

# Polarization evolution equation for the exchange-strictionally formed II-type multiferroic materials

Pavel A. Andreev\*

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,  
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

Mariya Iv. Trukhanova†

*Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991. and  
Russian Academy of Sciences, Nuclear Safety Institute (IBRAE),  
B. Tul'skaya 52, Moscow, Russian Federation, 115191.*

(Dated: July 30, 2024)

The multiferroics are the materials, where single cell of a magnetically order crystal forms an electric dipole moment. We derive equation for the evolution of the macroscopic density of the electric dipole moment (polarization of the system). The derivation is made within the "quantum hydrodynamic" method, which allows to derive equations for the evolution of the macroscopic functions of the quantum systems starting with the microscopic description. In this work we do not consider the microscopic level of individual electrons and ions, but we start our analysis from the combination of ions in cells of the crystal. We present an effective Hamiltonian for the evolution of such intermediate scale objects. We also apply the equation for the electric dipole moment of the single cell, which is recontracted in the corresponding operator. Using this operator and the wave function of combination of ions in the cell, we define the macroscopic density of the electric dipole moment. Finally, we apply the nonstationary Schrodinger equation with the chosen Hamiltonian in order to derive equation evolution of the polarization. The derivation is made for the dipole formed by the exchange-striction mechanism in the II-type multiferroic materials. The interaction caused term in the polarization evolution equation is found to be proportional to the fourth space derivative of mixed product (triple scalar product) of three spin density vectors. Conditions are discussed for the regime, where interaction appears in a smaller order on the space derivatives.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ferromagnetic materials and ferroelectric (seigneto-electric) materials are examples of materials with expressive magnetic or electric properties. The magneto-electric effect is an example of cooperation of these properties in the single sample. Redistribution of ions in the crystal cell including ions with the large magnetic moments leads to the formation of the electric dipole moment of the cell. So, the sample shows the ferroelectric properties. There are the I-type multiferroic materials, where the existence of the ferroelectric formation is independent of the ferromagnetic order. In the II-type multiferroic materials, the electric dipole moment is formed in relation to the magnetic properties of the material. There are three mechanisms of the formation of the electric dipole moment in the II-type multiferroics. The first mechanism is the exchange-striction mechanism arising from the Coulomb exchange interaction modeled using the Heisenberg Hamiltonian (which is also called the symmetric spin exchange interaction). The second mechanism is related to the spin-current model. It arises from the antisymmetric spin exchange interaction or inverse Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya model [1]. Basically, it is

related to the exchange part of the spin-orbit interaction. Let us remind that the spin-orbit interaction is a relativistic effects, which manifests itself in the weakly-relativistic regime. From physical point of view, it is the action of the electric field on the moving magnetic moment. The third mechanism is the spin dependent p-d hybridization appearing due to the relativistic spin-orbit coupling. Each mechanism leads to specific form of the electric dipole moment in relation to the spins/magnetic moments of the ions. In this work we focus on the first mechanism of dipole moment formation. We assume that the dipole moment of the cell is given. So, we do not derive its form, but we use its form for the definition of the macroscopic polarization. Our analysis shows that the polarization evolution equation is rather complex. Therefore, it is essential to consider different interactions separately, in order to understand their role in the evolution of the multiferroics. In this paper we focus on the role of the Coulomb exchange interaction (we use the Heisenberg Hamiltonian). The Coulomb exchange interaction can contribute in the evolution of polarization of all types of the multiferroics, but it would required independent consideration of the polarization evolution equation.

There are theoretical works are made in the field of the formation and evolution of polarization in multiferroics. An effective Hamiltonian model describing the coupling between the spins and the atomic displacement (representing the electric polarization) is suggested and applied in Refs. [2], [3] for the spin chirality induced electric po-

---

\*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

†Electronic address: trukhanova@physics.msu.ru

larization. It appears to be a background for the theory of collective mode dynamics, which exists in the helical magnets coupled to the electric polarization via the spin-orbit interaction. Quasiclassical equations of motion valid for mesoscopic heterostructures with antiferromagnetic order are obtained in Ref. [4] based on Keldysh theory for the Green's functions. Obtained equations reduce to the Eilenberger equation and Usadel equation for normal metals if antiferromagnetism is neglected. Dynamical multiferroic coupling can be calculated using density functional theory methods [5], where multiferroicity is mediated by lattice dynamics, and the Zeeman splitting of phonon spectra in a magnetic field is analysed. Magnetic and magnetoelectric excitations in the multiferroic are investigated experimentally in [6]. Electromagnons and their temperature dependence considered via fitting the excitations by Lorentzian line shapes [7]. Equation of evolution of polarization in the system of classical charged particles is derived in terms of classical hydrodynamics [8]. Similar approach is developed in terms of quantum hydrodynamics, where the equation of evolution of polarization current is considered as well [9]. Evolution of electrically polarized objects under simultaneous action of the nonuniform electric and magnetic fields is considered in terms of classical hydrodynamic model [10], where skyrmions are assumed to possess the electric dipole moment created due to their magnetic structure in chiral magnetic insulators.

In our analysis we use the quantum hydrodynamic method. Therefore, it is necessary to develop background of the quantum hydrodynamic theory of the ferromagnetic materials, before some application are presented to the multiferroics. Required step is made in Ref. [11], where the contribution of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian in the spin density evolution equations is considered as the main mechanism of the evolution of the magnetization in ferromagnetic materials on the small time scale intervals, before dissipation change the character of the evolution. Let us give some specification of the quantum hydrodynamic method [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]. It is invented as a method of derivation of macroscopic equations for the many-particle quantum systems starting from the microscopic description. The last one is given by the many-particle Schrodinger equation (the Pauli equation for the particles with non-zero spin). The many-particle systems are distributed in the coordinate space. One of the simplest functions showing this distribution is the particle number density or concentration. For the magnetized systems it is accompanied with the spin density. But other functions like the current of particles, the spin current, and pressure appear as parts of the dynamical equations for the concentration and spin density. In contrast with the method of the Wigner distribution function [18] or the Landau-Silin Fermi-(Bose-)liquid model [19], [20], the quantum hydrodynamics deals with the distributions in the coordinate space with no explicit account of the distribution in the momentum space. Indirectly, the distribution of particles in the momentum space is included

via such functions as the pressure and the thermal part of the many-particle (collective) spin current.

Concerning the physics of the magnetic systems, the quantum hydrodynamics gives the spin density evolution equation. It can be considered as a form of the Bloch equation or the dissipationless form of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. The many-particle form of the Bloch equation is considered for the plasmas-like mediums [13], or spinor quantum gases [15]. In these systems, in contrast with the crystals with fixed position of atoms and ions, particles can move, so the divergence of the collective spin current appears in the hydrodynamic model. The spin current contains expected convective part  $S^\alpha v^\beta$ , where  $S^\alpha$  is the spin density vector,  $v^\beta$  is the velocity vector field. It also includes the thermal part of the spin current, which is an analog of the thermal pressure for the spin motion [16]. If we consider degenerate electron gas we get the Fermi spin current instead of the thermal spin current [16]. In this work we consider the exchange interaction as the main mechanism of interaction. While the exchange interaction is a short-range interaction, so we follow works [21], [22], where method of analysis of the short-range interaction in the quantum hydrodynamics is developed.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II some features of the physics of the multiferroics required for our analysis is presented. In Sec. III the background of the quantum hydrodynamic method is presented and the method of its application to the multiferroics is discussed. In Sec. IV the derivation of the polarization evolution equation is demonstrated. In Sec. V a brief summary of obtained results is presented.

## II. MODELING OF THE MULTIFERROICS

We consider the mechanism of the dipole formation in the II-type multiferroic materials, which are related to the exchange-striction model. It can be realized in a system of atoms/ions with parallel spins. The electric dipole moment of the couple of neighbour atoms appears as a vector proportional to the scalar product of the contributing spins  $\mathbf{s}_i$  and  $\mathbf{s}_j$  [23]

$$\mathbf{d}_{ij} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j), \quad (1)$$

where the vector coefficient is introduced to obtain the vector of dipole moment  $\mathbf{d}_{ij}$ . The dipole moment  $\mathbf{d}_{ij}$  in the exchange-striction model is formed due to the Coulomb exchange interaction of electrons of neighbouring ions.

Equation (1) contains three constants combined in the single vector constant  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$ . This constant follows from the Coulomb exchange interaction given by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. Being more accurate, we should state that parameter  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$  is not a constant, but a function depending on the distance  $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$  between ions  $i$  and  $j$ . However, for the chosen phase of the chosen material we can consider this distance as the fixed value in

the further calculations. Parameter  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \theta_{ij})$  depends on the angle  $\theta_{ij}$ , where angle  $\theta_{ij}$  is the angle between vector  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  and  $z$  axis [23]. We mostly consider radial dependence of function  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij}, \theta_{ij})$ , but we also consider modification of the model related to the angular dependence.

We can consider evolution of the quasi-classical spins using the equation of classical evolution of magnetic moments. It can be done using of the methods of classical hydrodynamics. However, major part of the interactions has the quantum nature, such as the exchange interaction considered in this paper. Therefore, we develop the quantum theory of the multiferroics. Consequently, we need to construct an operator of the electric dipole moment of group of magnetic ions instead of function (1). Considering spins  $\mathbf{s}_i$  as the corresponding operator. Moreover, we consider a model example of spin-1/2 ions. This is an unnecessary assumption, so the model can be rederived for other spin values. Hence, we introduce the operator of the electric dipole moment

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j), \quad (2)$$

with commutator

$$[\hat{s}_i^\alpha, \hat{s}_j^\beta] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\hat{s}_i^\gamma, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are the tensor indexes, so each of them is equal to  $x, y, z$ , summation on the repeating Greek symbol is assumed,  $i$  is the imaginary unit  $i^2 = -1$ ,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the three-dimensional Kronecker symbol,  $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is the three-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol. Since we consider spin-1/2 ions we can represent the spin operator  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$  via the Pauli matrixes. Below, we consider the quantum average of the presented operator (2) on the many-particle wave function, in accordance with the quantum hydrodynamic method.

Operator (2) refers to the couple of particles, but they are neighbour particles. We need to include this properties before we start construction of the hydrodynamic equations. If particles are placed in line with fixed positions, we need to consider particles with numbers  $i$  and  $j = i + 1$ . Instead of numeration of particles in sequence (or three sequences for the three dimensional samples) we want to focus on the relative space position of the interacting particles. To this goal, we represent definition (2) in the following form

$$\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij})(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j), \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij})$  is a function, which has fast decrease to the zero value at the increase of the distance between particles. We can define it explicitly via its asymptotics  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$  at  $r < a_s$   $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij}) = 0$  at  $r > a_l$ , where on the right-hand side of one of equations we use constant vector  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$  introduced in equations (1) and (2). Parameters  $a_s$  and  $a_l$  are the characteristic small  $a_s$  and large  $a_l$  distances. Spherical layer between  $a_s$  and  $a_l$  is an intermediate interval of physically smooth transition between

two regimes. We mentioned above that constant vector  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$  depends on the angle, but we neglect it during major calculations. However, we estimate the contribution of the angle dependence to understand possibility of generalizations. Therefore, parameters  $a_s$  and  $a_l$  can be also considered as the functions of direction, but at this step of the model development it is an unnecessary complication. Let us repeat that parameter  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}$  introduced in equation (1) is a function of the distance of two neighboring ions forming the dipole moment. But this distance is assumed to be fixed. Here, we try to distinguish neighboring ions and ions located further. So, distant ions do not give contribution in the dipole moment formation. As we can see, the space interval between asymptotics is a small intermediate area, which can be modeled in a simple way in order to estimate its contribution. We can assume  $a_l = a_s$ , so function  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{ij}(r_{ij})$  is modeled as the Heaviside step function. Below, we refer to it as an effective function with a short radius of its action.

### III. QUANTUM HYDRODYNAMICS

The derivation of hydrodynamic model is usually starts with the definition of the concentration/number density of particles [13], [15]. It shows us the distribution of particles in the coordinate space. If we can assume that the distribution of particles has negligible change, we need to choose another function to start the derivation. We consider the spin density  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , which appears at the hydrodynamic description of particles with spin anyway [13], [15]

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi_S^\dagger(R, t) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \Psi(R, t))_S dR. \quad (5)$$

This definition is quite straightforward. Here, we have operators of spin of elements of our system  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$ . Therefore, structure  $\hat{\mathbf{S}} = \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$  represents the operator of the spin density of whole system. Here, coordinate  $\mathbf{r}_i$  is the effective coordinate of our quasi-point-like objects. Hence, the spin density definition has the following structure  $\mathbf{S} = \int \Psi^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{S}} \Psi dV$ , which resemblance the quantum average of the chosen operator, giving the observable value of this function, where  $dV \equiv dR$  is the element of the configurational space. The multi-spinor wave function  $\Psi(R, t)_S$  is the wave function of whole system. Here we consider composed objects, which are combinations of ions in the cell of crystal. We do not consider the wave function for the electrons and ions, which would give a microscopic level of description. We work on the large space scale of composed objects, which are modeled as the point-like quasi-particles. Argument of the wave function  $\Psi(R, t)_S$  is the assemblage of coordinates of all quasi-particles  $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N\}$ , where  $N$  is the number of quasi-particle elements of our system and  $\mathbf{r}_i$  is the coordinate of  $i$ -th element. Index of the wave function  $\Psi(R, t)_S$  is the assemblage of spin values of all elements  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_N\}$ .

Definition (5) shows that the evolution of the spin density of the system depends on the evolution of the wave function. Hence, we need to use the many-particle Schrodinger/Pauli equation  $i\hbar\partial_t\Psi_S = \hat{H}_{SS'}\Psi_{S'}$  with a Hamiltonian containing main interactions of elements of our system.

In this work we focused on the Coulomb exchange interaction in terms of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian:  $\hat{H}_H = -J\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2$ , where  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i$  are the spins of two interacting electrons.

First, we need to point out the area of applicability of this Hamiltonian. If we calculate average energy of the interaction of two electrons (or other spin-1/2 particles) we find that this interaction is composed of two terms. This picture appears in the weak interaction limit, so the wave function can be presented as combination of the single particle wave functions. We interested in the interaction of valence electrons of atoms or ions. One part of average interaction can be associated with the classical structure which is expressed via the square module of the wave function of each electron. Second part of the interaction term called the exchange interaction, which is constructed of the single-particle wave functions while these wave functions do not combine in any observable. Therefore, it do not have any quasi-classical interpretation. Depending on the full spin of two interacting electrons we have different symmetry for the coordinate space part of the two-particle wave function defining obtained integrals for the average energy. Full spin can have two values 0 or 1. Hence, the spin-dependent contribution of the exchange interaction can be presented via operators of spin of each electron  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_2$  in the well-known form of Heisenberg Hamiltonian:  $\hat{H}_H = -J\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2$ .

Described analysis is correct for the couple of electrons or couple of atoms with one valence electron, where the exchange interaction mainly corresponds to the interaction of the valence electrons. If we consider the interaction of atoms or ions with more complex structure of the valence electrons we get more complex result for the exchange interaction. For instance, we have two valence electrons in each atom, so we have interaction of four electrons. For such system, in general case, there is no separation of the wave function on the coordinate and spin parts. We can try to introduce a rough approximation, where we assume that we have interaction of two "black boxes". So, we assume that each "box" has spin equal to 1. Moreover, it allows us to exclude the exchange interaction of pairs of electrons inside each atom. Since, we need to obtain an effective interaction between atoms.

Consequently, we consider a pair of spin-1 objects. We can introduce two-particle wave function presented as the product of the coordinate and spin wave functions. Moreover, full spin of this system can have three values 0, 1, or 2. In order to obtain the spin depending operator to describe different values of the exchange interaction for the different full spins of the system we need to use the superposition of two operators  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2$  and  $(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2)^2$ . Particularly, extended Heisenberg Hamiltonian is used in the

spinor quantum atomic gases [24], [25].

Term  $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_2$  exists for any value of spin of interacting objects. Hence, we consider its contribution for different systems with no specification of the spin.

In more general case we can consider Hamiltonian in the following form  $\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N [\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^2/2m + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i, t) - (1/2) \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j)]$ . Here we have the kinetic energy  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^2/2m$ , which can be dropped since considering elements do not involve in the translational motion, and the thermal oscillations of ions combining these elements can be dropped till it become necessary to generalize suggested model. Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  also includes the potential of the external fields  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i, t)$ . The last term corresponds to the interparticle interaction or in our case it is interaction of combined elements described above. This interaction is written as an effective spin-spin interaction. Depending on the form and properties of function  $U$  we can consider various interactions. As main examples we can point out the dipole-dipole interaction and the Heisenberg Coulomb exchange interaction. Here, we can include the spin-orbit interaction or its effective exchange part. This structure can include the Zeeman energy  $-\mu_i(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_i)$  as a part of the external field potential  $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i, t)$ .

Finally, we restrict ourselves with the account of the single term in Hamiltonian corresponding to the Heisenberg Coulomb exchange interaction

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)(\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j), \quad (6)$$

where  $U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$  corresponds to the space dependence of the exchange integral  $J$ .

So, if we want to consider the spin density evolution (5) we calculate the time derivative of the definition (5). The time derivative acts on the wave functions under the integral. We replace these derivatives of the wave functions with the Hamiltonian in accordance with the many-particle Schrodinger/Pauli equation with Hamiltonian (6). After some technical calculations discussed in Ref. [11] we obtain the dissipationless form of the Landau-Lifshitz equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{6} g_u [\mathbf{S}, \Delta \mathbf{S}], \quad (7)$$

where coefficient  $g_u = \int \xi^2 U(\xi) d\xi$  appears as an integral of the exchange integral over a small area of space, where this integral has nonzero value. For the model examples of  $U(r)$  this integral can be calculated to extract nonintegral relation between coefficient in equation (7) and an effective value of the exchange integral  $J$ . Moreover, the Zeeman energy can provide additional well-known mechanism of the evolution of the spin density [13]  $\partial_t \mathbf{S} = \frac{2\mu_a}{\hbar} [\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{B}]$ , where  $\mu_a$  is the magnetic moment of particle of chosen material, if we consider the electron gas it would be equal to  $\mu_a = -|\mu_a|$ , with  $\mu_a$  is given by Bohr magneton. We stress our attention on

the contribution of the exchange interaction in the spin density evolution equation since we consider the Heisenberg Coulomb exchange interaction in the polarization evolution equation in other sections below. It is a part of the Landau–Lifshitz–Gilbert discussed in Ref. [26] in context of the magnetization dynamics of nanoscale magnetic materials.

#### IV. ELECTRIC POLARIZATION EVOLUTION

In this section we present the derivation of the polarization evolution equation using the quantum hydrodynamic method. To this goal, we present the macroscopic definition of the polarization/electric dipole moment density as the average of the corresponding operator (4) on the many-particle wave function  $\Psi_S(R, t)$ :

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \Psi_S^\dagger(R, t) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t))_S dR, \quad (8)$$

where operator of the electric dipole moment  $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i$  is given by equation (4). We also use the notation  $R \equiv R_N$  for the coordinates of all particles in the  $3N$ -dimensional configurational space. In equation (8) we drop subindex  $R$  since here and all other major equations we have same number of particles. However, if we consider subsystems of smaller numbers of particles we specify the number of particles like  $R_{N-1}$  or  $R_{N-2}$ . To be more precise the spin density (5) or polarization (8) should be presented in the symmetric form  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (1/2) \int \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \{ \Psi_S^\dagger(R, t) (\hat{\mathbf{d}}_i \Psi(R, t))_S + c.c. \} dR$ , where *h.c.* stands for the Hermitian conjugation.

##### A. General structure of equations

Start of the derivation of the polarization evolution equation is relatively simple. We consider the time derivative of the polarization vector function (8). This derivative acts on the wave function being under the integral. Time derivative of each wave function can be replaced by the action of the Hamiltonian operator (6). It gives expression for the time derivative of the polarization via the commutator of the Hamiltonian operator and the electric dipole moment operator

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \int \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \sum_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) [\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{d}}_i] \Psi(R, t) dR, \quad (9)$$

where we dropped the spin subindex of the wave function to simplify presenting equations. We use explicit result of calculation of the commutator  $[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{d}}_i]$  using operators (4) and (6). It leads to the following expression  $[\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{d}}_i^\alpha] = -i\hbar \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{n \neq i, j} \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta$ . It leads to the following expression for the polarization evolution

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int \sum_{i, j, i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i, j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \times$$

$$\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \Psi^\dagger(R, t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R, t) dR. \quad (10)$$

This equation is the general expression, where we need to include information on properties of functions  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  and  $U_{ij}$ . These functions decreases to zero at the relatively small distances between objects  $i$  and  $j$ .

Roughly estimating the found structure we see that it is composed of three spin operators related to three different particles. Hence, the final expression is composed of the mixed product (triple scalar product) of the spin-density vectors with possible space derivatives of the spin density (since the spins itself gives the zero value product). We can conclude that most simple nonzero combination of the spin vectors and the space derivatives  $\partial_t P^\alpha \sim (\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^\beta \mathbf{S} \times \Delta \mathbf{S}])$ . However, below we show that this combination give no contribution in the polarization evolution since coefficient in front of it is equal to zero. Hence, the nonzero contribution appears from the combination with one additional space derivative:

$$\partial_t P^\alpha \sim \nabla^\beta (\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^\beta \mathbf{S} \times \Delta \mathbf{S}]), \quad (11)$$

where  $\nabla^\beta$  is the gradient vector operator composed of the space coordinate derivatives,  $\Delta = \nabla^2 = \nabla^\beta \nabla^\beta$  is the laplace operator. Here, we state that direction of the polarization  $P^\alpha$  differs from the direction gradient operator  $\nabla^\beta$ . This relation constructs via a tensor coefficient. This coefficient would depend on the microscopic characteristics of the systems existing in equation (10). These are  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  and  $U_{ni}$ . Below, we consider three items:

- 1) Microscopic justification of predicted expression (including the proof of account of additional space derivative in the mean-field approximation).
- 2) Derivation of the explicit form of coefficient.
- 3) Finding conditions for the applicability of predicted expression, since it is based on the simple assumption that operators of spin would give most obvious representation via the spin density.

We have three ions  $i, j$  and  $n$ . Two of them  $i, j$  are related to the formation of the electric dipole moment of the cell containing these ions. We can see it due to the presence of function  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  with corresponding indexes. Each of these two ions interact with surrounding ions via the pair-potential  $U_{ni}$ . Therefore, it is unnecessary to consider all surrounding ions, but it is possible to focus on the single ion. Equation (9) is composed of two terms, since we have a difference of two potentials ( $U_{ni} - U_{nj}$ ). We can consider these terms separately or simultaneously. It has no specific difference from the methodological point of view. In both cases we need to consider three particles  $i, j$  and  $n$ . We also need to consider their relative positions, which should be small since two neighboring ions form electric dipole moment and they interact with the closest neighbours. To this goal we consider the coordinate of center of mass of three particle  $\mathbf{R}_{ijn} = (\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j + \mathbf{r}_n)/3$  and two relative coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_{in} \equiv \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_n$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{jn} \equiv \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_n$ . The relative coordinate of the third pair is easily represented via introduced relative distances  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} \equiv \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ . Let us also show reverse

expressions for the coordinates of each particle via relative coordinates and the coordinate of the center of mass:  $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} + (2/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} + (2/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_n = \mathbf{R}_{ijn} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{in} - (1/3)\mathbf{r}_{jn}$ .

In equation (10), we have contribution of coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_j$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_n$  in the delta-function  $\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$  and in the wave function  $\Psi(R, t) = \Psi(\dots, \mathbf{r}_i, \dots, \mathbf{r}_j, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n, \dots, t)$ . We need to make expansion of these functions on the small relative distances  $\mathbf{r}_{in}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{jn}$ .

## B. Zeroth order expansion

After expansion under integral in equation (10) on the small value of the relative distances and consideration of the zeroth order on the small parameter we find the following consequence of equation (10)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha \times \\ &\times (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \Psi^\dagger(\dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots) \times \\ &\times \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(\dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots) dR. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In order to simplify the notation during more complex equations demonstrated below we make the following representation  $\hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(\dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_{ijk}, \dots) \equiv \hat{s}_3^\beta \hat{s}_2^\gamma \hat{s}_1^\delta \Psi(\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_2, \dots, \mathbf{R}_3) \equiv \Psi(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3})$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{R}_{ijk}$ , but we keep subindexes "1", "2" and "3" to point out argument of the many-particle wave function. Notation  $R_{N-3}$  is used for the coordinates of remaining particles of the system. Replacement of the coordinates of  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $n$  particles on the first, the second and the third place is not assumed here.

Let us repeat equation (12) including simplified notations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \times \\ &\times \Psi^\dagger(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3}) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3}) dR. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

An important property of equation (12) is the separation of variables of relative motion from the coordinate of the center of mass and coordinates of other  $N - 3$  particles. So, we can introduce an interaction constant:

$$g_0^\alpha = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Pi^\alpha(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) (U(r_1) - U(r_2)), \quad (14)$$

where  $r_1 = |\mathbf{r}_1|$ ,  $d\mathbf{r}_1 \equiv d^3r_1$ , etc. Separation of interaction constants happens in each order of the expansion. To make this separation we need to notice  $dR = d\mathbf{r}_i d\mathbf{r}_j d\mathbf{r}_n dR_{N-3} = d\mathbf{r}_{ni} d\mathbf{r}_{nj} d\mathbf{R}_{ijn} dR_{N-3}$ .

After extraction of the interaction constant we need to deal with the integral over the center of mass and integrals over the coordinates of other particles. They are related via the correlations in the many-particle wave function. Considering coordinates are related to the "core" of atoms/ions composed of the nucleus and inner electrons (all electrons except the valence electrons). So, these coordinates can be roughly associated with the nucleuses. The derivation of the Landau- Lifshitz equation (7) shows that correlation between nucleuses can be dropped in order to derive well-known macroscopic result. Consequently, we can apply same approximation for the polarization evolution in the multiferroic material. Hence, we use the following expansion of the many-particle wave function

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3}) &\equiv \langle \mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f, \dots \rangle \\ &= \sum_f \sqrt{\frac{n_f}{N}} \langle \mathbf{R}_1 | f \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots \rangle \\ &= \sum_f \sum_{f' \neq f} \sqrt{\frac{n_f n_{f'}}{N(N-1)}} \langle \mathbf{R}_1 | f \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}_2 | f' \rangle \times \\ &\times \langle \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots, n_{f'} - 1, \dots \rangle = \sum_f \\ &\sum_{f' \neq f} \sum_{f'' \neq f, f'} \sqrt{\frac{n_f n_{f'} n_{f''}}{N(N-1)(N-2)}} \langle \mathbf{R}_1 | f \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}_2 | f' \rangle \langle \mathbf{R}_3 | f'' \rangle \times \\ &\times \langle \mathbf{R}_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots, n_{f'} - 1, \dots, n_{f''} - 1, \dots \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We also need the orthogonalization condition for function  $\langle \mathbf{R}_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots, n_{f'} - 1, \dots, n_{f''} - 1, \dots \rangle$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathbf{R}_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_g - 1, \dots, n_{g'} - 1, \dots, n_{g''} - 1, \dots \rangle^\dagger \times \\ &\times \langle \mathbf{R}_{N-3} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots, n_{f'} - 1, \dots, n_{f''} - 1, \dots \rangle \\ &= \delta_{fg} \delta_{f'g'} \delta_{f''g''}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

If we want to include the exchange correlations we can find more accurate expansion in Ref. [12]. It is also discussed in Ref. [22]. Here we use single particle wave functions  $\phi_f(\mathbf{R}_1) \equiv \langle \mathbf{R}_1 | f \rangle$ .

Final interpretation of equation (13) requires corresponding representation of the spin density (5). The spin density (5) contains one operator corresponding to the  $i$ -th particle. Hence we can use the following expansion of the wave function

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_i, R_{N-1}) \equiv \langle \mathbf{r}_i, R_{N-1} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f, \dots \rangle$$

$$= \sum_f \sqrt{\frac{n_f}{N}} \langle \mathbf{r}_i | f \rangle \langle R_{N-1} | n_1, n_2, \dots, n_f - 1, \dots \rangle. \quad (17)$$

Using the approximate single particle form we obtain the following expression for the spin density (5):  $\mathbf{S} = \sum_f n_f \phi_f(\mathbf{r})^\dagger \hat{\mathbf{s}} \phi_f(\mathbf{r})$ . We use this notations to complete the calculation of expression (13) presenting it in terms of the spin densities:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = g_0^\alpha \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta(\mathbf{r}, t) S^\gamma(\mathbf{r}, t) S^\delta(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where we use  $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{R}_{ijk}$  and projection of  $\mathbf{R}_{ijk}$  on the three-dimensional space  $\mathbf{r}$  via the delta-function. Presented expression (18) is equal to zero due to the vector product of identical vectors written via the Levi-Civita symbol.

### C. First order expansion

In this subsection we use a part of equation (10) appearing in the first order of the expansion on the small interparticle distances.

It can be written as two group of terms  $\mathbf{P}_{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{P}_{(1a)}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{P}_{(1b)}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(1a)}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \nabla \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ &\times (\mathbf{r}_{jn} - 2\mathbf{r}_{in}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t) dR, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(1b)}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha \times \\ &\times (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) \left[ (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_1}^\mu [\Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2r_{jn}^\mu - r_{in}^\mu) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_2}^\mu [\Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (r_{in}^\mu + r_{jn}^\mu) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_3}^\mu [\Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t)] \right] dR. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Here we use additional short notation  $R' \equiv (\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2, \mathbf{R}_3, R_{N-3})$  at  $\mathbf{R}_1 = \mathbf{R}_2 = \mathbf{R}_3 = \mathbf{R}_{ijk}$ . We also use notation  $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_1}^\alpha$  for the space derivative on  $\mathbf{R}_1$ , etc.

We can extract the interaction constant from the general expression (19) and (20). It can be calculated taking integrals over the angles. So, we can show that this interaction constant is equal to zero. However, this result is found under assumption that  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  does not depend on the angles. Therefore, we consider second part of this expression and show that it is equal to zero anyway.

Considering the correlationless limit as it is described in subsection IV.B we find the following representation for  $\partial_t \mathbf{P}_{(1a)}$  (19):  $\partial_t \mathbf{P}_{(1a)} = g_{1a}^{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \nabla^\mu (S^\beta S^\gamma S^\delta) = 0$ , where  $g_{1a}^{\alpha\beta} = (1/3) \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \Pi^\alpha(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) (U(r_1) - U(r_2)) (r_2^\beta - 2r_1^\beta)$ .

Equation  $\partial_t \mathbf{P}_{(1b)}$  (20) can be considered as three terms of similar to each other structure. It would be composed of an interaction constant similar to  $g_{1a}^{\alpha\beta}$  and following structure of the spin density vectors  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta S^\gamma \nabla^\mu S^\delta$ , which is equal to zero due to the vector product of two spin densities entering this equation  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta S^\gamma = 0$ . Hence we conclude that the polarization  $\mathbf{P}_{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0$  is equal to zero in this order of expansion.

### D. Second order expansion

Let us consider the time derivative of the polarization in the second order on the small interparticle distance. In the first order expansion we found that structures like  $(2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)$ ,  $(2r_{jn}^\mu - r_{in}^\mu)$ , and  $(r_{in}^\mu + r_{jn}^\mu)$  appear in front of derivatives  $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_1}^\mu$ ,  $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_2}^\mu$ , and  $\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_3}^\mu$  correspondingly (and at the expansion of the delta function as well). Products of pairs of these "bricks" appears in the second order expansion (and the products of larger number of these elements would appear in the higher order expansions). To make our notations shorter we introduce coefficients  $C^{\mu\nu}$  as the product of two "bricks":  $C_{11}^{\mu\nu} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{in}^\nu - r_{jn}^\nu)$ ,  $C_{12}^{\mu\nu} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{jn}^\nu - r_{in}^\nu)$ ,  $C_{23}^{\mu\nu} = (2r_{jn}^\mu - r_{in}^\mu)(r_{in}^\nu + r_{jn}^\nu)$ , etc. Corresponding part of expansion of equation (10) has the following form, as the sum of three terms of different structure:  $\partial_t P_{(2)}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) = \partial_t P_{(2a)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(2b)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(2c)}^\alpha$ . Here, we present the explicit form of these partial terms.

The first term of this group  $\partial_t P_{(2a)}^\alpha$  is the result of expansion of the delta-function. Derivatives acting on the delta-function actually act on  $\mathbf{r}$ , so they can be placed outside of the integral, as we already did it in equation (19)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(2a)}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^2} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ &\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) C_{11}^{\mu\nu} \Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t) dR. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In the correlationsless limit we can rewrite it as  $\partial_t P_{(2a)}^\alpha \sim \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu (S^\beta S^\gamma S^\delta) = 0$ .

The second group  $\partial_t P_{(2b)}^\alpha$  appear as the result of the expansion of the delta-function in the first order and the expansion of the wave functions up to the first order

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(2b)}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{3^2} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} \\ &- U_{nj}) [C_{13}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R_3}^\nu - C_{11}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R_1}^\nu - C_{12}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R_2}^\nu] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Hence, we have one derivative outside of the integral. Under the integral we have the structure similar to (20). Hence, term  $\partial_t P_{(2b)}^\alpha$  is proportional to the derivative of  $\partial_t P_{(1b)}^\alpha$ . It shows that term  $\partial_t P_{(2b)}^\alpha = 0$  is equal to zero. Same conclusion can be seen from the correlationless form of equation (22).

The last part of  $\partial_t P_{(2)}^\alpha$  appears as the zeroth order expansion of the delta-function and the expansion of the wave functions up to the second order of expansion. It has the following structure

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(2c)}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3^2} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int dR \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha(U_{ni} \\ &- U_{nj}) [C_{11}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R1}^\nu + C_{22}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R2}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu + C_{33}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R3}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu + 2C_{12}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu \\ &- 2C_{13}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu - 2C_{23}^{\mu\nu} \partial_{R2}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Equation (23) gives two groups of terms. Each of first three terms lead to the following structure  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} (\partial^\mu \partial^\nu S^\beta) S^\gamma S^\delta$ . It is equal to zero due to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\gamma S^\delta = 0$ . The second group of term is composed of the fourth, fifth and sixth terms. Each of the has the following structure  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} (\partial^\mu S^\beta) (\partial^\nu S^\gamma) S^\delta$ . In general case, it is not equal to zero. It depends on the symmetry of the interaction constant. Let us consider the "intermediate" form of the interaction constant appearing from the fourth term:  $\hat{g}_{12}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu) (2r_{jn}^\nu - r_{in}^\nu) \Pi^\alpha(|\mathbf{r}_{in} - \mathbf{r}_{jn}|) (U_{ni} - U_{nj})$ . Calculation shows that it is proportional to  $\delta^{\mu\nu}$ . It leads to the following modification of the spin density tensor structure  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} (\partial^\mu S^\beta) (\partial^\nu S^\gamma) S^\delta = 0$ .

Therefore, we conclude that all terms in the second order expansion are equal to zero.

In order to consider more general case we can discuss the contribution of the angle dependence in  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  and how it contribute in  $\partial_t P_{(2c)}^\alpha$ . Necessary calculations are demonstrated in Appendix A. It shows the zero contribution of the anisotropy in the second order expansion.

### E. Third order expansion

Previous subsections considering the zeroth, first and second orders of the expansion give the zeroth results even if we include the anisotropy of the coefficient defining the electric dipole moment of one crystal cell. The derivation of the polarization evolution in the third order expansion is considered in Appendix B. Main conclusion is the zero value of the polarization evolution if we consider isotropic regime for the coefficient defining the electric dipole moment. The account of the anisotropy partially leads to the nonzero contribution. We show that the anisotropic part of function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  giving nonzero contribution in the third order is proportional to the first order spherical function  $Y_{10}$ . Corresponding part of function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  enters the interaction constant  $g_{\Pi,l=1}^\alpha$ .

Our calculation gives the following structure for a part of the polarization evolution equation  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^6 \partial_t P_{(3)i}^\alpha$

$$\partial_t P_{(3)i=1}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{3!} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3^4} g_u g_{\Pi,l=1}^\alpha (\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^z \mathbf{S} \times \Delta \mathbf{S}]), \quad (24)$$

where we introduced the interaction constant including the anisotropy of the coefficient defining the electric dipole moment

$$g_{\Pi,l=1}^\alpha = \int d\mathbf{r}' r' \Pi_{l=1}^\alpha(r'). \quad (25)$$

However, the superposition of all terms like (24) gives the zero value  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha = 0$ .

Presence of the angle dependence in function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  shows existence of the anisotropy axis, so the z-axis is chosen in the direction of this axis. This chosen direction is explicitly presented in equation (24) via the derivative of the spin density on the z-projection.

In subsection A we point out that tensor structure similar to (24) can be expected from the qualitative analysis of the polarization definition and the form of the Hamiltonian. Moreover, one of the derivatives in this structure has fixed projection on the z-direction, while the Laplacian  $\Delta \mathbf{S}$  is formed out of two other space derivatives.

The role of the anisotropic part of function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  can be estimated from its static value (it is discussed below) and the comparison of the presented model with the experiment. In the next subsection we show that the isotropic part of function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  gives major contribution. Hence, we need to continue our derivation and consider next order of the expansion.

### F. Fourth order expansion

Let us repeat that we are mostly focused on the regime of the isotropic constant  $\Pi^\alpha(r)$  defining the electric dipole moment of the crystal cell due to the symmetric exchange interaction (the exchange part of the Coulomb interaction). In this regime we have zero value of the interaction in the lowest order as we described it above. The fourth order on the small interparticle distance gives nonzero contribution. Some details of the calculations are demonstrated in Appendix C. Let us repeat the result derived in Appendix C:

$$\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha = G (\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^\mu \mathbf{S} \times \nabla^\mu \Delta \mathbf{S}]), \quad (26)$$

where

$$G = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3} g_u g_{\Pi}^\alpha, \quad (27)$$

is a combined interaction constant with  $g_u = \int r^2 U(r) d^3r$ , and  $g_{\Pi}^\alpha = \int r^2 \Pi^\alpha(r) d^3r$ .

Equation (26) is the main result of this paper which presents the polarization evolution equation in the exchange-strictly formed II-type multiferroics.



Interaction appears in equation (26) in highly nonlinear form. So, there is no contribution of the this interaction in the small amplitude perturbations of the spin density at the uniform equilibrium. However, it can be nonzero for the periodical equilibrium structures including spin spirals and their perturbations.

The right-hand side of equation (26) can be presented as the divergence of the second rank tensor  $J_P^{\alpha\mu} = -G(\mathbf{S} \cdot [\nabla^\mu \mathbf{S} \times \Delta \mathbf{S}])$ . Here, function  $J_P^{\alpha\mu}$  is an effective polarization current. Hence, equation (26) can be considered as an effective continuity equation  $\partial_t P^\alpha + \nabla^\mu J_P^{\alpha\mu} = 0$  showing the conservation of each projection of the polarization. The spin evolution equation can be also considered as an effective continuity equation in this regime. So, equation (7) can be represented as  $\partial_t S^\alpha + \nabla^\mu J_S^{\alpha\mu} = 0$ , where  $J_S^{\alpha\mu} = -g_u \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} S^\beta \nabla^\mu S^\gamma$  is the effective spin current.

### G. Structure of the polarization definition

Equation of the polarization evolution is obtained in the form of expansion of the wave function under the integral after replacement of the time derivative by the Hamiltonian of the system. In order to interpret found equation (26) we need to understand the possibility of presence of the polarization on the right-hand side of equation (26). We need to check that the polarization does not enter the right-hand side of equation (26). Hence, it can be left in the obtained form as the function of the spin densities. To answer this question we need to expand the definition of polarization (8) on the relative distance and check its contribution on the right-hand side of equation (26). Before we present calculations we can point out the structure of the spin operators in the polarization definition, where we see the scalar product of the spin operators. In contrast to it see the vector product of the spin densities in the polarization evolution equation caused by the exchange interaction.

The polarization definition (8) contains one indefinite short-range function  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ . We explicitly include the dynamic of two particles with numbers  $i$  and  $j$ . It happens in contrast with the equation for the polarization evolution, where we consider three particles. Therefore, we introduce the center of mass and coordinate of relative motion for two particles and present the expansion on the small relative distance. Otherwise, function  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$  is equal to zero, at the larger distances. If we consider regime of isotropic function  $\Pi^\alpha(r)$  we have nonzero contribution in the zeroth and second order of expansion. It gives us major term and a correction to it. Therefore, we do not consider further expansion. So, we find the following result for the approximate form of the polarization

$$P_A^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha \mathbf{S}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2^2} g_{\Pi}^\alpha [\Delta \mathbf{S}^2 - 2(\partial_\mu S^\nu)(\partial_\mu S^\nu)], \quad (28)$$

where subindex  $A$  refers to the approximate form of the polarization.

To give some analysis of equation (28) let us to calculate the polarization for two spin structures. First, we consider the uniform system of parallel spins  $\mathbf{S} = S_0 \mathbf{e}_z$ , where  $S_0$  is the constant. The constant value of the polarization appears from the first term  $P_A^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha S_0^2$ . Next, we consider the cycloidal spiral spin structure  $\mathbf{S}_0(y) = s_b \mathbf{e}_y \cos(yq) + s_c \mathbf{e}_z \sin(yq) + s_a \mathbf{e}_x$ . Both terms in equation (28) gives nonzero contributions. Consider a regime with  $|s_b| = |s_c|$ . It leads to superposition of two constant contribution from both terms in equation (28):  $P_A^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha (s_b^2 + s_a^2) - \frac{1}{6} g_{\Pi}^\alpha q^2 s_b^2 S$ . If  $|s_b| \neq |s_c|$  we see that polarization is a periodic function similarly to the spin:  $P_A^\alpha = g_{0\Pi}^\alpha [s_a^2 + (s_b^2 + s_c^2)/2 + (1/2) \cos(2yq)(s_b^2 - s_c^2)] - \frac{1}{12} g_{\Pi}^\alpha q^2 [s_b^2 + s_c^2 + \cos(2yq)(s_b^2 - s_c^2)]$ , but it shows the periodic change of the polarization with the double wave vector.

If we include the anisotropy of function we find additional contribution appearing in the first order on the relative distance

$$P_A^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( \int dr' r' \Pi_{l=1}^\alpha(r') \right) \partial_z \mathbf{S}^2. \quad (29)$$

These approximate expressions show that the polarization evolution does not required further representation via the polarization and we can apply the expression in terms of the spin density.

### H. On a simplified derivation of the polarization evolution equation

The previous subsection presents approximate relations between polarization (8) and the spin density (5). Moreover, we have equation for the spin evolution equation (7). However, we need to point out that at the derivation of the approximate polarization (28) and (29) we used expansion on the two-particle relative distance. Same approximate structure is used for the derivation of spin evolution equation (7). So, the direct relation to the third particle is broken here. Can we use it in order to derive the polarization evolution equation? Let us present the result for each part of the polarization.

In the zeroth order of the expansion we get the time derivative of the scalar product of the spin densities. It leads us to the zero value of the time derivative. It happens since the time derivative is proportional to the vector product containing the spin density

$$\partial_t P_{A,0}^\alpha = 2g_{0\Pi}^\alpha \mathbf{S} \partial_t \mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{3} g_{0\Pi}^\alpha g_u \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta S^\gamma \Delta S^\delta = 0, \quad (30)$$

where index 0 refers to the analysis of the polarization appearing in the zeroth order of the expansion.

In the second order of the expansion we have two terms in equation (28). The first term is proportional to  $\mathbf{S}^2$ . It gives the zero contribution in the polarization evolution. So, we find the contribution of the second term only. It leads to the following expression

$$\partial_t P_{A,2}^\alpha = \frac{1}{18} g_{\Pi}^\alpha g_u \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta (\partial_\mu S^\gamma) \partial_\mu \Delta S^\delta. \quad (31)$$

We see that the simplified derivation based on the account of the second term in the expansion (28) gives equation (31) coinciding with the equation obtained via the complete derivation (26).

## V. CONCLUSION

The method of quantum hydrodynamics has been developed for the multiferroics. This method originally developed for the quantum plasmas-like mediums of charged particles and quantum gases of neutral atoms, including the spinor Bose-Einstein condensates. Its development for the multiferroics follows the work of the application of quantum hydrodynamic method to major features of the ferromagnetic materials. All together, this work shows wide range of the applicability of the quantum hydrodynamics. It also extends its applicability range to the multiferroics. Definitely, we have considered one feature of the multiferroics. We have made derivation of the electric polarization evolution equation for II-type multiferroics with the electrostriction mechanism of the electric dipole formation, where we have considered the evolution under the Coulomb exchange interaction given by the Heisenberg Hamiltonian. However, it shows a path for the account of other interactions in the evolution of polarization in all types of multiferroics. One mechanism of the polarization evolution has been considered, it is the Coulomb exchange interaction. It appears in the fourth order expansion on the small interparticle distance. It gives the following tensor structure of the spin densities  $\partial_t P^\alpha \sim \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta \cdot \partial^\mu S^\gamma \cdot \partial^\mu \Delta S^\delta$ , with the coefficient depending on the microscopic parameters.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of M.I. Trukhanova is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under the grant No. 22-72-00036.

## VII. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study, which is a purely theoretical one.

## VIII. AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

All authors contributed equally to the paper.

## Appendix A: Anisotropy contribution in the second order expansion

We need to consider the contribution of the anisotropy of function  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$  in the last three terms of equation (23). Corresponding terms can be presented in the following form  $\partial_t P_{(2c)}^\alpha = \frac{1}{3^2} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta \cdot \partial^\nu S^\gamma \cdot \partial^\mu S^\delta [g_{12}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} + g_{13}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} - g_{23}^{\alpha,\mu\nu}]$ , where  $g_{ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 C_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) [U(r_1) - U(r_2)]$ . So, the tensor constant  $g_{ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu}$  can be presented as the superposition of two constants:  $g_{ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = R_{1ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} - R_{2ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu}$ , where  $R_{1ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 C_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) U(r_1)$ , with the further transition to coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$ . Hence, we have  $R_{1ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 U(r_1) d\mathbf{r}_3 C_{ab}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_3) \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$ . Here we consider  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$  instead of  $\Pi^\alpha(r_3)$  to include the anisotropy of this function. Parameter  $R_{1ab}^{\alpha,\mu\nu}$  appears as a superposition of products of integrals. It includes three types of combinations of the integrals. First combination is  $\int d\mathbf{r}_1 r_1^\mu U(r_1) \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}_3 r_3^\nu \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$ , where  $\int d\mathbf{r}_1 r_1^\mu U(r_1) = 0$ . So, the anisotropy of  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$  does not change this kinds of terms.

Let us to point out that we consider the rotational symmetry and include the dependence on angle  $\theta$  only.  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3) = \Pi^\alpha(r_3, \theta_3)$ . We consider it via the expansion on the spherical functions  $Y_{l0}(\cos\theta)$ :  $\Pi^\alpha(r_3, \theta_3) = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \Pi_l^\alpha(r_3) Y_{l0}(\cos\theta)$ , with the normalization  $\Pi_{l=0}^\alpha(r_3) = \Pi^\alpha(r_3)$ .

Second combination is  $\int d\mathbf{r}_1 r_1^\mu r_1^\nu U(r_1) \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}_3 \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$ . Integral  $\int d\mathbf{r}_3 \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$  gives the nonzero contribution of  $\Pi_{l=0}^\alpha(r_3) = \Pi^\alpha(r_3)$  only.

Third combination is  $\int d\mathbf{r}_1 U(r_1) \cdot \int d\mathbf{r}_3 r_3^\mu r_3^\nu \Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}_3)$ . Hence, we need to consider integral  $\hat{I}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \int d\mathbf{r}_3 r_3^\mu r_3^\nu \Pi^\alpha(r_3, \theta_3)$  (the matrix composed of integrals). We do not consider dependence of  $\Pi^\alpha$  on  $\varphi$ . Consequently, this integral in diagonal

$$\hat{I} = \int d\mathbf{r}_3 \Pi^\alpha(r_3, \theta_3) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos^2 \theta_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_3 = (\sqrt{4\pi}/3) Y_{00} - (2\sqrt{\pi}/3\sqrt{5}) Y_{20}$ , and  $\cos^2 \theta_3 = (\sqrt{4\pi}/3) Y_{00} + (4\sqrt{\pi}/3\sqrt{5}) Y_{20}$ , where  $Y_{00} = 1/\sqrt{4\pi}$ , and  $Y_{20} = \sqrt{5}/16\pi (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1)$ .

Calculation gives the following result  $\hat{I}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{3} (\delta^{\mu\nu} \int d\mathbf{r}_3 \Pi_{l=0}^\alpha(r_3) + I_2^{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \int d\mathbf{r}_3 \Pi_{l=2}^\alpha(r_3))$ , with

$$I_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Product of  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta \cdot \partial^\nu S^\gamma \cdot \partial^\mu S^\delta$  and  $\delta^{\mu\nu}$  gives zero value, but product of  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta \cdot \partial^\nu S^\gamma \cdot \partial^\mu S^\delta$  and  $I_2^{\mu\nu}$  gives  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} (-S^\beta \cdot \partial^x S^\gamma \cdot \partial^x S^\delta - S^\beta \cdot \partial^y S^\gamma \cdot \partial^y S^\delta + 2S^\beta \cdot \partial^z S^\gamma \cdot \partial^z S^\delta) = 0$ , which is equal to zero as well. We obtain same results for other term and give conclusion  $\partial_t P_{(2c)}^\alpha = 0$  even for the anisotropic  $\Pi_{ij}^\alpha$ .

### Appendix B: Polarization in the third order expansion

We present the time derivative of the polarization in the third order on the interparticle distance  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha$  as the sum of four terms:  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha = \partial_t P_{(3a)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(3b)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(3c)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(3d)}^\alpha$ . As the first part of  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha$  we consider the term appearing from the expansion of the delta-function in the third order and expansion of the wave functions in the zeroth order

$$\partial_t P_{(3a)}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3^3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\sigma \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ \times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) C_{111}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \Psi^\dagger(R', t) \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi(R', t) dR, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where the third rank tensor  $C^{\mu\nu\sigma}$  composed of the coefficients of the expansion has the following structure:  $C_{112}^{\mu\nu\sigma} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{in}^\nu - r_{jn}^\nu)(2r_{jn}^\sigma - r_{in}^\sigma)$ ,  $C_{123}^{\mu\nu\sigma} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{jn}^\nu - r_{in}^\nu)(r_{in}^\sigma + r_{jn}^\sigma)$ , etc. It is proportional to the third space derivative of the term appearing in the zeroth order of the expansion (18). Hence, it is equal to zero  $\partial_t P_{(3a)}^\alpha = 0$ .

As the second term we choose the term containing the expansion of the delta-function in the second order and the expansion of the wave functions up to the first order:

$$\partial_t P_{(3b)}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{3^3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \Pi_{ij}^\alpha \times \\ \times (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{111}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\sigma + C_{112}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\sigma - C_{113}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R3}^\sigma] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \quad (\text{B2})$$

It appears as the superposition of terms proportional to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu [(\partial^\sigma S^\beta) S^\gamma S^\delta] = 0$ . Hence, we obtain  $\partial_t P_{(3b)}^\alpha = 0$ .

Next, we consider the term appearing at the expansion of the delta-function in the first order and expansion of the wave functions up to the second order:

$$\partial_t P_{(3c)}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{3^3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ \times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{111}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R1}^\sigma + C_{122}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \\ + C_{133}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R3}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma + 2C_{112}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \\ - 2C_{113}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma - 2C_{123}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Each of first three terms in this equation is proportional to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu [(\partial^\nu \partial^\sigma S^\beta) S^\gamma S^\delta] = 0$ . So, they are equal to zero. Three other terms are proportional to structure like  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu [(\partial^\nu S^\beta)(\partial^\sigma S^\gamma) S^\delta]$ . It can be equal to zero if there is symmetry on indexes  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$ . Hence, we need to consider the coefficient in front of this term, which

appears as the integral of functions  $U(r)$ ,  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ , and coefficients  $C_{abc}^{\mu\nu\sigma}$ . In the isotropic regime  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi^\alpha(r)$ , these integrals are equal to zero, so their tensor structure is not essential. If we include the anisotropy of function  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$ , we find three nonzero terms, but each of them is symmetric on indexes  $\nu$  and  $\sigma$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\partial_t P_{(3c)}^\alpha = 0$ .

The last part of  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha$  is presented as  $\partial_t P_{(3d)}^\alpha$ . It is obtained at the expansion of the delta-function in the zeroth order and the expansion of the wave functions up to the third order:

$$\partial_t P_{(3d)}^\alpha = \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3^3} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ \times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{111}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R1}^\sigma + C_{222}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \\ - C_{333}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R3}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma + 3C_{112}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma - 3C_{113}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma \\ + 3C_{122}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma + 3C_{133}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma - 3C_{223}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma \\ + 3C_{233}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R2}^\mu \partial_{R3}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma - 6C_{123}^{\mu\nu\sigma} \partial_{R1}^\mu \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Function  $\partial_t P_{(3d)}^\alpha$  can be considered as a superposition of three group of terms. The first group is composed of the first three terms. Let us consider the spin structure of these terms in the correlationless limit. For the first term we have the following structure  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta S^\gamma \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\sigma S^\delta = 0$  due to the symmetry of the spins on  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Same conclusion is correct for two other terms.

The second group of terms is composed of six terms with numbers from number four to the number nine. All of them are proportional to the number 3. Let us introduce notation for this group of terms  $\partial_t P_{(3d,II)}^\alpha$ . All these terms are proportional to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} S^\beta (\partial^\sigma S^\gamma) \partial^\mu \partial^\nu S^\delta \neq 0$  and this tensor structure does not equal to zero. So, we need to consider the coefficient composed of integrals of functions  $U(r)$  and  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ . First, we consider isotropic limit for  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ . The coefficient is the superposition of six integrals of the following structure  $g_{abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 C_{abc}^{\mu\nu\sigma}(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \Pi^\alpha(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) [U(r_1) - U(r_2)]$ . We can decompose it on two terms  $g_{abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma} = R_{1,abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma} - R_{2,abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}$  related to  $U(r_1)$  and  $U(r_2)$  correspondingly. In function  $R_{1,abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}$  (in function  $R_{2,abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}$ ) we make transition to coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_1$  and  $\mathbf{r}_3$  (to coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_2$  and  $\mathbf{r}_3$ ). Hence, for instance,  $R_{1,abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}$  appears as the superposition of products of two integrals like:  $(\int d\mathbf{r} U(r)) (\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu r^\nu r^\sigma \Pi^\alpha(r))$ ,  $(\int d\mathbf{r} r^\sigma U(r)) (\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu r^\nu \Pi^\alpha(r))$ ,  $(\int d\mathbf{r} r^\nu r^\sigma U(r)) (\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu \Pi^\alpha(r))$ , and  $(\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu r^\nu r^\sigma U(r)) (\int d\mathbf{r} \Pi^\alpha(r))$ . Each of these four terms contains integral, which is equal to zero:  $(\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu \Pi^\alpha(r)) = 0$ ,  $(\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu U(r)) = 0$ ,

( $\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu r^\nu r^\sigma U(r) = 0$ ), etc. Consequently, the group of terms under consideration is equal to zero in the limit of isotropic function  $\Pi^\alpha$ .

Let us consider the anisotropy of function  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$  in the second group of terms. General structure of the spin densities is the same as in the isotropic regime demonstrated above. All difference in the calculation of integrals in  $g_{abc}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}$ . Integrals of the interaction potential  $U(r)$  with uneven number of the projection of coordinates are equal to zero ( $\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu U(r) = 0$ ), ( $\int d\mathbf{r} r^\mu r^\nu r^\sigma U(r) = 0$ ). However, similar integrals with function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  should be calculated after expansion of function  $\Pi^\alpha(r, \theta)$  on the spherical functions  $Y_{l,0} = Y(\cos \theta)$ . Here we need to calculate the following combination  $g_{112} + g_{113} - g_{221} + g_{331} - g_{223} - g_{332}$ , which is equal to zero due to the combination of the nonzero elements. As the result of presented analysis we find  $\partial_t P_{(3d,II)}^\alpha = 0$ .

The last group of terms composed of single term, which is the last term in equation (B4). It can be presented in the following form  $\partial_t P_{(3d,III)}^\alpha = -6(1/3!)(1/3^3)\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}g_{123}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma}(\partial^\sigma S^\beta)(\partial^\nu S^\gamma)\partial^\mu S^\delta$ . Our calculation shows that it is equal to zero even if we include the anisotropy of function  $\Pi^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ .

Regarding  $\partial_t P_{(3)}^\alpha$  we can conclude that it is equal to zero.

### Appendix C: Polarization in the fourth order expansion

It can be presented as a combination of five terms:  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha = \partial_t P_{(4a)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(4b)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(4c)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(4d)}^\alpha + \partial_t P_{(4e)}^\alpha$ . Let us present each of them.

The smallest group of terms in  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha$  appears if we consider the expansion of the delta-function in the fourth order on the interparticle distance and combine it with the expansion of the wave functions in the zeroth order:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(4a)}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{4!} \frac{1}{3^4} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\sigma \partial^\lambda \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ &\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR, \end{aligned} \quad (C1)$$

where the fourth rank tensor  $C^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  composed of the coefficients of the expansion has the following structure:  $C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{in}^\nu - r_{jn}^\nu)(2r_{jn}^\sigma - r_{in}^\sigma)(2r_{jn}^\lambda - r_{in}^\lambda)$ ,  $C_{1233}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} = (2r_{in}^\mu - r_{jn}^\mu)(2r_{jn}^\nu - r_{in}^\nu)(r_{in}^\sigma + r_{jn}^\sigma)(r_{in}^\lambda + r_{jn}^\lambda)$ , etc. It is proportional to the fourth space derivative of the term appearing in the zeroth order of the expansion (18). Hence, it is equal to zero  $\partial_t P_{(4a)}^\alpha = 0$ .

As the second term we choose the term containing the expansion of the delta-function in the third order and the expansion of the wave functions up to the first order:

$$\partial_t P_{(4b)}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3^4} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\sigma \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times$$

$$\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\lambda$$

$$+ C_{1112}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R2}^\lambda - C_{1113}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R3}^\lambda] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \quad (C2)$$

It appears as the superposition of terms proportional to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \partial^\sigma ((\partial^\lambda S^\beta) S^\gamma S^\delta) = 0$ . Hence, we obtain  $\partial_t P_{(4b)}^\alpha = 0$ .

The third term in this sequence is the term appearing at the expansion of the delta-function in the second order on the interparticle distance. Consequently, we need to consider the expansion of two wave functions up to the second order of the expansion, so their product gives the second order on the interparticle distance. The result of the described expansion has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(4c)}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{1}{3^4} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ &\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R1}^\lambda + C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R2}^\sigma \partial_{R2}^\lambda \\ &+ C_{1133}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R3}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda + 2C_{1112}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R2}^\lambda \\ &- 2C_{1113}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda - 2C_{1123}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R2}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda] \Psi^\dagger \hat{s}_n^\beta \hat{s}_j^\gamma \hat{s}_i^\delta \Psi dR. \end{aligned} \quad (C3)$$

Function  $\partial_t P_{(4c)}^\alpha$  can be considered as two group of terms. The first three terms give the following structure of the spin densities  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} [S^\beta \cdot S^\gamma \cdot \partial^\sigma \partial^\lambda S^\delta] = 0$ . Here we used the correlationless limit of the first term, which is also proportional to  $C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ . Same result appears for other terms in this group. The second group is constructed of three last terms. Let us present the tensor structure of the spins in the correlationless limit  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} [S^\beta \cdot \partial^\sigma S^\lambda \cdot \partial^\sigma S^\delta]$  (for the term proportional to  $C_{1112}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ ). Tensor structure of the interaction constant is required to make the final conclusion about these terms. It appears as a superposition of  $\delta_{\mu\nu} \delta^{\sigma\lambda}$ ,  $\delta_{\mu\sigma} \delta^{\nu\lambda} + \delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta^{\nu\sigma}$ , and  $\delta^{\mu\nu} \delta^{\sigma\lambda} + \delta^{\mu\sigma} \delta^{\nu\lambda} + \delta^{\mu\lambda} \delta^{\nu\sigma}$ . It leads to the zero value of  $\partial_t P_{(4c)}^\alpha = 0$ .

The fourth term in  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha$  appears as the expansion of the delta-function in the first order and the expansion of the wave functions up to the third order

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t P_{(4d)}^\alpha &= -\frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{3^4} \varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\mu \int \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} \sum_{n \neq i,j} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{ijk}) \times \\ &\times \Pi_{ij}^\alpha (U_{ni} - U_{nj}) [C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R1}^\lambda + C_{1222}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \partial_{R2}^\lambda \\ &- C_{1333}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R3}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda + 3C_{1112}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R2}^\lambda - 3C_{1113}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R1}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda \\ &+ 3C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \partial_{R2}^\lambda + 3C_{1133}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R1}^\nu \partial_{R3}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda - 3C_{1223}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda} \partial_{R2}^\nu \partial_{R2}^\sigma \partial_{R3}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$+3C_{1233}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}-6C_{1123}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}]\Psi^{\dagger}\hat{s}_n^{\beta}\hat{s}_j^{\gamma}\hat{s}_i^{\delta}\Psi dR. \quad (C4)$$

We can distinguish three types of terms in  $\partial_t P_{(4d)}^{\alpha}$ . The first group is composed of three first terms in equation (C4). So, these are terms proportional to  $C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ ,  $C_{1222}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ , and  $C_{1333}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ . For the term proportional to  $C_{1333}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  we find the following correlationless limit  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\partial^{\lambda}S^{\beta}\cdot S^{\gamma}\cdot S^{\delta}]=0$ . Same conclusion we make for two other terms.

Next, we consider the last term in equation (C4). Its spin structure has the following form (in the correlationless limit)  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}\partial^{\lambda}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\sigma}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}$ . Tensor structure of the interaction constant appears as the following tensor elements  $\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\sigma}$ ,  $\delta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\sigma\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\sigma}\delta^{\nu\lambda}$ , and  $\delta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\sigma\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\sigma}\delta^{\nu\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\sigma}$ . It leads to the zero value of the considering term.

Finally, we need to consider six terms with numbers four, five, six, seven, eight, nine. All of them are proportional to number 3. The correlationless limit gives the following spin structure  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\lambda}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\sigma}S^{\delta}]$ . This tensor structure gives nonzero contribution if coefficient in front of it is nonzero. Calculation gives coefficient proportional to  $\delta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\sigma\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\sigma}\delta^{\nu\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\sigma}$ .

Hence, the last group of terms gives nonzero contribution, which can be presented in the following form

$$\partial_t P_{(4d)}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}g_u g_{\Pi}^{\alpha}[S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\Delta S^{\delta}], \quad (C5)$$

where

$$g_u = \int r^2 U(r) d^3 r,$$

and

$$g_{\Pi}^{\alpha} = \int r^2 \Pi^{\alpha}(r) d^3 r.$$

At the representation of equation (C5) we used  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[\partial^{\mu}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\Delta S^{\delta}]=0$  due to the symmetry on  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in the product of the spins, and  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\mu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\Delta S^{\delta}]=0$  with  $\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\mu}=\Delta$ , due to the symmetry on  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  in the product of the spins,  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[\partial^{\mu}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\nu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]=0$  due to the following algebra  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[\partial^{\mu}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\nu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[(\partial^{\mu}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\nu}S^{\gamma}+\partial^{\nu}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}S^{\gamma})\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]=0$  due to the symmetry on  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in the product of the spins, and  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]$  due to the symmetry on  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  in the product of the spins.

The fifth and final part of  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^{\alpha}$  appears at the consideration of the zeroth order expansion of the delta-function and the expansion of the product of the wave functions up to the fourth order

$$\partial_t P_{(4e)}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4!}\frac{1}{3^4}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}\int\sum_{i,j,i\neq j}\sum_{n\neq i,j}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_{ijk})\Pi_{ij}^{\alpha}\times$$

$$\times(U_{ni}-U_{nj})[C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R1}^{\sigma}\partial_{R1}^{\lambda}+C_{2222}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\mu}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}$$

$$+C_{3333}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R3}^{\mu}\partial_{R3}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}+4C_{1112}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R1}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}$$

$$-4C_{1113}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R1}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}+4C_{2221}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\mu}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}$$

$$-4C_{2223}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\mu}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}-4C_{3331}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R3}^{\mu}\partial_{R3}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}$$

$$-4C_{3332}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R3}^{\mu}\partial_{R3}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}+6C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}$$

$$+6C_{1133}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}+6C_{2233}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\mu}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R3}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}$$

$$-12C_{1123}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R1}^{\mu}\partial_{R1}^{\nu}\partial_{R2}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}-12C_{2213}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R2}^{\mu}\partial_{R2}^{\nu}\partial_{R1}^{\sigma}\partial_{R3}^{\lambda}$$

$$+12C_{3312}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}\partial_{R3}^{\mu}\partial_{R3}^{\nu}\partial_{R1}^{\sigma}\partial_{R2}^{\lambda}]\Psi^{\dagger}\hat{s}_n^{\beta}\hat{s}_j^{\gamma}\hat{s}_i^{\delta}\Psi dR. \quad (C6)$$

Equation (C6), in the correlationless limit, gives several group of terms, which should be considered separately. Let us consider the first term, which is proportional to  $C_{1111}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ . It leads to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}S^{\beta}\cdot S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\partial^{\lambda}S^{\delta}=0$ . Same result we obtain for the second term  $\sim C_{2222}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  and the third term  $\sim C_{3333}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ .

Next, let us consider last three terms, each of them is proportional to number 12. Considering this group, we start our analysis with term proportional to  $C_{1123}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ . In the correlationless limit, it is calculated and represented as follows  $-\frac{12}{4!}\frac{1}{3^4}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}\hat{g}_{1123}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}[\partial^{\lambda}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\sigma}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]$ , where  $\hat{g}_{1123}^{\alpha,\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  is a combination of constants  $g_{0u}=\int U(r)d^3r$ ,  $g_{0\Pi}^{\alpha}=\int\Pi^{\alpha}d^3r$ ,  $g_{4u}=\int r^4U(r)d^3r$ ,  $g_{4\Pi}^{\alpha}=\int r^4\Pi^{\alpha}(r)d^3r$ ,  $g_u$ ,  $g_{\Pi}^{\alpha}$  and tensors  $\delta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\sigma\lambda}$ ,  $\delta^{\mu\sigma}\delta^{\nu\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\sigma}$ ,  $I_0^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ . The analysis of the tensor structure of the term proportional to  $C_{1123}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  shows that it is equal to zero. Similar calculations shows that the terms proportional to  $C_{2213}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  and  $C_{3312}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  are equal to zero as well.

As the third group of terms we consider terms number ten, eleven, twelve of equation (C6). Each of them is proportional to number 6. If we consider term number ten proportional to  $C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  we can show the spin structure appearing in the correlationless limit  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}[S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\sigma}\partial^{\lambda}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\partial^{\nu}S^{\delta}]$ . Terms proportional to  $C_{1133}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  and  $C_{2233}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  cancel each other. Calculation of the interaction constant in the term proportional to  $C_{1122}^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$  shows that it is superposition of  $I_0^{\mu\nu\sigma\lambda}$ ,  $\delta^{\mu\nu}\delta^{\sigma\lambda}$ , and  $\delta^{\mu\sigma}\delta^{\nu\lambda}+\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\sigma}$ . Together with the spin density structure demonstrated above it gives the zero value.

The final group of terms in equation (C6) is combined of six terms number four, five, six, seven, eight, nine. Each of them is proportional to number 4. This group of terms gives nonzero result in contrast to other parts of equation (C6) described above. Each term, in the correlationless limit, is proportional to  $\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma\delta}S^{\beta}\cdot\partial^{\mu}S^{\gamma}\cdot\partial^{\mu}\Delta S^{\delta}$ . To complete calculation we need to find the

coefficient in front of this spin structure. This coefficient appears to be equal to zero. So, we find  $\partial_t P_{(4e)}^\alpha = 0$ .

Now, we can combine all partial results of calculation

of  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha$ . It reduces to  $\partial_t P_{(4)}^\alpha = \partial_t P_{(4d)}^\alpha$  presented above (C5).

- 
- [1] D. I. Khomskii "Multiferroics and Beyond: Electric Properties of Different Magnetic Textures", *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **132**, 482 (2021).
- [2] H. Katsura, A. V. Balatsky, N. Nagaosa, "Dynamical Magnetoelectric Coupling in Helical Magnets", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 027203 (2007).
- [3] H.-B. Chen, Y.-Q. Li, "Dynamical magnetoelectric effects in the distorted spiral multiferroic magnets", *Eur. Phys. J. B* **86**, 376 (2013).
- [4] E. H. Fyhn, A. Brataas, A. Qaiumzadeh, and J. Linder, "Quasiclassical theory for antiferromagnetic metals", *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 174503 (2023).
- [5] D. M. Juraschek, M. Fechner, A. V. Balatsky, and N. A. Spaldin, "Dynamical multiferroicity", *Phys. Rev. Materials* **1**, 014401 (2017).
- [6] A. Pimenov, A. Shuvaev, A. Loidl, F. Schrettle, A. A. Mukhin, V. D. Travkin, V. Yu. Ivanov, and A. M. Balbashov, "Magnetic and Magnetoelectric Excitations in  $\text{TbMnO}_3$ ", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 107203 (2009).
- [7] Z. Chen, M. Schmidt, Z. Wang, F. Mayr, J. Deisenhofer, A. A. Mukhin, A. M. Balbashov, and A. Loidl, "Electromagnons, magnons, and phonons in  $\text{Eu}_{1-x}\text{Ho}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ", *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 134406 (2016).
- [8] M. A. Drofa, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "Continual approach to multiparticle systems with long-range interaction. Hierarchy of macroscopic fields and physical consequences", *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **108**, 849 (1996).
- [9] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuzmenkov, M. I. Trukhanova, "Quantum hydrodynamics approach to the formation of waves in polarized two-dimensional systems of charged and neutral particles", *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 245401 (2011).
- [10] P. A. Andreev, M. I. Trukhanova, "Dipolar drift and collective instabilities of skyrmions in crossed nonuniform electric and magnetic fields in a chiral magnetic insulator", *EPL* **132**, 56002 (2020).
- [11] M. I. Trukhanova, P. Andreev, "A New Microscopic Representation of Spin Dynamics in Quantum Systems with Biquadratic Exchange Interactions", *Moscow University Physics Bulletin* **79**, 232 (2024).
- [12] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, "Quantum hydrodynamics of particle systems with coulomb interaction and quantum bohm potential," *Theor. Math. Phys.* **118**, 227 (1999).
- [13] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, "Microscopic quantum hydrodynamics of systems of fermions: Part I," *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **126**, 110 (2001).
- [14] P. A. Andreev, "Quantum hydrodynamic theory of quantum fluctuations in dipolar Bose—Einstein condensate" *Chaos* **31**, 023120 (2021).
- [15] P. A. Andreev, I. N. Mosaki, and M. I. Trukhanova, "Quantum hydrodynamics of the spinor Bose—Einstein condensate at non-zero temperatures", *Phys. Fluids* **33**, 067108 (2021).
- [16] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, "On the equation of state for the "thermal" part of the spin current: The Pauli principle contribution in the spin wave spectrum in a cold fermion system" *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2019**, 053J01 (2019).
- [17] P. K. Shukla, B. Eliasson, "Nonlinear collective interactions in quantum plasmas with degenerate electron fluids", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 885 (2011).
- [18] M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully, E. P. Wigner, "Distribution functions in physics: Fundamentals", *Physics Reports* **106**, 121 (1984).
- [19] V. P. Silin and A. Z. Solontsov, "Theory of the temperature dependence of the magnon spectrum in ferromagnetic metals", *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **89**, 1432 (1985).
- [20] A. S. Kondratyev, I. Siddique, "Kinetic equations in the theory of normal Fermi liquid", *Low Temp. Phys.* **34**, 137 (2008).
- [21] P. A. Andreev, "Hydrodynamic model of a Bose—Einstein condensate with anisotropic short-range interaction and bright solitons in a repulsive Bose—Einstein condensate", *Laser Phys.* **29**, 035502 (2019).
- [22] P. A. Andreev, "Extended hydrodynamics of the degenerate partially spin polarized fermions with the short-range interaction up to the third order by the interaction radius approximation", *Laser Phys.* **31**, 045501 (2021).
- [23] Y. Tokura, S. Seki, and N. Nagaosa, "Multiferroics of spin origin", *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **77**, 076501 (2014).
- [24] Y. Kawaguchi, M. Ueda, "Theory of spin-2 Bose-Einstein condensates: Spin correlations, magnetic response, and excitation spectra", *Phys. Rep.* **520**, 253 (2012).
- [25] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, M. Ueda, "Spinor Bose-Einstein condensates", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85**, 1191 (2013).
- [26] A. Barman, S. Mondal, S. Sahoo, and A. De "Magnetization dynamics of nanoscale magnetic materials: A perspective", *J. Appl. Phys.* **128**, 170901 (2020).