QUANTUM GROMOV-HAUSDORFF PROPINQUITY CONVERGENCE OF CHRISTENSEN-IVAN QUANTUM METRICS ON AF ALGEBRAS

CLAY ADAMS, KONRAD AGUILAR, ESTEBAN AYALA, EVELYNE KNIGHT, AND CHLOE MARPLE

ABSTRACT. We provide convergence in the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity of Latrémolière of some sequences of infinite-dimensional Leibniz compact quantum metric spaces of Rieffel given by AF algebras and Christensen-Ivan spectral spaces. The main examples are convergence of Effros-Shen algebras and UHF algebras.

CONTENTS

1.Introduction and Background12.Finite-dimensional approximations and associated continuous fields of *L*-seminorms33.Convergence of sequences of Effros-Shen algebras and UHF algebras11References14

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The first example of convergence of sequences of infinite-dimensional quantum metric spaces was established by Rieffel [22], where he showed that the quantum tori converged with respect their parameters that defined their anti-commutation relation. This was accomplished by the introduction of the theory of quantum metric spaces and a noncommutative analogue to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance both introduce by Rieffel in [21, 22], respectively. This introduced an new field of study known as noncommutative metric geometry, which has its roots from work of Connes in [5, 6] and Gromov [9].

Since the introduction of Rieffel's noncommutative analogue to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, there has been much progress in developing noncommutative analogues of the Gromov-Hausdorff distance to capture the C*-algebraic structure of the quantum metric space [11, 14, 15, 19, 24]. In particular, in [13], Latrémolière proved convergence of the quantum tori in this stronger sense. Moreoever, the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity of Latrémolière first introduced in [15] has been

Date: December 29, 2023.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L89, 46L30, 58B34.

Key words and phrases. Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity, quantum metric spaces, Effros–Shen algebras, UHF algebras, spectral triples.

The second author is supported by NSF grant DMS-2316892.

adapted to capture more structure such as module structure [12] and spectral triple structure [17, 18].

Another example of convergence of infinite-dimensional quantum metric spaces appeared in [2], where it was shown that the Effros-Shen algebras [8] are continuous in Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity with respect to their natural parameter space of irrationals in (0, 1) with the usual topology. It was also show that UHF algebras are continuous with respect to their natural parameter space of multiplicity sequences metrized by the Baire space. This was accomplished by introducing new quantum metrics on AF algebras equipped with faithful tracial state motivated by work of Christensen and Ivan in [4]. Now, in [4], Christensen and Ivan did introduce quantum metrics on these infinite-dimensional algebras, but at the time it wasn't clear how to provide convergence of these algebras in any noncommutative analogue to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, which is one reason why the quantum metrics of [2] were introduced which are not defined using spectral triples. But, in an effort, to bring the realms of noncommutative geometry and noncommutative metric geometry closer, it is important to provide the convergence results of these infinite-dimensional algebras of [2] with the quantum metrics induced by the spectral triples of [4], which is exactly what is accomplished in this article.

The main hurdles to overcome in proving this arise from two issues that were circumvented by the quantum metrics introduced in [2]. First, the spectral triples of [4] are constructed using equivalence constants which are only provided by existence and not explicitly given, which cause an issue when providing continuous fields of *L*-seminorms as it is difficult to control these non-explicit constants. Second, providing continuous fields of L-seminorms provided by faithful tracial states is difficult when relying on convergence in various operator norms given by different GNS representations for each spectral triple rather than a fixed C*norm. The first issue is overcome by an application of [1, Lemma 3.9], and the second issue is overcome by a generalization of [1, Lemma 3.9]. Both of these issues are overcome in Section 2, and we apply these results in the last section to provide convergence of these infinite-dimensional algebras using quantum metrics induced by Christensen-Ivan spectral triples. We only define what we mean by a Leibniz compact quantum metric space as things can get quite overwhelming as more definitions are provided, but for references regarding quantum metric spaces, propinquity and propinquity in the context of AF algebras see [2,15,16,23].

Definition 1.1. [15,21] Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C*-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and unit $1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $L : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty)$ be a seminnorm (possibly taking value ∞) such that dom(L) = $\{a \in \mathcal{A} : L(a) < \infty\}$ is a dense *-subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . If

(1) $L(a) = L(a^*)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

- (2) $\{a \in \mathcal{A} : L(a) = 0\} = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{A}},$
- (3) $L(ab) \leq ||a||_{\mathcal{A}}L(b) + ||b||_{\mathcal{A}}L(a)$ for every $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (4) the metric on the state space S(A) of A defined for every $\phi, \psi \in S(A)$ by

 $mk_L(\phi,\psi) = \sup\{|\phi(a) - \psi(a)| : a \in \mathcal{A}, L(a) \leq 1\}$

metrizes the weak* topology,

then we call *L* an *L*-seminorm and (A, L) a Leibniz compact quantum metric space.

2. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL APPROXIMATIONS AND ASSOCIATED CONTINUOUS FIELDS OF *L*-SEMINORMS

In what follows, we use various results from the beginning of [4, Section 2] with some slightly different notation. $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n}^{\|\cdot\|_A}$ be a unital AF algebra, where $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{A}}$ equipped with a faithful tracial state τ . Let H_{τ} denote the associated GNS Hilbert space with inner product defined for every $a, b \in H_{\tau}$ by

$$\langle a,b\rangle_{\tau} = \tau(b^*a)$$

and associated norm $||a||_{\tau} = \sqrt{\langle a, a \rangle_{\tau}}$. Since τ is faithful, we can canonically view A as a subspace (not necessarily closed) of H_{τ} . Let

$$\pi_{\tau}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow B(H_{\tau})$$

be the associated GNS representation such that $\pi_{\tau}(a)(b) = ab$ for every $a, b \in A$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since \mathcal{A}_n is finite dimensional, we have that \mathcal{A}_n is a closed subspace of H_{τ} . Let

$$P_n^{\tau}: H_{\tau} \to \mathcal{A}_n$$

denote the orthogonal projection of H_{τ} onto A_n and define $Q_n^{\tau} = P_n^{\tau} - P_{n-1}^{\tau}$. Let

$$E_n^{\tau}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_n$$

denote the restriction of P_n^{τ} to A, and by [2, Theorem 3.5], we have that E_n^{τ} is the unique τ -preserving conditional expectation onto A_n .

Next, since A_{n+1} is finite dimensional, there exists a sharp $c_{n+1}^{\tau} > 0$ such that

$$\|a\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leqslant c_{n+1}^{\tau} \cdot \|a\|_{\tau}$$

for every $a \in A_{n+1}$. Note that $c_{n+1}^{\tau} \ge 1$ since $\|\cdot\|_{\tau} \le \|\cdot\|_{A}$ on A. We now prove a crucial fact about these constants.

Proposition 2.1. Let $(\tau^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of faithful tracial states on \mathcal{A} and let τ be a faithful tracial state on \mathcal{A} . If $(\tau^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to τ in the weak* topology, then for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(c_N^{\tau^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to c_N^{τ} in the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. This is just [1, Proposition 3.10] applied to [1, Proposition 3.6] since norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$ is a Frobenius-Rieffel norm.

Let $(\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a summable sequence of positive reals. Set

$$a_{\beta,n+1}^{\tau} = \frac{c_{n+1}^{\tau}}{\beta_{n+1}}.$$

Next, we state a main result from [4].

Theorem 2.2. [4, Theorem 2.1] Let $(\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a summable sequence of positive reals. Using the above setting, we have that

$$D_{\beta}^{\tau} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\beta,n}^{\tau} Q_n^{\tau}$$

defines an unbounded self-adjoint operator on H_{τ} . Furthermore, if we define

$$L^{\tau}_{\beta}(a) = \|[D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{B(H_{\tau})}$$

for every $a \in A$ such that $[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]$ extends to a bounded operator on H_{τ} denoted by $[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]$, and set $L_{\beta}^{\tau}(a) = \infty$ if not, then

$$(\mathcal{A}, L^{\tau}_{\beta})$$

is a Leibniz compact quantum metric space, and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\mathcal{A}_n, L_\beta^{\tau})$ is a Leibniz compact quantum metric space such that $\operatorname{dom}(L_\beta^{\tau}(a)) \cap \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n$.

The following fact is stated after [3, Expression (4.5)], but we provide a proof here.

Proposition 2.3. Using the setting of Theorem 2.2, we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $a \in A_n$ that

$$L^{\tau}_{\beta}(a) = \| [D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(a)] \|_{B(\mathcal{A}^{\tau}_{n})},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n^{\tau} = \mathcal{A}_n$ but for $B(\mathcal{A}_n^{\tau})$ we are considering bounded operators with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$ on \mathcal{A}_n .

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a \in A_n$. By definition, we have that

$$\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau},\pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{B(\mathcal{A}_n)} \leq L_{\beta}^{\tau}(a).$$

Next, let $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We have since $\pi_{\tau}(a)$ commutes with P_n^{τ} by the proof of [4, Theorem 2.1] or the proof of Step 1 of [2, Theorem 3.5]. Moreover, $P_nP_k = P_kP_n = P_k$ and $P_nP_{k-1} = P_{k-1}P_n = P_{k-1}$ by construction. Thus

$$P_n^{\tau}[Q_k^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]P_n^{\tau} = P_n^{\tau}(Q_k^{\tau}\pi_{\tau}(a) - \pi_{\tau}(a)Q_k^{\tau})P_n^{\tau}$$

$$= P_n^{\tau}((P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})\pi_{\tau}(a) - \pi_{\tau}(a)(P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau}))P_n^{\tau}$$

$$= (P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})\pi_{\tau}(a)P_n^{\tau} - P_n^{\tau}\pi_{\tau}(a)(P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})$$

$$= (P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})P_n^{\tau}\pi_{\tau}(a) - \pi_{\tau}(a)P_n^{\tau}(P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})$$

$$= (P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})\pi_{\tau}(a) - \pi_{\tau}(a)(P_k^{\tau} - P_{k-1}^{\tau})$$

$$= Q_k^{\tau}\pi_{\tau}(a) - \pi_{\tau}(a)Q_k^{\tau}$$

$$= [Q_k^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} [D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(a)] &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{\tau}_{\beta,k} [Q^{\tau}_{k}, \pi_{\tau}(a)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^{\tau}_{\beta,k} P^{\tau}_{n} [Q^{\tau}_{k}, \pi_{\tau}(a)] P^{\tau}_{n} \\ &= P^{\tau}_{n} [D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(a)] P^{\tau}_{n}. \end{split}$$

Hence, since $(P_n^{\tau})^2 = P_n^{\tau}$ and P_n^{τ} is contractive with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$ and $P_n^{\tau}(h) \in A_n$ for every $h \in H_{\tau}$, we have

$$\begin{split} L^{\tau}_{\beta}(a) &= \|P_{n}^{\tau}[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]P_{n}^{\tau}\|_{B(H_{\tau})} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|P_{n}^{\tau}[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]P_{n}^{\tau}(h)\|_{\tau} : h \in H_{\tau}, \|h\|_{\tau} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|P_{n}^{\tau}[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)](P_{n}^{\tau})^{2}(h)\|_{\tau} : h \in H_{\tau}, \|h\|_{\tau} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|P_{n}^{\tau}[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]P_{n}^{\tau}(P_{n}^{\tau}(h))\|_{\tau} : h \in H_{\tau}, \|h\|_{\tau} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)](P_{n}^{\tau}(h))\|_{\tau} : h \in H_{\tau}, \|h\|_{\tau} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{\tau} : h \in \mathcal{A}_{n}, \|h\|_{\tau} \leqslant 1 \right\} \\ &= \|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{B(\mathcal{A}_{n})}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{B(\mathcal{A}_n)} \leq L_{\beta}^{\tau}(a) \leq \|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(a)]\|_{B(\mathcal{A}_n)}$ as desired.

With this we can provide finite-dimensional approximations, which has been conveniently already proven in [3].

Theorem 2.4. [3, Theorem 4.8] For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$\Lambda((\mathcal{A}, L_{\beta}^{\tau}), (\mathcal{A}_n, L_{\beta}^{\tau})) \leqslant \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_k$$

where Λ is the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity of [15].

The main examples of AF algebras in this article, Effros-Shen algebras and UHF algebras, are given in the setting of inductive limits of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras. Thus, we introduce notation to prove results in this setting.

Let $(\mathcal{B}_n, \alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an inductive sequence of C*-algebras (see [20, Section 6.1]) such that:

- (1) $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_n} \mathfrak{M}_{d_{n,k}}(\mathbb{C})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, where $d_{n,k} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n_n\}$;
- (2) $\alpha_n : \mathcal{B}_n \to \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ is a unital *-monomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (3) the inductive limit $\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim (\mathcal{B}_n, \alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equipped with a faithful tracial state τ .

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha^{(n)} : \mathcal{B}_n \to \mathcal{A}$ be the canonical unital *-monomorphism satisfying

(2.2)
$$\alpha^{(n+1)} \circ \alpha_n = \alpha^{(n)},$$

and if for each $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n - 1\}$, we define

$$\alpha_{k,n} = \alpha_n \circ \alpha_{n-1} \circ \cdots \alpha_k,$$

then inductively, we have

(2.3)
$$\alpha^{(n+1)} \circ \alpha_{k,n} = \alpha^{(k)}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha^{(n)}(\mathcal{B}_n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}$ and $\alpha^{(n)}(\mathcal{B}_n) \subseteq \alpha^{(n+1)}(\mathcal{B}_{n+1})$ and $\alpha^{(0)}(\mathcal{B}_0) = \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{A}}$ (see [20, Section 6.1]). So, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \alpha^{(n)}(\mathcal{B}_n).$$

As above, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$E_n^{\tau}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}_n$$

denote the unique τ -preserving faithful conditional expectation onto A_n . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

(2.4)
$$\tau_n = \tau \circ \alpha^{(n)},$$

which is a faithful tracial state on \mathcal{B}_n and let π_{τ_n} denote the associated GNS representation. Let $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n + 1\}$ let

$$E_{n+1,k}^{\tau_{n+1}}:\mathcal{B}_{n+1}\to\alpha_{k,n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$$

be the unique τ_{n+1} -preserving faithful conditional expectation onto $\alpha_{k,n}(\mathcal{B}_k)$. Define

$$Q_{n+1,k}^{\tau_{n+1}} = E_{n+1,k}^{\tau_{n+1}} - E_{n+1,k-1}^{\tau_{n+1}}$$

and let

$$D_{\beta}^{\tau_{n+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_{\beta,k}^{\tau} Q_{n+1,k}^{\tau_{n+1}}.$$

For every $a \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$, define

(2.5)
$$L_{\beta}^{\tau_{n+1}}(a) = \left\| \left[D_{\beta}^{\tau_{n+1}}, \pi_{\tau_{n+1}}(a) \right] \right\|_{B(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}^{\tau_{n+1}})}.$$

By finite dimensionality, we have that

$$(\mathcal{B}_{n+1}, L_{\beta}^{\tau_{n+1}})$$

is a Leibniz compact quantum metric space. We can now add to Proposition 2.3 in the inductive limit setting.

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *. It holds that*

$$L^{\tau}_{\beta} \circ \alpha^{(n)}(a) = \sup\{\|[D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b))\|_{\tau} : b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}, \|b\|_{\tau_{n}} \leq 1\} = L^{\tau_{n}}_{\beta}(a)$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $a \in \mathcal{B}_n$, then by Proposition 2.3

$$\begin{split} L^{\tau}_{\beta} \circ \alpha^{(n)}(a) &= L^{\tau}_{\beta}(\alpha^{(n)}(a)) \\ &= \| [D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))] \|_{B(\mathcal{A}^{\tau}_{n})} \\ &= \sup\{ \| [D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](c) \|_{\tau} : c \in \mathcal{A}_{n}, \| c \|_{\tau} \leq 1 \} \end{split}$$

Consider $c \in A_n$, then there exists a unique $b \in B_n$ such that $\alpha^{(n)}(b) = c$. We have

$$\|c\|_{\tau}^{2} = \tau(c^{*}c) = \tau\left(\alpha^{(n)}(b)^{*}\alpha^{(n)}(b)\right) = \tau\left(\alpha^{(n)}(b^{*}b)\right) = \tau_{n}(b^{*}b) = \|b\|_{\tau_{n}}^{2}.$$

Hence

$$L_{\beta}^{\tau} \circ \alpha^{(n)}(a) = \sup\{\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b))\|_{\tau} : b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}, \|b\|_{\tau_{n}} \leq 1\}$$

Let $b \in B_n$. Let $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$. Then a similar argument as the beginning of the proof of [1, Proposition 3.5] provides

$$E_k^{\tau} \circ \alpha^{(n)} = \alpha^{(n)} \circ E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}$$

and

$$E_{k-1}^{\tau} \circ \alpha^{(n)} = \alpha^{(n)} \circ E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}$$

by Expression (2.3). Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_{k}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b)) \\ &= ((E_{k}^{\tau} - E_{k-1}^{\tau})\pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a)) - \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))(E_{k}^{\tau} - E_{k-1}^{\tau}))(\alpha^{(n)}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))(E_{k}^{\tau}-E_{k-1}^{\tau})(\alpha^{(n)}(b)) &= \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))(\alpha^{(n)}(E_{n,k}^{\tau_{n}}(b)) - \alpha^{(n)}(E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_{n}}(b))) \\ &= \alpha^{(n)}(a)(\alpha^{(n)}(E_{n,k}^{\tau_{n}}(b)) - \alpha^{(n)}(E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_{n}}(b))) \\ &= \alpha^{(n)}(aE_{n,k}^{\tau_{n}}(b) - aE_{n,k-1}^{\tau_{n}}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$(E_k^{\tau} - E_{k-1}^{\tau})\pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))(\alpha^{(n)}(b)) = \alpha^{(n)}(E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(ab) - E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(ab)).$$

Thus

$$[Q_k^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b)) = \alpha^{(n)} \left(E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(ab) - E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(ab) - (aE_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(b) - aE_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(b)) \right).$$

However,

$$\begin{split} E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(ab) &- E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(ab) - (aE_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(b) - aE_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(b)) \\ &= E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)(b)) - E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)(b)) \\ &- (\pi_{\tau_n}(a)(E_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(b)) - \pi_{\tau_n}(a)(E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n}(b))) \\ &= (E_{n,k}^{\tau_n} - E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n})(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)(b)) - \pi_{\tau_n}(a)((E_{n,k}^{\tau_n} - E_{n,k-1}^{\tau_n})(b)) \\ &= Q_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)(b)) - \pi_{\tau_n}(a)((Q_{n,k}^{\tau_n})(b)) \\ &= (Q_{n,k}^{\tau_n}(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)) - \pi_{\tau_n}(a)(Q_{n,k}^{\tau_n}))(b) \\ &= [Q_{n,k'}^{\tau_n}(\pi_{\tau_n}(a)](b). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$[D^{\tau}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b)) = \alpha^{(n)}([D^{\tau_n}_{\beta}, \pi_{\tau_n}(a)](b))$$

and so as above

$$\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau},\pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b))\|_{\tau}=\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau_{n}},\pi_{\tau_{n}}(a)](b)\|_{\tau_{n}}$$

Therefore

$$L_{\beta}^{\tau} \circ \alpha^{(n)}(a) = \sup\{\|[D_{\beta}^{\tau}, \pi_{\tau}(\alpha^{(n)}(a))](\alpha^{(n)}(b))\|_{\tau} : b \in \mathcal{B}_{n}, \|b\|_{\tau_{n}} \leq 1\} = L_{\beta}^{\tau_{n}}(a)$$

of Expression (2.5) as desired. \Box

Now that we have an expression for the L-seminorms on the terms of a given inductive sequence, we would like to show that these form a continuous field of L-seminorms with respect to weak* convergence of the faithful tracial state. However, since the norms defining our L-seminorms are operator norms this takes some care, which is why we need some tools from metric geometry. The following result might be known in metric geometry, but we cannot find a proof and so we

8 CLAY ADAMS, KONRAD AGUILAR, ESTEBAN AYALA, EVELYNE KNIGHT, AND CHLOE MARPLE

provide one here. The following result also serves as a generalization of [1, Lemma 3.9].

Lemma 2.6. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of X that converges in the Hausdorff distance with respect to d, Haus_d, to a compact $C \subseteq X$. Let $C' \subseteq X$ be a compact set such that $C \cup (\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_n) \subseteq C'$. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real-valued continuous functions on X and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous.

If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to f on C', then $(\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $\sup_{x \in C} f(x)$ in the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By uniform convergence, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $a, b \in C'$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|f_n(a)-f_n(b)|<\varepsilon/2.$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \ge N$

$$Haus_d(C_n, C) < \delta/3$$

and

$$|\sup_{x\in C} f_n(x) - \sup_{x\in C} f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$$

by [1, Lemma 3.9].

Let $n \ge N$. By compact, there exists $x' \in C$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in C}f_n(x)=f_n(x').$$

Now consider $\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x)$. Assume by way of contradiction that $|\sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x)| > \varepsilon/2$. Assume first that

$$\sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) > \varepsilon/2$$
$$f_n(x') - \sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) > \varepsilon/2$$
$$\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) < f_n(x') - \varepsilon/2.$$

Hence

$$f_n(x) < f_n(x') - \varepsilon/2$$

for every $x \in C_n$. Now there exists $x \in C_n$ such that $d(x, x') < \delta$ by definition of the Hausdorff distance. Hence

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(x')| < \varepsilon/2.$$

And so

$$f_n(x') - \varepsilon/2 < f_n(x) < f_n(x') - \varepsilon/2,$$

contradiction.

On the other hand, if $\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) > \varepsilon/2$. Then

$$\varepsilon/2 < \sup_{x\in C_n} f_n(x) - f_n(x').$$

Now, by compact, there exists $z \in C_n$ such that $\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) = f_n(z)$. Hence

$$f_n(x') < f_n(z) - \varepsilon/2$$

and so

$$\sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) < f_n(z) - \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus

$$f_n(x) < f_n(z) - \varepsilon/2$$

for every $x \in C$. This leads to a similar contradiction. Hence,

$$|\sup_{x\in C_n} f_n(x) - \sup_{x\in C} f_n(x)| \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C} f(x)| &\leq |\sup_{x \in C_n} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C} f_n(x)| + |\sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C} f(x)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + |\sup_{x \in C} f_n(x) - \sup_{x \in C} f(x)| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Before providing continuous fields of *L*-seminorms, we need one more result so that we can satisfy the hypothesis of the previous Lemma.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let \mathcal{B} be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra and let $(\tau^n)_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ be a sequence of faithful tracial states on \mathcal{B} such that $(\tau^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ weak* converges to τ_{∞} . For each $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, define $C_n = \{b \in \mathcal{B} : \|b\|_{\tau^n} \leq 1\}$.

It holds that $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to C_{∞} in the Hausdorff distance with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{B} is finite dimensional there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}, m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a *-isomorphism $\alpha : \bigoplus_{k=1}^N M_{m_k}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}$ onto \mathcal{B} . Set $\bigoplus_{k=1}^N M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}$. For each $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, define that $\sigma^n = \tau^n \circ \alpha$. We have that $(\sigma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is s sequence of faithful tracial states that weak* converges to σ_{∞} . Let $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, since σ^n is a faithful tracial state there exist $\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n, \ldots, \mu_N^n \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^N \mu_k^n = 1$ and

$$\sigma^n((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^n}{m_k} \operatorname{Tr}(a_k)$$

for every $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_N)$. By weak* convergence, we have that $((\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n, ..., \mu_N^n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $(\mu_1^{\infty}, \mu_2^{\infty}, ..., \mu_N^{\infty})$ in the product topology on \mathbb{R}^N .

Define $D_n = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : ||a||_{\sigma^n} \leq 1\}$. Let $a \in D_{\infty}$. Now since σ^n is faithful we may define

$$y = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\mu_1^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_1^n}}a_1, \frac{\sqrt{\mu_2^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_2^n}}a_2, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{\mu_N^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_N^n}}a_N\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \|y\|_{\sigma^n}^2 &= \sigma^n(y^*y) \\ &= \sigma^n \left(\frac{\mu_1^\infty}{\mu_1^n} a_1^* a_1, \frac{\mu_2^\infty}{\mu_2^n} a_2^* a_2, \dots, \frac{\mu_N^\infty}{\mu_N^n} a_N^* a_N\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_\infty^n}{m_k} \operatorname{Tr}(a_k^* a_k) \\ &= \|a\|_{\sigma^\infty}^2 \leqslant 1. \end{split}$$

Thus $y \in D_n$. Next,

 $||a - y||_{\mathcal{A}} = \max\{||a_1 - y_1||_{M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C})}, ||a_2 - y_2||_{M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C})}, \dots, ||a_N - y_N||_{M_{n_N}(\mathbb{C})}\}$

Consider $k \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$. We have that since the operator norm is bounded by the Frobenius norm

....

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_k - y_k\|_{M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})} &= \left\|a_k - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^n}} a_k\right\|_{M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})} \\ &= \left|1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^n}}\right| \cdot \|a\|_{M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})} \\ &\leqslant \left|1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^n}}\right| \cdot \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}(a_k^* a_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

However, as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_{k}^{\infty}}{m_{k}} \operatorname{Tr}(a_{k}^{*}a_{k}) = \|a\|_{\sigma^{\infty}}^{2} \leqslant 1,$$

we have that $\frac{\mu_{\infty}^{n}}{m_{k}} \operatorname{Tr}(a_{k}^{*}a_{k}) \leq 1$, and so

$$\sqrt{\mathrm{Tr}(a_k^*a_k)} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{m_k}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}$$

and thus

$$\|a_k - y_k\|_{M_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})} \leq \left|1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^n}}\right| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{m_k}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}$$

Hence

$$\|a-y\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \max\left\{\left|1-\frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^n}}\right| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{m_k}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}} : k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}\right\}.$$

By a symmetric argument, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Haus_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(D_n, D_{\infty}) &\leq \max\left\{ \max\left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{n}}} \right| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{m_k}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}} : k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \right\} \right\}, \\ \max\left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\mu_k^{n}}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{\infty}}} \right| \cdot \frac{\sqrt{m_k}}{\sqrt{\mu_k^{n}}} : k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by definition of the Hausdorff distance. Thus as $(\mu_k^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to μ_k^{∞} for each $k \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, we have that $\lim_{n \to \infty} Haus_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(D_n, D_{\infty}) = 0$. By construction of σ^n and since α is a *-isomorphism, the proof is complete.

We use these results to provide continuous fields of *L*-seminorms.

Theorem 2.8. Let $m \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $(\tau^n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ be a sequence of faithful tracial states on \mathcal{A} . If $(\tau_m^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Expression (2.4) weak* converges to τ_m^{∞} on \mathcal{B}_m , then for every $a \in \mathcal{B}_m$, we have $(L_{\beta}^{\tau_m^n}(a))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Expression (2.5) converges to $L_{\beta}^{\tau_m^{\infty}}(a)$ in the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Let $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, define

$$f_n: \mathcal{B}_m \to \mathbb{R}$$

by $f_n(b) = \|[D_{\beta}^{\tau_m^n}, \pi_{\tau_m^n}(a)](b)\|_{\tau_m^n}$. Note that f_n is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ by finite dimensionality.

Define

$$C_n = \{ b \in \mathcal{B}_n : \|b\|_{\tau_m^n} \leq 1 \},$$

which is compact with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ by finite dimensionality.

Next, we verify that all the C_n 's are contained in one compact set. By finite dimensional, there exists a sharp $\nu_n > 0$ such that

$$\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_m}\leqslant\nu_n\cdot\|\cdot\|_{\tau_m^n}.$$

By [1, Proposition 3.10], we have that $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ν_{∞} . Hence $r = \sup_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}} \nu_n < \infty$. Now, let $b \in C_n$, then $\|\cdot\|_{\tau_m^n} \leq 1$, and so

$$\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}_m} \leqslant \nu_n \cdot \|\cdot\|_{\tau_m^n} \leqslant \nu_n \leqslant r.$$

Hence $b \in \{b \in \mathcal{B}_m : \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}_m} \leq r\}$. Set $C' = \{b \in \mathcal{B}_m : \|b\|_{\mathcal{B}_m} \leq r\}$. We have that C' is compact by finite dimensionality and that $C_n \subseteq C'$ for every $n \in \overline{N}$.

Next, by Proposition 2.1 and by a similar argument to [1, Proposition 3.6], we have that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to f_{∞} on any compact subset of $(\mathcal{B}_m, \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{B}_m})$ including C'. Finally, we have that $(C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to C_{∞} in the Hausdorff distance with respect to $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{B}_m}$ by weak* convergence by Proposition 2.7. Therefore by Lemma 2.6, we have that

$$(\sup_{b\in C_n} f_n(b))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (L_{\beta}^{\tau_m^*}(a))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges to $\sup_{b \in C_{\infty}} f_{\infty}(b) = L_{\beta}^{\tau_{m}^{\infty}}(a)$ in the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

11

3. CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES OF EFFROS-SHEN ALGEBRAS AND UHF ALGEBRAS

We will now provide our main convergence results. But first, we need notation for each of these applications. We begin with the Effros-Shen algebras which were first defined in [8].

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ be irrational. There exists a unique sequence of integers $(r_n^{\theta})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $r_n^{\theta} > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} r_0^{\theta} + \frac{1}{r_1^{\theta} + \frac{1}{r_2^{\theta} + \frac{1}{r_3^{\theta} + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{r_n^{\theta}}}}}$$

When $\theta \in (0,1)$, we have that $r_0^{\theta} = 0$. The sequence $(r_n^{\theta})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is the *continued fraction expansion of* θ [10].

12 CLAY ADAMS, KONRAD AGUILAR, ESTEBAN AYALA, EVELYNE KNIGHT, AND CHLOE MARPLE

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$p_0^{\theta} = r_0^{\theta}, \quad p_1^{\theta} = 1 \text{ and } q_0^{\theta} = 1, \quad q_1^{\theta} = r_1^{\theta},$$

and set

$$p_{n+1}^{\theta} = r_{n+1}^{\theta} p_n^{\theta} + p_{n-1}^{\theta}$$

and

$$q_{n+1}^{\theta} = r_{n+1}^{\theta}q_n^{\theta} + q_{n-1}^{\theta}.$$

The sequence $(p_n^{\theta}/q_n^{\theta})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of *convergents* $p_n^{\theta}/q_n^{\theta}$ converges to θ . In fact, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{p_n^\theta}{q_n^\theta} = r_0^\theta + \frac{1}{r_1^\theta + \frac{1}{r_2^\theta + \frac{1}{r_3^\theta + \frac{1}{\ddots + \frac{1}{r_n^\theta}}}}}$$

We now define the terms for the inductive sequence that form the Effros-Shen algebras. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,0} = \mathbb{C}$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_{\theta,n} = M_{q_n^{\theta}}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{q_{n-1}^{\theta}}(\mathbb{C})$$

and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,n} = \alpha^{(n)}(\mathcal{B}_{\theta,n})$.

These form an inductive sequence with the maps

(3.1)
$$\alpha_{\theta,n}: a \oplus b \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,n} \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(a, \ldots, a, b) \oplus a \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,n+1},$$

where there are r_{n+1}^{θ} copies of *a* on the diagonal in the first summand of $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,n+1}$. This is a unital *-monomorphism by construction. For n = 0,

$$\alpha_{\theta,0}: \lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,0} \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(\lambda, \ldots, \lambda) \oplus \lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,1}$$

The *Effros–Shen algebra associated to* θ is the inductive limit (see [20, Section 6.1])

$$\mathcal{A}_{\theta} = \varinjlim \left(\mathcal{B}_{\theta,n}, \alpha_{\theta,n} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

by [8].

There exists a unique faithful tracial state τ^{θ} on \mathcal{A}_{θ} such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \tau_{\theta,n}$ (see Expression (2.4)) is defined for each $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,n}$ by

$$\tau_{\theta,n}(a,b) = t(\theta,n) \frac{1}{q_n^{\theta}} \operatorname{Tr}(a) + (1 - t(\theta,n)) \frac{1}{q_{n-1}^{\theta}} \operatorname{Tr}(b),$$

where

$$t(\theta, n) = (-1)^{n-1} q_n^{\theta} (\theta q_{n-1}^{\theta} - p_{n-1}^{\theta}) \in (0, 1)$$

(see [2, Lemma 5.5]).

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

(3.2)
$$\beta_n^{\theta} = \frac{1}{\dim(\mathcal{A}_{\theta,n})} = \frac{1}{(q_n^{\theta})^2 + (q_{n-1}^{\theta})^2},$$

and note that $(\beta_n^{\theta})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable by [10]. Finally, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$a_n^{\tau_\theta} = \frac{c_n^{\tau^\theta}}{\beta_n^\theta}$$

where $c_n^{\tau^{\theta}}$ is given by Expression (2.1).

Theorem 3.1. *The map*

$$\theta \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q} \longmapsto (\mathcal{A}_{\theta}, L_{\beta_{\theta}}^{\tau_{\theta}})$$

is continuous with respect to the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity of [15] where $L_{B_0}^{\tau_{\theta}}$ is given by Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Note that for every $\theta \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ there exists a summable sequence of positive reals $(\beta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\beta_n^{\theta} \leq \beta_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see the beginning of the proof of [2, Theorem 5.14]. Now, let $(\theta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $(0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ that converges to some $\theta \in (0,1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the usual topology on \mathbb{R} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \beta_n < \varepsilon/3$ for every $n \geq N_1$. Now choose $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N_2 \geq N_1$ and $q_k^{\theta_n} = q_k^{\theta}$ for every $n \geq N_1$ and $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N_1\}$ which is possible by [2, Proposition 5.10]. Thus, for every $n \geq N_2$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{\theta_n,k} = \mathcal{B}_{\theta,k}$ and $\alpha_{\theta_n,k} = \alpha_{\theta,k}$ for every $k \leq N_1$. Now by [2, Lemma 5.5], we have that $(\tau_{\theta_l,N_1})_{l \geq N_2}$ converges to τ_{θ,N_1} in the weak* topology. Thus, by the same proof as [2, Lemma 5.13], we have that there exists $N_3 \geq N_2$ such that

$$\Lambda((\mathcal{B}_{N_{1}}, L_{\beta_{n}^{\theta}}^{\tau_{\theta_{n},N_{1}}}), (\mathcal{B}_{N_{1}}, L_{\beta_{\theta}}^{\tau_{\theta,N_{1}}})) < \varepsilon/3$$

by Theorem 2.8. Let $n \ge N_3$. By Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} & \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta}, L_{\beta^{\theta}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) \\ & \leqslant \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) \\ & + \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) \\ & + \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) \\ & \leqslant \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} \beta_k^{\theta_n} + \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) + \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} \beta_k \\ & \leqslant \sum_{k=N_1}^{\infty} \beta_k + \wedge ((\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{A}_{\theta_n, N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}})) + \varepsilon/3 \\ & = 2\varepsilon/3 + \wedge ((\mathcal{B}_{N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_n}}), (\mathcal{B}_{N_1}, L_{\beta^{\theta_n}}^{\tau^{\theta_N}})) \\ & < 2\varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon \end{split}$$

as desired.

Next, we move to the UHF case.

14 CLAY ADAMS, KONRAD AGUILAR, ESTEBAN AYALA, EVELYNE KNIGHT, AND CHLOE MARPLE

Definition 3.2. The *Baire space* \mathcal{N} is the set $(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the metric d defined, for any two $(x(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(y(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{N} , by

$$d_{\mathscr{N}}\left((x(n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}},(y(n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad \text{if } x(n) = y(n) \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N},\\\\ 2^{-\min\{n\in\mathbb{N}:x(n)\neq y(n)\}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next, we define UHF algebras in a way that suits our needs. Given $(\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$, let

$$\boxtimes \beta(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (\beta(j) + 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define a unital *-monomorphism by

$$\mu_{\beta,n}: a \in \mathfrak{M}_{\boxtimes \beta(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \longmapsto \operatorname{diag}(a, a, \ldots, a) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\boxtimes \beta(n+1)}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where there are $\beta(n) + 1$ copies of *a* in diag(a, a, ..., a). Set $uhf((\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathfrak{M}_{\boxtimes \beta(n)}(\mathbb{C}), \mu_{\beta,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The map

$$(\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{N} \longmapsto \mathsf{uhf}((\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

is a surjection onto the class of all UHF algebras up to *-isomorphism by [7, Chapter III.5].

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\gamma_{\beta}(n) = \frac{1}{\dim(\mathfrak{M}_{\boxtimes \beta(n)}(\mathbb{C}))},$$

and let

$$\rho_{\ell}$$

be the unique faithful tracial state on $uhf((\beta(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. We now state our result for continuity of UHF algebras with respect to the Baire space.

Theorem 3.3. *The map*

$$\beta \in \mathscr{N} \longmapsto (\mathsf{uhf}(\beta), L^{\rho_{\beta}}_{\gamma_{\beta}})$$

is continuous with respect to the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity of [15] where $L_{\gamma_{\beta}}^{\rho_{\beta}}$ is given by Theorem 2.2.

Proof. This follows similarly as the proof of Theorem 3.1 since convergence in the Baire space is equivalent to convergence of irrationals by [2, Proposition 5.10]. \Box

REFERENCES

- Konrad Aguilar, Stephan Ramon Garcia, Elena Kim, and Frédéric Latrémolière, *The strongly Leibniz property and the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **529** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 127572, 22. MR 4620742
- Konrad Aguilar and Frédéric Latrémolière, Quantum ultrametrics on AF algebras and the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, Studia Math. 231 (2015), no. 2, 149–193, arXiv: 1511.07114. MR 3465284
- Jacopo Bassi, Roberto Conti, Carla Farsi, and Frédéric Latrémolière, Isometry groups of inductive limits of metric spectral triples and gromov-hausdorff convergence, (2023), 38 pages, ArXiv: 2302.09117.
- Erik Christensen and Cristina Ivan, Spectral triples for AF C*-algebras and metrics on the Cantor set, J. Operator Theory 56 (2006), no. 1, 17–46. MR 2261610
- A. Connes, Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules, and hyperfiniteness, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 9 (1989), no. 2, 207–220. MR 1007407
- 6. _____, Noncommutative geometry, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. MR 1303779

- Kenneth R. Davidson, C*-algebras by example, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR 1402012
- E. G. Effros and C. L. Shen, Approximately finite C*-algebras and continued fractions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 29 (1980), no. 2, 191–204.
- Mikhael Gromov, Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1981), no. 53, 53–73. MR 623534
- G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, sixth ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- 11. D. Kerr, Matricial quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, J. Funct. Anal. 205 (2003), no. 1, 132–167, math.OA/0207282.
- 12. F. Latrémolière, *The modular Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Submitted (2016), 67 pages, ArXiv: 1608.04881.
- Frédéric Latrémolière, Convergence of fuzzy tori and quantum tori for the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity: an explicit approach, Münster J. Math. 8 (2015), no. 1, 57–98. MR 3549521
- 14. _____, *The dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **103** (2015), no. 2, 303–351. MR 3298361
- _____, The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), no. 1, 365– 411. MR 3413867
- _____, Quantum metric spaces and the Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity, Noncommutative geometry and optimal transport, Contemp. Math., vol. 676, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 47– 133. MR 3578737
- _____, Convergence of spectral triples on fuzzy tori to spectral triples on quantum tori, Comm. Math. Phys. 388 (2021), no. 2, 1049–1128. MR 4334254
- _____, The Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity for metric spectral triples, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), no. part A, Paper No. 108393, 56. MR 4411527
- 19. H. Li, C*-algebraic quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, (2003), ArXiv: math.OA/0312003.
- Gerard J. Murphy, C*-algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990. MR 1074574
- M. A. Rieffel, Metrics on states from actions of compact groups, Doc. Math. 3 (1998), 215–229. MR 1647515
- _____, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces, vol. 168, 2004, Appendix 1 by Hanfeng Li, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance, pp. 1–65. MR 2055927
- <u>_____</u>, Compact quantum metric spaces, Operator algebras, quantization, and noncommutative geometry, Contemporary Math, vol. 365, American Mathematical Society, 2005, ArXiv: 0308207, pp. 315–330.
- W. Wu, Non-commutative metric topology on matrix state spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), no. 2, 443–453, ArXiv: math.OA/0410587.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, POMONA COLLEGE, 610 N. COLLEGE AVE., CLAREMONT, CA 91711

Email address: konrad.aguilar@pomona.edu *URL*: https://aguilar.sites.pomona.edu