

EXTENDED REGGE COMPLEX FOR LINEARIZED RIEMANN-CARTAN GEOMETRY AND COHOMOLOGY

SNORRE H. CHRISTIANSEN, KAIBO HU, AND TING LIN

ABSTRACT. We show that the cohomology of the Regge complex in three dimensions is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$, the infinitesimal-rigid-body-motion-valued de Rham cohomology. Based on an observation that the twisted de Rham complex extends the elasticity (Riemannian deformation) complex to the linearized version of coframes, connection 1-forms, curvature and Cartan's torsion, we construct a discrete version of linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry on any triangulation and determine its cohomology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Differential complexes encode algebraic and analytic structures of many problems. Discrete versions of differential complexes play a crucial role in structure-preserving discretizations of PDEs [1, 2] and other applications [31]. The elasticity (Riemannian deformation) complex is an important example, which includes the strain and stress tensors of linear elasticity, and correspondingly, metric and linearized curvature of Riemannian geometry. On the discrete level, Regge calculus [36] defines discrete metrics using the edge lengths of a triangulation. The curvature is represented by angle deficits on the codimension-2 simplices, called hinges. Christiansen [11] interpreted Regge calculus as a finite element scheme (referred to as Regge finite element below) and extended the Regge space to a discrete version of the elasticity complex (Regge complex). This interaction between finite elements and Regge calculus has inspired numerical schemes in solid mechanics and general relativity and studies of discretizations of curvature from a numerical analysis perspective [4, 12, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 33].

The elasticity complex can be obtained as an example of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) machinery [3, 7, 9]. Indeed it can be derived from several copies of the de Rham complex. An intermediate step for deriving the BGG complexes (e.g., the elasticity complex) from de Rham complexes is the so-called twisted complexes, which include algebraic operators mixing the components. It was observed in [7, 26] that the twisted and BGG complexes have neat correspondences in continuum mechanics:

	twisted complex	BGG complex
1D	Timoshenko beam	Euler-Bernoulli beam
2D	Reissner-Mindlin plate	Kirchhoff-Love plate
3D	Cosserat elasticity	standard elasticity

Roughly speaking, the twisted complexes encode more complete models including microscopic rotational degrees of freedom. The BGG machinery is a cohomology-preserving procedure which eliminates the rotational components and gives a reduced model. The

above observations concern Hodge-Laplacian problems at index 0 of complexes (Poisson-type problems, with an analogy to the de Rham complex). In elasticity, Kröner described continuum defects as incompatibility (curvature), which is included in the elasticity complex at index 1 (Maxwell-type problems). See, for example, [28]. Roughly speaking, 0-forms correspond to compatible models, and higher-order forms correspond to defect theories of a continuum. This direction inspired us to investigate higher-order forms in the twisted complexes. In fact, formulas for defect theories of the Cosserat continuum already show connections to the twisted de Rham complex [23] in the context of homotopy inverses [8].

In this paper, we observe that the elasticity version of the twisted complexes in 3D encodes coframes and connection 1-forms (at index one), torsion and curvature (at index two), respectively. Therefore the entire complex complies with the observation above that the twisted complex describes more complete models: for high-order forms, *the (linearized) twisted complex encodes Riemann-Cartan geometry, and the BGG construction provides a cohomology-preserving machinery to eliminate the torsion to obtain Riemannian geometry.*

At the discrete level, we extend the Regge sequence [11] to a discrete version of the twisted complex. Consequently, we obtain a possible definition of *discrete torsion* (see Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. BGG diagram, twisted de Rham complexes and BGG complexes.

We also address another open problem: the cohomology of the Regge complex. On the continuous level, it is well known that some existence and uniqueness results related to metric and curvature rely on topology. For example, the “fundamental theorem of

Riemannian geometry” [15] (reformulated as the exactness of a nonlinear elasticity complex [26]) assumes simply connected domains. Although Regge calculus/finite elements have drawn significant attention, its cohomology remains an open question, to the best of our knowledge. For Whitney forms, the degrees of freedom have a clear topological correspondence: in the lowest order case, k -forms are discretized on k -chains. For the Regge complex, the degrees of freedom also enjoy a neat discrete topological/geometric interpretation (see [27, Section 3]). Nevertheless, establishing its cohomology is nontrivial. Some classical approaches, e.g., Christiansen’s Finite Element Systems [10, 14] and Licht’s double complex approach [30] do not seem to provide an immediate answer. In this paper, we establish the cohomology of the Regge complex using a similar strategy developed in [27] for the Hessian and divdiv complexes. Using the BGG machinery, we further conclude concerning the cohomology of the twisted complex (for discrete linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry). Clarifying the cohomology lays the foundation for further investigating numerical and discrete geometric problems.

In recent years, various “intrinsic (distributional) finite elements” (in the sense that the elements have canonical degrees of freedom with differential form interpretations and are invariant under transforms) have been developed and implemented [38] for solving various problems. For example, the TDNNS method [34] uses normal-normal continuous symmetric matrices to discretize the stress tensor in elasticity; the MCS method [19] uses tangential-normal continuous traceless matrices to discretize a stress-like variable in fluid problems. The elements developed in [27] fit in the Hessian and divdiv complexes. The spaces and sequences developed in this paper thus bear the potential for solving PDEs in the vein of distributional finite elements in the above examples.

Discrete vector bundles and curvature have been investigated from finite element perspectives [4, 5, 13, 20]. The patterns and schemes developed in this paper may also pave the way for further development of nonlinear and curved versions of discrete Riemann-Cartan geometry. Moreover, Cosserat elasticity and general relativity inspired Cartan’s development of torsion [24, 39], and conversely, torsion and curvature are used to describe continuum defects (dislocation and disclination) [41, 42]. The connections to continuous and discrete complexes established in this paper may shed light on a systematic development of a cohomological approaches for modelling, analysis and computation for generalized continuum, as differential complexes and the BGG machinery may find their mirrors in mechanics categorytheoretically. However, further developments in these directions, as well as comparisons with existing literature on incorporating torsion in Regge calculus (e.g., [25, 35, 37]), are beyond the scope of this paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce preliminaries and notation. In Section 3, we observe that the twisted complex encodes the linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry at the continuous level. In Section 4, we extend the Regge sequence to obtain a discrete version of the twisted complex. Finally, in Section 5, the cohomology of the Regge sequence (and thus of the twisted complex) is determined.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

In this section, we set up the notation that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Topology and differential operators. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a polyhedral domain and Δ be a triangulation (simplicial complex) of Ω , and we use V, E, F, K to denote the set of vertices, edges, faces, and 3D cells, respectively. We use V_0 and V_∂ to denote the set of internal vertices and boundary vertices, respectively (similarly for E and F). Given a simplex $\sigma \in \Delta$, let $\text{st}(\sigma) := \cup\{K : \sigma \subset K\}$ be the local patch with respect to σ . For example, $\text{st}(v)$ and $\text{st}(e)$ refer to the vertex patch and the edge patch, respectively. We use $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega)$ to denote the de Rham cohomology.

Let K be a tetrahedron and $f \subset K$ be a face. We use n_f to denote the unit normal vector of f , and t_i^f are the two unit tangential vectors of f , which are perpendicular to each other. Let e be an edge. Then t_e is the tangent vector, and n_1^e and n_2^e are the two normal vectors.

We introduce the relative homology with coefficient in V , $\mathcal{H}_\bullet(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta)$. Here, the chain group $C_k(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta)$ is generated by k -simplices in $\Delta \setminus \partial\Delta$. Given an interior k -simplex $\sigma = [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k]$ and an interior $(k-1)$ -simplex τ , define

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{O}(\tau, \sigma) := \begin{cases} (-1)^{j+1} & \text{if } \tau = [x_0, x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_k] \text{ for some index } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The boundary operator is then defined as

$$(2.2) \quad \partial_k \sigma := \sum_{\tau \in \Delta_{k-1}} \mathcal{O}(\tau, \sigma) \tau,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta) := \ker(\partial_k) / \text{ran}(\partial_{k-1}).$$

Clearly, ∂_k induces a linear operator from $C_k(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta)$ to $C_{k-1}(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta)$. We have the following results on the relative homology.

Theorem 2.1. (1) (*Universal Coefficient Theorem*). $\mathcal{H}_k(\Delta, V; \partial\Delta) \cong \mathcal{H}_k(\Delta; \partial\Delta) \otimes V$.

(2) (*de Rham Theorem*). In three dimensions, $\mathcal{H}_k(\Delta; \partial\Delta) \cong \mathcal{H}_{dR}^{3-k}(\Omega)$.

Throughout the paper, we use the convention that differential operators grad, curl and div are row-wise when they are applied to a matrix field. We also use the nabla notation: for example, column-wise $(\nabla v)_{ij} = \partial_i v_j$ and row-wise $(v \nabla)_{ij} = \partial_j v_i$. Therefore $\text{grad } v = v \nabla$. The definition for column-wise $\nabla \times w$, $\nabla \cdot w$ and row-wise $w \times \nabla$, $w \cdot \nabla$ is similar.

Following [3, 7], we use vector/matrix proxies: tSpecify the 3D.

V	\mathbb{R}^3
M	the space of all 3×3 -matrices
S	symmetric matrices
K	skew symmetric matrices
T	trace-free matrices
$\text{skw} : M \rightarrow K$	skew symmetric part of a matrix
$\text{sym} : M \rightarrow S$	symmetric part of a matrix
$\text{tr} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	matrix trace
$\iota : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$	the map $\iota u := uI$ identifying a scalar with a scalar matrix
$\text{dev} : M \rightarrow T$	deviator (trace-free part of a matrix) given by $\text{dev } u := u - 1/3 \text{tr}(u)I$

In three space dimensions, we identify a skew-symmetric matrix with a vector,

$$\text{mskw} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v_3 & v_2 \\ v_3 & 0 & -v_1 \\ -v_2 & v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have $\text{mskw}(v)w = v \times w$ for $v, w \in \mathbb{V}$. We also define $\text{vskw} = \text{mskw}^{-1} \circ \text{skw} : \mathbb{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$. We further define the Hessian operator $\text{hess} := \text{grad} \circ \text{grad}$, the linearized deformation operator $\text{def} = \text{sym} \circ \text{grad}$, and for any matrix-valued function u , $\mathcal{S}u := u^T - \text{tr}(u)I$. Define the incompatibility operator (linearized Einstein tensor) $\text{inc} := \text{curl} \circ \mathbb{T} \circ \text{curl}$, i.e., $\text{inc} \sigma := \nabla \times \sigma \times \nabla$.

We introduce Dirac measures on (sub)simplices. Given a simplex σ , we define the scalar, vector and matrix-valued delta δ_σ , $\delta_\sigma[a]$ and $\delta_\sigma[A]$ by

$$\langle \delta_\sigma, u \rangle = \int_\sigma u, \quad \langle \delta_\sigma[a], v \rangle = \int_\sigma a \cdot v, \quad \langle \delta_\sigma[A], W \rangle = \int_\sigma A : W,$$

for smooth scalar, vector and matrix-valued functions u , v , and W , respectively. Here $a \in \mathbb{V}$ and $A \in \mathbb{M}$.




FIGURE 2. Regge complex (2.3) ([11]).

2.2. Finite element spaces and the Regge complex. We recall the Regge complex (see Figure 2):

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Lag} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \mathbf{Reg} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} (\mathbf{Reg}_0)' \xrightarrow{\text{div}} (\mathbf{Lag}_0)' \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here, the space \mathbf{Lag} stands for the lowest-order Lagrange element space, defined as

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{Lag} := \{u \in C^0(\Omega; \mathbb{V}) : u|_K \in \mathcal{P}_1(K) \otimes \mathbb{V} \text{ for each cell } K\}.$$

The degrees of freedom of \mathbf{Lag} are $u \mapsto u(x)$ at each vertex $x \in \mathbb{V}$. The space \mathbf{Reg} represents the Regge element space, consisting of piecewise constant symmetric-matrix-valued functions with tangential-tangential interelement continuity, defined as

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{Reg} := \{\sigma \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{S}) : \sigma|_K \in \mathbb{S} \text{ for each cell } K, \\ n_f \times \sigma \times n_f \text{ is continuous on each internal face } f\}.$$

The degrees of freedom of \mathbf{Reg} are $\sigma \mapsto \int_e (t_e \cdot \sigma \cdot t_e)|_e$ for each $e \in \mathbb{E}$; see [11]. The space \mathbf{Reg} has weak regularity (with continuous tangential-tangential components across the boundaries of cells) and the remaining two spaces consist of distributions. Define

$$(\mathbf{Lag}_0)' := \text{span}\{\delta_x[v] : v \in \mathbb{V}, x \in \mathbb{V}_0\},$$

where $\delta_x[v] : u \mapsto (u \cdot v)(x)$ is the vertex Dirac delta for $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and vertex x ; and

$$(\mathbf{Reg}_0)' := \text{span}\{\delta_e[t_e t_e^T] : e \in \mathbf{E}_0\},$$

where $\delta_e[t_e t_e^T] : \sigma \mapsto \int_e t_e \cdot \sigma \cdot t_e$ for an edge e is the tangential-tangential edge delta.

The operators in (2.3) are defined in the sense of distributions, i.e., def, inc and div are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{def } u, \sigma \rangle &= -\langle u, \text{div } \sigma \rangle, \forall \sigma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S}), \\ \langle \text{inc } \sigma, \tau \rangle &= \langle \sigma, \text{inc } \tau \rangle, \forall \tau \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S}), \\ \langle \text{div } \sigma, u \rangle &= -\langle \sigma, \text{def } u \rangle, \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{V}). \end{aligned}$$

In [11], it was shown that the sequence (2.3) is a complex.

For later use, we define some vector and finite element spaces. Let $\mathcal{RM} := \ker(\text{def}) = \{x \mapsto a + b \times x : a, b \in \mathbb{V}\}$ be the space of infinitesimal rigid motions in three dimensions. The first-type Nédélec space of the lowest order [32] is

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{Ned} := \{u : u|_K \in \mathcal{RM} \text{ for each cell } K : u \times n_f \text{ is continuous on each face } f\}.$$

The degrees of freedom are $u \mapsto \int_e u \cdot t_e$. The second-type Nédélec space of the lowest order [32] is

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{Ned}^c := \{u : u|_K \in \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V} \text{ for each cell } K, u \times n_f \text{ is continuous on each face } f\}.$$

The degrees of freedom can be given by $u \mapsto \int_e (u \cdot t_e)p$, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(e)$.

3. LINEARIZED RIEMANN-CARTAN GEOMETRY AND TWISTED COMPLEXES

In this section, we observe that the elasticity version of the twisted de Rham complex corresponds to the linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry [40]. We mainly follow the notation of [24].

Recall that the BGG diagram for deriving the elasticity complex is

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{0,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \Lambda^{1,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \Lambda^{2,1} & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \Lambda^{3,1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \nearrow -\text{mskw} & & \nearrow \mathcal{S} & & \nearrow 2 \text{vskw} & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda^{0,2} & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & \Lambda^{1,2} & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & \Lambda^{2,2} & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \Lambda^{3,2} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here $\Lambda^{i,j}$ is the space of j -form-valued i -forms. When vector/matrix proxies are used, $\Lambda^{0,j}$ and $\Lambda^{3,j}$ corresponds to vector-valued functions, while $\Lambda^{1,j}$ and $\Lambda^{2,j}$ are matrix-valued functions, for $j = 1, 2$. Then the exterior derivatives and the connecting algebraic maps have the form shown in the diagram (3.1).

The twisted complex derived from the diagram (3.1) is

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{0,1} \\ \Lambda^{0,2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^0} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{1,1} \\ \Lambda^{1,2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^1} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{2,1} \\ \Lambda^{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^2} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda^{3,1} \\ \Lambda^{3,2} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}^\bullet = \begin{pmatrix} d^\bullet & -S^\bullet \\ 0 & d^\bullet \end{pmatrix}$ are the twisted operators, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{grad} & \text{mskw} \\ 0 & \text{grad} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{curl} & -\mathcal{S} \\ 0 & \text{curl} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{div} & -2 \text{vskw} \\ 0 & \text{div} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The fact that (3.2) is a complex is a consequence of the (anti)commutativity of the diagram (3.1) (formally, $dS = -Sd$, with d^\bullet and S^\bullet of corresponding degrees).

In the rest of this section, we will fit various quantities and operators of linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry in the twisted complex (3.2).

Let $e_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, n$ be a frame, and $\theta^\beta, \beta = 1, \dots, n$ be its dual basis, i.e., a coframe. The connection is introduced as 1-forms: $\Gamma_\alpha^\beta := \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta dx^i$. Here, the Latin alphabet indicates coordinate indices, while Greek letters indicate (anholonomic) frame indices. Therefore $\Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta dx^i$ corresponds to a transform from a frame basis to a frame basis. Given a coframe and a connection (both 1-forms), one can define torsion 2-form [24, (3)] and curvature 2-form [24, (4)]:

$$(3.3) \quad T^\alpha := D\theta^\alpha := d\theta^\alpha + \Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta,$$

$$(3.4) \quad R_\alpha^\beta := d\Gamma_\alpha^\beta + \Gamma_\gamma^\beta \wedge \Gamma_\alpha^\gamma.$$

Here d is the exterior derivative and D is the covariant exterior derivative. At this point, we should understand Γ_α^β as a tensor with three indices, as $\Gamma_\alpha^\beta = \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta dx^i$. Assuming that we have a Riemann-Cartan connection, i.e., we have a metric g and the connection is compatible with g ($Dg = 0$). By the definition of D , we have, for the symmetric part, $\Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}dg_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma_\beta^\gamma$ [24, (8)]. Moreover, we assume that the (co)frame is orthonormal, i.e., $g_{\alpha\beta}$ corresponds to the identity matrix (or with some -1 in the Minkowski case). Therefore, $\Gamma_{(\alpha\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}dg_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. This implies that $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ is skew-symmetric with its two indices. Now, to see correspondence to (3.1) where the quantities are matrix-valued functions, we can regard $\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta} := g_{\alpha\gamma}\Gamma_{i\beta}^\gamma$ as a tensor with two indices (matrices) as we can compress α, β to one index.

Now we consider the linearization of (3.3) and (3.4) around trivial connections ($\Gamma_\beta^\alpha = 0$) and $\theta^\alpha = dx^\alpha$. Under linearization, we can freely lower or raise indices. This means that we can now regard Γ_α^β as a matrix. The first term in (3.4) is just d of a skew-symmetric matrix-valued 1-form, which corresponds to a curl. The second term $\Gamma_\gamma^\beta \wedge \Gamma_\alpha^\gamma$ is nonlinear and vanishes in the linearization. Therefore, we write (3.4) as $R_{\alpha\beta} = \text{curl } \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}$. Similarly, the first term in (3.3), $d\theta^\alpha$ corresponds to a curl of a matrix. The second term $\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta$ is nonlinear and two terms come out from the linearization:

$$(3.5) \quad \mathring{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta + \Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \mathring{\theta}^\beta,$$

where $\mathring{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha = 0$ and $\mathring{\theta}^\beta = dx^\beta$ indicate the background quantities. The first term vanishes as $\mathring{\Gamma}_\beta^\alpha = 0$, and therefore only $\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \mathring{\theta}^\beta$ remains. Below we show that the linearization of the term $\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \mathring{\theta}^\beta$ exactly corresponds to $\mathcal{S}\Gamma_\beta^\alpha$ with connections to the diagram (3.1). In fact, given $\Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha dx^i$ which corresponds to a skew-symmetric matrix (suppressing α and β), we want to know what is the operation that takes it to $\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge \mathring{\theta}^\beta$. Since

$$\Gamma_\beta^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta = \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha dx^i \wedge dx^\beta,$$

the operation corresponds to skew-symmetrization of $\Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha$ with respect to i and β . With vector/matrix proxies, the above map is summarized as follows, with $\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$ and the

skew-symmetrization $\epsilon^{i\beta\gamma}\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$ viewed as matrices:

$$T_{i\mu} := \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu}^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta} \mapsto \Gamma_{i\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\mu\alpha}{}_{\beta}T_{i\mu} \rightarrow \epsilon^{i\beta\gamma}\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta} = T^{\alpha\gamma} - (\text{tr } T)\delta^{\alpha\gamma} = (\mathcal{S}T)^{\alpha\gamma}.$$

Here we start with a matrix $T_{i\mu}$, which is the axial vector version of $\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$ (vskw with respect to α, β). The first map recovers $\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$ from $T_{i\mu}$. The second map is to take the skew-symmetrization with respect to i, β and identify the result with an axial vector (vskw). The resulting map from $T_{i\mu}$ to $\epsilon^{i\beta\gamma}\Gamma_{i\alpha\beta}$ exactly corresponds to the \mathcal{S} operator in (3.1).

To summarize, assuming that we are given a background consisting of an orthonormal coframe θ^{α} and a Riemann-Cartan connection $\mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta}^{\alpha}$, which are both 1-forms, then the linearized torsion 2-form and the curvature 2-form operators are given by (in terms of proxies)

$$(3.6) \quad T = \text{curl } \theta + \mathcal{S}\Gamma,$$

$$(3.7) \quad R = \text{curl } \Gamma,$$

or in a more compact form,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} T \\ R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & \mathcal{S} \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \Gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

The right hand side is the operator \mathcal{A}^1 in (3.2) with an adjusted sign.

With (3.8), we observe the correspondence between linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry and the twisted complex (3.2): $\Lambda^{1,1}$ corresponds to coframe; $\Lambda^{1,2}$ corresponds to connection 1-forms; $\Lambda^{2,1}$ corresponds to torsion; $\Lambda^{2,2}$ corresponds to curvature.

4. EXTENDED REGGE COMPLEXES AND DISCRETE RIEMANN-CARTAN GEOMETRY

In Section 3, we observed that the twisted complex (3.2) corresponds to quantities and operators in the linearized Riemann-Cartan geometry. The twisted complex encodes additional information compared to the BGG complex. In the current context, torsion appears in the twisted complex, while in the BGG construction, the torsion part is eliminated. Thus the resulting BGG complex (the elasticity complex) only describes Riemannian geometry.

Regge finite elements and the corresponding sequence are a neat discrete version of the elasticity complex (Riemannian geometry). A natural question arises: is there a discrete version of the twisted complex (3.2) which extends the Regge sequence in the sense that the Regge sequence can be derived from it on the continuous level? If such an extension exists, the result would be a natural candidate for a discrete version of Riemann-Cartan geometry, in particular, the torsion tensors.

In this section, we construct such an extension. The spaces are summarized in the following diagram:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V_h^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & V_h^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & V_h^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & V_h^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \nearrow -\text{mskw} & & \nearrow \mathcal{S} & & \nearrow 2\text{vskw} & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W_h^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{grad}} & W_h^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & W_h^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & W_h^3 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The twisted complex derived from the diagram (4.1) is thus

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V_h^0 \\ W_h^0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^0} \begin{pmatrix} V_h^1 \\ W_h^1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^1} \begin{pmatrix} V_h^2 \\ W_h^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}^2} \begin{pmatrix} V_h^3 \\ W_h^3 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The spaces in the (V^\bullet, d^\bullet) complex are as follows:

- V_h^0 : the vector-valued linear Lagrange space **Lag**. The degrees of freedom are given by the vertex evaluation of each component.
- V_h^1 : the Regge element enriched with piecewise constant skew-symmetric matrices, which can be written as

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \{\text{mskw}(c) : c \in L^2(\Delta; \mathbb{V}) \text{ is piecewise constant}\}.$$

Another way to obtain this space is to use piecewise constants (including both symmetric and skew-symmetric modes) as the shape functions and use the edge evaluation $u \mapsto \int_e t_e \cdot u \cdot t_e$ and three interior modes (against constant skew-symmetric matrices) as the degrees of freedom. Note that the symmetric part still has tangential-tangential continuity across faces, while the skew-symmetric part does not. The dimension of V_h^1 is $\#\mathbb{E} + 3\#\mathbb{K}$.

- $V_h^2 := \text{span}_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \{\delta_f[nt_1^T], \delta_f[nt_2^T], \delta_f[t_1 t_1^T + t_2 t_2^T]\}$. Here

$$\langle \delta_f[nt_i^T], \phi \rangle := \int_f n_f \cdot \phi \cdot t_i^f dx,$$

$$\langle \delta_f[t_1 t_1^T + t_2 t_2^T], \phi \rangle := \int_f t_1^f \cdot \phi \cdot t_1^f + t_2^f \cdot \phi \cdot t_2^f dx.$$

- V_h^3 consists of edge normal deltas, i.e., $V_h^3 := \text{span}_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0} \{\delta_e[n_i] : i = 1, 2\}$.

The lower complex (W_h^\bullet, d^\bullet) consists of three copies of the lowest order distributional de Rham elements [6, 30] (see Figure 3). Specifically, W_h^0 is the piecewise constant space, and

$$W_h^1 := \text{span}_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \{\delta_f[c \otimes n_f] : c \in \mathbb{V}\}, \quad W_h^2 := \text{span}_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0} \{\delta_e[c \otimes t_e] : c \in \mathbb{V}\},$$

$$W_h^3 := \text{span}_{x \in \mathbb{V}_0} \{\delta_x[c] : c \in \mathbb{V}\}.$$

By the definition of distributional derivatives, the sequence (W_h^\bullet, d^\bullet) is a complex. This can also be verified using the fact that (W_h^\bullet, d^\bullet) is the dual of the finite de Rham complex consisting of the lowest order Whitney forms [2].




FIGURE 3. Distributional de Rham complex [6, 30], lower row (W_h^\bullet, d^\bullet) of (4.1).

Below we check that the differential and algebraic operators are well defined, i.e., they map to the correct spaces.

- (1)
- $\text{grad } V_h^0 \subset V_h^1$
- .

The edge tangential-tangential continuity comes from the fact that V_h^0 is continuous.

- (2)
- $\text{curl } V_h^1 \subset V_h^2$
- .

If u is a piecewise constant, then by definition, for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{M})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{curl } u, \varphi \rangle &= \langle u, \text{curl } \varphi \rangle = \sum_K [(\text{curl } u, \varphi) + (u, \varphi \times n_f)_{\partial K}] \\ &= - \sum_K (u \times n_f, \varphi)_{\partial K} = - \langle \sum_{f \in F_0} \delta_f \llbracket u \times n_f \rrbracket_f, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\llbracket c \rrbracket_f = \sum_{K \supset f} \mathcal{O}(f, K) c|_K$ is the jump across the face f , which is frequently used in the DG context. For $\sigma \in \mathbf{Reg}$, the tangential-tangential components are continuous, then the above calculation shows that the curl of \mathbf{Reg} is spanned by $\delta_f [n_f \otimes t_i^f]$.

Next we compute the remaining term, namely, $\text{curl mskw } c$, where c is a piecewise constant vector. Then using the above formula, we have

$$\langle \text{mskw}(c), \text{curl } \phi \rangle = \sum_{\partial K} - \langle \text{mskw}(c) \times n_f, \varphi \rangle.$$

Moreover, we have $\text{mskw}(c) \times n_f = -(c \cdot n_f)I + n_f \otimes c$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -[c \cdot n_f]I + n_f \otimes [c] &= -[c \cdot n_f](n_f \otimes n_f + t_1^f \otimes t_1^f + t_2^f \otimes t_2^f) + [c \cdot n_f]n_f \otimes n_f \\ &\quad + [c \cdot t_1^f]n_f \otimes t_1^f + [c \cdot t_2^f]n_f \otimes t_2^f \\ &= -[c \cdot n_f](t_1^f \otimes t_1^f + t_2^f \otimes t_2^f) + [c \cdot t_1^f]n_f \otimes t_1^f + [c \cdot t_2^f]n_f \otimes t_2^f. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\text{curl mskw } c$ is in the span of $\delta_F [n \otimes t_i^f]$ and $\delta_F [t_1^f \otimes t_1^f + t_2^f \otimes t_2^f]$ on faces.

- (3)
- $\text{div } V_h^2 \subset V_h^3$
- .

For $c \in \mathbb{V}$, $t = t_1^f$ or t_2^f ,

$$\langle \text{div } \delta_f [ct^T], \varphi \rangle := \langle \delta_f [ct^T], \text{grad } \varphi \rangle = \int_f c \cdot (\varphi \nabla) \cdot t.$$

We can integrate by parts the tangential derivatives to edges and this becomes normal deltas on edges.

- (4)
- $\text{mskw } W_h^0 \subset V_h^1$
- . Obvious.

- (5)
- $\mathcal{S}W_h^1 \subset V_h^2$
- .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{S}\delta_f [c \otimes n_f], \varphi \rangle &:= \langle \delta_f [c \otimes n_f], \varphi^T - \text{tr}(\varphi)I \rangle \\ &= \int_f (n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_1^f)(c \cdot t_1^f) + (n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_2^f)(c \cdot t_2^f) + (n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot n_f)(c \cdot n_f) \\ &\quad - (c \cdot n_f)(n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot n_f + t_1^f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_1^f + t_2^f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_2^f) \\ &= \int_f (n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_1^f)(c \cdot t_1^f) + (n_f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_2^f)(c \cdot t_2^f) - (c \cdot n_f)(t_1^f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_1^f + t_2^f \cdot \varphi \cdot t_2^f). \end{aligned}$$

(6) $\text{vskw } W_h^2 \subset V_h^3$.

$$\langle \text{vskw } \delta_e[c \otimes t_e], \varphi \rangle := \langle \delta_e[c \otimes t_e], \text{mskw } \varphi \rangle = \int_e c \cdot \text{mskw } \varphi \cdot t_e = \int_e c \cdot \varphi \times t_e.$$

This corresponds to the normal component of φ on edges.

As we now have a BGG diagram (4.1), roughly speaking, we can eliminate the skew-symmetric components from the diagram and obtain the Regge sequence from (4.1). Nevertheless, to claim that the cohomology of (4.1) is isomorphic to the cohomology of the Regge sequence (which we will derive in the next section), we should make a remark on the technicality. In [3, 7], the BGG complexes involve spaces $\text{ran}(S^\bullet)^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of the range of S^\bullet , and operators $P_{\text{ran}(S^\bullet)^\perp}$, the orthogonal projection to the corresponding spaces. We have not defined any inner product or orthogonality in the setting of (4.1) yet. However, such orthogonality in [3, 7] is only to simplify the presentation, which is not essential in the spirit of [9]. This can be seen from the presentation in [7], where the pseudo-inverse of S^\bullet , namely, the T^\bullet operators, are introduced. The identity $\text{ran}(S^\bullet)^\perp = \ker(T^\bullet)$ implies that we can get rid of the inner product by replacing $\text{ran}(S^\bullet)^\perp$ by $\ker(T^\bullet)$ and $P_{\text{ran}(S^\bullet)^\perp}$ by $I - S^\bullet \circ T^\bullet$ (in the example of the elasticity complex, this means that $\text{ran}(\text{mskw})^\perp = \ker(\text{vskw})$, and $\text{sym} = I - \text{mskw} \circ \text{vskw}$). The proof of cohomology only relies on the latter forms.

In the setting of (4.1), we can define the T^\bullet operators. In fact, as the continuous level, define

$$T^1 := -\text{vskw}, \quad T^1 := \mathcal{S}^{-1}, \quad T^2 := \frac{1}{2} \text{mskw}.$$

It is obvious that T^1 maps V_h^1 to W_h^0 and \mathcal{S}^{-1} maps V_h^2 to W_h^1 (as \mathcal{S} is bijective). It remains to show that vskw maps V_h^3 to W_h^2 . Let $\sigma = \sum_{e \in E_0} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_e^i \delta_e[n_i] \in V_h^3$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{M})$. Then

$$\langle \text{mskw } \sigma, \varphi \rangle = \langle \sigma, \text{vskw } \varphi \rangle = \sum_{e \in E_0} \sum_{i=1}^2 c_e^i \int_e \text{vskw } \varphi \cdot n_i.$$

Note that

$$\text{vskw } \varphi \cdot n_i = \text{tr}(\text{skw } \varphi \times n_i) = t_e \cdot \text{skw } \varphi \times n_i \cdot t_e + n_1 \cdot \text{skw } \varphi \times n_i \cdot n_1 + n_2 \cdot \text{skw } \varphi \times n_i \cdot n_2.$$

The first term involves the tangential component of φ . For the last two terms, one cancels ($n_i \times n_i = 0$) and another involves $n_1 \times n_2 = t_e$, which leads to the tangential component of φ . This shows that $\text{mskw } \sigma$ is a sum of tangential delta on the edges, which is in W_h^2 .

Now we have the necessary ingredients to run the BGG construction [3, 7] to obtain the discrete twisted complex (4.2) and the discrete BGG complex (2.3) (Regge sequence) from the diagram (4.1). The following result is a consequence.

Theorem 4.1. *The sequence (4.2) is a complex, and its cohomology is isomorphic to that of the Regge complex (2.3).*

5. COHOMOLOGY OF THE REGGE COMPLEX

In this section, we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *The cohomology of the Regge complex (2.3) is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology with \mathcal{RM} coefficients $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$.*

Due to Theorem 4.1, this also implies the cohomology of the twisted complex (4.2).

The proof of Theorem 5.1 follows several steps: we consider a series of auxiliary complexes and show that the cohomology of each of them is isomorphic to the \mathcal{RM} -valued de Rham cohomology. The first one is (5.14) below, starting with the space of piecewise rigid motion $C^{-1}\mathcal{RM} \cong C_3(\Delta; \partial\Delta) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$. The cohomology of this complex is identified with the simplicial homology. Then, we follow a series of steps to increase the regularity of (5.14) to eventually get the Regge sequence. More specifically, the second auxiliary sequence is (5.22), which starts from the first-type Nédélec element space **Ned** (2.6) (imposing tangential continuity); the third one is (5.27), starting with the second-type Nédélec element space **Ned^c** (2.7). With one more step of diagram chase, we derive the Regge sequence. In the rest of this section, we provide details.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that $p = x \mapsto a + b \times x \in \mathcal{RM}$, and A is a traceless matrix-valued function. Then $p \cdot \text{curl } A \cdot n = \text{curl}(p \cdot A)n + \frac{1}{2}n \cdot A \cdot \text{curl } p$.*

Proof. We have

$$(5.1) \quad \text{curl}(p \cdot A) \cdot n = \text{curl}(p^l A_{li} e^i) \cdot n = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \partial_i (p^l A_{lj}) n^k = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \partial_i p^l A_{lj} n^k + \varepsilon^{ij}_k p^l \partial_i A_{lj} n^k.$$

The second term $\varepsilon^{ij}_k p^l \partial_i A_{lj} n^k$ is $p \cdot \text{curl } A \cdot n$, which is our desired term. Suppose $p = a + b \times x$, the first term is

$$\varepsilon^{ij}_k \partial_i p^l A_{lj} n^k = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \partial_i (\varepsilon^{l}_{uv} b^u x^v) A_{lj} n^k = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \varepsilon^{l}_{uv} b^u \partial_i (x^v) A_{lj} n^k.$$

Since $\partial_i x^v = \delta_i^v$, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \varepsilon^{ij}_k \partial_i p^l A_{lj} n^k = \varepsilon^{ij}_k \varepsilon^{l}_{ui} b^u A_{lj} n^k = (\delta_{uk} \delta^{lj} - \delta_u^j \delta_k^l) b^u A_{lj} n^k = -b^j A_{lj} n^l.$$

The last equation comes from $A_{lj} \delta^{lj} = 0$, which is due to the traceless property of A . Therefore, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \text{curl}(p \cdot A) \cdot n = p \cdot \text{curl } A \cdot n - \frac{1}{2} n \cdot A \cdot \text{curl } p.$$

Here we use $\text{curl}(b \times x) = 2b$. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let u be a vector-valued function. It holds that $\text{grad } u : (a \otimes b) - \text{def } u : (a \otimes b) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{curl } u \cdot (a \times b)$.*

Proof. The left-hand side is $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_j u_i - \partial_i u_j) a^i b^j$, and the right-hand side is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^i_{jk} [\text{curl } u]_i a^j b^k = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^i_{jk} \varepsilon^{lm} \partial_l u_m a^j b^k = -\frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^l \delta_k^m - \delta_j^m \delta_k^l) \partial_l u_m a^j b^k,$$

which is equal to the left-hand side. □

5.1. The first auxiliary complex. In this section we construct a discrete complex that starts with discontinuous \mathcal{RM} space. The idea of introducing this complex is to provide a complex that can be related to the simplicial relative homology, which is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology. To this end, we set $C^{-1}\mathcal{RM} = \{u \in L^2(\Delta) : u|_K \in \mathcal{RM}\}$ be the discontinuous piecewise \mathcal{RM} space.

For any $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$, it holds that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \text{sym grad } u, \sigma \rangle &= -\langle u, \text{div } \sigma \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} u \cdot \text{div } \sigma \\ &= -\sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \int_f \llbracket u \rrbracket_f \cdot \sigma \cdot n_f = -\sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \mathcal{O}(f, K) \int_f u|_K \cdot \sigma \cdot n_f. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\llbracket u \rrbracket_f = \sum_K \mathcal{O}(f, K) u|_K$ is the jump of u across the face f . This invokes us to set the following distribution for any \mathbb{M} -valued function σ :

$$\varphi_f^1[p] : \sigma \mapsto -\int_f \text{sym } \sigma : (p \otimes n_f).$$

Clearly, $\varphi_f^1[p]$ is a symmetric distribution. The above calculation can be summarized as:

$$(5.5) \quad \text{def } u = \sum_f \mathcal{O}(f, K) \varphi_f^1[u|_K].$$

Let $\mathbf{X}^1 := \text{span}\{\varphi_f^1[p] : f \in \mathbb{F}_0, p \in \mathcal{RM}\}$. Then $\text{def } \mathbf{X}^0 \subset \mathbf{X}^1$. Following this idea, we now determine the remaining two distribution spaces \mathbf{X}^2 and \mathbf{X}^3 . Set the \mathbb{M} valued distribution

$$(5.6) \quad \varphi_e^2[p] : \sigma \mapsto -\int_e \text{sym } \sigma : \frac{1}{2}(\text{curl } p \otimes t_e) - \int_e (\nabla \times (\text{sym } \sigma)) : (p \otimes t_e) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}),$$

and the \mathbb{V} valued distribution

$$(5.7) \quad \varphi_x^3[p] : v \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\text{curl } v \cdot p)(x) + \frac{1}{2}(v \cdot \text{curl } p)(x) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

Based on these distributions, we define $\mathbf{X}^2 = \text{span}\{\varphi_e^2[p] : p \in \mathcal{RM}, e \in \mathbb{E}_0\}$ and $\mathbf{X}^3 = \text{span}\{\varphi_x^3[p] : p \in \mathcal{RM}, x \in \mathbb{V}_0\}$.

Lemma 5.4. *It holds that*

$$(5.8) \quad \text{inc } \varphi_f^1[p] = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0} \mathcal{O}(e, f) \varphi_e^2[p],$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad \text{div } \varphi_e^2[p] = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{V}_0} \mathcal{O}(x, e) \varphi_x^3[p].$$

Proof of Lemma 5.4. By definition, $\langle \text{inc } \sigma, \tau \rangle = \langle \sigma, \text{inc } \tau \rangle$ for $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$.

Set $\sigma = \varphi_f^1[p]$ for some interior face $f \in \mathbb{F}_0$ and $p \in \mathcal{RM}$. Using Lemma 5.2, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \text{inc } \sigma, \tau \rangle &= -\int_f p \cdot \text{curl}(\nabla \times \tau) \cdot n_f \\ &= -\int_f \text{curl}(p \cdot \nabla \times \tau) \cdot n_f - \frac{1}{2} \int_f (\text{curl } p) \cdot \text{curl } \tau \cdot n_f \\ &= -\int_{\partial f} p \cdot (\nabla \times \tau) \cdot t_{\partial f} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial f} (\text{curl } p) \cdot \tau \cdot t_{\partial f}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the second line comes from (5.2) and the last line comes from the Stokes' lemma and the fact that $\text{curl } p$ is a constant.

Next, we calculate $\operatorname{div} \varphi_e^2[p]$ to obtain (5.9). For $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{V})$, it follows that

$$(5.11) \quad \langle \operatorname{div} \varphi_e^2[p], v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_e \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{grad} v : (\operatorname{curl} p \otimes t_e) + \int_e \nabla \times (\operatorname{sym} \operatorname{grad} v) : (p \otimes t_e).$$

By Lemma 5.3, the first term is

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_e \operatorname{sym} \operatorname{grad} v : (\operatorname{curl} p \otimes t_e) &= \int_e \operatorname{grad} v : (\operatorname{curl} p \otimes t_e) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} v \cdot (\operatorname{curl} p \times t_e) \\ &= \int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v \cdot \operatorname{curl} p) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} v \cdot (\operatorname{curl} p \times t_e) \\ &= \int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v \cdot \operatorname{curl} p) + \operatorname{curl} v \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used, for $p = a + b \times x$, $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{curl} p \times t_e = b \times t_e = t_e \cdot \operatorname{mskw} b = t_e \cdot \nabla p$.

The second term is

$$(5.13) \quad \int_e \nabla \times (\operatorname{sym} \operatorname{grad} v) : (p \otimes t_e) = \frac{1}{2} \int_e (\nabla \times v) \nabla : (p \otimes t_e) = \frac{1}{2} \int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{curl} v \cdot p$$

Combining the above two terms, we have

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \varphi_e^2[p], v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (v \cdot \operatorname{curl} p) + \frac{1}{2} \int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (p \cdot \operatorname{curl} v).$$

Therefore, we conclude (5.9). \square

Proposition 5.5. *The sequence*

$$(5.14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow C^{-1}\mathcal{RM} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{def}} \mathbf{X}^1 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{inc}} \mathbf{X}^2 \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div}} \mathbf{X}^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a complex, and the cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^*(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$.

Proof. The cohomology is indicated by the following diagram.

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C^{-1}\mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{def}} & \mathbf{X}^1 & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{inc}} & \mathbf{X}^2 & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \kappa^0 & & \downarrow \kappa^1 & & \downarrow \kappa^2 & & \downarrow \kappa^3 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{f \in \mathcal{F}_0} \mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{e \in \mathcal{E}_0} \mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{V}_0} \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here, we define $\kappa^0(u) = \sum_K K \otimes u|_K$, $\kappa^1(\varphi_f^1[p]) = f \otimes p$, $\kappa^2(\varphi_e^2[p]) = e \otimes p$ and $\kappa^3(\varphi_x^3[p]) = x \otimes p$. It follows from (5.5) and Lemma 5.4 that the diagram commutes. Since all κ^k are isomorphisms, we conclude the result. \square

5.2. The second auxiliary complex: tangential continuity. Next, we impose tangential continuity to the $C^{-1}\mathcal{RM}$ space. The resulting space is the first-type Nédélec element space **Ned** (2.6). Clearly, **Ned** is a subspace of $C^{-1}\mathcal{RM}$, consisting of all the functions with tangential continuity. The degrees of freedom are given by $u \mapsto \int_e u \cdot t_e$ for each edge $e \in \mathbf{E}$.

For later use, we introduce the rigid motion spaces on faces and edges. Define the projection $\Pi_f(v) = v \times n_f \times n_f = v - (v \cdot n_f)n_f$, which maps \mathbb{V} to the tangential space of f . Define the projection $\Pi_e(v) = (v \cdot t_e)t_e$, which maps \mathbb{V} to the tangential space of e . Set $\mathcal{RM}(f) = \Pi_f \mathcal{RM}$ and $\mathcal{RM}(e) = \Pi_e \mathcal{RM}$ be the rigid motion on faces and edges. Clearly, $\dim \mathcal{RM}(f) = 3$ and $\dim \mathcal{RM}(e) = 1$.

Consider the following sequence:

$$(5.16) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{K \in \mathbf{K}} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}(f) \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}(e) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here we define for $u_K \in \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}$ and $u_f \in \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}(f)$,

$$(\partial \circ \Pi)\left(\sum_{K \in \mathbf{K}} K \otimes u_K\right) = \sum_{K \in \mathbf{K}} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{O}(f, K)[f \otimes \Pi_f u_K],$$

and

$$(\partial \circ \Pi)\left(\sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} f \otimes u_f\right) = \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \sum_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{O}(e, f)[e \otimes \Pi_e u_f].$$

This follows from the fact $\Pi_e \circ \Pi_f = \Pi_e$, and $\partial \circ \partial = 0$. This complex (of the arbitrary dimension) was considered in [30], where the following result is proved there.

Lemma 5.6. *The cohomology of (5.16) is*

$$(5.17) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ned} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Note that the rigid motion space (on face, on edge, resp.) is spanned by the Whitney forms μ_e , which is the basis function with respect to $u \mapsto \int_e u \cdot t_e$. We distinguish the basis function in cells, faces and edges by μ_e^K , μ_e^f and μ_e^e , respectively.

It then follows that $\Pi_f \mu_e^K = \mu_e^f$, and $\Pi_e \mu_e^f = \mu_e^e$. Given K and $e \subset K$, it holds that $(\partial \circ \Pi)K \otimes \mu_e^K = \sum_{f: f \subset K} \mathcal{O}(f, K)[f \otimes \mu_e^f]$. Similarly, given f and $e \subset f$, it holds that $(\partial \circ \Pi)f \otimes \mu_e^f = \sum_{e: e \subset f} \mathcal{O}(e, f)[e \otimes \mu_e^e]$.

Therefore, by reformulating (5.16) by μ_e^\bullet , it follows that the complex (5.16) is a direct sum of

$$(5.18) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{K \in \text{st}(e)} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0(\text{st}(e))} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0(\text{st}(e))} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{st}(e)$ is topologically trivial, the cohomology is

$$(5.19) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial} 0 \xrightarrow{\partial} 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, the cohomology of (5.16) is \mathbf{Ned} , the span of all Whitney form μ_e . \square

We now derive the complex starting with \mathbf{Ned} by chasing the following diagram.

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C^{-1}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{X}^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{X}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow g^1 & & \downarrow g^2 & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{K \in \mathbf{K}} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} & \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} & \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}(e) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here, the upper row is the $C^{-1}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}$ complex (5.14), whose cohomology is $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{R}\mathcal{M}$.

We set $g^1(\varphi_f^1[p]) = f \otimes \Pi_f(p)$, and $g^2(\varphi_e^2[p]) = e \otimes \Pi_e(p)$. Clearly, g^1 and g^2 are surjective. It is straightforward to verify that the diagram (5.20) commutes.

The commuting property is indicated by Proposition 5.5. Regarding (5.20) as a (co)chain mapping of two cochain complexes, we define the kernel of such complex.

$$(5.21) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \ker(g^1) \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \ker(g^2) \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathbf{X}^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

We specify the kernels as follows. $\ker(g^1)$ contains the distributions $\varphi_f^1[p]$ such that $\Pi_f p = 0$. This implies that $p = vn_f$ for some $v \in \mathcal{P}_1(f)$.

Therefore, it holds that

$$\ker(g^1) = \mathbf{\Phi} := \text{span} \left\{ \varphi_f^1[pn_f] : p \in \mathcal{P}_1(f), f \in \mathbf{F}_0 \right\} \subset \mathbf{X}^1.$$

Similarly, we can define

$$\ker(g^2) := \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 := \text{span} \left\{ \varphi_e^1[p] : p \in \mathcal{RM}, p \cdot t_e = 0, e \in \mathbf{E}_0 \right\}.$$

Remark 5.1. In fact, the space $\mathbf{\Phi}$ can be derived from a direct calculation of $\text{def } u$ for $u \in \mathbf{Ned}$. By (5.4), it holds that $\text{def } u = \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \varphi_f^1[\llbracket u \rrbracket_f]$. Since $u \cdot n_f$ is continuous, the jump term $\llbracket u \rrbracket_f = \llbracket u \cdot n_f \rrbracket_f n_f$ is a purely normal vector term, whose value is in $\mathcal{P}_1(f)n_f$. Therefore, $\text{def } \mathbf{Ned} \subset \mathbf{\Phi}$.

The following result follows from the above observation and Lemma 5.6.

Proposition 5.7. *The sequence*

$$(5.22) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ned} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \mathbf{\Phi} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathbf{X}^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a complex. The cohomology of (5.22) is $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{C} the complex (5.22). Note that the sequence of cochain complexes:

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{\Phi} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^{-1}\mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{X}^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{X}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow g^1 & & \downarrow g^2 & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{K \in \mathbf{K}} \mathcal{RM} & \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} & \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{RM}(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial \circ \Pi} & \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{RM}(e) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is exact, which leads to the following long exact sequence:

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\text{inc}) \cap \mathbf{\Phi} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^3(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^0(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^3(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ned} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

(Dashed boxes in the original image indicate commutative squares between the rows.)

It can be argued that the linking map from \mathbf{Ned} to $\ker(\text{inc}) \cap \Phi$ is def. It follows that $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$ and $\mathcal{H}^3(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{H}_{dR}^3(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$. The long exact sequence reads as

$$(5.25) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{dR}^0(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ned} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \ker(\text{inc}) \cap \Phi \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{C}) := \ker(\text{def}) \cap \mathbf{Ned}$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}) := [\ker(\text{inc}) \cap \Phi] / \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}$. The exactness of (5.25) tells us that

$$\ker(\text{def}) \cap \mathbf{Ned} = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^0(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM},$$

and

$$[\ker(\text{inc}) \cap \Phi] / \text{def } \mathbf{Ned} = [\ker(\text{inc}) \cap \Phi] / \ker(j) \cong \text{ran}(j) = \mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}.$$

This concludes the result. \square

Remark 5.2. A similar idea is adopted in [27] to calculate the cohomology of the distributional Hessian complexes in 2D and 3D.

5.3. The third auxiliary complex: enriching the local shape function space.

In this subsection, we construct a complex that starts with the space \mathbf{Ned}^c (2.7). The introduction of the second type Nédélec element is motivated by the following observations. First, the shape function is \mathcal{P}_1 , which is the same as \mathbf{Lag} . Second, the two spaces \mathbf{Ned}^c and \mathbf{Ned} both have the tangential continuity, which implies that additional distribution terms would not appear. Here we introduce a modified set of degrees of freedom of \mathbf{Ned}^c .

Lemma 5.8. *For $u \in \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V}$, define the following degrees of freedom:*

- (1) $\int_e (u \cdot t_e)$ for each edge e ;
- (2) $\int_e \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_e} u \cdot t_e \right)$ for each edge e .

Then, the degrees of freedom are unisolvent. The resulting finite element space are \mathbf{Ned}^c .

Proof. We first show the unisolvency. The number of degrees of freedom is $12 = 3 \dim \mathcal{P}_1$. Next, suppose that $u \in \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V}$ vanishes for those degrees of freedom. Then, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_e} u \cdot t_e = 0$ on each edge. This implies that $u \cdot t_e$ is a constant along the edge. Further, the first degrees of freedom indicates that $u \cdot t_e = 0$ on each edge.

As a result, we can conclude that $u = 0$, which proves the unisolvency. The proof also shows that $u \cdot t_e$ is single-valued, which implies that the resulting finite element space is \mathbf{Ned}^c . \square

Locally, we have the following exact sequence

$$\mathcal{RM} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \otimes \mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the global version, the piecewise def operator maps to the Regge space.

Lemma 5.9. *It holds that $\text{def}_h \mathbf{Ned}^c \subset \mathbf{Reg}$. Here def_h is the piecewise deformation. Consequently, $\text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c \subset \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \Phi$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.9. By the definition of \mathbf{Ned}^c , for $v \in \mathbf{Ned}^c$, the moment $\int_e \frac{\partial}{\partial t_e}(v \cdot t_e)$ is single-valued for each edge $e \in \mathbf{E}$. Taking $\sigma = \text{def}_h v$ yields that $\int_e t_e \cdot \sigma \cdot t_e$ is single-valued for each edge e . It follows from the definition of \mathbf{Reg} that $\text{def}_h v \in \mathbf{Reg}$.

Now we calculate $\text{def } v$ by definition. It holds that for $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{S})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.26) \quad \langle \text{def } w, \tau \rangle &= -\langle w, \text{div } \tau \rangle = -\int_{\Omega} w \cdot \text{div } \tau \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \text{def}_h w : \tau - \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \int_f \llbracket w \rrbracket_f \cdot (\tau n_f) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \text{def}_h w : \tau - \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \int_f \llbracket w \cdot n_f \rrbracket_f \cdot (n_f \cdot \tau \cdot n_f).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last line comes from the tangential continuity of $w \in \mathbf{Ned}^c$. Note that the first term lies in \mathbf{Reg} and the second term lies in Φ . Therefore, we prove that $\text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c \subset \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \Phi$. \square

Moreover, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.10. *The sequence*

$$(5.27) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ned}^c \xrightarrow{\text{def}} \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \Phi \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \mathbf{X}^3 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a complex. The cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$.

Proof of Proposition 5.10: Complex part. To show (5.27) is a complex, it remains show that $\text{inc } \mathbf{Reg} \subset \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$. By the fact that the Regge sequence is a complex, it holds that $\text{inc } \mathbf{Reg} \subset (\mathbf{Reg}_0)'$. It suffices to show $(\mathbf{Reg}_0)' \subset \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$.

Choose any point $x_0 \in e$, and set $p = t_e \times x - t_e \times x_0$. Therefore, $p = 0$ on e , and $\text{curl } p = 2t_e$. Substituting these into (5.6), we obtain that

$$(5.28) \quad \varphi_e^2[p] = \sigma \mapsto -\int_e \text{sym } \sigma : (t_e \otimes t_e) = -\delta_e[t_e t_e^T].$$

Therefore, we prove that $(\mathbf{Reg}_0)' \subset \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$, which implies the result. \square

Now we consider the cohomology of (5.27). The key is that for functions $\sigma \in \mathbf{Reg}$, we can always find $w \in \mathbf{Ned}^c$ such that $\text{def}_h w = \sigma$. In fact, by the degrees of freedom, we construct $w \in \mathbf{Ned}^c$ such that

$$\int_e (w \cdot t_e) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_e \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_e} w \cdot t_e \right) = \int_e t_e \cdot \sigma \cdot t_e.$$

Therefore, it holds that $\text{def}_h w = \sigma$. Denote by $w := \mathcal{L}\sigma$ and define

$$\mathcal{K}\sigma := \text{def } \mathcal{L}\sigma - \sigma \in \Phi.$$

Lemma 5.11. *For $\sigma \in \mathbf{Reg}$ and $\psi \in \Phi$, the following results hold:*

- (1) $\text{inc } \mathcal{K}\sigma = -\text{inc } \sigma$;
- (2) $\text{inc}(\sigma + \psi) = 0$ if and only if $\text{inc}(\psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma) = 0$;
- (3) $\sigma + \psi \in \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c$ if and only if $\psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma \in \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}$.

Proof. The first part comes from $\text{inc } \mathcal{K}\sigma = \text{inc def } \mathcal{L}\sigma - \text{inc } \sigma = -\text{inc } \sigma$. Obviously, (1) implies (2).

Now we prove (3). Since $\sigma + \mathcal{K}\sigma \in \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c$, it holds that

$$\sigma + \psi \in \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c \iff \psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma \in \text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c.$$

Suppose that $\text{def } v = \psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma$. Note that $\psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma$ is a purely distribution term. This implies that the piecewise symmetric gradient of v is zero. Therefore, it holds that $v \in \mathbf{Ned}$. This can conclude the result. \square

Now we are ready to prove the remaining part of Proposition 5.10.

Proof of Proposition 5.10: Cohomology part. It follows from a case-by-case argument. By checking the piecewise deformation, it holds that $\ker(\text{def}) \cap \mathbf{Ned} = \ker(\text{def}) \cap \mathbf{Ned}^c$. It suffices to show that the mapping $\psi \mapsto (0, \psi)$, with inverse $(\sigma, \psi) \mapsto \psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma$, is an isomorphism between $[\ker(\text{inc}) \cap \mathbf{\Phi}]/\text{def } \mathbf{Ned}$ and $[\ker(\text{inc}) \cap (\mathbf{Reg} \oplus \mathbf{\Phi})]/\text{def } \mathbf{Ned}^c$. It suffices to show that the two mappings are well-defined. The mapping $\psi \mapsto (0, \psi)$ is straightforward, and the inverse $(\sigma, \psi) \mapsto \psi - \mathcal{K}\sigma$ is ensured by Lemma 5.11. \square

5.4. Final proof. We aim to introduce the normal continuity to the space \mathbf{Ned}^c , obtaining the desired Lagrange space \mathbf{Lag} . The proof is similar to that of Section 5.2, but the auxiliary complex considered in this subsection is more complicated. The proof of the cohomology of the auxiliary complex is postponed to Section 5.5.

Note that the distribution in $\mathbf{\Phi}$ can be identified as elements in the free abelian group $\bigoplus_{f \in F_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(f)$, with $\mathcal{P}_1^n(f) := \mathcal{P}_1(f)n_f$, by the following mapping

$$(5.29) \quad j^1(\varphi_f^1[pn_f]) = pn_f.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{P}_1^n(e) := \text{span}\{\mathcal{P}_1(e)n_1^e, \mathcal{P}_1(e)n_2^e\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) := \text{span}\{\mathcal{P}_1(x) \otimes \mathbb{V}\},$$

respectively. Note that $\mathcal{P}_1(x)$ actually is a real number. As a result, we have $\dim \mathcal{P}_1^n(f) = 3$, $\dim \mathcal{P}_1^n(e) = 4$ and $\dim \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) = 3$.

The goal is to make the following diagram commutes and obtain the cohomology of the Regge complex by diagram chasing argument like Section 5.2.

$$(5.30) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ned}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \mathbf{\Phi} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow j^1 & & \downarrow j^2 & & \downarrow j^3 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ned}^c & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{f \in F_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(f) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{e \in E_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(e) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{x \in V_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 5.10, the upper row is the complex (5.27), whose cohomology is $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$. The lower row is defined as follows:

$$(5.31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ned}^c \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{f \in F_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(f) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{e \in E_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(e) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{x \in V_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We define

$$\tilde{\partial}u = \sum_{K \in \mathbf{K}} \sum_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{O}(f, K)[f \otimes u|_K].$$

$$\tilde{\partial}(f \otimes p_f) = \sum_{e \subset f} \mathcal{O}(e, f)[e \otimes (p_f)|_e], \text{ and } \tilde{\partial}(e \otimes p_e) = \sum_{x \in e} \mathcal{O}(x, e)[x \otimes (p_e)|_x].$$

It follows that $[\mathcal{P}_1^n(f)]|_e \subset \mathcal{P}_1^n(e)$ whenever $e \subset f$, which indicates that (5.31), i.e., the lower row of (5.30) is a complex.

The following lemma shows the cohomology of this complex. We postpone the proof to Section 5.5.

Lemma 5.12. *The sequence (5.31) is a complex. Its cohomology is*

$$(5.32) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Lag} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

The vertical mappings of (5.30) are specified as follows. Set

- $j^1(\mathbf{Reg}) = 0$, and $j^1(\varphi_f^1[pn_f]) = f \otimes (pn_f)$ for $p \in \mathcal{P}_1(f)$.
- $j^2(\varphi_e^2[p]) = e \otimes p|_e$. It follows from $\varphi_e^2[p] \in \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$ if and only if $p \cdot t_e = 0$ that j^2 maps $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^2$ to $\bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(e)$.
- $j^3(\varphi_x^3[p]) = p(x)$.

It is easy to see that each j^k is surjective.

Proposition 5.13. *Under the above setup, the diagram (5.30) commutes. Moreover, the kernel of the vertical maps in (5.30) is*

$$(5.33) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Reg} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Reg}_0)' \longrightarrow (\mathbf{Lag}_0)' \longrightarrow 0,$$

the tail of the Regge complex (2.3).

Proof. We first prove the commuting property.

- The identity $\tilde{\partial} = j^1(\text{def})$ comes from (5.4).
- Since $\text{inc}(\mathbf{Reg})$ only gives the term with form $\delta_e[t_e t_e^T]$, which is in the kernel of j^2 . To prove $j^2 \circ \text{inc} = \tilde{\partial} \circ j^1$, it suffices to consider the term in Φ . For $\varphi_f^1[pn_f] \in \Phi$. We have $\text{inc} \varphi_f^1[pn_f] = \sum_{e \subset f} \mathcal{O}(e, f) \varphi_e^2[pn_f]$. As a result,

$$j^2(\text{inc} \varphi_f^1[pn_f]) = \sum_{e \subset f} \mathcal{O}(e, f)[e \otimes (pn_f)|_e] = \tilde{\partial} j^1(\text{inc} \varphi_f^1[pn_f])$$

- Similarly, we can prove $\tilde{\partial} j^2 = j^3 \text{div}$.

Clearly, the kernel of j^1 is \mathbf{Reg} . The kernel of j^2 is spanned by those $\varphi_e^2[p]$ such that $p|_e = 0$. This implies that $p = t \times x - t \times x_0$ for some $x_0 \in e$. For such p , it follows from (5.28) that $\varphi_e^2[p] = -2\delta_e[t_e t_e^T]$. Thus, $\ker(j^2) = (\mathbf{Reg}_0)'$.

Similarly, $\ker(j^3)$ is spanned by those $\varphi_x^3[p]$ with $p(y) = 0$ for all $y \in V_0$. Such p has the form $p = b \times (x - y)$ at vertex y . Substituting this form into (5.7), it follows that $\varphi_x^3[p] = 2\delta_x[b]$. Therefore, $\ker(j^3) = (\mathbf{Lag}_0)'$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof follows a similar argument of Proposition 5.7. Denote by \mathcal{R} the Regge complex. Note that the sequence of cochain complexes:

$$(5.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{Reg} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & (\mathbf{Reg}_0)' & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & (\mathbf{Lag}_0)' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ned}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{def}} & \mathbf{Reg} \oplus \Phi & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \hat{\mathbf{X}}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & \mathbf{X}^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow j^1 & & \downarrow j^2 & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Ned}^c & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(f) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(e) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbf{V}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

is exact, which leads to the following long exact sequence:

$$(5.35) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\text{inc}) \cap \mathbf{Reg} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^2(\mathcal{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^3(\mathcal{R}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^0(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^1(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^2(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{dR}^3(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Lag} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 5.7, we can conclude that the cohomology of \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{RM}$. \square

5.5. Proof of Lemma 5.12. To complete the proof, we now prove Lemma 5.12. We start by recalling some results from the distribution Hessian complex in [27]. The complex (5.31) resembles the construction of the distributional Hessian complex in [27].

Recall the distribution Hessian complex in three dimensions. It has the following form:

$$(5.36) \quad 0 \longrightarrow V^0 \xrightarrow{\text{hess}} V^1 \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} V^2 \xrightarrow{\text{div}} V^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $v^0 = \mathbf{Lag}$, the scalar Lagrange \mathcal{P}_1 function,

$$V^1 = \text{span}\{\delta_f[n_f n_f^T] : f \in \mathbf{F}_0\},$$

$$V^2 = \text{span}\{\delta_e[n_1^e t_e^T], \delta_e[n_2^e t_e^T] : e \in \mathbf{E}_0\}, \text{ and } V^3 = \text{span}\{\delta_x[v] : x \in \mathbf{V}_0, v \in \mathbb{V}\}.$$

The following geometric interpretation, as an isomorphism of the Hessian complex and the sequence of \mathcal{P}_0^n 's, was observed in [27].

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{curl}} & V^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{div}} & V^3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbf{F}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(f) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbf{E}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(e) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbf{V}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(x) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Here, the space $\mathcal{P}_0^n(f)$ is the vector space that normal to f , $\mathcal{P}_0^n(e)$ is the space that normal to e , and $\mathcal{P}_0^n(x) = \mathbb{V}$.

By the above geometric interpretation and the cohomology of distribution Hessian complex, we can derive the following result.

Lemma 5.14. *The sequence*

$$(5.38) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Lag} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\text{hess}}} \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(f) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(e) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{V}_0} \mathcal{P}_0^n(x) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a complex, and the cohomology is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{dR}^\bullet(\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{P}_1$. Here $\widetilde{\text{hess}}$ maps $u \in \text{Lag}$ to $\sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} f \otimes \llbracket \frac{\partial u}{\partial n_f} \rrbracket$.

As a consequence, when Ω is homologically trivial, the cohomology of (5.38) vanishes but leaves \mathcal{P}_1 at index 0.

Now we can give the proof of Lemma 5.12, based on the above connection and the geometric decomposition of \mathcal{P}_1 .

Proof of Lemma 5.12. Clearly, the kernel at \mathbf{Ned}^c is \mathbf{Lag} . It remains to prove that the other cohomology groups are trivial.

We first consider a modification of (5.31):

$$(5.39) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(f) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(e) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{V}_0} \mathcal{P}_1^n(x) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For each v , we define $\text{st}(v)$ to be the local patch of v . It follows from the geometric decomposition of the Lagrange space that the complex (5.39) can be identified as a direct sum of

$$(5.40) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0(\text{st}(v))} \mathcal{P}_0^n(f) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{E}_0(\text{st}(v))} \mathcal{P}_0^n(e) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\partial}} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{V}_0(\text{st}(v))} \mathcal{P}_0^n(x) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for all vertices $x \in \mathbb{V}$.

For each vertex x , denote by λ_x the barycentric coordinate function with respect to x . Given a face f and vertex $x \in f$, the term $\lambda_x f \otimes n_f$ is mapped to $\sum_{e: x \in e \subset f} \mathcal{O}(e, f) \lambda_x e \otimes n_f$ by $\widetilde{\partial}$. Similar equations can be derived for a given edge e and $x \in e$. Since λ_x forms a basis of $\mathcal{P}_1(f)$ ($\mathcal{P}_1(e)$, $\mathcal{P}_1(x)$, resp.), the complex can be decomposed to a direct sum of (5.40).

Since $\text{st}(v)$ is topologically trivial, it then follows from (5.14) that the cohomology of (5.39) is a direct sum of

$$(5.41) \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\text{hess}}\left(\text{Lag}(\text{st}(v))\right) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now we consider the original complex (5.31). For each $v \in \mathbb{V}$, consider $w_v \in \text{Lag}(\text{st}(v))$. Set $w = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{V}} \nabla w_v \lambda_v \in \mathbf{Ned}^c$. Therefore, $\partial \nabla w = \sum_{f \in \mathbb{F}_0} f \otimes \llbracket \nabla w \rrbracket = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{V}} \lambda_v \widetilde{\text{hess}} w_v$. Combining with (5.41), it follows that (5.31) is exact at index 1. The cohomology at index 0 is straightforward. Therefore, we conclude the result. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of KH was supported by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (URF\R1\221398).

The authors would like to thank Douglas N. Arnold for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. N. ARNOLD, *Finite element exterior calculus*, SIAM, 2018.
- [2] D. N. ARNOLD, R. S. FALK, AND R. WINTHER, *Finite element exterior calculus, homological techniques, and applications*, *Acta numerica*, 15 (2006), pp. 1–155.
- [3] D. N. ARNOLD AND K. HU, *Complexes from complexes*, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 21 (2021), pp. 1739–1774.
- [4] Y. BERCHENKO-KOGAN AND E. S. GAWLIK, *Finite element approximation of the Levi-Civita connection and its curvature in two dimensions*, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, (2022), pp. 1–51.
- [5] D. BERWICK-EVANS, A. N. HIRANI, AND M. D. SCHUBEL, *Discrete Vector Bundles with Connection and the Bianchi Identity*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10277, (2021).
- [6] D. BRAESS AND J. SCHÖBERL, *Equilibrated residual error estimator for edge elements*, *Mathematics of Computation*, 77 (2008), pp. 651–672.
- [7] A. ČAP AND K. HU, *BGG sequences with weak regularity and applications*, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, (2023), pp. 1–40.
- [8] ———, *Bounded Poincaré operators for twisted and BGG complexes*, accepted, *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* (arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07185), (2023).
- [9] A. ČAP, J. SLOVÁK, AND V. SOUČEK, *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences*, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2001), pp. 97–113.
- [10] S. H. CHRISTIANSEN, *Finite element systems of differential forms*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1006.4779, (2010).
- [11] S. H. CHRISTIANSEN, *On the linearization of Regge calculus*, *Numerische Mathematik*, 119 (2011), pp. 613–640.
- [12] S. H. CHRISTIANSEN, *Exact formulas for the approximation of connections and curvature*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.3376, (2013).
- [13] S. H. CHRISTIANSEN AND K. HU, *Finite element systems for vector bundles: elasticity and curvature*, *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, 23 (2023), pp. 545–596.
- [14] S. H. CHRISTIANSEN, H. Z. MUNTHE-KAAS, AND B. OWREN, *Topics in structure-preserving discretization*, *Acta Numerica*, 20 (2011), pp. 1–119.
- [15] P. G. CIARLET, *Linear and nonlinear functional analysis with applications*, vol. 130, SIAM, 2013.
- [16] E. S. GAWLIK, *High-order approximation of Gaussian curvature with Regge finite elements*, *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 58 (2020), pp. 1801–1821.
- [17] E. S. GAWLIK AND M. NEUNTEUFEL, *Finite element approximation of scalar curvature in arbitrary dimension*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02159, (2023).
- [18] ———, *Finite element approximation of the Einstein tensor*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18802, (2023).
- [19] J. GOPALAKRISHNAN, P. L. LEDERER, AND J. SCHÖBERL, *A mass conserving mixed stress formulation for the Stokes equations*, *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 40 (2020), pp. 1838–1874.
- [20] J. GOPALAKRISHNAN, M. NEUNTEUFEL, J. SCHÖBERL, AND M. WARDETZKY, *Analysis of curvature approximations via covariant curl and incompatibility for Regge metrics*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.09343, (2022).
- [21] ———, *Analysis of curvature approximations via covariant curl and incompatibility for Regge metrics*, *The SMAI Journal of computational mathematics*, 9 (2023), pp. 151–195.
- [22] ———, *Analysis of distributional riemann curvature tensor in any dimension*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01603, (2023).
- [23] W. GÜNTHER, *Zur statik und kinematik des cosseratschen kontinuums*, *Abh. Braunschweig. Wiss. Ges.*, 10 (1958), p. 1.

- [24] F. W. HEHL AND Y. N. OBUKHOV, *Elie Cartan's torsion in geometry and in field theory, an essay*, arXiv preprint arXiv:0711.1535, (2007).
- [25] C. HOLM AND J. D. HENNIG, *Regge calculus with torsion*, in Group Theoretical Methods in Physics: Proceedings of the XVIII International Colloquium Held at Moscow, USSR, 4–9 June 1990, Springer, 2005, pp. 556–560.
- [26] K. HU, *Nonlinear elasticity complex and a finite element diagram chase*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.02442, (2023).
- [27] K. HU, T. LIN, AND Q. ZHANG, *Distributional Hessian and divdiv complexes on triangulation and cohomology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15482, (2023).
- [28] E. KRÖNER, *Incompatibility, defects, and stress functions in the mechanics of generalized continua*, International journal of solids and structures, 21 (1985), pp. 747–756.
- [29] L. LI, *Regge finite elements with applications in solid mechanics and relativity*, PhD thesis, University of Minnesota, 2018.
- [30] M. W. LIGHT, *Complexes of discrete distributional differential forms and their homology theory*, Foundations of Computational Mathematics, 17 (2017), pp. 1085–1122.
- [31] L.-H. LIM, *Hodge Laplacians on graphs*, SIAM Review, 62 (2020), pp. 685–715.
- [32] J.-C. NÉDÉLEC, *Mixed finite elements in \mathbb{R}^3* , Numerische Mathematik, 35 (1980), pp. 315–341.
- [33] M. NEUNTEUFEL AND J. SCHÖBERL, *Avoiding membrane locking with regge interpolation*, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 373 (2021), p. 113524.
- [34] A. PECHSTEIN AND J. SCHÖBERL, *Tangential-displacement and normal-normal-stress continuous mixed finite elements for elasticity*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 21 (2011), pp. 1761–1782.
- [35] J. PEREIRA AND T. VARGAS, *Regge calculus in teleparallel gravity*, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 19 (2002), p. 4807.
- [36] T. REGGE, *General relativity without coordinates*, Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965), 19 (1961), pp. 558–571.
- [37] J. SCHMIDT AND C. KOHLER, *Torsion degrees of freedom in the Regge calculus as dislocations on the simplicial lattice*, General Relativity and Gravitation, 33 (2001), pp. 1799–1807.
- [38] J. SCHÖBERL, *C++ 11 implementation of finite elements in NGSolve*, Institute for analysis and scientific computing, Vienna University of Technology, 30 (2014).
- [39] E. SCHOLZ, *E. Cartan's attempt at bridge-building between Einstein and the Cosserats—or how translational curvature became to be known as torsion*, The European Physical Journal H, 44 (2019), pp. 47–75.
- [40] R. W. SHARPE, *Differential geometry: Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program*, vol. 166, Springer Science & Business Media, 2000.
- [41] A. YAVARI AND A. GORIELY, *Riemann–Cartan geometry of nonlinear dislocation mechanics*, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 205 (2012), pp. 59–118.
- [42] ———, *Riemann–Cartan geometry of nonlinear disclination mechanics*, Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids, 18 (2013), pp. 91–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, PO BOX 1053, BLINDERN, 0316 OSLO, NORWAY.

Email address: snorrec@math.uio.no

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, PETER GUTHRIE TAIT RD, EDINBURGH EH9 3FD, UK.

Email address: kaibo.hu@ed.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, P.R.CHINA

Email address: lintingsms@pku.edu.cn