

Characteristic function of M. S. Livšic and triangular models of bounded linear operators

Vladimir K. Dubovoy, Bernd Kirstein, Conrad Mädler and Karsten Müller

Dedicated to M. S. Livšic, M. S. Brodskii, and V. P. Potapov.

Abstract. This paper is dedicated to the introduction in a circle of ideas and methods, which are connected with the notion of characteristic function of a non-selfadjoint operator. We start with the consideration of *closed and open systems* (Subsections 2.1.1–2.1.2). In Subsections 2.1.2–2.1.3 we introduce the notion of operator colligation and define the characteristic function of the operator colligation as transfer function of the corresponding open system.

In Section 3 we state three basic properties of the c. o. f.. First (Subsection 3.1), we note that the c. o. f. is the full unitary invariant of the operator colligation. Second (see Theorem 3.4), it turns out that the invariant subspaces of the corresponding operator are associated with left divisors of the c. o. f.. Third, the J -property of the c. o. f. (see (3.6)–(3.8)) is a basic property which determines the class of c. o. f. (see Section 4). In Chapter 4 we describe the classes of characteristic functions which play an important role in our considerations. In Chapter 5 we state necessary facts on multiplicative integral. Chapter 6 is devoted to the factorization theorem (Theorem 6.7) for matrix-valued characteristic function. In Chapter 7 we construct a triangular Livšic model of bounded linear operator and as application we obtain some known results on dissipative operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 47B28; 47A45; 47A40; 47A56.

Keywords. Completely non-selfadjoint operator, operator colligation, open system, characteristic operator function, J -expansive operator function, multiplicative integral, triangular model of a bounded linear operator.

Contents

1. Preliminaries	3
1.1. Introduction	3
1.2. Basic notations	5
2. Open systems and operator colligations. Characteristic functions of colligations	6
2.1. Closed and open linear systems. Operator colligations. Characteristic function as transfer function of an open system	6
2.1.1. Closed linear systems	6
2.1.2. Open linear systems and operator colligations	7
2.1.3. Characteristic function as transfer function of an open system	10
2.1.4. Characteristic operator function. Relationship with Möbius transformation	11
2.2. Coupling of open systems, product of operator colligations and factorizations of characteristic operator functions	12
2.3. Operator colligations and their properties	15
2.3.1. Completely non-selfadjoint operator	15
2.3.2. Simple and redundant colligations	16
2.3.3. Projection of a colligation	17
2.3.4. Redundant part of product of operator colligations	19
2.3.5. Unitarily equivalent colligations	20
3. Three basic properties of characteristic operator function	20
3.1. The characteristic operator function is the full unitary invariant of the operator colligation	21
3.2. To invariant subspaces of the operator there correspond left divisors of its characteristic function	23
3.3. J -property of characteristic operator function	23
3.4. Role of the operator J	25
3.5. Example. Characteristic function of the Leibniz–Newton integral	28
4. Some classes of characteristic functions. Divisors of characteristic operator functions	29
4.1. Linear fractional transformation of c. o. f.	29
4.2. Class Ω_J	31
4.3. Divisors and regular divisors of functions of class Ω_J	33
4.4. Invariant subspaces of an operator and regular divisors of the characteristic operator function	35
4.5. Quasihermitian colligations. Class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$	35
4.5.1. Invertible holomorphic operator functions	35
4.5.2. Quasihermitian operators	37
4.5.3. Quasihermitian colligations	38
4.5.4. The class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$	39
4.6. \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligations. Class $\Omega_J^{(F)}$	40

4.7.	On one model representation of a bounded linear operator which is simple with respect to the finite dimensional subspace	41
4.8.	Multiplicative representation of the characteristic function of an operator acting in a finite dimensional space	44
5.	Multiplicative integral	47
5.1.	Infinite products	47
5.2.	Multiplicative Stieltjes integral	49
5.3.	Multiplicative Lebesgue integral	57
5.4.	Example	59
6.	Multiplicative representation of characteristic function	61
6.1.	Potapov's Factorization Theorem for characteristic functions of class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$	61
6.2.	Approximation theorems	62
6.3.	Multiplicative representation of characteristic functions of class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$	63
6.3.1.	The case of real spectrum	63
6.3.2.	The case that the invariant subspaces corresponding to the complex eigenvalues generate the whole space	66
6.3.3.	The general case	67
7.	Triangular model of a bounded linear operator which is simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace	68
7.1.	The case of real spectrum	68
7.2.	The case that the invariant subspaces which correspond to the complex eigenvalues generate the whole space	70
7.3.	The general case	73
7.4.	Redundant part of the triangular model	75
7.5.	Two appendices on dissipative operators	76
7.5.1.	Dissipative colligations	76
7.5.2.	Volterra operators with one-dimensional imaginary part	77
7.5.3.	Completeness criterion for the finite-dimensional invariant subspaces of a dissipative operator with finite-dimensional imaginary part	80
	Acknowledgment	80
	References	81

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Introduction

This paper is dedicated to the introduction in a circle of ideas and methods, which are connected with the notion of characteristic function of a non-selfadjoint operator.

We start with the consideration of *closed and open systems* (Subsections 2.1.1-2). The main idea of M. S. Livšić, which is based on physical examples, is that to non-self-adjoint operators there correspond systems interacting

with the external world. Such systems are usually called *open* (see, e.g., [30, 31, 32, 33, 37, 39]).

“We will designate as open systems those physical systems connected with the external world by coupling channels (or, more shortly, channels when no confusion arises)” (see [32, Introduction]).

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be a non-self-adjoint operator. In this case (see [36, Preface]), “it has become apparent that in many questions the natural object of investigation is not the operator itself but a more complicated object known as *an operator colligation* or simply a *colligation*. The space on which an operator is defined is connected in an operator colligation with another space by means of a mapping whose role is to transfer a metric. The deep connection between operator colligations and open physical systems lies in the fact that if the motion of the system involves a change in its energy, then there must exist a space playing the role of a window through which an interaction with the external world takes place. The value of a colligation is to be found in the fact that it explicitly contains not only the operator defining the notion but also a corresponding ‘window’.”

In Subsections 2.1.2–2.1.3 we introduce the notion of operator colligation and define the characteristic function of the operator colligation as transfer function of the corresponding open system. In Subsection 2.1.2 will be verified that the coupling of open systems corresponds to the product of corresponding operator colligations and the product of its characteristic functions. Subsection 2.3 contains basic facts of the theory of operator colligations.

In Section 3 we state three basic properties of the c. o. f. First (Subsection 3.1), we note that the c. o. f. is the full unitary invariant of the operator colligation. Second (see Theorem 3.4), it turns out that the invariant subspaces of the corresponding operator are associated with left divisors of the c. o. f. Third, the J -property of the c. o. f. (see (3.6)–(3.8)) is a basic property which determines the class of c. o. f. (see Section 4). The discovery of this property by M. S. Livšic served as stimulation for V. P. Potapov’s [42] construction of the multiplicative theory for J -expansive (J -contractive) matrix functions. At the end of this section (Subsection 3.4) we show that the signature of the operator J determines the directions of the outer channels.

In Chapter 4, we describe three classes of characteristic functions, which play an important role in this paper. Sections 4.1 and 4.2 are devoted to the description of class Ω_J of characteristic functions of bounded linear operators. Section 4.5 is devoted to the investigation and description of the class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$ of characteristic functions of bounded linear operators with compact imaginary part. Sections 4.6 and 4.8 handle the classes $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and $\Omega_J^{(F)}$, resp., of matrix-valued characteristic functions. In Sections 4.3 and 4.4 basic facts on regular left divisors of characteristic functions are discussed. Namely, these divisors correspond to invariant subspaces of the corresponding operator.

From the basic second property of characteristic functions (see Theorem 3.4) it follows that the chain of nested invariant subspaces of the basic

operator corresponds to the multiplicative decomposition of the characteristic functions in corresponding factors. In this framework we state necessary facts on multiplicative integrals in Chapter 5. Here we follow the remarkable (but in our opinion not very known) paper [43] of V. P. Potapov.

Chapter 6 deals with the factorization theorem for matrix-valued characteristic functions, i. e., characteristic functions of the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ (see Theorem 6.7). This theorem is a special case of V. P. Potapov's fundamental result on the multiplicative structure of J -contractive matrix functions (see [42]). Here following the paper [14], we present an approach to the proof of this theorem.

As an application of these results we prove the known result due to M. S. Livšić that each completely non-selfadjoint dissipative Volterra operator A with one-dimensional imaginary part is unitary equivalent to the integration operator \mathfrak{I} in $L_2(0, \ell)$ (see Theorem 7.13). Furthermore, we obtain the known result that the integration operator \mathfrak{I} in $L_2(0, \ell)$ is an unicellular operator (Theorem 7.16). It should be mentioned that from factorization Theorem 6.7 it follows immediately the completeness criterion for the finite-dimensional invariant subspaces of a dissipative operator with finite-dimensional imaginary part (see Subsection 7.5.3).

The volume of the paper does not allow to consider many other questions connected with the theory of characteristic functions. We mention in this connection the monographs of M. S. Brodskii [11], S. R. Garcia, J. Mashreghi, and W. T. Ross [16], I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein [19, 20], M. S. Livšić [32], M. S. Livšić and A. A. Yantsevich [36], N. K. Nikolskii [41], B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, and L. Kerchy [46] as well as the works J. A. Ball and N. Cohen [5], L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak [6], M. S. Brodskii [12], where closely related questions are considered.

1.2. Basic notations

Let \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{G} be Hilbert spaces (all Hilbert spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be complex and separable). By $[\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]$ we denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators defined on \mathfrak{H} and taking values in \mathfrak{G} . If $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H}$ we use the notation $[\mathfrak{H}] := [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}]$. If \mathfrak{H} is a Hilbert space and \mathfrak{L} is a subspace of \mathfrak{H} , then by $P_{\mathfrak{L}}$ we denote the orthogonal projection from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{L} .

If $B \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]$ and \mathfrak{H}_0 is a subspace of \mathfrak{H} , then the symbol $\text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} B$ stands for the restriction of the operator B to \mathfrak{H}_0 , i. e.

$$\text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} B \in [\mathfrak{H}_0, \mathfrak{G}] \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} B)h_0 = Bh_0, \quad h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_0.$$

The symbol $\mathcal{R}(B)$ stands for the image of the operator B . We preserve these notations if $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H}$, i. e. $B \in [\mathfrak{H}]$.

If $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$, then by $\text{Re } A$ and $\text{Im } A$ we denote the real part and the imaginary part of A , resp.

$$\text{Re } A := \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*), \quad \text{Im } A := \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*).$$

Hence,

$$A = \operatorname{Re} A + i \operatorname{Im} A.$$

By the symbol $\rho(A)$ and $\sigma(A)$, respectively, we denote the regular points and the spectrum of the operator A , respectively.

The symbol $I_{\mathfrak{H}}$ stands for the identity operator, acting in \mathfrak{H} , i. e. $I_{\mathfrak{H}} \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ and $I_{\mathfrak{H}}h = h$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}$.

2. Open systems and operator colligations. Characteristic functions of colligations

2.1. Closed and open linear systems. Operator colligations. Characteristic function as transfer function of an open system

2.1.1. Closed linear systems. “Many problems of mathematical physics lead to equations of the form

$$i \frac{dh}{dt} + Ah = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

where A is a bounded operator in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and $h(t)$ is a state of the corresponding system. In physical applications the energy (or the number of particles) in the state h is proportional to the scalar product $\langle h, h \rangle$ ” (see [33]). From (2.1) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle h, h \rangle = \left\langle \frac{dh}{dt}, h \right\rangle + \left\langle h, \frac{dh}{dt} \right\rangle = \langle -iAh, h \rangle + i \langle h, Ah \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{i} (A - A^*)h, h \right\rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

If $A = A^*$ then in this case the energy $\langle h, h \rangle$ is preserved and the linear system described by equation (2.1) is called *closed*.

We present a simple example (see [32, Chapter I, §1]).

Let us consider an oscillator (for example, a weight suspended on a spring). Its equation of motion is of the form

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Introducing the coordinates $\xi = \sqrt{m}\dot{x}$, $\eta = \sqrt{k}x$, we obtain the system

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = -\omega\eta, \quad \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \omega\xi \quad \left(\omega := \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Equation (2.4) can obviously be rewritten in matrix form

$$-i \frac{d\mathbf{h}}{dt} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{A},$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\omega \\ i\omega & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a Hermitian matrix and $\mathbf{h} = (\xi, \eta)$ is a two-dimensional vector (row-matrix) describing the motion of the oscillator. (Here, the operator is defined by a matrix that acts on the row vector. Therefore, this matrix is on the right. We will write the abstract operators on the left of the vectors on which they act.) If we introduce the scalar product

$$\langle \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2 \rangle = \xi_1 \overline{\xi_2} + \eta_1 \overline{\eta_2},$$

the expression $\langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle = m\dot{x}^2 + kx^2$ is equal to twice the energy of the oscillator in the state of the system described by the vector \mathbf{h} .

So we designate as *closed* a system the state of which may be represented by a vector in some Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} , and whose motion is determined by an equation of the form

$$-i \frac{d\mathbf{h}}{dt} = A\mathbf{h}, \tag{2.5}$$

where A is a self-adjoint operator in \mathfrak{H} .

There (see [32, Chapter I, §1]) are also given other examples of closed physical systems.

2.1.2. Open linear systems and operator colligations. If the operator A in system (2.1) is non-selfadjoint then, as it follows from (2.2), in this case the energy $\langle h, h \rangle$ in the state h is not preserved. This means that the system interacts with the external world. In this case, the system is called *open*. As it follows from (2.2), this interaction is described by the imaginary part of the operator A . The notion of an operator colligation, introduced below, makes it possible to describe this interaction.

Definition 2.1 ([32, Subsection 1.3], [36, Chapter 1, §3]). A set of two spaces \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{G} , and three operators $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$, $\Phi \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]$ and $J \in [\mathfrak{G}]$,

$$J = J^* = J^{-1}, \tag{2.6}$$

connected by the relation

$$\frac{1}{i}(A - A^*) = \Phi^* J \Phi, \tag{2.7}$$

is called *an operator colligation* or simply *a colligation* and is denoted by the symbol

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J). \tag{2.8}$$

The operator A is called *the fundamental operator* of the colligation α . The spaces \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{G} are called *internal and external spaces*, respectively, and the operators Φ and J are called *channeled and directing operators*, respectively.

From (2.7) it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*). \tag{2.9}$$

The subspaces $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)}$ are called *non-Hermitian* and *channeled*.

Theorem 2.2 ([11, Chapter I]). *For any operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ and any subspace \mathfrak{E} containing $\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)$ there exists a colligation $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ for which the subspace \mathfrak{E} is a channeled space.*

Proof. Let

$$B := 2 \text{Rstr}_{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)} \text{Im } A$$

and let $\{E_\lambda\}_{\lambda=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be the resolution of the identity for the operator B , i. e.,

$$B = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

Consider the subspace

$$\mathfrak{E}_0 := \mathfrak{E} \ominus \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)} \oplus \mathfrak{E}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{E}^\perp, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mathfrak{E}^\perp := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{E}$.

Now put

$$\mathfrak{G} := \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)} \oplus \mathfrak{E}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{E}_0 \quad (2.11)$$

and let $\Phi \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]$ and $J \in [\mathfrak{G}]$ have the block representations

$$\Phi := \begin{pmatrix} |B|^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{E}_0} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{E}_0} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sign} B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathfrak{E}_0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_{\mathfrak{E}_0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

with respect to decompositions (2.10) and (2.11), where

$$|B|^{1/2} := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^{1/2} dE_\lambda, \quad \operatorname{sign} B := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \operatorname{sign} \lambda dE_\lambda.$$

It is directly verified that conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are fulfilled, where we note that for decomposition (2.10) the representations

$$|\operatorname{Im} A|^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |B|^{1/2} \oplus 0 \oplus 0, \quad \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{Im} A = \operatorname{sign} B \oplus 0 \oplus 0$$

hold. □

Remark 2.3. If the subspace \mathfrak{E} is finite dimensional then one can choose the space \mathbb{C}^r as outer space where (see (2.10))

$$r := \dim \mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A) + 2 \dim \mathfrak{E}_0 = \dim \mathfrak{G}.$$

Indeed, if V is a unitary mapping from \mathfrak{G} onto \mathbb{C}^r , $\Phi' = V\Phi$, $J' = VJV^{-1}$, then the quintuple

$$\alpha' := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi', J')$$

is also a colligation together with the colligation $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$.

If we take the subspace $\mathfrak{E} := \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)}$ in Theorem 2.2, then $\mathfrak{G} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)}$, and we obtain the colligation

$$\alpha_{\operatorname{Im} A} := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J), \quad (2.13)$$

where $J := \operatorname{sign} B$ and $\Phi = |B|^{1/2} \oplus 0$ with respect to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{H} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)}^\perp.$$

The operation of representing an operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ as the fundamental operator of a colligation is called *embedding* the operator A into a colligation. In [36, Chapter 1] a description of all embeddings of a given operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ into colligations is obtained.

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$. Let us embed the operator A into a colligation α of form (2.8). Assign to the colligation α the open system \mathfrak{D}_α defined by the relations (see, e. g., [39])

$$\begin{cases} i\frac{dh}{dt} + Ah = \Phi^* J\varphi^-, \\ h(0) = h_0, \\ \varphi^+ = \varphi^- - i\Phi h, \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

where t is a real variable. In these relations we will assume that the \mathfrak{G} -valued function $\varphi^- = \varphi^-(t)$ and the vector $h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ are given. This makes possible to find the \mathfrak{H} -valued function $h = h(t)$. Substituting the given function $\varphi^- = \varphi^-(t)$ and the found solution $h = h(t)$ in the third relation, we determine the \mathfrak{G} -valued function $\varphi^+ = \varphi^+(t)$. The functions $\varphi^-(t)$, $h(t)$, and $\varphi^+(t)$ are called *the input*, *the internal state*, and *the output* of the open system \mathfrak{D}_α , respectively.

Colligation condition (2.7) allows us to control the change of the quantity $\langle h(t), h(t) \rangle$ (the energy of the internal state of system (2.14)). Indeed, from the first equation in (2.14) the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} i\left\langle \frac{dh}{dt}, h \right\rangle + \langle Ah, h \rangle &= \langle \Phi^* J\varphi^-, h \rangle, \\ -i\left\langle h, \frac{dh}{dt} \right\rangle + \langle h, Ah \rangle &= \langle h, \Phi^* J\varphi^- \rangle \end{aligned}$$

follow. From here we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle h, h \rangle + \left\langle \frac{A - A^*}{i} h, h \right\rangle = -i\langle \Phi^* J\varphi^-, h \rangle + i\langle h, \Phi^* J\varphi^- \rangle.$$

Taking into account colligation condition (2.7), we derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle h, h \rangle = -\langle \Phi^* J\Phi h, h \rangle - i\langle \Phi^* J\varphi^-, h \rangle + i\langle h, \Phi^* J\varphi^- \rangle. \quad (2.15)$$

In view of the third relation in (2.14), the right side of this equality can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\langle \Phi^* J\Phi h, h \rangle - i\langle \Phi^* J\varphi^-, h \rangle + i\langle h, \Phi^* J\varphi^- \rangle \\ &= -i\langle \Phi^* J(\varphi^- - i\Phi h), h \rangle + i\langle \Phi h, J\varphi^- \rangle \\ &= -i\langle J\varphi^+, \Phi h \rangle + i\langle \Phi h, J\varphi^- \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The third relation in (2.14) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\Phi h = i(\varphi^+ - \varphi^-).$$

Therefore, from (2.15) and (2.16) we derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle h, h \rangle = -\langle J\varphi^+, \varphi^+ - \varphi^- \rangle - \langle \varphi^+ - \varphi^-, J\varphi^- \rangle.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle h, h \rangle = \langle J\varphi^-, \varphi^- \rangle - \langle J\varphi^+, \varphi^+ \rangle. \quad (2.17)$$

where $\langle J\varphi^-, \varphi^- \rangle$ and $\langle J\varphi^+, \varphi^+ \rangle$ can be interpreted as energy flows through the input and the output, respectively. This important relation is called *the law of conservation of metric (energy)* for the open system \mathfrak{D}_α (see, e. g., [33], [39]).

Note that [32, Subsections 1.2, 1.3] contains physical reasonings and physical examples leading to the concept of an open system.

2.1.3. Characteristic function as transfer function of an open system. Assume that in system (2.14) $\varphi^-(t)$ has the form:

$$\varphi^-(t) := e^{izt}\varphi_0^-, \quad \varphi_0^- \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.18)$$

In this case,

$$h(t) = e^{izt}h_0, \quad \varphi^+(t) = e^{izt}\varphi_0^+, \quad h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}, \quad \varphi_0^+ \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad (2.19)$$

and relations (2.14) take the form:

$$\begin{cases} (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})h_0 = \Phi^*J\varphi_0^-, \\ \varphi_0^+ = \varphi_0^- - i\Phi h_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Note that relations (2.20) completely determine the open system \mathfrak{D}_α of form (2.14). We will consider relations (2.20) for a fixed z . Under these conditions, the role of the input in these relations is played by the vector φ_0^- , and the vectors h_0 and φ_0^+ do the roles of the internal state and the output, respectively.

If $z \in \rho(A)$, then

$$h_0 = Q_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^-, \quad \varphi_0^+ = S_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^-, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$Q_\alpha(z) := (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*J, \quad (2.22)$$

$$S_\alpha(z) := I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i\Phi(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*J. \quad (2.23)$$

Definition 2.4 ([25]). Let α be an operator colligation of form (2.8). The operator function $S_\alpha(z)$, $z \in \rho(A)$, of form (2.23) is called *the characteristic operator function* (c.o.f.) of the colligation α (of the operator A) or *the transfer function* of the open system \mathfrak{D}_α given by relations (2.14).

Taking into account the described construction, the open system \mathfrak{D}_α can be schematically illustrated in the following way:




FIGURE 1.

In applications the inner space \mathfrak{H} with operator A acting in it is often called *the intermediate system*. The vector h_0 is called *the state* of the intermediate system.

We note that, besides colligation (2.13), the tuple

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{Im } A}^* := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A^*, J\Phi, -J) \tag{2.24}$$

is also an operator colligation. It is immediately checked that function (2.25) coincides with the c. o. f. of the colligation $\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{Im } A}^*$, i. e.,

$$W(z) = S_{\tilde{\alpha}_{\text{Im } A}^*}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A^*).$$

Remark 2.5. First the c. o. f. of a bounded linear operator in Hilbert space was introduced by M. S. Livšic in [25] by the following formula:

$$W(z) = I_{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)} + 2(\text{sign Im } A)|\text{Im } A|^{1/2}(A^* - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}|\text{Im } A|^{1/2}, \quad z \in \rho(A^*), \tag{2.25}$$

where the right side was considered only in the space $\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)$. A more general definition was proposed by M. S. Brodskii ([7, 8, 10]), see also the survey paper of M. S. Brodskii and M. S. Livšic [14]. According to this definition the c. o. f. of operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ could be any function of the type

$$w(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}} - 2iK^*(A - zI)^{-1}KJ, \quad z \in \rho(A), \tag{2.26}$$

where \mathfrak{G} some Hilbert space, $K \in [\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}]$, $J \in [\mathfrak{G}]$, and the following properties are fulfilled

$$J = J^*, \quad J^2 = I_{\mathfrak{G}}, \quad \text{Im } A = KJK^*. \tag{2.27}$$

Note that this definition is equivalent to representation (2.23) (see Definition 2.4). It suffices to put $\Phi = \sqrt{2}K^*$. Definitions (2.26) made it possible to formulate the “multiplication theorem” (see Theorem 3.4) without the previous restrictions and led naturally to the notion of operator colligation. The construction of characteristic functions in terms of operator colligations was done in papers of M. S. Brodskii, Yu. L. Shmul’jan [15] and M. S. Brodskii, G. E. Kisilevskii [13]. A brief survey of the development of the concept of the characteristic function can be found in the paper of A. V. Kuzhel [23].

2.1.4. Characteristic operator function. Relationship with Möbius transformation. In papers [25, 38] M. S. Livšic proposed the following approach to defining the characteristic operator function.

Consider the Möbius transformation

$$\theta_a(z) := \frac{z - \bar{a}}{z - a}, \quad \text{Im } a \neq 0, \tag{2.28}$$

by which the number a is completely determined. From (2.28) we derive that

$$\theta_a(z) = 1 - i \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} (a - z)^{-1} = 1 - i \text{sign} \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \left| \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \right|^{1/2} (a - z)^{-1} \left| \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \right|^{1/2}. \tag{2.29}$$

For the one-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H} := \mathbb{C}$ the space $[\mathfrak{H}]$ can be also identified with \mathbb{C} , i. e., we will write $A_a := a$, keeping in mind that

$$A_a h := ah, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

In this case,

$$2 \text{Im } A_a = \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i}.$$

Therefore, for embedding the operator A_a into some colligation, it is natural to chose the external space $\mathfrak{G} := \mathbb{C}$ and the operators

$$\Phi_a := \left| \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \right|^{1/2} \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}], \quad J_a := \text{sign} \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \in [\mathfrak{G}]$$

as the channeled and directing ones, respectively. Thus, the 5-tuple

$$\alpha_a := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A_a, \Phi_a, J_a), \quad \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{G} = \mathbb{C},$$

is a colligation. Note that, in this case,

$$\Phi_a^* = \left| \frac{a - \bar{a}}{i} \right|^{1/2} \in [\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}].$$

The introduced designations allow us to rewrite representation (2.29) in the form

$$\theta_a(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i\Phi_a(A_a - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi_a^*J_a, \quad z \in \rho(A_a). \quad (2.30)$$

Consequently, $S_{\alpha_a}(z) = \theta_a(z)$, $z \in \rho(A_a)$, i. e., Möbius transformation (2.28) is the characteristic function of the colligation α_a .

Thus, from representation (2.30) it is seen that the characteristic operator function of form (2.23) in the case of an arbitrary bounded operator A can be considered as a generalization of the Möbius transformation of form (2.29) (see, e. g., [38]).

2.2. Coupling of open systems, product of operator colligations and factorizations of characteristic operator functions

Let

$$\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.31)$$

be operator colligations and let $S_{\alpha_j}(z)$ be the c. o. f. of the colligation α_j ($j = 1, 2$). Note that the external space and directing operator are common to theses colligation. Consider realizations (see (2.20))

$$\begin{cases} (A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})h_{10} = \Phi_1^*J\varphi_{10}^-, \\ \varphi_{10}^+ = \varphi_{10}^- - i\Phi_1h_{10} \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})h_{20} = \Phi_2^*J\varphi_{20}^-, \\ \varphi_{20}^+ = \varphi_{20}^- - i\Phi_2h_{20}. \end{cases} \quad (2.33)$$

Here for each $z \in \rho(A_j)$

$$\varphi_{j0}^-, \varphi_{j0}^+ \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad h_{j0} \in \mathfrak{H}_j, \quad \varphi_{j0}^+ = S_{\alpha_j}(z)\varphi_{j0}^- \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (2.34)$$

If for each $z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$ we put

$$\varphi_{10}^- = \varphi_{20}^+, \quad (2.35)$$

then we obtain new relations of type (2.20) with the input φ_{20}^- , the internal state $h_0 := h_{10} + h_{20}$ and the output φ_{10}^+ . Really, substituting the expression for φ_{20}^+ from (2.33) in relations (2.32) instead of φ_{10}^- , we come to the relations

$$\begin{cases} (A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})h_{10} + i\Phi_1^* J\Phi_2 h_{20} = \Phi_1^* J\varphi_{20}^-, \\ (A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})h_{20} = \Phi_2^* J\varphi_{20}^-, \\ \varphi_{10}^+ = \varphi_{20}^- - i(\Phi_1 h_{10} + \Phi_2 h_{20}) \end{cases} \quad (2.36)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})h_0 = \Phi^* J\varphi_{20}^-, \\ \varphi_{10}^+ = \varphi_{20}^- - i\Phi h_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{H} := \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2, \quad A := \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & i\Phi_1^* J\Phi_2 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \in [\mathfrak{H}], \quad \Phi := [\Phi_1, \Phi_2] \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]. \quad (2.38)$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{i}(A - A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{i}(A_1 - A_1^*) & \Phi_1^* J\Phi_2 \\ \Phi_2^* J\Phi_1 & \frac{1}{i}(A_2 - A_2^*) \end{pmatrix},$$

whence, taking into account the equalities

$$\frac{1}{i}(A_j - A_j^*) = \Phi_j^* J\Phi_j \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{i}(A - A^*) = \Phi^* J\Phi.$$

Thus, the 5-tuple

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J), \quad (2.39)$$

where the space \mathfrak{H} and the operators A and Φ are given by (2.38), is an operator colligation.

Equivalently, we can say that the open system \mathfrak{D}_α corresponding to the colligation α of form (2.39) is uniquely determined by the open systems \mathfrak{D}_{α_1} and \mathfrak{D}_{α_2} corresponding to the colligations of form (2.32) and (2.33), respectively, if condition (2.35) is satisfied.

Definition 2.6. The colligation α of form (2.39) constructed as described above is called *the product of the colligations α_1 and α_2* , and this will be written by the equality $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$. The corresponding system \mathfrak{D}_α is called *the coupling of the open systems \mathfrak{D}_{α_1} and \mathfrak{D}_{α_2}* , and this will be written by the equality $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha = \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_1} \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_2}$.

Taking into account the described construction, the equality $\mathfrak{D}_\alpha = \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_1} \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_2}$ can be schematically illustrated in the following way:




FIGURE 2.

It is immediately checked that the formula

$$(\alpha_1 \alpha_2) \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 (\alpha_2 \alpha_3)$$

holds.

Theorem 2.7 ([11, Chapter 1]). *Let $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ the product of colligations $\alpha_1 = (\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{G}; A_1, \Phi_1, J)$ and $\alpha_2 = (\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{G}; A_2, \Phi_2, J)$. Then $\rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2) \subseteq \rho(A)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} &= (A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{H}_1} + (A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{H}_2} \\ &- i(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1} \Phi_1^* J \Phi_2 (A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1} P_{\mathfrak{H}_2}, \quad z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where $P_{\mathfrak{H}_j}$ is the orthoprojector from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{H}_j , $j = 1, 2$.

Proof. From the second equality in (2.38) it follows that

$$A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}} = (A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1}) P_{\mathfrak{H}_1} + (A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2}) P_{\mathfrak{H}_2} + i\Phi_1^* J \Phi_2 P_{\mathfrak{H}_2}. \quad (2.41)$$

Now it is easily checked that for each $z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$ the right hand side of identity (2.40) is an operator which is both the left and right inverse of operator (2.41). \square

By a factorization of a colligation we mean any of its representations as a product of two colligations.

Theorem 2.8 ([31, Subsection 2.2]). *If a colligation α of form (2.8) admits a factorization $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$, where α_j has form (2.31), $j = 1, 2$, then the factorization*

$$S_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(z) = S_{\alpha_1}(z) S_{\alpha_2}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2), \quad (2.42)$$

holds.

Proof. Obviously, if $z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2)$, then $z \in \rho(A)$. Further, on the one hand, it follows from (2.37) that $\varphi_{10}^+ = S_{\alpha}(z) \varphi_{20}^-$, $z \in \rho(A)$. On the other hand, from (2.34) and (2.35) we obtain

$$\varphi_{10}^+ = S_{\alpha_1}(z) \varphi_{10}^- = S_{\alpha_1}(z) \varphi_{20}^+ = S_{\alpha_1}(z) S_{\alpha_2}(z) \varphi_{20}^-, \quad z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2). \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.9 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let \mathfrak{H}_0 be an invariant subspace of operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$, $A_0 := \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} A$ and G be a domain in the complex plane all points of which are regular with respect to A . If there exists a point $z_0 \in G$ which is regular for the operator A_0 , then all points of the set G have this property.*

Proof. First we note that a point $z \in G$ is regular with respect to operator A_0 if and only if $(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$.

For an arbitrary point $z_1 \in G$ we construct a rectifiable curve L in G which connects z_0 with z_1 . We choose an $\epsilon > 0$ and points

$$z_0 = w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n = z_1$$

on L such that the disks

$$\mathfrak{k}_j := \{z : |z - w_j| < \epsilon\}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

are contained in G and satisfy the inequalities

$$|w_{j+1} - w_j| < \epsilon, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Since $(A - w_0I_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$ and since we have the Taylor expansion

$$R_z = R_{w_0} + (z - w_0)R_{w_0}^2 + (z - w_0)^2R_{w_0}^3 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathfrak{k}_0,$$

and then $(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$ for $z \in \mathfrak{k}_0$ and, in particular, $(A - w_1I_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$. Continuing this procedure, we get $(A - w_nI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$. \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let the colligation $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be the product of the colligations $\alpha_j = (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$. If $\rho(A)$ is connected, then*

$$\rho(A) = \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2) \tag{2.43}$$

and, hence,

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \sigma(A_2).$$

Proof. From the connectedness of the set $\rho(A)$ and Theorem 2.9 we get

$$\rho(A) \subseteq \rho(A_1). \tag{2.44}$$

Analogously, for the operator A^* we get the inclusion $\rho(A^*) \subseteq \rho(A_2^*)$ and hence

$$\rho(A) \subseteq \rho(A_2). \tag{2.45}$$

From (2.44), (2.45) and Theorem 2.7 equality (2.43) follows. \square

2.3. Operator colligations and their properties

2.3.1. Completely non-selfadjoint operator. Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$. The operator A is called *completely non-selfadjoint* if there exist no subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 (\neq \{0\})$ in \mathfrak{H} such that

- 1) \mathfrak{H}_0 reduces A ;
- 2) A induces a selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}_0 .

Theorem 2.11 ([11, Chapter I, §1]). *The closure \mathfrak{H}_1 of the linear hull of vectors of the form*

$$A^n(\text{Im } A)h, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; h \in \mathfrak{H}) \tag{2.46}$$

and its orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{H}_0 := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1$ are invariant with respect to A . The operator A induces a completely non-selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}_1 and a selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}_0 .

Proof. Since \mathfrak{H}_1 is obviously invariant relative to A , therefore \mathfrak{H}_0 is invariant relative to A^* . Moreover, since the range of the operator $\text{Im } A$ lies in \mathfrak{H}_1 we have $(\text{Im } A)\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{0\}$. Thus, $Ah = A^*h$ for $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$. Hence, the subspace \mathfrak{H}_0 reduces the operator A , and A induces a selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}_0 .

On the other side, if the subspace \mathfrak{H}'_0 reduces A and A induces a selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}'_0 then obviously $\mathfrak{H}'_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_0$. Hence the operator A induces a completely non-selfadjoint operator on \mathfrak{H}_1 . \square

Corollary 2.12. *The space \mathfrak{H} can be represented in one and only one way in the form of an orthogonal sum of subspaces \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_0 which are invariant relative to A and on which A induces a completely non-selfadjoint operator and a selfadjoint operator, respectively.*

2.3.2. Simple and redundant colligations. We consider an operator colligation

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J) \quad (2.47)$$

and denote by \mathfrak{H}_α the closure of the linear hull of all vectors of the form

$$A^n \Phi^* g, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; g \in \mathfrak{G}. \quad (2.48)$$

The subspaces \mathfrak{H}_α and $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha^{(0)} := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_\alpha$ are called *principal* and *redundant for the colligation α* , respectively. From Theorem 2.11 and inclusion $\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$ easily follows that each of the subspaces \mathfrak{H}_α and $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha^{(0)}$ is invariant relative to A and A^* and that $Ah = A^*h$ for $h \in \mathfrak{H}_\alpha^{(0)}$.

Colligation (2.47) is called *simple* if $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha = \mathfrak{H}$. Otherwise, it is called *redundant*. For a colligation to be simple, it is sufficient that its fundamental operator be completely non-selfadjoint. The converse statement is not correct in general. Indeed, putting $\mathfrak{E} := \mathfrak{H}$ in Theorem 2.2, we find that every bounded linear operator may be embedded in a simple colligation.

We note that, besides the operator colligation α of form (2.47), the tuple

$$\alpha^* := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A^*, \Phi, -J) \quad (2.49)$$

is also an operator colligation. The operator colligation α^* is called the *adjoint colligation with respect to α* . It is easily checked that the formula

$$(\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^* = \alpha_2^* \alpha_1^* \quad (2.50)$$

holds.

The principal subspaces of the colligations α and α^* coincide. Indeed, since the subspace \mathfrak{H}_α is invariant with respect to A^* and $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_\alpha$, we have

$$A^{*n} \Phi^* g \in \mathfrak{H}_\alpha, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad g \in \mathfrak{G},$$

i. e., $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_\alpha$. An analogous argument shows that $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*}$.

Lemma 2.13 ([11, Chapter I]). *Suppose that $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ is an operator colligation. If the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ is invariant with respect to A and orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$, then it is contained in the redundant subspace.*

Proof. For any vector $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ the equalities

$$\langle h, A^{*n} \Phi^* g \rangle = \langle A^n h, \Phi^* g \rangle = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad g \in \mathfrak{G},$$

holds, which means that $h \perp \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*}$. Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*}$, we have $h \perp \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}$, i.e., $h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)}$. \square

Corollary 2.14. *For the colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ to be redundant, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ ($\mathfrak{H}_0 \neq \{0\}$) which is invariant with respect to A and orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$.*

Definition 2.15. Suppose that $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ is a redundant colligation. Denoting by A_{α} and $A_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ the operators induced by the operator A in the subspaces \mathfrak{H}_{α} and $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)}$, respectively. We obtain the colligations

$$\alpha_{\text{pri}} := (\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{G}; A_{\alpha}, \Phi, J) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{\text{red}} := (\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{G}; A_{\alpha}^{(0)}, 0, J)$$

which are called *the principal* and *redundant parts* of the colligation α , respectively.

It is easy to see that α_{pri} is a simple colligation.

Since the channel operator of the colligation α_{red} is the null operator, then the open system $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}$ is closed and

$$S_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}(z) \equiv I_{\mathfrak{G}}, \quad z \in \rho(A_{\alpha}^{(0)}).$$

Obviously,

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}} \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{red}}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{red}}} \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}}$$

and

$$\alpha = \alpha_{\text{pri}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{red}} = \alpha_{\text{red}} \cdot \alpha_{\text{pri}}.$$

From this it follows

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = S_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}}(z) \cdot S_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}(z) = S_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}(z) \cdot S_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}}(z) = S_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A). \quad (2.51)$$

In this way, the system $\mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}$ is the maximal closed subsystem of the open system \mathfrak{D}_{α} .

2.3.3. Projection of a colligation. We choose in the inner space \mathfrak{H} of a colligation of form (2.47) a subspace \mathfrak{H}_0 and define on it the operator $A_0 h := P_0 A h$, $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$, where P_0 denotes the orthoprojector from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{H}_0 . Moreover, we define the operator $\Phi_0 := \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \Phi$ action from \mathfrak{H}_0 into \mathfrak{G} . Then for $h \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{i}(A_0 - A_0^*)h = \frac{1}{i}P_0(A - A^*)P_0h = P_0\Phi^*J\Phi P_0h = \Phi_0^*J\Phi_0h,$$

and thus,

$$\alpha_0 := (\mathfrak{H}_0, \mathfrak{G}; A_0, \Phi_0, J) \quad (2.52)$$

is an operator colligation.

The colligation α_0 is called the *projection of the colligation α on the subspace \mathfrak{H}_0* , and we write

$$\alpha_0 = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha, \quad \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} S(z) := S_{\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha}(z).$$

We note the relations

$$\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha^* = (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha)^* \quad (2.53)$$

$$\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \alpha = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1} (\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_2} \alpha), \quad \mathfrak{H}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_2, \quad (2.54)$$

following immediately from the definition of projection. If $\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}_j; A_j, \Phi_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$, are operator colligations and

$$\alpha := \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J),$$

then, as formula (2.38) shows, α_1 and α_2 are the corresponding projections of the colligation α on \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 , respectively, where \mathfrak{H}_1 is invariant with respect to A . The converse is also true.

Theorem 2.16 ([32, Chapter II], [11, Chapter I]). *Let*

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J) \quad (2.55)$$

be an operator colligation, \mathfrak{H}_1 be an invariant subspace with respect to the operator A , and $\mathfrak{H}_2 := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1$. Then $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$, where $\alpha_j := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_j} \alpha$, $j = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let the colligation α_j of form (2.31) be the projection of the colligation α on the subspace \mathfrak{H}_j , $j = 1, 2$. If we denote by P_j the orthoprojection onto \mathfrak{H}_j , $j = 1, 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 A P_1 &= 0, & P_1 A^* P_2 &= 0, \\ P_1 A P_2 &= 2i P_1 \frac{A - A^*}{2i} P_2 = 2i P_1 \Phi^* J \Phi P_2 = 2i \Phi_1^* J \Phi_2 P_2, \\ A &= (P_1 + P_2) A (P_1 + P_2) = A_1 P_1 + A_2 P_2 + 2i \Phi_1^* J \Phi_2 P_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_j := \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_j} \Phi$, $j = 1, 2$. □

The colligation α_0 will be called a *left (right) divisor* of the colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ if it is the projection of α on the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ which is invariant with respect to A (A^*).

Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be an operator colligation and let

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 \subset \mathfrak{H}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{H}_n = \mathfrak{H} \quad (2.56)$$

be an increasing chain of subspaces which are invariant with respect to A . This chain $\{\mathfrak{H}_k\}_{k=0}^n$ generates a factorization of the colligation α . Namely, since the subspace \mathfrak{H}_{k-1} is invariant with respect to the operators $A_k = \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k} A$, $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$, then, in view of formula (2.54), we have

$$\alpha = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha \cdot \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_2 \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1} \alpha \cdots \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_n \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}} \alpha. \quad (2.57)$$

Let the fundamental operators of the colligations $\text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_j \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{j-1}} \alpha$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, be regular at the point z . Then from (2.57) it follows

$$S_\alpha(z) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1 \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0} S(z) \cdot \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_2 \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1} S(z) \cdots \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_n \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{n-1}} S(z). \quad (2.58)$$

2.3.4. Redundant part of product of operator colligations.

Theorem 2.17 ([11, Chapter 1]). *If $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ is the product of colligations $\alpha_1 := (\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{G}; A_1, \Phi_1, J)$ and $\alpha_2 := (\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{G}; A_2, \Phi_2, J)$, then*

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_j}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_j, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.59)$$

Proof. The subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(0)}$ is invariant with respect to A and orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_1^*)$. In view of the third identity in (2.38), it is orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. In view of Lemma 2.13, we have $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)}$ and thus, $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1$.

On the other hand, the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1$ is invariant with respect to A_1 and orthogonal to $\mathcal{R}(\Phi_1^*)$. Applying Lemma 2.13 to the colligation α_1 , we infer that $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1}^{(0)}$.

Thus, equality (2.59) is proved for $j = 1$. It remains to note that $\alpha^* = \alpha_2^* \alpha_1^*$ and thus, in view of what we have already, $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_2^*}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_2$. Since $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_2^*}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_2}^{(0)}$, $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha^*}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)}$, then $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_2}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_2$. \square

Theorem 2.18 ([7, Chapter 1]). *If $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$, then*

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_j}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.60)$$

where \mathfrak{H}_j is the internal space of the colligation α_j .

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.17, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_j}^{(0)} &= \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_j}^{(0)} \cap \mathfrak{H}_j, \\ \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_j}^{(0)} &= \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \cap (\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{H}_j). \end{aligned}$$

From this (2.60) follows. \square

Corollary 2.19. *If $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$ is a simple colligation, then all colligations α_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are simple.*

From this and Theorem 2.16 it follows:

Corollary 2.20. *Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be a simple operator colligation and let \mathfrak{H}_1 be an invariant subspace with respect to the operator A . Then the operator colligation $\alpha_1 := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \alpha$ is simple, too.*

Note that the converse assumption to Corollary 2.19 is not true: the product of simple colligations can be redundant. More precisely, the following is true.

Theorem 2.21 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let \mathfrak{H}_0 be a Hilbert space and A_0 be a bounded selfadjoint operator acting in \mathfrak{H}_0 . Then there exist simple colligations α_1 and α_2 such that A_0 is the fundamental operator of the redundant part of the colligation $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2$.*

2.3.5. Unitarily equivalent colligations.

Definition 2.22. We say that a colligation $\alpha_1 := (\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{G}; A_1, \Phi_1, J)$ is *unitarily equivalent* to a colligation $\alpha_2 := (\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{G}; A_2, \Phi_2, J)$, if there exists a unitary mapping U of the space \mathfrak{H}_1 onto \mathfrak{H}_2 such that

$$UA_1 = A_2U, \quad \Phi_1 = \Phi_2U. \quad (2.61)$$

Obviously, the relation of unitarily equivalence is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. It is also obvious that a colligation which is unitarily equivalent to a simple colligation is simple itself.

Remark 2.23. It is immediately checked that a unitary operator U which realizes the unitarily equivalence of two simple colligations α_1 and α_2 is determined in unique way. If at the same time $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, then obviously $U = I_{\mathfrak{H}}$, where \mathfrak{H} is the internal space \mathfrak{H} of the colligation α .

Theorem 2.24 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let the colligation $\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$, be unitarily equivalent to the colligation $\alpha'_j := (\mathfrak{H}'_j, \mathfrak{G}; A'_j, \Phi'_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$, respectively. Then the product $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ is unitarily equivalent to the product $\alpha'_1\alpha'_2$.*

Proof. Let the unitary operators

$$U_1 \in [\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}'_1], \quad U_2 \in [\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}'_2],$$

realize the unitary equivalence of the colligations α_1, α'_1 and α_2, α'_2 , respectively. It's immediately checked that the unitary operator $U := U_1 \oplus U_2$ realizes the unitary equivalence of the colligations $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ and $\alpha'_1\alpha'_2$. \square

Analogously, the following result is verified. The difference is that now the operators U_1 and U_2 are determined by the operator U .

Theorem 2.25 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let the colligation α be unitarily equivalent to the colligation α' and let $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2$. Then $\alpha' = \alpha'_1\alpha'_2$, where the colligations α'_1 and α'_2 are unitarily equivalent to α_1 and α_2 , respectively.*

3. Three basic properties of characteristic operator function

In this section we state three basic properties of the c. o. f. First (Subsection 3.1), we note that the c. o. f. is the full unitary invariant of the operator colligation. Second (see Theorem 3.4), it turns out that the invariant subspaces of the corresponding operator are associated with left divisors of the c. o. f. Namely, this property enables us to construct a triangular model of the corresponding operator using the c. o. f. (see Section 7). Third, the J -property of the c. o. f. (see (3.6)–(3.8)) is a basic property which determines the class of c. o. f. (see Section 4).

At the end of this section (Subsection 3.4) we show that the signature of the operator J determines the directions of the outer channels.

3.1. The characteristic operator function is the full unitary invariant of the operator colligation

If the colligations $\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$, are unitarily equivalent, then the set $\rho(A_1)$ of all regular points of the operator A_1 coincides with the set $\rho(A_2)$ of all regular points of the operator A_2 and

$$S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha_2}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A_1). \quad (3.1)$$

Indeed, in view of (2.61), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha_2}(z) &= I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i\Phi_2(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^*J \\ &= I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i\Phi_1U^{-1} \left[U(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}U^{-1} \right] U\Phi_1^*J \\ &= I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i\Phi_1(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^*J = S_{\alpha_1}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1 ([25, Theorem 1]). *Let*

$$\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.2)$$

be simple colligations. If in some neighborhood G of the infinitely distant point the equality $S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha_2}(z)$ is satisfied, then α_1 and α_2 are unitarily equivalent.

Proof. In the theorem it is assumed that

$$\Phi_1(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* = \Phi_2(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^*, \quad z \in G.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &(A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} - (A_j^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} \\ &= (A_j^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} \left[(A_j^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j}) - (A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j}) \right] (A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} \\ &= (z - \bar{w})(A_j^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} (A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} \\ &\quad - 2i(A_j^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1}\Phi_j^*J\Phi_j(A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2; z, w \in G, \end{aligned}$$

we infer

$$\begin{aligned} &(z - \bar{w})\Phi_1(A_1^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* \\ &= \Phi_1(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* - \Phi_1(A_1^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* \\ &\quad + 2i\Phi_1(A_1^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^*J\Phi_1(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* \\ &= \Phi_2(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^* - \Phi_2(A_2^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^* \\ &\quad + 2i\Phi_2(A_2^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^*J\Phi_2(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^* \\ &= (z - \bar{w})\Phi_2(A_2^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_1(A_1^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}(A_1 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_1})^{-1}\Phi_1^* \\ &= \Phi_2(A_2^* - \bar{w}I_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}(A_2 - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_2})^{-1}\Phi_2^*, \quad z, \bar{w} \in G. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using the expansion

$$(A_j - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_j})^{-1} = -\frac{1}{z}I_{\mathfrak{H}_j} - \frac{A_j}{z^2} - \frac{A_j^2}{z^3} - \dots, \quad |z| > \|A_j\|, j = 1, 2,$$

we obtain the equalities

$$(A_1^m \Phi_1^* g, A_1^n \Phi_1^* g') = (A_2^m \Phi_2^* g, A_2^n \Phi_2^* g'), \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; g, g' \in \mathfrak{G}. \quad (3.3)$$

We denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, the linear hull of all vectors of the form

$$A_j^m \Phi_j^* g, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots; g \in \mathfrak{G},$$

and consider the mapping U from $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_1$ onto $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_2$ which maps each vector of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\ell} A_1^n \Phi_1^* g_n$ to the vector $\sum_{n=0}^{\ell} A_2^n \Phi_2^* g_n$. In view of (3.3), the mapping U is isometric. Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_1$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}_2$ are dense in \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 , respectively, U can be continuously extended to an isometry of the whole space \mathfrak{H}_1 onto the whole space \mathfrak{H}_2 . We again denote by U the obtained unitary operator. Then the equalities

$$U A_1^n \Phi_1^* = A_2^n \Phi_2^*, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

hold. Thus, $U \Phi_1^* = \Phi_2^*$ and, moreover,

$$U A_1 A_1^n \Phi_1^* = A_2 A_2^n \Phi_2^* = A_2 U A_1^n \Phi_1^*,$$

i. e., $U A_1 = A_2 U$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let colligations α_1 and α_2 of form (3.2) be simple. If in some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point the equality $S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha_2}(z)$ holds, then $\rho(A_1) = \rho(A_2)$ and $S_{\alpha_1}(z) \equiv S_{\alpha_2}(z)$, $z \in \rho(A_1)$.*

We note that from the identity $S_{\alpha}(z) = S_{\alpha_{\text{pri}}}(z)$ (see (2.51)) follows that the assumption of simplicity of colligations in Theorem 3.1 is necessary.

Theorem 3.3 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let $\alpha_1 := (\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{G}; A_1, \Phi_1, J)$ and $\alpha'_1 := (\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{G}; A'_1, \Phi'_1, J)$ be divisors of a simple colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$. If $S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z)$, then $\alpha_1 = \alpha'_1$.*

Proof. Since

$$\alpha_1 = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1} \alpha, \quad \alpha'_1 = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}'_1} \alpha,$$

it is sufficient to prove that $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}'_1$.

We consider the relations

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2. \quad (3.4)$$

In view of Corollary 2.19, the colligations $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2$ are simple. From Theorem 2.8 it follows that for all z from some neighborhood G of the infinitely distant point the identity

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = S_{\alpha_1}(z) S_{\alpha_2}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z) S_{\alpha'_2}(z)$$

is satisfied. In view of

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{\alpha_1}(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}\| = 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{\alpha'_1}(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}\| = 0,$$

one can assume that

$$S_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}^{-1}(z), \quad z \in G.$$

Thus, $S_{\alpha_2}(z) = S_{\alpha'_2}(z)$, $z \in G$. Since the colligations α_2 and α'_2 are simple, then, applying Theorem 2.25, from this we get that these colligations are unitarily equivalent. But then, in view of Theorem 2.24, the products $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ and $\alpha'_1\alpha'_2$ are unitarily equivalent too. In view of (3.4), the simplicity of the colligation α and Remark 2.23, we obtain that the unitary operator U which realizes this equivalence is equal to $I_{\mathfrak{H}}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{H}'_1 = U\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_1$. \square

3.2. To invariant subspaces of the operator there correspond left divisors of its characteristic function

Theorem 3.4 ([32, Chapter II], [11, Chapter I]). *Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be an operator colligation, \mathfrak{H}_1 be an invariant subspace with respect to the operator A , $\mathfrak{H}_2 := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\alpha_j := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_j} \alpha$, $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2$$

and

$$S_\alpha(z) = S_{\alpha_1}(z)S_{\alpha_2}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A_1) \cap \rho(A_2), \quad (3.5)$$

where A_j is the fundamental operator of the colligation α_j , $j = 1, 2$, i. e., to invariant subspaces of the operator A there correspond left divisors of its characteristic operator function.

The assertion follows from Theorems 2.16 and 2.8.

A complete answer to the question of connections between invariant subspaces of operator A and left divisors of its c. o. f. will be given below in Theorem 4.14 (see Subsection 4.4).

Remark 3.5. An important thing about factorization (3.5) is that each of the factors is the characteristic operator function of the corresponding colligation, i. e., factorization (3.5) is realized in the class of characteristic operator functions. The connection of invariant subspaces of the operator with factorizations of its characteristic operator function was first observed in [35] for quasi-unitary operators.

3.3. J -property of characteristic operator function

Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be an operator colligation. Then ([25, Section 3], [32, Subsection 1.3])

$$S_\alpha^*(z)JS_\alpha(z) - J \geq 0, \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (3.6)$$

$$S_\alpha^*(z)JS_\alpha(z) - J = 0, \quad \text{Im } z = 0, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (3.7)$$

$$S_\alpha^*(z)JS_\alpha(z) - J \leq 0, \quad \text{Im } z < 0, \quad z \in \rho(A). \quad (3.8)$$

Indeed, substituting $\varphi^-(t)$, $h(t)$, and $\varphi^+(t)$ of form (2.18)–(2.19) in equation (2.17) and taking into account (2.21), we obtain

$$i(z - \bar{z})\langle h_0, h_0 \rangle = \langle J\varphi_0^-, \varphi_0^- \rangle - \langle JS_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^-, S_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^- \rangle, \quad z \in \rho(A),$$

i. e.,

$$\langle (S_\alpha^*(z)JS_\alpha(z) - J)\varphi_0^-, \varphi_0^- \rangle = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{i} \langle R_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^-, R_\alpha(z)\varphi_0^- \rangle, \quad z \in \rho(A).$$

Hence (see [32, Subsection 1.3]),

$$S_{\alpha}^*(z)JS_{\alpha}(z) - J = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{i}R_{\alpha}^*(z)R_{\alpha}(z), \quad z \in \rho(A). \quad (3.9)$$

This implies (3.6)–(3.8).

Together with colligation α we consider colligation α^* (see (2.49)). From (2.23) we infer

$$S_{\alpha^*}(z) = JS_{\alpha}^*(\bar{z})J, \quad z \in \rho(A^*). \quad (3.10)$$

From (2.22) and (2.49) we obtain

$$R_{\alpha^*}(z) = (A^* - zI_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}\Phi^*(-J), \quad z \in \rho(A^*). \quad (3.11)$$

From this and (3.9) we find that for $z \in \rho(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\alpha}(z)JS_{\alpha}^*(z) - J &= JS_{\alpha^*}^*(\bar{z})J \cdot J \cdot JS_{\alpha^*}(\bar{z})J - J \\ &= -J(S_{\alpha^*}^*(\bar{z})(-J)S_{\alpha^*}(\bar{z}) - (-J))J \\ &= \frac{z - \bar{z}}{i}JR_{\alpha^*}^*(\bar{z})R_{\alpha^*}(\bar{z})J \\ &= \frac{z - \bar{z}}{i}\Phi(A - zI_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}(A^* - \bar{z}I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}\Phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, analogously to (3.6)–(3.8) we obtain

$$S_{\alpha}(z)JS_{\alpha}^*(z) - J \geq 0, \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (3.12)$$

$$S_{\alpha}(z)JS_{\alpha}^*(z) - J = 0, \quad \text{Im } z = 0, \quad z \in \rho(A), \quad (3.13)$$

$$S_{\alpha}(z)JS_{\alpha}^*(z) - J \leq 0, \quad \text{Im } z < 0, \quad z \in \rho(A). \quad (3.14)$$

We say that the operator $Y \in [\mathfrak{G}]$ is *J-expansive* (*J-contractive*), if

$$Y^*JY - J \geq 0 \quad (3.15)$$

$$(Y^*JY - J \leq 0). \quad (3.16)$$

We say that the operator Y is *two-sided J-expansive* (*two-sided J-contractive*) if the operators Y and Y^* are both *J-expansive* (both *J-contractive*). This is equivalent to the fact that the conditions

$$Y^*JY - J \geq 0, \quad YJY^* - J \geq 0 \quad (3.17)$$

$$(Y^*JY - J \leq 0, \quad YJY^* - J \leq 0) \quad (3.18)$$

are fulfilled. The operator Y is called *J-unitary* in \mathfrak{G} , if there is equality in both inequalities (3.17) (or equivalently in both inequalities (3.18)). Hence, from (3.6)–(3.8) and (3.12)–(3.14) it follows that in regular points z of the operator A the characteristic function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ of the colligation α

- (1) is two-sided *J-expansive* in the upper half plane;
- (2) is two-sided *J-contractive* in the lower half plane;
- (3) is *J-unitary* on the real axis.

Remark 3.6. There exist *J-expansive* operators which are not two-sided *J-expansive* (see e.g. [17]). In [17] there are given sufficient conditions that a *J-expansive* operator is two-sided *J-expansive*. In particular this holds if $\dim \mathfrak{G} < +\infty$ ([17, 42]).

Since by transition from J to $-J$ the property of J -expansivity passes over to $(-J)$ -contractivity then all what is said holds also for J -contractive operators. Consequently, in the case $\dim \mathfrak{G} < +\infty$ it is sufficient for the J -unitarity of the operator Y that one of the inequalities (3.17) and (3.18) is satisfied (and this implies that there is equality in all remaining inequalities).

3.4. Role of the operator J

Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be an operator colligation of form (2.47). In this section we assume that $\dim \mathfrak{G} < +\infty$. In view of (2.6) the space \mathfrak{G} can be written as

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{G}_{-1}, \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$Jg = \begin{cases} g, & g \in \mathfrak{G}_1, \\ -g, & g \in \mathfrak{G}_{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{e'_j\}_{j=1}^p, \{e''_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be orthonormal bases of the spaces \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_{-1} , respectively. How it was mentioned above (see Subsection 2.1.2), the space \mathfrak{G} plays the role of a window in the open system \mathfrak{D}_α . In physical examples (see [31, Chapter 1]) the basis vectors $\{e'_j\}_{j=1}^p$ and $\{e''_j\}_{j=1}^q$ play the role of *elementary channels*. M. S. Livšic called the channels $\{e'_j\}_{j=1}^p$ *direct* and the channels $\{e''_j\}_{j=1}^q$ *inverse*, dependent on the sign of the eigenvalue of the operator J :

$$Je'_j = e'_j, \quad Je''_k = -e''_k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q. \tag{3.20}$$

In schematic form this can be expressed in the following way:




FIGURE 3.

In physical examples the sign of the eigenvalue of operator J given in (3.20) determines the character of relating wave to the corresponding channel. If all channels are direct then $J = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and, in view of (2.7), the imaginary part of the operator A is non-negative and, thus, the operator A is *dissipative*.

According to the decomposition (3.19), let P and Q be the orthogonal projections from \mathfrak{G} onto \mathfrak{G}_1 and \mathfrak{G}_{-1} , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathfrak{G}} &= P + Q, & J &= P - Q, \\ P &= \frac{1}{2}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + J), & Q &= \frac{1}{2}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} - J). \end{aligned}$$

Let $S_\alpha(z)$ be the c. o. f. of the colligation α , $z_0 \in \rho(A)$, $\text{Im } z_0 < 0$ and $S_0 := S_\alpha(z_0)$. In view of (3.8) and (3.14), then

$$S_0^* J S_0 \leq J, \quad S_0 J S_0^* \leq J. \quad (3.21)$$

As it follows from Remark 3.6, in the considered case the validity of one of the inequalities in (3.21) implies the validity of the other one. Hence, the operator S_0 is two-sided J -contractive.

In relations (see (2.20))

$$\begin{cases} (A - z_0 I_{\mathfrak{H}})h_0 = \Phi^* J \varphi_0^-, \\ \varphi_0^+ = \varphi_0^- - i\Phi h_0 \end{cases}$$

from the known input $\varphi_0^- = P\varphi_0^- + Q\varphi_0^-$ one can determine the internal state h_0 and the output $\varphi_0^+ = P\varphi_0^+ + Q\varphi_0^+$, where (see (2.21))

$$\varphi_0^+ = S_0 \varphi_0^-. \quad (3.22)$$

In accordance with Figure 3 we have




FIGURE 4.

Let us consider a new open system with the input vector $\psi_0^- = P\varphi_0^- + Q\varphi_0^+$ (i. e., the vectors which ensure the flow of information inside the system in Figure 4) whereas the output vector is given by $\psi_0^+ := P\varphi_0^+ + Q\varphi_0^-$. Actually, the transition to the new open system means that we change the direction of the inverse channels.

The transfer operator W_0 (the value of the transfer function at the point z_0) of the new open system satisfies the condition

$$\psi_0^+ = W_0 \psi_0^-. \quad (3.23)$$

We express W_0 by S_0 . From (3.22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} P\varphi_0^+ + Q\varphi_0^+ &= S_0 P\varphi_0^- + S_0 Q\varphi_0^- \\ P\varphi_0^+ - S_0 Q\varphi_0^- &= S_0 P\varphi_0^- - Q\varphi_0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(P - S_0 Q)\psi_0^+ = (S_0 P - Q)\psi_0^-. \quad (3.24)$$

Lemma 3.7. *If the operator S_0 is J -contractive, then operator $P - S_0 Q$ is invertible.*

Proof. We rewrite the second inequality in (3.21) in the form

$$S_0(P - Q)S_0^* \leq P - Q,$$

i. e.,

$$S_0PS_0^* + Q \leq P + S_0QS_0^*. \quad (3.25)$$

If the operator $P - S_0Q$ is not invertible, then also the operator $P - QS_0^* = (P - S_0Q)^*$ is not invertible. Thus, there exists a vector $g \in \mathfrak{G} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(P - QS_0^*)g = 0$$

This is equivalent to

$$Pg = 0, \quad QS_0^*g = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

But then

$$(P + S_0QS_0^*)g = 0$$

and, in view of (3.25), we get

$$S_0PS_0^*g = 0, \quad Qg = 0.$$

From this and (3.26) it follows that

$$g = Pg + Qg = 0.$$

This contradiction completes the proof. □

Taking into account the invertibility of the operator $P - S_0Q$ we rewrite (3.24) in the form

$$\psi_0^+ = (P - S_0Q)^{-1}(S_0P - Q)\psi_0^-.$$

Comparing with (3.23), we obtain

$$W_0 = (P - S_0Q)^{-1}(S_0P - Q). \quad (3.27)$$

Important is the fact that it follows from the J -contractivity of the operator S_0 that W_0 is contractive. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} I - W_0W_0^* &= (P - S_0Q)^{-1} [(P - S_0Q)(P - QS_0^*) - (S_0P - Q)(PS_0^* - Q)] (P - S_0Q)^{-*} \\ &= (P - S_0Q)^{-1} (P + S_0QS_0^* - S_0PS_0^* - Q)(P - S_0Q)^{-*} \\ &= (P - S_0Q)^{-1} (J - S_0JS_0^*)(P - S_0Q)^{-*} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The transformation (3.27) which maps J -contractive operators to contractions is called *Potapov-Ginzburg transform*. It plays an important role in the theory of J -contractive operator functions. In terms of open systems this transform corresponds to the change of directions in inverse channels of the system to the opposite directions. More detailed information on the Potapov-Ginzburg transform can be found in the monograph [4, Chapter 2].

3.5. Example. Characteristic function of the Leibniz–Newton integral

In the space $L_2(0, \ell)$ we consider the operator

$$(\mathfrak{J}f)(x) := i \int_x^\ell f(t) dt. \quad (3.28)$$

We note that

$$(\mathfrak{J}^*f)(x) = -i \int_0^x f(t) dt. \quad (3.29)$$

From this it follows

$$\left(\frac{\mathfrak{J} - \mathfrak{J}^*}{i} f \right) (x) = \int_0^\ell f(t) dt = \ell \langle f, h_0 \rangle h_0(x), \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$h_0(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}, \quad x \in [0, \ell]; \quad \|h_0\| = 1. \quad (3.31)$$

Hence, the operator \mathfrak{J} has a one-dimensional imaginary part and

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathfrak{J} - \mathfrak{J}^*}{i} f, f \right\rangle = \ell |\langle f, h_0 \rangle|^2 = \left| \int_0^\ell f(t) dt \right|^2 \geq 0, \quad (3.32)$$

i. e., the operator \mathfrak{J} is dissipative.

Consider the operator $\Phi \in [L_2(0, \ell), \mathbb{C}]$ which is given by

$$\Phi f := \sqrt{\ell} \langle f, h_0 \rangle \tau. \quad (3.33)$$

Here $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\tau| = 1$. We note that

$$\langle f, \Phi^* \tau \rangle = \langle \Phi f, \tau \rangle = \sqrt{\ell} \langle f, h_0 \rangle,$$

i. e., $\Phi^* \tau = \sqrt{\ell} h_0$. This enables us to rewrite (3.32) in the following way:

$$\frac{\mathfrak{J} - \mathfrak{J}^*}{i} = \Phi^* J \Phi,$$

where $J := I_{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus, we see that

$$\hat{\alpha} := (L^2(0, \ell), \mathbb{C}; \mathfrak{J}, \Phi, I_{\mathbb{C}}) \quad (3.34)$$

is an operator colligation. We note that

$$(\mathfrak{J}^n h_0)(x) = \frac{i^n}{\sqrt{\ell}} (\ell - x)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

From this, turning our attention to the completeness of the system of polynomials in $L_2(0, \ell)$ and Theorem 2.11, that the operator \mathfrak{J} is complete non-selfadjoint and, consequently, the colligation $\hat{\alpha}$ is simple.

In the given case, $\mathfrak{H} = L_2(0, \ell)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\hat{\alpha}}(z) &= I_{\mathbb{C}} - i\Phi(\mathfrak{J} - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*I_{\mathbb{C}} \\ &= 1 - i\langle \Phi(\mathfrak{J} - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*\tau, \tau \rangle = 1 - i\ell \langle (\mathfrak{J} - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}h_0, h_0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

For arbitrary $z \neq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{n+1}} (\mathfrak{J}^n f)(x) = \frac{1}{z} f(x) + \frac{i}{z^2} \left\{ \int_x^\ell f(t) dt + \frac{i}{z} \int_x^\ell (t-x) f(t) dt + \dots + \left(\frac{i}{z} \right)^{n-1} \int_x^\ell \frac{(t-x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(t) dt + \dots \right\}.$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem for the term-by-term integration of the series, we obtain

$$((\mathfrak{J} - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} f)(x) = -\frac{1}{z} f(x) - \frac{i}{z^2} \int_x^\ell e^{\frac{i}{z}(t-x)} f(t) dt.$$

From this it follows $\sigma(\mathfrak{J}) = \{0\}$. Further, from (3.35) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\hat{\alpha}}(z) &= 1 - i\ell \left\langle -\frac{1}{z} h_0(x) - \frac{i}{z^2} \int_x^\ell e^{\frac{i}{z}(t-x)} h_0(t) dt, h_0(x) \right\rangle \\ &= 1 - i \int_0^\ell \left(-\frac{1}{z} - \frac{i}{z^2} \int_x^\ell e^{\frac{i}{z}(t-x)} dt \right) dx = e^{i\frac{\ell}{z}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

In the given case, J -properties (3.6)–(3.8) (resp. (3.12)–(3.14)) have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} |S(z)| &> 1, & \operatorname{Im} z > 0, \\ |S(z)| &= 1, & \operatorname{Im} z = 0, \\ |S(z)| &< 1, & \operatorname{Im} z < 0. \end{aligned}$$

4. Some classes of characteristic functions. Divisors of characteristic operator functions

4.1. Linear fractional transformation of c. o. f.

Starting from the colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$, we form the operator function (see, e. g., [11, Chapter I])

$$V_\alpha(z) := \frac{1}{2} \Phi(\operatorname{Re} A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \Phi^*. \tag{4.1}$$

The function $V_\alpha(z)$ is holomorphic on the set $\rho(\operatorname{Re} A)$ of regular points of the operator $\operatorname{Re} A$, and its values, as the values of the function $S_\alpha(z)$, are operators acting in \mathfrak{G} . We note that $\rho(\operatorname{Re} A)$ contains all non-real points. From the identity

$$V_\alpha(z) - V_\alpha^*(z) = i \operatorname{Im} z \Phi(\operatorname{Re} A - \bar{z}I_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} (\operatorname{Re} A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \Phi^* \tag{4.2}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{V_\alpha(z) - V_\alpha^*(z)}{2i} \geq 0, \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$V_\alpha(z) = V_\alpha^*(z), \quad \text{Im } z = 0, \quad z \in \rho(\text{Re } A), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{V_\alpha(z) - V_\alpha^*(z)}{2i} \leq 0, \quad \text{Im } z < 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Theorem 4.1 ([25], [14], [11, Chapter I]). *At each point of the set $\rho(A) \cap \rho(\text{Re } A)$ there exist the operators*

$$(S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}, \quad (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J)^{-1},$$

and the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} V_\alpha(z) &= i(S_\alpha(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})(S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}J \\ &= i(S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}(S_\alpha(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})J, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(z) &= (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV_\alpha(z)J)(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J)^{-1} \\ &= (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J)^{-1}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV_\alpha(z)J) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

hold.

Proof. Indeed, in view of

$$(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} - (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} = i(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \text{Im } A (\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

and, taking into account (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^* - \Phi(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^* \\ = \frac{1}{2}i\Phi(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*J\Phi(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$V_\alpha(z) + \frac{i}{2}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} - S_\alpha(z))J = \frac{1}{2}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} - S_\alpha(z))V_\alpha(z).$$

From this we infer

$$(S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J) = 2I_{\mathfrak{G}}. \quad (4.9)$$

Analogously, from the relation

$$(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} - (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} = i(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \text{Im } A (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \quad (4.10)$$

we obtain

$$(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J)(S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}) = 2I_{\mathfrak{G}}. \quad (4.11)$$

In view of (4.9) and (4.11), each of the operators $S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_\alpha(z)J$ has a bounded inverse for $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\text{Re } A)$. Now formulas (4.6) and (4.7) follow from (4.9). \square

We note that from formula (4.6) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V_\alpha(z) - V_\alpha^*(z)}{2i} &= \frac{1}{2} J (S_\alpha^*(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} \left\{ (S_\alpha^*(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}) J (S_\alpha(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (S_\alpha^*(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) J (S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}) \right\} (S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} J \\ &= J (S_\alpha^*(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} \{ S_\alpha^*(z) J S_\alpha(z) - J \} (S_\alpha(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} J. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Analogously, from (4.7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} &S_\alpha^*(z) J S_\alpha(z) - J \\ &= (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i J V_\alpha^*(z))^{-1} \left\{ (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i J V_\alpha^*(z)) J (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i V_\alpha(z) J) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i J V_\alpha^*(z)) J (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i J V_\alpha(z)) \right\} (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i V_\alpha(z) J)^{-1} \quad (4.13) \\ &= 2 (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - i J V_\alpha^*(z))^{-1} J \frac{V_\alpha(z) - V_\alpha^*(z)}{i} J (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i V_\alpha(z) J)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $z \in \rho(A) \cap \rho(\operatorname{Re} A)$ conditions (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) are equivalent to conditions (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), respectively.

4.2. Class Ω_J

Let the linear operator J which acts in the Hilbert space \mathfrak{G} satisfy the conditions $J = J^*$, $J^2 = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Definition 4.2 ([11, Chapter II]). We say that the function $S(z)$ of the complex variable with values in $[\mathfrak{G}]$ belongs to the class Ω_J if it has the following properties:

- (I) $S(z)$ is holomorphic in some neighborhood G_S of the infinitely distant point.
- (II) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}\| = 0$
- (III) For all $z \in G_S$ the operator $S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ has a bounded inverse. Moreover,

$$V(z) := i (S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) (S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} J = i (S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1} (S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) J \quad (4.14)$$

is analytically continuable to the domain G_V which is the extended complex plane with the exception of some bounded set of real points.

- (IV)

$$\frac{V(z) - V^*(z)}{2i} \geq 0, \quad \operatorname{Im} z > 0. \quad (4.15)$$

- (V)

$$V(z) = V^*(z), \quad \operatorname{Im} z = 0, \quad z \in G_V. \quad (4.16)$$

In view of (4.14), we have

$$(S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}) (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i V(z) J) = (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i V(z) J) (S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}) = 2I_{\mathfrak{G}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, at each point $z \in G_S$ the operator

$$I_{\mathfrak{G}} + i V(z) J$$

has a bounded inverse and

$$S(z) = (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV(z)J)(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV(z)J)^{-1} = (I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV(z)J)^{-1}(I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV(z)J). \quad (4.18)$$

From formulas of Subsection 4.1 it follows that the c. o. f. $S_{\alpha}(z)$ of an arbitrary colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ belongs to the class Ω_J .

Theorem 4.3 ([11, Theorem 5.1]). *If the operator function $S(z)$ belongs to the class Ω_J , then there exists a simple colligation α with the directing operator J such that on some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point $S_{\alpha}(z) = S(z)$ holds.*

Proof. We sketch the basic steps of the proof. A detailed proof can be found, e. g., in [11, Chapter I].

From conditions (I)–(V) of Definition 4.2 it follows that the function $V(z)$ admits the integral representation

$$V(z) = \int_a^b \frac{dF(t)}{t-z}, \quad -\infty < a < b < +\infty, \quad z \notin [a, b], \quad (4.19)$$

where $F(t)$ ($a \leq t \leq b$) is an operator-valued function with values in $[\mathfrak{G}]$ such that

$$F(t) = F^*(t), \quad F(t_1) \leq F(t_2), \quad t, t_1, t_2 \in [a, b], \quad t_1 < t_2.$$

Obviously, one can assume that $F(a) = 0$.

In view of M. A. Naimark (see, e. g., [2, Chapter IX], [11, Appendix 1]),

$$F(t) = \Psi E(t) \Psi^*, \quad t \in [a, b], \quad (4.20)$$

where $E(t)$ is an orthogonal partition of unity in some Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and $\Psi \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}]$. We define on \mathfrak{H} the operators

$$A := \int_a^b t dE(t) + i\Phi^* J \Phi, \quad \Phi := \sqrt{2} \Psi \quad (4.21)$$

and consider the colligation $\tilde{\alpha} := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$. From (4.19)–(4.21) and (4.1) we obtain

$$V(z) = \frac{1}{2} \Phi \int_a^b \frac{dE(t)}{t-z} \Phi^* = \frac{1}{2} \Phi (\operatorname{Re} A - zI)^{-1} \Phi^* = V_{\tilde{\alpha}}(z), \quad z \notin [a, b].$$

For this reason, in view of (4.18) and (4.7), there exists a neighborhood of the infinite distant point such that

$$\begin{aligned} S(z) &= (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV(z)J)(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV(z)J)^{-1} \\ &= (I_{\mathfrak{G}} - iV_{\tilde{\alpha}}(z)J)(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + iV_{\tilde{\alpha}}(z)J)^{-1} = S_{\tilde{\alpha}}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is sufficient to set $\alpha := \tilde{\alpha}_{\text{pri}}$ and take (2.51) into account. \square

Corollary 4.4. *If the function $S(z)$ belongs to the class Ω_J , then in some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point it satisfies the conditions (3.6)–(3.8) and (3.12)–(3.14).*

Corollary 4.5. *There exists a neighborhood of the infinitely distant point such that the function $S(z) \in \Omega_J$ can be represented on it in the form*

$$S(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}} + \frac{i}{z} HJ + \dots, \tag{4.22}$$

where $H \geq 0$. If $H = 0$, then $S(z) \equiv I_{\mathfrak{G}}$.

Corollary 4.6. *The product of two operator functions from class Ω_J is also contained in Ω_J .*

The assertion follows from Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 4.7. *If $S_j(z) \in \Omega_J$, $j = 1, 2$, and $S_1(z)S_2(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$, $z \in G_{S_1} \cap G_{S_2}$, then $S_1(z) = S_2(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$.*

The assertion follows from Corollary 4.5.

4.3. Divisors and regular divisors of functions of class Ω_J

Definition 4.8 ([11, Chapter I]). We say that the function $S_1(z) \in \Omega_J$ is a *left (right) divisor* of a function $S_2(z) \in \Omega_J$ if there exists such function $S_{12}(z) \in \Omega_J$ ($S_{21}(z) \in \Omega_J$) such that on some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point the identity

$$S_2(z) = S_1(z)S_{12}(z) \quad (S_2(z) = S_{21}(z)S_1(z))$$

is satisfied. The symbol $S_1(z) < S_2(z)$ means that $S_1(z)$ is a left divisor of the function $S_2(z)$.

The relation $<$ generates a partial ordering in Ω_J . Indeed, if $S_1(z) < S_2(z)$ and $S_2(z) < S_1(z)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(z) &= S_1(z)S_{12}(z), & S_{12}(z) &\in \Omega_J, \\ S_1(z) &= S_2(z)S_{21}(z), & S_{21}(z) &\in \Omega_J, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, $S_1(z) = S_1(z)S_{12}(z)S_{21}(z)$. Hence, $S_{12}(z)S_{21}(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ which, in view of Corollary 4.7, implies $S_{12}(z) = S_{21}(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ and $S_1(z) = S_2(z)$. Moreover, it is immediately checked that the relation $<$ is transitive.

Definition 4.9 ([11, Chapter I]). Let $S_1(z) \in \Omega_J$ be a left divisor of the function $S_2(z) \in \Omega_J$:

$$S_2(z) = S_1(z)S_{12}(z), \quad S_{12}(z) \in \Omega_J.$$

Then the function $S_1(z)$ is called a *regular left divisor* of the function $S_2(z)$ if the product of simple colligations $\alpha_1 := (\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{G}; A_1, \Phi_1, J)$ and $\alpha_{12} := (\mathfrak{H}_{12}, \mathfrak{G}; A_{12}, \Phi_{12}, J)$ for which $S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_1(z)$ and $S_{\alpha_{12}}(z) = S_2(z)$ is a simple colligation.

From Theorems 2.24 and 3.1 it follows that this definition does not depend on the choice of the simple colligations α_1 and α_{12} .

Analogously, *regular right divisors* are defined. We will write $S_1(z) \ll S_2(z)$ if $S_1(z)$ is a regular left divisor of $S_2(z)$.

Theorem 4.10 ([11, Chapter I]). *The relation \ll is a partial ordering in the set Ω_J .*

The set of all left divisors of a given function $S(z) \in \Omega_J$ is in general larger than the set of all regular left divisors. We give an example (see [11, Chapter I]). Let \mathfrak{H} be a one-dimensional and \mathfrak{G} be a two-dimensional space, $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ ($\|h\| = 1$), let $\{g_1, g_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{G} . We introduce the operators Φ and J by setting $\Phi h := g_1 + g_2$, $Jg_1 := g_1$, $Jg_2 := -g_2$. Then $\Phi^* J \Phi = 0$ and hence $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; 0, \Phi, J)$ is a simple colligation. It is easily checked that all functions

$$S^{(\sigma)}(z) := I_{\mathfrak{G}} + \frac{i\sigma}{z} \Phi \Phi^* J, \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1,$$

are left divisors of the function

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}} + \frac{i}{z} \Phi \Phi^* J.$$

On the other side, $S_{\alpha}(z)$ has only two regular left divisors:

$$S^{(0)}(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(1)}(z) = S_{\alpha}(z).$$

Theorem 4.11 ([11, Chapter I]). *On the set of all regular left divisors of the functions $S(z) \in \Omega_J$ the relations $<$ and \ll coincide.*

Theorem 4.12 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let $S(z) \in \Omega_J$ and $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be a simple colligation such that $S_{\alpha}(z) = S(z)$. The function $S_1(z)$ is a regular left divisor of $S(z)$ if and only if it is the c. o. f. of some left divisor of the colligation α .*

Proof. Let $S_1(z)$ be a regular divisor of the function $S(z)$. Then there exist simple colligations α'_1 and α'_2 such that

$$S_{\alpha'_1}(z) = S_1(z), \quad S(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z) S_{\alpha'_2}(z)$$

and $\alpha' := \alpha'_1 \alpha'_2$ is a simple colligation. In view of Theorem 2.8, we have

$$S_{\alpha'}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1 \alpha'_2}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z) S_{\alpha'_2}(z) = S(z).$$

From this and Theorem 3.1 we obtain that the colligations α and α' are unitarily equivalent. But then we infer from Theorem 2.25 that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$, where α_1 and α_2 are unitarily equivalent to the colligations α'_1 and α'_2 , respectively. Then

$$S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z) = S_1(z).$$

The sufficiency of the condition of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.8 and Corollary 2.19. \square

Theorem 4.13 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let α_1 and α_2 be left divisors of the simple colligation α . Then the colligation α_1 is a left divisor of the colligation α_2 if and only if the function $S_{\alpha_1}(z)$ is a left divisor of the function $S_{\alpha_2}(z)$.*

Proof. The necessity follows from Theorem 2.8. If $S_{\alpha_1}(z) < S_{\alpha_2}(z)$, then from Theorems 4.12 and 4.11 it follows that $S_{\alpha_1}(z) \ll S_{\alpha_2}(z)$. Applying again Theorem 4.12, we infer $S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\alpha'_1}(z)$, where α'_1 is some left divisor of the colligation α_2 . Since α_1 and α'_1 are left divisors of the colligation α , then Theorem 3.3 implies $\alpha_1 = \alpha'_1$. \square

4.4. Invariant subspaces of an operator and regular divisors of the characteristic operator function

We embed a given operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ in a simple colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$. Let us consider the mapping \mathfrak{B} which assigns to each subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ that is invariant with respect to A the operator function $S_{\alpha_0}(z)$, where $\alpha_0 := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \alpha$. The function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ belongs to the class Ω_J and $S_{\alpha_0}(z)$ is a regular left divisor of it.

The following assertion is an important sharpening of Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.14 ([11, Chapter I]). *The mapping \mathfrak{B} has the following properties:*

1. *Every regular left divisor of the function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ belongs to the set of values of the mapping \mathfrak{B} .*
2. *The mapping \mathfrak{B} is bijective.*
3. *Let \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 be invariant subspaces with respect to A and let $S_1(z)$ and $S_2(z)$ be the corresponding left regular divisors. Then $S_1(z)$ is a left divisor of $S_2(z)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{H}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_2$.*

Proof. The assertion 1, 2, and 3 follows from Theorem 4.12, 3.3, and 4.13, respectively. \square

Definition 4.15. A linear bounded operator A is called *unicellular operator*, if of two arbitrary invariant subspaces is a part of the other one.

From Theorem 4.14 it follows easily:

Theorem 4.16 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let $S(z)$ be the characteristic function of the simple colligation $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$. Then the operator A is a unicellular operator if and only if the set of regular left divisors of the function $S(z)$ is an ordered set.*

The definition of a unicellular operator is due to M. S. Brodskii¹. Obviously the notion “one block operator” generalizes the notion “Jordan block” to the infinite dimensional case.

4.5. Quasihermitian colligations. Class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$

4.5.1. Invertible holomorphic operator functions. Let a holomorphic function $T(z)$ be given in a domain G of the complex plane the values of which are bounded linear operators acting in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . We denote by G_0 the set of all points of the domain G for which the operator $T(z)$ has not a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole \mathfrak{H} . Then $G \setminus G_0$ is an open set

¹M. S. Brodskii: *On Jordan cells of infinite-dimensional operators.* (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 111, No. 5 (1956), 926–929.

and the function $T^{-1}(z)$ is holomorphic on it. We will call the function $T(z)$ *invertible in G* if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. The set G_0 has not limit points within G .
2. Every point $z \in G_0$ is a pole of the function $T^{-1}(z)$.
3. The coefficients associated with negative powers of $z - z_0$ in the Laurent series of $T^{-1}(z)$ in the neighborhood of an arbitrary pole z_0 are finite dimensional.

Theorem 4.17. *Let $K(z)$ be a function holomorphic on the domain G the values of which are compact operators in Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . If for some $z_0 \in G$ the operator $I + K(z_0)$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on \mathfrak{H} , then the function $T(z) := I + K(z)$ is invertible in G .*

Proof. We first consider the case that all vectors $K(z)h$ ($z \in G, h \in \mathfrak{H}$) belong to a finite dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ and denote by $T_0(z)$ the operator which is induced by $T(z)$ on \mathfrak{H}_0 . The operator $T_0(z_0)$ has an inverse, since in the opposite case there would exist a nonzero vector $h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ which is annihilated by the operator $T_0(z_0)$. This vector is annihilated even by the operator $T(z_0)$ which contradicts the assumptions of the theorem. Thus, $\det T_0(z_0) \neq 0$ and hence the set of all zeros of the holomorphic function $\det T_0(z)$ does not have limit points within G . Applying the rules of determining the elements of the inverse matrix, we infer that the singularities of the function $T_0^{-1}(z)$ can be only points which belong to G_0 . Denoting by P_0 and P_1 the orthoprojectors onto \mathfrak{H}_0 and $\mathfrak{H}_1 := \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(z) &= (P_0 + P_1)T(z)(P_0 + P_1) \\ &= P_0T(z)P_0 + P_0T(z)P_1 + P_1T(z)P_1 = T_0(z)P_0 + P_0T(z)P_1 + P_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T^{-1}(z) = T_0^{-1}(z)P_0 - T_0^{-1}(z)P_0T(z)P_1 + P_1,$$

from which it can be seen that $T(z)$ has an inverse function in all points of its domain.

Now let the dimension of the subspace \mathfrak{H}_0 be arbitrary and let G be the set of inner points of some disk. Without loss of generality one can assume that its center is located in the origin and its radius is one. In the disk $|z| < \rho$ ($|z_0| < \rho < 1$) the function $K(z)$ can be represented by the series

$$K(z) = K_0 + zK_1 + z^2K_2 + \dots$$

which converges uniformly in the norm with respect to z , whence

$$\left\| K(z) - \sum_{j=0}^N z^j K_j \right\| < \frac{1}{4} \quad (|z| < \rho)$$

holds for some N . Using that all operators K_j are compact, we choose finite dimensional operators R_j such that

$$\|K_j - R_j\| < \frac{1}{4(N+1)} \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Setting $R(z) := \sum_{j=0}^N z^j R_j$, we obtain the equality $K(z) = R(z) + S(z)$, where $\|S(z)\| < \frac{1}{2}$ ($|z| < \rho$). For $|z| < \rho$ the series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j S^j(z)$ converges uniformly and is a holomorphic function equal to $(I + S(z))^{-1}$. Writing $T(z)$ in the form

$$T(z) = I + R(z) + S(z) = \left[I + R(z) (I + S(z))^{-1} \right] (I + S(z))$$

and taking into account that, as mentioned above, the function in square brackets is invertible in the disk $|z| < \rho$, we infer that the function $T(z)$ is also invertible in this disk. In view of the arbitrariness of the choice of ρ , the function $T(z)$ is invertible in the disk $|z| < 1$.

In order to obtain the proof of the theorem free of restrictions we consider an arbitrary circle γ whose interior is contained in the domain G and construct circles $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n = \gamma$ having the following properties: 1) The center of the circle γ_0 is located at the point z_0 ; 2) interior points of all circles γ_j are located in G ; 3) the circles γ_j and γ_{j+1} ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) have common interior points. Since $T(z)$ is invertible within γ_0 , the operator $T^{-1}(z)$ exists in some point located within γ_1 . Continuing this procedure, we obtain the invertibility of $T(z)$ within γ . It remains to remark that the invertibility of $T(z)$ in the interior of an arbitrary open disk contained in G implies the invertibility of $T(z)$ in the whole domain G . □

Theorem 4.17 (without assumption of finite dimension of the coefficients of Laurent series) was obtained by I. Ts. MR0264447 Reviewed Gohberg, I. C.; Kreĭn, M. G. Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 24 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970 x+430 pp. 47.45 (47.40) Review PDF Clipboard Series Book 271 Citations MR0246142 Reviewed Gohberg, I. C.; Kreĭn, M. G. Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969 xv+378 pp. 47.10berg [18]. The given proof is due to Yu. P. Ginzburg. In this section we followed [11, Appendix II].

4.5.2. Quasihermitian operators. A bounded linear operator is called *quasihermitian* if its imaginary part is compact. This class of non-selfadjoint operators could be investigated more completely by the methods of c. o. f. One of the basic achievements of the theory of c. o. f. was the construction of triangular models for this class of operators (see [25, 7, 14]). Section 7 of this paper is dedicated to this question. An important role in these researches is played by the nature of the spectrum of quasihermitian operators.

Theorem 4.18 ([25], [14], [11, Chapter I]). *The limit points of the spectrum of a quasihermitian operator A belong to the spectrum of its real part. Every non-real point of the spectrum of the operator A is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity.*

Proof. Let $\rho > \|A\|$. In view of $\|\operatorname{Re} A\| \leq \|A\|$, the spectrum of $\operatorname{Re} A$ is contained in the disk $|z| < \rho$. Removing from this disk the spectrum of the operator $\operatorname{Re} A$, we obtain a domain G on which the function $(\operatorname{Re} A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}$ is holomorphic. The obvious identity

$$A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}} = (\operatorname{Re} A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}}) [I_{\mathfrak{H}} + i(\operatorname{Re} A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \operatorname{Im} A], \quad z \in G,$$

and Theorem 4.17 applied to the function

$$K(z) := i(\operatorname{Re} A - iI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \operatorname{Im} A$$

show that the spectrum of the operator does not have limit points in G . Thus, the first assertion of the theorem is proved.

Let a non-real z_0 belong to the spectrum of the operator A . In view of Theorem 4.17, again there exists a neighborhood of z_0 in which we have the expansion

$$(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} = \frac{A_{-n}}{(z - z_0)^n} + \cdots + \frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0} + A_0 + (z - z_0)A_1 + \cdots,$$

where A_{-n}, \dots, A_{-1} are finite dimensional operators. In view of a theorem due to F. Riesz (see [44]), the space \mathfrak{H} in which acts the operator A can be uniquely represented as a direct sum of two invariant subspaces \mathfrak{H}_0 and \mathfrak{H}_1 with respect to A such that

$$\sigma(\operatorname{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_0} A) = \{z_0\}, \quad \sigma(\operatorname{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_1} A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \{z_0\}.$$

Here the operator P_0 which projects onto \mathfrak{H}_0 parallel to \mathfrak{H}_1 is computed by the formula

$$P_0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} dz,$$

where γ is a sufficiently small oriented neighborhood of the point z_0 . In the considered case

$$P_0 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{A_{-1}}{z - z_0} dz = -A_{-1},$$

which implies that \mathfrak{H}_0 is finite dimensional. \square

4.5.3. Quasihermitian colligations. The colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{E}; A, \Phi, J)$ is called *quasihermitian* if the operator Φ is compact. In view of the conditions of the colligation (2.7) the fundamental operator of a quasihermitian colligation is quasihermitian. The converse assertion is not generally true. However, each completely non-selfadjoint quasihermitian operator admits an embedding into a simple quasihermitian colligation. This can be checked by choosing $\mathfrak{E} := \mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)$ in Theorem 2.2. This means embedding operator A in the colligation $\alpha_{\operatorname{Im} A}$ (see (2.13)).

From the last relation in (2.38) and form (2.52) of the projection of the colligation, respectively, it follows that the product of quasihermitian colligations and the projection of a quasihermitian colligation onto an arbitrary subspace are again quasihermitian.

Theorem 4.19 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let the colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be the product of quasihermitian colligations $\alpha_j := (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{G}; A_j, \Phi_j, J)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \sigma(A_2).$$

Proof. Since the operator A is quasihermitian, then the set $\rho(A)$ is connected. For this reason the assertion follows from Theorem 2.10. \square

4.5.4. The class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$. Let \mathfrak{G} be a Hilbert space and let $J \in [\mathfrak{G}]$ be an operator which fulfills the conditions $J = J^*$ and $J^2 = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$. We say that an operator function $S(z)$ with values in $[\mathfrak{G}]$ belongs to the class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$ if

- (I^(Q)) $S(z)$ is holomorphic in a domain G_S which is obtained by removing from the extended complex plane some bounded subset which does not have non-real limit points;
- (II^(Q)) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}\| = 0$;
- (III^(Q)) $S^*(z)JS(z) - J \geq 0$, $\text{Im } z > 0$, $z \in G_S$;
- (IV^(Q)) $S^*(z)JS(z) - J = 0$, $\text{Im } z = 0$, $z \in G_S$;
- (V^(Q)) All operators $S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}$, $z \in G_S$, are compact.

Let $S_j(z) \in \Omega_J^{(Q)}$, $j = 1, 2$, and $S(z) = S_1(z)S_2(z)$. From the identities

$$S^*(z)JS(z) - J = S_2^*(z)(S_1^*(z)JS_1(z) - J)S_2(z) + S_2^*(z)JS_2(z) - J, \quad (4.23)$$

$$S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}} = (S_1(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})(S_2(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) + (S_1(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) + (S_2(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) \quad (4.24)$$

it follows that the class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$ contains, together with the functions $S_1(z)$ and $S_2(z)$, also their product $S(z)$.

It is obvious that the c. o. f. of a quasihermitian colligation belongs to the class $\Omega_J^{(Q)}$.

Theorem 4.20 ([25, 14], [11, Chapter I]). *The inclusion*

$$\Omega_J^{(Q)} \subset \Omega_J$$

holds.

Proof. Let $S(z) \in \Omega_J^{(Q)}$. Denote by $G_S^{(0)}$ the set of all points z of the domain G_S for which the operator $S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}}$ has a bounded inverse which is defined on the whole space \mathfrak{G} . From (II^(Q)) it follows that there is contained in $G_S^{(0)}$ some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point. We note that

$$S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}} = 2(I_{\mathfrak{G}} + K(z)),$$

where $K(z) := \frac{1}{2}(S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})$ is a holomorphic function in G_S which takes compact values. Thus, it follows from Theorem 4.17 that the set $G_S \setminus G_S^{(0)}$ has not limit points in G_S .

We consider the function (see (4.6) and (4.14))

$$V(z) := i(S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})(S(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})^{-1}J, \quad z \in G_S^{(0)}.$$

In view of (4.12), we have

$$\frac{V(z) - V^*(z)}{2i} \geq 0, \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad z \in G_S^{(0)}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$V(z) = V^*(z), \quad \text{Im } z = 0, \quad z \in G_S^{(0)}. \quad (4.26)$$

We assume that $V(z)$ has an isolated singularity z_0 in the upper half plane. Then, using a corresponding choice of the vector $g_0 \in \mathfrak{G}$, the point z_0 becomes also an isolated singularity for the function $\langle V(z)g_0, g_0 \rangle$ which contradicts inequality (4.25). Taking into account the properties of the sets G_S and $G_S^{(0)}$, we see that $V(z)$ is analytically continuable in the whole upper half plane. In view of (4.26) and the principle of symmetry, it can be analytically continued also in the whole lower half plane. \square

Theorem 4.21 ([25, 14], [11, Chapter I]). *If $S(z) \in \Omega_J^{(Q)}$, then there exists a simple quasihermitian colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ such that $G_S \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $S_\alpha(z) = S(z)$, $z \in G_S$.*

Proof. Since $\Omega_J^{(Q)} \subset \Omega_J$, then in view of Theorem 4.3, there exists a simple colligation $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ such that $S_\alpha(z) = S(z)$ for all z which belong to some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point. Thus, for sufficiently large values of $|z|$ we have

$$z(S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) = z(S_\alpha(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}}) = -i\Phi\Phi^*J - \frac{i}{z}\Phi A\Phi^*J - \frac{i}{z^2}\Phi A^2\Phi^*J - \dots$$

From this and conditions $(\text{II}^{(Q)})$ and $(\text{V}^{(Q)})$ it follows that the operator

$$\Phi\Phi^* = i \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} (S(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})J$$

is compact. This means that Φ is a compact operator and α a quasihermitian colligation. Now the assertions $G_S \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $S_\alpha(z) = S(z)$, $z \in G_S$, are obtained from the following statement. \square

Theorem 4.22 ([24, 14], [11, Chapter I]). *Let $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ be a simple quasihermitian colligation. If $z_0 \in \sigma(A)$, then there does not exist a disk $\mathfrak{k} := \{z: |z - z_0| < r\}$ on which a holomorphic function can be defined that coincides with $S_\alpha(z)$ at all points $z \in \rho(A) \cap \mathfrak{k}$.*

4.6. \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligations. Class $\Omega_J^{(F)}$

The colligation $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ is called \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional if its external space is finite dimensional. All results of the preceding subsection are true for \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligations.

Let \mathfrak{G} be a finite dimensional Hilbert space and let the operator $J \in [\mathfrak{G}]$ satisfy the conditions $J = J^*$ and $J^2 = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$. An operator function $S(z)$ of the complex variable z with values in $[\mathfrak{G}]$ is said to belong to the class $\Omega_J^{(F)}$ if

- (I^(F)) $S(z)$ is holomorphic in a domain G_S , which is obtained by taking off from the extended complex plane some bounded subset which has not non-real limit points;
- (II^(F)) $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} S(z) = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$;

- (III^(F)) $S^*(z)JS(z) - J \geq 0, \text{Im } z > 0, z \in G_S;$
- (IV^(F)) $S^*(z)JS(z) - J = 0, \text{Im } z = 0, z \in G_S.$

Obviously, a c. o. f. of a finite dimensional colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ belongs to the class $\Omega_J^{(F)}$. On the other side, as it follows from Theorem 4.21, in the case of a finite dimensional space \mathfrak{G} the equalities $\Omega_J = \Omega_J^{(Q)} = \Omega_J^{(F)}$ hold. Thus, we have the following statement.

Theorem 4.23 ([25, 14], [11, Chapter I]). *If $S(z) \in \Omega_J^{(F)}$, then there exists a simple \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligation $\alpha = (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J)$ such that $G_S \subseteq \rho(A)$ and $S_\alpha(z) = S(z), z \in G_S.$*

Remark 4.24. Let \mathfrak{G} be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, $\dim \mathfrak{G} = r < \infty$. We will identify linear operators in \mathfrak{G} with square matrices of r -th order, assuming that an orthonormal basis in \mathfrak{G} is chosen. In this case we will identify the class $\Omega_J^{(F)}$ of operator functions with the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ of matrix functions of r -th order that satisfy conditions (I^(F))–(IV^(F)). Note that the following results can be generalized to the infinite dimensional case.

4.7. On one model representation of a bounded linear operator which is simple with respect to the finite dimensional subspace

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$.

Definition 4.25. The operator A is called *simple with respect to a subspace* $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ if the following conditions are satisfied

- 1) $\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A) \subseteq \mathfrak{E};$
- 2) the space \mathfrak{H} is the closure of the linear hull of vectors of the form

$$A^n h, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; h \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Assume that the operator A is simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace \mathfrak{E} . In this case, taking into account Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3, we embed the operator A in a simple \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligation

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J) \tag{4.27}$$

such that $\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. Further, consider the matrix function of r -th order (see (4.1))

$$V_\alpha(z) := \frac{1}{2} \Phi(\text{Re } A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1} \Phi^*.$$

We note that the c. o. f. $S_\alpha(z)$ is expressed in terms of $V_\alpha(z)$ by (4.7). The function $V_\alpha(z)$ admits the representation (see (4.19))

$$V_\alpha(z) = \int_a^b \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - z}, \quad -\infty < a < b < +\infty, z \notin [a, b], \tag{4.28}$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is a monotonically increasing matrix function of r -th order on $[a, b]$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be the set of all continuous functions $h(t)$ on $[a, b]$ with values in \mathbb{C}^r . We introduce in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ a scalar product by setting

$$\langle h', h'' \rangle_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} := 2 \int_a^b \langle d\sigma(t)h'(t), h''(t) \rangle,$$

where the functions $h'(t)$ and $h''(t)$ be considered as equivalent if

$$\|h' - h''\|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}^2 = \langle h' - h'', h' - h'' \rangle_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}} = 0.$$

After identifying equivalent elements we complete $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ to a Hilbert space which we denote by \mathfrak{H}_m .

We define on $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ an operator \tilde{A} by the formula

$$(\tilde{A}h)(t) := th(t) + iJ \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s),$$

where J is the directing operator of the colligation (4.27). Obviously, each of the operators

$$(\tilde{A}_1h)(t) := th(t), \quad (\tilde{A}_2h)(t) := J \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s)$$

is bounded and selfadjoint. The operator \tilde{A}_2 maps the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ into the finite dimensional space consisting of constant functions on $[a, b]$. Moreover the operator \tilde{A} maps equivalent functions to equivalent functions. Thus, the operator \tilde{A} can be continuously extended to the whole space \mathfrak{H}_m by maintaining the norm. We denote by A_m the extension of \tilde{A} .

Consider the operator $\tilde{\Phi} \in [\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}, \mathbb{C}^r]$, which is defined by

$$(\tilde{\Phi}h)(t) := 2 \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s). \quad (4.29)$$

Obviously, $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a bounded operator which images on equivalent functions coincide. The continuous extension of the operator $\tilde{\Phi}$ on \mathfrak{H}_m we denote by Φ_m . For arbitrary $h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}^r$ it holds

$$\langle \Phi_m h, g \rangle = \left\langle 2 \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s), g \right\rangle = 2 \int_a^b \langle d\sigma(s)h(s), g \rangle = \langle h, h_g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_m},$$

where $h_g(t) := g$, $t \in [a, b]$. Thus,

$$(\Phi_m^* g)(t) = h_g(t), \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, \quad t \in [a, b]. \quad (4.30)$$

Thus, for $h \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ we have

$$\frac{1}{i}(A_m - A_m^*)h(t) = 2J \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s) = \Phi_m^* J \Phi_m h(t).$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_m := (\mathfrak{H}_m, \mathbb{C}^r; A_m, \Phi_m, J) \quad (4.31)$$

is an operator colligation. The colligation α_m will be called *model* colligation for the operator A .

We note that

$$(\operatorname{Re} A_m - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_m})^{-1} h(t) = \frac{h(t)}{t - z}. \quad (4.32)$$

Taking into account (4.29), (4.30) and (4.28), for $g \in \mathbb{C}^r$ we obtain

$$V_{\alpha_m}(z)g = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_m (\operatorname{Re} A_m - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_m})^{-1} \Phi_m^* g = \int_a^b \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t - z} g = V_\alpha(z)g. \quad (4.33)$$

Now it follows from (4.7) that in some neighborhood of the infinitely distant point we have

$$S_{\alpha_m}(z) = S_\alpha(z). \quad (4.34)$$

Theorem 4.26 ([14]). *The operator colligation α (see (4.27)) is unitarily equivalent to the model colligation α_m (see (4.31)). In particular, each bounded linear operator A with finite dimensional imaginary part is unitarily equivalent to the model operator A_m defined on $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ by the formula*

$$(A_m h)(t) := th(t) + iJ \int_a^b d\sigma(s)h(s), \quad h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}},$$

and extended on \mathfrak{H}_m as described above.

Proof. The colligation α is simple. Thus, in view of (4.34), it follows from Theorem 3.1 that it is sufficient to verify the simplicity of the model colligation α_M .

Note that the vector function

$$g_z(t) := \frac{1}{2i(t - z)} J(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})g, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, \|z\| > \|A_m\|, \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0,$$

belongs to the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ for every fixed z and, taking into account (4.33), (4.6) and (4.30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_m g_z(t) &= t g_z(t) + iJ \int_a^b d\sigma(s)g_z(s) \\ &= z g_z(t) + (t - z)g_z(t) + \frac{1}{2}J \int_a^b \frac{d\sigma(s)}{t - s} J(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})g \\ &= z g_z(t) + \frac{1}{2i}J(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})g - \frac{1}{2i}J(S_{\alpha_m}(z) - I_{\mathfrak{G}})g \\ &= z g_z(t) - iJg = z g_z(t) - ih_{Jg}(t) = z g_z(t) - i\Phi_m^* Jg. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$(A_m - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_m})^{-1} \Phi_m^* Jg = i g_z(t) = \frac{J(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{G}})}{2(t - z)} g. \quad (4.35)$$

Let there exist a vector $h \in \mathfrak{H}_m$ such that

$$\langle h, A_m^n \Phi_m^* Jg \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_m} = 0, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\langle h, (A_m - zI_{\mathfrak{H}_m})^{-1} \Phi_m^* Jg \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_m} = 0, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r.$$

From this, in view of (4.35) it follows

$$(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{H}_m})^* J \int_a^b \frac{d\sigma(s)h(s)}{s - \bar{z}} = 0.$$

Since the operator $(S_{\alpha_m}(z) + I_{\mathfrak{H}_m})$ is invertible, from this we obtain

$$\int_a^b \frac{d\sigma(s)h(s)}{s - z} = 0$$

and this means that the function $h(t)$ is equivalent to the null function. \square

We note that with this method, but with additional technical means, this result can be obtained for quasihemitian operators (see [7]).

4.8. Multiplicative representation of the characteristic function of an operator acting in a finite dimensional space

Let A be a linear operator acting in a finite dimensional space \mathfrak{H} . We embed the operator A in a simple \mathfrak{G} -finite dimensional colligation (see Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3)

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J). \quad (4.36)$$

Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be the eigenvalues of the operator A , taking into account their multiplicity. We choose an orthonormal basis $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in \mathfrak{H} such that with respect to it the matrix of the operator A has upper triangular form:

$$\begin{aligned} Ah_1 &= \lambda_1 h_1, \\ Ah_2 &= a_{12} h_1 + \lambda_2 h_2, \\ &\vdots \\ Ah_n &= a_{1n} h_1 + a_{2n} h_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n h_n, \end{aligned}$$

i. e.,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.37)$$

Let \mathfrak{H}_k be the subspace of \mathfrak{H} with orthonormal basis $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The subspace \mathfrak{H}_k is invariant with respect to A , where

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 \subset \mathfrak{H}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{H}_n = \mathfrak{H}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{F}_k := \mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}$ and

$$\alpha_k := \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{F}_k} \alpha, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.38)$$

Then, in accordance with (2.57) and (2.58),

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n, \quad (4.39)$$

$$S_\alpha(z) = S_{\alpha_1}(z) S_{\alpha_2}(z) \cdots S_{\alpha_n}(z). \quad (4.40)$$

Note that

$$\alpha_k = (\mathfrak{F}_k, \mathbb{C}^r; A_k, \tau_k, J), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4.41)$$

where

$$A_k := \lambda_k I_{\mathfrak{F}_k}, \quad \tau_k := \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{F}_k} \Phi, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4.42)$$

and the condition of colligation has the form:

$$\tau_k^* J \tau_k = 2(\text{Im } \lambda_k) I_{\mathfrak{F}_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.43)$$

Thus,

$$S_{\alpha_k}(z) := I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \tau_k \tau_k^* J, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.44)$$

If we denote by P_k the orthoprojector from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{F}_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we obtain from (4.42) then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k \tau_k^* = \sum_{k=1}^n \Phi P_k \Phi^* = \Phi \Phi^*.$$

From this and (4.43) we get

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n |\text{Im } \lambda_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n |\text{Sp } \tau_k^* J \tau_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Sp } \tau_k^* \tau_k = \text{Sp } \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k \tau_k^* = \text{Sp } \Phi \Phi^*.$$

Thus, the proof of the following statement is complete.

Theorem 4.27 ([14]). *The colligation α (see (4.36)) admits the decomposition (4.39), where the colligations α_k have form (4.41) where the c. o. f. $S_\alpha(z)$ admits a representation in form (4.40), where the factors $S_{\alpha_k}(z)$ have form (4.44). Moreover, the relations*

$$\tau_k^* J \tau_k = 2(\text{Im } \lambda_k) I_{\mathfrak{F}_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4.45)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_k \tau_k^* = \Phi \Phi^*, \quad (4.46)$$

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n |\text{Im } \lambda_k| \leq \text{Sp } \Phi \Phi^* \quad (4.47)$$

hold.

The decomposition of an open system in a chain of elementary open systems is closely related to the transformation of its basic operator A to triangular form. Indeed, let \mathfrak{D}_α and \mathfrak{D}_{α_k} be the open systems which correspond to the colligation α (see (4.36)) and α_k (see (4.38)), respectively. The transformation of the operator A to triangular form (4.37) generates a decomposition of the colligation α in the form of the product (4.39) which, in view of Theorem 2.8, leads to the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{D}_\alpha = \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_1} \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_2} \vee \dots \vee \mathfrak{D}_{\alpha_n}.$$

Thus, for $j < k$ the system \mathfrak{D}_{α_k} influences the system \mathfrak{D}_{α_j} . From the form of factors (4.44) it is seen that this influence depends on

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\ell^* J \tau_r &= \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{F}_r} P_\ell \Phi^* J \Phi = \frac{1}{i} \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{F}_r} P_\ell (A - A^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{F}_r} P_\ell A = \frac{1}{i} a_{\ell r}, \quad j \leq \ell < r \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

If the operator A is selfadjoint, then in this case the eigenstates h_j , as it is known, are independent. This is connected with the fact that in this case we have $a_{\ell r} = 0$, $\ell < r$ in (4.37). But then, as it follows from (4.48), the multiplicative decomposition (4.40) has additive character

$$S_\alpha(z) = I_r + i \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\tau_k \tau_k^*}{z - \lambda_k} J.$$

This emphasizes again the additive character of the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators and explains the reason for the multiplicative nature of the spectral theory of non-selfadjoint operators.

If $r = 1$ and $J = 1$, then it follows from the colligation condition (4.43) and formula (4.44) that

$$S_{\alpha_k}(z) = \frac{\overline{\lambda_k} - z}{\lambda_k - z}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus, in this case representation (4.40) has the form

$$S_\alpha(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\overline{\lambda_k} - z}{\lambda_k - z}, \quad (4.49)$$

where, taking into account that $J = 1$, from (4.43) and the simplicity of the colligation α we infer

$$\text{Im } \lambda_k > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

From form (4.49) of the c. o. f. $S_\alpha(z)$ it follows that in this case the operator A is determined by its spectrum up to unitary equivalence.

Remark 4.28. In what follows we will write representation (4.44) of the factors $S_{\alpha_k}(z)$ in a form that does not depend on the space \mathfrak{H} . For this taking into account that $\dim \mathfrak{F}_k = 1$ and choosing the basis vector $e_k \in \mathfrak{F}_k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we identify the operator $\tau_k \in [\mathfrak{F}_k, \mathfrak{G}]$ with the vector $\eta_k := \tau_k(e_k)$. Then for $f \in \mathfrak{F}_k$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k(f) &= \tau_k(\langle f, e_k \rangle e_k) = \langle f, e_k \rangle \eta_k, \\ \tau_k \tau_k^*(g) &= \tau_k(\langle \tau_k^*(g), e_k \rangle e_k) = \langle g, \tau_k(e_k) \rangle \tau_k(e_k) = \langle g, \eta_k \rangle \eta_k \\ &= \eta_k \langle g, \eta_k \rangle = \eta_k \eta_k^* g, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_k^* g := \langle g, \eta_k \rangle$. In this case, we consider vectors of \mathbb{C}^r as column vectors. Further, from (4.45) it follows

$$\eta_k^* J \eta_k = \langle J \eta_k, \eta_k \rangle = \langle J \tau_k(e_k), \tau_k(e_k) \rangle = \langle \tau_k^* J \tau_k(e_k), e_k \rangle = 2 \text{Im } \lambda_k.$$

Here it is obvious $\|\eta_k\| = \|\tau_k\|$.

Now Theorem 4.27 can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 4.29 ([14]). *The c. o. f. $S_\alpha(z)$ of the colligation (4.36) admits the representation*

$$S_\alpha(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right), \tag{4.50}$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are the eigenvalues of the operator A , and $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are column vectors from \mathbb{C}^r , and the relations

$$\eta_k^* J \eta_k = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{4.51}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \eta_k^* = \Phi \Phi^*, \tag{4.52}$$

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k| \leq \operatorname{Sp} \Phi \Phi^* \tag{4.53}$$

hold.

5. Multiplicative integral

In this section we follow [43] and [14, Appendix]. With further applications in mind, we assume that all Hilbert spaces considered in this section are finite dimensional. Note that many of the statements under consideration, as it is easy to see, carry over to the infinite dimensional case.

5.1. Infinite products

For products of square matrices we will use the notations

$$\prod_{j=1}^n B_j := B_1 B_2 \cdots B_n, \quad \overleftarrow{\prod}_{j=1}^n B_j := B_n \cdots B_2 B_1.$$

Definition 5.1. The infinite product $\prod_{j=1}^\infty B_j$ is called *convergent* if there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n =: C$$

of the partial products

$$C_n := \prod_{j=1}^n B_j, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \tag{5.1}$$

and the matrix C is invertible.

Obviously, in this case all matrices $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ are invertible and

$$C^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n^{-1},$$

where

$$C_n^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^n B_j^{-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (5.2)$$

Hence, it is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of the product $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ that the sequences (5.1) and (5.2) converge. Since B_j is invertible, it admits a representation

$$B_j = e^{H_j},$$

where it is known that the matrix H_j is not uniquely determined. However, the specific of multiplicative products enables us to determine in natural way and thus uniquely choosing the exponent.

This enables us to write the products (5.1) and (5.2) in the equivalent form

$$C_n = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{H_j}, \quad C_n^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-H_j}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.2. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\|e^{H_1} e^{H_2} \dots e^{H_n}\| \leq e^{\rho n} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\|e^{H_1} e^{H_2} \dots e^{H_n} - I_r\| \leq \rho_n e^{\rho n} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\|e^{H_1} e^{H_2} \dots e^{H_n} - (I_r + H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho_n^2 e^{\rho n}, \quad (5.6)$$

where r is the order of the matrices H_1, \dots, H_n and

$$\rho_n := \|H_1\| + \|H_2\| + \dots + \|H_n\|. \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Let us prove, for example, the inequality (5.6). It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{H_1} e^{H_2} \dots e^{H_n} - (I_r + H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_n)\| \\ & \leq e^{\|H_1\|} e^{\|H_2\|} \dots e^{\|H_n\|} - (1 + \|H_1\| + \|H_2\| + \dots + \|H_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to note that

$$e^{\rho} - (1 + \rho) \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 e^{\rho}, \quad \rho \geq 0.$$

Inequalities (5.4) and (5.5) can be analogously proved. \square

Theorem 5.3. *The convergence of the series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|H_j\|$ is sufficient for the convergence of the product $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{H_j}$.*

Proof. For $p < q$ it follows from (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{p}} e^{H_j} - \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{q}} e^{H_j} \right\| &= \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{p}} e^{H_j} \left(I_r - \prod_{j=p+1}^{\overleftarrow{q}} e^{H_j} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq e^{\rho_p} \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{\overleftarrow{q}} \|H_j\| \right) e^{\rho_q - \rho_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_p has form (5.7). Replacing H_j by $-H_j$ we get an analogous estimate. Hence, in this case sequences (5.3) are Cauchy sequences. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let*

$$C_n := \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{n}} K_j, \quad D_n := \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{n}} L_j$$

and let

$$\mu_n := \max_j \{ \|L_1\| \cdot \|L_2\| \cdots \|L_{j-1}\| \cdot \|K_{j+1}\| \cdots \|K_n\| \}.$$

Then

$$\|C_n - D_n\| \leq \mu_n \sum_{j=1}^n \|K_j - L_j\|. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} C_n - D_n &= (K_1 K_2 \cdots K_{n-1} K_n - L_1 K_2 \cdots K_{n-1} K_n) \\ &\quad + (L_1 K_2 \cdots K_{n-1} K_n - L_1 L_2 K_3 \cdots K_{n-1} K_n) \\ &\quad + \cdots + (L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{n-1} K_n - L_1 L_2 \cdots L_{n-1} L_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

5.2. Multiplicative Stieltjes integral

Let a scalar function $f(t)$ and an operator-valued function $H(t) \in [\mathfrak{G}]$ be given on the interval $[a, b]$. Denote by T a partition of the interval $[a, b]$ into n parts

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = b.$$

Denote by ξ a set of chosen intermediate points $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^n: t_{j-1} \leq \xi_j \leq t_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\Delta_j := H(t_j) - H(t_{j-1})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Consider the product

$$\Pi(T, \xi) := e^{f(\xi_1)\Delta_1} e^{f(\xi_2)\Delta_2} \cdots e^{f(\xi_n)\Delta_n} = \prod_{j=1}^{\overleftarrow{n}} e^{f(\xi_j)\Delta_j}.$$

The product $\Pi(T, \xi)$ is called *the integral product of the Stieltjes type for the function $f(t)$ with respect to the weight $H(t)$* formed to the partition T with the set of intermediate points ξ . Now we suppose that under the condition that the maximal length of the partition T

$$\lambda(T) := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (t_j - t_{j-1})$$

tends to zero there exists the invertible limit of the product $\Pi(T, \xi)$ regardless of the choice of partitions T and sets of intermediate points ξ . This limit will be called *the multiplicative Stieltjes integral* and denoted by

$$\int_a^b \overrightarrow{e^{f(t)dH(t)}} := \lim_{\lambda(T) \rightarrow 0} \prod_{j=1}^n e^{f(\xi_j)\Delta_j}. \quad (5.9)$$

A question naturally arises. Formulate conditions on $f(t)$ and $H(t)$ which ensure that the multiplicative integral exists. Regardless of the fact that, in view of the non-commutativity of the matrix multiplication,

$$\int_a^b \overrightarrow{e^{f(t)dH(t)}} \neq e^{\int_a^b f(t)dH(t)},$$

it is interesting to compare the multiplicative Stieltjes integral of the form (5.9) with the ordinary additive Stieltjes integral.

For the additive Stieltjes integral we have the following classic existence theorem:

If $f(t)$ is continuous and $H(t)$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then the Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t)dH(t)$ exists.

An analogous statement for the multiplicative integral (5.9) is not true, how it can be seen from the following example.

Let $f(t) = 1$ on $[a, b]$, whereas

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} H_1, & a \leq t < c, \\ H_2, & t = c, \\ H_3, & c < t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

In this case,

$$\Pi(T, \xi) = \begin{cases} e^{H_3 - H_1}, & c \in T; \\ e^{H_2 - H_1} e^{H_3 - H_2}, & c \notin T. \end{cases}$$

Choosing H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 such that

$$e^{H_2 - H_1} e^{H_3 - H_2} \neq e^{H_3 - H_1},$$

we see that the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda(T) \rightarrow 0} \Pi(T, \xi)$$

does not exist.

Theorem 5.5. *Let a scalar function $f(t)$ be Riemann-integrable at $[a, b]$ and assume that a function $H(t)$ with values in $[\mathfrak{G}]$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on $[a, b]$. Then the multiplicative integral (5.9) exists.*

Proof. In view of the assumptions of the theorem, there exist constants $K > 0$ and $L > 0$, such that

1)

$$\sup_{[a,b]} |f(t)| \leq K; \quad (5.10)$$

2) for all $t', t'' \in [a, b]$

$$\|H(t') - H(t'')\| \leq L|t' - t''| \quad (5.11)$$

holds.

In view of the Cauchy criterion, it suffices to prove that the integral products $\Pi(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)})$ and $\Pi^{-1}(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)})$ differ little from $\Pi(T^{(2)}, \xi^{(2)})$ and $\Pi^{-1}(T^{(2)}, \xi^{(2)})$, respectively, if $\lambda(T^{(1)})$ and $\lambda(T^{(2)})$ are sufficiently small.

Consider the partition T obtained by union of the points of partition $T^{(1)}$ and $T^{(2)}$. Let ξ be a set of intermediate points for the partition T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Pi^{\pm 1}(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)}) - \Pi^{\pm 1}(T^{(2)}, \xi^{(2)}) \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \Pi^{\pm 1}(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)}) - \Pi^{\pm 1}(T, \xi) \right\| + \left\| \Pi^{\pm 1}(T, \xi) - \Pi^{\pm 1}(T^{(2)}, \xi^{(2)}) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

From this and the symmetric form of (5.3) it follows that it suffices to convince of the smallness of

$$\left\| \Pi(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)}) - \Pi(T, \xi) \right\|.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(1)}: a =: t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n := b, \quad \xi^{(1)} := \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^n; \\ T: t_{j-1} =: t_0^{(j)} < t_1^{(j)} < \dots < t_{k_j}^{(j)} := t_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ \xi := \{\xi_{p_j}^{(j)}\}_{j=1, p_j=1}^{n, k_j}, \quad t_{p_j-1}^{(j)} \leq \xi_{p_j}^{(j)} \leq t_{p_j}^{(j)}; \\ \Delta_{p_j}^{(j)} := H(t_{p_j}^{(j)}) - H(t_{p_j-1}^{(j)}). \end{aligned}$$

From inequality (5.8) we get

$$\left\| \Pi(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)}) - \Pi(T, \xi) \right\| \leq \mu_n \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| e^{f(\xi_j)\Delta_j} - \prod_{p_j=1}^{k_j} e^{f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)}} \right\|, \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n := \max_j \left\{ & \|e^{f(\xi_1)\Delta_1}\| \|e^{f(\xi_2)\Delta_2}\| \dots \|e^{f(\xi_{j-1})\Delta_{j-1}}\| \right. \\ & \left. \left\| \prod_{p_{j+1}=1}^{k_{j+1}} e^{f(\xi_{p_{j+1}}^{(j+1)})\Delta_{p_{j+1}}^{(j+1)}} \right\| \dots \left\| \prod_{p_n=1}^{k_n} e^{f(\xi_{p_n}^{(n)})\Delta_{p_n}^{(n)}} \right\| \right\}. \quad (5.13) \end{aligned}$$

From (5.4), (5.10), and (5.11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{f(\xi_j)\Delta_j}\| &\leq e^{|f(\xi_1)|\|\Delta_j\|} \leq e^{KL(t_j-t_{j-1})}, \\ \left\| \prod_{p_j=1}^{\overleftarrow{k_j}} e^{f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)}} \right\| &\leq e^{\sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} |f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})|\|\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)}\|} \\ &\leq e^{KL\sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} (t_{p_j}^{(j)}-t_{p_j-1}^{(j)})} = e^{KL(t_j-t_{j-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these estimates, we obtain from (5.13) that

$$\mu_n \leq M, \quad M := e^{KL(b-a)}. \quad (5.14)$$

For estimating the j -th term of the sum on the right side of inequality (5.12), we consider the representation

$$e^{f(\xi_j)\Delta_j} = I_r + f(\xi_j)\Delta_j + R_j, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\prod_{p_j=1}^{\overleftarrow{k_j}} e^{f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)}} = I_r + \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)} + R_{p_j}^{(j)} \quad (5.16)$$

where, in view of (5.6), (5.10), and (5.11), we have

$$\|R_{p_j}^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_{k_j}^2 e^{\rho_{k_j}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\rho_{k_j} := \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} \left| f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)}) \right| \|\Delta_{p_j}^{(j)}\| \leq KL \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} (t_{p_j}^{(j)} - t_{p_j-1}^{(j)}) = KL(t_j - t_{j-1}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{p_j}^{(j)}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2}K^2L^2(t_j - t_{j-1})^2 e^{KL(t_j-t_{j-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}K^2L^2(t_j - t_{j-1})e^{KL(b-a)}\lambda(T^{(1)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}K^2L^2M(t_j - t_{j-1})\lambda(T^{(1)}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\|R_j\| \leq \frac{1}{2}K^2L^2M(t_j - t_{j-1})\lambda(T^{(1)}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (5.18)$$

Inserting the expressions (5.15) and (5.16) in the j -th term of the sum in (5.12) and taking into account (5.14), (5.17), and (5.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \Pi(T^{(1)}, \xi^{(1)}) - \Pi(T, \xi) \right\| \\
 & \leq M \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left\| f(\xi_j) \Delta_j - \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)}) \Delta_{p_j}^{(j)} \right\| + \|R_j\| + \|R_{j,p_j}\| \right) \\
 & \leq M \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left\| \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} (f(\xi_j) - f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)})) \Delta_{p_j}^{(j)} \right\| + K^2 L^2 M (t_j - t_{j-1}) \lambda(T^{(1)}) \right) \\
 & \leq ML \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p_j=1}^{k_j} \left| f(\xi_j) - f(\xi_{p_j}^{(j)}) \right| (t_{p_j}^{(j)} - t_{p_j-1}^{(j)}) + K^2 L^2 M^2 (b - a) \lambda(T^{(1)}) \\
 & \leq ML \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j (t_j - t_{j-1}) + K^2 L^2 M^2 (b - a) \lambda(T^{(1)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where ω_j is the oscillation of the function f on the interval $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$. It remains to apply the criterion for the Riemann integrability of a function in terms of the oscillation. □

Similarly (however, much simpler), it can be proved under these conditions of the existence of the additive integral

$$\int_a^b f(t) dH(t). \tag{5.19}$$

The Lipschitz condition for $H(t)$ in Theorem 5.5 is too arduous. It can be considerably weakened by requiring some condition for $f(t)$. Let, for example, the function $\varphi(x) \in C[\alpha, \beta]$ be strictly increasing and mapping the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ on the interval $[a, b]$ then the multiplicative integrals

$$\int_a^b e^{f(t)} dH(t), \quad \int_\alpha^\beta e^{f_1(x)} dH_1(x),$$

where $f_1(x) = f(\varphi(x))$, $H_1(x) = H(\varphi(x))$, $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$, exist simultaneously and are equal. This follows from the fact that the limit procedures of

$$\max(t_j - t_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \max(x_j - x_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

are equivalent. It is clear that

$$\bigvee_a^b H = \bigvee_\alpha^\beta H_1,$$

and that a countable set by the mapping $t = \varphi(x)$ is transformed again into a countable set and nothing can be said about sets of zero measure.

We show now that outgoing from a continuous operator function $H(t)$ of bounded variation one can always pass over to the function $H_1(x) = H(\varphi(x))$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition.

We consider the function $v: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$v(t) = \bigvee_a^t H, \quad a \leq t \leq b. \quad (5.20)$$

Obviously,

$$v(t'') - v(t') = \bigvee_{t'}^{t''} H, \quad t', t'' \in [a, b], \quad t' < t'',$$

where $v(t)$ is continuous simultaneously with $H(t)$. We introduce a new variable by setting

$$x = v(t) + t, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

The function $x = x(t)$ is continuous, strictly increasing and maps the interval $[a, b]$ on the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$, where

$$\alpha = a, \quad \beta = v(b) + b = \bigvee_a^b H + b.$$

Let $t = \varphi(x)$, $x \in [\alpha, \beta]$, be the function inverse with respect to the function $x = x(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$. The operator function

$$H_1(x) = H(\varphi(x)), \quad x \in [\alpha, \beta],$$

satisfies a Lipschitz condition. Indeed, if $x', x'' \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $x' < x''$, and $t' = \varphi(x')$, $t'' = \varphi(x'')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_1(x'') - H_1(x')\| &= \|H(t'') - H(t')\| \leq v(t'') - v(t') \\ &\leq x(t'') - x(t') = x'' - x'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following statement.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f(t)$ be a bounded function on the interval $[a, b]$ having at most countable jumps and let $H(t)$ be a continuous operator function on $[a, b]$ of bounded variation. Then there exists the multiplicative integral (5.9).*

Obviously under this conditions exists also the additive integral (5.19).

The limit in the inequalities of Lemma 5.2 lead to the following estimates of the multiplicative integral.

Lemma 5.7. *It hold the following inequalities*

$$\left\| \int_a^b e^{f(t)dH(t)} \right\| \leq e^\rho, \tag{5.21}$$

$$\left\| \int_a^b e^{f(t)dH(t)} - I_r \right\| \leq \rho e^\rho, \tag{5.22}$$

$$\left\| \int_a^b e^{f(t)dH(t)} - \left(I_r + \int_a^b f(t)dH(t) \right) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \rho^2 e^\rho, \tag{5.23}$$

where $\rho := \int_a^b |f(t)|dv(t)$ and $v(t)$ has the form (5.20).

Now we mention the following easily checked properties of the multiplicative integral:

1. If $a < c < b$ then

$$\int_a^b e^{f(t)dH(t)} = \int_a^c e^{f(t)dH(t)} \int_c^b e^{f(t)dH(t)}. \tag{5.24}$$

- 2.

$$\left(\int_a^b e^{f(t)dH(t)} \right)^{-1} = \int_a^b e^{-f(t)dH(t)}. \tag{5.25}$$

We mention a special but important case of Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.8. *If $f(t) = 1$, $t \in [a, b]$, and $H(t)$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, then the multiplicative integral (5.9) exists.*

For multiplicative integrals an analogous statement to the Helly theorem is true.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\{f_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of scalar functions on $[a, b]$ and $\{H_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of operator functions on $[a, b]$, $H_n(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$, $a \leq t \leq b$, which satisfy the following conditions:*

- 1) *The functions $f_n(t)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, are Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ and uniformly bounded on $[a, b]$:*

$$\sup_{[a,b]} |f_n(t)| \leq K, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{5.26}$$

- 2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t)$ for each $t \in [a, b]$, where the function $f(t)$ is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.

3) The functions $H_n(t)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfy a Lipschitz condition

$$\|H_n(t') - H_n(t'')\| \leq L|t' - t''|, \quad t', t'' \in [a, b], \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (5.27)$$

where the sequence $\{H_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise on $[a, b]$ to the function $H(t)$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{f_n(t)} dH_n(t) = \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{f(t)} dH(t). \quad (5.28)$$

Proof. First note that, in view of Theorem 5.5, all integrals in (5.28) exist.

We partition the interval $[a, b]$ in equidistant parts by the points

$$a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b.$$

Let

$$K_j^{(p)} = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{\overrightarrow{x_j}} e^{f_p(t)} dH_p(t), \quad L_j = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{\overrightarrow{x_j}} e^{f(t)} dH(t), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.29)$$

Then taking into account Lemma 5.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{f_p(t)} dH_p(t) - \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{f(t)} dH(t) \right\| &= \|K_1^{(p)} K_2^{(p)} \dots K_n^{(p)} - L_1 L_2 \dots L_n\| \\ &\leq \mu_n \sum_{j=1}^n \|K_j^{(p)} - L_j\|, \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

where, in view of (5.26), (5.27), and (5.29), we have

$$\mu \leq M, \quad M := e^{KL(b-a)}. \quad (5.31)$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_j^{(p)} - L_j\| &\leq \left\| K_j^{(p)} - \left(I_r + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f_p(t) dH_p(t) \right) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f_p(t) dH_p(t) - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(t) dH(t) \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| L_j - \left(I_r + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(t) dH(t) \right) \right\| \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Taking into account (5.26) and (5.27), we infer from the estimate (5.23) then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| K_j^{(p)} - \left(I_r + \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f_p(t) dH_p(t) \right) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{2} K^2 L^2 (x_j - x_{j-1})^2 e^{KL(x_j - x_{j-1})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2n^2} K^2 L^2 M. \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

We obtain an analogous estimate for the third term in (5.32). Returning to (5.30) and taking into account (5.31)–(5.33), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{\int_a^t f_p(t) dH_p(t)} - \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{\int_a^t f(t) dH(t)} \right\| &\leq \mu_n \sum_{j=1}^n \|K_j^{(p)} - L_j\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} K^2 L^2 M^2 + M \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f_p(t) dH_p(t) - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} f(t) dH(t) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now fixing n and increasing p the second summand can be made arbitrarily small in view of the Helly theorem for the additive integral. □

5.3. Multiplicative Lebesgue integral

Let $M(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, be a Lebesgue integrable operator function. By $H(t)$ we denote the Lebesgue integral with variable upper border

$$H(t) = \int_0^t M(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

As it is known $H(t)$ is absolutely continuous, $\frac{dH}{dt}(t) = M(t)$ a. e. on $[a, b]$ and $\int_a^b H = \int_a^b \|M(x)\| dx$.

But then from Theorem 5.8 it follows the existence of the multiplicative Stieltjes integral

$$\int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{dH(t)} = \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{d\{\int_a^t M(x) dx\}}.$$

This integral we denote by

$$\int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{dM(t)} := \int_a^{\overrightarrow{b}} e^{dH(t)}$$

and call it multiplicative Lebesgue integral of the operator function $M(t)$.

The multiplicative Lebesgue integral with variable border

$$W(t) = \int_a^{\overrightarrow{t}} e^{M(x) dx}, \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{5.34}$$

plays an important role.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $M(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, be a Lebesgue integrable operator-valued function. Then the operator-valued function $W(t)$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ when a. e. on $[a, b]$ it holds*

$$\frac{dW}{dt}(t) = W(t)M(t). \quad (5.35)$$

Proof. For $t', t'' \in [a, b]$, $t' < t''$, we have

$$W(t'') - W(t') = \int_a^{t'} e^{M(x)dx} \left(\int_{t'}^{t''} e^{M(x)dx} - I_r \right). \quad (5.36)$$

Taking into account that in this case ($f(t) \equiv 1$, $H(t) = \int_0^t M(x)dx$) the inequalities (5.21)–(5.23) hold with constant

$$\rho = \int_a^b \|M(x)\| dx \quad (5.37)$$

then from (5.28) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|W(t'') - W(t')\| &\leq e^{\int_a^{t'} \|M(x)\| dx} \int_{t'}^{t''} \|M(x)\| dx e^{\int_{t'}^{t''} \|M(x)\| dx} \\ &\leq C (v(t'') - v(t')), \end{aligned}$$

where $C := e^{\int_a^b \|M(x)\| dx}$, $v(t) = \int_a^t \|M(x)\| dx$. Now the absolute continuity of $W(t)$ follows from the absolute continuity of $v(t)$.

Fixing t we compute the right derivative $W'_+(t)$, assuming that $\Delta t > 0$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} W(t + \Delta t) - W(t) &= W(t) \left(\int_t^{t+\Delta t} e^{M(x)dx} - I_r \right) \\ &= W(t) \left(\int_t^{t+\Delta t} M(x)dx + R_{\Delta t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

where

$$R_{\Delta t} = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} e^{M(x)dx} - \left(I_r + \int_t^{t+\Delta t} M(x)dx \right).$$

From the inequality (5.23) and taking into account (5.37) with the constant ρ , we get

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \|R_{\Delta t}\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \|M(x)\| dx \right]^2 e^{\int_t^{t+\Delta t} \|M(x)\| dx} \Delta t.$$

From this it follows

$$\lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta t} R_{\Delta t} = 0.$$

Returning to (5.38) we obtain now that a. e. on $[a, b]$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} W'_+(t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow +0} \frac{W(t + \Delta t) - W(t)}{\Delta t} \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow +0} W(t) \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} M(x) dx + \frac{1}{\Delta t} R_{\Delta t} \right) = W(t)M(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W(t)$ is absolutely continuous then $W'(t) = W'_+(t)$ a. e. on $[a, b]$. This means the inequality (5.27) is satisfied a. e. on $[a, b]$. □

Hence, the multiplicative integral

$$W(t) = \int_a^t e^{M(x)dx}, \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{5.39}$$

with Lebesgue integrable operator-valued function $M(t)$ on the interval $[a, b]$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dW}{dt}(t) = W(t)M(t), & t \in [a, b] \\ W(a) = I_r \end{cases}. \tag{5.40}$$

As it is known (see Naimark, Linear differential operators, Chapter I.) this Cauchy problem has a unique solution in the class of absolutely continuous functions.

By integration we get that the multiplicative integral (5.39) is the unique solution of the integral equation

$$W(t) = I_r + \int_a^t W(x)M(x)dx, \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{5.41}$$

5.4. Example

In the space $L_2[0, \ell]$ we consider the operator (see [11, Chapter I])

$$Af(x) = i \int_x^\ell K(x, t)f(t)dt, \tag{5.42}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, t) &= \overline{\xi_1(x)}\xi_1(t) + \dots + \overline{\xi_p(x)}\xi_p(t) \\ &\quad - \overline{\xi_{p+1}(x)}\xi_{p+1}(t) - \dots - \overline{\xi_{p+q}(x)}\xi_{p+q}(t), \\ &\quad \xi_j(x) \in L_2[0, \ell], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, p + q. \end{aligned} \tag{5.43}$$

We set

$$\xi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1(x) \\ \xi_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_r(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = p + q.$$

Thus, (5.43) and (5.41) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, t) &= \xi^*(x)J\xi(t), \\ Af(x) &= i \int_x^\ell \xi^*(x)J\xi(t)f(t)dt. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Since

$$A^*f(x) = -i \int_0^x \xi^*(x)J\xi(t)f(t)dt, \quad (5.45)$$

then

$$\frac{A - A^*}{i}f(x) = \int_0^\ell \xi^*(x)J\xi(t)f(t)dt. \quad (5.46)$$

Let $\mathfrak{H} := L_2[0, \ell]$ and $\mathfrak{G} := \mathbb{C}^r$. We define the operator $\Phi \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r]$ by setting

$$\Phi f := \int_0^\ell \xi(t)f(t)dt. \quad (5.47)$$

Then

$$\Phi^*g(x) = \xi^*(x)g, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, \quad (5.48)$$

and (5.46) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{A - A^*}{i} = \Phi^*J\Phi.$$

Thus the quintuple

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J) \quad (5.49)$$

is an operator colligation.

Let $S(x, z)$, $x \in [0, \ell]$, $z \neq 0$, be a matrix function which is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS(x, z)}{dx} = \frac{i}{z}S(x, z)\xi(x)\xi^*(x)J, & x \in [0, \ell], \\ S(0, z) \equiv I_r. \end{cases} \quad (5.50)$$

Then

$$S(x, z) = I_r + \frac{i}{z} \int_0^x S(t, z)\xi(t)\xi^*(t)dtJ \quad (5.51)$$

and (see Subsection 5.3)

$$S(x, z) = \int_0^{\overleftarrow{x}} e^{\frac{i}{z}\xi(t)\xi^*(t)dt}J. \quad (5.52)$$

We set

$$\zeta(x, z) := -\frac{1}{z}S(x, z)\xi(x). \quad (5.53)$$

For $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ from (5.45), (5.48), (5.51), and (5.53) we find

$$\begin{aligned} (A^* - \bar{z}I_{\mathfrak{H}})\zeta^*(x, z)g &= -i\xi^*(x)J \int_0^x \xi(t)\zeta^*(t, z)dtg - \bar{z}\zeta^*(x, z)g \\ &= \xi^*(x) \left(\frac{i}{z}J \int_0^x \xi(t)\xi^*(t)S^*(t, z)dt + S^*(x, z) \right) g \\ &= \xi^*(x)g = \Phi^*g(x). \end{aligned}$$

From this by taking into account (5.48), (5.53), and (5.51) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(A - zI_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\Phi^*Jg_1, g_2 \rangle &= \langle \xi^*(x)Jg_1, (A^* - \bar{z}I_{\mathfrak{H}})^{-1}\xi^*(x)g_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi^*(x)Jg_1, \zeta^*(x, z)g_2 \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\ell \langle \zeta(x, z)\xi^*(x)Jg_1, g_2 \rangle dx \\ &= \left\langle -\frac{1}{z} \int_0^\ell S(x, z)\xi(x)\xi^*(x)dxJg_1, g_2 \right\rangle \\ &= \langle i(S(\ell, z) - I_m)g_1, g_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

This means

$$S_\alpha(z) = S(\ell, z)$$

and, in view of (5.48), then

$$S_\alpha(z) = \int_0^\ell e^{\frac{i}{z}\xi(t)\xi^*(t)}dtJ. \tag{5.54}$$

If $p = 1, q = 0, \xi(x) \equiv 1$ on $[0, \ell]$, then the operator A is the Leibniz–Newton integral operator (see Subsection 3.5) and in this case (5.54)

$$S_\alpha(z) = e^{\frac{i}{z}\ell}.$$

In the case $q = 0$ (i. e. $J = I_r$) as it was shown in [11, Chapter I] the colligation (5.49) is simple if and only if the vector $\xi(x)$ is a. e. different from zero.

6. Multiplicative representation of characteristic function

6.1. Potapov’s Factorization Theorem for characteristic functions of class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$

In his known paper [42] V. P. Potapov investigated the multiplicative structure of matrix functions which are meromorphic and J -contractive in the open unit disk. The following special case of characteristic functions of class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ is important for us. In this case V. P. Potapov’s Theorem has the following form (see [42, 14]).

Theorem 6.1. *Each function $S(z)$ of the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ admits a representation in the form*

$$S(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\omega} \left(I_r + i \frac{\eta_k \eta_k^*}{z - \lambda_k} J \right) \int_0^{\ell} e^{i \frac{aE(t)}{z - a(t)} J}, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\omega \leq \infty$, $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\omega}$ are column vectors from \mathbb{C}^r , $a(t)$ and $E(t)$ are non-decreasing on $[0, \ell]$ scalar and matrix functions, respectively, where

$$\eta_k^* J \eta_k = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\omega} \eta_k^* \eta_k < +\infty, \quad \operatorname{Sp} E(t) = t, \quad t \in [0, \ell].$$

Conversely, every function of the form (6.1) belongs to $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$.

In [14] the multiplicative representation (6.1) was obtained with methods of operator theory. Below in Subsections 6.2–6.3 (see Theorem 6.7) we indicate basic features of this approach.

6.2. Approximation theorems

Let $S(z)$ belong to the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J)$$

be a simple operator colligation such that

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = S(z).$$

Theorem 6.2 ([14]). *Let*

$$\{0\} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \mathfrak{H}_1 \subset \mathfrak{H}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{H}_n \subset \dots$$

be an increasing sequence of subspaces of the space \mathfrak{H} , let P_n be the orthoprojector from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{H}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and let

$$S_n(z) := \operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_n} S_{\alpha}(z).$$

If the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ strongly converges to $I_{\mathfrak{H}}$, then the sequence $S_n(z)$ converges uniformly to $S_{\alpha}(z)$ in some neighborhood of every non-real regular point of $S(z)$.

Corollary 6.3 ([14]). *Let the assumptions of the preceding theorem be satisfied and let the subspaces \mathfrak{H}_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be invariant with respect to the operator A . Then*

$$S(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}} S_{\alpha}(z), \quad (6.2)$$

where the infinite product (6.2) converges uniformly to $S(z)$ in some neighborhood of any non-real regular point of $S(z)$.

Proof. In the considered case, in view of (2.56)–(2.58), we have

$$\operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_n} S_{\alpha}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n \operatorname{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}} S_{\alpha}(z),$$

and the assumption follows from the preceding theorem. \square

6.3. Multiplicative representation of characteristic functions of class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$

6.3.1. The case of real spectrum. Let $S(z)$ belong to the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J) \tag{6.3}$$

be a simple operator colligation such that

$$S_\alpha(z) = S(z). \tag{6.4}$$

We suppose that the whole spectrum of the operator A is located at the real axis. Let $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^q$ be an arbitrary orthonormal basis of the channel subspace $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$ and let $\{h_j\}_{j=q+1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary orthonormal basis of the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. Let further $n > q$ and \mathfrak{H}_n be the subspace generated by the vectors $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and

$$S_n(z) = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_n} S_\alpha(z).$$

Then, in view of Theorem 4.29, it holds the representation (4.50), namely

$$S_\alpha(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\overrightarrow{n}} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - z_k^{(n)}} \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*} J \right), \tag{6.5}$$

where, taking into account $\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*) \subset \mathfrak{H}_n$, we have

$$\eta_k^{(n)*} J \eta_k^{(n)} = 2 \text{Im } z_k^{(n)}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*} = \Phi \Phi^*, \tag{6.7}$$

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n |\text{Im } z_k^{(n)}| \leq \text{Sp } \Phi \Phi^*, \tag{6.8}$$

where P_n is the orthoprojection from \mathfrak{H} onto \mathfrak{H}_n .

We will suppose that the product (6.4) is formed in such way that

$$a_1^{(n)} \leq a_2^{(n)} \leq \dots \leq a_n^{(n)}, \quad a_k^{(n)} = \text{Re } z_k^{(n)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \tag{6.9}$$

The positivity of such arrangement of the factors follows from (see Subsection 4.8) the way of deriving representation (6.5) and does not depend on the choice of ordering the eigenvalues $\{z_k^{(n)}\}_{k=1}^n$.

In view of (6.4) and Theorem 6.2, we have

$$S(z) = \lim S_n(z), \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0. \tag{6.10}$$

We consider the products

$$S_{\text{Re},n}(z) := \prod_{k=1}^{\overrightarrow{n}} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - a_k^{(n)}} \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*} J \right), \tag{6.11}$$

$$S_{\text{Re},n}^{(\text{exp})}(z) := \prod_{k=1}^{\overrightarrow{n}} e^{\frac{i}{z - a_k^{(n)}} \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*} J}. \tag{6.12}$$

Using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 and the formulas (6.6)–(6.8), as in the proof of Theorems 5.5 and 5.9 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_n(z) - S_{\text{Re},n}(z)) &= 0, & \text{Im } z \neq 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_{\text{Re},n}(z) - S_{\text{Re},n}^{(\text{exp})}(z)) &= 0, & \text{Im } z \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this, taking into account (6.10), it follows

$$S(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{\text{Re},n}^{(\text{exp})}(z), \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0. \quad (6.13)$$

We represent each of the products (6.12) in form of a multiplicative integral. For this, taking into account (6.7), we consider the interval $[0, \ell]$, where

$$\ell = \sum_{k=1}^n \|\eta_k^{(n)}\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k^{(n)*} \eta_k^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{Sp } \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*} = \text{Sp } \Phi \Phi^*. \quad (6.14)$$

We decompose the interval $[0, \ell]$ in parts by the points

$$t_0 = 0, \quad t_k = \sum_{s=1}^k \eta_s^{(n)*} \eta_s^{(n)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We define a non-decreasing function $a_n(t)$ on the interval $[0, \ell]$ by setting

$$a_n(t) = \begin{cases} a_k^{(n)}, & \text{if } t_{k-1} \leq t < t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ a_n^{(n)}, & \text{if } t_{n-1} \leq t \leq t_n, \end{cases} \quad (6.15)$$

and a non-decreasing operator function $E_n(t)$ on the interval $[0, \ell]$ by setting

$$E_n(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t_1} \eta_1^{(n)} \eta_1^{(n)*}, & \text{if } t_0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \eta_s^{(n)} \eta_s^{(n)*} + \frac{t-t_{k-1}}{t_k-t_{k-1}} \eta_k^{(n)} \eta_k^{(n)*}, & \text{if } t_{n-1} \leq t \leq t_n. \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

Then obviously

$$S_{\text{Re},n}^{(\text{exp})}(z) = \int_0^{\ell} e^{z - a_n(t)} dE_n(t) J. \quad (6.17)$$

From (6.9), (6.15) (resp., (6.7), (6.16)) it follow

$$|a_n(t)| \leq \|A\|, \quad E_n(t) \leq \Phi \Phi^*, \quad t \in [0, \ell], \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (6.18)$$

Thus, one can chose subsequences $a_{n_j}(t)$ and $E_{n_j}(t)$ which converge on $[0, \ell]$ to a non-decreasing function $a(t)$ and a non-decreasing matrix function $E(t)$, respectively. Moreover, we have

$$\|E_n(t)\| \leq \text{Sp } E_n(t) = t, \quad (6.19)$$

$$\|E_n(t'') - E_n(t')\| \leq \text{Sp } E_n(t'') - \text{Sp } E_n(t') = t'' - t', \quad 0 \leq t' \leq t'' \leq \ell. \quad (6.20)$$

Taking into account (6.13), (6.17), and Theorem 5.9, we obtain

$$S(z) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{i}{z - a_{n_j}(t)} dE_{n_j}(t)J} = \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{i}{z - a(t)} dE(t)J}, \quad \text{Im } z \neq 0. \quad (6.21)$$

We denote by \mathfrak{M} the set of left and right limit points of the function $a(t)$. In [14, Appendix] it was shown that the set of singular points of the multiplicative integral (6.21) coincides with the set \mathfrak{M} . Hence, the set \mathfrak{M} coincides also with the set of singular points of the function $S(z)$.

In view of (6.19) and (6.20), we have

$$\text{Sp } E(t) = t, \quad \|E(t'') - E(t')\| \leq t'' - t', \quad t, t', t'' \in [0, \ell], \quad t' < t''. \quad (6.22)$$

This means, that there exists a Lebesgue integrable operator function $M(t)$, $t \in [0, \ell]$, such that

$$E(t) = \int_0^t M(x)dx, \quad t \in [0, \ell].$$

Obviously,

$$M(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{Sp } M(t) = 1, \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell].$$

Let $\text{rank } M(t) \leq p$ a. e. on $[0, \ell]$. Then there exists a set of positive measure such that in all of its points the rank is equal to p . From (6.7) and (6.16) it follows

$$0 \leq E(t) \leq E(\ell) = \Phi\Phi^*, \quad t \in [0, \ell].$$

From this it follows

$$q = \text{rank } \Phi \geq p.$$

Hence, there exists a measurable $\xi(t)$, $t \in [0, \ell]$, with values in $[\mathbb{C}^p, \mathbb{C}^r]$ such that

$$M(t) = \xi(t)\xi^*(t), \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell].$$

Thus,

$$E(t) = \int_0^t \xi(x)\xi^*(x)dx, \quad t \in [0, \ell], \quad (6.23)$$

$$\text{Sp } \xi(t)\xi^*(t) = 1, \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell].$$

From (6.21) and (6.23) it follows (see Subsection 5.3)

$$S(z) = \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{i}{z - a(t)} \xi(t)\xi^*(t)J} dt. \quad (6.24)$$

Theorem 6.4 ([14]). *Let $S(z) \in \Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let α be an operator colligation (6.3) such that $S_\alpha(z) = S(z)$. Suppose that the spectrum of A lies on the real axis. Then the function $S(z)$ admits the representation (6.24), where $\ell = \text{Sp } \Phi\Phi^*$, $a(t)$ is a scalar non-decreasing function on $[0, \ell]$, $\xi(t)$ is a measurable $r \times p$ -matrix function on $[0, \ell]$ with $p \leq q = \text{rank } \Phi \leq r$. Moreover, there exists*

a set of positive measure on $[0, \ell]$ such that $\text{rank } \xi(t) = p$ on its points and the conditions

$$\text{Sp } \xi(t)\xi^*(t) = 1 \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell], \quad (6.25)$$

$$\int_0^\ell \xi(t)\xi^*(t)dt = \Phi\Phi^* \quad (6.26)$$

hold. The representation (6.24) holds in all points $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathfrak{M}$, where \mathfrak{M} is the set of singular points of the multiplicative integral (6.24), which consists of the left and right limit points of the function $a(t)$.

Remark 6.5. From Theorems 6.4 and 4.22 it follows that the spectrum of the operator A (the fundamental operator of the colligation (6.3)) coincides with the set \mathfrak{M} .

6.3.2. The case that the invariant subspaces corresponding to the complex eigenvalues generate the whole space. Let $S(z)$ belong to the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J) \quad (6.27)$$

be a simple operator colligation such that

$$S_\alpha(z) = S(z). \quad (6.28)$$

We suppose that the space \mathfrak{H} is the closure of the linear hull of the invariant subspaces \mathfrak{H}_{w_k} , $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \omega$, $\omega \leq \infty$, formed by the non-real points w_k of the spectrum of the operator A (see Theorem 4.18). In the following we suppose that $\omega = \infty$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{H}_n = \mathfrak{H}_{w_1} \dot{+} \mathfrak{H}_{w_2} \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} \mathfrak{H}_{w_n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6.29)$$

In view of (6.28) and Corollary 6.3, then

$$S(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}} S_\alpha(z), \quad \mathfrak{H}_0 = \{0\}, \quad (6.30)$$

where the infinite product (6.30) converges uniformly to $S(z)$ in the neighborhood of each regular point of $S(z)$. Taking into account that each of the subspaces $\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, is finite dimensional and that the operator $A_k = \text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}} A$ has only the eigenvalues z_k , applying Theorem 4.29, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1}} S_\alpha(z) &= \prod_{j=1}^{q_k} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - z_k} \eta_j^{(k)} \eta_j^{(k)*} J \right), \\ \eta_j^{(k)} J \eta_j^{(k)*} &= 2 \text{Im } z_k, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s_k; \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_k = \dim(\mathfrak{H}_k \ominus \mathfrak{H}_{k-1})$. Thus, taking into account (6.30), we obtain

$$S(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{s_1} = z_1, \quad \lambda_{s_1+1} = \lambda_{s_1+2} = \dots = \lambda_{s_1+s_2} = z_2, \quad \dots \\ \eta_k = \eta_k^{(1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s_1; \quad \eta_{s_1+k} = \eta_k^{(2)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, s_2; \quad \dots, \\ \eta_k J \eta_k^* = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

where as it follows from Theorem 4.29,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \eta_k^* = \Phi \Phi^*. \tag{6.32}$$

Thus, we get the following result.

Theorem 6.6 ([14]). *Let $S(z) \in \Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let α be a simple operator colligation (6.27), where the space \mathfrak{H} is the closure of the linear hull of the invariant subspaces which correspond to the non-real points of the spectrum of the operator A . Then the function $S(z)$ admits the representation*

$$S(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right), \tag{6.33}$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator A counted with their multiplicity, $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of column vectors from the space \mathbb{C}^r , and equalities (6.31) and (6.32) are satisfied. The representation (6.33) holds in all points $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda$, where Λ is the closure of the set $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

6.3.3. The general case. Let $S(z)$ belong to the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J) \tag{6.34}$$

be a simple operator colligation such that

$$S(z) = S_{\alpha}(z). \tag{6.35}$$

We denote by \mathfrak{H}_1 the closure of the linear hull of the invariant subspaces which correspond to the non-real points of the spectrum of the operator A . Let $\mathfrak{H}_2 = \mathfrak{H} \ominus \mathfrak{H}_1$. With respect to the decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$ the operator A has the block representation

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_1 \in [\mathfrak{H}_1]$, $A_2 \in [\mathfrak{H}_2]$, $A_{12} \in [\mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{H}_1]$. In view of Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2, \tag{6.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j &= (\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathbb{C}^r; A_j, \Phi_j, J), \quad j = 1, 2, \\ \Phi_j &= \operatorname{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_j} \Phi, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

where, taking into account (6.36), we obtain

$$S(z) = S_{\alpha_1}(z) S_{\alpha_2}(z).$$

Applying now the Theorems 6.6 and 6.4 to $S_{\alpha_1}(z)$ and $S_{\alpha_2}(z)$, resp., we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6.7 ([14]). *Let $S(z) \in \Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and let α be an operator colligation of the form (6.34). Then the function $S(z)$ admits the representation*

$$S(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right) \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{i}{z - a(t)} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) J} dt, \tag{6.37}$$

where the sequences $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and the functions $a(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorems 6.6 and 6.4, respectively.

Remark 6.8. In view of (6.36), (2.38) and (6.31), (6.26), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \eta_k^* + \int_0^{\ell} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) dt = \Phi_1 \Phi_1^* + \Phi_2 \Phi_2^* = \Phi \Phi^*. \tag{6.38}$$

7. Triangular model of a bounded linear operator which is simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace

7.1. The case of real spectrum

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and let the operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to the finite dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ (see Definition 4.25). We suppose that $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$. We embed the operator A in a simple colligation (see Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3)

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J), \tag{7.1}$$

such that $\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. From Theorem 6.4 it follows that the characteristic function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ of the colligation α admits the representation (6.24):

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{i}{z - a(t)} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) J} dt. \tag{7.2}$$

Here $a(t)$ is a scalar non-decreasing function on $[0, \ell]$, $\xi(t)$ is a measurable $r \times p$ -matrix function on $[0, \ell]$, where $p \leq q = \text{rank } \Phi \leq r$, such that there exists a set of positive measure on $[0, \ell]$ such that $\text{rank } \xi(t) = p$ on its points and the conditions (see (6.25) and (6.26))

$$\text{Sp } \xi(t) \xi^*(t) = 1 \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell], \tag{7.3}$$

$$\int_0^{\ell} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) dt = \Phi \Phi^* \tag{7.4}$$

hold.

We consider now the space

$$\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m := L^2([0, \ell], \mathbb{C}^r), \tag{7.5}$$

the elements of which are defined on $[0, \ell]$ by the vector functions

$$h(x) := \text{col} \{h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_p(x)\}, \quad h_k(x) \in L^2[0, \ell], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \tag{7.6}$$

where the number p is defined by the conditions of Theorem 6.4. The scalar product of the elements $h, g \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m$ is defined in the following way

$$\langle h, f \rangle := \int_0^\ell \langle h(x), f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} dx = \int_0^\ell \sum_{k=1}^p h_k(x) \overline{f_k(x)} dx. \tag{7.7}$$

We define in $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m$ the operator \hat{A}_m by setting

$$(\hat{A}_m h)(x) := a(x)h(x) + i \int_x^\ell \xi^*(x) J \xi(t) h(t) dt. \tag{7.8}$$

From the boundedness of the function $a(x)$ on $[0, \ell]$ and (7.4) it follows the boundedness of the operator \hat{A}_m .

It can be easily seen that

$$(\hat{A}_m^* h)(x) := a(x)h(x) - i \int_0^x \xi^*(x) J \xi(t) h(t) dt. \tag{7.9}$$

Thus,

$$\left(\frac{\hat{A}_m - \hat{A}_m^*}{i} h \right) (x) = \int_0^\ell \xi^*(x) J \xi(t) h(t) dt. \tag{7.10}$$

We define the mapping $\hat{\Phi}_m \in [\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m, \mathbb{C}^r]$ by setting

$$\hat{\Phi}_m h := \int_0^\ell \xi(t) h(t) dt, \quad h \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m. \tag{7.11}$$

Then

$$(\hat{\Phi}_m^* g)(x) = \xi^*(x) g, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, \quad x \in [0, \ell],$$

and (7.10) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{1}{i} (\hat{A}_m - \hat{A}_m^*) = \hat{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m. \tag{7.12}$$

Thus, the quintuple

$$\hat{\alpha}_m := (\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m, \mathbb{C}^r; \hat{A}_m, \hat{\Phi}_m, J) \tag{7.13}$$

is an operator colligation.

Let the function $S(x, z)$, $x \in [0, \ell]$, $z \in \rho(A)$, the values of which are linear operators in \mathbb{C}^r , be a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dS(x, z)}{dx} = \frac{i}{z-a(x)} S(x, z) \xi(x) \xi^*(x) J, & x \in [0, \ell], \\ S(0, z) \equiv I_r. \end{cases} \tag{7.14}$$

Then

$$S(x, z) = I_r + \int_0^x \frac{i}{z-a(t)} S(t, z) \xi(t) \xi^*(t) dt J \tag{7.15}$$

and (see Subsection 5.3)

$$S(x, z) = \int_0^{\overleftarrow{x}} e^{\frac{i}{z-a(t)}\xi(t)\xi^*(t)J}.$$

The Cauchy problem (7.14) and the integral equation (7.15) are analogous to the Cauchy problem (5.50) and the integral equation (5.51), respectively. Now setting (see (5.53))

$$\zeta(x, z) := -\frac{1}{z-a(x)}S(x, z)\xi(x) \quad (7.16)$$

and repeating the consideration of Subsection 5.4 we get

$$S_{\hat{\alpha}_m}(z) = S(\ell, z) = \int_0^{\overleftarrow{\ell}} e^{\frac{i}{z-a(t)}\xi(t)\xi^*(t)J} dt. \quad (7.17)$$

Thus,

$$S_{\hat{\alpha}_m}(z) = S_\alpha(z).$$

From this and Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7.1 ([25, 14]). *The operator colligation α (see (7.1)) is unitarily equivalent to the principal part of the colligation $\hat{\alpha}_m$.*

Corollary 7.2. *Let the operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace \mathfrak{E} and suppose that it possesses real spectrum. Then the operator A is unitarily equivalent to the completely non-unitary part of the model operator \hat{A}_m of form (7.8).*

7.2. The case that the invariant subspaces which correspond to the complex eigenvalues generate the whole space

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and let $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to the finite dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ (see Definition 4.25). We suppose that the space \mathfrak{H} is the closure of the linear hull of the invariant subspaces formed by the non-real points of the spectrum of the operator A (see Theorem 4.18). For definiteness we assume that there are infinitely many such points. We embed the operator A in a simple colligation (see Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3)

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J), \quad (7.18)$$

such that $\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. From Theorem 6.6 it follows that the characteristic function $S_\alpha(z)$ of the colligation α admits the representation (6.33)

$$S_\alpha(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right). \quad (7.19)$$

Here $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of non-real eigenvalues of the operator A counted with respect to their multiplicity and $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of column vectors from the space \mathbb{C}^r such that the equations

$$\eta_k J \eta_k^* = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (7.20)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \eta_k^* = \Phi \Phi^* \quad (7.21)$$

hold.

We consider now the space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_m := \ell^2, \quad (7.22)$$

the elements of which have the form

$$h = \operatorname{col}(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n, \dots), \quad h_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k|^2 < +\infty, \quad (7.23)$$

and the scalar product of the elements $h, f \in \ell^2$ is defined in the usual way

$$\langle h, f \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \overline{f_k}.$$

We define the operator \tilde{A}_m in ℓ^2 by setting

$$(\tilde{A}_m h)_k = \lambda_k h_k + i \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} \eta_k^* J \eta_{\ell} h_{\ell}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (7.24)$$

From the boundedness of the sequence $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and (7.18) it follows the boundedness of the operator \tilde{A}_m .

It can be easily seen that

$$(\tilde{A}_m^* h)_k = \overline{\lambda_k} h_k - i \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \eta_k^* J \eta_{\ell} h_{\ell}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (7.25)$$

Thus taking into account (7.16), we get

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{A}_m - \tilde{A}_m^*}{i} h \right)_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \eta_k^* J \eta_{\ell} h_{\ell}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (7.26)$$

We define the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}_m \in [\ell^2, \mathbb{C}^r]$ by setting

$$\tilde{\Phi}_m h := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \eta_{\ell} h_{\ell}. \quad (7.27)$$

Then

$$(\tilde{\Phi}_m^* g)_k = \eta_k^* g, \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (7.28)$$

and (7.20) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{1}{i} (\tilde{A}_m - \tilde{A}_m^*) = \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \tilde{\Phi}_m. \quad (7.29)$$

Thus, the quintuple

$$\tilde{\alpha}_m := (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_m, \mathbb{C}^r; \tilde{A}_m, \tilde{\Phi}_m, J) \quad (7.30)$$

is an operator colligation.

We consider the sequence of matrix functions

$$\begin{cases} S(0, z) \equiv I_r, \\ S(k, z) = \prod_{\ell=1}^k (I_r + \frac{i}{z-\lambda_\ell} \eta_\ell \eta_\ell^* J), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad (7.31)$$

The sequence $\{S(k, z)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ satisfies the system of difference equations

$$\begin{cases} S(k+1, z) - S(k, z) = \frac{i}{z-\lambda_{k+1}} S(k, z) \eta_{k+1} \eta_{k+1}^* J, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ S(0, z) \equiv I_r. \end{cases} \quad (7.32)$$

Hence,

$$S(k, z) = I_r + \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{i}{z-\lambda_\ell} S(\ell-1, z) \eta_\ell \eta_\ell^* J, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7.33)$$

In view of (7.31), we get

$$S_\alpha(z) = S(\infty, z) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S(k, z). \quad (7.34)$$

We note that the system of difference equations (7.31) and the identities (7.32) are discrete analogues of the Cauchy problem (5.50) (see also (7.14)) and the integral equation (5.51) (see also (7.15)), respectively.

Repeating the considerations of Subsection 5.4, we set (see (5.53) and also (7.16))

$$\zeta_k(z) := -\frac{1}{z-\lambda_k} S(k-1, z) \eta_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (7.35)$$

Then the vector

$$\zeta_g(z) := \text{col}(\zeta_1^*(z)g, \zeta_2^*(z)g, \dots, \zeta_k^*(z)g, \dots), \quad g \in \mathbb{C}^r,$$

belongs to ℓ^2 .

From (7.25), (7.28), (7.33), and (7.35) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\tilde{A}_m^* - \bar{z} I_{\tilde{Y}_m}) \zeta_g(z) \right)_k &= \bar{\lambda}_k \zeta_k^*(z)g - i \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \eta_k^* J \eta_\ell \zeta_\ell^*(z)g - \bar{z} \zeta_k^*(z)g \\ &= \eta_k^* \left(S^*(k-1, z) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \frac{i}{\bar{z}-\lambda_\ell} J \eta_\ell \eta_\ell^* S^*(\ell-1, z) \right) g \\ &= \eta_k^* g = (\tilde{\Phi}_m^* g)_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

From this by taking into account (7.28), (7.33), and (7.35) we infer for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{C}^r$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_m (\tilde{A}_m - zI_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m})^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J g_1, g_2 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} = \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J g_1, (\tilde{A}_m^* - \bar{z}I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m})^{-1} \tilde{\Phi}_m^* g_2 \right\rangle_{\ell^2} \\ & = \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J g_1, \zeta_{g_2}(z) \right\rangle_{\ell^2} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g_2^* \zeta_{\ell}(z) \eta_{\ell}^* J g_1 \\ & = \left\langle - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda_{\ell}} S(\ell - 1, z) \eta_{\ell} \eta_{\ell}^* J g_1, g_2 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} = \langle i(S(\infty, z) - I_r) g_1, g_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$S_{\tilde{\alpha}_m}(z) = S_{\alpha}(z).$$

Thus

$$S_{\tilde{\alpha}_m}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{i}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right). \tag{7.36}$$

From Theorem 7.7 (see Subsection 7.4) it follows that the colligation $\tilde{\alpha}_m$ is simple. From this and Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7.3 ([25, 14]). *The operator colligation α (see (7.1)) is unitarily equivalent to the operator colligation $\tilde{\alpha}_m$.*

Corollary 7.4. *Let the operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace and suppose that the space \mathfrak{H} is the closure of the linear hull of the invariant subspaces which correspond to the non-real points of the spectrum of the operator A . Then the operator A is unitarily equivalent to the model operator \tilde{A}_m of form (7.24).*

7.3. The general case

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and let $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to the finite dimensional subspace $\mathfrak{E} \subset \mathfrak{H}$ (see Definition 4.25). We embed the operator A in a simple colligation (see Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.3)

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, J), \tag{7.37}$$

such that $\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. The characteristic function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ belongs to the class $\Omega_J(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and from Theorem 6.7 it follows that $S_{\alpha}(z)$ admits the representation (6.37), namely

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{1}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right) \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{-1}{z - a(t)} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) J} dt. \tag{7.38}$$

Here $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of non-real eigenvalues of the operator A counted with respect to their multiplicity (we suppose that there are infinitely many of such eigenvalues), $\{\eta_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of column vectors from the space \mathbb{C}^r such that the equations (see (6.31))

$$\eta_k J \eta_k^* = 2 \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{7.39}$$

hold. Further, $a(t)$ is a scalar non-decreasing function on $[0, \ell]$, $\xi(t)$ is a measurable $r \times p$ -matrix function on $[0, \ell]$, where $p \leq r$, such that there exists a set of positive measure on $[0, \ell]$ such that $\text{rank } \xi(t) = p$ on its points and the conditions (see (6.25) and (6.38))

$$\text{Sp } \xi(t)\xi^*(t) = 1, \quad \text{a. e. on } [0, \ell], \quad (7.40)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \eta_k^* + \int_0^{\ell} \xi(t)\xi^*(t)dt = \Phi\Phi^* \quad (7.41)$$

are satisfied.

We consider now the space

$$\mathfrak{H}_m := \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m \quad (7.42)$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m := \ell^2$, $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m := L^2([0, \ell], \mathbb{C}^r)$ (see (7.22) and (7.5)), the elements h_m of which have the form $h_m := (h, h(x))$ where the vector h and the vector function $h(x)$ have the form (7.23) and (7.6), respectively.

In the space \mathfrak{H}_m we consider the operator $A_m h_m = g_m$ by setting

$$\begin{cases} g_k := \lambda_k h_k + i \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{\infty} \eta_k^* J \eta_{\ell} h_{\ell} + i \int_0^{\ell} \eta_k^* J \xi(t) h(t) dt, \\ g(t) := \alpha(x) h(x) + i \int_x^{\ell} \xi^*(x) J \xi(t) h(t) dt. \end{cases} \quad (7.43)$$

We note that the operator $\Gamma_m \in [\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m]$, of the form

$$(g)_k = i \int_0^{\ell} \eta_k^* J \xi(t) h(t) dt, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $h(t) \in \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m$ and $g \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m$, can be written in the form

$$\Gamma_m = i \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m, \quad (7.44)$$

where the operators $\hat{\Phi}_m \in [\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m, \mathbb{C}^r]$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_m \in [\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m, \mathbb{C}^r]$ have the form (7.11) and (7.27), respectively. Thus, from (7.43), (7.24), (7.8), and (7.44) it follows that with respect to the decomposition (7.42) of the space \mathfrak{H}_m the operator A_m has the block form

$$A_m = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_m & \Gamma_m \\ 0 & \hat{A}_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_m & i \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m \\ 0 & \hat{A}_m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.45)$$

From this, taking into account (7.12) and (7.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i}(A_m - A_m^*) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{i}(\tilde{A}_m - \tilde{A}_m^*) & \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m \\ \hat{\Phi}_m^* J \tilde{\Phi}_m & \frac{1}{i}(\hat{A}_m - \hat{A}_m^*) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \tilde{\Phi}_m & \tilde{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m \\ \hat{\Phi}_m^* J \tilde{\Phi}_m & \hat{\Phi}_m^* J \hat{\Phi}_m \end{pmatrix} = \Phi_m^* J \Phi_m \end{aligned} \quad (7.46)$$

where the operator $\Phi_m \in [\mathfrak{H}_m, \mathbb{C}^r]$ with respect to the decomposition (7.42) has the block form

$$\Phi_m := (\tilde{\Phi}_m, \hat{\Phi}_m). \quad (7.47)$$

From (7.46) it follows that the quintuple

$$\alpha_m := (\mathfrak{H}_m, \mathbb{C}^r; A_m, \Phi_m, J) \quad (7.48)$$

is an operator colligation.

From (7.45) and (7.47) it follows that the operator colligation α_m can be represented as product of the colligations $\tilde{\alpha}_m$ and $\hat{\alpha}_m$ of the form (7.30) and (7.13), respectively:

$$\alpha_m = \tilde{\alpha}_m \hat{\alpha}_m. \tag{7.49}$$

From this, taking into account Theorem 2.8 and the form of the characteristic functions $S_{\tilde{\alpha}_m}(z)$, $S_{\hat{\alpha}_m}(z)$, and $S_\alpha(z)$ (see (7.36), (7.6), and (7.38), respectively), we get

$$S_{\alpha_m}(z) = S_{\tilde{\alpha}_m}(z) S_{\hat{\alpha}_m}(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(I_r + \frac{1}{z - \lambda_k} \eta_k \eta_k^* J \right) \int_0^{\ell} e^{\frac{1}{z - a(t)} \xi(t) \xi^*(t) J} dt = S_\alpha(z).$$

From this and Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 7.5 ([25, 14]). *The operator colligation α (see (7.36)) is unitarily equivalent to the principal part of the colligation α_m (see (7.48)).*

Corollary 7.6. *Let the operator $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be simple with respect to a finite dimensional subspace. Then the operator A is unitarily equivalent to the completely non-unitary part of the model operator A_m of the form (7.43).*

7.4. Redundant part of the triangular model

An important role for the description of the redundant part of the model colligation α_m of the form (7.48) (see Definition 2.15) plays the block representation (7.45) of the model operator A_m and the factorization generated by the representation (7.49).

Theorem 7.7 ([14]). *The additional part of the model colligation α_m (see (7.48)) coincides with the additional component of the colligation $\hat{\alpha}_m$ of form (7.13).*

Proof. The block representation (7.45) of the model operator A_m is generated by the decomposition (7.42) of the model space \mathfrak{H}_m as the orthogonal sum of the subspaces $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m = \ell^2$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m = L^2([0, \ell], \mathbb{C}^r)$. We denote by e_k the vector of the subspace $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m$, the k -th coordinate of which is 1 whereas all remaining coordinates are 0. The vectors $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ form an orthonormal basis in $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m$ and with respect to this basis the operator $\tilde{A}_m := \text{Rstr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m} A_m$ has the triangular representation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_m e_1 &= \lambda_1 e_1, \\ \tilde{A}_m e_2 &= a_{12} e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2, \\ \tilde{A}_m e_3 &= a_{13} e_1 + a_{23} e_2 + \lambda_3 e_3, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{j\ell} = i \eta_j^* J \eta_\ell$ for $j < \ell$.

Let (see Definition 2.15)

$$\mathfrak{H}_m = \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m} \oplus \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} \quad (7.50)$$

and let P_0 be the orthoprojection from \mathfrak{H}_m onto the subspace $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}$, which is an inner subspace of the redundant part of the colligation α_m .

With respect to the decomposition (7.50) the operator A_m is written as the orthogonal sum

$$A_m = A_{\alpha_m} \oplus A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}, \quad (7.51)$$

where $A_{\alpha_m} \in [\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}]$, $A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} \in [\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}]$ and $A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}$ is a self-adjoint operator.

From (7.51) it follows $A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} P_0 = A_m P_0 = P_0 A_m$ and

$$A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} P_0 e_1 = P_0 A_m e_1 = \lambda_1 e_1.$$

Since $A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}$ is a self-adjoint operator and $\lambda_1 \neq \overline{\lambda_1}$, it follows $P_0 e_1 = 0$. Analogously, we find

$$A_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} P_0 e_2 = P_0 A_m e_2 = P_0 (a_{12} e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2) = \lambda_2 P_0 e_2$$

and, thus, $P_0 e_2 = 0$. Continuing this procedure, we get $P_0 h = 0$ for each $h \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m$. This means $\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{H}}_m$. From this it follows

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{\hat{\alpha}_m}^{(0)}, \quad (7.52)$$

where $\mathfrak{H}_{\hat{\alpha}_m}^{(0)}$ is the inner subspace of the redundant part of the colligation $\hat{\alpha}_m$.

On the other side, it is obvious that

$$\text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}} \hat{\Phi}_m^* = 0.$$

From this and (7.45) we get

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\hat{\alpha}_m}^{(0)} \subset \mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)}.$$

Together with (7.52) this implies

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\alpha_m}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{H}_{\hat{\alpha}_m}^{(0)}. \quad \square$$

7.5. Two appendices on dissipative operators

7.5.1. Dissipative colligations.

Definition 7.8 ([11, Chapter I]). The colligation

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, J) \quad (7.53)$$

is called *dissipative*, if $J = I_{\mathfrak{G}}$.

The fundamental operator of a dissipative colligation is dissipative because for an arbitrary vector $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ it holds

$$\left\langle \frac{A - A^*}{i} h, h \right\rangle = \langle \Phi^* \Phi h, h \rangle = \|\Phi h\|^2 \geq 0.$$

The converse assertion is not true. Using the method presented in Theorem 2.2, one can easily construct a nondissipative colligation with dissipative fundamental operator. However, setting in the proof of this theorem $\mathfrak{G} := \overline{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)}$, we come to the following assertion.

Lemma 7.9 ([11, Chapter I]). *Each dissipative operator can be embedded in a dissipative colligation.*

Theorem 7.10 ([11, Chapter I]). *The channel subspace of a dissipative colligation $\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G}; A, \Phi, I_{\mathfrak{G}})$ coincides with the subspace of non-Hermiteness $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)}$.*

Proof. If $h \perp \mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)$, then

$$\|\Phi h\|^2 = (\Phi^* \Phi h, h) = (\text{Im } Ah, h) = 0,$$

and, consequently, $h \perp \mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)$. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)$. This leads together with (2.9) to the identity $\overline{\mathcal{R}(\Phi^*)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(\text{Im } A)}$. □

Corollary 7.11 ([11, Chapter I]). *Necessary and sufficient for the simplicity of a dissipative colligation is that its fundamental operator is completely non-selfadjoint.*

From this and Corollary 2.20 it follows:

Corollary 7.12 ([11, Chapter I]). *Let P' be the orthoprojection onto the invariant subspace \mathfrak{H}' of the completely non-selfadjoint dissipative operator A . Then the operator $\text{Rstr}_{\mathfrak{H}'} P' A$ is completely non-selfadjoint.*

We note that in [11, Chapter I] there is given a description of the class of characteristic functions of dissipative colligations.

7.5.2. Volterra operators with one-dimensional imaginary part. A completely continuous operator is called *Volterra operator*, if its spectrum consists only of the point zero. Let in the space \mathfrak{H} be given a completely non-selfadjoint Volterra operator A with a one-dimensional imaginary part:

$$\frac{1}{i}(A - A^*) = \ell \langle h, h_0 \rangle h_0, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}, \|h_0\| = 1, \ell \neq 0. \quad (7.54)$$

An example of such operator is the integral operator (3.28) from Subsection 3.5. If $\ell > 0$, then the operator A is dissipative. Otherwise, the operator $-A$ is dissipative. In this section we assume $\ell > 0$.

It holds the following important assertion.

Theorem 7.13 ([25]). *Each completely non-selfadjoint dissipative Volterra operator A with one-dimensional imaginary part (7.54) is unitarily equivalent to the integration operator*

$$(\mathfrak{I}f)(x) = i \int_x^\ell f(t) dt \quad (7.55)$$

in $L_2(0, \ell)$.

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau = 1$. We define the operator $\Phi \in [\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}]$ by setting

$$\Phi h = \sqrt{\ell} \langle h, h_0 \rangle \tau, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (7.56)$$

Then

$$\Phi^* \tau = \sqrt{\ell} h_0. \quad (7.57)$$

From (7.54) and (7.55), (7.56) it follows

$$\Phi^* \Phi h = \ell \langle h, h_0 \rangle h_0 = \text{Im } Ah, \quad h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Hence, the quintuple

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}; A, \Phi, I_{\mathbb{C}}) \tag{7.58}$$

is a dissipative colligation. From the complet non-selfadjointness of the operator A it follows the simplicity of the colligation α .

In the considered case from (7.56) and (7.57) we get

$$\Phi \Phi^* = (\Phi \Phi^* \tau, \tau) = \|\Phi^* \tau\|^2 = \ell. \tag{7.59}$$

The function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ belongs to the class $\Omega_{I_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathbb{C})$ and, as it follows from Theorem 6.7, it admits the representation (6.37) with $r = 1$. We note that in view of Corollary 7.12 zero can not be an eigenvalue of the operator A . Moreover, from the dissipativity and the Volterra property of the operator A it follows, that in the representation (6.37) the discrete product is missing and for each $t \in [0, \ell]$: $\alpha(t) = 0$, $\xi(t) = 1$. So, the representation (6.37) for the function $S_{\alpha}(z)$ has the form

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = e^{i\frac{\ell}{z}}. \tag{7.60}$$

From Theorem 7.5 it follows that in the given case the model space \mathfrak{H}_m (see (7.42)) is the space $L^2[0, \ell]$, whereas the model operator A_m (see (7.43)) is the integration operator \mathfrak{J} . The assertion of the theorem follows now from Corollary 7.6 and Theorem 7.7. Hence, the model colligation α_m (see (7.48)) coincides in the given case with the colligation $\hat{\alpha}$ (see (3.34)). \square

We note that the unitarily equivalence of the colligations α and $\hat{\alpha}$ follows immediately from (7.60), (3.36), the simplicity of the colligations α , $\hat{\alpha}$, and Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 7.14 ([25]). *Let the fundamental operator A of the operator colligation α be a completely non-selfadjoint dissipative Volterra operator with one-dimensional imaginary part of the form (7.54). Then*

$$S_{\alpha}(z) = e^{i\frac{\ell}{z}}.$$

The following assumption leads to an important class of unicellular operators.

Theorem 7.15. *Each completely non-selfadjoint Volterra operator with one-dimensional imaginary part is a unicellular operator.*

In view of the M. S. Livšić theorem 7.13 each such operator A with one-dimensional imaginary part of the form (7.54) with $\ell > 0$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator \mathfrak{J} of integration (see (7.55)). If $\ell < 0$, then this property has the operator $-A$.

For this reason, Theorem 7.15 is equivalent to the following statement:

Theorem 7.16 ([9, 45, 21]). *The integration operator \mathfrak{I} (see (7.55)) is a unicellular operator. The set of its invariant subspaces coincides with the set of subspaces $\{\mathfrak{L}_\sigma\}_{0 \leq \sigma \leq \ell}$, where \mathfrak{L}_σ is the set of all functions $f(t) \in L_2(0, \ell)$, which vanish almost everywhere in $[\sigma, \ell]$.*

Proof. Let $L_1 \subset L_2(0, \ell)$ be some invariant subspace of the operator \mathfrak{I} . We consider the colligation $\hat{\alpha}$ (see (3.34))

$$\hat{\alpha} = (L_2(0, \ell), \mathbb{C}; \mathfrak{I}, \Phi, I_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

where the operator $\Phi \in [L_2(0, \ell), \mathbb{C}]$ is defined by (3.33) and let the colligation

$$\alpha_1 = (L_1, \mathbb{C}; \mathfrak{I}_1, \Phi_1, I_{\mathbb{C}})$$

be the projection of the colligation $\hat{\alpha}$ onto the subspace L_1 , i. e. (see Subsection 2.3.3)

$$\mathfrak{I}_1 := \text{Rstr}_{L_1} \mathfrak{I}, \quad \Phi_1 := \text{Rstr}_{L_1} \Phi.$$

Obviously, the operator \mathfrak{I}_1 has the Volterra property and is dissipative. Since the operator \mathfrak{I} is completely non-selfadjoint then in view of Corollary 7.12, the operator \mathfrak{I}_1 is completely non-selfadjoint, too. But then in view of Corollary 7.14

$$S_{\alpha_1}(z) = e^{i\frac{\ell}{z}},$$

where (see (7.59))

$$\ell_1 = \|\Phi_1^* \tau\|^2 = \|P_{L_1} \Phi^* \tau\|^2 \leq \|\Phi^* \tau\|^2 = \ell.$$

Here P_{L_1} is the orthoprojection in $L_2(0, \ell)$ onto L_1 , $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau = 1$.

Let $\hat{\alpha}_\sigma$ be the projection of the colligation $\hat{\alpha}$ onto the invariant subspace \mathfrak{L}_σ with respect to the operator \mathfrak{I} , $0 \leq \sigma \leq \ell$:

$$\hat{\alpha}_\sigma := (\mathfrak{L}_\sigma, \mathbb{C}; \mathfrak{I}_\sigma, \Phi_\sigma, I_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Obviously

$$S_{\hat{\alpha}_\sigma}(z) = e^{i\frac{\sigma}{z}}.$$

Hence,

$$S_{\alpha_1}(z) = S_{\hat{\alpha}_{\ell_1}}(z),$$

and the functions $S_{\alpha_1}(z)$ and $S_{\hat{\alpha}_{\ell_1}}(z)$ are regular right divisors of the function $S_{\hat{\alpha}}(z)$. In view of Theorem 4.14 it holds $L_1 = \mathfrak{L}_{\ell_1}$ and this means $\alpha_1 = \hat{\alpha}_{\ell_1}$. \square

Corollary 7.17. *Necessary and sufficient for the denseness of the linear hull of the sequence of functions*

$$\mathfrak{I}^n f(x) \quad (f(x) \in L_2(0, \ell), \mathfrak{I}f(x) = i \int_x^\ell f(t) dt, n = 0, 1, 2 \dots)$$

in $L_2(0, \ell)$ is that for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ the set of all points x of the interval $[\ell - \epsilon, \ell]$ for which $f(x) \neq 0$ has a positive measure.

7.5.3. Completeness criterion for the finite-dimensional invariant subspaces of a dissipative operator with finite-dimensional imaginary part. Let $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be a dissipative operator with finite-dimensional imaginary part. We embed the operator A in a simple colligation (see Theorem 2.2, Remark 2.3, Lemma 7.9 and Theorem 7.10)

$$\alpha := (\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{C}^r; A, \Phi, I_r),$$

where $I_r := I_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ and $r := \dim \overline{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{Im} A)}$. The function $S_\alpha(z)$ belongs to the class $\Omega_{I_r}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and, as it follows from Theorem 6.7, it admits the representation (6.37).

Taking into account (6.25), from identity (6.38) we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sp} \eta_k \eta_k^* + \ell = \operatorname{Sp} \Phi \Phi^*.$$

From this, the dissipativity of the operator A and identity (6.31) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k \eta_k^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sp} \eta_k^* \eta_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sp} \Phi \Phi^* - \frac{\ell}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sp} \Phi^* \Phi - \frac{\ell}{2} = \operatorname{Sp} \frac{A - A^*}{2i} - \frac{\ell}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are the non-real eigenvalues of the operator A . Hence,

$$\operatorname{Sp} \operatorname{Im} A = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k + \frac{\ell}{2},$$

i. e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im} \lambda_k \leq \operatorname{Sp} \operatorname{Im} A. \tag{7.61}$$

If there is equality in this inequality then we have $\ell = 0$ and in the triangular model of the operator A the continuous part \hat{A}_m is missing, i. e. $\mathfrak{H}_m = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_m$.

Consequently, we have the following statement.

Theorem 7.18 ([25]). *Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space and let $A \in [\mathfrak{H}]$ be a dissipative operator with finite-dimensional imaginary part. Then it holds the inequality (7.61). The system of finite-dimensional invariant subspaces of the operator A is complete in the space \mathfrak{H} if there is equality in (7.61).*

We note that Theorem 7.18 is true for all completely non-selfadjoint dissipative operators with nuclear imaginary part, i. e., such operators A , for which the condition $\operatorname{Sp} \operatorname{Im} A < +\infty$ is satisfied ([25]).

Acknowledgment

The first author would like to express special thanks to Professor Tatjana Eisner for her generous support. He also thanks the Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, in particular Professor Christian Doeller, Dr Christina Schroeder, and Dr Sebastian Ziegeaus.

The first author was also partially supported by the Volkswagen Foundation grant within the frameworks of the international project “From Modeling and Analysis to Approximation”.

References

- [1] Adamyan, V. M.; Arov, D. Z.: *Unitary couplings of semi-unitary operators.* (Russian) *Mat. Issled.* 1 1966 vyp. 2, 3–64.
- [2] Akhiezer, N. I.; Glazman, I. M.: *Teoriya lineĭnykh operatorov v gil'bertovom prostranstve. (Russian)* [The Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space] Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow-Leningrad,] 1950. 483 pp.
- [3] Akhiezer, N. I.; Glazman, I. M.: *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space.* Translated from the Russian and with a preface by Merlynd Nestell. Reprint of the 1961 and 1963 translations. Two volumes bound as one. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1993. xiv+147+iv+218 pp.
- [4] Arov, D. Z.; Dym, H.: *J-contractive matrix valued functions and related topics.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 116. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. xii+575 pp.
- [5] Ball, J. A.; Cohen, N.: *de Branges-Rovnyak operator models and systems theory: a survey.* Topics in matrix and operator theory (Rotterdam, 1989), 93–136, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 50, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
- [6] de Branges, L.; Rovnyak, J.: *Canonical models in quantum scattering theory.* 1966 Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics (Proc. Adv. Sem. Math. Res. Center, U.S. Army, Theoret. Chem. Inst., Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1965) pp. 295–392 Wiley, New York
- [7] Brodskii, M. S.: *Characteristic matrix functions of linear operators. (Russian)* *Mat. Sb. N.S.* **39(81)** (1956), 179–200. English translation {MR18.220}.
- [8] Brodskii, M. S.: *Unicellularity criteria for Volterra operators. (Russian)* *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **138** 1961 512–514. English translation. *Soviet. Math. Dokl.* 2 (1961), 631–639 {MR24#A1015}.
- [9] Brodskii, M. S.: *On a problem of I. M. Gelfand. (Russian)* *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* (N.S.) **12** 1957 no. 2(74), 129–132.
- [10] Brodskii, M. S.: *A multiplicative representation of certain analytic operator-functions. (Russian)* *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **138** 1961 751–754. English translation. *Soviet. Math. Dokl.* 2 (1961), 695–698.
- [11] Brodskii, M. S.: *Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators.* Nauka, Moscow 1969. Translated from the Russian by J. M. Danskin. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 32. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1971. viii+246 pp.
- [12] Brodskii, M. S.: *Unitary operator colligations and their characteristic functions. (Russian)* *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 33 (1978), no. 4(202), 141–168, 256.
- [13] Brodskii, M. S.; Kisilevskii, G. E.: *Criterion for unicellularity of dissipative Volterra operators with nuclear imaginary components. (Russian)* *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **30** 1966 1213–1228. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) 65 (1967), 282–296.

- [14] Brodskii, M. S.; Livšic, M. S.: *Spectral analysis of non-self-adjoint operators and intermediate systems. (Russian)* Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 13 1958 no. 1(79), 3–85. English translation in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 13 1960 265–346.
- [15] Brodskii, M. S.; Šmul'jan, Ju. L.: *Invariant subspaces of a linear operator and divisors of its characteristic function. (Russian)* Uspehi Mat. Nauk **19** 1964 no. 1 (115), 143–149.
- [16] Garcia, S. R.; Mashreghi, J.; Ross, W. T.: *Introduction to model spaces and their operators.* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 148. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [17] Ginzburg, Yu. P.: *On J -contractive operator functions. (Russian)* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 117 1957 171–173.
- [18] Gohberg, I. Ts.: *On linear operators depending analytically on a parameter. (Russian)* Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **78**, (1951). 629–632.
- [19] Gohberg, I. C.; Krein, M. G.: *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators.* Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969 xv+378 pp.
- [20] Gohberg, I. C.; Krein, M. G.: *Theory and applications of Volterra operators in Hilbert space.* Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 24 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1970 x+430 pp.
- [21] Kalisch, G. K.: *A functional analysis proof of Titchmarsh's theorem on convolution.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 5 (1962), 176–183.
- [22] Koosis, P.: *Introduction to H^p spaces,* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge etc. 1998.
- [23] Kuzhel', A. V.: Evolution of the concept of the characteristic function of a linear operator. (Russian. English, Ukrainian summary) Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. 45 (1993), no. 6, 731–743; translation in Ukrainian Math. J. 45 (1993), no. 6, 803–815 (1994)
- [24] Livšic, M. S.: *On a certain class of linear operators in Hilbert space.* (Russian. English summary) Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. 19(61), (1946). 239–262. English translation in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 13 1960 61–83.
- [25] Livšic, M. S.: *On spectral decomposition of linear nonself-adjoint operators. (Russian)* Mat. Sbornik N.S. 34(76), (1954). 145–199. English translation in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 5 1957 67–114.
- [26] Livšic, M. S.: *The application of non-self-adjoint operators to scattering theory. (Russian)* Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz. 31 (1956), 121–131. English translation in: Soviet Physics. JETP 4 (1957), 91–98.
- [27] Livšic, M. S.: *The method of non-selfadjoint operators in scattering theory. (Russian)* Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 12 (1957), no. 1(73), 212–218. English translation in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 16 1960 427–434.
- [28] Livšic, M. S.: *A mathematical problem connected with the theory of longitudinally polarized particles. (Russian)* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 131 797–800 (Russian); translated as Soviet Physics. Dokl. 5 1960 341–344.
- [29] Livšic, M. S.: *Method of non-selfadjoint operators in the theory of waveguides.* Radiotehn. i Elektron. 7 (1962), 291–297. In Russian; English translation in Radio Eng. Electron. Phys. 7 (1962), 260–276.

- [30] Livšic, M. S.: *Linear physical systems connected with the outside world by channels of communication. (Russian)* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 27:5 (1963), 993–1030.
- [31] Livšic, M. S.: *Open systems as linear automata. (Russian)* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 27 1963 1215–1228.
- [32] Livšic, M. S.: *Operators, oscillations, waves (open systems)*. Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, Ltd. English translation edited by R. Herden. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973. vi+274 pp.
- [33] Livšic, M. S.: *Collective motions of spatio-temporal systems*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116 (1986), no. 1, 22–41.
- [34] Livšic, M. S.; Flekser, M. Š.: *Expansion of a reactive four-terminal network into a chain of simplest four-terminal networks*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 135 542–544 (Russian); translated as Soviet Physics. Dokl. 5 1960 1150–1152.
- [35] Livšic, M. S.; Potapov, V. P.: *A theorem on the multiplication of characteristic matrix functions. (Russian)* Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 72, (1950). 625–628.
- [36] Livšic, M. S.; Yantsevich, A. A.: *Theory of operator colligations in Hilbert spaces. (Russian)* Izdat. Har'kov. Univ., Kharkov, 1971. 160 pp. Translated from the Russian as: *Operator colligations in Hilbert spaces*. Edited and with a foreword by Ronald G. Douglas. A Halsted Press Book V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; John Wiley & Sons, New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1979. xiii+212 pp.
- [37] Livšic, M. S.: *What is a particle from the standpoint of systems theory?* Integral Equations Operator Theory 14 (1991), no. 4, 552–563.
- [38] Livšic, M. S.: *The Blaschke-Potapov factorization theorem and the theory of non-selfadjoint operators*. (English summary) Topics in interpolation theory (Leipzig, 1994), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 95, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997, 391–396.
- [39] Livšic, M. S.: *Commuting non-selfadjoint operators and a unified theory of waves and corpuscles*. (English summary), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 98, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997, 163-185.
- [40] Nikolskii, N.K.: *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer Verlag, Berlin etc. 1986.
- [41] Nikolski, N. K.: *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 2. Model operators and systems*. Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann and revised by the author. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 93. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xiv+439 pp.
- [42] Potapov, V. P.: *The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix functions. (Russian)* Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 4, (1955). 125–236.
- [43] Potapov, V. P.: *Infinite products and multiplicative integral. (Russian)* In: Analysis in infinite dimensional spaces and operator theory. Kiev, Naukova Dumka 1989, pp. 117–133.
- [44] Riesz, F.; Sz. Nagy, B.: *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle. (French)* 2ème éd. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1953. viii+455 pp.
- [45] Sakhnovich, L. A.: *The spectral analysis of Volterra operators and some inverse problems. (Russian)* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 115 (1957), 666–669.

- [46] Sz.-Nagy, B.; Foias, C.; Bercovici, H.; Kerchy, L.: *Harmonic analysis of operators in Hilbert space*, Springer New-York Dordrecht Heidelberg, 2010.
- [47] Wiener, N.: *On the factorizations of matrices*, Comm. Math. Helv. **29** (1955), 97–111.

Vladimir K. Dubovoy

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Karazin National University

Kharkov

Ukraine

and

Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences

Stephanstrasse 1A

04103 Leipzig

Germany

and

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences

Inselstrasse 22

04103 Leipzig

Germany

e-mail: dubovoy.v.k@gmail.com

Bernd Kirstein

Universität Leipzig

Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik

PF 10 09 20

D-04009 Leipzig

Germany

e-mail: kirstein@math.uni-leipzig.de

Conrad Mädler

Universität Leipzig

Zentrum für Lehrer:innenbildung und Schulforschung

Prager Straße 38–40

D-04317 Leipzig

Germany

e-mail: maedler@math.uni-leipzig.de

Karsten Müller

Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences

Stephanstrasse 1A

04103 Leipzig

Germany

e-mail: karstenm@cbs.mpg.de