

Global attraction to solitons for 2D Maxwell–Lorentz equations with spinning particle

E.A. Kopylova¹

Faculty of Mathematics of Vienna University

A.I. Komech

Institute of Mathematics, BOKU University, Vienna

Abstract

We consider the 2D Maxwell–Lorentz system which describes a rotating particle in electromagnetic field. The system admits stationary soliton-type solutions. We prove the attraction to solitons for any finite energy solution relying on the conservation of angular momentum.

1 Introduction

The 2D Maxwell–Lorentz equations reads [6, 15]

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{E}(x, t) = J\nabla B(x, t) - [\dot{q}(t) - \omega(t)J(x - q(t))]\rho(x - q(t)) \\ \dot{B}(x, t) = -\nabla \cdot (JE(x, t)), \quad \nabla \cdot E(x, t) = \rho(x - q(t)) \\ m\dot{q}(t) = \langle E(x, t) + B(x, t)[J\dot{q}(t) + \omega(t)(x - q(t))], \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \\ I\dot{\omega}(t) = \langle (x - q(t)) \cdot [JE(x, t) - B(x, t)\dot{q}(t)], \rho(x - q(t)) \rangle \end{array} \right., \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $(E(x, t), B(x, t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ is the Maxwell field, $\rho(x - q(t)) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the charge distribution of an extended particle centered at a point $q(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, m is the mass of the particle, I is its moment of inertia, and $\omega(t)$ is the angular velocity of the particle rotation. The brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product in the Hilbert space $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$, and

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

We restrict ourselves to the situation, where the spinning particle is located at the origin, i.e. $q(t) \equiv 0$. This can be achieved by assuming the (anti-) symmetry conditions $E(-x) = -E(x)$, $B(-x) = B(x)$ for the initial fields. Then this property persists for all times. In this case the Maxwell–Lorentz equations (1.1) simplify to the linear system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{E}(x, t) = J\nabla B(x, t) + \omega(t)Jx\rho(x), \\ \dot{B}(x, t) = -\nabla \cdot JE(x, t), \quad \nabla \cdot E(x, t) = \rho(x) \\ I\dot{\omega}(t) = \langle x \cdot JE(x, t), \rho(x) \rangle \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

We assume that real-valued charge density $\rho(x)$ is a smooth rapidly decreasing spherically symmetric function, i.e.,

$$\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \rho(x) = \rho_1(|x|). \quad (1.4)$$

¹Supported partly by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) P34177

Moreover, we impose that the total charge vanishes (neutrality of the particle):

$$\int \rho(x) dx = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The system admits stationary solutions (solitons) $(E_\omega(x), B_\omega(x), \omega)$ rotating with constant angular velocity $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. The condition $\hat{\rho}(0) = 0$ provides that the solitons belong to the finite energy phase space (2.9).

One main result is the long-time convergence in weighed energy norms of any finite energy solution with the angular momentum M to the soliton with the same angular momentum.

Our proof relies on the regularity of the resolvent $(\mathbf{H} - \lambda)^{-1}$ at the threshold $\lambda = 0$, where

$$\mathbf{H} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta - \frac{1}{I}|J\varrho\rangle\langle J\varrho| & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varrho(x) = x\rho(x) \quad (1.6)$$

is the operator defined on the space (2.9). We calculate the Puiseux expansion of the resolvent up to λ^2 by suitable development of the Agmon–Jensen–Kato theory [1, 4, 5, 12]. The condition (1.5) provides that the resolvent belongs to C^2 at a vicinity of $\lambda = 0$. This fact implies the decay $|t|^{-2}$ of the solution to (4.4) in weighted energy norms (3.3) for sufficiently large moment of inertia I . This decay rate allows us to obtain scattering asymptotics (3.6) in the global energy norm (without a weight).

Note that the decay $|t|^{-2}$ in the weighted energy norms (3.3) does not contradict the well known slow local decay $|t|^{-1}$ of solutions of the 2D wave equation. Indeed, the slow decay $|t|^{-1}$ corresponds to the singularity of the resolvent $(\Delta - \lambda)^{-1} \sim \log \lambda$ at the threshold $\lambda = 0$. The corresponding *resonances* are the constant functions. However, the decay in the weighted norms (3.3) is better since the norm includes spatial derivatives of the solution (which vanish on the resonances), but does not include the values of the solution.

Let us comment on previous related results. The global attraction to stationary states was established in [9, 11] for 3D wave system with a moving particle without rotation. In [10], the global attraction to stationary states was established for 3D Maxwell–Lorentz system with a relativistic particle without rotation in presence of an external confining potential. The global convergence to solitons of such system without external potential was proved in [2]. The results [2, 10] provide the first rigorous proof of the *radiation damping* in classical electrodynamics. These results were obtained under the Wiener-type condition on the charge density $\rho(x)$.

The global convergence to rotating solitons was established in [3] for solutions to the 3D Maxwell–Lorentz system with $q(t) \equiv 0$ in the case of sufficiently small charge density ρ . The global attraction to the set of all solitons for this system was established in [12] under a considerably weaker Wiener-type condition than in [2]. The survey of the results for 3D system can be found in [7, 8].

In [6], we have proved the orbital stability of moving and rotating solitons for the 2D Maxwell–Lorentz system (1.1). The proof relies on the reduction of the system by the canonical transformation to a comoving frame and the conservation of the corresponding linear and angular momenta.

2 The Maxwell potentials

In this section we recall the Hamiltonian structure for the system (1.3) expressed in the Maxwell potentials $A(x, t) = (A_1(x, t), A_2(x, t))$ and $\Phi(x, t)$:

$$B(x, t) = \nabla \cdot (JA) = \nabla_1 A_2(x, t) - \nabla_2 A_1(x, t), \quad E(x, t) = -\dot{A}(x, t) - \nabla \Phi(x, t). \quad (2.1)$$

We choose the Coulomb gauge

$$\nabla \cdot A(x, t) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Then the first two equations of (1.3) are equivalent to the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\ddot{A}(x, t) - \nabla \dot{\Phi}(x, t) = -\Delta A(x, t) + \omega(t) Jx\rho(x), \\ -\Delta \Phi(x, t) = \rho(x) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.3)$$

The second equation of (2.3) can be solved explicitly:

$$\Phi(x, t) = \Phi(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \log|x-y| \rho(y) dy. \quad (2.4)$$

In the Fourier transform $\hat{\Phi}_0(k) = \hat{\rho}(k)/k^2$. Hence, $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ due to (1.5) and spherical symmetry (1.4). Now the last equation of (1.3) becomes

$$I\dot{\omega}(t) = -\langle J(\dot{A}(x, t) + \nabla \Phi(x), \varrho(x)) \rangle = -\langle J\dot{A}(x, t), \varrho(x) \rangle, \quad \varrho(x) := x\rho(x) \quad (2.5)$$

since the spherical symmetry (1.4) implies

$$\langle J\nabla \Phi(x), \varrho(x) \rangle = \langle x \cdot J\nabla \Phi(x), \rho(x) \rangle = -\langle \nabla \cdot Jk\hat{\Phi}(k), \hat{\rho}(k) \rangle = -\int (k_2 \nabla_1 - k_1 \nabla_2) \frac{\hat{\rho}^2(k)}{k^2} dk = 0.$$

The angular momentum is defined as in [6]:

$$M = I\omega - \langle A, J\varrho \rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.5) and (2.1),

$$\dot{M}(t) = I\dot{\omega}(t) - \langle \dot{A}(x, t), J\varrho(x) \rangle = -\langle J\dot{A}, \varrho(x) \rangle - \langle \dot{A}, J\varrho(x) \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, the angular momentum is conserved: $M(t) = M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the system (1.3) can be rewritten as the linear inhomogeneous system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{A}(x, t) = \Pi(x, t) \\ \dot{\Pi}(x, t) = \Delta A(x, t) - \omega(t) J\varrho(x) \end{array} \right. \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\omega(t) := \frac{1}{I} (M + \langle A(t), J\varrho \rangle). \quad (2.8)$$

Let us introduce a phase space for the system (2.7). Denote by \dot{H}^1 the closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ with respect to the norm $\|A\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \|\nabla A\|_{L^2}$, where $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$. Define the energy phase

space

$$\mathcal{E} = \dot{H}^1 \oplus L^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Obviously, (2.7) is the canonical Hamilton system with the Hamilton functional

$$H(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\Pi^2 + |\nabla A|^2) dx + \frac{I\omega^2}{2}, \quad Y := (A, \Pi) \in \mathcal{E}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\frac{I\omega^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2I}(M + \langle A(x), J\rho(x) \rangle)^2$ in accordance with (2.8). The Hamiltonian (2.10) is well defined and Fréchet differentiable on the Hilbert phase space (2.9). The well-posedness for the system (2.7) is proved in [6].

Proposition 2.1. *Let (1.4) holds, and let $Y_0 = (A_0, \Pi_0) \in \mathcal{E}$. Then*

- (i) *there exists a unique solution $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E})$ to the Cauchy problem for (2.7);*
- (ii) *the energy is conserved: $H(Y(t)) = H(Y_0)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$;*

2.1 Solitons

The solitons of the system (2.7) are stationary solutions

$$Y_\omega = (A_\omega(x), 0). \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting into (2.7), we get

$$\Delta A_\omega(x) = \omega J\rho(x). \quad (2.12)$$

In the Fourier representation

$$\hat{A}_\omega(k) = -\frac{\omega J\hat{\rho}(k)}{k^2}. \quad (2.13)$$

By (1.5), $\hat{A}_\omega(k) \sim k$, as $|k| \sim 0$. Hence, $\nabla A_\omega \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The angular momentum M_ω of the soliton (2.11) is expressed by

$$M_\omega = I\omega + \omega \left\langle \frac{J\tilde{\rho}}{k^2}, J\tilde{\rho} \right\rangle = \omega(I + \varkappa_0), \quad \varkappa_0 = \int \frac{|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2 dk}{k^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

3 Main result

To state our main result we need some notations. Denote

$$\varkappa(\lambda) = \int \frac{|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2 dk}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The function $\varkappa(\lambda)$ is analytic in the halfplane $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$. By (1.4) and by the Sokhotski-Plemelj formulas, there exists the limit

$$\varkappa(i\mu + 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \varkappa(i\mu + \varepsilon), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\varkappa(+0) = \varkappa(0)$ by (1.5). By Corollary 6.3, $\varkappa(i\mu + 0) \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \cup C^1(\mathbb{R})$. We will suppose that

$$I + \varkappa(i\mu + 0) \neq 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that the condition holds obviously for $\mu = 0$. It holds for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ if the moment of inertia I is sufficiently large.

Let $H_\beta^s = H_\beta^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the weighted Sobolev spaces with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{H_\beta^s} = \|\langle x \rangle^\beta \langle \nabla \rangle^s f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad \langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Denote $L_\beta^2 = H_\beta^0$.

Definition 3.1. *i) \mathcal{E}_β , $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, is the Hilbert space of vector fields (A, Π) with finite norm*

$$\|(A, \Pi)\|_\beta := \|(A, \Pi)\|_{\mathcal{E}_\beta} = \|\nabla A\|_{\mathbf{L}_\beta^2} + \|\Pi\|_{\mathbf{L}_\beta^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

ii) \mathcal{E}_β^+ is the Hilbert space of vector fields (A, Π) with finite norm

$$\|(A, \Pi)\|_{\mathcal{E}_\beta^+} = \|A\|_{\mathbf{L}_\beta^2} + \|\nabla A\|_{\mathbf{L}_\beta^2} + \|\Pi\|_{\mathbf{L}_\beta^2}.$$

In these notations, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let conditions (1.4), (1.5) and (3.2) hold, and $Y_0 \in \mathcal{E}_\beta^+$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Let $Y(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E})$ be the solution to (2.7) with initial data Y_0 and the corresponding angular momentum M .*

i) Then the solution converges to the soliton (2.11) with the same angular momentum M :

$$|\omega(t) - \omega_*| = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-2}), \quad \|Y(t) - Y_{\omega_*}\|_{-\beta} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-2}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.4)$$

where the frequency ω_* is defined by (2.14):

$$\omega_* := \frac{M}{I + \varkappa(0)}. \quad (3.5)$$

ii) The scattering asymptotics hold

$$Y(x, t) = Y_{\omega_*}(x) + W(t)\Psi + r(x, t), \quad t \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Here $W(t)$ is the dynamical group of the free wave equation, $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}$, and the remainder $r(x, t)$ decays to zero in the global energy norm:

$$\|r(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1}), \quad t \rightarrow \pm\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

4 Extraction of a soliton

We split a solution to the system (2.7) as the sum

$$Y(t) = Y_{\omega_*} + Z(t), \quad Y_{\omega_*} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\omega_*}(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(x) \\ \Pi(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Substituting into (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Lambda}(x, t) = \Pi(x, t) \\ \dot{\Pi}(x, t) = \Delta A_{\omega_*}(x) + \Delta \Lambda(x, t) - \omega(t) J \varrho(x) \end{cases}. \quad (4.2)$$

Using equation (2.12) with $\omega = \omega_*$, and equation (2.8) with $\omega = \omega(t)$, we get

$$\dot{\Pi} = \omega_* J \varrho + \Delta \Lambda - \omega J \varrho = \Delta \Lambda - \frac{1}{I} (\langle \Lambda + A_{\omega_*}, J \varrho \rangle - \langle A_{\omega_*}, J \varrho \rangle) J \varrho = \Delta \Lambda - \frac{1}{I} \langle \Lambda, J \varrho \rangle J \varrho. \quad (4.3)$$

Now the system (4.2) reads

$$\dot{Z}(t) = \mathbf{H}Z(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.4)$$

where the operator \mathbf{H} is defined by (1.6).

Lemma 4.1. *i) The system (4.4) formally can be written as the Hamilton system*

$$\dot{Z}(t) = JD\mathcal{H}(Z(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $D\mathcal{H}$ is the Fréchet derivative of the Hamilton functional

$$\mathcal{H}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \int [|\Psi|^2 + |\nabla \Lambda|^2] dx + \frac{1}{2I} \langle \Lambda, J \varrho \rangle^2, \quad Z = (\Lambda, \Psi) \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (4.6)$$

ii) Energy conservation holds for solutions $Z(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E})$:

$$\mathcal{H}(Z(t)) = \text{const}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.7)$$

5 Solution of equation (4.4)

We apply the Fourier-Laplace transform

$$\tilde{Z}(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} Z(t) dt, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0. \quad (5.1)$$

According to Proposition 2.1, $Z(t) = Y(t) - Y_{\omega_*}$ is bounded in \mathcal{E} . Hence the integral (5.1) converges and is analytic for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, and

$$\|\tilde{Z}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \frac{C}{\text{Re } \lambda}, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Applying the Fourier-Laplace transform to (4.4), we get

$$\lambda \tilde{Z}(\lambda) = \mathbf{H} \tilde{Z}(\lambda) + Z_0, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0. \quad (5.3)$$

The solution $\tilde{Z}(\lambda)$ to (5.3) is given by

$$\tilde{Z}(\lambda) = -(\mathbf{H} - \lambda)^{-1} Z_0, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0. \quad (5.4)$$

where the resolvent $(\mathbf{H} - \lambda)^{-1}$ exists for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *The operator-valued function $(\mathbf{H} - \lambda)^{-1} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is analytic for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$.*

Proof. First, the energy conservation (4.7) and non negativity of $\mathcal{H}(Z)$ imply that $\text{Ker}(\mathbf{H} - \lambda) = 0$. Indeed, otherwise there is a nonzero solution $Z(t) = e^{\lambda t} Z_\lambda$ for which the energy cannot be conserved. Second, $\mathbf{H} - \lambda = \mathbf{H}_0 - \lambda + T$, where $\mathbf{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and T is a finite-dimensional operator. Operator $(\mathbf{H}_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$ is bounded in \mathcal{E} for every $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$. Hence, $\mathbf{H} - \lambda$ is invertible by the Fredholm theory. \square

We rewrite (5.3) as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\Pi}(x, \lambda) - \lambda \tilde{\Lambda}(x, \lambda) = -\Lambda_0(x) \\ \Delta \tilde{\Lambda}(x, \lambda) - \lambda \tilde{\Psi}(x, \lambda) = -\Pi_0(x) + \tilde{\nu}(\lambda) J \varrho(x) \end{array} \right\}, \quad \tilde{\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{I} \langle \tilde{\Lambda}(\lambda), J \varrho \rangle. \quad (5.5)$$

Equivalently, in the Fourier space,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \hat{\Pi}(k, \lambda) - \lambda \hat{\Lambda}(k, \lambda) = -\hat{\Lambda}_0(k) \\ -k^2 \hat{\Lambda}(k, \lambda) - \lambda \hat{\Pi}(k, \lambda) = -\hat{\Pi}_0(k) + \tilde{\nu}(\lambda) J \hat{\varrho}(k) \end{array} \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (5.6)$$

We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1 \\ -k^2 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda^2} \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ k^2 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda \hat{\Lambda}_0 + \hat{\Pi}_0 - \tilde{\nu} J \hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + \lambda^2} = \frac{\hat{K}_0}{k^2 + \lambda^2} - \frac{\tilde{\nu} J \hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, \quad \hat{K}_0(\lambda) := \lambda \hat{\Lambda}_0 + \hat{\Pi}_0. \quad (5.7)$$

Now

$$I \tilde{\nu}(\lambda) = \langle \hat{\Lambda}, J \hat{\varrho} \rangle = \langle \frac{\hat{K}_0}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, J \hat{\varrho} \rangle - \tilde{\nu} \langle \frac{J \hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, J \hat{\varrho} \rangle = \langle \frac{\hat{K}_0}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, J \hat{\varrho} \rangle - \tilde{\nu} \varkappa(\lambda),$$

where $\varkappa(\lambda)$ is defined in (3.1). Thus,

$$\tilde{\nu}(\lambda) = \frac{\langle \frac{\hat{K}_0}{k^2 + \lambda^2}, J \hat{\varrho} \rangle}{I + \varkappa(\lambda)}. \quad (5.8)$$

6 2D Schrödinger resolvent

Denote by $R(\zeta) = (-\Delta - \zeta)^{-1}$ the resolvent of 2D Laplacian. Recall the properties of $R(\zeta)$ [1, 5]:

- i) $R(\zeta)$ is strongly analytic function of $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ with the values in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
- ii) For $\zeta \in (0, \infty)$, the convergence holds

$$R^{(k)}(\zeta \pm i\varepsilon) \rightarrow R^{(k)}(\zeta \pm i0) := R_{\pm}^{(k)}(\zeta), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2 \quad (6.1)$$

in $H_{\sigma}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H_{-\sigma}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\sigma > k + 1/2$.

- iii) For $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $|l| \leq 2$, the asymptotics hold

$$\|R_{\pm}^{(k)}(\zeta^2)\|_{H_{\sigma}^s \rightarrow H_{-\sigma}^{s+l}} = \mathcal{O}(|\zeta|^{-(1-l)}), \quad \zeta \rightarrow \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad \sigma > 1/2 + k. \quad (6.2)$$

Now we obtain asymptotics of $R_{\pm}(\zeta^2)$ for $\zeta \rightarrow 0$. Denote by $P_{\pm}(\zeta)$ an integral operator with the kernel

$$P_{\pm}(\zeta, z) := -\frac{\log(\zeta|z|)}{2\pi} + h_{\pm}, \quad \text{where } h_{\pm} = \pm\frac{i}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi}. \quad (6.3)$$

Here γ is Euler's constant. The following lemma is an adapted version of [14, Lemma 5].

Lemma 6.1. *The following asymptotics hold*

$$\|\partial_{\zeta}^k (R_{\pm}(\zeta^2) - P_{\pm}(\zeta))\|_{L_{\beta}^2 \rightarrow L_{-\beta}^2} = \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{\frac{3}{2}-k}), \quad \zeta \rightarrow +0, \quad \beta > 5/2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (6.4)$$

We give the proof in Appendix A for the sake of completeness. Denote by $g(\lambda)$ for $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, the integral operator with the integral kernel

$$g(\lambda, z) := F_{k \rightarrow z}^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda^2} \right]. \quad (6.5)$$

By (6.1), there exists

$$g(i\mu + \varepsilon) \rightarrow g(i\mu + 0) = R_{\mp}(\mu^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow +0, \quad \pm\mu > 0 \quad (6.6)$$

in $H_{\sigma}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow H_{-\sigma}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\sigma > 1/2$. The representation and asymptotics (6.2) imply that

$$\|g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\|_{H_{\sigma}^s \rightarrow H_{-\sigma}^{s+l}} = \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{-1+l}), \quad \mu \rightarrow \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad \sigma > 1/2 + k \quad (6.7)$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $|l| \leq 2$. Lemma 6.1 implies the following asymptotics.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy (1.4) and (1.5). Then*

$$g(i\mu + 0)\varrho = g_0\varrho + g_R(\mu)\varrho, \quad \pm\mu > 0, \quad (6.8)$$

where $g_0 : H_{\beta}^{-1} \rightarrow H_{-\beta}^1$, $\beta > 1$ is an integral operator with the kernel $g_0(x - y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log|x - y|$, and

$$\|g_R^{(k)}(\mu)\|_{L_{\beta}^2 \rightarrow L_{-\beta}^2} = \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{\frac{3}{2}-k}), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad \beta > 5/2. \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. For ρ satisfying (1.4) and (1.5), the formulas (6.3) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{\pm}(\mu)\varrho](y) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \log|x - y|\varrho(x)dx - \left(\frac{\log|\mu|}{2\pi} - h_{\pm}\right) \int \varrho(x)dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \log|x - y|\varrho(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (6.8)–(6.9) follows by (6.4) and (6.6). \square

The lemma and asymptotics (6.4) imply

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfy (1.4) and $f \in L_{\beta}^2$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Then*

$$\left\langle \frac{\hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, \hat{f} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\hat{\varrho}}{k^2}, \hat{f} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{3/2}), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.10)$$

The asymptotics can be differentiated two times.

7 Asymptotics of $\tilde{\nu}$

First we derive asymptotics of $\nu(i\mu + 0)$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let conditions (1.4)–(1.5) hold, and $Z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \Psi_0) \in \mathcal{E}_\beta^+$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Then*

$$\tilde{\nu}(i\mu + 0) = \left(-\left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2}, \overline{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle + i\mu \left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2}, \overline{\Lambda}_0 \right\rangle \right) \frac{1}{I + \varkappa(0)} + \mathcal{O}(|\mu|^{3/2}), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0, \quad (7.1)$$

where $\varkappa(0) = \int \frac{|\hat{\varrho}(k)|^2 dk}{k^2} > 0$. The asymptotics allows two differentiations.

Proof. Definition (3.1) of \varkappa and Corollary 6.3 imply that

$$\varkappa(i\mu + 0) = \left\langle \frac{\hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, \hat{\varrho} \right\rangle = \varkappa(0) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 \log |\mu|), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0.$$

Further, Corollary 6.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\hat{K}_0(i\mu + 0)}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, J\hat{\varrho} \right\rangle &= i\mu \left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, \overline{\Lambda}_0 \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, \overline{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle \\ &= -\left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2}, \overline{\Psi}_0 \right\rangle + i\mu \left\langle \frac{J\hat{\varrho}}{k^2}, \overline{\Lambda}_0 \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 \log |\mu|), \quad \mu \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (7.1) follows by definition (5.8) of $\tilde{\nu}$. \square

Now we estimate $\tilde{\nu}(i\mu + 0)$ for large $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let condition (1.4) hold, and $Z_0 = (\Lambda_0, \Psi_0) \in \mathcal{E}_\beta^+$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Then for sufficiently large $B > 0$, the bound holds*

$$|\tilde{\nu}^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)| \leq C|\mu|^{-2}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\mu| \geq B, \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. Applying (6.7) with $s = l = 0$, $k = 0, 1, 2$ and $\sigma > 1/2 + k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varkappa^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)| &= |\langle g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\varrho, \varrho \rangle| \leq C \|g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\varrho\|_{L^2_{-\sigma}} \|\varrho\|_{L^2_\sigma} \\ &\leq C_1 |\mu|^{-1} \|\varrho\|_{L^2_\sigma}^2 \leq C_2 |\mu|^{-1}, \quad |\mu| \geq B. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Further, for $\mu \geq B$, (6.7) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\Psi_0, J\varrho \rangle| &\leq C \|g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\Psi_0\|_{H_{-\beta}^{-1}} \|\varrho\|_{H_\beta^1} \leq C_1 |\mu|^{-2} \|\Psi_0\|_{L_\beta^2}, \\ |\langle g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\Lambda_0, J\varrho \rangle| &\leq C \|g^{(k)}(i\mu + 0)\Lambda_0\|_{H_{-\beta}^{-2}} \|\varrho\|_{H_\beta^2} \leq C_1 |\mu|^{-3} \|\Lambda_0\|_{L_\beta^2}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\partial_\mu^k \left\langle \frac{\hat{K}_0}{k^2 + (i\mu + 0)^2}, J\hat{\varrho} \right\rangle| \leq C |\mu|^{-2} \|Z_0\|_{\mathcal{E}_\beta}, \quad |\mu| \geq B, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad (7.4)$$

by definition (5.7) of \hat{K}_0 . Finally, (7.2) follow from (5.8) and (7.3)–(7.4). \square

Corollary 7.3. *Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 together with condition (3.2) imply that $\tilde{\nu}(i\mu + 0) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

8 Attraction to soliton in weighted Sobolev norms

8.1 Time decay of $\nu(t)$

Here we prove time decay of $\nu(t)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose that the condition (3.2) holds, and $Z_0 \in \mathcal{E}_\beta^+$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Then $\nu(t)$ is continuous and*

$$|\nu(t)| \leq \frac{C(\rho, \beta)}{(1+t)^2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (8.1)$$

Proof. The component $\nu(t)$ is given by

$$\nu(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\mu t} \tilde{\nu}(\mu + i0) d\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\mu t} \tilde{\nu}(\mu + i0) d\mu + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{i\mu t} \tilde{\nu}(\mu + i0) d\mu, \quad t > 0.$$

Now Corollary 7.3, asymptotics (7.1) and bound (7.2) imply (8.1) by the double partial integration. \square

8.2 Time decay of $Z(t)$

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that the condition (3.2) holds, and $Z_0 \in \mathcal{E}_\beta^+$ with $\beta > 5/2$. Then*

$$\|Z(t)\|_{-\beta} \leq \frac{C(\rho, \beta)}{(1+t)^2}, \quad t > 0. \quad (8.2)$$

Proof. We rewrite (4.4) as

$$\dot{Z}(t) = \mathbf{H}_0 Z(t) - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \nu(t) J_\varrho \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.3)$$

To prove time decay of $Z(t)$, we apply the Duhamel representation

$$Z(t) = W(t) Z_0 - \int_0^t W(t-s) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \nu(s) J_\varrho \end{bmatrix} ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (8.4)$$

For the group $W(t)$ the dispersion decay holds (see Appendix B)

$$\|W(t)X\|_{-\beta} \leq C(1+t)^{-2} \|X\|_\beta, \quad \beta > 2, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (8.5)$$

Applying (8.5) to (8.4), and using (8.1), we obtain (8.2). \square

Corollary 8.3. *The splitting (4.1) and the decay (8.2) imply the convergence*

$$Y(t) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}_{-\beta}} Y_{\omega_*}, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8.6)$$

9 Asymptotics with dispersive wave in global energy norm

Here we prove our main Theorem 3.2. For the considered solution $Y(t)$ and for the soliton (2.11) with angular velocity ω_* , (2.6) together with (8.2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(t) &= \frac{1}{I}(M + \langle A(t), J\varrho \rangle) = \omega_* + \frac{1}{I}(\langle A(t), J\varrho \rangle - \langle A_{\omega_*}, J\varrho \rangle) \\ &= \omega_* + \frac{1}{I}\langle \Lambda(t), J\varrho \rangle = \omega_* + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}), \quad t \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{9.1}$$

Further, for the difference $Z(t) = Y(t) - Y_{\omega_*}(t)$, equation (2.7) implies

$$\dot{Z}(t) = \mathbf{A}_0 Z(x.t) - R(t), \quad R(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\omega(t) - \omega_*)J\varrho(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then similarly to (8.4),

$$\begin{aligned}Z(t) &= W(t)Z_0 - \int_0^t W(t-s)R(s)ds \\ &= W(t)\left(Z_0 - \int_0^\infty W(-s)R(s)ds\right) + \int_t^\infty W(t-s)R(s)ds = W(t)\Psi_+ + r_+(t).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Psi_+ \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\|r_+(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{-1})$ by (9.1) since $W(t)$ is the unitary group in the space \mathcal{E} .

A Proof of Lemma 6.1

Recall that (cf. [14, Section 2])

$$R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z) = \pm \frac{i}{4} H_0^{\pm}(\zeta|z|),\tag{A.1}$$

where for $H_0(s)$ the asymptotics hold (see [13])

$$H_0^{\pm}(s) = 1 \pm \frac{2i}{\pi} \left(\log \frac{s}{2} + \gamma \right) + \mathcal{O}(s^2 \log s), \quad s \rightarrow 0.$$

The asymptotics imply that

$$\partial_{\zeta}^k R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z) = \partial_{\zeta}^k P_{\pm}(\zeta, z) + \mathcal{O}((\zeta|z|)^{2-k} \log(\zeta|z|)), \quad \zeta|z| \rightarrow 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2,\tag{A.2}$$

where $P_{\pm}(\zeta, z) = -\frac{\log(\zeta|z|)}{2\pi} + h_{\pm}$ as in (6.3). Moreover,

$$|\partial_{\zeta}^k R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z)| = \frac{1}{4} |\partial_{\zeta}^k H_0^{\pm}(\zeta|z|)| \leq \frac{C|z|^k}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}}, \quad \zeta|z| \geq 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2.\tag{A.3}$$

Let $\chi_{\zeta}(z)$ be characteristic function of the ball $|z| \leq \frac{1}{\zeta}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z) - P_{\pm}(\zeta, z) &= \chi_{\zeta}(z)(R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z) - P_{\pm}(\zeta, z)) + (1 - \chi_{\zeta}(z))(R_{\pm}(\zeta^2, z) - P_{\pm}(\zeta, z)) \\ &= Q_{\pm}(\zeta, z) + S_{\pm}(\zeta, z).\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

For $\zeta|z| \leq 1$, (A.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C(\zeta|z|)^2 \log(\zeta|z|) \leq C(\zeta|z|)^{3/2}, \\ |\partial_{\zeta} Q_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C|z|\zeta|z| \log(\zeta|z|) \leq C|z|(\zeta|z|)^{1/2} = C\zeta^{1/2}|z|^{3/2}, \\ |\partial_{\zeta}^2 Q_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C|z|^2 \log(\zeta|z|) \leq C \frac{|z|^2}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}} = C\zeta^{-1/2}|z|^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Further, for $\zeta|z| \geq 1$, (6.3), (A.1) and (A.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C\left(1 + \log(\zeta|z|) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}}\right) \leq C_1(\zeta|z|)^{3/2}, \\ |\partial_{\zeta} S_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C\left(\frac{|z|}{\zeta|z|} + \frac{C|z|}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}}\right) \leq C|z|(\zeta|z|)^{1/2} = C\zeta^{1/2}|z|^{3/2}, \\ |\partial_{\zeta}^2 S_{\pm}(\zeta, z)| &\leq C\left(\frac{|z|^2}{(\zeta|z|)^2} + \frac{C|z|^2}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}}\right) \leq C \frac{|z|^2}{\sqrt{\zeta|z|}} = C\zeta^{-1/2}|z|^{3/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Finally, (A.5) and (A.6) imply (6.4) by (A.4).

B Dispersive decay

Here we prove the decay (8.5). Note that

$$W(z, t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{G}(z, t) & G(z, t) \\ \ddot{G}(z, t) & \dot{G}(z, t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad G(z, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\theta(t - |z|)}{\sqrt{t^2 - |z|^2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad t > 0. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Step i) For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, the bounds hold

$$|\partial_z^{\alpha} \partial_t^j G(z, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-2}, \quad 1 \leq |\alpha| + j \leq 2, \quad |z| \leq \varepsilon t, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Formula (B.1) imply the Huygen's principle for the group $W(t)$: $W(z, t) = 0$ for $|z| > t$. The energy conservation gives that

$$\|W(t)Z\|_{\mathcal{E}} = \|Z\|_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad Z \in \mathcal{E}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Step ii) We fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. For any $t \geq 1$ we split the function $Z(x)$ in two terms, $Z = Z_{1,t} + Z_{2,t}$ such that

$$Z_{1,t}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| > \varepsilon t/2, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{2,t}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| < \varepsilon t/4, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

with

$$\|Z_{1,t}\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|Z_{2,t}\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C\|Z\|_{\mathcal{E}}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Step iii) Using (B.3)–(B.5), we obtain for any $\sigma \geq 0$

$$\|W(t)Z_{2,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{-\sigma}} \leq \|W(t)Z_{2,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \|Z_{2,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-\sigma}\|Z_{2,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-\sigma}\|Z\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Step iv) Denote by ζ the operator of multiplication by the function $\zeta(|x|/t)$ such that $\zeta = \zeta(s) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\zeta(s) = 1$ for $|s| < \varepsilon/4$, $\zeta(s) = 0$ for $|s| > \varepsilon/2$. Obviously, $|\partial_x^\alpha \zeta(|x|/t)| \leq C(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$ and $t \geq 1$. Furthermore, $1 - \zeta(|x|/t) = 0$ for $|x| < \varepsilon t/4$. Hence, using (B.3), we get for $\sigma \geq 0$

$$\|(1 - \zeta)W(t)Z_{1,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{-\sigma}} \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-\sigma}\|W(t)Z_{1,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \leq C_1(\varepsilon)t^{-\sigma}\|Z\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Step v) It remains to estimate $\zeta W(t)Z_{1,t}$. Let $\chi_{\varepsilon t/2}$ be the characteristic function of the ball $|x| \leq \varepsilon t/2$. We will use the same notation for the operator of multiplication by this characteristic function. By (B.4),

$$\zeta W(t)Z_{1,t} = \zeta W(t)\chi_{\varepsilon t/2}Z_{1,t}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The norm of the operator $\zeta W(t)\chi_{\varepsilon t/2} : \mathcal{F}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-\sigma}$ is equivalent to the norm of the operator $\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \zeta W(t)\chi_{\varepsilon t/2}(y)\langle y \rangle^{-\sigma} : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0$. The norm of the later operator does not exceed the sum in α and j , $1 \leq |\alpha| + j \leq 2$ of the norms of integral operators

$$\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha [\zeta W(t)\chi_{\varepsilon t/2}(y)\langle y \rangle^{-\sigma}] : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (\text{B.9})$$

The estimates (B.2) imply that these operators are of the Hilbert-Schmidt type for $\sigma > 2$, and their Hilbert-Schmidt norms do not exceed Ct^{-2} . Hence, (B.5) and (B.8) imply that for $\sigma > 2$

$$\|\zeta W(t)F_{1,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{-\sigma}} \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-2}\|F_{1,t}\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma} \leq C(\varepsilon)t^{-2}\|F_0\|_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma}, \quad t \geq 1. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Finally, the estimates (B.6), (B.7) and (B.10) with $\sigma = \beta$ imply (8.5).

References

- [1] S. Agmon, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operator and scattering theory, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, Ser. IV **2** (1975), 151–218.
- [2] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, N. Mauser, Soliton-type asymptotics for the coupled Maxwell–Lorentz equations, *Ann. Inst. Poincaré, Phys. Theor.* **5** (2004) 1117–1135.
- [3] V. Imaykin, A. Komech, H. Spohn, Rotating charge coupled to the Maxwell field: scattering theory and adiabatic limit, *Monatsh. Math.* **142** (2004), no. 1–2, 143–156.
- [4] A. Jensen, T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.* **46** (1979), no. 3, 583–611.
- [5] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Dispersion decay and scattering theory. John Willey & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2012.
- [6] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, On orbital stability of solitons for 2D Maxwell–Lorentz equations, submitted to *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 2023.
- [7] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of nonlinear Hamiltonian partial differential equations, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **75** (2020), no. 1, 1–87.
- [8] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of Hamiltonian Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.

- [9] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, H. Spohn, On global attraction and radiation damping for nonrelativistic particle coupled to scalar field, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **29** (2018), 249–266.
- [10] A. Komech, H. Spohn, Long-time asymptotics for the coupled Maxwell- Lorentz equations, *Comm. Partial Diff. Eqs.* **25** (2000), no. 3/4, 559–584.
- [11] A. Komech, H. Spohn, Soliton-like asymptotics for a scalar particle interacting with a scalar wave field, *Nonlinear Analysis* **33** (1998), no. 1, 13–24.
- [12] E. Kopylova, Dispersion estimates for the 2D wave equation, *Russian J. Math. Physics* **17** (2010), no. 2, 226-239.
- [13] F. W. J. Olver & al., NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [14] W Schlag, Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimension two. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **257** (2005), no. 1, 87–117.
- [15] H. Spohn, Dynamics of Charged Particles and Their Radiation Field, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.