

BOLTZMANN-TYPE KINETIC EQUATIONS AND DISCRETE MODELS

A. V. Bobylev

Abstract

The known nonlinear kinetic equations (in particular, the wave kinetic equation and the quantum Nordheim – Uehling – Uhlenbeck equations) are considered as a natural generalization of the classical spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. To this goal we introduce the general Boltzmann - type kinetic equation that depends on a function of four real variables $F(x, y; v, w)$. The function F is assumed to satisfy certain commutation relations. The general properties of this equation are studied. It is shown that the above mentioned kinetic equations correspond to different forms of the function (polynomial) F . Then the problem of discretization of the general Boltzmann - type kinetic equation is considered on the basis of ideas which are similar to those used for construction of discrete models of the Boltzmann equation. The main attention is paid to discrete models of the wave kinetic equation. It is shown that such models have a monotone functional similar to Boltzmann H -function. The existence and uniqueness theorem for global in time solution of the Cauchy problem for these models is proved. Moreover it is proved that the solution converges to the equilibrium solution when time goes to infinity. The properties of the equilibrium solution and the connection with solutions of the wave kinetic equation are discussed. The problem of approximation of the Boltzmann-type equation by its discrete models and the problem of construction of normal discrete models are also discussed. The paper contains a concise introduction to the Boltzmann equation and its main properties. In principle, it allows to read the paper without any preliminary knowledge in kinetic theory.

Key words: Boltzmann-type equations, wave kinetic equation, H-theorem, Lyapunov functions, distribution functions, discrete kinetic models, nonlinear integral operators, dynamical systems.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	From particle dynamics to the Boltzmann equation	4
2.1	N -particle dynamics and modeling of rarefied gases	4
2.2	Distribution functions and Liouville equation	5
2.3	BBGKY-hierarchy	8
2.4	Hard spheres and Boltzmann-Grad limit	11
2.5	Boltzmann equation for hard spheres and other potentials	14
2.6	Basic properties of the Boltzmann equation	18
2.7	Spatially homogeneous problem	20
2.8	Collisional kernels	21
3	Boltzmann-type kinetic equations and their discrete models	23
3.1	Generalization of the Boltzmann equation	23
3.2	Conservation laws and generalized H -theorem	25

3.5	Properties of discrete models	29
3.6	Some transformations of equations and initial data	31
4	Convergence to equilibrium for discrete models of wave kinetic equations	32
4.1	Statement of the problem and formulation of results	32
4.2	Existence and uniqueness of global non-negative solutions	33
4.3	Existence of unique stationary solution	36
4.4	Properties of the stationary solution	37
4.5	Proof of convergence to equilibrium	38
4.6	Conclusions	40
	Appendix A. Construction and classification of normal discrete kinetic models	41
	Appendix B. On approximation of Boltzmann-type equations by discrete kinetic models	41
	Bibliography	46

1 Introduction

The classical Boltzmann equation, which is the main mathematical tool for description of rarefied gases, occupies a very specific place in mathematical physics. Speaking about equations of mathematical physics, we normally have in mind linear or non-linear equations in partial derivatives. Nonlinear kinetic equations of the Boltzmann type belong to a bit different kind of equations, though they contain partial derivatives together with multiple integrals. These equations look too cumbersome at first glance. Perhaps, partly for this reason they are not included in standard university courses for mathematicians. Nevertheless the interest of mathematicians all over the world to this part of mathematical physics is growing fast in last decades. It is very typical for history of physics and mathematics that some parts of physics, which looked not very clear (even for physicists) after first fundamental discoveries, become with time rather a part of mathematics. This can be a slow process. Of course, it does not mean that its importance for physics disappeared. The famous examples are Newton's mechanics and Maxwell's electrodynamics. Something like that happened and still is happening with kinetic theory of gases founded by Maxwell [47], Boltzmann [16] and their predecessors approximately 150 years ago.

The Boltzmann equation was firstly published in 1872 [16]. This equation has a very interesting history, we mention only one important point. Formally this equation was supposed to describe more accurately, than the known at that time equations of gas dynamics, a behaviour of rarefied gas of particles interacting by laws of classical mechanics. The first conclusion made by Boltzmann in his paper cited above was his H -theorem that formally proves the existence of monotone decreasing it time functional on any solution of his equation. In fact, it was a discovery of the mechanical meaning of entropy, the result which is probably more important than the Boltzmann equation itself. The immediate consequence was that the solution of the Boltzmann equation cannot be invertible in time in contrast with equations of Newtonian mechanics. This caused certain doubts in this equation, especially among mathematicians. The famous remark by Zermelo [61] based on the Poincare recurrence theorem was made at the end of 19th century. This question and others were clarified since then, the validity of the Boltzmann equation is justified rigorously at least for short time-intervals. We briefly describe below a progress in mathematical results for the Boltzmann equation. Only a few such results were obtained before 1960s. These are, in particular, works by Hilbert [36], Carleman [19], Morgenstern [48], and Grad [34]. Of course, the great contribution of N.N. Bogolyubov [15] who proposed in 1946 his famous method of derivation of kinetic equations from dynamics should be mentioned. Some of above cited works were done at the formal level of mathematical rigour, but it is inevitable at the early stage of development of any mathematical theory related to physics. The Chapman-Enskog method [22], [27], invented by physicists for transition to hydrodynamics from the Boltzmann equation, has been established as a tool for study of dynamical systems with small parameters.

The number of rigorous mathematical results in kinetic theory began to grow faster in 1960-70s. In particular, we mention: (1) the mathematically rigorous theory of the Cauchy problem for the linearized Boltzmann equation constructed in works by Arsen'ev [8] (for short-range intermolecular potentials) and Ellis, Pinsky [26] (for power-like potentials with Grad's angular cut-off); (2) the complete theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation for a wide class of potentials was developed by Arkeryd [2]; (3) the first global in time existence and uniqueness theorems for the Cauchy problem for the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation by Maslova and Firsov [46] and Ukai [55] under assumption that the initial conditions are sufficiently close to equilibrium; (4) the proof by Lanford [42] of the first validation theorem for the Boltzmann equation in the Boltzmann-Grad limit for hard spheres on the time-interval of order of the particle mean free path. For the sake of brevity we do not discuss the related results obtained for other two famous classical nonlinear kinetic equations introduced respectively by Landau [40] and Vlasov [59]. The kinetic theory and, in particular, the theory of the Boltzmann equation is gradually becoming a more or less regular part of mathematical physics. Mathematical conferences on that subject become more and more frequent in Europe, USA and Japan in last two decades of 20th century. There was a sort of competition of pure mathematicians from different countries to prove a global existence theorem for the Boltzmann equation with initial data far from equilibrium. Finally the result was obtained by DiPerna and Lions in 1989 [23]. The Fields Medal obtained by P.-L. Lions apparently attracted a lot of good young mathematicians to kinetic theory, especially in France. One of them, C. Villani, has obtained another Fields Medal in 2010 for his works in this field of mathematical physics. He also wrote an excellent review [58] of mathematical results on the Boltzmann equation. This review with its renewed on-line version and the book [21] contain most of important mathematical results for the Boltzmann equation obtained before 2005. A review of some more recent results can be found e.g. in the book [10]. The development of kinetic theory in last decades is connected with applications of its ideas to various unusual objects, which are far from traditional rarefied gases. It is related also to fast development of computers and numerical methods. Kinetic equations are used now for modelling of traffic flows, distribution of "active particles" (viruses, etc.) in biology, socio- economic processes. Of course, many of these equations are perhaps "too young" to become a subject of rigorous mathematical study. On the other hand, there are some classes of relatively "old" kinetic equations, which are actively used by physicists since 1960th (see e.g. [32], [60]). These are the so-called "wave kinetic equations" used in the theory of weak turbulence. There are some mathematical results on these equations (see e.g. [28], [25] and references therein), but still many questions like a long time asymptotic behaviour of solutions remain unclear. One of goals of the present paper is to study these and similar equations (for example, the quantum Nordheim-Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation [49], [54]) from a unified point of view as particular cases of the general class of Boltzmann-type equations [12]. Our approach to this class of equations is, to some extent, close to approach of L. Arkeryd in [5]. We apply to this class of equations some methods used for the classical Boltzmann equations. In particular, the construction and properties of discrete models of Boltzmann-type equations are discussed. The main attention is paid to the wave kinetic equation (WKE), it is proved that the solutions of any normal discrete model of WKE tends to equilibrium distribution when time tends to infinity. The consequences of this fact for solutions of WKE are discussed in detail. It should be noted that we do not discuss in this paper important question related to validation of various Boltzmann-type equations, i.e. their derivation from some more general mathematical model. It is not easy question. For example, it took more than a hundred years to get a mathematical proof (Lanford's theorem [42]) of connection between the classical Boltzmann equation and the system of N hard spheres when N tends to infinity. A recent mathematical result on derivation of WKE from non-linear Schrödinger equation (in the presence of random force field) in [25] looks very promising in that sense.

and technique that lead from Hamiltonian mechanics to the classical Boltzmann equation. In principle, it allows to read the paper without preliminary knowledge in kinetic theory. On the other hand, important notations and some technical tools are introduced there. We begin with the N -particle system described by Newton equations in Hamiltonian form. Then we introduce the notion of N -particle distribution function and the Liouville equation. Following the Grad version of BBGKY-hierarchy, we finally present a formal derivation of the Boltzmann equation for hard spheres. The formal generalization of that equation to the case of any reasonable intermolecular potential is also made. Then we study all general properties of the Boltzmann equation, including conservation laws and the H -theorem.

The general Boltzmann-type kinetic is introduced at the beginning of Section 3 as a natural generalization of the classical Boltzmann equation from Section 2. This new equation depends on an arbitrary function $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ of four variables. For Boltzmann equation we have $F = x_3x_4 - x_1x_2$. Other above mentioned kinetic equations correspond to different polynomial forms of F . We show that all these equations can be studied from a unified point of view. Different forms of the general kinetic equation are constructed for the $3d$ -case (Proposition 1). The generalization to d -dimensional case with $d \leq 2$ is also considered. The weak form of the general kinetic equation (the equation for average values) and conservation laws are also discussed. We define a class of functions F that can lead to an analogue of Boltzmann's H -theorem (Proposition 2). Then we introduce discrete kinetic models of the general Boltzmann-type kinetic equation by using the formal analogy with discrete velocity models of the Boltzmann equation. Similarly we define a notion of a normal discrete model. Then we prove Theorem 3.1 on main properties of normal discrete models which posses an analogue of H -theorem.

Section 4 is devoted to some properties of solutions to normal discrete kinetic models of WKE. The main result of this section is the proof of convergence of any positive solution of this model to unique equilibrium solution. This result is formulated at the beginning of Section 4 (Theorem 4.1). The proof is given in the rest of that section. First we construct the solution for any positive initial data and prove its global in time existence and uniqueness (Lemma 4.1). Then we construct a positive stationary solution of the model and prove its uniqueness under given invariants (mass and energy) in Lemma 4.2. Then we improve some estimates for strictly positive initial data and complete the proof of convergence to equilibrium by more or less standard methods of the theory of ODEs.

Appendixes A and B contain some facts based on known properties of discrete kinetic models. These results were mentioned in Sections 3–4. Appendix A explains how to construct the normal discrete models introduced in Section 3. It is important because the main result of Section 4 (Theorem 4.1) is valid only for this particular class of models. Appendix B explains how to approximate the Boltzmann-type kinetic equation by a sequence of discrete models when the order of the model, i.e. the number of its discrete points, tends to infinity. It is interesting that the proof of approximation is based on deep results of the theory of numbers.

2 From particle dynamics to the Boltzmann equation

2.1 N -particle dynamics and modeling of rarefied gases

We consider $N \geq 1$ identical particles with mass $m = 1$. This system is characterized by a $6N$ -dimensional phase vector $Z_N = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$ with components $z_i = (x_i, v_i)$, where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote respectively a position and a velocity of i^{th} particle, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Usually it is assumed below that the particles interact via given pair potential $\Phi(r)$, where $r > 0$ stands for the distance between two interacting particles. We also assume that $\Phi(r) \rightarrow 0$ if $r \rightarrow \infty$. The equations of motion of the system have the following Hamiltonian

form (see any textbook in classical mechanics, e.g. [41]):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t x_i &= \partial H_N / \partial v_i, & \partial_t v_i &= -\partial H_N / \partial x_i, \\ H_N &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Phi(|x_i - x_j|), \\ x_i(0) &= x_i^{(0)}, \quad v_i(0) = v_i^{(0)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Thus the temporal evolution of the system can be understood as the motion of the phase point $Z_N = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$ in the phase space \mathbb{R}^{6N} . This motion obeys conservation laws of energy $E_N \in \mathbb{R}$ and momentum $P_N \in \mathbb{R}^3$ respectively

$$E_N = H_N[Z_N(t)] = \text{const.}, \quad P_N = \sum_{i=1}^N v_i(t) = \text{const.}\tag{2.2}$$

The laws (2.2) follow directly from Eqs. (2.1).

In principle, the above described N -particle system can be used for modeling of real gases or liquids. Then the main problem is that the number of particles N is of order of 10^{23} (Avogadro's number). It is intuitively clear that the case of rarefied gas is easier for description than the case of dense gas. Indeed in the low density limit we get a free molecular flow, i. e. each particle moves independently with constant velocity. Consequently our main assumption will be the following: a typical distance between particles is much greater than effective diameter d of the potential. It is known that the typical "size" d of a molecule of the air is roughly equal to $d \approx 3.7 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{cm}$, whereas the number density \bar{n} of the air is about $\bar{n} \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-3}$ under normal conditions. The inequality

$$\delta = \bar{n}d^3 \ll 1\tag{2.3}$$

is the well-known criterion for ideal gas. Note that $\delta \approx 10^{-3}$ for air under normal conditions on the surface of the Earth. This parameter δ is decreasing with height. Therefore kinetic equations (in particular, the classical Boltzmann equation for rarefied gases) are important for applications in the space science and technology.

The simplest model intermolecular potential $\Phi(r)$ corresponds to particles interacting like hard spheres of diameter d . Then we formally obtain

$$\Phi_{HS}(r) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } 0 < r \leq d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\tag{2.4}$$

Another well-known model corresponds to power-like repulsive potentials

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{\alpha}{r^n}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad n \geq 1,\tag{2.5}$$

including the Coulomb case $n = 1$ with any sign of α .

In the next section we discuss some probabilistic aspects of kinetic theory of gases.

2.2 Distribution functions and Liouville equation

We introduce an important notion of one-particle distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ (the words "one-particle" are usually omitted below for the sake of brevity). The physical meaning of this function is the following: the average number of particles in any measurable set $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by equality

$$n_\Delta(t) = \int_{\Delta} dx dv f(x, v, t)\tag{2.6}$$

In other words, $f(x, v, t)$ is the density of number of particles in the phase space. Usually we assume that the initial data

$$f(x, v, 0) = f^{(0)}(x, v) \quad (2.7)$$

are given. How to find the distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ for $t > 0$? This is, in a sense, the main problem of kinetic theory. It can be shown that for some special physical systems, like rarefied gases, the temporal evolution of distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ is described by so-called "kinetic equation"

$$f_t = A(f), \quad (2.8)$$

where $A(f)$ is a nonlinear operator acting on f . We usually assume that the initial value problem (2.7)–(2.8) has a unique solution $f(x, v, t)$ on some time interval $0 \leq t \leq T$.

Let us consider the simplest kinetic equation connected with the system (2.1). Omitting index $i = 1$ we obtain equations of free motion

$$x_t = v, \quad v_t = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The motion of one particle can also be described by the distribution function $f(x, v, t)$, having in that case the meaning of probability density if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dx dv f^{(0)}(x, v) = 1 \quad (2.10)$$

in the notation of (2.7). The solution of Eqs. (2.9) is obvious:

$$x(t) = x(0) + v(0)t, \quad v(t) = v(0).$$

Therefore $f(x, v, t)$, satisfying conditions (2.7), reads

$$f(x, v, t) = f^{(0)}(x - vt, v) = \exp(-tv \cdot \partial_x) f^{(0)}(x, v). \quad (2.11)$$

Here and below dot denotes the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^3 . We can check by differentiation that

$$f_t + v \cdot \partial_x f = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

This is the simplest kinetic equation. Note that kinetic equation (2.12) is exactly equivalent to dynamical equation (2.9). The probabilistic description is caused only by uncertainty in initial conditions.

Let us now extend these arguments to the case of N non-interacting particles. We consider Eqs. (2.1) with $\Phi(r) \equiv 0$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i &= v_i, & \partial_t v_i &= 0; \\ x_i(0) &= x_i^{(0)}, & v_i(0) &= v_i^{(0)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Thus we have N independent vector equations for each particle. It is natural to introduce N -particle distribution function $F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N; t)$, $z_i = (x_i, v_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, with a meaning of a probability density in the N -particle phase space \mathbb{R}^{6N} . The initial condition reads

$$\begin{aligned} F_N|_{t=0} &= F_N^{(0)}(z_1, \dots, z_N), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^6 \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^6} dz_1 \dots dz_n F_N^{(0)}(z_1, \dots, z_N) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Remark. Here and below we use notations like $F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ (with capital F) for

$f_N(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ will be used for slightly different class of functions related to equality (2.6). The difference disappears for trivial case $N = 1$.

Then it is easy to see that

$$F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N; t) = F_N^{(0)}[z_1(t), \dots, z_N(t)],$$

$$z_i(t) = (x_i - v_i t, v_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Note that

$$\left(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^N v \cdot \partial_{x_i} \right) F_N(x_i, v_i, \dots, x_N, v_N; t) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Thus we obtain the simplest version of the Liouville equation.

We assume that all particles are identical and independently distributed at $t = 0$, i.e.

$$F_N|_{t=0} = \prod_{i=1}^N f^{(0)}(x_i, v_i). \quad (2.16)$$

Then the similar factorization holds for all $t > 0$

$$F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N; t) = \prod_{i=1}^N f(z_i, t) = \prod_{i=1}^N f^{(0)}(x_i - v_i t, v_i). \quad (2.17)$$

This property is known as "the propagation of chaos" [39]. It is self-evident for non-interacting particles, but it also can be proved as asymptotic property of more complex multi-particle systems.

What changes if we consider the Hamiltonian system (2.1) with the nonzero potential $\Phi(r) \neq 0$? Then we still can use the N -particle distribution function $F_N = \{z_1, \dots, z_N; t\}$. We shall see below that the equation for F_N reads

$$\left[\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^N \left(v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i} - \frac{\partial \Phi_N}{\partial x_i} \cdot \partial_{v_i} \right) \right] F_N(x_1, v_1, \dots, x_N, v_N; t) = 0,$$

$$\Phi_N = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Phi(|x_i - x_j|); \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.18)$$

This is the famous Liouville equation [41]. It can be derived very easily. For a moment we simplify our notations in the following way

$$z = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{6N}, \quad z_i = (x_i, v_i);$$

$$w(z) = \{w_1, \dots, w_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{6N}, \quad w_i = \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i}, -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \right),$$

$$H = H_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N |v_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Phi(|x_i - x_j|);$$

$$F(z; t) = F_N(z_1, \dots, z_N; t).$$

Then we can treat $F(z, t)$ as a density of a fluid in \mathbb{R}^{6N} , which moves in accordance with dynamical system

$$z_t = w(z) \quad (2.19)$$

where $w(z)$ is assumed to be a "nice" function.

Then the density $F(z; t)$ satisfies the continuity equation

where div_z denotes the divergence with respect to z . Simple calculation yields

$$\text{div}_z w(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \cdot \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$F_t + \sum_1^N \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_i} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_i} \right) = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

i.e. the Liouville equation (2.18) in slightly different notations. The sum in (2.21) is usually called the Poisson brackets $\{F, H\}$ (only for particles with unit mass $m = 1$) [41].

The Liouville equation is very important because it allows (at least formally) to build a bridge between N -particle dynamics, kinetic theory and hydrodynamics.

2.3 BBGKY-hierarchy

It was assumed in Section 2.2 that $f_N(z_1, \dots, z_N; t)$ is integrable over the whole phase space \mathbb{R}^{6N} . This assumption is sometimes too strong. For example it does not allow to consider translationally invariant (in physical space \mathbb{R}^3) systems with initial data like $f_2^{(0)}(x_1 - x_2; v_1, v_2)$. Therefore it is more convenient to consider N -particle system confined in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, say a box or a sphere with "large" diameter L . The volume of Ω is denoted by $|\Omega|$. Then the phase state of i^{th} particle is described by the point

$$z_i = (x_i, v_i) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.22)$$

We can assume for simplicity that the walls of Ω are specularly reflecting. Another possibility is to assume that Ω is a periodic box. What is important is to keep unchanged the total number N of particles inside Ω .

For the sake of brevity we will use below symbolic notations

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(x_1, v_1; \dots; x_N, v_N; t) &= F_N(1, 2, \dots, N; t), \\ \int_{\Omega} dx_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv_i \dots &= \int_G di \dots; \quad G = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where F_N is normalized by equality

$$\int_{G_N} d1 d2 \dots dN F_N(1, \dots, N; t) = 1, \quad G_N = G^N, \quad (2.24)$$

and satisfies the Liouville equation (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^N A_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} B_{ij} \right) F_N &= 0, \quad A_i = v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i}, \\ B_{ij} &= \frac{\partial \Phi(|x_i - x_j|)}{\partial x_i} \cdot (\partial_{v_i} - \partial_{v_j}); \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N; \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

The function $F_N(1, \dots, N; t)$ is assumed to be invariant under permutations of its arguments $(1, \dots, N)$ because all N particles are identical. This property is preserved by the Liouville equation if it is valid at $t = 0$.

We introduce k -particle probability distributions by equalities

$$F_k^{(N)}(1, 2, \dots, k) = \int d(k+1) \dots dN F_N(1, 2, \dots, N),$$

Here and below the argument t is omitted in such cases when it does not cause any confusion. The next step is to obtain a set of evolution equations for $F_k^{(N)}(1, 2, \dots, k; t)$. We take any $1 \leq k \leq N - 1$ and integrate Eq. (2.25) over $d(k+1) \dots dN$. The result reads

$$(\partial_t + L_k) F_k^{(N)} = \Gamma_k^{(N)}, \quad L_k = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} B_{ij}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\Gamma_k^{(N)} = \int_{G_{N-k}} d(k+1) \dots dN \left[- \sum_{i=k+1}^N A_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=k+1}^N B_{ij} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N B_{ij} \right] F_N(1, \dots, N). \quad (2.28)$$

We assume that the boundary conditions guarantee that for any $1 \leq i \leq N$

$$\int_G di(v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i}) F_N(1, \dots, N; t) = 0. \quad (2.29)$$

Then the first sum in (2.28) disappears. The third sum in (2.28) also disappears under natural assumption that

$$F_N(1, \dots, N; t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |v_i| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for some} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \quad (2.30)$$

Then we obtain

$$\Gamma_k^{(N)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \Gamma_{ik}^{(N)}, \quad \Gamma_{ik}^{(N)} = \sum_{j=k+1}^N \int_{G_{N-k}} d(k+1) \dots dN B_{ij} F_N(1, \dots, N).$$

Let us consider the first term of the sum for $\Gamma_{ik}^{(N)}$. Then $j = k+1$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G d(k+1) B_{ik+1} \int_{G_{N-k-1}} d(k+2) \dots dN F_N(1, \dots, N) = \\ & = \int_G d(k+1) B_{ik+1} F_{k+1}^{(N)}(1, \dots, k+1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad F_N^{(N)} = F_N. \end{aligned}$$

If we take another value of j in the sum for $\Gamma_{ik}^{(N)}$, then the result will be the same. It follows from symmetry of $F_N(1, \dots, N)$ with respect to permutations. Moreover the operator B_{ik+1} from (2.25) can be replaced by

$$\tilde{B}_{ik+1} = \frac{\partial \Phi(|x_i - x_{k+1}|)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$$

because of conditions (2.30). Therefore we obtain

$$\Gamma_k^{(N)} = (N-k) \sum_{i=1}^k \int_G d(k+1) \tilde{B}_{ik+1} F_{k+1}^{(N)}(1, \dots, k+1).$$

We substitute this formula into Eqs. (2.27) and get the following set of equations:

$$(\partial_t + L_k) F_k^{(N)} = (N-k) \sum_{i=1}^k \int_G d(k+1) \tilde{B}_{ik+1} F_{k+1}^{(N)}(1, \dots, k+1), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N-1, \quad (2.31)$$

where $F_k^{(N)}(1, \dots, k)$ are given in Eqs. (2.26),

$$\begin{aligned}
F_N^{(N)}(1, \dots, N) &= F_N(1, \dots, N), \\
L_k &= \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{\Phi(|x_i - x_j|)}{\partial x_i} \cdot (\partial_{v_i} - \partial_{v_j}), \\
C_{k+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^k C_{i k+1}, \\
C_{i k+1} F_{k+1}^{(N)} &= \int_G d(k+1) \frac{\Phi(|x_i - x_{k+1}|)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \partial_{v_i} F_{k+1}^{(N)}(1, \dots, k+1). \tag{2.32}
\end{aligned}$$

The set of equations (2.31)–(2.32) is called (if we ignore its trivial modifications) the BBGKY-hierarchy. The BBGKY stands for Bogolyubov, Born, Green, Kirkwood and Yvon, the names of physicists who introduced independently this system of equations (see e.g. [9, 15]). The equation (2.31) with $k = N$ also makes sense if we set $F_N^{(N)} = F_N$, $F_N^{(N+1)} = 0$. Then it will be the Liouville equation for F_N . Note that equations (2.31) (the BBGKY-hierarchy for N -particle dynamical system (2.1) in the box Ω) can be formally considered as exact equations. The only relevant assumption is equality (2.29) related to interactions with boundaries of the box Ω . This equality is fulfilled, in particular, for periodic box or the box with specularly reflecting walls.

The BBGKY-hierarchy is a starting point for all classical kinetic equations. For example, let us assume that the interaction between particles is weak and replace $\Phi(r)$ by $\varepsilon \bar{\Phi}(r)$ in Eqs. (2.31) in the following form:

$$\left(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^N A_i - \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} B_{ij} \right) F_{k+1}^{(N)} = \varepsilon (N - k) C_{k+1} F_{k+1}^{(N)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1,$$

in the notation of Eqs. (2.25), (2.32). Then it is natural to consider the limit

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad N\varepsilon = \text{const.}$$

and to assume that $F_k^{(N)} \rightarrow F_k$ in that limit for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then we formally obtain the following infinite set of limiting equations for F_k :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i} \right) F_k(z_1, \dots, z_k; t) = \\
&= (N\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^k \int_G dz_{k+1} \frac{\Phi(|x_i - x_j|)}{\partial x_i} \cdot \partial_{v_i} F_{k+1}(z_1, \dots, z_{k+1}; t); \\
&z_i = (x_i, v_i) \in G, \quad dz_i = dx_i dv_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1; \quad k = 1, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that these equations admit a class of solutions in factorized form

$$F_k(z_1, \dots, z_k; t) = \prod_{i=1}^k F(z_i, t), \quad k = 1, \dots,$$

where $F(x, v, t)$ satisfies the Vlasov equation [59]

$$F_t + v \cdot F_x - (N\varepsilon) F_v \cdot \partial_x \int_{\Omega} dy dw \Phi(|x - y|) F(y, w, t) = 0.$$

The most important applications of the Vlasov equations are related to the Coulomb potential $\Phi(r) = \alpha/r$ (gravitational or electrostatic forces).

On the other hand, in important case of potentials $\Phi(r)$ with compact support (strong

2.4 Hard spheres and Boltzmann-Grad limit

We begin with the case of N hard spheres of diameter d . Then the Liouville equation (2.18) cannot be used directly because the potential $\Phi_{HS}(r)$ (2.4) is too singular. The function $F_N(x_1, v_1; \dots; x_N, v_N; t)$ is defined in this case by the free flow equation

$$\partial_t F_N + \sum_{i=1}^N v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i} F_N = 0, \quad (2.33)$$

valid in the domain

$$B_N = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x_i - x_j| > d; i, j = 1, \dots, N, i \neq j\}. \quad (2.34)$$

We add to this equation the boundary conditions on each of $N(N-1)/2$ boundary surfaces $|x_i - x_j| = d$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, N; i \neq j$) in \mathbb{R}^{3N} . Taking, for example, $i = 1, j = 2$, we obtain, assuming the specular reflection law,

$$F_N(x_1, v_1; x_2, v_2; \dots; t)|_{|x_1 - x_2| = d, x \cdot u > 0} = F_N(x_1, v'_1; x_2, v'_2; \dots; t), \quad (2.35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 - x_2 = dn, \quad n \in S^2, \quad u = v_1 - v_2, \\ v'_1 &= v_1 - n(u \cdot n), \quad v'_2 = v_2 + n(u \cdot n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

The surfaces that correspond to multiple collisions of $k \geq 3$ particles are described by at least $(k-1) \geq 2$ equalities. For example, for $k = 3$ we need to satisfy simultaneously two conditions like $|x_1 - x_2| = d$ and $|x_2 - x_3| = d$. These surfaces have a zero measure as compared with the case $k = 2$ of pair collisions. Therefore we ignore multiple collisions.

For brevity we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} F_N &\in L(G_N), \quad G_N = G^N, \quad G = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ F_N(1, \dots, N; t) &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |x_i - x_j| < d \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

for at least one pair of indices $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. Here and below we use symbolic notations (2.23) from Section 2.3, when it does not cause any confusion. We also assume that $F_N \geq 0$ is the probability density in G_N with usual normalization condition (2.24). Our aim is to construct the equation for one-particle probability density $F_1^{(N)}(1)$ given in (2.26) with $k = 1$. Note that this function can be equally defined by equality

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(N)}(1) &= \int_{G_{N-1}} d2 \dots dN \Psi(1|2, \dots, N) F_N(1, \dots, N), \\ \Psi(1|2, \dots, N) &= \prod_{k=2}^N \eta[d^2 - |x_1 - x_k|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where $\eta(y)$ is the unit function

$$\eta(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y > 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.39)$$

We multiply Eq. (2.33) by $\Psi(1|2, \dots, N)$ and integrate over G_{N-1} . The result reads

$$\partial_t F_1^{(N)}(1) + \sum_{i=2}^N \int_{G_{N-1}} v_i \cdot \partial_{x_i} F_N(1, \dots, N) \Psi(1|2, \dots, N) = 0 \quad (2.40)$$

where

$$I_k = \int_{G_{N-1}} d2 \dots dN \Psi(1|2, \dots, N) A_k F_N(1, \dots, N), \quad A_k = v_k \cdot \partial_{x_k}. \quad (2.41)$$

We separated the term with $k = 1$ in (2.40) because all other terms in the sum are equal to I_2 . Indeed, we always assume that all particles are identical and therefore $F_N(1, \dots, N)$ is symmetric with respect to permutations of arguments $(2, \dots, N)$. Hence, $I_k = I_2$ for any $3 \leq k \leq N$ and therefore

$$\sum_{k=2}^N I_k = (N-1)I_2. \quad (2.42)$$

The integral I_2 can be written as

$$I_2 = \int_{|x_1 - x_2| > d} dx_2 \operatorname{div}_{x_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv_2 v_2 \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_2, v_2), \quad (2.43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_2, v_2) &= \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(1, 2) = \\ &= \int_{G_{N-2}} d3 \dots dN F_N(1, 2, \dots, N) \prod_{k=3}^N \eta[d^2 - |x_1 - x_k|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.44)$$

We use the notation $\tilde{F}_2^{(N)}$ because formally this function coincides with $F_2^{(N)}$ from (2.26) only for $d = 0$. We apply the Gauss theorem to integral (2.40) and obtain after simple transformations

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= - \int_{|y| > d} dy \operatorname{div}_y \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv_2 v_2 \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_1 - y, v_2) = \\ &= d^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv_2 dn (v_2 \cdot n) \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_1 - dn, v_2), \end{aligned}$$

where n denotes the outward unit normal vector to the unit sphere S^2 . It remains to evaluate the integral I_1 in (2.41). We note that

$$\Psi(1|2, \dots, N) A_1 F_N(1, \dots, N) = A_1 \Psi F_N - F_N A_1 \Psi, \quad A_1 = v_1 \cdot \partial_{x_1}.$$

Since $\eta'(y) = \delta(y)$, where $\delta(y)$ denotes the Dirac delta-function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A \Psi &= v_1 \cdot \partial_{x_1} \prod_{i=2}^N \eta[d^2 - |x_1 - x_i|^2] = \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=2}^N v_1 \cdot (x_1 - x_i) \delta[|x_1 - x_i|^2 - d^2] \prod_{j=2}^N \eta[d^2 - |x_1 - x_j|^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we perform the integration in (2.41) and use again symmetry of F_N and Ψ . The result reads

$$I_1 = v \cdot \partial_{x_1} F_1^{(N)}(x_1, v_1) -$$

in the notation of Eq. (2.38). Note that

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dy \delta[(x-y)^2 - d^2] F(y) = d^2 \int_{S^2} dn F(x-dn).$$

Therefore we obtain Eq. (2.40) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_1 \cdot \partial_{x_1}) F_1^{(N)}(x_1, v_1) &= Q^{(N)} = \\ &= (N-1) d^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv_2 dn [(v_1 - v_2) \cdot n] \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_1 - dn, v_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

where $\tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_2, v_2)$ is given in (2.38). We can split the integral over S^2 into two parts in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^2} dn (u \cdot n) \Psi(n) &= \int_{S_+^2} dn |u \cdot n| \Psi(n) - \int_{S_-^2} dn |u \cdot n| \Psi(n), \\ S_+^2 &= \{n \in S^2 : u \cdot n > 0\}, \quad S_-^2 = \{n \in S^2 : u \cdot n < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u = v_1 - v_2$, $\Psi(n)$ is an arbitrary integrable function. It is clear from Eqs. (2.35), (2.36), that $\Psi(n) = \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_1 - dn, v_2)$ in the integral over S_+^2 can be expressed through $\Psi(n)$ in the integral over S_-^2 . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(N)} &= (N-1) d^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv_2 dn |u \cdot n| \left[\tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v'_1; x_1 - dn, v'_2) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tilde{F}_2^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_1 + dn, v_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

$$v'_1 = v_1 - n(u \cdot n), \quad u = v_1 - v_2, \quad v'_2 = v_2 + n(u \cdot n).$$

Note that Eqs. (2.45)–(2.46) are formally exact for hard spheres. To our knowledge, they were firstly published by Harold Grad not later than in 1957 [34]. These equations are very important as a starting point for mathematically rigorous derivation of the Boltzmann equation.

For our goals it is sufficient to introduce the "chaotic" initial data

$$\begin{aligned} F_N(1, 2, \dots, N)|_{t=0} &= c_N \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^N F_0(k) \right\} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \eta [d^2 - |x_i - x_j|^2], \\ &\int_G d1 F_0(1) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

where c_N is the normalization constant, and to consider the formal limit of Eqs. (2.45)–(2.46) under conditions that

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad d \rightarrow 0, \quad N d^2 = \text{const}. \quad (2.48)$$

It is the so-called Boltzmann-Grad limit [20, 34]. To be more precise we assume that

$$F_1^{(N)}(x_1, v_1, t) \rightarrow F(x, v, t), \quad F_1^{(N)}(x_1, v_1; x_2, v_2; t) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^2 F(x_i, v_i, t) \quad (2.49)$$

under conditions (2.48).

Then we formally obtain from (2.45), (2.46) the Boltzmann equation for hard spheres. In simplified notations $x = x_1$, $v = v_1$, $w = v_2$ this equation reads

$$\tilde{Q}(F, F) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw dn |u \cdot n| [F(x, v', t)F(x, w', t) - F(x, v, t)F(x, w, t)],$$

$$u = v - w, \quad n \in S^2; \quad v' = v - (u \cdot n)n, \quad w' = w + (u \cdot n)n, \quad (2.51)$$

where the domain of integration S_+^2 in (2.46) is extended to the whole unit sphere S^2 in obvious way. The limiting initial conditions formally follows from (2.47):

$$F(x, v)|_{t=0} = F_0(x, v), \quad \int_G dx dv F_0(x, v) = 1. \quad (2.52)$$

We consider in this section the case of the whole space $G = \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ in order to simplify formal calculations. In fact the same equations (2.50)–(2.52) can be derived rigorously from N -particle dynamics in case, when all particles are confined in bounded domain Ω with reflecting walls (see [21] and references therein for details).

In our formal derivation of the Boltzmann equation in this section we followed the Grad's scheme from [34]. It should be pointed out that the first mathematically rigorous proof of validity of the Boltzmann equation for hard spheres was done by O. Lanford in 1975 [42]. The deep and rigorous presentation of the validation problem for the Boltzmann equation can be found in the book [21].

2.5 Boltzmann equation for hard spheres and other potentials

The classical Boltzmann equation is usually considered not for the probability density $F(x, v, t)$, but for the distribution function $f(x, v, t)$

$$f(x, v, t) = N F(x, v, t). \quad (2.53)$$

The equation for $f(x, v, t)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} f_t + v \cdot f_x &= Q(f, f) = \\ &= \frac{d^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw dn |u \cdot n| [f(v')f(w') - f(v)f(w)], \\ u &= v - w, \quad n \in S^2; \quad v' = v - (u \cdot n)n, \quad w' = w + (u \cdot n)n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

where irrelevant arguments (x, t) of $f(x, v, t)$ are omitted in the so-called Boltzmann collision integral $Q(f, f)$. Note that $Q(f, f)$ is a quadratic with respect to f operator acting only on variable $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The advantage of Eq. (2.54) is that it does not contain number of particles N . The Boltzmann collision integral can be presented in different forms. In particular, the following form of $Q(f, f)$ is very useful:

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{d^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw d\omega |u| [f(v')f(w') - f(v)f(w)], \quad (2.55)$$

$$u = v - w, \quad \omega \in S^2; \quad v' = \frac{1}{2}(v + w + |u|\omega), \quad w' = \frac{1}{2}(v + w - |u|\omega).$$

In order to prove that this integral coincides with $Q(f, f)$ from (2.54) we consider a simpler integral

$$I(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dk \delta \left(k \cdot u + \frac{|k|^2}{2} \right) F(k), \quad (2.56)$$

Lemma 2.1

$$I(F) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} dn |u \cdot n| F[-2(u \cdot n)n] = |u| \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega F(|u|\omega - u). \quad (2.57)$$

Proof.

We evaluate the integral $I(F)$ in spherical coordinates with polar axis directed along $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Thus we denote $k = rn$, $n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ in (2.56) and obtain

$$I(F) = \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} dn \delta \left[rn \cdot u + \frac{r^2}{2} \right] F(rn).$$

Since

$$\delta(\alpha x) = \frac{\delta(x)}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.58)$$

we change the order of integration and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(F) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} dn \int_0^\infty dr r \delta \left[\frac{1}{2}(2n \cdot u + r) \right] F(rn) = \\ &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{S}_-^2} dn |n \cdot u| F[-2(u \cdot n)n], \quad \mathbb{S}_-^2 = \{n \in \mathbb{S}^2 : u \cdot n < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The integrand is an even function of $n \in S^2$ and hence the first equality (2.57) follows. The second equality is based on change of variables $k = \tilde{k} - u$ in the integral (2.56). Then we obtain

$$I(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dk \delta \left(\frac{|k|^2 - |u|^2}{2} \right) F(k - u)$$

and evaluate this integral in the same way as above.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. ■

Now we can prove the transformation of $Q(f, f)$ from (2.54) to (2.55). We consider (2.54) and denote

$$F(k) = f(v + k/2)f(w - k/2) - f(v)f(w), \quad (2.59)$$

considering v and w as fixed parameters. Then we obtain from (2.54)

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{d^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw dn |u \cdot n| F[-2(u \cdot n)n].$$

It remains to use the identity (2.57) and get the following result:

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{d^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw d\omega |u| F(|u|\omega - u)$$

in the notation of Eq. (2.59). It is easy to check that this formula for $Q(f, f)$ coincides with (2.55). Hence, the equivalence of (2.54) and (2.55) is proved. Note also that the same identity (2.57) leads to the third useful representation of the collision integral for hard spheres:

$$Q(f, f) = \frac{d^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{D}^3 \times \mathbb{D}^3} dw dk \delta(k \cdot u + |k|^2/2) \times$$

The physical meaning of the Boltzmann equation can be better understood by considering $Q(f, f)$ in the form (2.55). We denote

$$\langle f, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv f(v) \psi(v), \quad (2.61)$$

where $\psi(v)$ is an arbitrary function of velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for which the integral exists. Then we formally obtain from (2.54)

$$\partial_t \langle f, \psi \rangle + \partial_x \cdot \langle f, v \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle. \quad (2.62)$$

The right hand side with $Q(f, f)$ from (2.55) reads

$$\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle = \frac{d^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} dv dw d\omega |u| \psi(v) [f(v') f(w') - f(v) f(w)]$$

in the notation of (2.55). We denote the center of mass variables (see Section 1.4) by

$$U = \frac{v + w}{2}, \quad u = v - w \Leftrightarrow v = U + \frac{u}{2}, \quad w = U - \frac{u}{2}. \quad (2.63)$$

Hence, $dv dw = dU du$. Therefore we obtain

$$\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle = \frac{d^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} dU du d\omega |u| \psi \left(U + \frac{u}{2} \right) [F(U, |u| \omega) - F(U, u)],$$

$$F(U, u) = f(v) f(w).$$

If we denote $u = r \omega_0$, $\omega_0 \in S^2$, and write down the integral over du as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} du \varphi(u) = \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega_0 \varphi(r \omega_0),$$

then the internal integral over $d\omega_0 d\omega$ reads

$$I = \int_{S^2 \times S^2} d\omega_0 d\omega \psi \left(U + \frac{r}{2} \omega_0 \right) [F(U, r\omega) - F(U, r\omega_0)],$$

where $r = |u|$. Obviously we can exchange variables ω and ω_0 in the first term and obtain

$$I = \int_{S^2 \times S^2} d\omega_0 d\omega F(U, r\omega_0) \left[\psi \left(U + \frac{r}{2} \omega \right) - \psi \left(U + \frac{r}{2} \omega_0 \right) \right].$$

Coming back to initial variables, we get

$$\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv dw f(v) f(w) |u| \sigma_{tot} [\overline{\psi(v') - \psi(v)}], \quad (2.64)$$

where

$$\sigma_{tot} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\omega \sigma_{diff} = \pi d^2,$$

$$\overline{\psi(v') - \psi(v)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi \left(U + \frac{|u|}{2} \omega \right) - \psi \left(U - \frac{|u|}{2} \omega \right) \right]$$

$\sigma_{diff} = d^2/4$ denotes the so-called differential scattering cross-section for hard spheres with diameter d . The bar in (2.64), (2.65) means actually an averaging over random impact parameters. The physical meaning of Eq. (2.62) becomes clear if we write it as

$$\partial_t \langle f, \psi \rangle + \partial_x \cdot \langle f, v\psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv dw f(v) f(w) |u| \sigma_{tot} [\overline{\psi(v') - \psi(v)}] \quad (2.66)$$

in the notation of Eq. (2.65). Indeed the average total number of collisions per unit time is given by the integral

$$\nu_{tot}(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv dw f(v) f(w) |u| \sigma_{tot}. \quad (2.67)$$

On the other hand, the average change of ψ in the collision of particles with velocities v and w is equal to the average difference $[\overline{\psi(v') - \psi(v)}]$ given in Eq. (2.65). Hence, the right hand side of Eq. (2.66) defines correctly (at the intuitive level) the rate of change of $\langle f, \psi \rangle$ due to collisions.

These considerations allows us to generalize Eqs. (2.64)–(2.67) to the case of general (repulsive) potential $\Phi(r)$ with finite radius of action $R_{\max} = d$. In that case we have the same total cross-section $\sigma_{tot} = \pi d^2$, as for hard spheres with diameter d . However, the differential cross-section of scattering $(v, w) \rightarrow (v', w')$, such that

$$v - w = u, \quad v' - w' = u' = |u|\omega,$$

is given for the general potential $\Phi(r)$ by the function

$$\sigma_{diff} = \sigma(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|), \quad \sigma_{tot} = 2\pi \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \sigma(|u|, \mu) \quad (2.68)$$

discussed in detail in textbook in classical mechanics (see [41]). The connection of $\sigma_{diff}(|u|, \mu)$ with the potential $\Phi(x)$ is briefly discussed below in Section 2.8. If we fix the intermolecular potential $\Phi(r)$ and the corresponding differential scattering cross-section $\sigma(|u|, \mu)$ then we obtain the same equation (2.64), where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\psi(v') - \psi(v)} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{tot}} \int_{S^2} d\omega \sigma(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [\psi(v') - \psi(v)], \\ v' &= \frac{1}{2}(v + w + |u|\omega), \quad u = v - w. \end{aligned} \quad (2.69)$$

Finally we note that the total cross-section σ_{tot} disappears after substitution of (2.65) into (2.64). In addition, the differential cross-section $\sigma(|u|, \mu)$ is always multiplied by $|u|$ in the integrand in (2.64). Therefore it is more convenient to introduce a new function

$$g(|u|, \mu) = |u| \sigma(|u|, \mu), \quad \mu \in [-1, 1]. \quad (2.70)$$

Then the general Eq. (2.64) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle &= \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega f(v) f(w) g(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [\psi(v') - \psi(v)], \\ u &= v - w, \quad v' = \frac{1}{2}[v + w + |u|\omega], \quad \omega \in S^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

Note that $\psi(v)$ is an arbitrary test function. Therefore this expression is sometimes called

$$Q(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw d\omega g(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [f(v')f(w') - f(v)f(w)],$$

$$\omega \in S^2, u = v - w, v' = \frac{1}{2}(v + w + |u|\omega), w' = \frac{1}{2}(v + w - |u|\omega), \quad (2.72)$$

where $g(|u|, \mu)$ is given in (2.70). We consider below the Boltzmann equation

$$f_t + v \cdot f_x = Q(f, f), \quad (2.73)$$

in the notation of Eqs. (2.70), (2.72). We shall usually consider $g(|u|, \mu)$ as a given function.

2.6 Basic properties of the Boltzmann equation

In applications to rarefied gas dynamics we are mainly interested not in the distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ itself, but in the (macroscopic) characteristics of the gas averaged over the velocity space. In accordance with physical meaning of $f(x, v, t)$, the density of the gas or equivalently the number of particles per unit volume is defined by equality

$$\rho(x, t) = \langle f, 1 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv f(x, v, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \geq 0. \quad (2.74)$$

Another important macroscopic characteristics of the gas are the bulk or mean velocity $u(x, t)$ (not to be confused with the notation u for relative velocity in the collision integral (2.72)) and the absolute temperature $T(x, t)$. These functions are defined by equalities

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle f, v \rangle, \quad T(x, t) = \frac{m}{3\rho} \langle f, |v - u|^2 \rangle, \quad (2.75)$$

in the notation of (2.55). Here m stands for molecular mass, whereas T is expressed in energy units. Usually we assume in this book that $m = 1$ unless the mixture of different gases is considered.

For given values of $\rho(x, t)$, $u(x, t)$ and $T(x, t)$ the following distribution function will be called a local Maxwell distribution (or Maxwellian):

$$f_M(x, v, t) = \rho(2\pi T)^{-3/2} \exp \left[-\frac{|v - u|^2}{2T} \right], \quad (2.76)$$

where it is assumed that $m = 1$ in (2.75). The same function is called "absolute Maxwellian" if the parameters ρ, u, T are independent of x and t .

Coming back to the Boltzmann equation (2.73) we can easily understand the importance of the Maxwellian distribution (2.76). Indeed it follows from (2.72) that

$$v' + w' = v + w, \quad |v'|^2 + |w'|^2 = |v|^2 + |w|^2, \quad (2.77)$$

i.e. the conservation laws for momentum and energy in each pair collision. Hence, any function of the form

$$f(v) = \exp(\alpha + \beta \cdot v - \gamma |v|^2), \quad \gamma > 0, \quad (2.78)$$

with constant parameters (α, β, γ) satisfies equations

$$f(v')f(w') = f(v)f(w), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \omega \in S^2, \quad (2.79)$$

in the notation of Eqs. (2.72). Hence,

$$Q(f_M, f_M) = 0 \quad (2.80)$$

Another important property of the Boltzmann equation is connected with conservation laws for mass, momentum and energy. We consider the identity (2.70) for a given test function $\psi(v)$ and transform the integral by exchanging variables v and w . Then we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega f(v) f(w) g(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [\psi(v') + \\ &\quad + \psi(w') - \psi(v) - \psi(w)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.81)$$

in the notation of (2.72). Hence,

$$\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \psi(v) = a + b \cdot v + c|v|^2 \quad (2.82)$$

with any constant parameters a, b, c . This identity leads to conservation laws for mass, momentum and energy. Indeed we consider Eq. (2.62) with $\psi = 1$, $\psi = v$ and $\psi = |v|^2$ respectively. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} \rho u &= 0, \\ \partial_t \rho u_\alpha + \partial_{x_\beta} \langle f, v_\alpha v_\beta \rangle &= 0, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3; \\ \partial_t \langle f, |v|^2 \rangle + \operatorname{div} \langle f, |v|^2 v \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.83)$$

These equations are very basic for the Boltzmann equation and transition to hydrodynamics.

Finally we shall prove the famous Boltzmann's H -theorem. The theorem is based on the following inequality:

$$\langle \log f, Q(f, f) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (2.84)$$

To prove this we need one more identity for $\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega g(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [f(v') f(w') - \\ &\quad - f(v) f(w)] [\psi(v') + \psi(w') - \psi(v) - \psi(w)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.85)$$

It follows from Eq. (2.81) and another general equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega [\Psi(v, w; v', w') - \Psi(v', w'; v, w)] = 0, \quad (2.86)$$

valid for any function $\Psi(v_1, v_2; v_3, v_4)$ such that the integral is convergent. For the proof it is sufficient to pass to variables U, u (2.63) in the integrand and to repeat the considerations used for the proof of identity (2.81). For brevity we omit these straightforward calculations.

To complete the proof of (2.85) we consider Eq. (2.81) and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(v, w; v', w') &= \frac{1}{2} f(v) f(w) g(|u|, u' \cdot u/|u|^2) [\psi(v') + \psi(w') - \psi(v) - \psi(w)], \\ u' &= v' - w' = |u|\omega, \quad u = v - w. \end{aligned}$$

Then we apply (2.86) and obtain

$$\langle \psi, Q(f, f) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega [\Psi(v, w; v', w') - \Psi(v', w'; v, w)],$$

i.e. the identity (2.85). Now we can prove the inequality (2.84) by substitution of $\psi = \log f(v)$ into (2.85). We obtain

$$\langle \log f, Q(f, f) \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega g(|u|, \omega \cdot u/|u|) [f(v') f(w') -$$

This completes the proof of inequality (2.84).

The main application of (2.84) is the proof of Boltzmann's H -theorem. We introduce the Boltzmann's H -functional

$$H(f)(x, t) = \langle f, \log f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv f(x, v, t) \log f(x, v, t), \quad (2.88)$$

where $f(x, v, t)$ is a solution of Eq. (2.73).

Note that

$$(\partial_t + v \cdot \partial_x) f \log f = (1 + \log f)(f_t + v \cdot f_x) = (1 + \log f)Q(f, f).$$

Hence, we obtain by integration in v

$$\partial_t \langle f, \log f \rangle + \operatorname{div} \langle f, v \log f \rangle = \langle \log f, Q(f, f) \rangle \leq 0, \quad (2.89)$$

This inequality is known as "the Boltzmann's H -theorem". Its importance can be easily understood in the spatially homogeneous case, considered in the next section.

2.7 Spatially homogeneous problem

The Boltzmann equation (2.73) admits a class of spatially homogeneous solutions $f(v, t)$. We usually consider the initial value problem

$$f_t = Q(f, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0(v), \quad (2.90)$$

in the notation of Eq. (2.72).

The conservation laws (2.83) show that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \langle f, 1 \rangle = \text{const.}, \quad u = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle f, v \rangle = \text{const.}, \\ T &= \frac{1}{3\rho} \langle f, |v - u|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{3\rho} [\langle f, |v|^2 \rangle - \rho |u|^2] = \text{const.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.91)$$

We also note that the operator $Q(f, f)$ is invariant under shifting $v \rightarrow v + v_0$, $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, in v -space. Therefore if $f(v, t)$ is a solution of the equation from (2.90), then $f(v + v_0, t)$ is also a solution for any $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Hence, we can always reduce the problem (2.90) to the case

$$u = \frac{1}{\rho} \langle f, v \rangle = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.92)$$

Moreover if $f(v, t)$ is a solution of the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation, then so is the function $\tilde{f}(v, t) = \alpha f(v, \alpha t)$ with any $\alpha > 0$. This transformation allows to reduce the general problem (2.90) to the case

$$\rho = \langle f, 1 \rangle = 1. \quad (2.93)$$

The the corresponding Maxwell distribution (2.76) reads

$$f_M(v) = (2\pi T)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2T}\right), \quad (2.94)$$

where

$$T = \frac{1}{3} \langle f_0, |v|^2 \rangle. \quad (2.95)$$

The H -theorem (2.89) shows that the functional

cannot increase with time on the solution of (2.90) because

$$\partial_t H(f)(t) = \langle \log f, Q(f, f) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (2.97)$$

If we consider the explicit formula (2.87), then it becomes clear that $\langle f, Q(f, f) \rangle = 0$ if and only if

$$f(v')f(w') = f(v)f(w)$$

for almost all values $(v, w, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ provided the kernel $g(|u|, \mu)$ in (2.72) is positive almost everywhere. This functional equation was studied in various classes of functions by many authors beginning with L. Boltzmann (see [21] and references therein). They proved the uniqueness of its well-known solution (2.78). On the other hand, the only function (2.78), which satisfies the above discussed conservation laws is the Maxwellian f_M (2.94). Hence, we can conclude at the formal level, that $H(f)$ decreases monotonically in time unless $f = f_M$. This conclusion can be confirmed by general inequality

$$\langle f_M, \log f_M \rangle \leq \langle f, \log f \rangle \quad (2.98)$$

in the notation of Eqs. (2.74)–(2.76). Its proof is very simple. Note that $\langle f - f_M, \log f_M \rangle = 0$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that $\langle f, (\log f - \log f_M) \rangle \geq 0$. This follows from elementary inequality

$$G(z, y) = z(\log z - \log y) + y - z = zG_1\left(\frac{z}{y}\right) \geq 0, \quad z > 0, y > 0,$$

where $G_1(t) = \log t + t^{-1} - 1 \geq 0$. We set $z = f(v)$, $y = f_M(v)$ and integrate the inequality $G(f, f_M) \geq 0$ over $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This completes the proof of (2.98). The inequality (2.98) shows that the Maxwellian (2.74)–(2.76) is the minimizer of the H -functional $H(f) = \langle f, \log f \rangle$ in the class of distribution functions with fixed lower moments (ρ, u, T) .

Coming back to the initial value problem (2.90) and assuming conditions (2.92), (2.93), we know that there is a unique positive stationary solution f_M , given in (2.94), (2.95), such that all conservation laws are satisfied. This stationary solution f_M minimizes H -functional and therefore we expect that the solution $f(v, t)$ converges (in some precise sense) to f_M for large values of time.

This is a qualitative behavior of solutions of the problem (2.90) that we expect on the basis of above formal considerations. The corresponding physical process is called "the relaxation to equilibrium".

Rigorous mathematical theory of the problem (2.90) is not simple. First steps in its development were made by T. Carleman in 1930s [19] for the model of hard spheres. Then a more general and detailed theory was presented by L. Arkeryd [2] in early 1970s (see also [3]). To understand these and more recent results in this area we need to introduce a sort of classification of collisional kernels $g(|u|, \mu)$ in the Boltzmann integral (2.72). This is done in the next section.

2.8 Collisional kernels

We remind to the reader that the kernel $g(|u|, \mu)$ is equal to $|u|$ multiplied by the differential cross-section $\sigma(|u|, \mu)$ expressed as a function of $\mu = \cos \theta$. The scattering angle $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ is given in the form (see [41])

$$\theta(b, |u|) = \pi - 2b|u| \int_{r_{\min}}^{\infty} \frac{r}{r^2} \left[|u|^2 \left(1 - \frac{b^2}{|u|^2} \right) - \frac{2\Phi(r)}{m} \right]^{-1/2}, \quad (2.99)$$

where b is the impact parameter, $\Phi(r)$ is intermolecular potential, m is the reduced mass of

construct the inverse function $b = (|u|, \theta)$. Then we express this function as $b = \tilde{b}(|u|, \cos \theta)$ and finally obtain (see [41])

$$\sigma(|u|, \mu) = \left| \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \tilde{b}^2(|u|, \mu) \right|. \quad (2.100)$$

Generally speaking, this is a rather complicated calculation. Fortunately it leads to a simple explicit formula $\sigma = d^2/4$ in the important case, when particles are hard spheres with diameter d . It also can be that for power-like potentials $\Phi(r) = \alpha r^{-n}$, $\alpha > 0$, we obtain

$$\sigma(|u|, \mu) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{m|u|^2} \right)^{2/n} \tilde{A}_n(\mu), \quad \mu = \cos \theta, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where a function of *theta* is expressed as the function \tilde{A}_n of μ . Hence, in the case of power-like potentials $\Phi(r) = \alpha r^{-n}$ the collisional kernel in (2.72) reads

$$g(|u|, \mu) = |u|^{\gamma_n} g_n(\mu), \quad \gamma_n = 1 - 4/n. \quad (2.101)$$

We can use the same formula for hard spheres assuming that $n = \infty$, $g_\infty = d^2/4$. There is, however, an important difference between hard spheres and power-like potentials. We consider again the collision integral (2.72) and split it formally into two parts:

$$Q(f, f) = Q^{gain}(f, f) - Q^{loss}(f, f), \quad (2.102)$$

where

$$Q^{loss}(f, f) = f(v)\nu(v), \quad \nu(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dw f(w) g_{tot}(|v-w|),$$

$$g_{tot}(|u|) = |u| \sigma_{tot}(|u|) = 2\pi |u| \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \sigma(|u|, \mu). \quad (2.103)$$

It was already discussed in Section 1.5 that $\sigma_{tot} = \pi R_{\max}^2$, where R_{\max} denotes the radius of action of the potential. In case of hard spheres of diameter d or any potential with $R_{\max} = d$ we obtain the universal formula for the collision frequency:

$$\nu(v) = \pi d^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dw f(w) |v-w|. \quad (2.104)$$

However, if we consider the power-like potential with any $n > 0$, then $R_{\max} = \infty$ and therefore the integral $\nu(v)$ diverges. Hence, the splitting (2.102) is impossible, though the "whole" collision integral (2.72) can be convergent. The matter is that the kernel $g(|u|, \mu)$ has a non-integrable singularity at $\mu = 1$, i.e. $\theta = 0$, for long range potentials with $R_{\max} = \infty$. At the same time $v' = v$ and $w' = w$ if $\mu = 1$. Therefore the second factor in the integrand is equal to zero at that point. It is easy to see that the integral (2.72) is convergent for a large class of functions $f(v)$ provided

$$\int_{-1}^1 d\mu g_n(\mu) (1-\mu) < \infty \quad (2.105)$$

in case of power-like potentials. It can be shown that this condition is satisfied for all $n > 1$. The Coulomb case $n = 1$ is always considered separately.

There are also many publications in last two decades related to Boltzmann equation with long range potentials, but we do not consider related problems below (see e.g. [1, 48] and

3 Boltzmann-type kinetic equations and their discrete models

3.1 Generalization of the Boltzmann equation

We introduce in this section a general class of kinetic equations that includes the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation (2.90) as a particular case. To this goal we choose a function $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ of four real (or complex) variables and assume that

$$F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = F(x_2, x_1; x_3, x_4) = F(x_1, x_2; x_4, x_3) = -F(x_3, x_4; x_1, x_2). \quad (3.1)$$

We also introduce a non-negative function $R(u_1, u_2)$ of two vectors $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. It is usually assumed that $R(u_1, u_2)$ is invariant under rotations of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then it is known that

$$R(u_1, u_2) = R_1(|u_1|^2, |u_2|^2, u_1 \cdot u_2), \quad (3.2)$$

i.e. such function can be reduced to a function of three scalar variables. This property holds for d -dimensional vectors with any $d \geq 2$. The function $R(u_1, u_2)$ will play below a role of the kernel of certain integral operator.

Then we define the general Boltzmann-type kinetic equation for a function $f(v, t)$, where $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, by equality

$$f_t(v, t) = K[f](v), \quad (3.3)$$

where the general kinetic operator K acts on v -variable only. It is defined by formula

$$K[f](v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv_2 dv_3 dv_4 \delta[v + v_2 - v_3 - v_4] \delta[|v|^2 + |v_2|^2 - |v_3|^2 - |v_4|^2] \times \\ \times R(v - v_2, v_3 - v_4) F[f(v), f(v_2); f(v_3), f(v_4)]. \quad (3.4)$$

Our nearest goal is to simplify this integral for arbitrary $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ and, in particular, to show that $K[f]$ coincides with the Boltzmann collision integral if

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_3 x_4 - x_1 x_2. \quad (3.5)$$

We note that for any $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\delta(\alpha x) = |\alpha|^{-1} \delta(x), \quad |v|^2 + |v_2|^2 - |v_3|^2 - |v + v_2 - v_3|^2 = -2(v_3 - v_2) \cdot (v_3 - v).$$

Hence,

$$K[f](v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dv_2 dv_3 \delta[(v_3 - v_2) \cdot (v_3 - v)] \times \\ \times R(v - v_2, 2v_3 - v - v_2) F[f(v), f(v_2); f(v_3), f(v + v_2 - v_3)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Changing variables by formulas

$$v_2 = w, \quad v_3 = v + \frac{k}{2},$$

we obtain

$$K[f](v) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dw dk \delta(k \cdot u + |k|^2/2) R(u, u + k) \times$$

Then we use formulas (2.56), (2.57) and obtain after simple calculations

$$K[f](v) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw d\omega |u| R(u, |u|\omega) F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\omega \in S^2, \quad u = v - w, \quad v' = (v + w + u')/2, \quad u' = |u|\omega, \quad w' = (v + w - u')/2. \quad (3.9)$$

This formula for $K[f](v)$ is valid for any kernel $R(u_1, u_2)$. In case of isotropic (invariant under rotations) kernel we can use (3.2) and denote

$$R(u, |u|\omega) = 8|u|^{-1} g(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot \omega), \quad \hat{u} = \frac{u}{|u|}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then we obtain

$$K[f](v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw d\omega g(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot \omega) F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.11)$$

where all notations are the same, as in (2.72). If, in addition, we assume that F is given by (3.5), then $K[f](v)$ coincides with the Boltzmann collision integral (2.72). Note that the simplest case of constant kernel $R(u_1, u_2) = \text{const.}$ in (3.4) corresponds, under condition (3.5), to the case of hard spheres for the Boltzmann equation. Thus the following statement is proved.

Proposition 1 *The equation (3.3), (3.4) with isotropic kernel (3.2) can be reduced by formal transformations to the Boltzmann-type equation (3.3), (3.11). The connection between kernels of corresponding integral operators is given by equality (3.10). If $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ in operator K (3.4) is given by formula (3.5), then the equation (3.3), (3.4) coincides with the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation (2.90), (2.72).*

Some authors (see e.g. [25]) consider a d -dimensional version of integral (3.4), where \mathbb{R}^3 is replaced by \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 2$. Then all above transformations can be repeated for the integral $K[f](v)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with minimal changes [12]. The d -dimensional analogue of equality (3.8) reads

$$K[f](v) = 2^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^{d-1}} dw d\omega |u|^{d-2} R(u, |u|\omega) F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.12)$$

in the notation of (3.9), where $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. If we assume that the kernel $R(u_1, u_2)$ is invariant under rotations of \mathbb{R}^d , then we can use the same identity (3.2) and denote

$$R(u, |u|\omega) = 2^d |u|^{2-d} g(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot \omega), \quad \hat{u} = \frac{u}{|u|}. \quad (3.13)$$

The substitution of the formula (3.13) into (3.12) leads to the d -dimensional "collision integral" (3.11), where the domain of integration $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ is replaced by $\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}$. Hence, Proposition 1 can be formally generalized to the case of arbitrary dimension $d \geq 2$. For simplicity of presentation we shall consider below mainly the case $d = 3$.

We present without derivation two other useful forms of integral (3.4) [12]. The first form reads

$$K[f](v) = \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} dw dk \delta(k \cdot u) R(u - k/2, u + k/2) \times$$

It is clear that the integral over $k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be reduced to the integral over the plane orthogonal to $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The transformation of that kind was firstly used for the Boltzmann equation by Carleman [19]. The second form of $K[f](v)$ reads

$$K[f](v) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw dn |u \cdot n| R(u, u') F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$u = v - w, \quad n \in S^2, \quad v' = v - (u \cdot n)n, \quad w' = w + (u \cdot n)n, \quad u' = v' - w'. \quad (3.16)$$

Note that the notations for v' and w' in this formula differ from similar notations in (3.9). We shall not use below the representation (3.15),(3.16) of $K[f](v)$, but it should be mentioned because in the case (3.5) of the Boltzmann equation it is the most conventional form of the collision integral (see e.g. books [20], [21]). If the kernel $R(u_1, u_2)$ is isotropic we can use equality (3.13) and obtain

$$K[f](v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dw dn B(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot n) F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.17)$$

in the notation of (3.16), where

$$B(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot n) = 2|\hat{u} \cdot n| g[|u|, 1 - 2(\hat{u} \cdot n)^2], \quad \hat{u} = u/|u|.$$

In the next section we discuss some basic properties of kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4).

3.2 Conservation laws and generalized H -theorem

We denote for any test function $h(v)$

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv f(v) h(v) \quad (3.18)$$

assuming that the integral exists. If $f(v, t)$ is a solution of (3.3) we formally obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle f, h \rangle = \langle K[f], h \rangle. \quad (3.19)$$

After straightforward transformations by using $K[f](v)$, for example, in the form (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K[f], h \rangle = & -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2} dv dw d\omega g(|u|, \hat{u} \cdot \omega) G(v, w; v', w') \times \\ & \times [h(v') + h(w') - h(v) - h(w)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$G(v, w; v', w') = F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (3.21)$$

for any function $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ satisfying conditions (3.1). By considering the functional equation

$$h(v') + h(w') - h(v) - h(w) = 0 \quad (3.22)$$

The uniqueness of these solutions in different classes of functions is proved by many authors (see the discussion on equation (3.5) in the book [21])

Hence, we have the following conservation laws for equation (3.3), (3.4):

$$\langle f, 1 \rangle = \text{const}, \quad \langle f, v \rangle = \text{const}, \quad \langle f, |v|^2 \rangle = \text{const}, \quad (3.23)$$

provided the conditions (3.1) for F in (3.4) are fulfilled. The corresponding integrals in (3.23) in the case (3.5) of the Boltzmann equation have respectively physical meaning of total number of particles (gas molecules), total momentum and total kinetic energy. These properties of the Boltzmann equation were discussed above in Section 2.6.

Let us assume that there exists a function $p(x)$ such that

$$F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) [p(x_3) + p(x_4) - p(x_1) - p(x_2)] \geq 0 \quad (3.24)$$

for almost all $x_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then we can formally introduce a generalized H -functional (see the end of Section 2.6) on a set of non-negative solutions $f(v, t)$ of equation (3.3), (3.4) by formula

$$\hat{H}[f(\cdot, t)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv I[f(v, t)], \quad I(x) = \int_0^x dy p(y), \quad (3.25)$$

assuming the convergence of integrals. Then formal differentiation yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{H}[f(\cdot, t)] = \langle f_t, p(f) \rangle = \langle K(f), p(f) \rangle.$$

We always assume that F in (3.4) satisfies conditions (3.1). Therefore we can apply the identity (3.20) and conclude by inequality (3.24) that $\hat{H}[f(\cdot, t)]$ cannot increase in time. In case (3.5) of the Boltzmann equation the inequality (3.24) holds for $p(x) = \log x$ and we obtain

$$\hat{H}(f) = \langle f(v, t), \log f(v, t) - 1 \rangle. \quad (3.26)$$

Note that $\langle f, 1 \rangle = \text{const}$. because of conservation laws (2.1). Therefore the functional $\hat{H}(f)$ is basically the same as the classical Boltzmann's H -functional $H(f) = \langle f, \log f \rangle$ considered in Section 2.6.

The results of this section can be formulated as follows.

Proposition 2 *The equation (3.3), (3.11), where $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ satisfies conditions (3.1), has the same conservation laws (3.23), as the Boltzmann equation. If the function F also satisfies inequality (3.24) for some function $p(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then (at least formally) the functional $\hat{H}[f(\cdot, t)]$ (3.25) on any solution $f(v, t)$ of equation (3.3), (3.11) cannot increase in time $t \geq 0$.*

Of course, all our considerations in Sections 3.1, 3.2 were done at the formal level of mathematical rigour, since we did not specify the function $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ in (3.4). In the next section we consider some specific cases, which are different from the Boltzmann case (3.5), but also interesting for applications.

3.3 Nordheim–Uehling–Uhlenbeck equation and wave kineticequation

It is clear that specific operators K from (3.4) can have different functions $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$. In all interesting applications the function F can be represented as a difference of two functions

$$F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = P(x_3, x_4; x_1, x_2) - P(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4). \quad (3.27)$$

There are at least three cases of kinetic equations of interest to physics (3.3), (3.4), for which F has the structure (3.4) with different functions P . These are the following cases:

(A) Classical Boltzmann kinetic equation

$$P_B(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = x_1 x_2; \quad (3.28)$$

(B) Quantum Nordheim–Uehling–Uhlenbeck equation for bosons and fermions [49, 54]

$$P_{NUU}(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = x_1 x_2 (1 + \theta x_3)(1 + \theta x_4), \quad (3.29)$$

where $\theta = \pm 1$;

(C) Wave kinetic equation (WKE) (see [28], [25] and references therein)

$$P_W(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = x_1 x_2 (x_3 + x_4). \quad (3.30)$$

By using similar notations for F it is easy to verify that

$$F_{NUU}(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = F_B(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) + \theta F_W(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4),$$

because $\theta^2 = 1$ and therefore terms of the fourth order in F_{NUU} vanish. A review of mathematical results for NUU-equation can be found in [4], [5]. An interesting formal generalization of this equation to the case of so-called anions (quasi-particles with any fractional spin between 0 and 1) is also considered in these papers. This model corresponds to (3.27), where

(D)

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) &= x_1 x_2 \Phi(x_3) \Phi(x_4), \quad (3.31) \\ \Phi(x) &= (1 - \alpha x)^\alpha [1 + (1 - \alpha)x]^{1-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The limiting values $\alpha = 0, 1$ correspond to NUU-equation for bosons ($\alpha = 0$) and fermions ($\alpha = 1$). The existence of global solutions in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$ of the Cauchy problem for equation (3.3), (3.4), where F is given in (3.27), (3.31) is proved in [4] under some restriction on initial conditions and the kernel R of the operator (3.4).

We note that in all above cases (A), (B), (C), (D) it is possible to find the function $p(x)$ that satisfies inequality (3.24). Indeed the function F for cases (A), (B) and (D) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) &= x_3 x_4 \Phi(x_1) \Phi(x_2) - x_1 x_2 \Phi(x_3) \Phi(x_4) = \quad (3.32) \\ &= [\Psi(x_3) \Psi(x_4) - \Psi(x_1) \Psi(x_2)] \prod_{i=1}^4 \Phi(x_i), \quad \Psi(x) = \frac{x}{\Phi(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(x) = 1$ for the case (A), $\Phi(x) = (1 + \theta x)^{-1}$ for the case (B), and $\Phi(x)$ is given in (3.31) for the case (D). Then it is easy to see that

$$p(x) = \log \Psi(x) = \log x - \log \Phi(x)$$

satisfies (3.24) provided $\Phi(x) > 0$. The positivity condition for $\Phi(x)$ is fulfilled for all $x \geq 0$ in the case (A) and (B) with $\theta = 1$. It is also fulfilled for $0 \leq x < 1$ in the case (B) with $\theta = -1$ and for $0 \leq x < 1/\alpha$ in the case (D). The known results on existence of solutions of kinetic equations (3.3) with corresponding operators (3.4) show that it is sufficient to satisfy these restrictions only at $t = 0$ [4]. Thus the kinetic equation (3.3) has in cases (A), (B), (D) the monotone decreasing functionals

with corresponding functions $\Phi(x)$.

The inequality (3.24) in cases (A), (B) and (D) allows to solve an important question about stationary solutions of equation (3.3). If $K[f^{st}](v) = 0$, then we can integrate this equality against any "nice" function $h(v)$ and obtain the identity (with respect to $h(v)$) $\langle K(f^{st}), h \rangle = 0$, in the notation of (3.18). Then we take $h(v) = \log \Psi[f^{st}]$ and use the transformation (3.20) and inequality (3.24). Since the resulting integral of non-negative function over the set $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$ must be equal to zero, we conclude that this function is equal to zero almost everywhere in that set. This leads to equation

$$h(v') + h(w') - h(v) - h(w) = 0, \quad h(v) = \log \Psi[f^{st}],$$

in the notation of (3.9), (3.32). The equation holds almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$. Then we obtain (see comments to equation (3.22) above)

$$\Psi[f^{st}(v)] = \frac{f^{st}(v)}{\Phi[f^{st}(v)]} = M(v) = \exp(\alpha + \beta \cdot v + \gamma|v|^2),$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are arbitrary constant parameters. For brevity we do not discuss these known stationary solutions, see e.g. [5] for details. We stress that the above considerations just repeat usual arguments in the proof of uniqueness of the Maxwellian stationary solution to the Boltzmann equation, see e.g. [20]. Because of many similarities with the Boltzmann case (A) one can expect similar behaviour of solutions to equation (3.3) for cases (B), (D), in particular, convergence to above discussed stationary solutions for large values of time.

The situation looks more complex in the case (C) of WKE. In that case we can also satisfy the inequality (3.24) by choosing $p(x) = -1/x$. Then this inequality with F from (3.27), (3.30) reads

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 (x_1^{-1} + x_2^{-1} - x_3^{-1} - x_4^{-1})^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.33)$$

However, the attempt to construct the \hat{H} -functional (3.25) leads to divergent integral $I(x)$. It looks reasonable to replace this integral in (3.25) to $I(x) = -\log x$, then we formally obtain

$$\hat{H}[f(\cdot, t)] = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv \log f(v, t).$$

This integral is divergent for large $|v|$, because we always assume that $f(v, t) \rightarrow 0$, as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$. Below we shall try to clarify the situation with WKE by using discrete kinetic models introduced in the next section.

3.4 Discrete kinetic models

The idea of using discrete velocity models for qualitative description of solutions to the Boltzmann equation seems to be very natural. Implicitly it was already used by Boltzmann in the first publication [16] of his famous equation. We also mention first two toy-models with a few velocities introduced by Carleman [19] and Broadwell [17] respectively. An important role in the development of this idea was played by Cabannes [18] and Gatignol [30] in 1980s. Moreover, it was proved in 1990s (see [13], [51] and references therein) that the Boltzmann equation can be approximated by its discrete velocity models when the number of velocities tends to infinity. These results show that discrete models can be used not only for qualitative, but also for quantitative description of solutions to the Boltzmann equation

The similar scheme of construction of discrete models can be applied to the general kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4). We introduce the velocity space $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that contains $n \geq 4$ points and replace the function $f(v, t)$ by a vector $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where

It is implicitly assumed here that $f_i(t)$ approximates for large n the function $f(v, t)$ at the point $v = v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Speaking about discrete models it is convenient to use an arbitrary dimension $d \geq 2$, as we shall see below. The simplest and the most transparent case is, of course, the plane case $d = 2$. The kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4) in the d -dimensional case (3.12) is replaced by the following set of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{df_i}{dt} = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F_w(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l), \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} = \Gamma_{ji}^{kl} = \Gamma_{kl}^{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (3.35)$$

where the constant (for given set V) parameters Γ_{kl}^{ij} depend only on $|v_i - v_j| = |v_k - v_l|$ and $(v_i - v_j) \cdot (v_k - v_l)$ for any integer values of indices $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$. The strict inequality $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$ is possible only if

$$v_i + v_j = v_k + v_l, \quad |v_i|^2 + |v_j|^2 = |v_k|^2 + |v_l|^2. \quad (3.36)$$

Note that equations (3.35) have a universal form for any dimension $d \geq 2$, though the coefficients Γ_{ij}^{kl} can depend on d . The equalities (3.36) have a simple geometrical meaning: the points $\{v_i, v_j, v_k, v_l\}$ form a rectangle, where the two pairs of points $\{v_i, v_j\}$ and $\{v_k, v_l\}$ belong to two different diagonals.

Obviously, this geometrical meaning does not depend on dimension. The simplest non-trivial example of the set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ from (3.34) has just four "velocities"

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (1, 0), \quad v_2 = (-1, 0), \quad v_3 = (0, 1), \quad v_4 = (0, -1); \\ f(v_i, t) &= f_i(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, 4; \quad \Gamma_{12}^{34} = \Gamma_{21}^{34} = \Gamma_{34}^{12} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

like in the plane Broadwell model [17] of the Boltzmann equation. Equations (3.35) of the model read as

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial t} = \Gamma_{12}^{34} F(f_1, f_2; f_3, f_4), \quad (3.38)$$

where $\Gamma_{12}^{34} > 0$ is a constant. This equation for the Boltzmann case (3.25) can be easily reduced to the linear equation. The case (3.27), (3.30) of WKE is a bit more complicated, it is discussed in [12] in more detail.

In order to construct a discrete model (3.34), (3.35), which has all relevant properties of the initial kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4), we need to impose some restrictions on the set V and the coefficients of equations (3.35).

Definition 1 The model (3.34), (3.35) is called normal, if it satisfies the following conditions on the set V : (a) all its n elements are pairwise different and do not lie in a linear subspace of dimension $d' \leq d - 1$ or on the sphere in \mathbb{R}^d ; (b) the set V does not have isolated points, i.e. for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ in (3.35) there exist such $1 \leq j, k, l \leq n$ that $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$; (c) if the functional equation

$$h(v_i) + h(v_j) - h(v_k) - h(v_l) = 0 \quad (3.39)$$

is fulfilled for all indices $(i, j; k, l)$ for which $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$, then there exist such constants $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that $h(v) = \alpha + \beta \cdot v + \gamma |v|^2$.

The methods of construction of normal models are discussed in more detail in Appendix A. All models that we consider below are assumed to be normal.

3.5 Properties of discrete models

The discrete kinetic models (3.34), (3.35) of the kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4) is uniquely defined by (a) the function $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$, satisfying conditions (3.1); (b) the phase $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$; (c) the set of coefficients $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} \geq 0, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n\}$, where Γ_{ij}^{kl} can depend on $|v_i - v_j| = |v_k - v_l|$ and $(v_i - v_j) \cdot (v_k - v_l)$. We remind that the inequality $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$

By using these symmetry conditions for Γ_{ij}^{kl} and related conditions (3.1) for F it is easy to derive from (3.35) the following identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) h_i = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l) (h_k + h_l - h_i - h_j), \quad (3.40)$$

where h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n are constant numbers. Obviously, this is a discrete analogue of the identity (3.20) for kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4). Then the conditions (3.36) lead to following conservation laws

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) = \text{const.}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) v_i = \text{const.}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) |v_i|^2 = \text{const.} \quad (3.41)$$

similar to integrals (3.23) for the kinetic equation. Note that both the identity (3.40) and conservation laws (3.41) are valid for any discrete kinetic model, not only for normal models which cannot have other linear conservation laws than the ones listed in (3.41) or their linear combinations. This is, however, true not for any normal model, but at least for normal models with function F satisfying the inequality (3.24) for some function $p(x)$, $x > 0$. We can prove the following statement.

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that the model (3.34), (3.35) is normal and there exists a function $p(x)$ such that the inequality (3.24) is satisfied for all $x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Assume also that*

- (1) *there exist two numbers $0 < a < b$ such that $p(x)$ is continuous and strictly monotone for all $x \in [a, b]$;*
- (2) *the equality sign in (3.24) is possible only if*

$$p(x_3) + p(x_4) - p(x_1) - p(x_2) = 0.$$

Then

- (a) *there exists a function $H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} H[f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)] \leq 0 \quad (3.42)$$

for any solution $\{f_i(t) > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of (3.35);

- (b) *if*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) h_i = 0 \quad (3.43)$$

for any solution $\{f_i(t) > 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of (3.35), then $h_i = \alpha + \beta \cdot v_i + \gamma |v_i|^2$ in the notation of (3.34), where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are some constant parameters;

- (c) *if $f^{st} = \{f_1^{st}, \dots, f_n^{st}\}$ is a stationary solution of (3.35), then*

$$p(f_i^{st}) = \alpha + \beta \cdot v_i + \gamma |v_i|^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.44)$$

for some constant parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Let $I(x)$ be any function such that $I'(x) = p(x)$ for all $x > 0$. Then we denote

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(x_i). \quad (3.45)$$

If $\{f_i(t) \ i = 1, \dots, n\}$ satisfy (3.35) then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H[f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)] &= \sum_{i=1}^n p(f_i) \frac{df_i}{dt} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l) [p(f_k) + p(f_l) - p(f_i) - p(f_j)] \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

as it follows from (3.40), (3.24). Hence, (a) is proved.

To prove point (b) we use (3.46) and reduce (3.42) to identity

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l) (h_k + h_l - h_i - h_j) = 0, \quad (3.47)$$

which is supposed to be valid for any $f_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let us assume that (b) is wrong and that $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ in this identity is not a linear combination of vectors $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{d+2}$ in the notation of (A2) from Appendix A. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\alpha \leq h_i \leq \beta, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3.48)$$

where $\alpha < \beta$ is any pair of given real numbers. Indeed if $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ satisfies (3.47), then so does

$$\tilde{h} = \lambda h + \mu \varphi_1, \quad \varphi_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1),$$

where λ and μ are any real numbers. We can always choose these numbers in such a way that conditions (3.48) for $\tilde{h} = (\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_n)$ are fulfilled. Tildes are omitted below. The numbers α and β in (3.48) are chosen in the following way. It follows from assumption (1) of the theorem that the function $p(x)$ maps the interval $[a, b]$ to some other interval, say, $[\alpha, \beta]$. Moreover there is an inverse function $x(p)$, which maps any point $p \in [\alpha, \beta]$ to $x(p) \in [a, b]$. Then we can easily construct a counterexample to our assumption by substituting $f_i = x(h_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, into identity (3.47). We obtain a sum of non-negative terms and conclude that each term vanishes, i.e. $F[x(h_i), x(h_j); x(h_k), x(h_l)](h_k + h_l - h_i - h_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ such that $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$. Then we use assumption (2) of the theorem and Definition 4.1. This proves (b).

In order to prove (c) we consider identity (3.47) for a stationary solution f^{st} . Then the left hand side of (3.47) is equal to zero for arbitrary vector $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$. We substitute $f_i = f_i^{st}$, $h_i = p(f_i^{st})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, into (3.47) and obtain again a sum of non-negative terms. The same considerations as above lead to equalities

$$p(f_k^{st}) + p(f_l^{st}) - p(f_i^{st}) - p(f_j^{st}) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ such that $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$. Then we again use Definition 4.1 and prove (c). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

3.6 Some transformations of equations and initial data

We return for a moment to kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4) and note that this equation is invariant under rotations and translations of variable $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (or $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, in the general case, see (3.12)). Usually we consider such initial data $f(v, 0) \geq 0$ for (3.3) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dv (1 + |v|^2) f(v, 0) < \infty. \quad (3.49)$$

Invariance of equation (3.3), (3.4) under translations means that if $f(v, t)$ is a solution of this equation, then so is $f_a(v, t) = f(v + a, t)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then

in the notation of (3.18). It is always assumed that $\langle f(v, 0), 1 \rangle \neq 0$. Therefore we can always choose $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (or $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ in the general case) in such a way that $\langle f_a(v, 0), v \rangle = 0$. This almost trivial observation allows to consider only such initial conditions for (3.3), (3.4) that $\langle f(v, 0), v \rangle = 0$.

This transition from general kinetic equation (3.3), (3.4) to its discrete model (3.34), (3.35) preserves the translational symmetry of equations (3.35). Indeed the shifting $v \rightarrow v+a$ of v -variables means for the discrete model the transformation of the set V from (3.34)

$$V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \rightarrow V = \{v_1 + a, \dots, v_n + a\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.51)$$

Equations (3.35) of the model are connected with the set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ only through coefficients Γ_{ij}^{kl} . However these coefficients depend (for fixed indices $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$) only on differences $(v_i - v_j)$ and $(v_k - v_l)$. Therefore each coefficient Γ_{ij}^{kl} is invariant under translations (3.51) of the whole set V . It is also easy to check that the Definition 4.1 of normal discrete model is invariant under translation. In other words, if the pair (V, Γ) , where $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_{ij}^{kl}, 1 \leq i, j, k, l\}$, defines a normal model, then so does any pair (V_a, Γ) in the notation of (3.51).

4 Convergence to equilibrium for discrete models of wave kinetic equations

4.1 Statement of the problem and formulation of results

We consider below the discrete models (3.34), (3.35), where

$$F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = x_3 x_4 (x_1 + x_2) - x_1 x_2 (x_3 + x_4), \quad (4.1)$$

i.e. the models of WKE (3.3), (3.4) with function F given in (3.27), (3.30). The set of ODEs (3.35) in simplified notations reads

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Q(f) = Q^+(f) - Q^-(f), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \{f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)\}, \quad Q^\pm(f) = \{Q_1^\pm(f), \dots, Q_n^\pm(f)\}, \\ Q^+(f) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} f_k f_l (f_i + f_j), \quad Q^-(f) = f_i B_i(f), \\ B_i(f) &= \sum_{j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} f_j (f_k + f_l), \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} = \Gamma_{ji}^{kl} = \Gamma_{kl}^{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The constant coefficients $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} \geq 0$ depend on the phase set

$$V = \{v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, i = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad d \geq 2. \quad (4.4)$$

We remind that the strict inequality $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$ is possible only for such indices that $v_i + v_j = v_k + v_l$, $|v_i|^2 + |v_j|^2 = |v_k|^2 + |v_l|^2$, $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$. Each coefficient Γ_{ij}^{kl} depends on $|v_i - v_j| = |v_k - v_l|$ and $(v_i - v_j) \cdot (v_k - v_l)$.

We consider the Cauchy problem for equations (4.2) and initial conditions

$$f|_{t=0} = f^{(0)} = \{f_1^{(0)}, \dots, f_n^{(0)}\}, \quad f_i^{(0)} > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(0)} v_i = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

proofs. For example, if $\Gamma_{12}^{34} > 0$ and $f_i^{(0)} = 0$ for all $i \geq 5$, then $f_5(t) = \dots = f_n(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. In that case we obtain from (3.35) a simple set of four equations (3.38), which should be considered separately (see e.g. [12]). Neglecting such special cases does not look very important for the general qualitative behaviour of solutions of the model.

The main result of Section 4 can be formulated in the following way.

Theorem 4.1 *We assume that the discrete model (4.2)–(4.4) is normal, i.e. the set V in (4.4) and the coefficients Γ_{ij}^{kl} , $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ satisfy Definition 1 from Section 3.4. It is also assumed that (1) $v_1 = 0$ in (4.4) and (2) if $v_i \in V$, then $(-v_i) \in V$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the Cauchy problem for equations (4.2) and the initial conditions (4.5) has a unique solution $f(t) = \{f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)\}$ for all $t > 0$. Moreover, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,*

$$(a) \quad 0 < f_i^{(0)} \exp(-c\rho_0^2 t) \leq f_i(t) < \rho_0, \quad \rho_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(0)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of $f^{(0)}$;

$$(b) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f_i(t) = a(1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1}, \quad a \sum_{i=1}^n (1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1} = \rho_0, \quad (4.7)$$

where $b > -M^{-1}$, $M = \max\{|v_i|^2, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, is a maximal real root of equation

$$T_0 = \rho_0^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(0)} |v_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1} |v_i|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1}}. \quad (4.8)$$

It is easy to see that the function $T_0(b)$ defined by equality (4.8) decreases monotonically on the interval $-M^{-1} \leq b < \infty$ from its maximal value $T_0(-M^{-1}) = M$ to zero for $b \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the root $b(T_0)$ defined in the part (b) of the theorem is unique.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is given in Sections 4.2–4.5. It is based on simple estimates (4.6), conservation laws and on the fact that equations (4.2) have a Lyapunov function which decreases monotonically on positive solutions of (4.2).

4.2 Existence and uniqueness of global non-negative solutions

We consider equations (4.2), (4.3) and note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Q_i(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i(f) |v_i|^2 = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n Q_i(f) v_i = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

for any $f(t) = \{f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)\}$. Therefore any solution $f(t)$ of the problem (4.2), (4.5) satisfies conservation laws

$$\rho[f(t)] = \rho[f_0] = \rho_0, \quad E[f(t)] = E(f_0) = \rho_0 T_0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) v_i = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\rho(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i, \quad E(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i |v_i|^2. \quad (4.11)$$

The third equality in (4.10) follows from (4.5), it will not be used in this section.

Note that the existence and uniqueness of local in time solutions to the problem (4.2), (4.5) follow from general theory of ODEs. We, however, need to construct a global solution for positive initial data (4.5). In order to do it we use a simple trick, which is more or less

standard for the Boltzmann equation (see e.g. [21]). Namely, we modify equation (4.2) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} + \lambda\varphi &= A(\varphi), \quad \varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n), \\ A(\varphi) &= [A_1(\varphi), \dots, A_n(\varphi)], \quad A_i(\varphi) = Q_i(\varphi) + g\varphi_i\rho^2(\varphi), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\varphi|_{t=0} = f^{(0)}, \quad \lambda = g\rho^2, \quad g = 2 \max_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl}, \quad (4.13)$$

in the notation of (4.10)–(4.11). Note that λ and g are positive constants. It is easy to see that $A_i(\varphi) \geq Q_i^+(\varphi) \geq 0$ because

$$g\varphi_i\rho^2(\varphi) - Q_i^-(\varphi) = \varphi_i \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}^i \varphi_j \varphi_k,$$

where

$$a_{jk}^i = g - 2 \sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n.$$

Terms $Q_i^+(\varphi)$ also are polynomials with non-negative coefficients for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore, for any two vectors

$$\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n), \quad \psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \quad (4.14)$$

with non-negative components $\varphi_i \geq 0$ and $\psi_i \geq 0$ and such that $\varphi_i \geq \psi_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ we obtain

$$A_i(\varphi) \geq A_i(\psi) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (4.15)$$

In order to construct the solution of the problem (4.12)–(4.13) we transform (4.12) into the integral equation

$$\varphi(t) = f^{(0)} e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t d\tau e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} A[\varphi(\tau)] \quad (4.16)$$

and try to solve this equation by iterations

$$\varphi^{(k+1)}(t) = f^{(0)} e^{-\lambda t} + \int_0^t d\tau e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} A[\varphi^{(k)}(\tau)], \quad (4.17)$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\varphi^{(0)}(t) = 0$. Then it follows from inequalities (4.15) that

$$0 < f_i^{(0)} e^{-\lambda t} \leq \varphi_i^{(k)}(t) \leq \varphi_i^{(k+1)}(t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.18)$$

Hence, we obtain a monotone increasing sequence of positive functions. It remains to prove that it is bounded above.

To this goal we consider a sequence of sums (with some abuse of notation of (4.11))

$$\rho^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i^{(k)}(t), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (4.19)$$

By using the first identity from (4.9) and the definition of $A(\varphi)$ from (4.12) we obtain

where $\lambda = g\rho_0^2$. By induction we can easily prove that $\rho^{(k)} \leq \rho_0$ for all $k \geq 0$ because

$$\rho_0 e^{-\lambda t} + g\rho_0^3 \int_0^t d\tau e^{-\lambda\tau} = \rho_0 [e^{-\lambda t} + (1 - e^{-\lambda t})] = \rho_0.$$

Obviously the sequence $\{\rho^{(k)}(t), k = 0, \dots\}$ is monotone increasing and bounded. Taking its limit and the limit of equations (4.20), as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we can easily show that

$$\rho(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{(k)}(t) = \rho_0.$$

The transition to the limit under the integral sign is justified by Lebesgue's theorem on dominant convergence here and below. For brevity we ignore sets of zero measure. On the other hand, it follows from (2.15), (2.16) that $0 \leq \varphi_i^{(k)} \leq \rho_0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore

$$\varphi(t) = \{\varphi_i(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_i^{(k)}(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

It follows from equations (4.18), (4.19) that the function $\varphi(t)$ solves equation (4.16). Note that

$$\rho[\varphi(t)] = \rho_0 = \text{const.} \quad (4.21)$$

in the notation of (4.11). Therefore the components of $A(\varphi) = \{A_i(\varphi), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ in equation (4.16) read (see (4.12))

$$A_i(\varphi) = Q_i(\varphi) + g\varphi_i(t)\rho_0^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, the equation (4.16) can be written as

$$\varphi(t)e^{\lambda t} = f^{(0)} + \int_0^t d\tau e^{\lambda\tau} \{Q[\varphi(\tau)] + \lambda\varphi(\tau)\},$$

where $\lambda = g\rho_0^2$. Then we can prove by differentiation that $\varphi(t)$ solves the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = Q(\varphi), \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = f^{(0)}. \quad (4.22)$$

The uniqueness of its solution follows from standard theorems for autonomous ODEs with polynomial right hand side. Note that we did not use any connection of coefficients Γ_{ij}^{kl} in equation (4.3) with the set from (4.4).

Thus the following lemma is almost proved.

Lemma 4.1 *We consider equations (4.2), (4.3). Then for any non-negative data*

$$f_{t=0} = f^{(0)} = \{f_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (4.23)$$

there exist a unique global in time solution $f(t)$ of equations (4.2), (4.3). The functions $f_i(t)$ satisfies inequalities

$$f_i^{(0)} e^{-\lambda t} \leq f_i(t) \leq \rho_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad \rho_0 = \rho(f^{(0)}) \quad (4.24)$$

in the notation of (4.11), (4.13).

Proof. To finish the proof it is sufficient to note that the problem (4.22) for $\varphi(t)$ coincides with the problem (4.2), (4.3), (4.23) for $f(t)$. Therefore we just need to set $f(t) = \varphi(t)$, where $\varphi(t)$ was already constructed above. The inequalities (4.24) follow from (4.17), (4.11). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

It is clear that Lemma 4.1 proves the first part of Theorem 4.1 (without the statement (b)) under much weaker conditions independent of the set V from (4.4) and specific properties

4.3 Existence of unique stationary solution

Our goal in this section is to prove that the stationary equation (4.2), i.e. the equation

$$Q(f) = 0 \quad (4.25)$$

in the notation of (4.3), has a unique solution under assumptions of Theorem 4.1 and additional assumption that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i = \rho, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i |v_i|^2 = E = \rho T, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i v_i = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

in the notation of (4.4). This fact follows from Theorem 3.1 (c) from Section 3.5, we just need to check that all conditions of that theorem are satisfied. We note that the model (4.2)–(4.4) is normal by assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Moreover the function $p(x) = -1/x$ satisfies inequality (3.24) for $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ given in (4.1), as it was shown in (3.33). The function $p(x) = -1/x$ also satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1 from Section 3.5. Hence, we can use the part (c) of that theorem and conclude that any solution of (4.25) reads

$$f = \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}, \quad f_i = (\alpha + \beta \cdot v_i + \gamma |v_i|^2)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.27)$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ are free parameters. We obtain these parameters from equations (4.26) and assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Note that $v_1 = 0$, $v_i \neq v_j$ if $i \neq j$, and the set V in (4.4) is invariant (perhaps with change of numeration) under transformation $v_i \rightarrow (-v_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, as it follows from these assumptions. Hence, $\alpha \neq 0$ in (4.27) and we can change the notation of (4.27) to

$$f_i = a(1 + b|v_i|^2 + \beta \cdot v_i)^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (4.28)$$

Then we apply the third condition from (4.26) and obtain

$$0 = a \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \psi(v_i) = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n v_i [\psi(v_i) - \psi(-v_i)] = a \sum_{i=1}^n v_i (\beta \cdot v_i) [(1 + b|v_i|^2)^2 - (\beta \cdot v_i)^2]^{-1}, \quad \psi(v) = 1 + b|v|^2 + \beta \cdot v. \quad (4.29)$$

We are interested in bounded non-negative functions f_i in (4.28). Therefore

$$(1 + b|v_i|^2)^2 > (\beta \cdot v_i)^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then we multiply scalarly the equality (4.29) by the constant vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and obtain in the right hand side a sum of non-negative numbers. Hence, $\beta = 0$, since the equality $\beta \cdot v_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ is impossible for any normal model (see Definition 1).

Then it follows from algebraic equations (4.26) that

$$a = \frac{\rho}{S_0(b)}, \quad S_k(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^{2k} (1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (4.30)$$

where b is maximal real root of algebraic equation

$$T = \frac{S_1(b)}{S_0(b)}. \quad (4.31)$$

The existence of such root follows from simple considerations. If we consider (4.31) as the definition of function $T(b)$, then we can compute its derivative $T'(b)$ and obtain

It is easy to see that $T(b)$ decreases monotonically from $T(-M^{-1}) = M = \max\{|v_i|^2, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ to zero for $b \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the inverse function $b(T)$, satisfying (4.31), is uniquely defined for all $0 < T < M$. The limiting value $b(M) = -M^{-1}$ means that the solution (4.28) becomes singular. This limit is irrelevant for Theorem 4.1 because we always have $T_0 < M$ for initial data (4.5).

The result of this section can be formulated as follows.

Lemma 4.2 *We assume that conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied for equations (4.2), (4.3) and the set (4.4). Then the stationary equation (4.25) has a unique non-negative solution*

$$f = f^{st} = \{f_1^{st}, \dots, f_n^{st}\}, \quad f_i^{st} = a(1 + b|v_i|^2)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.32)$$

satisfying conditions (4.26) for any $\rho > 0$ and $0 < T < \max\{|v_i|^2, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. The parameters a and b are defined uniquely by algebraic equations (4.30), (4.31).

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is already given above. We use the notation f^{st} in (4.32) in order to distinguish the stationary solution from time-dependent solution $f(t)$ of equations (4.2), (4.3).

In the next section we study some properties of the stationary solution (4.32).

4.4 Properties of the stationary solution

It was shown in the beginning of the previous part that all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with function $p(x) = -1/x$ under assumption of Theorem 4.1. In particular, the inequality (3.24) with $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$ from (4.1) and $p(x) = -1/x$ is given in (3.33). Hence, we can apply the part (a) of Theorem 3.1 from Section 3.5 and equations (3.45), (3.46) from its proof. Obviously we can choose

$$I(x) = -\log x, \quad H[f(t)] = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_i(t) \quad (4.33)$$

and conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dt} H[f(t)] \leq 0$$

on any positive solution $f(t) = \{f_1(t) > 0, \dots, f_n(t) > 0\}$ of equations (4.2), (4.3). One can check directly that

$$\frac{d}{dt} H[f(t)] = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} f_i f_j f_k f_l (f_i^{-1} + f_j^{-1} - f_k^{-1} - f_l^{-1})^2 \leq 0 \quad (4.34)$$

in accordance with equation (3.33). Thus, $H(f)$ is the Lyapounov function for equations (4.2), (4.3).

Let us try to minimize $H(f)$ in the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\Omega(\rho, T) = \{f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : (1) f_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n; (2) f \text{ satisfies (4.26)}\}, \quad (4.35)$$

where the set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ in (4.4) satisfies conditions of Theorem 4.1. It is straightforward to see that the standard method of Lagrange multipliers shows that the point $f^{st} \in \Omega$ from Lemma 4.1 is a unique in Ω point of extremal. In fact it is a point of minimum because

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial f_i \partial f_j} = \delta_{ij} f_i^{-2} \geq 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, we can construct a modified Lyapounov function

$$U(f) = H(f) - H(f^{st}) \geq 0, \quad f \in \Omega(\rho, T) \quad (4.36)$$

4.5 Proof of convergence to equilibrium

We consider again the Cauchy problem

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Q(f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f^{(0)} \quad (4.37)$$

in the notation of (4.2)–(4.5) and assume that all conditions of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. The unique solution of the problem (4.37) was constructed in Section 4.2. This solution obviously satisfies conservation laws

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(0)} = \rho, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t)v_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(t)|v_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{(0)}|v_i|^2 = \rho T, \quad (4.38)$$

in the notation of (4.4). Moreover $f_i(t) > 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $t > 0$ because of lower estimates in (4.24). Hence, for any $t \geq 0$

$$f(t) \in \Omega = \Omega(\rho, T) \quad (4.39)$$

in the notation of (4.35). Note that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain for any values of parameters $\rho > 0$, $0 < T < \max\{|v_i|^2, i = 1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, $\Omega \subset B(\rho\sqrt{d})$, where $B(\rho\sqrt{d})$ is the ball of radius $\rho\sqrt{d}$ centred at the origin.

We have constructed the Lyapounov function $U(f)$ (4.36) for equation (4.37) such that $U(f) \geq 0$ for any $f \in \Omega$. It was also shown that there is a unique point $f^{st} \in \Omega$ such that $U(f^{st}) = 0$. Note that the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt}U[f(t)] = \frac{d}{dt}H[f(t)] \leq 0 \quad (4.40)$$

was computed in (4.34) We can rewrite (4.40) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}U[f] = \text{grad}_f U[f] \cdot Q[f] = -W[f],$$

where dot stands for the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n and

$$W(f) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} f_i f_j f_k f_l (f_i^{-1} + f_j^{-1} - f_k^{-1} - f_l^{-1})^2. \quad (4.41)$$

It is clear that under conditions of Theorem 4.1 the equation $W(f) = 0$ has a unique solution $f = f^{st}$ (4.32) in Ω . This fact was actually used in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Hence, similarly to $U(f)$ the function $W(f)$ has the following properties:

$$(a)W(f) > 0 \text{ if } f \in \Omega, f \neq f^{st}; \quad (b)W(f^{st}) = 0, \quad (4.42)$$

where $f^{st} \in \Omega$ is given in (4.32).

We are almost prepared to prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = f^{st}$$

on the basis of well-known facts from the theory of ODEs. To this goal we begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 *The above constructed solution (4.39) satisfies for all $t \geq 0$ the inequality*

Proof. We consider the function $H(f)$ (4.33) and note that $H[f(t)] \leq H[f(0)]$ because of inequality (4.34) or, equivalently

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(t) \geq \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(0).$$

Since $f_i(t) \leq \rho$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain a lower estimate for each component of $f(t)$:

$$f_i(t) \geq \rho^{-(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Hence, for any trajectory $f(t)$ satisfying (4.39), we can introduce a closed bounded domain $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ such that

$$\Omega_1 = \{f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \Omega : f_i \geq \rho^{-(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j(0)\}. \quad (4.44)$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $f(t) \in \Omega_1$, $t \geq 0$, where $f(t)$ is the solution of the problem (4.37). Note that the definition of Ω_1 depends on the initial data not only through sums (4.38), but also through the product of components of $f^{(0)}$.

We recall some known applications of Lyapunov functions (see e.g. the textbook in ODEs [53]). With some abuse of notation, we consider a vector ODE (like (4.2))

$$\frac{df}{dt} = Q(f), \quad f \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad Q(f) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.45)$$

It is assumed for simplicity that components $Q_i(f)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ are polynomials in components of $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Of course, these polynomials can differ from those shown in (4.3). Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed bounded domain and there exist a solution $f(t)$ of equation (4.45) such that $f(t) \in D$ for all $t \geq 0$. The following theorem is a simple modification of Theorem 5.3 from [53]. In fact the first version of this theorem was proved by A.M. Lyapunov in 1892 in his thesis [45].

Theorem 4.2 *It is assumed that equation (4.45) has a Lyapunov function $U(f)$ such that*

- (a) $U(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed bounded domain;
- (b) $W(f) = -\text{grad}_f U \cdot Q(f) \leq 0$ for all $f \in D$;
- (c) both functions $U(f)$ and $W(f)$ are continuous in D ;
- (d) there exists a unique vector (a point in \mathbb{R}^n) $f^{st} \in D$ such that

$$U(f^{st}) = W(f^{st}) = 0. \quad (4.46)$$

Then any solution $f(t)$ of (4.45) such that $f(t) \in D$ for all $t \geq 0$ converges to f^{st} , i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = f^{st} \quad (4.47)$$

Proof. The proof is simply a repetition of the proof of Theorem 5.3 from [53]. Therefore we just outline the scheme of the proof. The first step is to prove that $U[f(t)] \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Assuming the opposite we obtain that $U[f(t)] \geq \alpha > 0$, $t \geq 0$. Then we can prove by contradiction that $|f(t) - f^{st}| \geq \beta$ and $W[f(t)] \geq \gamma$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence we obtain that

$$\frac{dU[f(t)]}{dt} \leq -\gamma \Rightarrow U[f(t)] \leq U[f(0)] - \gamma t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(4.47). Again we assume the opposite. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{t_k, k \geq 1\}$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but

$$|f(t_k) - f^{st}| > \varepsilon \quad (4.48)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. The sequences $\{f_k = f(t_k), k = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset D$ is bounded and therefore contains a convergent subsequence, which converges to a point $\bar{f} \in D$ (here we need the domain D to be closed). The equality $\bar{f} = f^{st}$ is impossible because of inequalities (4.48). If we substitute this convergent subsequence into the continuous Lyapounov function $U(f)$, then the corresponding sequence converges to $U(\bar{f}) \neq 0$. Hence, we obtain a contradiction and this completes the proof. ■

The end of the proof of Theorem 4.1. It remains to apply Theorem 4.2 to the case of equations (4.2), (4.3) with initial data (4.5). We consider the solution $f \in \Omega_1$ constructed in Lemma 2.1. It is clear that all conditions of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. Indeed we choose the domain $D = \Omega_1$ in the notation of (4.44) and the functions $U(f)$ and $W(f)$ in the notation of (4.27) and (4.41), respectively. The stationary solution $f^{st} \in \Omega_1$ was constructed in Lemma 4.2 in explicit form (4.32) under assumptions of Theorem 4.1. Equalities (4.46) follow from formulas for $U(f)$ (4.36) and $W(f)$ (4.41) under the same assumption. The uniqueness in Ω (and therefore in $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$) of the root $f = f^{st}$ of equations $U(f) = W(f) = 0$ was proved above, see (4.47) and comments after (4.36). Hence, the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 allow us to apply Theorem 4.2 and prove the limiting equality (4.7). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

4.6 Conclusions

A large class of nonlinear kinetic equations of the Boltzmann type was considered in Sections 2–4 from a unified point of view. This class includes, in particular, such well-known equations as (a) the classical Boltzmann equation, (b) the quantum Nordheim–Uehling–Uhlenbeck equation, (c) the wave kinetic equation used in the theory of weak turbulence.

It was shown that all these equations can naturally be considered as different forms of the general Boltzmann-type equation introduced in Section 3. The general properties (conservation laws and monotone functionals) of that equation are also studied there. By analogy with discrete velocity models of the Boltzmann equation the class of discrete models of the general kinetic equation was introduced and the properties of the models were studied.

The long-time behaviour of solutions to discrete models of WKE was investigated in detail in Section 5. First we have proved the existence of unique global in time solution of the corresponding set of ODEs for any non-negative initial conditions. The Lyapunov function was constructed for any positive solution of the model and then used for the proof of convergence to equilibrium at the end of Section 5. This result is proved for so-called normal models which do not have any spurious conservation law. Perhaps, similar results can be proved also for discrete models of NUU-equation for fermions, but the case of WKE looks more interesting for some reasons.

The matter is that it is natural to expect that the time-evolution of solutions to normal discrete kinetic models imitate, to some extent, the behaviour of corresponding solutions to kinetic equations. In principle, we can approximate with any given accuracy the kinetic equation by a sequence of discrete models with sufficiently large number of discrete phase points, as it is shown in Appendix B. These arguments work very well in the case of the Boltzmann equation, for which the discrete models predict that a solution $f(v, t)$, $v \in R^d$, with finite moments up to the second order tends, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a Maxwellian distribution of the form $M(v) = a \exp(-b|v|^2)$, under some irrelevant extra conditions. Positive parameters a and b are determined by conservation laws. This prediction is absolutely correct for the Boltzmann equation. On the contrary, the attractor for solutions to discrete models of WKE has the form $f^{st}(v) = a(1 + b|v|^2)^{-1}$. Obviously this function is not integrable in R^d , $d \leq 2$

case. At the same time the information about long-time behaviour of solutions to discrete models of WKE still can be useful. We hope to come back to this question in subsequent publications.

Acknowledgement This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (megagrant agreement No 075-15-22-1115). I thank S.B. Kuksin for important discussions. I am also grateful to I.F. Potapenko for help in preparation of the manuscript.

Appendix A. Construction of normal discrete kinetic models

We note that the definition of normal models from Section 3 includes only conditions (a), (b), (c) that do not depend on function $F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4)$. Therefore we just need to use some results obtained for discrete velocity models of the Boltzmann equation in [56], [57], [11], [14]. Moreover the condition (a) does not depend on equations (4.2), it depends only on the set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ from (4.1). We denote

$$v_i = (v_i^1, \dots, v_i^d), \quad d \geq 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad (\text{A1})$$

and introduce n -dimensional vectors

$$\varphi_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1); \quad \varphi_{\alpha+1} = (v_1^\alpha, \dots, v_n^\alpha); \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, d, \quad \varphi_{\alpha+2} = (|v_1|^2, \dots, |v_n|^2). \quad (\text{A2})$$

It is easy to verify that the condition (a) means that vectors $\{\varphi_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, \dots, d+2\}$ are linearly independent. We also note that the simplest Broadwell model with four points is not normal because all the points lie on the circle. Therefore $n \geq 6$ for normal models.

Conditions (a) and (b) of normality depend on the set of coefficients $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$. It was noted in Section 3.4 that four points $\{v_i, v_j; v_k, v_l\}$ form a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^d if $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$. If we imagine all such rectangles connecting all the n (distinct) points $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, of the set V , then the condition (b) means that each point of V belongs to at least one such rectangle. Otherwise the model is not normal and we need to drop isolated points. It is assumed below that conditions (a) and (b) are fulfilled.

Then it remains to discuss the condition (c). If $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$ in equations (4.2), then we can say that the reaction $\{v_i, v_j; v_k, v_l\}$ is possible. It is clear that all integers $i, j; k, l$ are distinct. Then we can introduce the vector of reaction [57]

$$\theta_{ij}^{kl} = (\dots \underbrace{1}_i \dots \underbrace{1}_j \dots \underbrace{-1}_k \dots \underbrace{-1}_l) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where dots stand for zeroes. In other words, the rule is to put (+1) on positions i, j and to put (-1) on positions k, l . The next step shows the convenience of this notation. Indeed the equation (4.6) can be written as the usual scalar product of two vectors from \mathbb{R}^n

$$\theta_{ij}^{kl} \cdot h(v) = 0, \quad \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where $h = h_1, \dots, h_n \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad h_i = h(v_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$. We can reformulate the condition (c) in the following way: if the equality (A4) is valid for any such indices $(i, j; k, l)$ that $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$, then $h(v)$ is a linear combination of vectors $\varphi_1(v), \dots, \varphi_{d+2}(v)$ from (A2).

Let us consider all equalities (A4), where $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$. These equalities are not linearly independent because, for example, $\theta_{ij}^{kl} = -\theta_{kl}^{ij}$ by construction of vectors (A3). The set (A4) of such equalities (or, equivalently, equations for $h(v) \in \mathbb{R}^n$) is obviously equivalent to its subset

$$\theta_{\beta l}^{k \beta} \cdot h(v) = 0, \quad \beta = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\{\theta_{i_\beta j_\beta}^{k_\beta l_\beta}, \quad \beta = 1, \dots, p\} \quad (\text{A6})$$

are linearly independent and p is the maximal number of such vectors of the form (A3). It is easy to see that

$$p \leq p_{max}, \quad p_{max} = n - (d + 2), \quad (\text{A7})$$

because all vectors (A3) are by construction orthogonal to $(d + 2)$ linearly independent vectors $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{d+2}$ from (A2). We introduce two orthogonal subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\Phi = \text{Span}\{\varphi_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, d + 2\}, \quad \Theta = \text{Span}\{\theta_{i_\beta j_\beta}^{k_\beta l_\beta}, \quad \beta = 1, \dots, p\}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Then if $p < p_{max}$ we can represent as an orthogonal sum of three spaces

$$\mathbb{R}^n = \Phi \oplus \Theta \oplus H_\perp$$

where H_\perp contains all solutions of equations (A5) that are orthogonal to Φ . It is clear that $\dim H_\perp = n - (p + d + 2)$. Hence, there is only one possible case $p = p_{max}$, when the subspace H_\perp is empty. Thus, the following fact is proved (see also the original proof in [57]).

Lemma 4.4 *It is assumed that conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 1 are fulfilled for given model (4.1), (4.2). Then the condition (c) is also fulfilled if and only if the set of all its vectors of reaction (A3) contains $p = n(d + 2)$ linearly independent vectors.*

The following consideration shows an inductive way of constructing normal model. We fix the dimension $d \geq 2$ of vectors $v_i \in V$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and assume that the model (4.1), (4.2) is normal. Then $\dim \Theta = n - (d + 2)$ in the notation of (A8). We assume in addition that there are three points in V , say, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n such that

$$(v_n - v_{n-1}) \cdot (v_n - v_{n-2}) = 0, \quad v_{n+1} = v_{n-1} + v_{n-2} - v_n \notin V. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Then we can add a new point v_{n+1} to the set V and a new vector of reaction

$$\theta_{n-1 \ n-2}^{n \ n+1} \{0, \dots, 1, 1, -1, -1\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where dots stand for zeros. Indeed the new reaction satisfies conservation laws

$$v_{n-1} + v_{n-2} = v_n + v_{n+1}, \quad |v_{n-1}|^2 + |v_{n-2}|^2 = |v_n|^2 + |v_{n+1}|^2$$

and therefore the inequality $\Gamma_{n-1 \ n-2}^{n \ n+1} > 0$ is allows for extended model. The linear independence of new vector (A10) with vectors from Θ (A8) extended to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is obvious because only vector (A10) has a non-zero component on the $(n + 1)^{th}$ position. Hence, we obtain a new normal model, where the set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and the vector $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from (4.1) are replaced respectively by

$$\hat{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \hat{f}(t) = \{\hat{f}_1(t), \dots, \hat{f}_{n+1}(t)\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}.$$

The changes in equations (4.2) are obvious. In particular the equation for $\hat{f}_{n+1}(t)$ reads

$$\frac{d\hat{f}_{n+1}(t)}{dt} = \Gamma_{n-1 \ n-2}^{n \ n+1} F(f_{n-1}, f_{n-2}; f_n, f_{n+1}). \quad (\text{A11})$$

Of course, we need an initial normal model to begin the process of successive extensions. If we want to get regular lattices, then it is convenient to begin with d -dimensional Broadweell model with

$$V = \{e_1, -e_1, e_2, -e_2, \dots, e_d, -e_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (\text{A12})$$

the discrete model with the set V from (A12) cannot be normal by definition because all points of V lie on the unit sphere S^{d-1} . Then we add two points to V , namely, $v_{2d+1} = 0$ and $v_{2d+2} = e_1 + e_2$. Then we obtain a normal model with

$$V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}, \quad n = 2(d+1),$$

where

$$v_{2k+1} = e_k, \quad v_{2k+2} = -e_k, \quad k = 0, \dots, d-1; \quad v_{2d+1} = 0, \quad v_{2d+2} = e_1 + e_2.$$

It is easy to check that this model is normal. Indeed it has $(d-1)$ linearly independent vectors (A3) of the form $\theta_1^{2k+1} \theta_2^{k+2}$, $k = 1, \dots, d-1$, and also $\theta_1^{n-1} \theta_2^n$ because

$$v_1 = e_1, v_3 = e_2, v_{n-1} = 0, v_n = e_1 + e_2$$

and $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$ by construction. Hence, we obtain $p = d$ linear independent vectors (A3) for of $n = 2d + 2$ points. Then $p = n - (d + 2)$ and therefore it follows from Lemma 4.4 that this model is normal. Thus we can use an inductive way of enlarging this model by adding to it all vectors (one by one) of the form $v = v_i + v_j$, $i \neq j$, and so on.

Appendix B. On approximation of Boltzmann-type equations by discrete kinetic models

We consider below the Boltzmann-type equation (3.1) for the distribution function $f(v, t)$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $K[f]$ is written in the form (3.12). Omitting irrelevant constant factor in (3.12), we obtain

$$f_t(v, t) = K[f](v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times S^{d-1}} dw d\omega |u|^{d-2} R(u, u') G(v, w; v', w'), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $R(u, u') = R(u', u)$,

$$G(v, w; v', w') = F[f(v), f(w); f(v'), f(w')], \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$F(x_1, x_2; x_3, x_4) = F(x_2, x_1; x_3, x_4) = F(x_1, x_2; x_4, x_3) = -F(x_3, x_4; x_1, x_2), \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\omega \in S^2, \quad u = v - w, \quad v' = (v + w + u')/2, \quad u' = |u|\omega, \quad w' = (v + w - u')/2. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Suppose that we want to approximate the integral $K[f](v)$ by a quadrature formula on some discrete lattice in the v -space. To this end we introduce a regular grid in \mathbb{R}^d $\{v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\tilde{f}_i(t)$ be an approximation of $f(v_i, t)$. Then a discrete version of equation (B1) reads

$$\frac{d\tilde{f}_i}{dt} = \tilde{K}_i(\tilde{f}), \quad \tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\tilde{K}_i(\tilde{f})$ denotes a quadrature formula for $K[f](v_i)$. In principle, we can use any such formula, but there are obvious advantages associated with approximations of the form

$$K_i(f) = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l).$$

The tildes are omitted here and below. It is assumed that the constant coefficients $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} \geq 0$, moreover $\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} > 0$ only if

Then we obtain the general discrete kinetic model of equation (B1)

$$\frac{df_i}{dt} = \sum_{j,k,l=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l), \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Such models are discussed above in Sections 3.4–4.5 under assumptions that

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} = \Gamma_{ji}^{kl} = \Gamma_{ji}^{kl}.$$

It is shown there that solutions of the system (B5) of ODEs inherit the properties of the solutions of the kinetic equation (B1), namely, the conservation laws and H -theorem (if H -theorem is valid for equation (B1)).

Our goal is to explain some methods of construction of such approximations. Our presentation can be considered as a generalization of papers [13], [51], devoted to similar problems for the classical Boltzmann equation .

Let $(k_1 h, \dots, k_d h)$ be our discrete set of points in \mathbb{R}^d , where h is any positive number (mesh step) and (k_1, \dots, k_d) are integer numbers. We identify this grid with \mathbb{Z}^d and call its points "integer points". We also use below the term "even" points if all n_i are even numbers.

A natural first step is to use the simplest rectangular formula

$$K[f](v_i) \approx (2h)^d \sum_{v_j} \int_{S^{d-1}} d\omega R(u_{ij}, |u_{ij}|\omega) G(v_i, v_j; v'_i, v'_j) \quad (\text{B6})$$

in the notation of Eqs. (B1)–(B4). Here v_i is a given point of the lattice and the sum is taken over all such points v_j that $u_{ij} = v_i - v_j$ (this choice of v_j will be explained below).

Then the next step is to choose an approximation of the integrals over unit sphere S^{d-1}

$$I(v_i, v_j) = \int_{S^{d-1}} d\omega R(u_{ij}, |u_{ij}|\omega) G(v_i, v_j; v'_i, v'_j). \quad (\text{B7})$$

If two integer vectors v_i, v_j are chosen in the above explained way, then $u_{ij} = v_i - v_j$ is an even vector and $U_{ij} = (v_i + v_j)/2$ is an integer vector. We introduce an abbreviated notation

$$\varphi(|u_{ij}|^2/4, \omega) = |S^{d-1}| R(u_{ij}, |u_{ij}|\omega) G(v_i, v_j; v'_i, v'_j), \quad (\text{B8})$$

where v'_i, v'_j are given in (B4), $|S^{d-1}|$ denotes the area of unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d . Then the integral (B7) reads

$$I(v_i, v_j) = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} d\omega \varphi(|u_{ij}|^2/4, \omega), \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $v_i \in h\mathbb{Z}^d$, $v_j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $u_{ij} = v_i - v_j \in 2h\mathbb{Z}^d$ by construction. It is implicitly assumed that the function $\varphi(|u_{ij}|^2/4, \omega)$ is known only in such points ω_k , where v'_i and v'_j in (B7) are integer vectors. Let us assume that

$$u_{ij} = 2h(n_1, \dots, n_d), \quad |u_{ij}|^2 = 4h^2 m, \quad m = \sum_{l=1}^d n_l^2. \quad (\text{B10})$$

Then it is clear from formula (B4) for v' and w' that v'_i and v'_j in (B8) are integer vectors if and only if

$$u_{ij} = v'_i - v'_j = 2h(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in 2h\mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where

$$x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2 = m \quad (\text{B11})$$

the average value over "integer" (in the above discussed sense) points of the unit sphere. In other words, we assume that for large m

$$\frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} d\omega \varphi(h^2 m, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{r_d(m)} \sum_{x \in V_d(m)} \varphi(h^2 m, \frac{x}{\sqrt{m}}),$$

$$V_d(m) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, x^2 = m\}, \quad (\text{B12})$$

where $r_d(m)$ is the number of integer solutions of equation (B11), i.e. the number of elements in $V_d(m)$. The first argument $h^2 m$ of $\varphi(h^2 m, \omega)$ is not important. We normally consider such limit in the general approximate formula (B6) that $h \rightarrow 0$, $m \rightarrow \infty$, $h^2 m = \text{const}$.

Thus, the second approximate formula (B12) is closely connected with classical number-theoretical problem of finding integer solutions of equation (B11). There is a large literature on this problem, see e.g. books [43], [35]. It is intuitively clear that approximate equality (B12) becomes exact for continuous function of $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ provided (1) the number $r(m)$ of solutions of (B11) tends to infinity as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and (2) these solutions tend to be equidistributed on the sphere. This is true for $d \geq 4$, see [43] for details. Then situation, however, is less simple in more practically interesting cases $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

We briefly discuss these two cases below. All details can be found in papers [13], [51] for $d = 3$ and [29] for $d = 2$. We begin with the case $d = 3$. The first difficulty is that equation (B11) for $d = 3$ does not have integer solutions if $m = 4^a(8k + 7)$, where a and k are integers. Fortunately this difficulty is irrelevant in our problem, since it is known from equality for m in (B10) that there exists at least one integer solutions of (B11).

Still there are "bad" sequences of the form $m_a = 4^a m_0$, $a \rightarrow \infty$, because $r(m_a) = r(m_0)$ for any $a = 0, 1, \dots$. It follows from elementary observation that x_1, x_2 and x_3 in (B11) are even if and only if $m = 0 \pmod{4}$. If $m = 4^a m_1$, where $m_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $m_1 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, then $r_3(m) \rightarrow \infty$, as $m_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Of course, this is not enough for rigorous justification of approximate formula (B12). The more difficult problem of equidistribution of solutions of (B11) on the sphere of radius \sqrt{m} in \mathbb{R}^3 was proved in [13], [51] on the basis of rather complicated number theoretical results by Iwaniec [38] (see also [31],[24] and [52]). Without going in details we cite here one of results of [51] (see Corollary 4 on p. 1873 there).

Proposition 3 *Let $\varphi(\omega)$ be a continuous function on S^2 . Then*

$$\frac{1}{r_3(m)} \sum_{x \in V_3(m)} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{m}}\right) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} d\omega \varphi(\omega) \quad (\text{B13})$$

for every $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 \pmod{8}$.

Then we substitute the left hand side of approximate formula (B12) in the notation of (B8) into approximate equality (B6) and obtain for $d \geq 3$

$$K[f](v_i) \approx K_h[f](v_i) = (2h)^d \sum_{v_j, v_k, v_l \in h\mathbb{Z}^d} \Gamma_{ij}^{kl} F(f_i, f_j; f_k, f_l), \quad (\text{B14})$$

where $f_i = f(v_i)$, $v_i \in h\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{kl} = \tilde{R}(v_i - v_j, v_k - v_l) \frac{|S^{d-1}|}{r_d(|v_i - v_j|^2)/4h^2} \delta[(v_i - v_j)^2 - (v_k - v_l)^2] \delta[v_i + v_i - v_k - v_l]. \quad (\text{B15})$$

Here δ -function of integer vectors and squares of such vectors denote simply corresponding Kronecker symbols. The kernel $\tilde{R}(u, u')$ is defined by equality

$$\tilde{R}(u, u') = \begin{cases} \tilde{R}(u, u'), & \text{if } u, u' \in 2h\mathbb{Z}^d; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The convergence

$$K_h[f](v) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} K[f](v) \quad (\text{B16})$$

is proved in [51] for the classical Boltzmann equation with $d = 3$ and for non-negative continuous functions such that (see e.g. [19])

$$\|f\| = \sup \frac{f(v)}{(1 + |v|^2)^d} < \infty. \quad (\text{B17})$$

This is the result of Theorem 11 from [51]. It can be easily generalized to the case $d \geq 3$ with $\|f\|$ from (B17) and to the whole class of Boltzmann-type operators $K[f](v)$ with function $F(f_i(x_1), f_j(x_2); f_k(x_3), f_l(x_4))$ satisfying inequality

$$|F(f_i(x_1), f_j(x_2); f_k(x_3), f_l(x_4))| \leq C(R)(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4) \quad (\text{B18})$$

for all $0 \leq x_i \leq R$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, and for any $R > 0$. We do not discuss here various estimates of the rate of convergence of quadrature formula (B14) for $h \rightarrow 0$, obtained for the Boltzmann equation in [51].

Instead we give some comments on the difficult case $d = 2$. In fact the quadrature formula (B14) was also proved for the Boltzmann equation under some smoothness assumptions on the functions under the integral sign in (B1). Roughly speaking, it was proved in [29] that lattice points on circles are equidistributed on average in the sense that the exponential sums

$$S(m, k) = \sum_{|u'|^2=m} e^{ik\theta_{u'}},$$

where $\theta_{u'} \in [0, 2\pi]$ is the angular coordinate of $u' \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, converge to zero when m goes to infinity. This is a key point in the proof in [29] of the quadrature formula (B14) for the plane case $d = 2$.

There is also another way of approximation of the Boltzmann-type integrals (B1) by infinite sums. The idea is to use the Carleman form of the integral (see formula (3.14) from Section 3.1) with inner integral over a plane. The discrete models of the Boltzmann equation based on this idea are constructed and discussed in [37]. Independently, the convergence of certain infinite sums to the integral $K[f]$ for WKE, written in similar form, was discussed in [25]. For the sake of brevity, we do not discuss here the problem of approximation of infinite sums by finite sums. It is always possible to do for functions f and F , satisfying conditions (B17) and (B18), respectively.

Bibliography

- [1] Alexandre R, Morimoto Y, Ukai S, Xu C-J, Tong Y. Smoothing effect of weak solutions for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Kyoto J Math* 2012, 52, 433-463.
- [2] Arkeryd L. On the Boltzmann equation. *Arch Ration Mech Anal* 1972, 34, 1-34.
- [3] Arkeryd L. L. Estimates for the space homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *J. Stat Phys* 1982, 31, 347-361.
- [4] Arkeryd L. A quantum Boltzmann equation for Haldane statistics and hard forces; the space-homogeneous initial value problem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 298, 573-583 (2010).
- [5] Arkeryd L. On low temperature kinetic theory: spin diffusion, Bose-Einstein condensates, anyons. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 150:1063-1079, 2013

- [7] Arkeryd L., Nouri A. Bose condensates in interaction with excitations: A kinetic model. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 310:765-788, 2012
- [8] Arsen'ev A.A. The Cauchy problem for the linearized Boltzmann equation. *USSR Comput. Math. And Math. Phys.* 5: 110-136, 1975.
- [9] Balescu R. *Statistical mechanics of charged particles*. Interscience Publishers, Wiley and Sons, Ltd, London, 1963.
- [10] Bobylev A.V. *Kinetic Equations; Volume 1: Boltzmann Equation, Maxwell Models and Hydrodynamics beyond Navier-Stokes*. De Gruyter Series in Applied and Numerical Mathematics 5/1. De Gruyter, Berlin/Boston, 2020.
- [11] Bobylev A.V., Cercignani C. Discrete velocity models without non-physical invariants, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 97: 677- 686, 1999
- [12] Bobylev A.V., Kuksin S.B. Boltzmann equation and wave kinetic equations, Preprint No. 31, Keldysh Institute of Appl. Mathematics, RAS, 2023
- [13] Bobylev A.V., Palczewski A., Schneider J. On approximation of the Boltzmann equation by discrete velocity models. *Comptes rendus de l'Academie des sciences. Serie I, Mathematique*, 320(5):639-644,1995.
- [14] Bobylev A. V., Vinerean M. C. Construction of discrete kinetic models with given invariants. *J. of Stat. Phys.*, 132: 153-170, 2008.
- [15] Bogolyubov N.N. *Problems of a dynamical theory in statistical physics* (Moscow: State Technical Press, 1946, in Russian); English translation in *Studies of Statistical Mechanics I*, eds. de Boer J, Uhlenbeck GE. Part a, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1962.
- [16] Boltzmann L. *Weiter Studien uber das Warmegleichgewicht unte Gasmolekulen*. Wien Akad Sitzungsber, 1872, 66, 275-370.
- [17] Broadwell J. E. Study of rarefied shear flow by the discrete velocity method. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 19(3):401-414, 1964.
- [18] Cabannes H. *The Discrete Boltzmann Equation: (Theory and Applications)*; Lecture Notes Given at the University of California, Berkeley. University of California, Berkeley, 1980.
- [19] Carleman T. *Problemes mathematiques dans la theoriecinetique des gaz*. Almqvist and Wiksell, Uppsala, 1957.
- [20] Cercignani C. *The Boltzmann equation and its applications*. Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [21] Cercignani C, Illner R, Pulvirenti M. *The mathematical theory of dilute gases*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [22] Chapman S. On the law of distribution of molecular velocities, and on the theory of viscosity and thermal conduction, in a non-uniform simple monoatomic gas. *Phil Transactions Royal Society, London*, A216, 279-348, 1916.
- [23] DiPerna, R., and Lions, P.-L. On the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation : Global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math.* 130 (2): 312-366, 1989
- [24] Duke W. Hyperbolic distribution problems of half-integer weight points, *Inv. Math.* 92: 73-90, 1988
- [25] Dymov A., Kuksin S. Formal expansions in stochastic model for wave turbulence 1:

- [26] Ellis R.S., Pinsky M.A. The first and the second fluid approximations to the linearized Boltzmann equation. *J. Math. Pures et Appl.* 54, 125-156, 1972
- [27] Enskog D. *Kinetische Theorie der Vorgänge in massig verdünnten Gasen.* Almqvist and Wiksell, Uppsala, 1917
- [28] Escobedo M. and Velazquez J.J. On the theory of weak turbulence for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 238, 2013.
- [29] Fainzilberg L., Kurlberg P., Wennberg B. Lattice points on circles and discrete velocity models for the Boltzmann equation. *SIAM J. of Math. Anal.*, 37(6): 1903-1922, 2006
- [30] R. Gatignol - *Theorie Cinétique des Gaz Repartition Discrete des Vitesses*, Lecture Notes in Physics 36, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1975.
- [31] Golubeva E.P. and Fomenko O.M. Asymptotic distribution of lattice points on the three-dimensional sphere. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 160 (8): 54-71, 1987
- [32] Galeev A.A., Karpman V.I, Turbulence theory of a weakly non-equilibrium low-density plasma and structure of shock waves, *J. Exp. Theoret. Phys. (USSR)*, 44: 592-602, 1063
- [33] D. Goldstein, B. Sturtevant and J. E. Broadwell - Investigation of the motion of discrete-velocity gases, in "Rared Gas Dynamics: Theoretical and Computational Techniques", E. P. Muntz, D. P. Weaver and D. H. Campbell Eds., *Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics* 118, 100-117 (1989).
- [34] Grad H. Principles of the kinetic theory of gases. In: *Handbuch der Physik*, Vol. 12. Springer, 1958, 205-251.
- [35] Grosswald E. *Representations of integers as sums of squares*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [36] Hilbert D. Begründung der kinetische Gastheorie. *Math Anal*, 72, 562-577, 1912.
- [37] Illner R., Platkowski T. Discrete velocity models of the Boltzmann equation: A survey on the mathematical aspects of the theory. *SIAM Review* 30 (2), 213-255, 1988.
- [38] Iwaniec H. Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 87: 385-401, 1987.
- [39] Kac M. *Probability and related topics in the physical sciences.* Interscience, New York, 1959.
- [40] Landau L.D., Kinetic equation for the case of Coulomb interaction, *Phys. Zs. Sov. Union*, 10: 154-164, 1936
- [41] Landau L.D., Lifshitz E.M. *Course of theoretical physics, 1. Mechanics.* Pergamon Press, 1981.
- [42] Lanford III O. The evolution of large classical systems. In: Moser J (ed.) *Dynamical systems theory and applications.* LNP, Vol. 35. Springer, Berlin, 1-111, 1975.
- [43] Linnik Yu.L. *Ergodic properties of algebraic fields*, Springer, Berlin, 1968.
- [44] Lifshitz E.M., Pitaevskii L.P. *Course of theoretical physics, 10. Physical kinetics.* Pergamon Press, 1981.
- [45] Lyapunov A.M. *The general problem of the stability of motion.* Kharkov: Kharkov,

- [46] Maslova N.B., Firsov A.N. Solution of the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation, Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 19: 83-85, 1975
- [47] Maxwell J.C. On the dynamical theory of gases. Phil Trans Royal Soc, London 1867, 157, 49-88.
- [48] Morgenstern D. General existence and uniqueness proof for spatially homogeneous solution of the Maxwell-Boltzmann equation in the case of Maxwellian molecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1954, 40, 719-721.
- [49] Nordheim L. W. On the kinetic method in the new statistics and application in the electron theory of conductivity. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, 119(783): 689-698, 1928.
- [50] Panferov V., Heintz A., A new consistent discrete-velocity model for the Boltzmann equation, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 25 (7), 571-593, 2002
- [51] Palczewski A., Schneider J. and Bobylev A.V. A consistency result for a discrete-velocity model of the Boltzmann equation. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 34(5):1865-1883, 1997.
- [52] Sarnak P. Some Applications of Modular Forms, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990
- [53] Tikhonov A.N., Vasilyeva A.B., Sveshnikov A.G., Differential Equations, Nauka, Moscow, 1980 (in Russian). English translation in: Differential Equations (Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985
- [54] Uehling E. A. and Uhlenbeck G. E. Transport phenomena in Einstein-Bose and Fermi-Dirac gases. Physical Review, 43(7): 552-561, 1933.
- [55] Ukai S. On the existence of global solution of a mixed problem for the nonlinear Boltzmann equation, Proc. Japan Acad. 50:179-184, 1974
- [56] Vedenyapin V.V., Velocity inductive construction for mixtures, Transport Theory and Statist. Physics, 28, 727-742, 1999
- [57] Vedenyapin V.V., Orlov Yu.N., Conservation laws for polynomial Hamiltonians and for discrete models of Boltzmann equation, Theoret. Math. Phys., 121: 1516-1523, 1999
- [58] Villani C. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In: Friedlander S, Serre D (eds.) Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. 1 Elsevier/Noth-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, 71-307.
- [59] Vlasov A.A. On vibrational properties of electron gas (in Russian). J Exp Theor Phys 1938, 8, 291-315.
- [60] Zakharov V.E. Solvable model of weak turbulence, Prikl. Mech. Tech. Fiz. (USSR), No.1, 14-20, 1965
- [61] Zermelo E., Uber einen Satz der Dynamik und die mechanische Warmetheorie, Annalen der Physik 54: 485-494, 1896.