection providing a resolution of singularities and contraction of the divisor *D* to the point of type  $\frac{1}{7}(1, 2, 4)$ .

Then a deformation  $\overline{Y}_{\text{Tom}_1} \to Y$  is a CY 3-fold

$$
Y_{2,5^4} \subset \mathbb{P} (1^5, 2, 4)
$$

with a syzygy matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

The orbifold locus of weight 4 is a single coordinate point  $P_z$ . If we fix z at position  $m_{15}$  and using weight one variables at position  $m_{23}$ ,  $m_{24}$  and  $m_{34}$ , we can show that it is a nonisolated orbifold point of type  $\frac{1}{4}(1,1,2)$ , called a dissident singular point. The intersection of weight  $2$  with  $Y$  is one dimensional and we can show that it is an orbifold curve of singularities of type  $\frac{1}{2}(1,1)$  that contains the dissident point  $P_z$ . By using Lemma [3.1,](#page-11-0) we get  $e(Y) = -174 - 8 + 2 = -180$ 

Further analysis reveals the existence of one more deformation family of  $X_{23}$ as an unprojection of nodal Jer<sub>23</sub> containing 6 nodes on D with  $e(X_{23}) = -170$ . In total giving rise to 2 distinct codimension 4 deformation families.

#### Appendix A. Table

<span id="page-22-0"></span>The following table encompasses the details of the calculations performed on all the cases. The first 2 columns tell the embedding of codimension 4 CY 3 fold, and the weight matrix of the corresponding  $\mathbb{P}^2\times \mathbb{P}^2$  family, and the orbifold points respectively. If X has a numerical type-I center then the column "T-I." lists the type of that point. The next column gives the equation degrees and the embedding of the corresponding Pfaffian CY 3-fold Y in  $\mathbb{P}(a_1, \ldots, a_7)$ . If Y is in the GRDB [\[BK\]](#page-26-2) then it also lists its GRDB ID in the same column. The column "Syzygy WM" lists the weights of the Pfaffian CY 3-fold  $Y$ . The last column lists the working Tom and Jerry cases, alongside their number of nodes. The Hilbert numerator propagating the codimension 4 graded free resolution and the first ten coefficients  $p_m(t)$  of the Hilbert series  $\sum_{m\geq 0}p_m(t)t^m$  are given below each corresponding entry of the table.

*Remark* A.1*.* The weight matrices in the table are rearranged to list the weights of the syzygy matrix in increasing order in each row. So the Tom family corresponding to  $\mathbb{P}^2\times\mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold is not always  $\text{Tom}_1$  as described in the generic scheme of analysis in Section [3](#page-10-0) and followed in subsequent sections. The Tom family listed in bold corresponds to the  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  CY 3-fold.

*Remark* A.2*.* Families of CY 3-folds no. 10, 22, and 24 appear as codimension 4 deformation components of the Hilbert scheme of already existing codimension

3 Pfaffian CY 3-folds. We list the GRDB ID of the corresponding Hilbert series of codimension 3 CY 3-fold  $Y$  that appeared in [\[BK\]](#page-26-2) and  $N$  in "TJ#nd" column denotes the nodes on the projection from  $Y$  to a codimension 2 complete intersection CY 3-fold Z.

<span id="page-23-0"></span>





 $\overline{a}$ 



Hilbert Coefficients:  $[1, 3, 7, 15, 28, 48, 77, 116, 167, 232, \ldots] \longleftrightarrow P_{757}(t)$  in [\[BK\]](#page-26-2).

## <span id="page-26-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[BE77] D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, *Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3*, Amer. J. Math **99** (1977), 447–485.
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[Ber09] MA Bertin, *Examples of Calabi–Yau 3-folds of*  $\mathbb{P}^7$  *with*  $\rho = 1$ , Can. Jour. Math. **61** (2009), 1050–1072.
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[BG17] Gavin Brown and Konstantinos Georgiadis, *Polarized Calabi–Yau 3-folds in codimension 4*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), no. 5-6, 710–725.
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[BK] G. Brown and A. M. Kasprzyk, *Graded ring database, available at*, http://grdb.co.uk/.
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[BKQ18] G. Brown, A. M. Kasprzyk, and M. I. Qureshi, *Fano 3-folds in*  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  *format, Tom and Jerry*, European Journal of Mathematics **4** (2018), no. 1, 51–72.
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[BKR12a] Gavin Brown, Michael Kerber, and Miles Reid, *Tom and Jerry: Big Table*, 2012, Linked at <http://grdb.co.uk/Downloads/>.
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[BKR12b] G.D. Brown, M. Kerber, and M.A. Reid, *Fano 3-folds in codimension 4, Tom and Jerry. I.*, Compos. Math. **148** (2012), no. 4, 1171–1194.
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[BKZ22] Gavin Brown, Alexander M. Kasprzyk, and Lei Zhu, *Gorenstein formats, canonical and Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Experimental Mathematics **31** (2022), no. 1, 146–164.
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[CDLS88] P. Candelas, A. M. Dale, C. A. Lütken, and R. Schimmrigk, *Complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B **298** (1988), 493.
- <span id="page-27-5"></span>[CGKK16] Stephen Coughlan, Lukasz Golebiowski, Grzegorz Kapustka, and Michal Kapustka, *Arithmetically Gorenstein Calabi–Yau threefolds in* P 7 , Electronic Research Announcements **23** (2016), no. 0, 52–68.
- <span id="page-27-0"></span>[CHSW85] P. Candelas, Gary T. Horowitz, Andrew Strominger, and Edward Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, Nuclear Physics B **258** (1985), 46–74.
- <span id="page-27-22"></span>[Cle83] C Herbert Clemens, *Double solids*, Advances in mathematics **47** (1983), no. 2, 107–230.
- <span id="page-27-1"></span>[CLS90] P. Candelas, M. Lynker, and R. Schimmrigk, *Calabi-Yau manifolds in weighted*  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , Nuclear Physics B **341** (1990), no. 2, 383–402.
- <span id="page-27-16"></span>[DNFF17] Carmelo Di Natale, Enrico Fatighenti, and Domenico Fiorenza, *Hodge theory and deformations of affine cones of subcanonical projective varieties*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **96** (2017), no. 3, 524–544.
- <span id="page-27-13"></span>[Esc19] Juan Garcia Escudero, *Calabi–Yau threefolds with small Hodge numbers associated with a one-parameter family of polynomials*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **223** (2019), no. 3, 1198–1209.
- <span id="page-27-10"></span>[GHL89] Paul S. Green, Tristan Hübsch, and Carsten A. Lütken, *All Hodge numbers of all complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Class. Quant. Grav. **6** (1989), 105–124.
- <span id="page-27-18"></span>[GS] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.
- <span id="page-27-11"></span>[He21] Yang-Hui He, *The Calabi–Yau landscape: From geometry, to physics, to machine learning*, vol. 2293, Springer Nature, 2021.
- <span id="page-27-12"></span>[Hir87] Friedrich Hirzebruch, *Some examples of threefolds with trivial canonical bundle*, 1987.
- <span id="page-27-17"></span>[Ilt12] N.O. Ilten, *Versal deformations and local Hilbert schemes*, Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry **4** (2012), no. 1, 12–16.
- <span id="page-27-4"></span>[Kap15] Grzegorz Kapustka, *Projections of del Pezzo surfaces and Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Advances in Geometry **15** (2015), no. 2, 143–158.
- <span id="page-27-3"></span>[KK10] Michał Kapustka and Grzegorz Kapustka, *A cascade of determinantal Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Mathematische Nachrichten **283** (2010), no. 12, 1795–1809.
- <span id="page-27-14"></span>[KM83] Andrew R Kustin and Matthew Miller, *Constructing big Gorenstein ideals from small ones*, Journal of Algebra **85** (1983), no. 2, 303–322.
- <span id="page-27-2"></span>[KS00] M. Kreuzer and H. Skarke, *biregularification of reflexive polyhedra in four dimensions*, Adv. Theo. Math. Phy. **4** (2000), no. 6, 1209–1230.
- <span id="page-27-19"></span>[Pap04] SA Papadakis, *Kustin-Miller unprojection with complexes*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **13** (2004), 249–268.
- <span id="page-27-24"></span>[PR04] S. A. Papadakis and M. Reid, *Kustin-Miller unprojection without complexes*, J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 3, 563–577. MR 2047681 (2005j:14068)
- <span id="page-27-6"></span>[QS11] Muhammad Imran Qureshi and B. Szendrői, *Constructing projective varieties in weighted flag varieties*, Bull. Lon. Math Soc. **43** (2011), no. 2, 786–798.
- <span id="page-27-7"></span>[QS12] Muhammad Imran Qureshi and Balázs Szendrői, *Calabi-Yau threefolds in weighted flag varieties*, Adv. High Energy Phys. (2012), Art. ID 547317, 14 pp.
- <span id="page-27-8"></span>[Qur15] Muhammad Imran Qureshi, *Constructing projective varieties in weighted flag varieties II*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **158** (2015), 193–209.
- <span id="page-27-9"></span>[Qur17] Muhammad Imran Qureshi, *Computing isolated orbifolds in weighted flag varieties*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **79, Part 2** (2017), 457 – 474.
- <span id="page-27-21"></span>[Qur19] Muhammad Imran Qureshi, *Biregular models of log del Pezzo surfaces with rigid singularities*, Mathematics of Computation **88** (2019), no. 319, 2497–2521.
- <span id="page-27-25"></span>[Qur21] , *Smooth Fano 4-folds in Gorenstein formats*, Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society **104** (2021), no. 3, 424–433.
- <span id="page-27-20"></span>[Rei87a] M. Reid, *Young person's guide to canonical singularities*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 345–414.
- <span id="page-27-23"></span>[Rei87b] Miles Reid, *The moduli space of* 3*-folds with* K = 0 *may nevertheless be irreducible*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987), no. 1-4, 329–334. MR 909231
- <span id="page-27-15"></span>[Rei00] M. Reid, *Graded rings and birational geometry*, Proc. of algebraic geometry symposium (K. Ohno, ed.), Kinosaki, 2000, pp. 1–78.
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>[Rei15] , *Gorenstein in codimension 4 - the general structure theory*, Algebraic Geometry in East Asia (Nov 2011), Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 65, Taipei, 2015, pp. 201–227.
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[RY87] Shi-Shyr Roan and Shing-Tung Yau, *On Ricci flat* 3*-fold*, Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) **3** (1987), no. 3, 256–288. MR 916270
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[SSY20] Hal Schenck, Michael Eugene Stillman, and Beihui Yuan, *Calabi-Yau threefolds in*  $\mathbb{P}^n$ *and Gorenstein rings*, Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (2020).
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[Ste77] Joseph Steenbrink, *Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities*, Compositio Math. **34** (1977), no. 2, 211–223.
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>[Ton04] Fabio Tonoli, *Construction of Calabi-Yau 3-folds in* P 6 , J. Algebraic Geom. **13** (2004), no. 2, 209–232.

Sumayya Mohsin

Department of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Lahore, Pak-**ISTAN** 

*Email address*: 17070002@lums.edu.pk

Shaheen Nazir

Department of Mathematics, Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Lahore, Pakistan

*Email address*: shaheen.nazir@lums.edu.pk

Muhammad Imran Qureshi

Department of Mathematics, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia &

Interdisciplinary Research Center for Intelligent and Secure Systems, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM), Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

*Email address*: imran.qureshi@kfupm.edu.sa