HIGHER EQUATIONS OF MOTION AT LEVEL 2 IN LIOUVILLE CFT

GUILLAUME BAVEREZ AND BAOJUN WU

ABSTRACT. In a previous work, we investigated the analytic continuation of the bulk Poisson operator of Liouville conformal field theory on the holomorphic part of Fock space and used it to construct irreducible representations of the Virasoro algebra at the degenerate values of the conformal weights. Here, we study two cases where the Poisson operator admits some simple poles on the Kac table: the bulk Poisson operator on the full Fock space (both holomorphic and anti-holomorphic part), and the boundary Poisson operator on the Fock space. As a consequence, the derivative of top singular vector does not vanish, and we can identify it with a scalar multiple of a primary field of same conformal weight. These are known as higher-equations of motions in physics and have been studied in connection with minimal gravity.

Contents

1.	. Int	roduction	1
	1.1.	Motivation and background	1
	1.2.	Main results	3
	1.3.	Outline	6
	Ackr	owledgements	7
2.	. Bu	lk HEM	7
	2.1.	Setup and background	7
	2.2.	Expression of the singular state	10
	2.3.	First pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (1, 2)-HEM	11
	2.4.	Second pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (2, 1)-HEM	12
3.	. Bo	undary HEM	21
	3.1.	Setup and background	22
	3.2.	Expression of the singular state	25
	3.3.	First pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (1, 2)-HEM	25
	3.4.	Second pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (2, 1)-HEM	26
A	Appendix A. Selberg & Dotsenko-Fateev integrals		
R	Beferences		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and background. In Segal's axiomatisation [Seg04], one basic ingredient of a conformal field theory (CFT) is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} together with a (projective) representation of the "semigroup of annuli", the space of complex annuli with analytically parametrised boundaries. Provided they exist, the

generators of this semigroup should form a family of (unbounded) operators on \mathcal{H} representing the Virasoro algebra (in a suitable sense). In most cases, one asks for two commuting representations $(\mathbf{L}_n, \mathbf{\tilde{L}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, one for each "sector" (holomorphic and antiholomorphic). The Hamiltonian of the theory is then the zero mode $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{L}_0 + \mathbf{\tilde{L}}_0$, and it is self-adjoint for a unitary theory. Diagonalising this Hamiltonian allows one to write \mathcal{H} as a direct sum of highest-weight representations, which are called the *spectrum* of the CFT. In rational CFTs, the spectrum is discrete, and all the representations are degenerate, in the sense that the highest-weights lie in the Kac table. Moreover, it is often taken as an axiom that the representations in the spectrum are irreducible, implying that all singular vectors vanish.

The case of Liouville CFT is different since there is a continuous spectrum of representations, none of which being degenerate. The theory depends on a complex parameter γ , and a probabilistic construction is available for $\gamma \in (0,2)$ [DKRV16, DRV16, GRV19], which is the framework of this paper. The diagonalisation of the Hamiltonian was done in [GKRV23], and the representations were constructed in [BGK⁺23]. The states in the spectrum are labelled ($\Psi_{Q+ip,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$)_{$p \in \mathbb{R}_+, \nu, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{T}$}, where \mathcal{T} denotes the set of integer partitions (a.k.a. Young diagrammes), and $Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}$. These states admit an analytic continuation to all complex values of $Q + ip = \alpha$ [BGK⁺23]. Away from the Kac table $kac^- = (1 - \mathbb{N}^*)\frac{\gamma}{2} + (1 - \mathbb{N}^*)\frac{2}{\gamma}$, the linear span of ($\Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$)_{$\nu,\tilde{\nu}\in\mathcal{T}$} is isomorphic to a tensor product of Verma modules $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{\alpha}$: namely, the states $\Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$ are the Virasoro descendants of the primary state $\Psi_{\alpha} = \Psi_{\alpha,\mathcal{O},\mathcal{O}}$. For the degenerate values of the conformal weight, the linear span of $\Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\mathcal{O}}$ forms a degenerate representation which is isomorphic to the irreducible quotient of the Verma module by the maximal proper submodule [BW23]. This is the algebraic property that is required for the null-vector equations to hold, which are key to the solutions of many CFTs [BPZ84, Tes01, KRV19, KRV20].

Our earlier work addressed the case where $\tilde{\nu} = \emptyset$ [BW23], namely for states which are primaries with respect to the antiholomorphic representation $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_n$. The proof relies on the analytic continuation (to the α plane) of the "Poisson operator" \mathcal{P}_{α} , and the observation that the vanishing of singular vectors is equivalent to the regularity of this operator. Informally, \mathcal{P}_{α} maps the free field eigenstates (where everything is known) to the Liouville ones. The case where $\tilde{\nu} \neq \emptyset$ is more complicated and is the subject of conjectures in the physics literature [Zam04], which we summarise now. For each $\alpha_{r,s} = (1-r)\frac{\gamma}{2} + (1-s)\frac{2}{\gamma} \in kac^{-}$, there exists a linear combination of Virasoro generators $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{r,s}}$ at level rs such that $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{r,s}}\Psi_{\alpha_{r,s}} = 0$ [BW23]. It is customary to normalise this linear combination such that the coefficient of \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{rs} equals 1. In fact, the first derivative is non-zero at $\alpha_{r,s}$, so that $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{r,s}}\Psi'_{\alpha_{r,s}} \neq 0$, where the prime indicates derivative in α (recall Ψ_{α} is analytic in α). According to [Zam04, Equation (4.5)],

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{r,s}}\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{r,s}}\Psi_{\alpha_{r,s}}' = B_{r,s}\Psi_{\alpha_{-r,s}},\tag{1.1}$$

for some non-zero scalar $B_{r,s}$, for which there exists an exact formula [Zam04, Equation (5.17)]. We emphasise that $\alpha_{-r,s}$ does not belong to the Kac table, so $\Psi_{\alpha_{-r,s}}$ is not a degenerate state. This identity is applied by Zamolodchikov in the context of minimal gravity in order to reduce some integrals of correlation functions over the moduli space of curves to boundary integrals on the compactification divisor [Zam04, BZ06]. The relation (1.1) is called the *higher-equations of motion* (HEM), and this paper studies the validity of this formula at level 2: $(r, s) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$.

In our previous work, we expressed the Virasoro descendants of the state Ψ_{α} as (a linear combination of) screening integrals with respect to singular weights, which we dubbed *singular integrals*. These integrals are of the form $\mathcal{I}_{r,s,\tilde{s}}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^r} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, ..., w_r) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_j|^2}{w_j^{s_j} \bar{w}_j^{s_j}}$, with the notation explained in (2.1). The integrals we studied in [BW23] all have $\tilde{s} = (0, ..., 0)$, and they extend analytically to the whole α -plane. When \tilde{s} is non-trivial, these integrals may develop some poles on the Kac table. Thus, the integrals $\mathcal{I}_{r,s,\tilde{s}}(\alpha)$ are really computing a series expansion of $\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, ..., w_r)$ in the neighbourhood of 0, when α belongs to the Kac table. The analyticity of these integrals away from the Kac table is a manifestation of the fact that $\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, ..., w_r)$ has a fractional behaviour for generic α . The study of the HEMs is therefore of independent mathematical interest

as it gives non-trivial information on the local structure of Gaussian multiplicative chaos with degenerate insertions.

1.2. Main results. Here and in the sequel, γ and μ are parameters satisfying

$$\gamma \in (0,2); \qquad \mu > 0.$$

We refer to μ as the *cosmological constant*. We also set,

$$Q := \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma} > 2;$$
 $c_{\rm L} := 1 + 6Q^2 > 25.$

The *Kac tables* are the discrete sets

$$kac^{\pm} := \left\{ (1\pm r)\frac{\gamma}{2} + (1\pm s)\frac{2}{\gamma} \middle| \, r, s \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}, \qquad kac := kac^+ \sqcup kac^-,$$

where $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that $kac^+ = 2Q - kac^-$, and $kac^- \subset (-\infty, 0]$. We use the notation

$$\alpha_{r,s} := (1-r)\frac{\gamma}{2} + (1-s)\frac{2}{\gamma}$$

for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. In practice, we will only focus on the case $(r, s) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we define:

$$\Delta_{\alpha} := \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right).$$

Observe that $\Delta_{\alpha} = \Delta_{2Q-\alpha}$.

1.2.1. Bulk HEM. Our first result is to etablish the HEMs (1.1) at level 2 (i.e. $(r, s) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$). For the next statement, recall that Ψ_{α} is a primary field of weight Δ_{α} which is analytic in α and belongs to the weighted space $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ for $\beta > |Q - \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)|$. The operators $(\mathbf{L}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ can be defined as bounded operators $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}) \to e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{Q})$ and form a Virasoro representations. These constructions are detailed in Section 2.1.

Theorem 1.1 (Bulk HEM). For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha} := \alpha^2 \mathbf{L}_{-2} + \mathbf{L}_{-1}^2; \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha} := \alpha^2 \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-2} + \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-1}^2.$$

1. The following equality holds in $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(Q)$:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\Psi_{\alpha_{1,2}}' = \pi \mu \frac{8}{\gamma^3} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)^2 \Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}.$$
(1.2)

2. The following equality holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$:

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{2,1}} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{2,1}} \Psi_{\alpha_{2,1}}' = \begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma^5}{32} \left(\pi \mu \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{2})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}, & \text{if } \gamma < \sqrt{2}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

Theorem 1.1 confirms the prediction of Zamolodchikov, except the (2, 1)-equation in the regime $\gamma \in (\sqrt{2}, 2)$ (where $\alpha_{-2,1} > Q$). The reason is a freezing phenomenon which makes the equation crash down (see Open question 3). In the other cases, the scalar multiple of the primary field coincides with [Zam04, Equation (5.17)]¹. Equation (1.3) has a non-trivial limit as $\gamma \to \sqrt{2}$ from below. We expect that the (2, 1)-equation holds in this case, but it requires a more detailed analysis of Gaussian multiplicative chaos (see Open question 1).

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. As in [BW23], the strategy is to study the analytic continuation of the Poisson operator. The main difference is that the extension has a simple pole at $\alpha_{1,2}$ or $\alpha_{2,1}$. The RHS of (1.3) is then identified with the residue of the Poisson operator. At the time of writing, we are

¹With the dictionary $(r, s) \leftrightarrow (n, m)$ and $\frac{\gamma}{2} \leftrightarrow b$, and [Zam04] uses the notation $\gamma(z) = \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(1-z)}$.

aware of an online manuscript studying the (2, 1)-HEM for correlation functions on the Riemann sphere [AR]. Their proof is close in spirit to the existing literature on BPZ equations in Liouville CFT, while draws from techniques introduced in [BW23]. Moreover, the scalar multiple of the primary field of [?] differs from ours (and Zamolodchikov's); this seems to be due to the omission of a pole in the study of the correlation function of the singular state.

Theorem 1.1 has some important consequences for conformal blocks, as stated in the next corollary. Since it would be too long to recall everything here, we refer to [BW23, Section 4 & 5] for notations and definitions. Let Σ be a compact surface of genus $\mathbf{g} \ge 0$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m+1}$ be the Teichmüller space of Σ with $m+1 \ge 1$ marked points. The spaces $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m,\uparrow}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m+1,\uparrow}^{\epsilon}$ have the additional decoration of a non-zero tangent vector at the marked points, and an embedding of an analytic disc around the marked points, respectively. Given conformal weights $(\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ satisfying the Seiberg bound and the central charge c_L , one can construct two holomorphic line bundles \mathcal{L}^{Δ} , \mathcal{L}^{c_L} over $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m+1}$, with connections ∇^{Δ} , ∇^{c_L} respectively. A conformal block is a section \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{L}^{c_L} with values in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes (m+1)}$ satisfying certain properties (called Ward identities and horizontality in [BW23, Section 4]). The evaluation $\mathcal{B}(\otimes_{j=0}^m \Psi_{\alpha_j})$ of the block on primary fields is a section of $\mathcal{L}^{\Delta} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{c_L}$. If the conformal weight α_0 is degenerate (say $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{r,s}$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}^*$), $\mathcal{B}(\otimes_{j=0}^m \Psi_{\alpha_j})$ satisfies a certain PDE on $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m+1}$ ([BW23, Theorem 1.3]). In particular, if α_0 is a degenerate weight at level 2, this PDE is

$$(\alpha_0^2 \mathcal{L}_{-2} + \mathcal{L}_{-1}^2) \mathcal{B}(\otimes_{j=0}^m \Psi_{\alpha_j}) = 0,$$

where the partial differential operators $(\mathcal{L}_{-n})_{n \geq 1}$ are connection operators of ∇^{Δ} . It is explained in [BW23, Section 5] how to compute these operators, and the expression is explicit in genus $\mathbf{g} \leq 1$. They depend on the topology of the surface (since they are differential operators on $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma,m+1}$) and the conformal weights at the marked points. In particular, these are the usual BPZ operators on the punctured sphere (see e.g. [DFMS97, Equation (6.152)]). One can also equip the line bundles \mathcal{L}^{Δ} , $\mathcal{L}^{c_{L}}$ with the conjugate (antiholomorphic) connection, and we write the differential operators as $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{-n}$ in this case. In general, we will write

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha} := \alpha^2 \mathcal{L}_{-2} + \mathcal{L}_{-1}^2; \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha} := \alpha^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{-2} + \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{-1}^2.$$

Corollary 1.2. Let $(\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ satisfying the Seiberg bounds, with $\alpha_0 \in \{\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{2,1}\}$, and set $\Psi := \otimes_{j=1}^{m} \Psi_{\alpha_j}$. Let $\mathcal{B}: \mathcal{T}^{\epsilon}_{\Sigma,m+1,\uparrow} \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{Q})^{\otimes (m+1)}$ be a conformal block in the sense of [BW23, Section 4].

1. We have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\mathcal{B}(\Psi'_{\alpha_{1,2}}\otimes_{j=1}^{m}\Psi_{\alpha_{j}}) = \pi\mu\frac{8}{\gamma^{3}}\left(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\right)^{2}\mathcal{B}(\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}\otimes_{j=1}^{m}\Psi_{\alpha_{j}}).$$

2. We have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\alpha_{2,1}}\mathcal{S}_{\alpha_{2,1}}\mathcal{B}(\Psi_{\alpha_{2,1}}'\otimes\Psi) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\gamma^5}{32} \left(\pi\mu\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}\right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{2})} \mathcal{B}(\Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}\otimes\Psi), & \text{if } \gamma < \sqrt{2}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$

1.2.2. Boundary HEMs. Liouville CFT has a boundary counterpart, which has been under intense investigation in the probability community over the past few years. On the one hand, there is a vast integrability programme which culminated in the derivation of all structure constants of the theory [ARSZ23]. These formulas are the analogues of the celebrated DOZZ formula of bulk LCFT [KRV20]. On the other hand, there is work in progress studying the conformal bootstrap for boundary LCFT [GRVW]. Our results could be formulated compactly (as in Theorem 1.1) using the technology of [GRVW], but we will instead follow a more pedestrian route until this work appears online.

The details of boundary LCFT are recalled in Section 3.1. In a nutshell, the states are associated to the halfdisc $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$. The interior of this half-disc bears a (bulk) cosmological cosmological constant $\mu > 0$. Additionally, there are two boundary cosmological constants $\mu_{\rm L}, \mu_{\rm R} > 0$ associated to the "left" interval $\mathbb{I}^- = (-1, 0)$ and the "right" interval $\mathbb{I}^+ = (0, 1)$ respectively. There is a family of free field representations $(\mathbf{L}_n^{0,\alpha})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ (the Sugawara construction), and we define $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^0 := \alpha^2 \mathbf{L}_{-2}^{0,\alpha} + (\mathbf{L}_{-1}^{0,\alpha})^2$.

We refer to Section 3.1 for precise definitions of the objects appearing in the statement of the next theorem. Briefly, Ψ_{α}^{∂} is a state which is analytic for α in a neighbourhood of $(-\infty, Q)$, as an element of a weighted Hilbert space $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$. This state is expected to be a highest-weight state for a Virasoro representation $(\mathbf{L}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ whose construction should be similar to the bulk one. The evaluation of the expression $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^0\mathbb{1})$ (see (3.2) for the definition) at the degenerate weights $\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{2,1}$, should correspond to the value of the (level 2) Liouville singular vector in this representation. In other words, we expect that $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^0\mathbb{1}) = (\alpha^2\mathbf{L}_{-2} + \mathbf{L}_{-1}^2)\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}$ for some Virasoro representation $(\mathbf{L}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ which is yet to bet constructed. Combining with the results of [GRVW], the next theorem will give us the value of the singular vectors at level 2. We see that this singular vector is always proportional to a primary field of different weight, as in the bulk case (with the constant possibly equal to zero).

Theorem 1.3 (Boundary HEM). The expression $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1}) \in e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$ admits an analytic extension which is regular in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$ and $\alpha_{2,1}$. Moreover,

1. The following equality holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$:

$$\Phi_{\alpha_{1,2}}(\mathbf{S}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha_{1,2}}\mathbb{1}) = \frac{4}{\gamma^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\right) (\mu_{\mathrm{L}} + \mu_{\mathrm{R}}) \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha_{-1,2}}.$$
(1.4)

2. The following equality holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$:

$$\Phi_{\alpha_{2,1}}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha_{2,1}}^{0}\mathbb{1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{8} \left(\mu_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} - 2\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\cos(\pi\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) + \mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} - \mu\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})\right) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}^{\partial} & \text{if } \gamma < \sqrt{2}; \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

By scaling, we can assume $\mu = 1$. Then, the prefactor is a second-degree polynomial in $\mu_{\rm L}, \mu_{\rm R}$, and the RHS of (1.5) vanishes on its zero set. The zero set is just an algebraic curve of degree 2, i.e. a conic section. This conic section was first exhibited in [FZZ00] and we refer to it as the *FZZ conic section*. On the FZZ conic section, the RHS of (1.5) vanishes. For general $(r, s) \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the prefactor is expected to be a polynomial in $\mu_{\rm L}, \mu_{\rm R}$ of degree r, so that the BPZ equation should hold on a certain algebraic curve of degree r. This algebraic curve factorises explicitly [BB10, Equation (2.35)].

Following the same mechanism as in the bulk case, Theorem 1.3 should lead to PDEs for conformal blocks on arbitrary Riemann surfaces with boundaries and punctures. Since the theory is not as well developed for boundary LCFT, we postpone this discussion to future work. Let us just mention that these PDEs specialise to the result of [Ang23] when: (i) the cosmological constants are evaluated on the FZZ conic section, (ii) the Riemann surface is the disc with an arbitrary number of punctures on the disc or the boundary, (iii) conformal blocks are replaced by correlation functions. Therefore, the equations we get are a three-fold generalisation of [Ang23]. The proof of [Ang23] is drastically different from ours since it uses the mating-of-trees theory [DMS21]: it relies on the clever observation that the BPZ differential operator is the generator of a certain Schramm-Loewner evolution. Using this observation, the BPZ equation is a consequence of the fact that the correlation function evolves as a martingale under the dynamics generated by this operator. In this context, the quantities $\cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4})$ and $\sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4})$ appearing in the RHS of the (2, 1)-HEM have a special meaning: they are respectively the correlation and the speed of the Brownian motions corresponding to the evolution of the two quantum lengths (parametrised by quantum area). In our proof, these quantities are related to residues of certain Selberg integrals on the degenerate weights (Lemma 3.5). Finally, we are aware of a work in progress by Cerclé [Cer] (private communication with the author) which gives a new proof of Ang's result using the usual framework of Ward identities. Cerclé introduces a "desendant field at level 2" which can be thought of as the descendant state $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$ from this paper. The insertion of this state combined with Ward identities leads to the BPZ equation. In our approach, the Ward identities will be replaced with the conformal blocks machinery that will be developed thanks to the boundary version of the conformal bootstrap. Indeed, this machinery gives a way to streamline the Ward identities without computations and independently of the geometric setup, using the framework of Virasoro uniformisation.

1.3. Outline. The strategy for the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 is the following

- 1. We express the singular state as certain singular integral of the correlation function (Sections 2.2 and 3.2). These expressions are reminiscent to those found in [BW23], namely they look like primary fields with additional γ -insertions integrated over the disc (see (2.1) and (3.3)).
- 2. Contrary to [BW23], these integrals have a simple pole at $\alpha_{1,2}$, $\alpha_{2,1}$. The presence of this pole is the crux of the HEM: the RHS of the HEMs are given by the residues of these integrals. To compute this residue, we rely on fusion estimates for correlation functions (Propositions 2.6 and 3.6), and exact formulas for Dotsenko-Fateev/Selberg integrals.
- 3. The general theory tells us that the Poisson operator is analytic away from the Kac table. Since $\alpha_{1,2} < \alpha_{2,1}$, the pole at $\alpha_{1,2}$ is easier to study. To study the pole at $\alpha_{2,1}$, one needs to find a probabilistic expression for the analytic continuation beyond the pole at $\alpha_{1,2}$. This done in Propositions 2.7 and 3.7, using a method similar to the one in [BW23, Section 3.3].

Our results leave some questions unanswered, which we hope to address in future work.

Open question 1 (The critical (2,1)-HEM). The RHS of (1.3) has a limit as $\gamma \to \sqrt{2}$ from below, but this limit is deceptively simple: the constant prefactor has a pole (as a function of γ), which is compensated by the zero of the primary state. Thus, the limit involves the derivative state $\Psi'_Q = \lim_{\alpha \neq Q} \frac{\Psi_{\alpha}}{\alpha - Q}$. It is easy to see that this state has the probabilistic formula

$$\Psi'_Q = -2 \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}_{\varphi}^m \left[e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma Q}}} \right] \mathrm{d}m,$$

where under $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^m$, the zero mode of the free field is the process $(\widetilde{B}_t^m)_{t \ge 0}$ such that $(\widetilde{B}_t^m)_{t \le \tau_m}$ is Brownian motion run until its hitting time τ_m of m, and $(\widetilde{B}_{t+\tau_m})_{t \ge 0}$ is Brownian motion conditioned to stay below m. Under this measure, the zero mode goes to $-\infty$ a.s. at speed roughly \sqrt{t} , so that the chaos measure does not blow up and the state is well-defined.

All the estimates used in this work break down at $\gamma = \sqrt{2}$. It should be possible to adapt some techniques from [DKRV17, Bav19, BW18] to get more precise estimates, but the analysis looks more subtle. This is a purely probabilistic question, and we view it as an interesting problem on Gaussian multiplicative chaos.

Open question 2 (The general (r, s)-HEM). Can our techniques be adapted to general (r, s)? In [BW23], we relied on an induction formula to express a singular integral in terms of "lower" ones (with respect to a certain partial order on partitions). The same technique should apply to the HEM, but it will be computationally heavier, with the additional difficulty of computing the residue. In the end, we expect that the only non-zero contribution at $\alpha_{r,s}$ is given by the residue of $\int_{\mathbb{D}^r} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, ..., w_r) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{|dw_j|^2}{|w_j|^{2s}}$. We note that the (1, s)-equation is the simplest and could be directly deduced from the techniques of this paper, but we prefer to treat the general case all at once.

Open question 3 ("Analytic continuation"). Due to a freezing phenomenon, the (2, 1)-equation crashes down for $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$. However, even though $\alpha_{-2,1} > Q$ for $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$, the state $\Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}$ can be analytically continued by $R(\alpha_{-2,1})\Psi_{2Q-\alpha_{-2,1}}$ [BGK⁺23]. Is there a probabilistic model such that the (2, 1)-equation is non-trivial and given by this analytic continuation? Based on [AHS21, Section 3.2], one possibility would be to look at Poisson collections of quantum spheres.

Acknowledgements. This project was initiated during the school "Three facets of gravity" held in spring 2023 at Humboldt University, thanks to the support of Kolleg Mathematik Physik Berlin.

2. Bulk HEM

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. First, we give some background and notations on Liouville CFT and the Poisson operator in Section 2.1. In Section 2.2, we give a probabilistic expression for the descendant states, which is valid up to $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$. The (1, 2)-HEM is easily deduced from this expression in Section 2.3. The (2, 1)-equation is slightly harder since we first need to find a probabilistic expression for the analytic extension, valid up to $\alpha < \alpha_{2,1}$ (Proposition 2.7). With this expression in hand, the (2, 1)-equation is deduced in a similar fashion.

2.1. Setup and background. The content of this section is extracted from [GKRV23, BGK⁺23], and follows closely the summary given in [BW23, Section 2].

2.1.1. Free field modules. Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathbb{C}[(\varphi_n, \bar{\varphi}_n)_{n \geq 1}]$ be the space of polynomials in countably many complex variables φ_n and their complex conjugates $\bar{\varphi}_n$. The constant function 1 is the vacuum vector. An (integer) partition is a sequence $\mathbf{k} = (k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ with finitely many non-zero terms. The length of \mathbf{k} is $\ell(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n$, and its level is $|\mathbf{k}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nk_n$. We denote the set of all partitions (resp. partitions of level N) by \mathcal{T} (resp. \mathcal{T}_N) and set $p(N) := \#\mathcal{T}_N$. By convention, p(0) = 1.

Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ be the law of a log-correlated Gaussian field φ on the unit circle:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(e^{i\theta})\varphi(e^{i\theta'})] = \log \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|}.$$

The expansion in Fourier modes reads

$$\varphi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \varphi_n e_n,$$

where $e_n(e^{i\theta}) = e^{ni\theta}$ the standard basis. We have $\varphi_{-n} = \overline{\varphi}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}\{0\}$. Under $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$, the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is made of independent complex Gaussians $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \frac{1}{2n})$. The harmonic extension of φ to the unit disc is

$$P\varphi(z) = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi_n z^n\right).$$

The covariance kernel of $P\varphi$ is

$$\mathbb{E}[P\varphi(z)P\varphi(w) = \log \frac{1}{|1-z\bar{w}|} =: G_{\partial}(z,w), \qquad \forall z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The space \mathcal{F} is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$. The Liouville Hilbert space is

$$\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathrm{d} c \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}),$$

where dc is Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Samples of $dc \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are written $c + \varphi$, with c being the zero mode of the field. We define a dense subspace C of \mathcal{H} as the subspace of functionals $F \in \mathcal{H}$ such that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^N)$, such that $F(c + \varphi) = f(c, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_N)$ holds $dc \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ -a.e., and f and all its derivatives are compactly supported in c and have at most exponential growth in the other modes. We refer to C as the space of *test functions*, and its continuous dual C' as the space of *test functions*.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. On $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$, we have two commuting representations of the Heisenberg algebra $(\mathbf{A}_n, \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ given for n > 0 by

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{n}; \qquad \mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}(\partial_{-n} - 2n\varphi_{n}); \qquad \mathbf{A}_{0}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\alpha_{n}; \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-n}; \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{-n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}(\partial_{n} - 2n\varphi_{-n}); \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{0}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\alpha_{n};$$

Here, $\partial_n = \partial_{\varphi_n}$ mean (complex) derivative in direction φ_n and $\partial_{-n} = \partial_{\overline{\varphi}_n}$. For $n \neq 0$, we have the hermiticity relations $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\alpha})^* = \mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$. Given a partition $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{T}$, we set $\mathbf{A}_{-\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha})^{k_n}$, and for $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{\tilde{k}} \in \mathcal{T}$, we set

$$\pi_{\mathbf{k},\widetilde{\mathbf{k}}} := \mathbf{A}_{-\mathbf{k}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{-\widetilde{\mathbf{k}}} \mathbb{1},$$

where 1 is the constant function 1. We say that $\pi_{\mathbf{k},\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}$ has *level* $|\mathbf{k}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{k}}|$. The Heisenberg representation gives \mathcal{F} a structure of highest-weight Heisenberg module, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \pi_{\mathbf{k}, \widetilde{\mathbf{k}}} | \, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{T} \right\}$$

The level gives a grading

$$\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_N,$$

and dim $\mathcal{F}_N = \sum_{n=0}^N p(n)p(N-n).$

The Sugawara construction consists in two commuting representation $(\mathbf{L}_n^{0,\alpha}, \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_n^{0,\alpha})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the Virasoro algebra on $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$. These operators are the following quadratic expression in the Heisenberg algebra $(n \neq 0)$

$$\mathbf{L}_{n}^{0,\alpha} := i(\alpha - (n+1)Q)\mathbf{A}_{n} + \sum_{m \neq \{0,n\}} \mathbf{A}_{n-m}\mathbf{A}_{m}; \qquad \mathbf{L}_{0}^{0,\alpha} := \Delta_{\alpha} + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{-m}\mathbf{A}_{m};$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n}^{0,\alpha} := i(\alpha - (n+1)Q)\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{n} + \sum_{m \neq \{0,n\}} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{n-m}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{m}; \qquad \mathbf{L}_{0}^{0,\alpha} := \Delta_{\alpha} + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{-m}\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}_{m}.$$

This representation satisfies the hermiticity relations $(\mathbf{L}_{n}^{0,\alpha})^{*} = \mathbf{L}_{-n}^{0,2Q-\bar{\alpha}}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^{1}})$. Given a partition $\nu = (\nu_{1}, ..., \nu_{\ell})$, we set $\mathbf{L}_{-\nu}^{0,\alpha} = \mathbf{L}_{-\nu_{\ell}}^{0,\alpha} ... \mathbf{L}_{-\nu_{1}}^{0,\alpha}$. Similar formulas and notations hold for the representation $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{n}^{0,\alpha}$. The descendant state

$$\mathcal{Q}_{lpha,
u,\widetilde{
u}}:=\mathbf{L}_{-
u}^{0,lpha}\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-\widetilde{
u}}^{0,lpha}\mathbb{1}\in\mathcal{F}$$

is a polynomial of level $|\nu| + |\tilde{\nu}|$.

Let \mathcal{V}^0_{α} be the $(\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_n, \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,\alpha}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ highest-weight representation obtained by acting with the Virasoro operators on the vacuum vector, i.e.

$$\mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} := \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-\nu}\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,\alpha}_{-\widetilde{\nu}}\mathbb{1}|,\nu,\widetilde{\nu}\in\mathcal{T}\}\subset\mathcal{F},$$

where the span is algebraic (finite linar combinations). We also define $\mathcal{V}^{0,N}_{\alpha} := \mathcal{V}^{0}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{F}_{N}$. The module \mathcal{V}^{0}_{α} has central charge $c_{\mathrm{L}} = 1 + 6Q^{2}$ and highest-weight $\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2})$. If $\alpha \notin kac$, it is known that \mathcal{V}^{0}_{α} is irreducible and Verma (hence $\mathcal{V}^{0}_{\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F}$) when $\alpha \notin kac$. On the other hand, if $\alpha \in kac^{-}$, $\mathcal{V}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha}$ is Verma (hence $\mathcal{V}^{0}_{\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F}$), and \mathcal{V}^{0}_{α} is the irreducible quotient of the Verma by the maximal proper submodule. The linear map

$$\Phi^{0}_{\alpha} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F} & \to \mathcal{V}^{0}_{\alpha} \\ \mathbf{L}^{0,2Q-\alpha}_{-\nu} \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,2Q-\alpha}_{-\nu} \mathbb{1} & \mapsto \mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-\nu} \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,\alpha}_{-\nu} \mathbb{1} \end{cases}$$

implements the canonical projection from the Verma to \mathcal{V}^0_{α} , and $\mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} = \operatorname{ran} \Phi^0_{\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F} / \ker \Phi^0_{\alpha}$.

In the sequel, we will write

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}^0_{\alpha} &:= \alpha^2 \mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-2} + (\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-1})^2;\\ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}^0_{\alpha} &:= \alpha^2 \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,\alpha}_{-2} + (\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{0,\alpha}_{-1})^2. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.1. We have

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1} = \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1} = \alpha^{2} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})^{2} (4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1).$$

Proof. This is a direct computation. We list the intermediate steps:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{-1}^{0,\alpha} \mathbb{1} &= \alpha \varphi_{1}; \qquad (\mathbf{L}_{-1}^{0,\alpha})^{2} \mathbb{1} = \alpha^{2} \varphi_{1}^{2} + 2\alpha \varphi_{2}; \qquad \mathbf{L}_{-2}^{0,\alpha} \mathbb{1} = 2(\alpha + Q)\varphi_{2} - \varphi_{1}^{2}; \\ \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} &= 2\alpha(\alpha^{2} + \alpha Q + 1)\varphi_{2} = 2\alpha(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})\varphi_{2}; \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-1}^{0,\alpha}\varphi_{2} &= \alpha \bar{\varphi}_{1}\varphi_{2}; \qquad (\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-1}^{0,\alpha})^{2}\varphi_{2} = \alpha^{2} \bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}\varphi_{2} + \frac{1}{2}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1); \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{-2}^{0,\alpha}\varphi_{2} = -\bar{\varphi}_{1}^{2}\varphi_{2} + \frac{1}{2}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1); \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbf{S}^{0,\alpha} &= \alpha^{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})^{2}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1). \end{split}$$

2.1.2. Semigroups and Poisson operator. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a Dirichlet free field in \mathbb{D} , i.e. $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is Gaussian with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\mathbb{D}}(z)X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)] = \log\left|\frac{1-z\bar{w}}{z-w}\right| =: G_{\mathbb{D}}(z,w)$$

We take this free field to be independent of $c + \varphi$. The field $X = X_{\mathbb{D}} + P\varphi$ is log-correlated in \mathbb{D} :

$$\mathbb{E}[X(z)X(w)] = \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} =: G(z,w).$$

By Kahane's theorey of Gaussian multiplicative chaos (GMC) [Kah85], we can define the random measure

$$\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(z)}$$

where $X_{\epsilon} = X \star \rho_{\epsilon}$ is a convolution regularisation of the field (with ρ a bump function and $\rho_{\epsilon}(z) = \epsilon^{-2}\rho(\frac{z}{\epsilon})$).

There are two important one-parameter semigroups of operators on \mathcal{H} , with respective generators denoted by \mathbf{H}^0 and \mathbf{H} . Both generators are positive, essentially self-adjoint, unbounded operators. They are called the *free field* and *Liouville semigroups* respectively. The operator \mathbf{H} defines a positive definite quadratic form with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathcal{H}$. The continuous dual of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{Q})$. Explicitly, the semigroups are defined by the probabilistic formula:

$$e^{-t\mathbf{H}^{0}}F(c+\varphi) = e^{-\frac{Q^{2}}{2}t}\mathbb{E}_{\varphi}\left[F(c+X(e^{-t}\cdot))\right]$$
$$e^{-t\mathbf{H}}F(c+\varphi) = e^{-\frac{Q^{2}}{2}t}\mathbb{E}_{\varphi}\left[F(c+X(e^{-t}\cdot)e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma Q}}\right],$$

where $\mathbb{A}_t = \{e^{-t} < |z| < 1\}$, and \mathbb{E}_{φ} means the conditional expectation with respect to φ (i.e. $c + \varphi$ is fixed and we integrate over $X_{\mathbb{D}}$).

Informally, the *Poisson operator* is a map sending free field eigenstates to Liouville eigenstates. The eigenstates of \mathbf{H}^0 are easily described: for each $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^{ipc} \mathcal{F}_N$ is an eigenspace with eigenvalue $2\Delta_{Q+ip} + N$. The diagonalisation of \mathbf{H} is much harder and is the cornerstone of the conformal bootstrap theorem [GKRV23]. The Poisson operator is constructed using the long term asymptotics of the Liouville semigroup as follows. Let $\nu, \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{T}$. For all α in a certain neighbourhood of $-\infty$, the limit

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha,\nu,\widetilde{\nu}}) := \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{t(2\Delta_{\alpha} + |\nu| + |\widetilde{\nu}|)} e^{-t\mathbf{H}} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha,\nu,\widetilde{\nu}} e^{(\alpha - Q)c} \right)$$

exists in a weighted space $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$. In this region, we define $\Phi_{\alpha} := \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} \circ \Phi_{\alpha}^{0}$, and we have the explicit expression

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{Q}_{2Q-\alpha,\nu,\widetilde{\nu}}) = \Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\widetilde{\nu}} \in e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}),$$

where $\Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$ are generalised eigenstates of the Liouville Hamiltonian [GKRV23], with eigenvalue $2\Delta_{\alpha} + |\nu| + |\tilde{\nu}|$. It is known that $\alpha \mapsto \Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$ extends analytically to the whole plane and satisfies the reflection formula

 $\Psi_{2Q-\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}} = R(\alpha)\Psi_{\alpha,\nu,\tilde{\nu}}$, with $R(\alpha)$ the reflection coefficient [BGK+23]. Thus, for each $\nu,\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{T}$, the map $\alpha \mapsto \Phi_{\alpha}(\pi_{\mathbf{k},\tilde{\mathbf{k}}})$ extends analytically to the whole plane. Since $\alpha \mapsto \Phi_{\alpha}^{0}$ is analytic with zeros located on the Kac table, it follows that $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ extends meromorphically to the whole plane, with possible poles on the Kac table.

For α in a complex neighbourhood of $(-\infty, Q)$, the highest-weight state Ψ_{α} has a probabilistic representation

$$\Psi_{\alpha} = e^{(\alpha - Q)c} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma \alpha}}} \right].$$

Following [BW23], for $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and non-coinciding points $w_1, ..., w_r \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, we introduce the notation

$$\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1,...,w_r) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} e^{(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)c} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[\epsilon^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2}} e^{\alpha X_{\epsilon}(0)} \prod_{j=1}^r \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(w_j)} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(z)} |\mathrm{d}z|^2} \right],$$

which is the primary field Ψ_{α} with additional γ -insertions at $w_1, ..., w_r$. In fact, we will only focus on the case $r \leq 2$. These states belong to the weighted space $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ for all $\beta > (Q - \alpha - r\gamma)_+$. The parameter β will generically refer to such a number, which may vary depending on the state we consider. Given $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_r), \tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (\tilde{s}_1, ..., \tilde{s}_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, we define, if it exists

$$\mathcal{I}_{r,\boldsymbol{s},\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}}(\alpha) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^r} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, ..., w_r) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_j|^2}{w_j^{s_j} \bar{w}_j^{\bar{s}_j}}.$$
(2.1)

2.2. Expression of the singular state. The next proposition gives a probabilistic expression for the singular state, involving singular integrals of the form (2.1).

Proposition 2.2. For all $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$, we have the following equality in $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2}-1) = -\frac{\mu\gamma^{2}}{4}\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha) + \frac{\mu^{2}\gamma^{2}}{4}\mathcal{I}_{2,(2,0),(0,2)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha},$$

where $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$ extends analytically in a complex neighbourhood of $(-\infty, 0)$.

Proof. This can be proved by Gaussian integration by parts, which we view as a differential version of the Girsanov transform. We follow the method of [BW23, Section 3.5]. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the martingale

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t) := e^{e^{2t}(2\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon\varphi_2(t)) - \frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{2}\sinh(2t))},$$

with initial value $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(0) = e^{2\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon\varphi_2)}$. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\varepsilon\partial\bar{\varepsilon}}_{|\varepsilon=0}e^{-\frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{4}}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t) = e^{4t}|\varphi_2(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{2t}\sinh(2t) - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{e^{4t}}{4}\left(4|\varphi_2(t)|^2 - 1\right).$$

The effect of reweighting the measure by $e^{-2\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon\varphi_2)}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is to shift the Dirichlet free field as

$$X_{\mathbb{D}}(z) \mapsto X_{\mathbb{D}}(z) + \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon(z^{-2} - \overline{z}^2)).$$

Moreover, by the Girsanov transform, we have for all $F \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{2\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon\varphi_2)-\frac{|\varepsilon|^2}{4}}F(\varphi)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon e_{-2})\right)\right].$$

Hence, the total shift of the field
$$X = X_{\mathbb{D}} + P\varphi$$
 is $X(z) \mapsto X(z) + \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon z^{-2})$. For all $t > 0$, we then get
 $e^{t(2\Delta_{\alpha}+4)}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-t\mathbf{H}}\left((4|\varphi_{2}|^{2}-1)e^{(\alpha-Q)c}\right)F(\varphi)\right]$
 $= 4e^{(\alpha-Q)c}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\varepsilon\partial\overline{\varepsilon}}|_{\varepsilon=0}e^{-\frac{|\varepsilon|^{2}}{4}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}F(\varphi)\right]$
 $= 4e^{(\alpha-Q)c}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\overline{\varepsilon}\partial\overline{\varepsilon}}|_{\varepsilon=0}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon z^{-2})}dM_{\gamma}(z)}F(\varphi+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon e_{-2}))\right]$
 $= -\frac{\mu\gamma^{2}}{4}e^{(\alpha+\gamma-Q)c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{\gamma X(w)-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}F(\varphi)\right]\frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{|w|^{4}}$
 $+\frac{\mu^{2}\gamma^{2}}{4}e^{(\alpha+\gamma-Q)c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{\gamma X(w)-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}\partial_{2}F(\varphi)\right]\frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{w^{2}}$
 $-\frac{\mu\gamma}{4}e^{(\alpha+\gamma-Q)c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{\gamma X(w)-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}\partial_{2}F(\varphi)\right]\frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{w^{2}}$
 $-\frac{\mu\gamma}{4}e^{(\alpha+\gamma-Q)c}\int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{\gamma X(w)-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}\partial_{2}F(\varphi)\right]\frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{w^{2}}$
 $+\frac{1}{4}e^{(\alpha-Q)c}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\alpha B_{t}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}t}e^{\gamma X(w)-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]}e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c}M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t})}\partial_{-2}F(\varphi)\right]\frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{w^{2}}$

where \mathcal{R}_{α} denotes the sum of the last three lines. By [BW23, Proposition 3.2], \mathcal{R}_{α} is analytic for α in a complex neighbourhood of $(-\infty, 0)$, which concludes the proof by density of \mathcal{C} in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$.

2.3. First pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (1,2)-HEM. The (1,2)-HEM will be a simple consequence of the previous proposition. We just need to evaluate the residue of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_2|^2 - 1)$ at $\alpha_{1,2}$.

Proposition 2.3. The following holds in $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(Q)$:

$$\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2}-1) = \underset{\alpha\to\alpha_{1,2}}{\lim} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2}-1) = \pi \mu \frac{\gamma}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}$$

Proof. By [BW23, Proposition 3.2], $\mathcal{I}_{2,(2,0),(0,2)}(\alpha)$ is regular at $\alpha_{1,2}$, so the only term of Proposition 2.2 with a possible pole at $\alpha_{1,2}$ is $\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha)$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$. By Proposition 2.6 applied to r = 1, we have in $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$

$$|\mathbb{E}[(\Psi_{\alpha}(w) - |w|^{-\gamma\alpha}\Psi_{\alpha+\gamma})F]| = O_{w\to 0}(|w|^{-\gamma\alpha+\xi})$$

for some $\xi > 0$, uniformly in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$. Using this estimate, we have (recall $\alpha_{1,2} + \gamma = \alpha_{-1,2}$)

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha)F] = \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}F] \int_{\mathbb{D}} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^2}{|w|^4} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[(\Psi_{\alpha}(w) - |w|^{-\gamma\alpha}\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}})F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^2}{|w|^4} = -\frac{2\pi}{\gamma(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}F] + O_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}}(1),$$
(2.2)

with the O(1) coming from the integrability of $|w|^{-4-\gamma\alpha+\xi}$ in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$.

This shows that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha)F]$ is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$ with a simple pole there, and $\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}}{\operatorname{Res}}\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha) = -\frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}]$. Combining with Proposition 2.2, we get $\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}}{\operatorname{Res}}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2}-1) = \pi\mu_{2}^{2}\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}$ in \mathcal{C}' .

Moreover, we know that $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_2|^2 - 1)$ is meromorphic with values in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$, so the residue exists in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$. Moreover, it is clear from (2.2) that $(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha)$ is bounded in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$, so that the residue holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$.

From here, we can conclude the proof of the (1, 2)-HEM.

Proof of (1.2). By Lemma 2.1, $\alpha \mapsto \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1}$ has a zero of order 2 at $\alpha_{1,2}$. Hence, by the previous proposition, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1})$ has a zero of order 1 at $\alpha_{1,2}$. The derivative at $\alpha_{1,2}$ is then given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}|_{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1}) = \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}} \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$$
$$= \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\alpha^{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})^{2}\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1)$$
$$= \alpha_{1,2}^{2}(\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{2,1})^{2} \operatorname{Res}_{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1)$$
$$= \pi \mu \frac{8}{\gamma^{3}} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\right)^{2} \Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}.$$

On the other hand, using the intertwining relation and $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\Psi_{\alpha_{1,2}} = 0$ [BW23, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}|_{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}^{0}_{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}^{0}_{\alpha}\mathbb{1}) = \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}} \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}\Psi_{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}} \mathbf{S}_{\alpha}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\Psi_{\alpha} - \Psi_{\alpha_{1,2}}}{\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}}\right)$$
$$= \mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{1,2}}\Psi'_{\alpha_{1,2}}.$$

2.4. Second pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (2,1)-HEM. In this section, we compute the residue of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_2|^2 - 1)$ at $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$, which will prove (1.3) and end the proof of Theorem 1.1. It is the content of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. We have in $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^2 \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_2|^2 - 1) = \frac{\mu^2 \gamma^4}{16} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha},$$
(2.3)

where \mathcal{R}_{α} is analytic at $\alpha_{2,1}$. Moreover,

$$\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\pi \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{2})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}, & \text{if } \gamma < \sqrt{2}; \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma > \sqrt{2}. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

(Thus, $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}$ is regular at $\alpha_{2,1}$ for $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$.)

Assuming this proposition, we can easily conclude the proof of the (2, 1)-HEM.

Proof of (1.3). By the intertwining relation and Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha_{2,1}} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha_{2,1}} \Psi_{\alpha_{2,1}}' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}_{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} (\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1}) = \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} \frac{1}{\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} (\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0} \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_{\alpha}^{0} \mathbb{1})$$
$$= \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) \alpha_{2,1}^{2} (\alpha_{2,1} - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} (4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1)$$
$$= -\frac{\gamma^{5}}{32} \left(\pi \mu \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \right)^{2} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}$$

The remainder of Section 2.4 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2.4. First, we show that the residue of $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}$ at $\alpha_{2,1}$ factorises into the primary field $\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}$ and a Dotsenko-Fateev integral. This is done thanks to the fusion estimate of Proposition 2.6. The residue of the Dotsenko-Fateev integral can be computed

explicitly (Lemma 2.5), and we get (2.4). Finally, Proposition 2.7 establishes the expression of (2.3) for the meromorphic continuation, using a method inspired by [BW23]. Our method for the computation of the scalar multiple of $\Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}$ differs (and is somewhat more natural) than the one proposed in [Zam04]: we do purely free field computations (requiring only the exact value of the Dotsenko-Fateev integral), while [Zam04, Section 5] makes a detour through Liouville (using the DOZZ formula and non-trivial properties of the Υ -function and Virasoro representation theory) before coming back to the free field.

2.4.1. Residues of Dotsenko-Fateev integrals. In this section, we always assume $\gamma \in (0, \sqrt{2})$. We define the integrals

$$J_{1}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} |w_{1}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{1} - w_{2}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{2}|^{2}};$$

$$J_{2}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} |w_{1}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{1} - w_{2}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{\bar{w}_{2} - \bar{w}_{1}}{w_{2} - w_{1}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{\bar{w}_{2}};$$

$$J_{3}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} |w_{1}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{1} - w_{2}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{\bar{w}_{2} - \bar{w}_{1}}{w_{2} - w_{1}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{\bar{w}_{2}^{2}}.$$

The integrals J_1 and J_3 are absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < \alpha_{2,1}$. The integral J_2 is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < -\frac{1}{\gamma}$.

Lemma 2.5. The integrals $J_1(\alpha)$, $J_2(\alpha)$, $J_3(\alpha)$ have a meromorphic continuation in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$ (still denoted by the same letter).

The function J_1 has a simple pole at $\alpha_{2,1}$, and

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha=\alpha_{2,1}} J_1(\alpha) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\pi \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}.$$

The functions J_2 , J_3 are regular at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Proof. Residue of J_1 .

For $D \in \{\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}\}$, we set

$$J_D^{\beta}(\alpha) := \int_{D^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma \alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma \alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} |1 - w_1|^{-\gamma \beta} |1 - w_2|^{-\gamma \beta} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2},$$

which is well-defined and analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < \alpha_{2,1}$ and suitables values of β as follows. If $D = \mathbb{D}$, then J_D^{β} is well defined and analytic for β in an open neighbourhood of 0, and the quantity we want to compute is $\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha=\alpha_{2,1}} J_{\mathbb{D}}^{\beta=0}(\alpha)$. If $D = \mathbb{C}$, we need $\operatorname{Re}(\beta) > -\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{2})$ for the integrability at ∞ . In the region of absolute convergence, $J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\beta}(\alpha)$ is the Dotsenko-Fateev integral of Appendix A, whose meromorphic continuation is given by (A.3):

$$J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\beta}(\alpha) = S_{2,2} \left(-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\gamma\beta}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4} \right)^2 \frac{\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2})\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma\beta}{2})\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\frac{\gamma}{2}))\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\beta+\frac{\gamma}{2}))\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\frac{\gamma}{2}))\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\gamma))\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\gamma}\pi \frac{\beta}{(\alpha+\frac{\gamma}{2})(\alpha+\beta+\frac{\gamma}{2})} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \right)^2 \sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^2}{2})(1+o(1)),$$
(2.5)

where o(1) is as $(\alpha, \beta) \to (\alpha_{2,1}, 0)$.

On the other hand, from the change of variables $w_j \mapsto \frac{1}{w_j}$, j = 1, 2, we have

$$J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\beta}(\alpha) = J_{\mathbb{D}}^{\beta}(\alpha) + J_{\mathbb{D}}^{\beta}(-\alpha - \gamma - \beta) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{C}\setminus\bar{\mathbb{D}}} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} |1 - w_1|^{-\gamma\beta} |1 - w_2|^{-\gamma\beta} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2}$$

The last integral is regular at $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$ for all β in a neighbourhood of 0 (indeed, the first pole is at $\alpha = 0$ uniformly in β). Moreover, the last formula defines the meromorphic extension of $J_{\mathbb{D}}^{\beta}$ in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. Let $A_{\beta} := \underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} J_{\mathbb{D}}^{\beta}(\alpha)$; the quantity we want to compute is A_0 . Writing the Laurent expansions around $\alpha_{2,1}$ gives

$$J_{\mathbb{C}}^{\beta}(\alpha) = \frac{A_{\beta}}{\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}} - \frac{A_{\beta}}{\alpha - \alpha_{2,1} + \beta} + f^{\beta}(\alpha) = \frac{\beta A_{\beta}}{(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1} + \beta)}(1 + o(1)),$$

where $f^{\beta}(\alpha)$ is analytic for (α, β) in a neighbourhood of $(\alpha_{2,1}, 0)$. Comparing with (2.5), we find

$$A_{0} = -\pi \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \right)^{2} \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}) = -\pi^{2} \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \right)^{2} \frac{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}.$$

Regularity of J_2 .

For $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < \alpha_{2,1}$, we have by integration by parts

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{\bar{w}_2} &= \frac{\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} (\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} + 1)}{(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{2-\gamma^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2 \bar{w}_2^2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}}{(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{2-\gamma^2} \mathrm{d}w_2 \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2i} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} \frac{|w_1 - w_2|^{2-\gamma^2}}{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1} \frac{\mathrm{d}w_2}{\bar{w}_2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2}. \end{split}$$

The two boundary integrals are absolutely convergent and analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. It remains to treat the bulk integral, which we denote $\tilde{J}_2(\alpha)$. Similarly to the previous paragraph, we consider the auxiliary function, for $D \in \{\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}\}$:

$$\widetilde{J}_{D,2}^{\beta}(\alpha) := \int_{D^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha-2} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha-2} |1-w_1|^{-\gamma\beta} |1-w_2|^{-\gamma\beta} |w_1-w_2|^{2-\gamma^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{\bar{w}_1} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{\bar{w}_2}$$

From Appendix A, we have

$$J_{\mathbb{C},2}^{\beta}(\alpha) = S_{2,2}\left(-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, 1 - \frac{\gamma\beta}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)S_{2,2}\left(-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} - 1, 1 - \frac{\gamma\beta}{2}, 1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)$$
$$\times \frac{\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2})\sin(\pi\frac{\beta\gamma}{2})\sin\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)\sin\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\beta+\gamma)\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\sin\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\frac{\gamma}{2})\sin\pi\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\sin(\pi\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}.$$

From this expression, we can apply the same method as for J_1 , and we find that $\widetilde{J}_{\mathbb{D},2}^0$ has a simple pole at $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$. Since J_2 is proportional to $(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})\widetilde{J}_2$ (up to analytic terms around $\alpha_{2,1}$), we deduce that J_2 is analytic at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Regularity of J_3 .

This can be handled similarly to J_2 , and we omit the proof.

2.4.2. *Fusion estimates.* We now turn to the fusion estimates, which are key to the factorisation of the residues as a product of primary field and Dotsenko-Fateev integral. For this, we need the radial decomposition of the free field: we write

$$X(e^{-t+i\theta}) = B_t + \varphi_t(e^{i\theta}),$$

where $B_t = \int_0^{2\pi} X(e^{-t+i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of φ_t . The field $e^{-t+i\theta} \mapsto \varphi_t(e^{i\theta})$ is log-correlated in \mathbb{D} , and we define (after regularisation)

$$Z_t := \int_{\mathbb{A}_t} e^{\gamma \varphi_t(e^{i\theta}) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[\varphi_t(e^{i\theta})^2]} \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta$$

the total GMC mass of the annulus \mathbb{A}_t viewed as the cylinder $(0, t) \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Almost surely, the process $\mathbb{R}_+ \ni t \mapsto Z_t$ is strictly increasing, so we can define its differential dZ_t , which is a (random) measure on \mathbb{R}_+ .

The next proposition gives some fusion estimates when we send a number $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of γ -insertions to zero. We will only be needing the result for $r \leq 3$, but since the proof is similar in all cases, we prefer to state it for arbitrary r.

Proposition 2.6. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The following estimates hold in \mathcal{C}' .

1. Suppose $\alpha + r\gamma \ge Q$. Then,

$$\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} |w_{j}|^{-\gamma \alpha} \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq r} |w_{k} - w_{l}|^{-\gamma^{2}} O(\max_{j} |w_{j}|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^{2}}).$$

2. Suppose $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha + r\gamma < Q$. Then,

$$\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} |w_j|^{-\gamma\alpha} \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq r} |w_k - w_l|^{-\gamma^2} \left(\Psi_{\alpha + r\gamma} + O(\max_j |w_j|^{\xi}) \right),$$

for some $\xi > 0$.

Proof. By permutation symmetry, we can assume $|w_1| \ge |w_2| \dots \ge |w_r|$. Let $F \in \mathcal{C}$. By the Girsanov transform, we can write

$$\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w}) = e^{(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)c} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |w_{j}|^{-\gamma\alpha} \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq r} |w_{k} - w_{l}|^{-\gamma^{2}}$$
$$\times \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |z - w_{j}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma\alpha}}} F\left(\varphi + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{r} G(w_{j}, \cdot)\right) \right]$$

Our goal will be to bound the expectation in the last formula. We remark that the shifted function $F(\varphi + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{r} G(w_j, \cdot))$ converges to F in \mathcal{C} as $\boldsymbol{w} \to 0$, so we will omit this term. in our analysis.

Proof of item 1.

The circle average process of the field $X(z) - \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{r} \log |z - w_j|$ is $B_t + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{r} t \wedge t_j$, where $t_j := \log \frac{1}{|w_j|}$. By the Girsanov transform, we then have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} |z - w_{j}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{dM_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma \alpha}}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)B_{t_{1}} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^{2}} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\gamma B_{t} - u_{t}} dZ_{t}} \right] \\ &\leqslant \frac{|w_{1}|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^{2}}}{(2\pi \log \frac{1}{|w_{1}|})^{1/2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)x} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma (c+x)} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} e^{\gamma (\tilde{B}_{t-t_{1}} - u_{t})} dZ_{t}} dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} (\alpha + r\gamma - Q) \right) \frac{|w_{1}|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^{2}}}{(2\pi \log \frac{1}{|w_{1}|})^{1/2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} e^{\gamma (\tilde{B}_{t-t_{1}} - u_{t})} dZ_{t} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma} (\alpha + r\gamma - Q)} \right] \\ &= O(|w_{1}|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^{2}}). \end{split}$$

Here, $u_t = (\alpha - Q)t + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^r t \wedge t_j - (\alpha + r\gamma - Q)t \wedge t_1$ is the remaining drift after the Girsanov transform, and $(\widetilde{B}_t = B_{t+t_1} - B_t)_{t \ge 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion independent of everything. In the second line, we have disintegrated the measure with respect to the Gaussian density of B_{t_1} . In the thir The last term in the expectation is finite since GMC admits negative moments.

Proof of item 2.

We introduce the notation

$$I_D(\boldsymbol{w}) = \int_D \prod_{j=1}^r |z - w_j|^{-\gamma^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma\alpha}},$$

for every open set $D \subset \mathbb{D}$. It admits positive moments of order p > 0 for all $p < \min\{\frac{4}{\gamma^2}, \frac{2}{\gamma}(Q - \alpha - r\gamma)\}$ [KRV20, Equation (2.14)]. We will assume p < 1 so that the condition is just $p < \frac{2}{\gamma}(Q - \alpha - r\gamma)$, since $\frac{4}{\gamma^2} > 1$.

Our goal is to control $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})} - e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}}(0)}]$. First, we show that we can remove the contribution of the disc of radius $|w_1|^{1-\eta}$ around 0, for any $\eta \in (0, 1)$. By Hölder regularity of the exponential, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})} - e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})}\left(1 - e^{-\mu I_{|w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}}\right)\right]$$
$$\leqslant \mathbb{E}\left[1 - e^{-\mu I_{|w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})}\right]$$
$$\leqslant C\mathbb{E}[(I_{|w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w}))^p] = O(|w_1|^{(1-\eta)\xi(p)}),$$

for some C > 0 and a multifractal exponent $\xi(p) > 0$. Similarly, we get $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\setminus |w_1|^{1-\eta_{\mathbb{D}}}(0)}} - e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}}(0)}] = O(|w_1|^{(1-\eta)\xi(p)}).$

Thus, it suffices to control the term $\mathbb{E}[e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}}(\boldsymbol{w})} - e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)}]$. We will be using the inequality $|e^{-x}-e^{-y}| \leq |x-y|e^{-x\wedge y}$, valid for $x, y \geq 0$. We only bound $\mathbb{E}[(I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w}) - I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)) e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)}]$, since we can get the same bound for $\mathbb{E}[(I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w}) - I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)) e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\backslash |w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})}]$ is a similar way. By the Girsanov transform, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(I_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(\boldsymbol{w})-I_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)\right)e^{-\mu I_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}(0)}\right]$$
$$=\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{r}|w-w_{j}|^{-\gamma^{2}}|w|^{-\gamma\alpha}-|w|^{-\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)}\right)\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\mu\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}}|z-w|^{-\gamma^{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}M\gamma(z)}{|z|^{\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)}}}\right]|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}.$$
(2.6)

From here, we need to distinguish two cases.

Case $\alpha + r\gamma < \frac{2}{\gamma}$.

The singularity $|w|^{-\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)}$ is integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure $|dw|^2$. We can also bound the expectation in (2.6) by 1. Therefore, (2.6) is bounded by a constant times

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}} \left| |w|^{-\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)} - \prod_{j=1}^r |w - w_j|^{-\gamma^2} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} \right| |\mathrm{d}w|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_1|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}} \left| 1 - \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{|w_j|}{|w|} \right)^{-\gamma^2} \left| \frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^2}{|w|^{\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)}} \right| \\ &\leqslant C|w_1|^\eta \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^2}{|w|^{\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)}} = O(|w_1|^\eta). \end{split}$$

where we have just used $\frac{|w_j|}{|w|} \leq |w_1|^{\eta}$ to bound the integrand by $C|w_1|^{\eta}$ for some C > 0.

Case $\alpha + r\gamma \geq \frac{2}{\gamma}$.

Note that $\alpha + (r+1)\gamma \ge \frac{2}{\gamma} + \gamma > Q$, so the singularity $|z|^{-\gamma(\alpha+(r+1)\gamma)}$ is not integrable with respect to M_{γ} . Using the same method as in the proof of Item 1., the expectation in (2.6) is $O(|w_1|^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+(r+1)\gamma-Q)^2})$. Hence (2.6) is bounded by a constant times

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus|w_{1}|^{1-\eta}\mathbb{D}} \left(|w|^{-\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)} - \prod_{j=1}^{r} |w - w_{j}|^{-\gamma^{2}} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} \right) |w|^{\frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha-(r+1)\gamma)^{2}} |\mathrm{d}w|^{2} \\ \leqslant C|w_{1}|^{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |w|^{-\gamma(\alpha+r\gamma)+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+(r+1)\gamma-Q)^{2}} |\mathrm{d}w|^{2}$$

Observe that $-\gamma(\alpha + r\gamma) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + (r+1)\gamma - Q)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + r\gamma - Q)^2 - 2 > -2$, so that the last integral is indeed finite.

Remark 1. Although we won't be needing this, the condition $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$ can be removed in item 2. Indeed, the only time this condition is required is for the last two displays of the proof to be finite (integrability of $|w - w_j|^{-\gamma^2}$). However, in the case $\gamma \ge \sqrt{2}$, we have the freezing estimate $O(|w - w_j|^{-\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - 2\gamma)^2})$ as two γ -insertions w, w_j merge (following item 1.), and this is integrable. So it suffices to remove a neighbourhood of the diagonal to get the result for $\gamma \ge \sqrt{2}$.

We can combine Lemma 2.5 and the last proposition to compute the residue of $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}$ at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Proof of (2.4). Case $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$.

In this case, $\alpha_{-2,1} = \alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma < Q$.

By Proposition 2.6, we have in \mathcal{C}' , for $\alpha < \alpha_{2,1}$ (recall $\alpha_{-2,1} = \alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma$):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) &= \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \left(\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) - |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \right) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} \\ &= \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}} J_1(\alpha) + \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} O\left(|w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} (|w_1| \vee |w_2|)^{\xi} \right) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} \end{split}$$

for some $\xi > 0$, and the notation $J_1(\alpha)$ is from Section 2.4.1. The second integral is absolutely convergent and analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) = \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}} \operatorname{Res}_{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}} J_1(\alpha).$$

This equality is holds in \mathcal{C}' , but we know that the residue exists in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ and the RHS also exists in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$. Hence the equality holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$. Lemma 2.5 gives the value of $\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha_{2,1}} J_1$, so we are done.

Case $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$.

In this case, $\alpha_{-2,1} = \alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma > Q$.

By symmetry, we can assume $|w_2| \leq |w_1|$. We split \mathbb{D}^2 into the regions

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{ |w_1| < e|w_2| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_1 := \{ |w_1| \ge e|w_2| \}.$$

We first treat the region \mathcal{D}_0 . Recall the notation $\mathbb{A}_t = \{e^{-t} < |z| < 1\}$. By Proposition 2.6 and scaling, we have

$$\int_{r\mathbb{A}_2^2} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} = O(r^{-2\gamma\alpha - \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 2\gamma)^2})$$

as $r \to 0$. At $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$, the exponent is $\frac{1}{2\gamma^2}(\gamma^2 - 2)^2 > 0$. By continuity in α , this exponent is positive in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. We have a covering $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-n} \mathbb{A}_2^2$, so that $\int_{\mathcal{D}_0} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) \frac{|dw_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|dw_2|^2}{|w_2|^2}$ is bounded by an absolutely convergent series.

Now, we deal with the region \mathcal{D}_1 . In this region, we have $|w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \leq ((1 - e^{-1})|w_1|)^{-\gamma^2}$. Combining with Proposition 2.6, we then get

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_1} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{e^{-1}|w_1|\mathbb{D}} O(|w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^2+\xi}|w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha}) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2},$$

0. This is integrable in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$, so we are done.

for some $\xi > 0$. This is integrable in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$, so we are done.

2.4.3. Meromorphic continuation of the singular state. We recall the following derivative formula [BW23, Section 3.3]: for $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, ..., w_r)$ non-coinciding points and all $F \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\partial_{w_1} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w})F] = \left(\alpha\gamma\partial_{w_1}G(w_1,0) + \gamma^2 \sum_{j=2}^r \partial_{w_j}G(w_1,w_j)\right) \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w})F] \\ + \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w})\nabla F(\partial_{w_1}G_{\partial}(w_1,\cdot))\right] \\ - \mu\gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w},w_{r+1})F\right] \partial_{w_1}G(w_1,w_{r+1}) |\mathrm{d}w_{r+1}|^2.$$
(2.7)

A similar formula holds for $\partial_{\bar{w}_1} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1)$.

Proposition 2.7. For all $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$, we have

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^2 \mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha) = -\frac{\mu \gamma^2}{4} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}, \qquad (2.8)$$

where $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\alpha} \in e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$ admits a meromorphic extension which is regular in a neighbourhood $\alpha_{2,1}$. As a consequence, (2.3) holds.

Proof. By integration by parts

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^4} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \partial_{w_1} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} - \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1) \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{w}_1}{\bar{w}_1}.$$

This formula is valid provided all the terms are absolutely convergent, which holds for $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$. We refer to [BW23, Section 3.3] for the careful justification.

Combining with the derivative formula (2.7), we get

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{4}} = -\mu\gamma^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1}, w_{2})F] \partial_{w_{1}}G(w_{1}, w_{2}) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}^{2}} |\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})\nabla F(\partial_{w_{1}}G_{\partial}(w_{1}, \cdot))] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})F] \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{w}_{1}}{\bar{w}_{1}} =: \mathcal{I}_{1} + \mathcal{I}_{2} + \mathcal{I}_{3}.$$

$$(2.9)$$

The integral \mathcal{I}_3 is regular for $\alpha < 0$. To treat \mathcal{I}_2 , we use again integration by parts and the derivative formula to get for all $F \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}) \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}^{2}} = -\mu\gamma^{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1},w_{2})F] \partial_{w_{1}}G(w_{1},w_{2}) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} |\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})\nabla F(\partial_{w_{1}}G_{\partial}(w_{1},\cdot))] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} - \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1})F] \mathrm{d}\bar{w}_{1}.$$

Applying this formula with F replaced by $\nabla F(\partial_{w_1}G_{\partial}(w_1, \cdot)) \in \mathcal{C}$, we see that \mathcal{I}_2 is also regular for $\alpha < 0$ (by [BW23, Proposition 3.2]).

Hence, the only term with a possible pole at $\alpha_{2,1}$ is \mathcal{I}_1 . First, we symmetrise by observing

$$\frac{1}{w_2 - w_1} \left(\frac{1}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} - \frac{1}{w_2 \bar{w}_2^2} \right) = \frac{1}{w_2 - w_1} \frac{w_2 \bar{w}_2^2 - w_1 \bar{w}_1^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2 w_2 \bar{w}_2^2}
= \frac{1}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2 w_2} + \frac{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1}{w_2 - w_1} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{w}_1 w_2 \bar{w}_2^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{w}_1^2 w_2 \bar{w}_2} \right).$$
(2.10)

The easiest term to treat is the first one. Applying again integration by parts and the derivative formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2}) \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2)F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2} &= \gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) \partial_{\bar{w}_1} G(w_1, w_2) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2} \\ &- \mu \gamma^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2, w_3)F] \partial_{\bar{w}_1} G(w_1, w_3) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2} |\mathrm{d}w_3|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2)\nabla F(\partial_{\bar{w}_1} G_{\partial}(w_1, \cdot))] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2)F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{w_2} \mathrm{d}w_1 \\ &=: \mathcal{I}_4 + \mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_6 + \mathcal{I}_7. \end{aligned}$$

The integrals \mathcal{I}_6 and \mathcal{I}_7 are absolutely convergent and analytic for $\alpha < 0$. For \mathcal{I}_4 , we use the identity $\frac{1}{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1} \left(\frac{1}{w_1 \bar{w}_2 w_2} - \frac{1}{w_1 w_2 \bar{w}_2} \right) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 |w_2|^2}$ and symmetrise, to see that

$$\mathcal{I}_4 = \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_\alpha(w_1, w_2)F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2} = \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)(\alpha)}F].$$

This gives the leading term in the RHS of (2.8). It remains to show that all other terms are analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$.

We start with the singularities with the factor $\frac{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1}{w_2 - w_1}$ appearing in (2.10). We only treat the singularity $\frac{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1}{w_2 - w_1} \frac{1}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2 \bar{w}_2}$ since the other one can be treated similarly. Since $|\frac{\bar{w}_2 - \bar{w}_1}{w_2 - w_1}| = 1$, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,0),(2,1)}$ is absolutely convergent for all α in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. We will need to treat the cases $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$ and $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$ separately. The method is similar to the proof of (2.4) given at the end of Section 2.4.2.

Case $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$. In this case, we can write

$$\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,0),(2,1)}(\alpha) = \Psi_{\alpha+2\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{\bar{w}_2} + \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \left(\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2) - |w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \Psi_{\alpha+2\gamma} \right) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{\bar{w}_2}.$$
(2.11)

By Lemma 2.5, the first integral has a meromorphic continuation which is regular at $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$. For the second integral, Proposition 2.6 tells us that the integrand is $O(|w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha}|w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha}|w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2}(|w_1| \vee |w_2|)^{\xi})$ for some $\xi > 0$, which is integrable in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. Hence, $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,0),(2,1)}$ is absolutely convergent and analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Case $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$. We decompose \mathbb{D}^2 into the regions

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{ |w_1| \lor |w_2| \leqslant e |w_1| \land |w_2| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_1 := \{ |w_1| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_2| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_2 := \{ |w_2| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_1| \}$$

We start with the region \mathcal{D}_0 . First, we look at the case where both insertions are in the annulus $r\mathbb{A}_2 = \{re^{-2} < |z| < r\}$ for some r > 0. By item 1. of Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\left| \int_{r\mathbb{A}_{2}^{2}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1}, w_{2})F] \frac{\bar{w}_{2} - \bar{w}_{1}}{w_{2} - w_{1}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{w_{1}\bar{w}_{1}^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{\bar{w}_{2}} \right| = O(r^{-2\gamma\alpha - \gamma^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 2\gamma)^{2}}).$$

Observe that $-2\gamma\alpha_{2,1} - \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha_{2,1} - 2\gamma)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{2}{\gamma})^2 > 0$, so that the exponent is positive in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. Using the covering $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-n} \mathbb{A}_2^2$, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{D}_0} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_\alpha(w_1, w_2)F] \frac{\overline{w}_2 - \overline{w}_1}{w_2 - w_1} \frac{|dw_1|^2}{w_1 \overline{w}_1^2} \frac{|dw_2|^2}{\overline{w}_2}$ is then bounded by an absolutely convergent series, so that it converges and is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$.

We turn to the contribution of \mathcal{D}_1 . In this region, we have $|w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \leq ((1 - e^{-1})|w_2|)^{-\gamma^2}$. Using again item 1. of Proposition 2.6, we get

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\mathcal{D}_1} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2)F] \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{w_1 \bar{w}_1^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{\bar{w}_2} \right| &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{e^{-1} |w_2| \mathbb{D}} |w_2|^{-\gamma \alpha - \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 2\gamma)^2} |w_1|^{-\gamma \alpha} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^3} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}} |w_2|^{-2\gamma \alpha - \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 2\gamma)^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|^2}. \end{split}$$

In the first line, the integral in w_1 is absolutely convergent in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$ since $\frac{\gamma^2}{2} - 3 > -2$. Then we have already seen that the exponent in w_2 in the last integral is positive in that region. Hence, the contribution of \mathcal{D}_1 is absolutely convergent and analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. The last region \mathcal{D}_2 is treated similarly. This concludes the proof that $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,0),(2,1)}$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$ in the case $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$.

Finally, we need to analyse \mathcal{I}_5 . First, we use the identity $\frac{1}{\bar{w}_3 - \bar{w}_1} \left(\frac{1}{|w_1|^2} - \frac{1}{|w_3|^2} \right) = \frac{1}{|w_1|^2 \bar{w}_3} + \frac{\bar{w}_3 - \bar{w}_1}{w_3 - w_1} \frac{1}{\bar{w}_1 |w_3|^2}$, and we symmetrise as before. Hence, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{I}_{3,(2,1,1),(0,0,0)}$ is absolutely convergent in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. We will need to distinguish the cases $\gamma < 1$, $\gamma \in [1, \sqrt{2})$, and $\gamma \in (\sqrt{2}, 2)$.

Case $\gamma < 1$. In this case, [BW23, Proposition 3.2] says that \mathcal{I}_5 is analytic up to $\alpha < -\gamma + \frac{2}{3\gamma}$. In the range $\gamma < 1$, we have $\alpha_{2,1} = -\frac{\gamma}{2} < -\gamma + \frac{2}{3\gamma}$, so in particular \mathcal{I}_5 is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Case $\gamma \in [1, \sqrt{2})$. In this case, we have $\alpha_{2,1} + 3\gamma \ge Q$, and $\alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma < Q$. We define the following subregions of \mathbb{D}^3

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{ \max\{|w_1|, |w_2|, |w_3|\} \leqslant e \min\{|w_1|, |w_2|, |w_3|\} \};$$

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{ |w_1| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_2|, |w_1| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_3| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_1' := \{ |w_1| \geqslant e |w_2|, |w_1| \geqslant e |w_3| \}.$$

To treat \mathcal{D}_0 , we look at the case where all three insertions are in the annulus $r\mathbb{A}_2$ for some $r \in (0, 1)$. By item 1. of Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\int_{r\mathbb{A}_3^3} |\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2, w_3)F]| \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_3|^2}{|w_3|} = O(r^{-3\gamma\alpha - 3\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 3\gamma)^2 + 2}).$$

At $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$, we have

$$-3\gamma\alpha_{2,1} - 3\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{2,1} + 3\gamma - Q)^2 = -\frac{3\gamma^2}{2} + 2(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \gamma)^2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma^2} - 4 = (\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\gamma})^2 - 2 > -2, \quad (2.12)$$

so that the exponent in the previous display is positive around $\alpha_{2,1}$. Using the cover $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-n} \mathbb{A}_3^3$, we get that the integral $\int_{\mathcal{D}_0} \Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2, w_3) \frac{|dw_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|dw_2|^2}{|w_2|} \frac{|dw_3|^2}{|w_3|}$ is bounded by an absolutely convergent series.

Let us turn to \mathcal{D}_1 . In this region, we have $|w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \leq ((1 - e^{-1})|w_2|)^{-\gamma^2}$. By item 1. of Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} |\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1},w_{2},w_{3})F]| \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{3}|} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} \int_{e^{-1}(|w_{2}|\wedge|w_{3}|)\mathbb{D}} |w_{1}|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{3}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{2}-w_{3}|^{-\gamma^{2}} (|w_{2}|\vee|w_{3}|)^{\frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha-3\gamma)^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{3}|^{2}}{|w_{2}|} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{3}|^{2}}{|w_{3}|} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}^{2}} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{3}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{2}-w_{3}|^{-\gamma^{2}} (|w_{2}|\wedge|w_{3}|)^{-\gamma\alpha} (|w_{2}|\vee|w_{3}|)^{\frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha-3\gamma)^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{2}|} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{3}|^{2}}{|w_{3}|}. \end{split}$$

The same equality (2.12) shows that this is integrable in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. In the region \mathcal{D}'_1 , we have $|w_1 - w_2|^{-\gamma^2} \leq ((1 - e^{-1})|w_1|^{-\gamma^2})$, and Proposition 2.6 gives the bound

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathcal{D}_{1}'} |\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_{1},w_{2},w_{3})F]| \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{3}|} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{e^{-1}|w_{1}|\mathbb{D}^{2}} |w_{1}|^{-\gamma\alpha-2\gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha-3\gamma)^{2}} |w_{2}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{3}|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^{2}} |w_{2}-w_{3}|^{-\gamma^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{2}|^{2}}{|w_{2}|} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{3}|^{2}}{|w_{3}|} \\ &\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{D}} |w_{1}|^{-3\gamma\alpha-3\gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(Q-3\gamma-\alpha)^{2}+2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_{1}|^{2}}{|w_{1}|^{2}}, \end{split}$$

so we arrive at the same conclusion. We can perform the same bounds in the regions

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \{ |w_2| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_1|, |w_2| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_3| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}'_2 := \{ |w_2| \ge e |w_1|, |w_2| \ge e |w_3| \},$$

and we arrive at the same conclusion. By the $w_2 \leftrightarrow w_3$ symmetry, this covers all possible regions of \mathbb{D}^3 .

Case $\gamma \in (\sqrt{2}, 2)$. In this case, $\alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma - Q > 0$. The estimate in the region \mathcal{D}_0 from the previous case is still valid, and the same conclusion holds for this region. We need to further decompose \mathcal{D}_1 into subregions. We introduce

$$\mathcal{D}_{10} := \{ |w_1| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_2|, |w_2| \leqslant |w_3| \leqslant e |w_2| \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_{11} := \{ |w_1| \leqslant e^{-1} |w_2| \leqslant e^{-2} |w_3| \}.$$

By Proposition 2.6 and scaling,

$$\int_{r\mathbb{A}_2^2} \int_{e^{-1}r\mathbb{D}} |\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2, w_3)F]| \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_1|^2}{|w_1|^2} \frac{|\mathrm{d}w_2|^2}{|w_2|} = O(r^{-3\gamma\alpha - 3\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 3\gamma)^2 + 2}),$$

and we have seen that the exponent is positive in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. This allows us to bound the integral over \mathcal{D}_{10} by an absolutely convergent series, so that this integral is analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. In the region \mathcal{D}_{11} , we have $\Psi_{\alpha}(w_1, w_2, w_3) = O(|w_1|^{-\gamma\alpha}|w_2|^{-\gamma\alpha-\gamma^2+\frac{1}{2}(Q-\alpha-2\gamma)^2}|w_3|^{-\gamma\alpha-\frac{3\gamma^2}{2}})$, and this is integrable on $(\mathbb{D}^3, \frac{|dw_1|^2}{|w_1|^2}, \frac{|dw_3|^2}{|w_3|})$ in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. We can decompose similarly the regions $\mathcal{D}'_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}'_2$ into subregions, and we get absolutely convergent integrals each time in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. All these steps are similar to the previous one, and we leave the details to the reader. In the end, we have shown that \mathcal{I}_5 is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$, for all $\gamma \in (0, 2) \setminus \{\sqrt{2}\}$.

This proves that (2.8) holds. Combining with Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_{2}|^{2} - 1) = -\mu \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} \mathcal{I}_{1,(2),(2)}(\alpha) + \mu^{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} \mathcal{I}_{2,(2,0),(0,2)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$$
$$= \mu^{2} \frac{\gamma^{4}}{16} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) + \mu^{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} (\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})^{2} \mathcal{I}_{2,(2,0),(0,2)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha},$$

where \mathcal{R}_{α} denotes an analytic term in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$, which may vary from line to line. We can adapt the proof of the analyticity of $\mathcal{I}_{2,(1,0),(2,1)}(\alpha)$ to show that $\mathcal{I}_{2,(2,0),(0,2)}(\alpha)$ is analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. This shows that

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})^2 \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(4|\varphi_2|^2 - 1) = \mu^2 \frac{\gamma^4}{16} \mathcal{I}_{2,(1,1),(1,1)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$$

for some \mathcal{R}_{α} analytic at $\alpha_{2,1}$, and concludes the proof of (2.3).

3. BOUNDARY HEM

In this section, we prove the boundary version of the HEMs (Theorem 1.3). The introductory Section 3.1 gives some background on boundary LCFT. The remaining sections follow the structure of the proof of Theorem 1.1. All the technical difficulties are already present in the bulk case, and the differences are mostly

computational. We will use most of the notations of Section 2, but all the notations from this section refer to boundary LCFT.

3.1. Setup and background.

3.1.1. Free field modules. Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathbb{R}[(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}]$ be the space of polynomials in countably many real variables φ_n . The constant function $\mathbb{1}$ is the vacuum vector. Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ be the law of a log-correlated Gaussian field φ on $\mathbb{S}^1 \cap \mathbb{H}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(e^{i\theta})\varphi(e^{i\theta'})] = \log\frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta'}|} + \log\frac{1}{|e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta'}|}$$

The expansion in Fourier modes reads

$$\varphi = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \operatorname{Re}(e_n).$$

where we recall that $e_n(e^{i\theta}) = e^{ni\theta}$ is the standard basis. Under $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$, $(\varphi_n)_{n \ge 1}$ is a sequence of independent real Gaussians $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2n})$. The harmonic extension of φ to the $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$ is

$$P\varphi(z) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n \operatorname{Re}(z^n).$$

Its covariance kernel is

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}\varphi(z)P\varphi(w)] = \log \frac{1}{|1-z\bar{w}|} + \log \frac{1}{|1-zw|} =: G_{\partial}(z,w), \qquad \forall z, w \in \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}.$$

The space \mathcal{F} is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$. The boundary Liouville Hilbert space is

 $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathrm{d} c \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}),$

where dc is Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . Samples of $dc \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are written $c + \varphi$, with c being the zero mode of the field. We define a dense subspace \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{H} as the subspace of functionals $F \in \mathcal{H}$ such that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$, such that $F(c+\varphi) = f(c,\varphi_1,...,\varphi_N)$ holds $dc \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ -a.e., and f and all its derivatives are compactly supported in c and have at most exponential growth in the other variables. We refer to \mathcal{C} as the space of *test functions*, and its continuous dual \mathcal{C}' as the space of *tempered distributions*.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. On $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$, we have a representation of the Heisenberg algebra $(\mathbf{A}_n, \mathbf{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ given for n > 0by

$$\mathbf{A}_{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{n}; \qquad \qquad \mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}(\partial_{n} - 2n\varphi_{n}); \qquad \qquad \mathbf{A}_{0}^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}\alpha;$$

Here, $\partial_n = \partial_{\varphi_n}$ means (real) derivative in direction φ_n . For $n \neq 0$, we have the hermiticity relations $(\mathbf{A}_n^{\alpha})^* = \mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^1})$. Given a partition $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{T}$, we set $\mathbf{A}_{-\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{A}_{-n}^{\alpha})^{k_n}$, and for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{T}$, we set

$$\pi_{\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{A}_{-\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{1}$$

We say that $\pi_{\mathbf{k},\widetilde{\mathbf{k}}}$ has *level* $|\mathbf{k}|$. The Heisenberg representation gives \mathcal{F} a structure of highest-weight Heisenberg module, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{span} \{ \pi_{\mathbf{k}} | \, \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{T} \}.$$

The level gives a grading

$$\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{F}_N,$$

and dim $\mathcal{F}_N = p(N)$.

The Sugawara construction is a family of representations $(\mathbf{L}_{n}^{0,\alpha})_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the Virasoro algebra on $L^{2}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^{1}})$, indexed by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. These operators are the following quadratic expression in the Heisenberg algebra $(n \neq 0)$

$$\mathbf{L}_{n}^{0,\alpha} := i(\alpha - (n+1)Q)\mathbf{A}_{n} + \sum_{m \neq \{0,n\}} \mathbf{A}_{n-m}\mathbf{A}_{m}; \qquad \mathbf{L}_{0}^{0,\alpha} := \Delta_{\alpha} + 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_{-m}\mathbf{A}_{m}.$$

This representation satisfies the hermiticity relations $(\mathbf{L}_{n}^{0,\alpha})^{*} = \mathbf{L}_{-n}^{0,2Q-\bar{\alpha}}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{S}^{1}})$. Given a partition $\nu = (\nu_{1},...,\nu_{\ell})$, we set $\mathbf{L}_{-\nu}^{0,\alpha} = \mathbf{L}_{-\nu_{\ell}}^{0,\alpha}...\mathbf{L}_{-\nu_{1}}^{0,\alpha}$. The descendant state

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha,\nu} := \mathbf{L}_{-\nu}^{0,\alpha} \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{F}$$

is a polynomial of level $|\nu|$.

Let \mathcal{V}^0_{α} be the $(\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ highest-weight representation obtained by acting with the Virasoro operators on the vacuum vector, i.e.

$$\mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} := \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-\nu}\mathbb{1}|, \nu, \in \mathcal{T}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

We also define $\mathcal{V}^{0,N}_{\alpha} := \mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{F}_N$. The module \mathcal{V}^0_{α} has central charge $c_{\rm L} = 1 + 6Q^2$ and highest-weight $\Delta_{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2}(Q - \frac{\alpha}{2})$. If $\alpha \notin kac$, it is known that \mathcal{V}^0_{α} is irreducible and Verma (hence $\mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F}$) when $\alpha \notin kac$. On the other hand, if $\alpha \in kac^-$, $\mathcal{V}^0_{2Q-\alpha}$ is Verma (hence $\mathcal{V}^0_{2Q-\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F}$), and \mathcal{V}^0_{α} is the irreducible quotient of the Verma by the maximal proper submodule. The linear map

$$\Phi^{0}_{\alpha}: \begin{cases} \mathcal{V}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F} & \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{0}_{\alpha} \\ \mathbf{L}^{0,2Q-\alpha}_{-\nu} \mathbb{1} & \mapsto \mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-\nu} \mathbf{1} \end{cases}$$

implements the canonical projection from the Verma to \mathcal{V}^0_{α} , and $\mathcal{V}^0_{\alpha} = \operatorname{ran} \Phi^0_{\alpha} \simeq \mathcal{F} / \ker \Phi^0_{\alpha}$. It maps $\mathcal{Q}_{2Q-\alpha,\nu}$ to $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha,\nu}$.

In the sequel, we will write

$$\mathbf{S}^0_{\alpha} := \alpha^2 \mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-2} + (\mathbf{L}^{0,\alpha}_{-1})^2.$$

By the same computation as Lemma 2.1, we record the expression for $\mathbf{S}^{0}_{\alpha} \mathbb{1}$, which gives the singular vector at level 2.

Lemma 3.1. We have

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1} = 2\alpha(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})\varphi_{2}$$

3.1.2. Semigroups and Poisson operator. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a Dirichlet free field in $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$, i.e. $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is Gaussian with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\mathbb{D}}(z)X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)] = \log\left|\frac{1-z\bar{w}}{z-w}\right| + \log\left|\frac{1-zw}{z-\bar{w}}\right| =: G_{\mathbb{D}}(z,w)$$

We take this free field to be independent of $c + \varphi$. The covariance kernel of $X = X_{\mathbb{D}} + P\varphi$ is:

$$\mathbb{E}[X(z)X(w)] = \log \frac{1}{|z-w|} + \log \frac{1}{|z-\bar{w}|} =: G(z,w).$$

One can construct two GMCs from X, a bulk GMC in $\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H}$ and a boundary GMC in $\mathbb{I} = (-1, 1)$:

$$dM_{\gamma}(z) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(z)} |dz|^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(z) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{\epsilon}(z)^2]} \frac{|dz|^2}{(2\mathrm{Im}(z))^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}}};$$
$$dL_{\gamma}(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2} X_{\epsilon}(x)} dx.$$

We will sometimes abuse notations by writing $dM_{\gamma}(z) = e^{\gamma X(z) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[X^2(z)]} \frac{|dz|^2}{(2\mathrm{Im}(z))^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2}}}$.

As in the bulk case, one can define two one-parameter semigroups of operators on \mathcal{H} . The *free field semigroup* is the semigroup generated by $-\mathbf{L}_{0}^{0}$. Currently, the generator of the Liouville semigroup is well-understood

only if $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$ or $\mu = 0$ [GRVW], but the semigroup itself is well-defined for all values of the parameters. Explicitly, these two semigroups have the following expression:

$$S_t^0 F(c+\varphi) = e^{-\frac{Q^2}{4}t} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[F(c+X(e^{-t}\cdot)) \right]$$

$$S_t F(c+\varphi) = e^{-\frac{Q^2}{4}t} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[F(c+X(e^{-t}\cdot)e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{A}_t \cap \mathbb{H}} \frac{dM_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma Q}}} e^{-\mu_{\mathrm{L}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} \int_{\mathbb{I}_t^-} \frac{dL_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2}}}} e^{-\mu_{\mathrm{R}}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} \int_{\mathbb{I}_t^+} \frac{dL_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|^{\frac{\gamma Q}{2}}}} \right],$$

$$(3.1)$$

where we defined $\mathbb{I}_{t}^{+} := (e^{-t}, 1)$ and $\mathbb{I}_{t}^{-} := (-1, -e^{-t})$. We also set $\mathbb{I}^{+} := (0, 1)$ and $\mathbb{I}^{-} := (-1, 0)$.

We wish to construct a Poisson operator in a similar way as in the bulk case. For $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < Q$ and any $\chi \in \mathcal{F}$, we define (if the limit exists in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\chi) := \lim_{t \to \infty} e^{t(\Delta_{\alpha} + |\nu|)} S_t(e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - Q)c}\chi)$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(\chi) := \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\Phi^0_{\alpha}(\chi)).$$
(3.2)

It is easy to see that the limit exists for α in a complex neighbourhood of $-\infty$, but it is not known in full generality how the analytic extension behaves. This is actually the point of the HEMs to study the possible poles on the Kac table. Similar to the bulk case, it is expected that $\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha,\nu} = \mathbf{L}_{-\nu}\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}$, for some Virasoro representation $(\mathbf{L}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined in a similar fashion (and with similar properties) as the bulk representation $[\mathrm{BGK}^+23]$. For the empty partition $\nu = \emptyset$, one has the expression (valid in a complex neighbourhood of $(-\infty, Q)$):

$$\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - Q)c} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[e^{-\mu \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} \frac{\mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z)}{|z|^{\gamma\alpha}}} e^{-\int_{\mathbb{I}} \mu_{\partial}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}L_{\gamma}(x)}{|x|^{\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}}}} \right],$$

where we have defined the measurable function on $\mathbb{I} = (-1, 1)$:

$$\mu_{\partial}(x) = \mu_{\rm L} \mathbb{1}_{\{x < 0\}} + \mu_{\rm R} \mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}},$$

Finally, we make the following definitions, with notations similar to the bulk case. For $\boldsymbol{w} \in (\mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{H})^r$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{I}^{r_{\partial}}$, we introduce the following element of $e^{-\beta c} \mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w};\boldsymbol{x}) &:= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + (2r + r_{\partial})\gamma - Q)c} \mathbb{E}_{\varphi} \left[\epsilon^{\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}X_{\epsilon}(0)} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(w_{j})} \prod_{j=1}^{r_{\partial}} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}X_{\epsilon}(x_{j_{\partial}})} \right. \\ & \times \left. \exp\left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} e^{\gamma X_{\epsilon}(z)} |\mathrm{d}z|^{2} - e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} \int_{\mathbb{I}} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}X_{\epsilon}(x)} \mu_{\partial}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right) \right], \end{split}$$

In practice, we will only be dealing with cases where $2r + r_{\partial} \leq 3$. Finally, given partitions $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (\tilde{s}_1, ..., \tilde{s}_{\tilde{r}}) \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{r}}$ we introduce the integrals (provided they are well-defined in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$)

$$\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{s},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{s}}}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{I}^{r'}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{I}^{r'}_{+}} \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(x_{1},...,x_{r},\widetilde{x}_{1},...,\widetilde{x}_{\widetilde{r}}) \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{j}}{|x_{j}|^{s_{j}}} \prod_{\widetilde{j}=1}^{\widetilde{r}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\widetilde{x}_{\widetilde{j}}}{|\widetilde{x}_{\widetilde{j}}|^{\widetilde{s}_{j}}}.$$
(3.3)

In practice, we will only deal with cases where $|s| + |\tilde{s}| \leq 2$. In particular, the total number of insertions is bounded by 2. We also define

$$\mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) := \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(w) \operatorname{Re}(w^{-2}) |\mathrm{d}w|^{2}.$$
(3.4)

In this work, we only focus on the singular vector at level 2, whose free field expression is given in Lemma 3.1. Namely, we are interested in the analytic continuation of $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$, particularly its value at $\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{2,1}$. We keep the notation $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$ for this analytic continuation, wherever it is defined. The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3, following the strategy of Section 2. First, we find an probabilistic expression for the singular state, valid up to $\alpha_{1,2}$. This leads to the (1, 2)-HEM without too

much effort. Then, we find an expression for the meromorphic continuation of the singular state, valid up to $\alpha_{2,1}$. The (2, 1)-HEM is obtained by evaluating the residue at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

3.2. Expression of the singular state. The first step is a probabilistic expression for the singular state.

Proposition 3.2. For all $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$, we have the equality in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2) = -\frac{\gamma}{4} \mu_{\mathrm{L}} \mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(2),\varnothing}(\alpha) - \frac{\gamma}{4} \mu_{\mathrm{R}} \mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(2),\varnothing}(\alpha) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \mu \mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}, \qquad (3.5)$$

where \mathcal{R}_{α} is analytic in a complex neighbourhood of \mathbb{R}_{-} .

Proof. We proceed as in Proposition 2.2, except that we are now in a real setting. For all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the martingale

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t) := e^{e^{2t}(\varepsilon\varphi_2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}\sinh(2t))},$$

with initial value $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(0) = e^{\varepsilon \varphi_2}$. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}_{|\varepsilon=0} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t) = e^{2t} \varphi_2(t).$$

By Girsanov's theorem, the effect of reweighting the measure by $e^{-\varepsilon\varphi_2}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is to shift the field $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ as follows

$$X_{\mathbb{D}}(z) \mapsto X_{\mathbb{D}}(z) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{Re}(z^{-2} - \overline{z}^2).$$

Moreover, the reweighting by $e^{\varepsilon \varphi_2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}}$ amounts to the shift $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{Re}(e_2)$. Adding the two shifts gives

$$X \mapsto X + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \operatorname{Re}(z^{-2}).$$

Hence, for all $F \in \mathcal{C}$ and all t > 0, we have:

$$\begin{split} e^{t(2\Delta_{\alpha}+2)} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-t\mathbf{H}} \left(\varphi_{2} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-Q)c} \right) F \right] \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-Q)c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}_{|\varepsilon=0} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}B_{2t} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}t} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t) e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+})} e^{-\mu_{L}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_{-})} e^{-\mu_{R}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_{+})} F \right] \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-Q)c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon}_{|\varepsilon=0} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}B_{2t} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}t} \exp\left(-\mu e^{\gamma c} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+}} e^{\gamma \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\operatorname{Re}(z^{-2})} \mathrm{d}M_{\gamma}(z) - e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{t}} e^{\frac{\gamma \varepsilon}{4x^{2}}} \mu_{\partial}(x) \mathrm{d}L_{\gamma}(x) \right) F(\varphi + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\cos(2\theta)) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\mu\gamma}{2} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+2\gamma-Q)c} \int_{\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}B_{2t} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}t} e^{\gamma X(w) - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\mathbb{E}[X(w)^{2}]} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+})} F(\varphi) \right] \operatorname{Re}(w^{-2}) \frac{|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}}{(2\operatorname{Im}(w))^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}}} \\ &- \frac{\gamma}{4} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\gamma-Q)} \int_{\mathbb{I}_{t}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}B_{2t} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}t} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+}) - \mu_{L}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_{-}) - \mu_{R}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_{+})} F \right] \mu_{\partial}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{|x|^{2}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}B_{2t} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{4}t} e^{-\mu e^{\gamma c} M_{\gamma}(\mathbb{A}_{t}^{+}) - \mu_{L}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}c} L_{\gamma}(\mathbb{I}_{+})} \partial_{2}F(\varphi) \right]. \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as $t \to \infty$ concludes the proof.

3.3. First pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (1,2)-HEM. As an immediate corollary, we get the (1,2)-equation.

Proposition 3.3. We have in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2) = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{\mathrm{L}} + \mu_{\mathrm{R}}) \Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}^{\partial}$$

Thus, the (1,2)-HEM (1.4) holds.

Proof. It is easy to see that the last line of (3.5) converges and is analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$. Hence, we need only treat the boundary integrals.

We use the estimate $\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(x) = |x|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}}(\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha+\gamma} + O(|x|^{\xi}))$ in \mathcal{C}' , for some $\xi > 0$. This estimate is a straightforward adaptation of Proposition 3.6 in the case of a single γ -insertion. From this, we get for $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$

$$\int_0^1 \Psi_\alpha^\partial(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^2} = \Psi_{\alpha+\gamma}^\partial \int_0^1 x^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}-2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^1 (\Psi_\alpha^\partial(x) - |x|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} \Psi_{\alpha+\gamma}^\partial) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^2}$$
$$= -\frac{2}{\gamma(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})} \Psi_{\alpha-1,2}^\partial + O(1).$$

as $\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}$. The second integral is analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$ since $|x|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}-2+\xi}$ is uniformly integrable on (0,1) in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{1,2}$.

We get the same residue on the other side. Thus, we get $\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{1,2}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu_{\mathrm{L}} + \mu_{\mathrm{R}})\Psi_{\alpha_{-1,2}}$ by combining with Proposition 3.2. Finally, Lemma 3.1 allows us to conclude that $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$ extends to $\alpha_{1,2}$ with the value

$$\Phi_{\alpha_{1,2}}(\mathbf{S}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha_{1,2}}\mathbb{1}) = \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{1,2}} 2\alpha(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_{2})$$
$$= 2\alpha_{1,2}(\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{2,1}) \operatorname{Res}_{\alpha = \alpha_{1,2}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_{2})$$
$$= \alpha_{1,2}(\alpha_{1,2} - \alpha_{2,1})(\mu_{\mathrm{L}} + \mu_{\mathrm{R}})\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha_{-1,2}}.$$

This concludes the proof since $\alpha_{1,2}(\alpha_{1,2}-\alpha_{2,1}) = \frac{4}{\gamma^2}(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4}).$

3.4. Second pole of \mathcal{P}_{α} and the (2,1)-HEM. In this section, we compute the residue of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2)$ at $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$, which will prove (1.5) and end the proof of Theorem 1.3. It is the content of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. We have in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2) = -\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mu\mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) + \frac{\gamma^2}{8}\mu_{\rm L}^2\mathcal{I}_{(1,1),\varnothing}^{\partial}(\alpha) - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\mu_{\rm L}\mu_{\rm R}\mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}^{\partial}(\alpha) + \frac{\gamma^2}{8}\mathcal{I}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}^{\partial}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}, \quad (3.6)$$

where \mathcal{R}_{α} is analytic at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Moreover, for $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$, we have in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$,

$$\underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}}{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}} \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}^{\partial};$$

$$\underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{I}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}^{\partial}(\alpha) = \underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{I}_{(1,1),\varnothing}^{\partial}(\alpha) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}^{\partial};$$

$$\underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}^{\partial}(\alpha) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}^{\partial}.$$
(3.7)

For $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$, all these residues vanish (the integrals are regular at $\alpha_{2,1}$).

Assuming this proposition, we can easily conclude the proof of the (2, 1)-equation.

Proof of (1.5). Using successively Lemma 3.1, (3.6) and (3.7), we find that $\Phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{S}_{2Q-\alpha}^{0}\mathbb{1})$ extends to $\alpha_{2,1}$ with the value

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{\alpha_{2,1}}(\mathbf{S}^{0}_{2Q-\alpha_{2,1}}\mathbb{1}) &= \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} 2\alpha(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_{2}) \\ &= 2\alpha_{2,1} \underset{\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \left(-\mu \frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha_{2,1} - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) + \mu_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{8} \mathcal{I}_{(1,1),\varnothing}^{\partial}(\alpha) - \mu_{\mathrm{R}} \mu_{\mathrm{R}} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} \mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}^{\partial}(\alpha) + \mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{8} \mathcal{I}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}(\alpha) \right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma^{3}}{8} \left(\mu_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} - 2\mu_{\mathrm{L}} \mu_{\mathrm{R}} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) + \mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} - \mu \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \right) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}. \end{split}$$

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3.4. The strategy is the same as in the bulk case. To prove (3.7), we use fusion estimates (Proposition 3.6) to factorise the residue into the product of primary field and a Selberg integral. The residue of the Selberg integral can be evaluated explicitly (Lemma 3.5). The analytic continuation of the Poisson operator of (3.6) is handled using integration by parts and a derivative formula for the boundary states.

3.4.1. Residues of Selberg integrals. By (A.1), the value of the Selberg integral $S_{2,2}(1, -\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4})$ is

$$S_{2,2}\left(1,-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2},-\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) = -\frac{2}{\gamma(\alpha-\alpha_{2,1})}\frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2})\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})},$$

so that $S_{2,2}(1, -\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4})$ has a simple pole at $\alpha_{2,1}$, with residue

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha=\alpha_{2,1}} S_{2,2}\left(1, -\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\frac{\gamma^2}{4})}.$$
(3.8)

The next lemma evaluates the residues of related integrals at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$. We have

$$\lim_{\alpha \nearrow \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w - \bar{w}|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \operatorname{Re}(w^{-2}) |dw|^2 = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}}{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}} \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})};$$
$$\lim_{\alpha \nearrow \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) \int_{-1}^0 \int_0^1 |x_1|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} - 1} |x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} - 1} |x_1 - x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} dx_1 dx_2 = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})}.$$

Proof. First identity.

Writing the integral in polar variables, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} |w - \bar{w}|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \operatorname{Re}(w^{-2}) |\mathrm{d}w|^2 \int_0^1 r^{-\gamma\alpha - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - 1} \mathrm{d}r \int_0^\pi (2\sin\theta)^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \cos(2\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha - \alpha_{2,1})} \int_0^\pi (2\sin(\theta))^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} (2\cos(\theta)^2 - 1) \mathrm{d}\theta.$$

We recall the formula $B(a,b) = 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(\theta)^{2a-1} \cos(\theta)^{2b-1} d\theta$ for the Beta function. Using the identity $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$, the duplication formula $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}2^{1-2z}\Gamma(2z)$, and the value $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$, the integral in

 θ equals

$$2^{2-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(\theta)^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} \cos(\theta)^{2} d\theta - 2^{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(\theta)^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} d\theta = 2^{1-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} B(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}, \frac{3}{2}) - 2^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} B(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$= 2^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \left(\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4} - 1) + \Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})\right)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}}{1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})} \sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}).$$

Second identity.

Let us consider the function

$$I(\alpha) := \int_{-1}^{0} \int_{0}^{1} |x_{1}|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}-1} |x_{2}|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}-1} |x_{2} - x_{1}|^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} |x_{1} - 1|^{-1 + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_{2} - 1|^{-1 + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} dx_{1} dx_{2},$$

which is well-defined and analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \in (-\gamma, -\frac{\gamma}{2})$. Using the change of variable $x_j = \frac{t_j - 1}{t_j + 1}$ with Jacobian $dx_j = \frac{2dt_j}{(t_j + 1)^2}, j = 1, 2$, we have by (A.2):

$$\begin{split} I(\alpha) &= 2^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \gamma \alpha} \int_0^1 \int_1^\infty |1 - t_1|^{-\frac{\gamma \alpha}{2} - 1} |1 - t_2|^{-\frac{\gamma \alpha}{2} - 1} |t_1 - t_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \\ &= 2^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \gamma \alpha} S_{2,1}(1, -\frac{\gamma \alpha}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \\ &= 2^{\frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \gamma \alpha} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4}) \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^2}{4})}{\sin \pi (\frac{\gamma \alpha}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2})} S_{2,2}(1, -\frac{\gamma \alpha}{2}, -\frac{\gamma^2}{4}). \end{split}$$

Since $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto |x_1 - 1|^{-1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_2 - 1|^{-1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}}$ is smooth and converges to 1 as $x_1, x_2 \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) \int_{-1}^{0} \int_{0}^{1} |x_{1}|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} - 1} |x_{2}|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2} - 1} |x_{1} - x_{2}|^{-\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}} dx_{1} dx_{2} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) I(\alpha) \\ &= 2^{\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} + \gamma\alpha_{2,1}} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \frac{\sin(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}{\sin \pi (\frac{\gamma\alpha_{2,1}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})} \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) S_{2,2}(-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}, 1, -\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\gamma} \cos(\pi \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}) \Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{4})}. \end{split}$$

3.4.2. Fusion estimates. From here, we are in position to conclude the computation of $\underset{\alpha=\alpha_{2,1}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2)$. We will rely on the following fusion estimates.

Proposition 3.6. The following estimates hold in C':

1. Suppose
$$\alpha + 2\gamma \ge Q$$
. Then,
 $\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(w) = |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} O(\operatorname{Im}(w)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+2\gamma-Q)^2});$
 $\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_1, x_2) = |x_1|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_1 - x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} O((|x_1| \lor |x_2|)^{\frac{1}{4}(\alpha+2\gamma-Q)^2}).$

2. Suppose $\alpha + 2\gamma < Q$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(w) &= |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} (2\mathrm{Im}(w))^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} (\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha+2\gamma} + O(|w|^{\xi})); \\ \Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) &= |x_1|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}} |x_1 - x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \left(\Psi^{\partial}_{\alpha+2\gamma} + O((|x_1| \vee |x_2|)^{\xi}) \right) \end{split}$$

for some $\xi > 0$.

The proof of this proposition is identical to that of 2.6, and we omit it. Using these estimates, we can compute the residues of $\mathcal{I}_{(2)}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\emptyset,(1,1)}$, $\mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}$, $\mathcal{I}_{(1,1),\emptyset}$ at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Proof of (3.7). Case $\gamma < \sqrt{2}$.

In this case, we have $\alpha_{-2,1} = \alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma < Q$. We write for $\alpha < \alpha_{2,1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) &= \Psi_{\alpha+2\gamma}^{\partial} \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} (2\mathrm{Im}(w))^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \mathrm{Re}(w^{-2}) |\mathrm{d}w|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}} (\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(w) - |w|^{-\gamma\alpha} (2\mathrm{Im}(w))^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \Psi_{\alpha+2\gamma}^{\partial}) \mathrm{Re}(w^{-2}) |\mathrm{d}w|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By item 2. of Proposition 3.6, the last line is absolutely convergent and analytic in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. By Lemma 3.5, we deduce the following limit in C':

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_{2,1}} (\alpha - \alpha_{2,1}) \mathcal{I}_{(2)}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\frac{\gamma^2}{4}}{1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma^2}{4})\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - \frac{\gamma^2}{4})} \Psi_{\alpha_{-2,1}}^{\partial}$$

As usual, we can deduce that this equality actually holds in $e^{-\beta c}\mathcal{H}$, which give the first line of (3.7). The proof of the two last lines of (3.7) is identical.

Case $\gamma > \sqrt{2}$.

In this case, we have $\alpha_{2,1} + 2\gamma > Q$. We only show that $\mathcal{I}_{\emptyset,(1,1)}$ is regular at $\alpha_{2,1}$. We consider the following regions of $(0,1)^2$:

$$\mathcal{D}_0 := \{ x_1 \lor x_2 \leqslant e(x_1 \land x_2) \}; \qquad \mathcal{D}_1 := \{ x_1 \leqslant e^{-1} x_2 \}.$$

We use the notation $\mathbb{I}_t := (e^{-t}, 1)$. For $r \in (0, 1)$, we have by scaling and item 1. Proposition 3.6

$$\int_{r\mathbb{I}_{2}^{2}} \Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_{2}}{x_{2}} = O(r^{-\gamma\alpha - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(Q - \alpha - 2\gamma)^{2}})$$

in \mathcal{C}' . For $\alpha = \alpha_{2,1}$, the exponent equals $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2}{\gamma} - \gamma)^2 > 0$. Hence, $\int_{\mathcal{D}_0} \Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_1, x_2) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2}$ is bounded by an absolutely convergent series in the neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$, so the integral converges and is analytic in this region.

In the region \mathcal{D}_1 , we have $|x_1 - x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}} \leq (1 - e^{-1})x_2)^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}}$. Proposition 3.6 then gives $\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_1, x_2) = O(|x_1|^{-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}}|x_2|^{-\frac{\gamma^2}{2}-\frac{\gamma\alpha}{2}})$, which is uniformly integrable on $(\mathcal{D}_1, \frac{dx_1}{x_1}\frac{dx_2}{x_2})$ in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. This concludes the proof of analyticity of $\mathcal{I}_{\emptyset,(1,1)}$ around $\alpha_{2,1}$.

3.4.3. Meromorphic continuation. Similar to the bulk version, we have the following derivative formula: for all $F \in C$,

$$\partial_{x}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x)F] = -\frac{\alpha\gamma}{2x}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x)F] - \mu\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(w;x)F]\partial_{x}G(x,w)|\mathrm{d}w|^{2} \\ -\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\int_{-1}^{0}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x,x')F]\partial_{x}G(x,x')\mathrm{d}x' - \mu_{\mathrm{R}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\int_{0}^{1}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x,x')F]\partial_{x}G(x,x')\mathrm{d}x' \\ +\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x)\nabla F(\partial G_{\partial}(x,\cdot))].$$

$$(3.9)$$

This formula is only valid provided all the terms involved are absolutely convergent, which is the case for α in a complex neighbourhood of $-\infty$. It is then extended by analytic continuation to the domain of analyticity of the RHS.

Now, we express the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\varphi_2)$ up to $\alpha_{2,1}$. As in the bulk case, the proof relies on a combination of integration by parts and the derivative formula. Fortunately, it happens to be much less tedious.

Proposition 3.7. For all $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$, we have

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{\varnothing,(2)}(\alpha) = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}(\alpha) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}_{\alpha};$$

$$(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(2),\varnothing}(\alpha) = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(1,1),\varnothing}(\alpha) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(1),(1)}(\alpha) + \mathcal{R}'_{\alpha},$$

where \mathcal{R}_{α} , \mathcal{R}'_{α} are analytic at $\alpha_{2,1}$.

Proof. By integration by parts, we have for all $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$ and all $F \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\Psi_\alpha^\partial(x)F] \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x^2} = \int_0^1 \partial_x \mathbb{E}[\Psi_\alpha^\partial(x)F] \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} - \mathbb{E}[\Psi_\alpha^\partial(1)F].$$

As usual, the last term is interpreted using the Girsanov transform. As in the proof of Proposition 2.7 (and [BW23, Section 3.3]), the validity of this formula is for $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$ where we have absolute convergence. The formula is then extended to the domain of analyticity of the RHS. Combining with (3.9) gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha - \alpha_{1,2})\mathcal{I}_{\varnothing,(2)} &= -\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\int_{-1}^{0}\int_{0}^{1}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_{1},x_{2})F]\partial_{x_{1}}G(x_{1},x_{2})\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}}{x_{1}}\mathrm{d}x_{2} \\ &\quad -\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x_{1},x_{2})F]\partial_{x_{1}}G(x_{1},x_{2})\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}}{x_{1}}\mathrm{d}x_{2} \\ &\quad -\mu\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{D}\cap\mathbb{H}}\int_{0}^{1}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(w;x_{1})F]\partial_{x_{1}}G(x_{1},w)|\mathrm{d}w|^{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}}{x_{1}} \\ &\quad +\int_{0}^{1}\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(x)\nabla F(\partial_{x_{1}}G_{\partial}(x_{1},\cdot))]\frac{\mathrm{d}x_{1}}{x_{1}}-\mathbb{E}[\Psi_{\alpha}^{\partial}(1)F] \\ &\quad =\mu_{\mathrm{L}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\mathcal{I}_{(1),(1)}^{\partial}(\alpha)-\mu_{\mathrm{R}}\frac{\gamma^{2}}{4}\mathcal{I}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}^{\partial}(\alpha)+\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

In the last line, we have defined \mathcal{R}_{α} to be the last two lines of the RHS, which are easily seen to converge and be analytic in a neighbourhood of $\alpha_{2,1}$. For the first two lines, we have symmetrised the singularity $\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1}$ in order to get the expressions $-\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(1),(1)}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{\varnothing,(1,1)}$. The previous equation is valid for $\alpha < \alpha_{1,2}$, but the RHS is analytic up to $\alpha_{2,1}$, so it expresses the meromorphic extension of $\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{\varnothing,(2)}$ in this region. The proof is identical for $\mathcal{I}^{\partial}_{(2),\varnothing}$.

Appendix A. Selberg & Dotsenko-Fateev integrals

We consider the following Selberg integrals, with the notation borrowed from [FW08, Equation (2.31)]:

$$S_{2,2}(a,b,c) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |t_1|^{a-1} |t_2|^{a-1} |1-t_1|^{b-1} |1-t_2|^{b-1} |t_2-t_1|^{2c} \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2$$

$$S_{2,1}(a,b,c) := \int_0^1 \int_1^\infty |t_1|^{a-1} |t_2|^{a-1} |1-t_1|^{b-1} |1-t_2|^{b-1} |t_1-t_2|^{2c} \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2$$

The first integral converges for $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(b) > 0$, and $\operatorname{Re}(c) > -\min\{\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Re}(a), \operatorname{Re}(b)\}$. The second integral converges for $\operatorname{Re}(a) > 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(a+b+2c) < 1$, and $\operatorname{Re}(c) > -\frac{1}{2}$. Note that $S_{2,2}(a, b, c) = S_{2,2}(b, a, c)$ by

the change of variable $t_j \mapsto 1 - t_j$, j = 1, 2. The integral $S_{2,2}$ extends meromorphically to \mathbb{C}^3 via the formula [FW08, Equation (1.1)]:

$$S_{2,2}(a,b,c) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(a+c)\Gamma(b+c)\Gamma(1+2c)}{\Gamma(a+b+c)\Gamma(a+b+2c)\Gamma(1+c)}.$$
(A.1)

According to [FW08, Equation (2.33)], we have

$$S_{2,1}(a,b,c) = \cos(\pi c) \frac{\sin \pi (a+c)}{\sin \pi (a+b+2c)} S_{2,2}(a,b,c).$$
(A.2)

The *Dotsenko-Fateev integral* is a version of the Selberg integral where the domain of integration is the complex plane. Neretin introduced a generalisation of the Dotsenko-Fateev integral [Ner22]. For a pair of complex numbers $\mathbf{a} = (a, \tilde{a})$ such that $a - \tilde{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $z^{\mathbf{a}} = z^a \tilde{z}^{\tilde{a}} = |z|^{a+\tilde{a}} e^{i(a-\tilde{a}) \arg z}$. Then, for such a triple $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, we consider

$$N(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}) := \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} w_1^{\boldsymbol{a}-1} w_2^{\boldsymbol{a}-1} (1-w_1)^{\boldsymbol{b}-1} (1-w_2)^{\boldsymbol{b}-1} (w_2-w_1)^{\boldsymbol{2c}} |\mathrm{d}w_1|^2 |\mathrm{d}w_2|^2.$$

Neretin found an exact formula for the meromorphic extension of this integral [Ner22, Corollary 1.3]:

$$N(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}) = (-1)^{\boldsymbol{c}} S_{2,2}(a, b, c) S_{2,2}(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b}, \widetilde{c}) \frac{\sin(\pi a) \sin(\pi b) \sin \pi (a + c) \sin \pi (b + c) \sin \pi (1 + 2c)}{\sin \pi (a + b + c) \sin \pi (a + b + 2c) \sin \pi (1 + c)}.$$
 (A.3)

References

- [AHS21] Morris Ang, Nina Holden, and Xin Sun. Integrability of SLE via conformal welding of random surfaces. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2104.09477, April 2021.
- [Ang23] Morris Ang. Liouville conformal field theory and the quantum zipper. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2301.13200, January 2023.
- [AR] Konstantin Aleshkin and Guillaume Remy. Probabilistic derivation of higher equations of motion in Liouville CFT. Manuscript available on the webpage of the authors.
- [ARSZ23] Morris Ang, Guillaume Remy, Xin Sun, and Tunan Zhu. Derivation of all structure constants for boundary Liouville CFT. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2305.18266, May 2023.
- [Bav19] Guillaume Baverez. Modular bootstrap agrees with the path integral in the large moduli limit. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 24:Paper No. 144, 22, 2019.
- [BB10] A. Belavin and V. Belavin. Higher equations of motion in boundary Liouville field theory. J. High Energy Phys., (2):010, 18, 2010.
- [BGK⁺23] Guillaume Baverez, Colin Guillarmou, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. The Virasoro structure and the scattering matrix for Liouville conformal field theory. to appear in Probability and Mathematical Physics, 2023.
- [BPZ84] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, and A.B. Zamolodchikov. Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 241(2):333–380, 1984.
- [BW18] Guillaume Baverez and Mo Dick Wong. Fusion asymptotics for Liouville correlation functions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1807.10207, July 2018.
- [BW23] Guillaume Baverez and Baojun Wu. Irreducibility of Virasoro representations in Liouville CFT. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2312.07344, December 2023.
- [BZ06] A. A. Belavin and Al. B. Zamolodchikov. Integrals over a moduli space, the ring of discrete states, and a four-point function in minimal Liouville gravity. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 147(3):339–371, 2006.
- [Cer] Baptiste Cerclé. Belavin-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov differential equations for boundary Liouville conformal field theory from the Ward identities. *in preparation*.
- [DFMS97] Philippe Di Francesco, Pierre Mathieu, and David Sénéchal. Conformal field theory. Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [DKRV16] François David, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Liouville quantum gravity on the Riemann sphere. Comm. Math. Phys., 342(3):869–907, 2016.
- [DKRV17] François David, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Renormalizability of Liouville quantum field theory at the Seiberg bound. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 22:Paper No. 93, 26, 2017.
- [DMS21] Bertrand Duplantier, Jason Miller, and Scott Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity as a mating of trees. Astérisque, (427):viii+257, 2021.

- [DRV16] François David, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Liouville quantum gravity on complex tori. J. Math. Phys., 57(2):022302, 25, 2016.
- [FW08] Peter J. Forrester and S. Ole Warnaar. The importance of the Selberg integral. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 45(4):489–534, 2008.
- [FZZ00] V. Fateev, A. Zamolodchikov, and Al. Zamolodchikov. Boundary Liouville Field Theory I. Boundary State and Boundary Two-point Function. arXiv e-prints, pages hep-th/0001012, January 2000.
- [GKRV23] Colin Guillarmou, Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Conformal bootstrap in Liouville Theory. to appear in Acta Mathematica, 2023.
- [GRV19] Colin Guillarmou, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Polyakov's formulation of 2d bosonic string theory. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 130:111–185, 2019.
- [GRVW] Colin Guillarmou, Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas, and Baojun Wu. Conformal bootstrap for open surfaces in Liouville conformal field theory. *in preparation*.
- [Kah85] Jean-Pierre Kahane. Sur le chaos multiplicatif. Ann. Sci. Math. Québec, 9(2):105–150, 1985.
- [KRV19] Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Local conformal structure of Liouville quantum gravity. Comm. Math. Phys., 371(3):1005–1069, 2019.
- [KRV20] Antti Kupiainen, Rémi Rhodes, and Vincent Vargas. Integrability of Liouville theory: proof of the DOZZ formula. Ann. of Math. (2), 191(1):81–166, 2020.
- [Ner22] Yury A. Neretin. On the Dotsenko-Fateev complex twin of the Selberg integral and its extensions. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2212.09112, December 2022.
- [Seg04] Graeme Segal. The definition of conformal field theory. In Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, volume 308 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 421–577. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [Tes01] J Teschner. Liouville theory revisited. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 18(23):R153–R222, nov 2001.
- [Zam04] A. Zamolodchikov. Higher equations of motion in Liouville field theory. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 19S2:510–523, 2004.

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUDOWER CHAUSSEE 25, 12489 BERLIN, DEUTSCHLAND Email address: guillaume.baverez@hu-berlin.de

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH (BICMR)

Email address: wubaojunmathe@outlook.com