

# Maximal signed bipartite graphs with totally disconnected graphs as star complements <sup>☆</sup>

Huiqun Jiang\*, Yue Liu

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, Fujian, China.*

---

## Abstract

Let  $\dot{\mathcal{B}} \triangleq \dot{\mathcal{B}}_{\dot{H}, \mu}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ , where  $\dot{H}$  is a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ . In this paper, by using Hadamard and Conference matrices as tools, the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  and the extremal graphs are studied. It is shown that  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  exists if and only if  $\mu^2$  is a positive integer. A formula of the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is given in the case of  $\mu^2 = p \times q$  such that  $p, q$  are integers and there exists a  $p$ -order Hadamard or  $(p + 1)$ -order Conference matrix. In particular, it is proved the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $2s$  when either  $q = 1, s = c\mu^2 = cp$  or  $q = 1, s = c(\mu^2 + 1) = c(p + 1), c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Furthermore, some extremal graphs are characterized.

*Keywords:* Star complement; Signed bipartite graph; Totally disconnected graph; Hadamard matrix; Conference matrix

---

## 1. Introduction

A *signed graph* is a pair  $(G, \sigma)$ , where  $G$  is a simple graph, called the *underlying graph*, and  $\sigma : E \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is a mapping, called the *sign function* or *signature*. In certain circumstances, it will be more convenient to deal with  $(G, \sigma)$  in such a way that its set of negative edges is emphasized, in which case we will write  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  instead, where  $\Sigma^- = \sigma^{-1}(-)$  denotes the set of negatives edges. A simple graph  $G$  can be regarded as a signed graph  $(G, \emptyset)$ .

The *Reconstruction Theorem* is well-known in the study of the spectrum of simple or signed graphs, which is firstly proved by Cvetković, Rowlinson and Simić in [1]. The role of an individual eigenvalue in the structure of a simple or signed graph is revealed by the theorem.

The *star complement technique* [3] is a procedure for constructing a simple or signed graph with a prescribed star complement for an eigenvalue to illustrate the use of the Reconstruction Theorem. In this procedure, there is a necessary condition that the eigenvalue does not appear in the spectrum of the prescribed star complement. In [8], by using this technique and the Kronecker product, Ramezani constructs some families of the signed graphs with only two opposite eigenvalues.

---

<sup>☆</sup>This research is partially supported by NSFC of China No. 12171088.

\*Corresponding author.

*Email address:* huiqun.jiang@foxmail.com (Huiqun Jiang\*)

The typical usages of this technique are to determine the maximum order of simple or signed graphs, and construct maximal simple or signed graphs. In [9], by using this technique, Ramezani, Rowlinson and Stanić prove that the maximum order  $n$  of signed graphs is no more than  $\binom{n-k+2}{3}$ , in which  $k$  is the multiplicity of an eigenvalue  $\mu \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . In [13], Yuan, Mao and Liu characterize maximal signed graphs with signed  $C_3$  or  $C_5$  as star complements for  $-2$ . In [6], Mulas and Stanić characterize maximal signed graphs  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  with any signed subgraphs whose spectrum lies in  $(-2, 2)$  as star complements, where  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  has the eigenvalues  $\pm 2$ .

Let  $\dot{H} \triangleq (sK_1, \emptyset)$  be a totally disconnected signed graph of order  $s$ . In [11], Stanić studies signed graphs  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ . It is shown that if  $s = \mu^2$ , then the star set induces  $((n - \mu^2)K_1, \emptyset)$  or  $(K_{n-\mu^2}, \emptyset)$ , and the number of different eigenvalues of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is two or three, where  $n$  is the order of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$ .

It is easy to see  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is bipartite in the case that the star set induces  $((n - \mu^2)K_1, \emptyset)$ . Therefore, we study the following problem in this paper:

**Problem  $\mathcal{P}$ :** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\mu$  be a non-zero real number. What is the maximum order of the signed bipartite graph  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  such that  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  has  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for the eigenvalue  $\mu$ ?

In Section 3, we show that  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  exists if and only if  $\mu^2$  is a positive integer and  $s \geq \mu^2$  (see Proposition 3.1). Since the adjacency matrix of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  can be orthogonal, then Hadamard and Conference matrices can be tools to study the problem  $\mathcal{P}$ . We can find some properties of Hadamard and Conference matrices in [5].

A formula of the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is given in the case of  $\mu^2 = p \times q$  such that  $p, q$  are integers and there exists a  $p$ -order Hadamard or  $(p + 1)$ -order Conference matrix. In particular, the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $2s$  in the case of  $s = c\mu^2$  such that  $\mu^2$  is the order of a Hadamard matrix, or  $s = c(\mu^2 + 1)$  such that  $\mu^2 + 1$  is the order of a Conference matrix (see Corollary 3.11).

The Section 2 contains terminologies and notations along with the Reconstruction Theorem. In Section 4, some extremal graphs are characterized.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  be a signed graph of order  $n$ . If the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  are adjacent, then we write  $u \sim v$ . In particular, if they are adjacent by a positive (or negative) edge, then we write  $u \overset{+}{\sim} v$  (or  $u \overset{-}{\sim} v$ ). If  $u$  and  $v$  are not adjacent, then we write  $u \not\sim v$ . The adjacency matrix of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A_{(G, \Sigma^-)} = (a_{ij})$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $u \overset{+}{\sim} v$ ,  $a_{ij} = -1$  if  $u \overset{-}{\sim} v$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $u \not\sim v$ .

Let  $\mu$  be an eigenvalue of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  with the multiplicity  $k$ . If  $S$  is a subset of the set  $V(G)$  such that  $|S| = k$  and  $\mu$  is not an eigenvalue of the induced subgraph  $(G, \Sigma^-) - S$ , then  $S$  is called a *star set* for  $\mu$  in  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  and the graph  $(G, \Sigma^-) - S$  (of order  $n - k$ ) is called a *star complement* for  $\mu$  in  $(G, \Sigma^-)$ . The properties of star sets and star complements for the corresponding eigenvalues can be found in [1–3].

The following result, called the Reconstruction Theorem, is fundamental to the theory of star complements.

**Theorem 2.1.** [9, Theorem 2.1] Let  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  be a graph with adjacency matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_S & B^T \\ B & C \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_S$  is the  $k \times k$  adjacency matrix of the subgraph induced by a vertex set  $S$ . Then  $S$  is a star set for  $\mu$  in  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  if and only if  $\mu$  is not an eigenvalue of  $C$  and

$$\mu I - A_S = B^T(\mu I - C)^{-1}B. \quad (1)$$

This result is initially formulated in the context of simple graphs. Since every simple graph can be interpreted as a signed graph, then it follows that Theorem 2.1 is an extension of [2, Theorem 7.4.1]. In [2, 3], Cvetković, Rowlinson and Simić prove that star sets and star complements exist for any eigenvalues in simple or signed graphs.

In order to solve the problem  $\mathcal{P}$ , it is necessary to compute an inverse of an invertible matrix forming as  $\mu I - C$ . In [3, Proposition 5.2.1], there is the way to compute the matrix  $(\mu I - C)^{-1}$ .

Let  $S$  be a star set of order  $k$  for  $\mu$  in  $(G, \Sigma^-)$ . A bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  is defined as follows:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T(\mu I - C)^{-1}y \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-k}).$$

Let  $u$  be a vertex in  $S$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_u$  be a row of  $B^T$  which determines the neighbors of  $u$  in the signed subgraph  $(G, \Sigma^-) - S$  and the signatures of associate edges. By Equation (1), for every two vertices  $u, v \in S$ , we have

$$\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } u = v, \\ -1 & \text{if } u \overset{\pm}{\sim} v, \\ 1 & \text{if } u \overset{-}{\sim} v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \approx v. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

If  $\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_u \rangle = \mu$ , then  $u$  is said to be *good* for  $\mu$ . If both  $u, v$  are good for  $\mu$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , then  $u, v$  are said to be *compatible* for  $\mu$ .

Let  $\dot{H}'$  be a signed graph with the adjacency matrix  $C$ ,  $\mu$  be a real number such that  $\mu$  does not appear in the spectrum of  $\dot{H}'$ . Then  $\mu$  does appear in the graph obtained by adding edges between  $\dot{H}'$  and a single vertex  $u$ , where the edges are allowed to have signatures by Equation (2). It follows that  $u$  is good for  $\mu$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_u \rangle = \mu$ ; if both  $u$  and  $v$  are good for  $\mu$ , then  $u, v$  are compatible for  $\mu$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

Thus, in order to solve the problem  $\mathcal{P}$ , pairwise compatible vertices for  $\mu$  are required as many as possible.

### 3. The maximum order of $\mathcal{B}$

Let  $\dot{H}$  be totally disconnected,  $V(\dot{H}) = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ ,  $u$  be a single vertex such that  $u \notin V(\dot{H})$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_u = (b_{u1}, \dots, b_{us})^T$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector such that  $\mathbf{b}_u$  determines the neighbors of  $u$  in  $\dot{H}$  and the signatures of associate edges  $u1, u2, \dots, us$ . By

Equation (2), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^s b_{ui}b_{vi} = \begin{cases} \mu^2 & \text{if } u = v, \\ -\mu & \text{if } u \overset{+}{\sim} v, \\ \mu & \text{if } u \overset{-}{\sim} v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \approx v. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\mu$  be a non-zero real number,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\mu$ . Then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  exists if and only if  $\mu^2$  is a positive integer and  $s \geq \mu^2$ .*

If  $s = \mu^2$ , then the following theorem is obtained in [11], which shows an inequality between  $\mu^2$  and the order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ , and the spectrum of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** [11, Theorem 3.11.] *If a signed graph  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  of order  $n$  is decomposed into the star complement  $(\mu^2 K_1, \emptyset)$  (for  $\mu$ ) and  $((n - \mu^2) K_1, \emptyset)$ , then  $n \leq 2\mu^2$  and the spectrum of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is*

$$[\mu^{n-\mu^2}, 0^{2\mu^2-n}, (-\mu)^{n-\mu^2}].$$

This theorem reveals, if a signed graph  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  of order  $n$  is decomposed into the star complement  $(sK_1, \emptyset)$  (for  $\mu$ ) and  $((n - s)K_1, \emptyset)$ , then  $n \leq 2s$  and the spectrum of  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is

$$[\mu^{n-s}, 0^{2s-n}, (-\mu)^{n-s}].$$

It is easy to see the signed graph  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is bipartite.

Since  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is bipartite and  $\dot{H}$  is totally disconnected, then for every two vertices  $u, v \notin V(\dot{H})$ ,  $u \approx v$ , otherwise there is a induced signed graph  $(K_3, \emptyset)$  in  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ . Thus the following theorem is obtained.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ . If the order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $n$ , then  $n \leq 2s$  and the spectrum of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is*

$$[\mu^{n-s}, 0^{2s-n}, (-\mu)^{n-s}].$$

Let

$$A_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & B^T \\ B & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

By Equations (3) and (4), we get  $B^T B = \mu^2 I$ . It is essential for the problem  $\mathcal{P}$  to construct such  $B$ .

If  $\mu^2 = 1$ , then  $B$  can be an identity matrix. Thus, the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $2s$ , where  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is a signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm 1$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$  ( $s \geq 2$ ),  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ . If  $\mu^2 = 1$ , then the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $2s$ .*

If  $s = \mu^2$ , then  $B$  is a square  $(-1, 1)$ -matrix. An  $n \times n$   $(-1, 1)$ -matrix  $\mathcal{H}(n)$  with  $\mathcal{H}(n)^T \mathcal{H}(n) = nI$  is called to be a *Hadamard matrix*.

A Hadamard matrix is defined by Sylvester in [12] and is studied further by Hadamard in [4]. Hadamard conjectures that a Hadamard matrix of order  $4n$  exists for every natural  $n$  (*Hadamard Conjecture*). This condition is necessary, and the sufficiency part is still an open problem. These Hadamard matrices are systematically studied by Paley in [7].

It is shown the five following decompositions of a positive integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is the order of a Hadamard matrix:

- (1)  $n = 2^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ;
- (2)  $n = 2^p(q^h + 1)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,  $h \geq 1$ ,  $q$  is a prime;
- (3)  $n = 2^p q(q + 1)$ ,  $p \geq 2$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q$  is a prime;
- (4)  $n = q + 1$ ,  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q$  is a prime power;
- (5)  $n = 2(q + 1)$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $q$  is a prime power.

The cases of the first three decompositions are solved by Paley in [7], and the rest cases are solved by Ionin and Shrikhande in [5, Corollary 4.3.26].

Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  be a subset of natural numbers such that every entry in  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  is at least one of the five above decompositions. Firstly, we consider  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $s = \mu^2 \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\mu^2$  ( $\mu^2 \geq 2$ ) be a positive integer,  $\mathbf{b}$  be a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector of rows  $\mu^2$ ,  $B$  be a matrix consisted of  $\mathbf{b}$ 's such that  $B^T B = \mu^2 I$ . If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the maximum number of columns of  $B$  is  $\mu^2$ .*

Secondly, we consider that  $s = \mu^2$  and  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$  or  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $\otimes$  be for the Kronecker product .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mu^2$  ( $\mu^2 \geq 2$ ) be a positive integer,  $\mathbf{b}$  be a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector of rows  $\mu^2$ ,  $B$  be a matrix consisted of  $\mathbf{b}$ 's such that  $B^T B = \mu^2 I$ . If  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ + 1$  or  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then the maximum number of columns of  $B$  is 1 or 2.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu^2 = 2^p(2q + 1)$ , where  $p = 0, 1$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . If  $p = 0$ , then  $B$  is a vector. Otherwise, there is at least one 0 in the second column of  $B$ , which is a contradiction that  $B$  is a  $(-1, 1)$ -matrix. Thus the maximum number of columns of  $B$  is 1.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \mathcal{H}(2) \otimes \mathbf{j}_{2q+1}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_2$$

be a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , where the number of rows of  $\mathbf{y}_1$  (or  $\mathbf{y}_2$ ) is 2 (or  $2q + 1$ ). Then

$$(\mathcal{H}(2)^T \mathbf{y}_1) \otimes (\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{y}_2) = \mathbf{0},$$

and  $\mathcal{H}(2)^T \mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{y}_2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the maximum number of columns of  $B$  is 2.  $\square$

By Lemma 3.5 and 3.6, the following theorem is obtained.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ ,  $n$  be the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ . If  $s = \mu^2 \geq 2$ , then

$$n = \begin{cases} s + 1 & s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ + 1, \\ s + 2 & s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+, \\ 2s & s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}). \end{cases}$$

If  $s = \mu^2 + 1$ , then we consider whether there is a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -matrix  $B$  such that  $B^T B = (s - 1)I$  or not. An  $n \times n$  square matrix  $\mathcal{C}(n)$  with  $\mathcal{C}(n)^T \mathcal{C}(n) = (n - 1)I$ , in which all the diagonal entries are 0 and all off-diagonal entries are  $\pm 1$ , is said to be a *Conference matrix*. In [5, Proposition 4.3.5.], it is shown that there is a Conference matrix  $\mathcal{C}(n)$  in the case that  $n - 1$  is an odd prime power (the odd prime power can be 1).

Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  be a set of odd prime powers. Thirdly, we consider that  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $s = \mu^2 + 1$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  with  $\mu^2 \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $\mu^2 + 1$ , in which the number of zero is 1. If there is a matrix  $B$  consisted of  $\mathbf{b}$ 's such that  $B^T B = \mu^2 I$ , then the maximum number of columns of  $B$  is  $\mu^2 + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ ,  $n$  be the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ . If  $s = \mu^2 + 1 \geq 3$ , then

$$n = \begin{cases} \mu^2 + 3 & \mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+, \\ 2\mu^2 + 1 & \mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}), \\ 2\mu^2 + 2 & \mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $n \geq \mu^2 + 3$  by Theorem 3.7. We suppose that there are two vertices  $u, v$  in  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  such that the neighbors of  $u$  are different from ones of  $v$ . Then the number of the common neighbors between  $u$  and  $v$  is  $\mu^2 - 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_u$  is a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of order  $s$  such that  $\mathbf{b}_u$  determines the neighbors of  $u$  in  $\dot{H}$  and the signatures of associate edges. Since  $\mu^2$  is even, then  $\mu^2 - 1$  is odd and  $\mathbf{b}_u^T \mathbf{b}_v \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $n = \mu^2 + 3$ .

If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $n = 2\mu^2 + 1$  by Theorem 3.7. If  $s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $n = 2\mu^2 + 2$  by Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

Finally, we consider that  $\mu^2 = p \times q$  such that  $p, q$  are integers and there exists a  $p$ -order Hadamard or  $(p + 1)$ -order Conference matrix.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ ,  $n$  be the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ , where there are positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $\mu^2 = pq \geq 2$ .

(1) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $cpq \leq s < (c+1)pq$ , then  $n = s + cpq$ , where  $c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

(2) If  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then Table 1 holds.

Table 1: The maximum order  $n$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mu^2 = pq$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

cases	$p$	$s$	$n - s$
(2.1)	2	$2q$ or $2q + 1$	2
(2.2)	$p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$	$cp(q + 1) \leq s < (c + 1)p(q + 1)$	$cp(q + 1)$
(2.3)	$p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$	$c(p + 1)(q + 1) \leq s < (c + 1)(p + 1)(q + 1)$	$c(p + 1)(q + 1)$

*Proof.* Since  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then there are Hadamard matrices  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  and  $\mathcal{H}(q)$ . If  $s = pq$ , then  $B = \mathcal{H}(p) \otimes \mathcal{H}(q)$ . Let  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_2$  be a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , where the number of rows of  $\mathbf{y}_1$  (or  $\mathbf{y}_2$ ) is  $p$  (or  $q$ ). Then

$$(\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{y}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{H}(q)^T \mathbf{y}_2) = \mathbf{0},$$

and there is a zero entry in  $\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{y}_1$  or  $\mathcal{H}(q)^T \mathbf{y}_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $n = s + pq$ . If  $cpq \leq s < (c + 1)pq$ , then

$$B^T = (I_c \otimes \mathcal{H}(p)^T \otimes \mathcal{H}(q)^T \quad \mathbf{0}),$$

and  $n = s + cpq$ . Thus the result (1) holds.

Since  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then there is a Conference matrix  $\mathcal{C}(q + 1)$ . If  $s = \mu^2 = 2q$ , then  $n = 2q + 3 = s + 2$  by Theorem 3.7. If  $s = \mu^2 + 1 = 2q + 1$ , then  $n = s + 2$  by Theorem 3.9. Thus the result (2.1) holds.

Since  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then there is a Hadamard matrix  $\mathcal{H}(p)$ . If  $s = p(q + 1)$ , then  $B = \mathcal{H}(p) \otimes \mathcal{C}(q + 1)$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $p$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_2$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $q + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2$  be a vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and there is only one 0 either in  $\mathbf{x}_1$  or in  $\mathbf{x}_2$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{x}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(q + 1)^T \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

If there is only one 0 in  $\mathbf{x}_1$ , then there are no zero entries in  $\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{x}_1$  or  $\mathcal{C}(q + 1)^T \mathbf{x}_2$ , which is a contradiction. If there is only one 0 in  $\mathbf{x}_2$ , then there is a zero entry in  $\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{x}_1$  or  $\mathcal{C}(q + 1)^T \mathbf{x}_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $n = s + p(q + 1)$ . If  $cp(q + 1) \leq s < (c + 1)p(q + 1)$ , then

$$B^T = (I_c \otimes \mathcal{H}(p)^T \otimes \mathcal{C}(q + 1)^T \quad \mathbf{0}),$$

and  $n = s + cp(q + 1)$ . Thus the result (2.2) holds.

Since  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then there is a Conference matrix  $\mathcal{C}(p + 1)$ . If  $s = (p + 1)(q + 1)$ , then  $B = \mathcal{C}(p + 1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(q + 1)$ . Let  $\mathbf{y}_1$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $p + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_2$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $q + 1$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_2$  be a vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  and there is either only one 0 in both  $\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2$  or two 0's in  $\mathbf{y}_1$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{C}(p + 1)^T \mathbf{y}_1) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(q + 1)^T \mathbf{y}_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

If there is only one 0 in  $\mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2$ , then there is a zero entry in  $\mathcal{C}(p + 1)^T \mathbf{y}_1$  or

$\mathcal{C}(q+1)^T \mathbf{y}_2$ , which is a contradiction. If there are only two 0's in  $\mathbf{y}_1$ , then not all entries in  $\mathcal{C}(p+1)^T \mathbf{y}_1$  are 0's and there are no zero entries in  $\mathcal{C}(q+1)^T \mathbf{y}_2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $n = s + (p+1)(q+1)$ . If  $c(p+1)(q+1) \leq s < (c+1)(p+1)(q+1)$ , then

$$B^T = (I_c \otimes \mathcal{C}(p+1)^T \otimes \mathcal{C}(q+1)^T \quad \mathbf{0}),$$

and  $n = s + c(p+1)(q+1)$ . Thus the result (2.3) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$  ( $\mu^2 \geq 2$ ),  $n$  be the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $c\mu^2 \leq s < (c+1)\mu^2$ , then  $n = s + c\mu^2$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $c(\mu^2 + 1) \leq s < (c+1)(\mu^2 + 1)$ , then  $n = s + c(\mu^2 + 1)$ .*

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$  denote an arbitrary signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ ,  $n$  be the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}$ , where there are positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  ( $p > q$ ) such that  $\mu^2 = pq \geq 2$ .*

- (1) *If  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $pq \leq s < p(q+1)$ , then  $s+p \leq n \leq 2s$ .*
- (2) *If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $pq \leq s < (p+1)q$ , then  $s+1 \leq n \leq 2s$ .*
- (3) *If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $(p+1)q \leq s < (p+1)(q+1)$ , then  $s+p+1 \leq n \leq 2s$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then there is a Hadamard matrix  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  and a Conference matrix  $\mathcal{C}(q+1)$ . If  $s = pq$ , then  $B = \mathcal{H}(p) \otimes \mathbf{j}_q$ . Let  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_2$  be a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , where the number of rows of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  (or  $\mathbf{x}_2$ ) is  $p$  (or  $q$ ). Then

$$(\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{x}_1) \otimes (\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{0},$$

and there is a zero entry in  $\mathcal{H}(p)^T \mathbf{x}_1$  or  $\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{x}_2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $s+p \leq n \leq 2s$ .

If  $s = \mu^2 = pq$  and  $p, q \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $n = s + 1$  by Lemma 3.7. If  $pq \leq s < (p+1)q$ , then  $s+1 \leq n \leq 2s$ .

Since  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then there is a Conference matrix  $\mathcal{C}(p+1)$ . If  $s = (p+1)q$ , then  $B = \mathcal{C}(p+1) \otimes \mathbf{j}_q$ . Let  $\mathbf{y}_1$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $p+1$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_2$  be a  $(0, \pm 1)$ -vector of rows  $q$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_2$  be a vector such that  $B^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$  and there is only one 0 either in  $\mathbf{y}_1$  or in  $\mathbf{y}_2$ . Then

$$(\mathcal{C}(p+1)^T \mathbf{y}_1) \otimes (\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{y}_2) = \mathbf{0}.$$

If there is only one 0 in  $\mathbf{y}_1$ , then there is a zero entry in  $\mathcal{C}(p+1)^T \mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{y}_2 \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. If there is only one 0 in  $\mathbf{y}_2$ , then there are no zero entries in  $\mathcal{C}(p+1)^T \mathbf{y}_1$  and  $\mathbf{j}^T \mathbf{y}_2 \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $s+p+1 \leq n \leq 2s$ .  $\square$

#### 4. The maximal signed bipartite graph $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$

Let  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  and  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  be two signed graphs. If there exists a permutation  $(0, 1)$ -matrix  $P$  such that  $A_{(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)} = P^{-1} A_{(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)} P$ , then  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  and  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  are said to be *isomorphic*. Similarly, if there is a diagonal  $(-1, 1)$ -matrix  $D$  such



that  $A_{(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)} = D^{-1}A_{(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)}D$ , then  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  and  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  are said to be *switching equivalent*. Isomorphism and switching equivalence are equivalence relations preserving the eigenvalues.

If  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  is isomorphic to a switching equivalence of  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$ , then  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  and  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  are said to be *switching isomorphic*, denoted by  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-) \cong^s (G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$ . Switching isomorphism is also an equivalence relation preserving the eigenvalues.

Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is a maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  denote the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ . If  $\mu^2 = 1$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (sK_2, \emptyset)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a vertex subset of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  such that  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m - S = \dot{H}$ . Since  $\mu = \pm 1$ , then by Equation (3), we obtain that each vertex in  $S$  is adjacent with only one vertex in  $\dot{H}$ , and each vertex in  $\dot{H}$  does not have common neighbors in  $S$ . Thus  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (sK_2, \emptyset)$ .  $\square$

Now we consider  $s = \mu^2 \geq 2$  or  $s = \mu^2 + 1$ .

**Example 4.2.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $\mu^2$ . If  $\mu^2 = 2$ , then  $(K_{2,2}, E(K_2))$  is the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ . If  $\mu^2 = 3$ , then  $(K_{1,3}, \emptyset)$  is the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ . If  $\mu^2 = 4$ , then  $(K_{4,4}, E(C_6))$  is the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm 2$ .*

A simple graph is said to be *biregular* if its vertex degrees assume exactly two different values. Let  $K_{n,n} \setminus nK_2$  be a simple graph obtained by deleting  $n$  edges without common vertices in  $K_{n,n}$ .

**Example 4.3.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $\mu^2 + 1$ . If  $\mu^2 = 2$ , then  $(K_{1,3} \cup K_1, \emptyset)$  is the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ . If  $\mu^2 = 3$ , then  $(K_{4,4} \setminus 4K_2, E(P_4 \cup K_2))$  is the maximal graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm\sqrt{3}$ , where  $P_4$  is a path of length 4. If  $\mu^2 = 5$ , then  $(K_{6,6} \setminus 6K_2, E(BR))$  is the maximal graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for  $\pm\sqrt{5}$ , where the simple graph  $BR$  (see Figure 1) is biregular.*




Figure 1: The biregular graph  $BR$  is a induced subgraph in  $K_{6,6} \setminus 6K_2$ .

If  $s = \mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is  $2s$  by Theorem 3.7, and  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  has only two opposite eigenvalue. If  $s = \mu^2 + 1$ , then the maximum order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is  $2s + 2$  by Theorem 3.9, and  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  also has only two opposite eigenvalue.

In [8], Ramezani proves that the signed graphs with just two distinct eigenvalues are signed strongly regular graphs. In [10], Stanić shows certain structural and spectral properties of signed strongly regular graphs, which is also bipartite.

Let the signature of a walk be determined by the product of signatures of edges,  $u$  and  $v$  be two vertices in a signed graph,  $w_2(u, v)$  be the difference between the numbers of positive and negative walks traversing along 2 edges between  $u$  and  $v$ . A signed graph  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is said to be strongly regular (for short,  $SRG^s(n, r, a, b, c)$ ) whenever it is regular and satisfies the following four conditions:

- (1)  $(G, \Sigma^-)$  is neither homogeneous complete nor totally disconnected;
- (2) there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $w_2(u, v) = a$ , for all  $u \overset{+}{\sim} v$ ;
- (3) there exists  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $w_2(u, v) = b$ , for all  $u \overset{-}{\sim} v$ ;
- (4) there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $w_2(u, v) = c$ , for all  $u \overset{\sim}{\sim} v$ .

Thus

$$A_{(G, \Sigma^-)}^2 = \frac{a}{2}(A_{(G, \Sigma^-)} + A_G) - \frac{b}{2}(A_{(G, \Sigma^-)} - A_G) + cA_{\overline{G}} + rI, \quad (5)$$

where  $\overline{G}$  is for the complement of a simple graph  $G$ . We use the notation  $SRG^s(2n)$  to denote as  $SRG^s(2n, n, 0, 0, 0)$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  denote the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ , where  $s = \mu^2 \geq 2$ .*

- (1) *If  $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ + 1$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (K_{1, s}, \emptyset)$ .*
- (2) *If  $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (K_{2, s}, E(K_{1, \frac{s}{2}}))$ .*
- (3) *If  $s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s SRG^s(2s)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is bipartite and  $\dot{H}$  is totally disconnected, then for every two vertices  $u, v \notin V(\dot{H})$ ,  $u \overset{\sim}{\sim} v$ , otherwise there is a induced signed graph  $(K_3, \emptyset)$  in  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$ . By Equations (3) and (4), we get  $B^T B = sI$ .

If  $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ - 1$  or  $s \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then the results (1) and (2) hold by Lemma 3.5. If  $s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $A_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m}^2 = sI$  and  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is a signed strongly regular graph. Let  $n$  be the order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$ ,  $r, a, b, c$  be integers satisfying Equation (5). Then  $n = 2s$ ,  $r = s$  and  $a = b = c = 0$ . Thus the result (3) holds.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  denote the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ , where  $s = \mu^2 + 1 \geq 3$ .*

- (1) *If  $\mu^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (K_{2, \mu^2} \cup K_1, E(K_{1, \frac{\mu^2}{2}}))$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s SRG^s(2\mu^2) \cup (K_1, \emptyset)$ .*
- (3) *If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s SRG^s(2\mu^2 + 2)$ .*

*Proof.* By Equation (4), we get  $B^T B = \mu^2 I$ . If  $\mu^2 \in (2\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+) \cup \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then every two vertices in  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  have the same neighbors. Otherwise the inner product between a  $(-1, 1)$ -vector with odd rows and an all-one vector is zero, which is a contradiction. The results (1) and (2) hold by Theorem 4.4. If  $\mu^2 \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $A_{\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m}^2 = \mu^2 I$ , and  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  is a signed strongly regular graph. Let  $n$  be the order of  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$ ,  $r, a, b, c$  be integers satisfying Equation (5), then  $n = 2\mu^2 + 2$ ,  $r = s + 1$  and  $a = b = c = 0$  by Lemma 3.8. Thus the result (3) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** Let  $SRG^s(2s)$  is bipartite. If  $s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ , then the underlying graph of  $SRG^s(2s)$  is  $K_{s,s}$ . If  $s \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then the underlying graph of  $SRG^s(2s)$  is  $K_{s,s} \setminus sK_2$ .

Let  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  and  $(G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  be two signed bipartite graphs with the adjacency matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & B_1^T \\ B_1 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & B_2^T \\ B_2 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively. The signed graph  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-) \dot{\otimes} (G_2, \Sigma_2^-)$  is defined as the signed bipartite graph with the adjacency matrix

$$A_{(G_1, \Sigma_1^-) \dot{\otimes} (G_2, \Sigma_2^-)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & B_1^T \otimes B_2^T \\ B_1 \otimes B_2 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $c(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$  be for the disjoint union of  $c$  copies of  $(G_1, \Sigma_1^-)$ .

By Theorem 3.10, 4.4 and 4.5, the following result is obtained.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  denote the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ , where there are positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $\mu^2 = pq \geq 2$ .

(1) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $cpq \leq s < (c+1)pq$  ( $c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), then

$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (c SRG^s(2p) \dot{\otimes} SRG^s(2q)) \cup ((s - cpq)K_1, \emptyset).$$

(2) If  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$ , then Table 2 holds.

Table 2: The maximal signed bipartite graph  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$ , where  $\mu^2 = pq$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $c = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

$p$	$s$	$\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$ is switching isomorphic to
2	$2q$ or $2q + 1$	$(K_{2,2q} \cup (s - 2q)K_1, E(K_{1,q}))$
$p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$	$cp(q+1) \leq s < (c+1)p(q+1)$	$(c SRG^s(2p) \dot{\otimes} SRG^s(2q+2)) \cup ((s - cp(q+1))K_1, \emptyset)$
$p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$	$c(p+1)(q+1) \leq s < (c+1)(p+1)(q+1)$	$(c SRG^s(2p+2) \dot{\otimes} SRG^s(2q+2)) \cup ((s - c(p+1)(q+1))K_1, \emptyset)$

**Remark 4.8.** Let  $\dot{H}$  be a totally disconnected graph of order  $s$ ,  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m$  denote the maximal signed bipartite graph with  $\dot{H}$  as a star complement for an eigenvalue  $\mu$ , where there are positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  ( $p > q$ ) such that  $\mu^2 = pq \geq 2$ . Then by Theorem 3.12, the three following results are obtained.

(1) If  $p \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $s = pq$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s SRG^s(2p) \dot{\otimes} (K_{1,q}, \emptyset)$ .

(2) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $s = pq$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s (K_{1,pq}, \emptyset)$ .

(3) If  $p, q \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $s = (p+1)q$ , then  $\dot{\mathcal{B}}_m \cong^s SRG^s(2p+2) \dot{\otimes} (K_{1,q}, \emptyset)$ .

## References

- [1] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, A study of eigenspaces of graphs, Linear Algebra and its Applications. 182 (1993) 45-66.

- [2] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, *Eigenspaces of Graphs*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. K. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.
- [4] J. Hadamard, Resolution d'une question relative aux determinants, *Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques*. 17 (1893) 240-246.
- [5] Y.J. Ionin, M.S. Shrikhande, *Combinatorics of Symmetric Designs*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [6] R. Mulas, Z. Stanić, Star complements for  $\pm 2$  in signed graphs, *Special Matrices*. 10 (2022) 258-266.
- [7] R. E. A. C. Paley, On orthogonal matrices, *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*. 12 (1933) 311-320.
- [8] F. Ramezani, Constructing signed strongly regular graphs via star complement technique, *Mathematical Sciences*. 12 (2018) 157-161.
- [9] F. Ramezani, P. Rowlinson, Z. Stanić, On eigenvalue multiplicity in signed graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*. 343 (2020) 111982.
- [10] Z. Stanić, On strongly regular signed graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*. 271 (2019) 184-190.
- [11] Z. Stanić, Signed graphs with totally disconnected star complements, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*. 62 (2021) 95-104.
- [12] J. J. Sylvester, Thoughts on Orthogonal Matrices, Simultaneous Sign Successions, and Tessellated Pavements in Two or More Colors, with Applications to Newton's Rule, Ornamental TileWork, and the Theory of Numbers, *Philosophical Magazine*. 34 (1867) 461-475.
- [13] X. Yuan, Y. Mao, L. Liu, Maximal signed graphs with odd signed cycles as star complements, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 408 (2021) 126367.