

Magnetic impurities in an altermagnetic metal

Yu-Li Lee*

Department of Physics, National Changhua University of Education, Changhua, Taiwan, R.O.C.

(Dated: December 27, 2023)

We study the physics of dilute magnetic impurities in a two-dimensional altermagnetic metal. We first discuss the Kondo problem. Although the spin degeneracy is broken in an altermagnetic metal, we show that the antiferromagnetic Kondo coupling still flows to the strong coupling regime at low energies in terms of the one-loop renormalization-group equation. To study the ground-state properties, we employ the variational wavefunction approach. We find that the impurity spin is completely screened at long distances, in contrast to the usual antiferromagnet. The d -wave nature of the Fermi surface in an altermagnetic metal is reflected in the correlation between the impurity and conduction electron spins, which exhibits the C_{4z} symmetry of the altermagnet at long distances. The spin correlation decays as $1/r^3$ at long distances, and its amplitude oscillates with four different periods due to the anisotropic Fermi surfaces. Moreover, the values of these periods depend on the direction of observation. Next, we calculate the RKKY interaction which is of an anisotropic Heisenberg type. This anisotropic RKKY interaction also exhibits the C_4 symmetry at long distances due to the d -wave nature of the Fermi surface. In addition, the transverse and longitudinal components of the RKKY interactions possess distinct periods of oscillations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The conventional collinear magnets are divided into two types: the ferromagnets and antiferromagnets, depending on whether or not the net magnetization per (magnetic) unit cell vanishes¹. For the ferromagnet with one spin sublattice, the net magnetization is nonzero, and thus the time-reversal (T) symmetry is broken. It follows that the band structure exhibits momentum-independent spin splitting, leading to isotropic s -wave spin-split Fermi surfaces. On the other hand, the classical “Néel” antiferromagnets with the opposite spin sublattices connected by inversion or translation possess zero net magnetization and T -invariant spin degenerate bands.

Recently, a new type of collinear magnets, dubbed as the altermagnets, was discovered². Similar to the classical “Néel” antiferromagnets, the altermagnets have zero net magnetization, and thus must contain at least two spin sublattices. However, the opposite spin sublattices in the altermagnet is connected by rotation instead of inversion or translation³. Moreover, its band structure exhibits T -breaking spin splitting, leading to anisotropic d (g or i)-wave spin splitting Fermi surfaces. The spin splitting in altermagnets is of non-relativistic origin, which is distinct from ferromagnetic (FM) and relativistically spin-orbital coupled systems^{3,8-10}. In analogy with superconductors, the FM metal is like the s -wave superconductor, while the altermagnetic (AM) metal is the analog of the d -wave superconductor⁴. From *ab initio* calculations, several materials were predicted to be altermagnets, which may be insulators like MnF_2 and MnTe , or metals like RuO_2 ^{3,5-7}. Recent progress was mainly focused on the aspects of spintronics¹¹⁻¹³ and the interplay between superconductivity and altermagnetism¹⁴⁻²⁰.

One consequence following from the T -breaking spin splitting of bands in the AM metal is the anisotropic Fermi surfaces. A natural question is which physical observables will reveal this anisotropic nature of Fermi sur-

faces. As we have shown in Sec. II B, the densities of states (DOS) for spin-up and -down electrons are identical to each other, which follows from the C_{4z} symmetry of the AM metal. Therefore, thermodynamic response functions like specific heat, isothermal compressibility, and Pauli susceptibility cannot distinguish the AM metal from the usual Fermi liquid (FL) with an isotropic s -wave Fermi surface.

In the present work, we try to answer the above question by studying the Kondo problem in a two-dimensional (2D) AM metal. In terms of the one-loop renormalization group (RG) equation and the variational wavefunction, we show that when the exchange interaction between the local spin and the itinerant electrons is antiferromagnetic (AF), it will flow to the strong coupling regime and the local spin will be completely screened by the itinerant electrons, as in the ordinary FL with an isotropic s -wave Fermi surface, while in contrast to the case in the conventional AF metal²¹.

The difference lies at the spin correlation between the impurity and conduction electron spins, which measures the spatial extension of the Kondo screening cloud. Due to the d -wave nature of the Fermi surface in the AM metal, the angular dependence of spin correlation has the C_{4z} symmetry. Moreover, the radial dependence exhibits the oscillatory power-law decay, with multi-periods depending on the direction of observation.

We also calculate the RKKY interaction between two magnetic impurities. We show that the RKKY interaction in the AM metal is of an anisotropic Heisenberg type, in contrast to the ordinary FL with an isotropic s -wave Fermi surface. Again, this interaction exhibits the C_{4z} symmetry following from the d -wave spin splitting Fermi surfaces. Moreover, the transverse and longitudinal components of the RKKY interaction have distinct magnitudes. At short distance, the transverse component is much smaller than the longitudinal one, such that the exchange interaction between the two magnetic impuri-

ties is of the Ising type. On the other hand, at long distances, the transverse component becomes much larger than the longitudinal one, such that the exchange interaction between the two magnetic impurities turns into the XY type.

The rest of the work is organized as follows. We first study the Kondo problem in Sec. II and present the calculation of the RKKY interaction in Sec. III. The last section is devoted to a conclusive discussion. Two appendices are provided for the details of the calculations.

II. THE KONDO PROBLEM

A. The model

The simplest model describing the 2D AM metal is given by the following single-particle, two-band Bloch Hamiltonian on a square lattice:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = t_0(2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y) + t_1 \sin k_x \sin k_y \sigma_3 + 2t_2(\cos k_x - \cos k_y) \sigma_3, \quad (1)$$

which contains, apart from the common kinetic-energy hopping term, two spin-dependent hopping terms due to the anisotropic exchange interaction in the AM phase. Here, $\sigma_{1,2,3}$ are Pauli matrices in the spin space. The resulting electronic band structure is of the form

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = t_0(2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_y) + \sigma t_1 \sin k_x \sin k_y + 2\sigma t_2(\cos k_x - \cos k_y), \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma = \pm$ correspond to spin-up and -down, respectively. Under the C_{4z} rotation, $k_x \rightarrow k_y$ and $k_y \rightarrow -k_x$. Hence, we have

$$\epsilon_\sigma(C_4\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (3)$$

Since

$$\sigma_2 \mathcal{H}^*(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_2 \neq \mathcal{H}(-\mathbf{k}),$$

the T symmetry is broken in the AM phase.

In order that the Fermi surface is closed, the parameters t_1 and t_2 have to satisfy the constraint

$$\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_2^2 < 1, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_{1/2} = t_{1/2}/t_0$ are dimensionless parameters. Alternatively, one may define

$$\alpha_1 = \nu \cos(2\phi), \quad 2\alpha_2 = \nu \sin(2\phi), \quad (5)$$

with $\nu \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$. Then, Eq. (4) indicates that $\nu < 1$. Hereafter, we will focus on this case.

When Eq. (4) is satisfied, both the spin-up and -down bands have a global minimum at the Γ point ($\mathbf{k} = 0$). Hence, within the $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ approximation, we may use the following Hamiltonian to describe an AM metal

$$H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma=\pm} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger [\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) - \mu] c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \quad (6)$$




FIG. 1: The Fermi surfaces at $\epsilon_F = t_0$ for the d_{xy} -wave symmetry (left) with $t_1 = 0.3t_0$ and $t_2 = 0$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave symmetry (right) with $t_2 = 0.3t_0$ and $t_1 = 0$. The solid and dashed lines correspond to the spin-up and -down Fermi surface, respectively.

where $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$, $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger$ obey the canonical anticommutation relations and

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2m} + \sigma[t_1 k_x k_y + t_2(k_x^2 - k_y^2)], \quad (7)$$

with $m = 1/t_0$. Note that Eq. (7) still preserves the C_{4z} symmetry. Moreover, H_0 also breaks the T symmetry. Therefore, H_0 keeps the most important features of the AM metal. The resulting Fermi surface consists of two branches, which exhibits a d -wave nature, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

To study the Kondo problem in the AM metal, we add the exchange interaction H_K between a local spin \mathbf{S} and itinerant electrons to H_0 , where

$$H_K = \mathbf{J} \mathbf{S} \cdot \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi(0), \quad (8)$$

with

$$\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}},$$

and \mathbf{S} is a spin-1/2 operator. Without loss of generality, we take the position of the local spin as the origin. Our working Hamiltonian is $H_0 + H_K$.

B. The one-loop RG equation

To determine the role of H_K at low energies, we employ the perturbative RG. There are various ways to implement the perturbative RG. In all cases, the relevant single-particle Green function of itinerant electrons is the local one in the imaginary-time formulation²², i.e.,

$$\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(0)}(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{i\omega_n - \epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + \mu} = \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d\epsilon \frac{N_\sigma(\epsilon)}{i\omega_n - \epsilon},$$

where $N_\sigma(\epsilon)$ is the DOS for spin- σ electrons, with ϵ being measured relative to the Fermi energy ϵ_F , and Λ is

the UV cutoff in energies. The RG equation for J is obtained by integrating out the electronic degrees of freedom within the energy shell $[\Lambda/b, \Lambda]$ with $b > 1$ ²².

For the AM metal described by H_0 , $N_\sigma(\epsilon)$ is given by

$$N_\sigma(\epsilon) = \frac{m}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\nu^2}}, \quad (9)$$

which is independent of σ . This is a generic feature for the AM metal, which follows from Eq. (3), not exclusive for the specific model we adopted. It can be shown as follows. From Eq. (3), $N_\sigma(\epsilon)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} N_\sigma(\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in C} \delta[\epsilon - \epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k})] = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in C} \delta[\epsilon - \epsilon_\sigma(C_{4z}\mathbf{k})] \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in C} \delta[\epsilon - \epsilon_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k})] = N_{-\sigma}(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where C denotes the first Brillouin zone which is also invariant under the C_{4z} rotation.

Therefore, the one-loop RG flow for the Kondo coupling is identical to the one in the usual FL. That is²²,

$$\Lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \Lambda} = -g^2, \quad (10)$$

where $g = 2N(0)J$ is the dimensionless coupling constant and $N(0) = N_+(0) = N_-(0)$ is the DOS at the Fermi energy. Consequently, g flows to the strong coupling regime at low energies for the AF bare exchange interaction ($J_0 = J(D) > 0$ with D denoting the band width), leading to the screening of the local spin in the ground state. On the other hand, g flows to zero at low energies when $J_0 < 0$, leading to the decoupled local spin and itinerant electrons in the ground state.

The solution of Eq. (10) is

$$g(\Lambda) = \frac{g(D)}{1 + g(D) \ln(\Lambda/D)}.$$

For $J_0 > 0$, $g(\Lambda)$ diverges at the scale Λ_* given by

$$\Lambda_* = D e^{-1/g(D)} = D e^{-1/[2N(0)J_0]},$$

which can be identified as the Kondo temperature T_K .

C. The variational wavefunction

Now we would like to study the ground-state properties at $J_0 > 0$ by using the variational wavefunction approach. The ground state of H_0 is

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \prod_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger |0\rangle,$$

where the zero-particle state $|0\rangle$ is defined by $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}|0\rangle = 0$ for all \mathbf{k} and σ and \prod' means the product over states below the Fermi energy, i.e., $\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) < \epsilon_F$. To study the

ground-state properties of H , we try the following ansatz for the ground-state wavefunction of H ²³:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}-\sigma}^\dagger |\Psi_0\rangle \otimes |\sigma\rangle, \quad (11)$$

where $|\sigma\rangle$ is the eigenstate of S_z with eigenvalue $\sigma/2$ and \sum' means the sum over states above the Fermi energy, i.e., $\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) > \epsilon_F$. The coefficients $\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ can be determined by requiring that the energy functional

$$E = \frac{\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle},$$

takes the minimum value, where

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} |\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}|^2.$$

Straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \sigma} |\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}|^2 [E_0 + \epsilon_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_F] \\ &\quad + \frac{J}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \sigma} \left(\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}'-\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}'\sigma} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where E_0 is the ground-state energy of H_0 . The minimum of E is determined by the equation $\delta E / \delta \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^* = 0$, yielding

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = -\frac{J(\Gamma_{-\sigma} - \Gamma_\sigma/2)}{\epsilon_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_F + \Delta_b}, \quad (12)$$

where $\Delta_b = E_0 - E$ is the binding energy and

$$\Gamma_\sigma = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}.$$

Equation (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_+ &= -N(0)J \ln(D/\Delta_b) \left(\Gamma_- - \frac{\Gamma_+}{2} \right), \\ \Gamma_- &= -N(0)J \ln(D/\Delta_b) \left(\Gamma_+ - \frac{\Gamma_-}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \Gamma_+ = -\eta \Gamma_-, \quad \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \Gamma_- = -\eta \Gamma_+.$$

provided that $\Delta_b \ll D$, where

$$\eta = N(0)J \ln(D/\Delta_b).$$

By requiring $\Gamma_\pm \neq 0$, we find that

$$1 - \frac{\eta}{2} = \pm \eta,$$

leading to $\eta = 2/3$ and $\eta = -2$ for the upper and lower signs, respectively. Since $\eta > 0$ for $J > 0$, we conclude that $\eta = 2/3$ and

$$\Delta_b = D e^{-2/[3N(0)J]}, \quad (13)$$

for $N(0)J \ll 1$. Moreover, $\Gamma_- = -\Gamma_+$. This implies that the ground state is a spin singlet. Therefore, the impurity spin is completely screened by the itinerant electrons.

A few remarks on the above results are in order. (i) First of all, it seems that we still get a solution for $J < 0$ (leading to $\eta = -2$), which corresponds to the triplet bound state. This is an artifact produced by this simple ansatz for the ground-state wavefunction. It will be removed if we take into account the particle-hole excitations in the ground-state wavefunction²³. (ii) The resulting binding energy for $J > 0$ takes the same form as the one in the ordinary FL. The only difference between an AM metal and the ordinary FL lies at the DOS $N(0)$. When both have the same effective mass m for electrons, the former has a larger value of $N(0)$, leading to the increase in the value of Δ_b . (iii) The d -wave nature of the Fermi surface in the AM metal reveals itself in the amplitude $\Gamma_{k\sigma}$, which can be written as

$$\Gamma_{k\sigma} = \frac{3\sigma J\Gamma/2}{\epsilon_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_F + \Delta_b}. \quad (14)$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma_+$. This will result in the anisotropic behavior of the spin correlation function

$$c(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \langle \mathbf{S} \cdot \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad (15)$$

which is a measure of the extension of the singlet state. In the following, we shall calculate $c(\mathbf{r})$ at $T = 0$.

D. Spin correlations

Before plunging into the computation of $c(\mathbf{r})$, we first determine Γ by requiring that $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$. Using Eq. (14), we find that

$$V|\Gamma|^2 \approx \frac{2\Delta_b}{9N(0)J^2}, \quad (16)$$

for $N(0)J \ll 1$.

At $T = 0$, $c(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$c(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\Delta_b}{2N(0)} I_-^*(\mathbf{r}) I_+(\mathbf{r}) + \text{C.c.} \\ - \frac{\Delta_b}{4N(0)} \sum_{\sigma} |I_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

where we have used Eq. (16) to eliminate $J^2 V |\Gamma|^2$ and

$$I_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}' \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{\epsilon_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) - \epsilon_F + \Delta_b}.$$

Instead of an exact evaluation of $I_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})$, we will consider its behaviors at $k_F r \ll 1$ and $k_F r \gg 1$, where $k_F = \sqrt{2m\epsilon_F}$.

The details of the calculation are left in App. A. Here we just list the results. For $k_F r \ll 1$,

$$I_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{1}{3\pi J} \left[1 - \frac{(L_{\sigma} k_F r)^2}{4} \right] - \frac{N(0)}{8\pi} (k_D L_{\sigma} r)^2. \quad (17)$$

Since $N(0)J \ll 1$, the last term in Eq. (17) can be neglected. On the other hand,

$$I_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{N(0)\epsilon_F}{\pi\Delta_b} \frac{\sin(L_{\sigma} k_F r - \pi/4)}{(L_{\sigma} k_F r)^{3/2}} \\ + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{N(0)\epsilon_F}{\pi\Delta_b} \left(\frac{2\epsilon_F}{\Delta_b} - \frac{1}{8} \right) \frac{\cos(L_{\sigma} k_F r - \pi/4)}{(L_{\sigma} k_F r)^{5/2}} \\ + O\left[(L_{\sigma} k_F r)^{-7/2}\right], \quad (18)$$

for $k_F r \gg 1$. For $r \gg \xi$, the second term is much smaller than the first one and can be neglected, where $\xi = 2\epsilon_F/(k_F\Delta_b)$ is the Kondo screening length. Since $\epsilon_F/\Delta_b \gg 1$, $\xi \gg 1/k_F$. In the above,

$$L_{\sigma} = \sqrt{1 + \sigma\nu \sin[2(\theta - \phi)]},$$

with $x = r \cos \theta$ and $y = r \sin \theta$. Note that $L_{\sigma}(-\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = L_{\sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ where $\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}/r$. Moreover, $L_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})$ transform as

$$L_{\sigma}(C_{4z}\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = L_{-\sigma}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (19)$$

under the C_{4z} transformation.

In terms of Eqs. (17) and (18), we find that

$$c(\mathbf{r}) \approx -\frac{5\Delta_b}{24\pi^2 N(0)J^2} \left[1 - \frac{3}{5}(k_F r)^2 \right], \quad (20)$$

for $k_F r \ll 1$, and

$$c(\mathbf{r}) \approx -\frac{N(0)\epsilon_F^2}{\pi^3\Delta_b} \left[\frac{\cos[(L_+ - L_-)k_F r] - \sin[(L_+ + L_-)k_F r]}{(k_F r)^3} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\sin^2(L_{\sigma} k_F r)}{(L_{\sigma} k_F r)^3} \right], \quad (21)$$




FIG. 2: Angular dependence of $|c(\mathbf{r})|$ with $k_F\xi = 10 = r/\xi$. We take $\nu = 0.3$ and $\phi = 0$ (or $\alpha_1 = 0.3$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). $|c(\mathbf{r})|$ is measured in units of $N(0)\epsilon_F^2/(\pi^3\Delta_b)$.

for $r \gg \xi$. A few remarks on the above results are in order. (i) First of all, the d -wave nature of the Fermi surface is reflected in the long distance behavior of $c(\mathbf{r})$. That is, $c(C_{4z}\mathbf{r}) = c(\mathbf{r})$ as illustrated in Fig. 2. In contrast, $c(\mathbf{r})$ is almost isotropic at short distance, as can be seen from Eq. (20) which is independent of θ . (ii) Next, $c(\mathbf{r})$ exhibits an oscillatory behavior with the amplitude decaying as $1/r^3$ at long distances. The oscillation in general has four periods

$$\frac{2\pi}{(L_+ - L_-)k_F}, \quad \frac{2\pi}{(L_+ + L_-)k_F}, \quad \frac{\pi}{L_{\pm}k_F},$$

which all depend on the direction of observation, as illustrated in Fig. 3. In the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$, these periods reduce to a single one π/k_F , identical to that in an ordinary FL²⁴.

III. THE RKKY INTERACTION

Now we consider the RKKY interaction between two magnetic impurities induced by the AM metal. The exchange interaction between the magnetic impurities and itinerant electrons can be written as

$$H_{ex} = J \sum_{i=1,2} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \psi^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} \psi(\mathbf{r}_i), \quad (22)$$

where \mathbf{S}_i is the spin of the magnetic impurity at the position \mathbf{r}_i . Our working Hamiltonian is $H = H_0 + H_{ex}$. We further assume that the separation between the two spins $|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ is much smaller than the size of the Kondo




FIG. 3: Radial dependence of $c(\mathbf{r})$ at $r/\xi \gg 1$ for $\theta = \pi/10$ (left) and $\pi/3$ (right), with $k_F\xi = 10$. We take $\nu = 0.3$ and $\phi = 0$ (or $\alpha_1 = 0.3$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). $c(\mathbf{r})$ is measured in units of $N(0)\epsilon_F^2/(\pi^3\Delta_b)$.

screening cloud, i.e., $|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| \ll \xi$, so that the Kondo effect can be neglected.

The RKKY interaction is given by

$$V_{ab}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = J^2 \Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \quad (23)$$

where in the imaginary-time formulation,

$$\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) = \int_0^\beta d\tau \mathcal{S}_{ab}(\tau, \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \quad (24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{ab}(X_1 - X_2) \equiv -\langle \mathcal{T}_\tau \{ \hat{O}_a(X_1) \hat{O}_b(X_2) \} \rangle, \quad (25)$$

is the spin-spin correlation function for the AM metal. In Eq. (25), $X = (\tau, \mathbf{r})$, $\hat{O}_a = \psi^\dagger \sigma_a \psi$, and \mathcal{T}_τ denotes the time ordering.

For non-interacting fermions, $\mathcal{S}_{ab}(X_1 - X_2)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{S}_{ab}(X_1 - X_2) = \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho}^{ab} G_{\beta\lambda}^{(0)}(X_1 - X_2) G_{\rho\alpha}^{(0)}(X_2 - X_1),$$

where $\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho}^{ab} = (\sigma_a)_{\alpha\beta} (\sigma_b)_{\lambda\rho}$ and

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(X_1 - X_2) = -\langle \mathcal{T}_\tau \{ \psi_\alpha(X_1) \psi_\beta^\dagger(X_2) \} \rangle_0,$$

is the single-particle Green function for non-interacting fermions, with $\langle \cdots \rangle_0$ denotes the average with respect to H_0 . For the AM metal described by H_0 ,

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}(X_1 - X_2) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} G_\alpha^{(0)}(X_1 - X_2),$$

and thus we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{ab}(X_1 - X_2) = \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} G_\beta^{(0)}(X_1 - X_2) G_\alpha^{(0)}(X_2 - X_1).$$

Let $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r})$ be the Fourier transform of $G_\alpha^{(0)}(\tau, \mathbf{r})$, i.e.,

$$G_\alpha^{(0)}(\tau, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} \mathcal{G}_\alpha^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r}),$$

where $\omega_n = (2n + 1)\pi T$. Then, Π_{ab} becomes

$$\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} \sum_n \mathcal{G}_\beta^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r}) \mathcal{G}_\alpha^{(0)}(i\omega_n, -\mathbf{r}).$$

To proceed, we insert the spectral representation of $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r})$

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^{(0)}(i\omega_n, \mathbf{r}) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\nu}{2\pi} \frac{\rho_\alpha(\nu, \mathbf{r})}{i\omega_n - \nu},$$

into the above relation, and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) &= \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_\beta(\omega_1, \mathbf{r}) \rho_\alpha(\omega_2, -\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{n_F(\omega_1) - n_F(\omega_2)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n_F(x) = 1/(e^{\beta x} + 1)$. At $T = 0$, $n_F(\omega) = \Theta(-\omega)$

and $\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r})$ reduces to

$$\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) = W_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) + W_{ba}(-\mathbf{r}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) &= \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega_1 d\omega_2}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_\beta(\omega_1, \mathbf{r}) \rho_\alpha(\omega_2, -\mathbf{r}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Theta(-\omega_1)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, we have used the identity

$$\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho}^{ba} = \Lambda_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta}^{ab}.$$

Note that $\Pi_{ba}(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_{ab}(-\mathbf{r})$.

The rest of calculations is left to App. B. Here we just list the results. The only nonvanishing components of $\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r})$ are

$$\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{mk_F^2}{4\pi(1-\nu^2)} \sum_\sigma [J_0(k_F r L_\sigma) Y_0(k_F r L_\sigma) + J_1(k_F r L_\sigma) Y_1(k_F r L_\sigma)], \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{mk_F^2}{\pi(1-\nu^2)} \frac{L_+ J_1(k_F r L_+) Y_0(k_F r L_-) - L_- J_1(k_F r L_-) Y_0(k_F r L_+)}{k_F r (L_+^2 - L_-^2)}, \quad (27)$$

where $\Pi_{11}(\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_{22}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r})$, $J_\nu(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind, and $Y_\nu(x)$ is the Bessel function of the second kind. Therefore, the interaction between two local spins is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{12} &= J^2 \Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) (S_1^x S_2^x + S_1^y S_2^y) \\ &\quad + J^2 \Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) S_1^z S_2^z. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We see that the RKKY interaction produced by an AM metal is of the anisotropic Heisenberg type. Since $L_+ \leftrightarrow L_-$ under the C_{4z} rotation, we find that both $\Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ preserve this symmetry. That is,

$$\Pi_\perp(C_{4z}\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r}), \quad \Pi_{33}(C_{4z}\mathbf{r}) = \Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r}).$$

Moreover, both $\Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ are invariant under space inversion because $L_\sigma(-\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = L_\sigma(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$.

Let us examine the asymptotic behaviors of $\Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$. Since²⁶

$$J_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} + O(z^2) \right],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0(z) &= \frac{2}{\pi} J_0(z) \left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \gamma \right] + O(z^2), \\ Y_1(z) &= \frac{2}{\pi} J_1(z) \left[\ln\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \gamma \right] - \frac{2}{\pi z} - \frac{z}{2\pi} + O(z^3), \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| \ll 1$, where $\gamma = 0.577215 \dots$ is the Euler constant, we find that

$$\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{mk_F^2}{\pi^2(1-\nu^2)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{k_F^2 r^2 L_+ L_-}{4}\right) + 2\gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\perp(\mathbf{r}) &\sim \frac{mk_F^2}{2\pi^2(1-\nu^2)} \left[\ln\left(\frac{k_F^2 r^2 L_+ L_-}{4}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\ln(L_+/L_-)}{\nu \sin[2(\theta - \phi)]} + \gamma \right], \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

as $k_F r \ll 1$. On the other hand²⁶,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(z) &\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi}{2}\nu - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{\sqrt{2\pi} z^{3/2}} \sin\left(z - \frac{\pi}{2}\nu - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(z^{-5/2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\nu(z) &\sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin\left(z - \frac{\pi}{2}\nu - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\nu^2 - 1/4}{\sqrt{2\pi} z^{3/2}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi}{2}\nu - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(z^{-5/2}), \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| \gg 1$. We find that

$$\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r}) \sim -\frac{mk_F^2}{\pi^2(1-\nu^2)} \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\sin(2k_F r L_{\sigma})}{(2k_F r L_{\sigma})^2}, \quad (31)$$

and

$$\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \frac{mk_F^2}{\pi^2(1-\nu^2)} \left[\frac{\cos[k_F r(L_+ - L_-)] - \sin[k_F r(L_+ + L_-)]}{k_F^2 r^2 (L_+ + L_-) \sqrt{L_+ L_-}} \right], \quad (32)$$




FIG. 4: Angular dependence of $|\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})|$ (dashed lines) and $|\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})|$ (solid lines) with $k_F r = 10$ (left) and $k_F r = 0.7$ (right). We take $\nu = 0.3$ and $\phi = 0$ (or $\alpha_1 = 0.3$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). Both are measured in units of $mk_F^2/(2\pi)$.

as $k_F r \gg 1$.

Similar to the FL in $d = 2$, both $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ decay as $1/r^2$ at long distances²⁵. However, in the present case, they exhibit nontrivial angular dependence due to the underlying anisotropic Fermi surface. Moreover, this directional dependence reflects that of the Fermi surface. That is, they exhibit the C_{4z} symmetry. In contrast, at short distance, i.e., $k_F r \ll 1$, both are nearly isotropic, similar to the case with an isotropic Fermi surface. Moreover, the magnitude of $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ is much smaller than that of $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$. This results in an Ising type of exchange interaction between the local spins. However, as $k_F r \gg 1$, the situation is reversed: the magnitude of $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ becomes larger than that of $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$, leading to the XY type of exchange interaction between the local spins. We plot the angular dependence of $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ in Fig. 4.

We also plot the r dependence of $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ in Fig. 5, with two different directions. As we have expected, both exhibit oscillatory power-law decay at long distance. This behavior is insensitive to the direction. It is the periods of oscillations which depend on the direction. For $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$, there are two periods of oscillations:

$$\frac{2\pi}{(L_+ - L_-)k_F}, \quad \frac{2\pi}{(L_+ + L_-)k_F}.$$

For $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$, there are also two periods of oscillations: $\pi/(L_{\pm}k_F)$, which are distinct to those in $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$. In the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$, all reduces to a single one period π/k_F , as




FIG. 5: The r dependence of $\Pi_{\perp}(\mathbf{r})$ (dashed lines) and $\Pi_{33}(\mathbf{r})$ (solid lines) starting from $k_F r = 1$, with $\theta = \pi/6$ (left) and $\theta = \pi/4$ (right). We take $\nu = 0.3$ and $\phi = 0$ (or $\alpha_1 = 0.3$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$). Both are measured in units of $mk_F^2/(2\pi)$.

that in the ordinary FL²⁵.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the present work, we would like to explore consequences of the anisotropic Fermi surfaces in the AM metal by studying the Kondo problem and calculating the induced RKKY interaction. We show that the impurity spin is completely screened at low energies in the AM metal when the coupling between the local spin and itinerant electrons is AF by combining the one-loop RG equation and the variational wavefunction. We further use the variational wavefunction to calculate the spin correlation at $T = 0$, which measures the spatial extension of the Kondo screening cloud. The d -wave nature of the Fermi surface in the AM metal is reflected in the angular dependence of the spin correlation as well as the multi-periods of oscillations in its radial dependence.

In contrast, the local spin is only partially screened in an AF metal and the unscreened moment fraction depends on the amplitude of the AF order though the AF exchange interaction between the impurity spin and conduction electrons also flows to the strong coupling regime²¹. This follows from the spin nonconserving interaction vertices generated by the presence of the AF

order. For the simple model describing the AM metal [$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k})$ in Eq. (1) or H_0 in Eq. (6)], no such spin non-conserving interaction vertices are generated. In addition, the Kondo screening of an impurity spin in an AF metal depends on its location within the unit cell due to the nonvanishing ordering wavevector \mathbf{Q} in an AF metal. However, this is not the case in an AM metal as long as Eq. (4) is satisfied. Once Eq. (4) is violated, the band structure will have global minima away from the Γ point. In that case, we expect that similar phenomena may also occur in the AM metal.

The d -wave nature of the Fermi surface in the AM metal also manifest itself in the RKKY interaction between two magnetic impurities. We show that the RKKY interaction is of an anisotropic Heisenberg type, distinct from the one in an ordinary FL. The RKKY interaction in an AM metal shows the underlying C_{4z} symmetry. Both the transverse and longitudinal components also exhibit distinct periods of oscillations, following from the anisotropic Fermi surface. Moreover, they have different magnitudes such that the resulting exchange interaction between magnetic impurities is of the Ising type at short distances and of the XY type at long distances. Thus, one may tune the type of exchange interaction by varying the distance between magnetic impurities.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the discussions with Y.-W. Lee.

Appendix A: Derivation of Eqs. (17) and (18)

To calculate the momentum integral in $I_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$, we write $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ as

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2m} K^t M_\sigma K ,$$

where $K = (k_x, k_y)^t$ and

$$M_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\sigma\alpha_2 & \sigma\alpha_1 \\ \sigma\alpha_1 & 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

M_σ is a real symmetric matrix, which can be diagonalized by the orthogonal matrix

$$U_\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma\alpha_1/N_{\sigma+} & \sigma\alpha_1/N_{\sigma-} \\ \frac{\lambda_+ - 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2}{N_{\sigma+}} & \frac{\lambda_- - 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2}{N_{\sigma-}} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$U_\sigma^t M_\sigma U_\sigma = D = \text{diag}[\lambda_+, \lambda_-],$$

where

$$\lambda_\pm = 1 \pm \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + 4\alpha_2^2} = 1 \pm \nu ,$$

are the eigenvalues of M_σ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\sigma\pm} &= \sqrt{2(\lambda_\pm - 1)(\lambda_\pm - 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2)} \\ &= \nu\sqrt{2[1 \pm \sigma \sin(2\phi)]} . \end{aligned}$$

By defining

$$\tilde{K} = U_\sigma^t K = (\tilde{k}_x, \tilde{k}_y)^t ,$$

$\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ becomes

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2m} \tilde{K}^t D \tilde{K} = \frac{\lambda_+}{2m} \tilde{k}_x^2 + \frac{\lambda_-}{2m} \tilde{k}_y^2 .$$

Thus, $I_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$I_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}} \int' \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_\sigma}}{\mathbf{k}^2 - k_F^2 + k_b^2} ,$$

where $\mathbf{R}_\sigma = (\tilde{x}_\sigma/\sqrt{\lambda_+}, \tilde{y}_\sigma/\sqrt{\lambda_-})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_\sigma &= \frac{\sigma\alpha_1 x + (\lambda_+ - 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2)y}{N_{\sigma+}} , \\ \tilde{y}_\sigma &= \frac{\sigma\alpha_1 x + (\lambda_- - 1 + 2\sigma\alpha_2)y}{N_{\sigma-}} , \end{aligned}$$

$k_b = \sqrt{2m\Delta_b}$, and \int' means the integration over states about the Fermi energy, i.e., $|\mathbf{k}| > k_F$. Using the Jacobi-Anger expansion

$$e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} i^m e^{im\theta} J_m(kr) ,$$

where θ is the angle between the two vectors \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{r} , we find

$$I_\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{N(0)}{2\pi} \sum_{s=\pm 1} F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; s\sqrt{k_F^2 - k_b^2}) , \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $k_D = \sqrt{2mD}$ and

$$F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b) = \int_{k_F}^{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_D^2}} \frac{dk}{k-b} \frac{J_0(kL_\sigma r)}{k-b} ,$$

with $|b| < k_F$. An exact evaluation of $F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b)$ cannot be obtained, we shall determine its behaviors in the two regimes: $k_F r \ll 1$ and $k_F r \gg 1$.

For $k_F r \ll 1$, we use the series expansion of $J_0(z)$:

$$J_0(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}z^2 + O(z^4) ,$$

and $F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b) &= \int_{k_F}^{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_D^2}} \frac{dk}{k-b} - \frac{(L_\sigma r)^2}{4} \int_{k_F}^{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_D^2}} dk \frac{k^2}{k-b} \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{(bL_\sigma r)^2}{4} \right] \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_D^2} - b}{k_F - b} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{(k_D L_\sigma r)^2}{8} - \frac{b(L_\sigma r)^2}{4} \left(\sqrt{k_F^2 + k_D^2} - k_F \right) \\ &\quad + \dots , \end{aligned}$$

where \dots denotes the higher order terms. Inserting this form of $F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b)$ into Eq. (A1) and noting that $\Delta_b/D, \Delta_b/\epsilon_F \ll 1$, we obtain Eq. (17).

For $k_F r \gg 1$, we employ the asymptotic expansion of $J_0(z)$:

$$J_0(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cos\left(z - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{8z^{3/2}} \sin\left(z - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(z^{-5/2}),$$

and $F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi L_\sigma r}} \int_{k_F}^{+\infty} dk \frac{\cos(kL_\sigma r - \pi/4)}{\sqrt{k}(k-b)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}}{8(L_\sigma r)^{3/2}} \int_{k_F}^{+\infty} dk \frac{\sin(kL_\sigma r - \pi/4)}{k^{3/2}(k-b)} + \dots \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{2/\pi}}{\sqrt{L_\sigma k_F r}} \text{Re} \left[e^{-i\pi/4} G(L_\sigma k_F r) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}(k_F/b)}{4(L_\sigma k_F r)^{3/2}} \text{Im} \left[e^{-i\pi/4} G(L_\sigma k_F r) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2/\pi}(k_F/b)}{4(L_\sigma k_F r)^{3/2}} \text{Im} \left[e^{-i\pi/4} \tilde{G}(L_\sigma k_F r) \right] + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where \dots denotes the higher order terms and

$$G(w) = \int_1^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{izt^2}}{t^2 - b/k_F}, \quad \tilde{G}(w) = \int_1^{+\infty} dt \frac{e^{izt^2}}{t^2},$$

with $z = w + i0^+$. In the above, we have set $D \rightarrow +\infty$. The third term is odd in b , and thus it will not contribute to $I_\sigma(\mathbf{r})$.

What we are interested in is the behavior of $G(w)$ at $w \gg 1$. In this limit, the integrand oscillates quickly such that the contributions cancel, and the integral is supposed to be dominant by the region around $t = 1$. By making the change of variables $t = 1+x$ where $0 \leq x \ll 1$, $G(w)$ can be approximated as

$$G(w) \approx \frac{e^{iw}}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{e^{2izx}}{x + (1 - b/k_F)/2}.$$

Using the identity²⁶

$$\int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{e^{-\mu x}}{x + \beta} = -e^{\beta\mu} \text{Ei}(-\beta\mu),$$

for $\text{Re}\mu > 0$, we find

$$G(w) = -\frac{e^{iw}}{2} e^{-i(1-b/k_F)z} \text{Ei}[i(1-b/k_F)z],$$

where $\text{Ei}(z)$ is the exponential integral function. In terms of the asymptotic expansion²⁶

$$\text{Ei}(z) = \frac{e^z}{z} \left[1 + \frac{1}{z} + O(z^{-2}) \right],$$

for $|z| \gg 1$, we obtain

$$G(w) = \frac{e^{iw}}{2} \left[\frac{ik_F}{(k_F - b)w} + \frac{k_F^2}{(k_F - b)^2 w^2} + O(w^{-3}) \right].$$

Substituting this result into the expression for $F_\sigma(\mathbf{r}; b)$ and summing over $\pm b$, we obtain Eq. (18).

Appendix B: Derivation of $\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r})$

For the AM metal described by H_0 , the spectral density is written as

$$\rho_\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = 2\pi \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \delta[\omega - \epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k}) + \epsilon_F].$$

With the help of the same transformation we used in App. A to treat $\epsilon_\sigma(\mathbf{k})$ and the Jacobi-Anger identity to expand the factor $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, we find

$$\rho_\sigma(\omega, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{m}{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}} \Theta(\omega + \epsilon_F) J_0 \left[\sqrt{2m(\omega + \epsilon_F)} L_\sigma r \right],$$

where $\Theta(x) = 1, 0$ for $x > 0$ and $x < 0$, respectively. In terms of this result, $W_{ab}(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as

$$W_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{m^2}{\lambda_+ \lambda_-} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} \int_{-\epsilon_F}^0 \frac{d\omega_1}{(2\pi)^2} J_0 \left[\sqrt{2m(\omega_1 + \epsilon_F)} L_\beta r \right] \int_0^{+\infty} dx \left[\frac{J_0(L_\alpha r x)}{x - \sqrt{2m(\omega_1 + \epsilon_F)}} + \frac{J_0(L_\alpha r x)}{x + \sqrt{2m(\omega_1 + \epsilon_F)}} \right].$$

Using the identity²⁶

$$\int_0^{+\infty} dx \frac{J_0(ax)}{x+k} = \frac{\pi}{2} [\mathbf{H}_0(ak) - Y_0(ak)],$$

for $a > 0$ and $|\text{arg}k| < \pi$ and

$$H_0(-z) = -H_0(z), \quad Y_0(-z) = Y_0(z),$$

where $\mathbf{H}_\nu(z)$ is the Struve function of order ν , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{m^2}{\lambda_+ \lambda_-} \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab} \int_{-\epsilon_F}^0 \frac{d\omega_1}{4\pi} J_0 \left[\sqrt{2m(\omega_1 + \epsilon_F)} L_\beta r \right] \\ &\quad \times Y_0 \left[\sqrt{2m(\omega_1 + \epsilon_F)} L_\alpha r \right] \\ &= \frac{mk_F^2 \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab}}{4\pi \lambda_+ \lambda_-} \int_0^1 dx x J_0(k_F r L_\beta x) Y_0(k_F r L_\alpha x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r})$ is given by

$$\Pi_{ab}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{mk_F^2 \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}^{ab}}{4\pi\lambda_+\lambda_-} [I_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)] .$$

where

$$I_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^1 dx x J_0(k_{Fr}L_{\beta}x) Y_0(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}x) .$$

With the help of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 dx x J_0(\alpha x) Y_0(\beta x) \\ &= \frac{\alpha J_1(\alpha) Y_0(\beta) - \beta J_1(\beta) Y_0(\alpha)}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2} , \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and

$$\int_0^1 dx x J_0(ax) Y_0(ax) = \frac{1}{2} [J_0(a) Y_0(a) + J_1(a) Y_1(a)] ,$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \\ &= \frac{2[L_{\alpha} J_1(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}) Y_0(k_{Fr}L_{\beta}) - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)]}{k_{Fr}(L_{\alpha}^2 - L_{\beta}^2)} , \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{\beta\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) \\ &= J_0(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}) Y_0(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}) + J_1(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}) Y_1(k_{Fr}L_{\alpha}) , \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = \beta$. On the other hand,

$$\Lambda_{1111}^{ab} = \delta_{a3}\delta_{b3} = \Lambda_{2222}^{ab} ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{1221}^{ab} &= \delta_{a1}\delta_{b1} + \delta_{a2}\delta_{b2} + i(\delta_{a1}\delta_{b2} - \delta_{a2}\delta_{b1}) , \\ \Lambda_{2112}^{ab} &= \delta_{a1}\delta_{b1} + \delta_{a2}\delta_{b2} - i(\delta_{a1}\delta_{b2} - \delta_{a2}\delta_{b1}) . \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above results, we obtain Eqs. (26) and (27).

* Electronic address: ylllee@cc.ncue.edu.tw

- ¹ L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Electrodynamics of Continuous Media*, (Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd., 1984).
- ² L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. X **12**, 040501 (2022).
- ³ L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. X **12**, 031042 (2022).
- ⁴ I.I. Mazin, arXiv:2203.05000.
- ⁵ L. Šmejkal, A. Marmodoro, K.-H. Ahn, R. Gonzalez-Hernandez, I. Turek, S. Mankovsky, H. Ebert, S.W. D'Souza, O. Šipr, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, arXiv:2211.13806.
- ⁶ A. Hariki, T. Tamaguchi, D. Kriegner, K.W. Edmonds, P. Wadley, S.S. Dhesi, G. Springholz, L. Šmejkal, K. Výborný, and T. Jungwirth, and J. Kuneš, arXiv:2305.03588.
- ⁷ O. Fedchenko, J. Minar, A. Akashdeep, S.W. D'Souza, D. Vasilyev, O. Tkach, L. Odenbreit, Q.L. Nguyen, D. Kutnyakhov, N. Wind, L. Wenthaus, M. Scholz, K. Rossnagel, M. Hoesch, M. Aeschlimann, B. Stadtmueller, M. Klauui, G. Schoenhense, G. Jacob, T. Jungwirth, L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and H.J. Elmers, arXiv:2306.02170.
- ⁸ J. Yang, Z.-X. Liu, and C. Fang, arXiv:2105.12735v4.
- ⁹ P. Liu, J. Li, J. Han, X. Wan, and Q. Liu, Phys. Rev. X **12**, 021016 (2022).
- ¹⁰ Y. Jiang, Z. Song, T. Zhu, Z. Fang, H. Weng, Z.-X. Liu, J. Yang, and C. Fang, arXiv:2307.10371.
- ¹¹ S. Das, D. Suri, and A. Soori, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter

- 35**, 435302 (2023).
- ¹² H. Bai, Y.C. Zhang, Y.J. Zhou, P. Chen, C.H. Wan, L. Han, W.X. Zhu, S.X. Liang, Y.C. Su, X.F. Han, F. Pan, and C. Song, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 216701 (2023).
- ¹³ C. Sun and J. Linder, arXiv:2308.12335.
- ¹⁴ C. Sun, A. Brataas, and J. Linder, Phys. Rev. B **108**, 054511 (2023).
- ¹⁵ J.A. Ouassou, A. Brataas, and J. Linder, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 076003 (2023).
- ¹⁶ C.W.J. Beenakker and T. Vakhtel, Phys. Rev. B **108**, 075425 (2023).
- ¹⁷ M. Papaj, Phys. Rev. B **108**, L060508 (2023).
- ¹⁸ D. Zhu, Z.-Y. Zhuang, Z. Wu, and Z. Yan, Phys. Rev. B **108**, 184505 (2023).
- ¹⁹ B. Brekke, A. Brataas, and A. Subdø, Phys. Rev. B **108**, 224421 (2023).
- ²⁰ S.-B. Zhang, L.-H. Hu, and T. Neupert, arXiv:2302.13185.
- ²¹ V. Aji, C.M. Varma, and I. Vekhter, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 224426 (2008).
- ²² A. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997).
- ²³ K. Yosida, Phys. Rev. **147**, 223 (1966); A. Yoshimori, Phys. Rev. **168**, 493 (1968).
- ²⁴ H. Ishii, Prog. Theor. Phys. **55**, 1373 (1976).
- ²⁵ C. Kittel, Solid State Phys. **22**, 1 (1968).
- ²⁶ I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th ed., (Academic press, California, USA, 2007).