

ASYMPTOTICS OF POLYNOMIALS ORTHOGONAL WITH RESPECT TO A GENERALIZED FREUD WEIGHT WITH APPLICATION TO SPECIAL FUNCTION SOLUTIONS OF PAINLEVÉ-IV

AHMAD BARHOUMI

ABSTRACT. We obtain asymptotics of polynomials satisfying the orthogonality relations

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} z^k P_n(z; t, N) e^{-N\left(\frac{1}{4}z^4 + \frac{t}{2}z^2\right)} dz = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where the complex parameter t is in the so-called two-cut region. As an application, we deduce asymptotic formulas for certain families of solutions of Painlevé-IV which are indexed by a non-negative integer and can be written in terms of parabolic cylinder functions. The proofs are based on the characterization of orthogonal polynomials in terms of a Riemann–Hilbert problem and the Deift-Zhou non-linear steepest descent method.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries to the asymptotic analysis of $P_n(z; t, N)$, $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$	5
3. Main results on asymptotics of $P_n(z; t, N)$ and $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$	14
4. Application to Painlevé-IV	15
5. On functions $g(z; t)$ and $\mathcal{D}(z; t)$	21
6. Proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6	24
7. Conclusion	36
Appendix A. $H_n(t, N)$ as a product of τ -functions	37
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\{P_n(z; t, N)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a family of monic polynomials satisfying the orthogonality relations

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} z^k P_n(z; t, N) e^{-NV(z;t)} dz = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $N \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$(1.2) \quad V(z; t) := \frac{1}{4}z^4 + \frac{t}{2}z^2.$$

Date: June 25, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 34M55, 33C47; Secondary: 15B52, 30E15, 34E05, 34M50.

Key words and phrases. Painlevé-IV, Riemann-Hilbert Analysis, Non-Hermitian Orthogonal Polynomials.

The author was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) Grant Agreement No. 101002013.




FIGURE 1. The boundary of the open sets $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3$.

Polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$ satisfy a three-term recurrence relation which, since (1.2) is even, takes the form

$$(1.3) \quad zP_n(z; t, N) = P_{n+1}(z; t, N) + \gamma_n^2(t, N)P_{n-1}(z; t, N).$$

In this work, we obtain large-degree asymptotics of $P_n(z; t, N)$ and $\gamma_n(t, N)$ in the double-scaling regime where $n, N \rightarrow \infty$ such that $|n - N|$ is bounded and t is in the so-called two-cut region, which we now explain. It is well understood that the large n behavior of $P_n(z; t, N)$, $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$ depends on the choice of the complex parameter t , and particularly on the geometry of the attracting set for the zeros of $P_n(z; t, N)$. More precisely, it follows from [54, Theorem 2.3] and [50, Theorem 3] that the normalized counting measures of zeros of $P_n(z; t, N)$ weakly converge to a measure μ_t supported on a finite union of at most three analytic arcs. The regions labeled $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$, and \mathcal{O}_3 in Figure 1 correspond to parameters t where the measure μ_t is supported on one, two, or three analytic arcs, respectively. Remarkably, Figure 1 appeared in the physical literature in [34, Fig. 5]. It was later obtained computationally (in different coordinates) in [7], and a rigorous description followed in [9]. In both works, the authors considered a more general contour of integration than in (1.1). An explicit description of the boundaries of \mathcal{O}_j tailored to our setting was given in [13]. A precise definition of \mathcal{O}_j is given in Section 2, where we also state a specialization of [54, Theorem 2.3] for the reader's convenience.

The phase diagram in Figure 1 contains three points that are of particular interest in the mathematical physics literature because of the presence of “critical phenomena.” At the level of polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$, this manifests itself in non-generic asymptotic formulas involving Painlevé transcendents. Asymptotic formulas in the double-scaling regime $t \rightarrow -2$ were obtained in [15] (see also [72]) and involve particular solutions of Painlevé-II. An analogous result, but involving solutions to Painlevé-I, when $t \rightarrow \pm i\sqrt{12}$ was obtained in [41]. Similar analysis was done in [7] for more general choices of contours. As for the rest of the phase diagram, asymptotics of

$\gamma_n^2(t, N)$ were obtained for $t > -2$ in [17], for $t < -2$ in [14], and for $t \in \mathcal{O}_1$ in [13]. Leading term asymptotics for the recurrence coefficients were stated for $t \in \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \mathcal{O}_3$ in [9] (for a different set of parameters and more general contours) under the assumption that the g -function (see Section 2.4) satisfies certain inequalities and that certain theta functions are non-vanishing (cf. [9, Theorem 2.19]). The asymptotic analysis for a related family of orthogonal polynomials, which we denote $L_n(x; t, \lambda)$, and which satisfy

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^\infty x^k L_n(x; t, \lambda) x^\lambda e^{-(x^2+tx)} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $\lambda > -1$, was carried out in [26] in the regime $t = N^{1/2}c$, $c < -2$, and $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$. This was followed by [71], where the asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials in the same regime were given for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

1.1. Connection to Painlevé-IV. Our interest in these polynomials stems from their intimate connections with *special function solutions* of Painlevé-IV. While generic solutions of Painlevé equations are highly transcendental, all but Painlevé-I possess special solutions written in terms of elementary and/or classical special functions. We will focus our attention on the fourth Painlevé equation, given by

$$(P_{IV}) \quad \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} = \frac{1}{2u} \left(\frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{2}u^3 + 4xu^2 + 2(x^2 + 1 - 2\Theta_\infty)u - \frac{8\Theta_0^2}{u}, \quad \Theta_0, \Theta_\infty \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is well-known that, for particular choices of parameters, Painlevé-IV possesses both rational solutions and solutions written in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, see e.g. [6, 51, 52, 55, 62, 69]. The latter occur whenever any of following conditions hold:

$$\Theta_0 \pm \Theta_\infty = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \Theta_0 \pm \Theta_\infty = 1.$$

Starting with one such solution as a “seed” function and iterating Bäcklund transformations produces families of special function solutions of Painlevé-IV. As an application of our results, we show that a particular family of special solutions of Painlevé-IV have asymptotically pole-free regions in the complex plane. This is done by realizing poles of solutions of Painlevé-IV as zeros of the Hankel determinants associated with the polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$:

$$(1.5) \quad H_{n-1}(t, N) := \det \left[\mu_{i+j}(t, N) \right]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}, \quad \mu_k(t, N) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^k e^{-NV(s;t)} ds.$$

The strategy employed in this work for proving the existence of asymptotically pole-free regions of special function solutions of Painlevé equations is not new. Some of the early implementations of this strategy were to study rational solutions of Painlevé-II in [8] and the Painlevé-II hierarchy in [3]. Later, this was applied to Airy solutions of Painlevé-II in [4, 5]. A different approach which has been extremely successful in studying the asymptotics and pole distribution of special function solutions of Painlevé equations is the recasting of the equations as conditions of isomonodromic deformation for a related 2×2 system of differential equations. Indeed, this method was applied to study rational solutions of Painlevé-II in [23, 24], rational solutions of Painlevé-III in [19, 20], and rational solutions of Painlevé-IV in [22, 25]. Many of the studies above were motivated by the intricate behavior of the poles of special solutions of Painlevé equations which had been observed for, say, Painlevé-II and Painlevé-IV in e.g. [27–29, 33, 47].

The relationship between $P_n(z; t, N)$, $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$, and solutions to Painlevé equations is well-documented in the literature. In [64], Shohat derived differential equations for polynomials orthogonal with respect to semi-classical weights which include the weight in (1.1). Furthermore,

he showed that $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$ satisfy discrete version of Painlevé-I:

$$(1.6) \quad \gamma_n^2(t, N) \left(t + \gamma_{n-1}^2(t, N) + \gamma_n^2(t, N) + \gamma_{n+1}^2(t, N) \right) = \frac{n}{N}.$$

Equation (1.6) reappeared in [45], where it was observed that the recurrence coefficients can be written in terms of parabolic cylinder functions. Magnus revisited the semi-classical weights and re-derived (1.6) in [56, 57]. Polynomials $L_n(x; t, \lambda)$ satisfying (1.4) were considered in [18, 30, 44], where it was shown that the corresponding recurrence coefficients are given in terms of Wronskians of parabolic cylinder functions and are thus related to special function solutions of Painlevé-IV. One can immediately see that a change-of-variables symmetrizes the weight of orthogonality in (1.4) and maps $L_n(z; t, \lambda)$ to a family of orthogonal polynomials $S_n(x; t, \lambda)$ satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k S_n(x; t, \lambda) |x|^{2\lambda+1} e^{-(x^4+tx^2)} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad \lambda > -1,$$

and contains $P_n(z; t, N)$ as a special case. Indeed, a re-scaling of variables in (1.7) gives

$$(1.8) \quad P_n(x; t, N) = \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^{-\deg S_n} S_n \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{4}} x; -N^{\frac{1}{2}} t, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

The connection between $S_n(x; t, \lambda)$ and Painlevé-IV was worked out and various properties of the polynomials $S_n(x; t, \lambda)$ were proved in [31, 32]. We note that the weight of orthogonality in (1.1) can also be interpreted as a Freud weight (when $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) for which there is a massive literature; see [49, 58], [40, Section 18.32], and references therein.

1.2. Quartic matrix model. Another important application of the polynomials $P_n(x; t, N)$ is to the quartic one-matrix model, which is defined by the distribution

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_n(u, N)} e^{-N \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}^2 + \frac{u}{4} \mathbf{M}^4 \right)} d\mathbf{M},$$

where \mathbf{M} is an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix, $u > 0$, and $\mathcal{Z}_n(u, N)$ is the associated partition function. The quartic model was first investigated in [10, 21], where it was observed that when $n = N$, the associated free energy admits an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of N^{-2} and that the coefficient of N^{-2g} , as functions of u , serve as generating functions for the number of four-valent graphs on a Riemann surface of genus g . This expansion is known as the *topological expansion*, and its existence and interpretation of its coefficients was proven in [42] for one-cut, even polynomial potentials satisfying a ‘‘small-coefficients’’ assumption, see [42, Equation (1.3) and Theorem 1.1]. One-cut, even polynomial potentials were revisited in [17] and the topological expansion was proven using different methods for potentials which remain in the one-cut regime after certain deformations. In fact, an asymptotics expansion of the free energy in the boundary case $t = -2$ was also obtained in [17]. The asymptotics of the partition function in the two-cut region were obtained in [26].

Remark 1.1. An analogous model, known as the cubic model, was also investigated in [21] and is connected with the enumeration of 3-valent graphs on Riemann surfaces. This corresponds to replacing the exponent in (1.9) by $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{M}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}^2 + \frac{u}{3} \mathbf{M}^3$, and results in the replacement of the potential $V(z)$ by the polynomial $\tilde{V}(z) = z^3/3 + tz^2/2$. The reader might immediately object since the integrals in (1.1) and the total mass of (1.9) are not convergent. To make sense of the model, one needs to deform the contour of integration to the complex plane, at which point the model loses its interpretation as an ensemble of random Hermitian matrices. However,

the enumeration property still holds as was shown in [16]. Later, the connection between the partition function and solutions of Painlevé-II was established in [5] and further expanded on in [4]. In many ways, this manuscript can be viewed as the analog of [5] for the quartic model. ►

In this contribution, we content ourselves with the asymptotic analysis of $P_n(z; t, N)$, quantities related to these polynomials, and the corresponding special function solutions of Painlevé-IV. That being said, we do point out that the partition function and (the derivative of) the free energy can be written in terms of τ - and σ -functions of Painlevé-IV, see Remark A.3.

1.3. Overview. We recall the definition of the equilibrium measure and S -curves, state relevant results on these from the literature, and introduce functions which are important to our analysis in Section 2. In Section 3, we state our main results: leading term asymptotics for the orthogonal polynomials, recurrence coefficients, and normalizing constants when $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$, see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6. In Section 4, we define and, as a consequence of our main results, deduce leading term asymptotics for certain parabolic cylinder solutions of Painlevé-IV (cf. Corollary 4.9). We collect various useful facts about the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of $P_n(z; t, N)$ in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the implementation of the non-linear steepest descent analysis to prove the main results. In Appendix A, we record an expression for the Hankel determinants $H_n(t, N)$ as a product of τ -functions for Painlevé-IV and use it to prove a statement about the degrees of $P_n(t, N)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES TO THE ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF $P_n(z; t, N)$, $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$

2.1. More on polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$. Computing the polynomial $P_n(z; t, N)$ amounts to solving an under-determined, homogeneous system of equations and so it always exists, but may have degree smaller than n . Henceforth, for any $N \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{C}$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we denote by $P_n(z; t, N)$ the *unique monic polynomial of degree n satisfying (1.1), if it exists*. It holds that $P_n(z; t, N)$ exists if and only if $H_{n-1}(t, N) \neq 0$, with $H_{n-1}(t, N)$ as in (1.5).

Remark 2.1. A consequence of our analysis will be that when $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and for all n large enough, it holds that $P_n(z; t, N)$ exists. The same is known to be true for \mathcal{O}_1 , see e.g. [13]. When $t \in \mathcal{O}_3$, degeneration can and will occur since $H_n(t, N)$ has zeros there, see Figure 4 for example. We remark that the way these degeneration occur is highly structured, see Proposition A.4. ►

Given the possibility of degeneration, we first make sense of (1.3). Observe that by [40, Equation 12.5.1], the evenness of $V(z; t)$, and the change-of-variables $s^2 = 2^{1/2}N^{-1/2}x$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \mu_0(t, N) = 2^{\frac{1}{4}}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}N^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2}D_{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}}2^{-\frac{1}{2}}t\right),$$

where $D_\nu(\diamond)$ is the parabolic cylinder functions (cf. [40, Section 12]). Furthermore, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following identity holds:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d^k \mu_0}{dt^k}(t, N) = (-1)^k N^k 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{2k} e^{-NV(s;t)} ds = (-1)^k N^k 2^{-k} \mu_{2k}(t, N).$$

Combining (2.1), (2.2), we arrive at

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_{2k}(t, N) = (-1)^k 2^{k+\frac{1}{4}} N^{-(k+\frac{1}{4})} \pi^{1/2} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right).$$

Since odd moments vanish by evenness of $V(z; t)$, it follows that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $H_{n-1}(t, N)$ is an entire function of t . From the classical formulas for the normalizing constants

$$(2.4) \quad h_n(t, N) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n^2(z; t, N) e^{-NV(z; t)} dz = \frac{H_n(t, N)}{H_{n-1}(t, N)},$$

and the recurrence coefficients

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma_n^2(t, N) = \frac{h_n(t, N)}{h_{n-1}(t, N)},$$

it follows that $\gamma_n^2(t, N), h_n(t, N)$ are meromorphic functions of t . The same is true of $P_n(z; t, N)$ since for any t such that $H_{n-1}(t, N) \neq 0$ we have

$$P_n(z; t, N) = \frac{1}{H_{n-1}(t, N)} \det \begin{bmatrix} \mu_0(t, N) & \mu_1(t, N) & \cdots & \mu_n(t, N) \\ \mu_1(t, N) & \mu_2(t, N) & \cdots & \mu_{n+1}(t, N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1}(t, N) & \mu_n(t, N) & \cdots & \mu_{2n-1}(t, N) \\ 1 & z & \cdots & z^n \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can now interpret (1.3): the roots of $\prod_{j=0}^{n+1} H_j(t, N)$ form a discrete, countable subset of \mathbb{C} , outside of which (1.3) holds and is then meromorphically continued to all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Next, we recall notions from potential theory which will be necessary for the subsequent asymptotic analysis.

2.2. Potential theory and S-curves. It is well understood that the zeros of polynomials satisfying (1.1) asymptotically distribute as a certain *weighted equilibrium measure* on an *S-contour* corresponding to the weight function (1.2). Let us start with some definitions.

Definition 2.2. Let V be an entire function. The logarithmic energy in the external field $\Re V$ of a measure ν in the complex plane is defined as

$$E_V(\nu) = \iint \log \frac{1}{|s-t|} d\nu(s) d\nu(t) + \int \Re V(s) d\nu(s).$$

The equilibrium energy of a contour Γ in the external field $\Re V$ is equal to

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{E}_V(\Gamma) = \inf_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\Gamma)} E_V(\nu),$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ denotes the space of Borel probability measures on Γ .

When $\Re V(s) - \log |s| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a unique minimizing measure for (2.6), which is called the *weighted equilibrium measure* of Γ in the external field $\Re V$, say μ_Γ , see [63, Theorem I.1.3]. The support of μ_Γ , say J_Γ , is a compact subset of Γ . The equilibrium measure is characterized by the Euler–Lagrange variational conditions:

$$(2.7) \quad U^{\mu_\Gamma}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \Re V(z) \begin{cases} = \ell_\Gamma, & z \in J_\Gamma, \\ \geq \ell_\Gamma, & z \in \Gamma \setminus J_\Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where ℓ_Γ is a constant, the Lagrange multiplier, and $U^\mu(z) := -\int \log |z-s| d\mu(s)$ is the logarithmic potential of μ , see [63, Theorem I.3.3].

Due to the analyticity of the integrand in (1.1), the contour of integration in the same equation can be deformed without changing the orthogonality condition. Henceforth, we suppose that the polynomials $\{P_n(z; t, N)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are defined with $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ where \mathcal{T} is the following class of contours.

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all piece-wise smooth contours that extend to infinity in both directions and admit a parametrization $z(s)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, for which there exists $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/8)$ and $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} |\arg(z(s))| \leq \varepsilon, & s \geq s_0, \\ |\arg(z(s)) - \pi| \leq \varepsilon, & s \leq -s_0, \end{cases}, \quad \arg(z(s)) \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Following the works of Stahl [65–67] and Gonchar and Rakhmanov [50], it is now well-understood that among the contours in \mathcal{T} , the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials will accumulate on the contour whose equilibrium measure has the *S-property* in the external field $\Re V$.

Definition 2.4. The support J_Γ has the S-property in the external field $\Re V$, if it consists of a finite number of open analytic arcs and their endpoints, and on each arc it holds that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n_+} (2U^{\mu_\Gamma} + \Re V) = \frac{\partial}{\partial n_-} (2U^{\mu_\Gamma} + \Re V),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_+}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_-}$ are the normal derivatives from the (+)- and (-)-side of Γ . We shall say that a curve $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ is an S-curve in the field $\Re V$ if J_Γ has the S-property in this field.

It is known that J_Γ is comprised of *critical trajectories* of a certain quadratic differential. Recall that if Q is a meromorphic function, a *trajectory* (resp. *orthogonal trajectory*) of a quadratic differential $-Q(z)dz^2$ is a maximal¹ smooth arc on which

$$(2.9) \quad -Q(z(s))(z'(s))^2 > 0 \quad (\text{resp.} \quad -Q(z(s))(z'(s))^2 < 0)$$

for any local uniformizing parameter. A trajectory is called *critical* if it is incident with a *finite critical point* (a zero or a simple pole of $-Q(z)dz^2$) and it is called *short* if it is incident only with finite critical points. We designate the expression *critical (orthogonal) graph* of $-Q(z)dz^2$ for the totality of the critical (orthogonal) trajectories $-Q(z)dz^2$.

In our setting, Kuijlaars and Silva [54, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4] already identified some important features of the function Q , which we summarize below for $V(z; t)$ as in (1.2).

Theorem 2.5 ([54]). *Let $V(z; t)$ be given by (1.2). Then,*

(a) *there exists a contour $\Gamma_t \in \mathcal{T}$ such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_V(\Gamma_t) = \sup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_V(\Gamma).$$

(b) *The support J_t of the equilibrium measure $\mu_t := \mu_{\Gamma_t}$ has the S-property in the external field $\Re V(z; t)$ and the measure μ_t is uniquely determined by (2.8). Therefore, μ_t and its support $J_t := J_{\Gamma_t}$ are the same for every Γ_t satisfying (2.10).*

(c) *The function*

$$(2.11) \quad Q(z; t) = \left(\frac{V'(z; t)}{2} - \int \frac{d\mu_t(x)}{z-x} \right)^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J_t,$$

is a polynomial of degree 6.

¹A smooth arc satisfying (2.9) is maximal if it is not properly contained in any other smooth arc satisfying (2.9).

(d) The support J_t consists of some short critical trajectories of the quadratic differential $-Q(z;t)dz^2$ and the equation

$$(2.12) \quad d\mu_t(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} Q_+^{1/2}(z;t)dz, \quad z \in J_t,$$

holds on each critical trajectory, where $Q^{1/2}(z;t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus J_t$ and satisfying $Q^{1/2}(z;t) = \frac{1}{2}z^3 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ (in what follows, $Q^{1/2}(z;t)$ will always stand for this branch).

For a description of the structure of the critical graphs of a general quadratic differential, see e.g. [53, 68]. Since $\deg Q = 6$, J_t consists of one, two, or three arcs, corresponding to the cases where $Q(z;t)$ has two, four, or six simple zeros, respectively. This depends on the choice of t , and the phase diagram is shown in Figure 1.

Remark 2.6. Away from J_t , one has freedom in choosing Γ_t . Indeed, let $e \in J_t$ be arbitrary and set

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{U}(z;t) := \Re \left(2 \int_e^z Q^{1/2}(z;t)dz \right) = \ell_{\Gamma_t} - \Re(V(z;t)) - 2U^{\mu_t}(z),$$

where the second equality follows from (2.11) (since the constant ℓ_{Γ_t} in (2.7) is the same for both connected components of J_t and the integrand is purely imaginary on J_t , the choice of e is indeed not important). Clearly, $\mathcal{U}(z;t)$ is a subharmonic function (harmonic away from J_t) which is equal to zero on J_t by (2.7). The trajectories of $-Q(z;t)dz^2$ emanating out of the endpoints of J_t belong to the set $\{z : \mathcal{U}(z;t) = 0\}$ and it follows from the variational condition (2.7) that $\Gamma_t \setminus J_t \subseteq \{z : \mathcal{U}(z;t) < 0\}$. However, within the region $\{z : \mathcal{U}(z;t) < 0\}$ the set $\Gamma_t \setminus J_t$ can be varied freely. \blacktriangleright

The critical graphs of $-Q(z;t)dz^2$ were studied in great detail in [13] in all the above cases. The next subsection defines the regions \mathcal{O}_j and summarizes results relevant to $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$.

2.3. Critical graph of $-Q(z)dz^2$. To state the next theorem, we will need the following notation

Notation 1. $\Gamma(z_1, z_2)$ (resp. $\Gamma[z_1, z_2]$) stands for the trajectory or orthogonal trajectory (resp. the closure of) of the differential $-Q(z;t)dz^2$ connecting z_1 and z_2 , oriented from z_1 to z_2 , and $\Gamma(z, e^{i\theta}\infty)$ (resp. $\Gamma(e^{i\theta}\infty, z)$) stands for the orthogonal trajectory ending at z , approaching infinity at the angle θ , and oriented away from z (resp. oriented towards z).²

Definition 2.7. The open sets $\mathcal{O}_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ are defined by the property that

$$t \in \mathcal{O}_j \implies Q(z;t) \text{ has } 2j \text{ simple zeros.}$$

These sets are shown in Figure 1, where \mathcal{O}_j is labelled the “ j -cut region.”

Let I_t be an analytic arc connecting $-a_2(t), a_2(t)$, oriented towards $a_2(t)$, lies entirely in the region $\{\mathcal{U}(z;t) < 0\}$, and for a fixed neighborhood $U_{\pm a_2}$ of $\pm a_2(t)$, $I_t \cap U_{\pm a_2}$ coincides with orthogonal trajectories emanating from $\pm a_2$. The following theorem summarizes the relevant results from [13, Section 2.4.2].

²This notation is unambiguous as the corresponding trajectories are unique for polynomial differentials as follows from Teichmüller’s lemma.




FIGURE 2. Schematic representation of the trajectories of $-Q(z;t)dz$ with $Q(z;t)$ as in (2.14) and $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$. The shaded region is the set $\{z : \mathcal{U}(z;t) < 0\}$. The dashed contour is a sample choice of Γ_t .

Theorem 2.8 ([13]). *Let μ_t and $Q(z;t)$ be as in Theorem 2.5. If $t \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_2}$, then*

$$(2.14) \quad Q(z;t) = \frac{1}{4}z^2(z^2 - a_2^2(t))(z^2 - b_2^2(t)),$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad a_2(t) = \sqrt{-2-t}, \quad b_2(t) = \sqrt{2-t},$$

and $\sqrt{\diamond}$ is the principle branch. Furthermore, we have that the support of μ_t is given by

$$(2.16) \quad J_t = \Gamma[-b_2, -a_2] \cup \Gamma[a_2, b_2] := J_{t,1} \cup J_{t,2},$$

where $J_{t,1} = \Gamma[-b_2, -a_2]$ and $J_{t,2} = \Gamma[a_2, b_2]$. For $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$, we take $\Gamma_t = \Gamma(e^{\pi i}\infty, -b_2) \cup J_{t,1} \cup J_{t,2} \cup \Gamma(b_2, e^{0\pi i}\infty)$, see Figure 2 for a schematic.

The support J_t and sets $\{z : \mathcal{U}(z;t) < 0\}$ were numerically computed for various choices t in [13, Figures 3 - 8].

2.4. Construction of the g -function. The first step in Section 6.1 will be to normalize the initial Riemann-Hilbert problem **RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n** at $z = \infty$, for which we require a function $g(z)$, colloquially known as the g -function:

$$(2.17) \quad g(z;t) := \int_{J_t} \log(z-x)d\mu_t(x),$$

where, for a fixed $x \in J_t$, where $\log(\diamond - s)$ is the principle branch with a branch cut starting at s and extending along Γ_t to $e^{\pi i}\infty$. It follows from the definition of g that

$$(2.18) \quad U^{\mu_t}(z) = -\Re(g(z;t))$$

and we can now rewrite the Euler-Lagrange conditions:

$$(2.19) \quad -\Re g(z;t) + \frac{1}{2}\Re V(z;t) = \begin{cases} = \ell_t, & z \in J_t, \\ \geq \ell_t, & z \in \Gamma_t \setminus J_t. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we note that

$$(2.20) \quad g'(z; t) = \int_{J_t} \frac{d\mu_t(x)}{z - x}.$$

For $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$, the g -function was constructed in [13]; its relevant properties are recalled in Section 5.

2.5. Szegő function. To handle the general double-scaling limit where $\{N_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $|N_n - n| < C$ for a fixed $C \geq 0$ ³, we will need the *Szegő function* of $e^{V(z;t)}$. Let

$$(2.21) \quad Q^{1/2}(z; t) = \frac{1}{2} z R^{1/2}(z; t)$$

where $R^{1/2}(z; t) = \sqrt{(z^2 - a_2^2)(z^2 - b_2^2)}$ is the branch which is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus J_t$ and satisfies $R^{1/2}(z) = z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The following proposition defines and summarizes the properties of the Szegő function.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $R^{1/2}(z; t)$ be as in (2.21). Then, the Szegő function*

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{D}(z; t) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}V(z; t) - \frac{1}{8}(z^2 + t)R^{1/2}(z; t)\right).$$

is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t$ and has continuous boundary values on $J_t \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ which satisfy

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{D}_+(x; t)\mathcal{D}_-(x; t) = e^{V(x; t)}, \quad x \in J_t,$$

where \pm -signs are with respect to the orientation of J_t defined in (2.16) and Notation 1. Furthermore, $\mathcal{D}(z; t)$ is bounded as $z \rightarrow e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$, and there exists $\ell_*(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ (cf. (5.7)) such that

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{D}(z; t) = e^{-\ell_*(t)/2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4z^4} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-6})\right) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proposition 2.9 is proved in Section 5.2. It will be convenient to define the *normalized Szegő function* as

$$(2.25) \quad D(z; t) := e^{\ell_*(t)/2} \mathcal{D}(z; t).$$

2.6. Riemann surface. We will need an arsenal of functions that naturally live on an associated Riemann surface, which we introduce here. Let $R(z; t) = (z^2 - a_2^2(t))(z^2 - b_2^2(t))$ and

$$\mathfrak{S} := \left\{ (z, w) : w^2 = R(z; t) \right\}.$$

Denote by $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ the natural projection $\pi(z) = z$ and by $\diamond^* : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ the natural involution $z = (z, w) \implies z^* = (z, w)^* = (z, -w)$. We use notation z, x, a for points on \mathfrak{S} with natural projections z, x, a .

The function $w(z)$, defined by $w^2(z) = R(z; t)$, is meromorphic on \mathfrak{S} with simple zeros at the ramification points $E_t = \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$, double poles at the points on top of infinity, and is otherwise non-vanishing and finite. Set

$$\Delta := \pi^{-1}(J_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}^{(0)} \cup \Delta \cup \mathfrak{S}^{(1)},$$

³As opposed to only the case $N = n$, which does not require the construction in this section.




FIGURE 3. Schematic representation of the surface \mathfrak{S} (shaded region represents $\mathfrak{S}^{(1)}$), which topologically is a torus, and the homology basis α, β .

where the domains $\mathfrak{S}^{(k)}$ project onto $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t$ with labels chosen so that $w(z) = (-1)^k z^2 + \mathcal{O}(z)$ as z approaches the point on top of infinity within $\mathfrak{S}^{(k)}$. For $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t$ we let $z^{(k)}$ stand for the unique $z \in \mathfrak{S}^{(k)}$ with $\pi(z) = z$. Since the restrictions $\pi|_{\mathfrak{S}^{(k)}}$ are bijections, given a function $F : \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we define $F^{(k)} : \mathbb{C} \setminus J_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be the pull-back $F \left((\pi|_{\mathfrak{S}^{(k)}})^{-1}(z) \right)$.

Next, we define a homology basis on \mathfrak{S} in the following way: Theorem 2.8 and the discussion preceding it, we let

$$\alpha := \pi^{-1}(I_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \pi^{-1}(J_{t,1}),$$

where α is oriented towards $-a_2$ within $\mathfrak{S}^{(0)}$ and β is oriented so that α, β form the right pair at $-a_2$, see Figure 3. We will often use the notation $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta} := \mathfrak{S} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$.

Surface \mathfrak{S} is genus 1, and so the vector space of holomorphic differentials is one dimensional and we choose the following basis

$$(2.26) \quad \mathcal{H}(z) = \left(\int_{\alpha} \frac{dx}{w(x)} \right)^{-1} \frac{dz}{w(z)},$$

which is normalized to have period 1 on α ; it follows (see, e.g. [43]) that when $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$,

$$B(t) := \int_{\beta} \mathcal{H} \implies \Im B(t) > 0.$$

In what follows, we suppress the dependence of $B(t)$ on t and write $B(t) = B$.

2.6.1. *Abel's map.* Let $\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the abelian integral

$$\mathcal{A}(z) := \int_{b_2}^z \mathcal{H}, \quad z \in \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta},$$

where the path of integration is taken in $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta}$. \mathcal{A} is holomorphic in $\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta}$, and it follows from the definition of B and the normalization of \mathcal{H} that

$$(2.27) \quad (\mathcal{A}_+ - \mathcal{A}_-)(x) = \begin{cases} -B, & x \in \alpha \setminus \{-a_2\}, \\ 1, & x \in \beta \setminus \{-a_2\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\text{Jac}(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathbb{C}/\{\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the Jacobi variety of \mathfrak{G} , whose elements are the following equivalence classes, given $x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$[x] := \{x + k + j\mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{C} : x \in k, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It follows from (2.27) that the *Abel's map* $z \mapsto [\mathcal{A}(z)]$ is well-defined. Since \mathfrak{G} has genus 1, the Abel map is an isomorphism [43, Section III.6]. We will use this to formulate a Jacobi inversion problem in Section 2.7.

2.7. Jacobi inversion problem. In view of the Abel map being an isomorphism, let $z_{[x]}$ denote the unique solution to the equation

$$\left[\mathcal{A} \left(z_{[x]} \right) \right] = [x].$$

The problem of determining $z_{[x]}$ for a given $x \in \mathbb{C}$ is known as the Jacobi inversion problem. We will be interested in two problems, namely

$$\left[\mathcal{A} \left(z_{k, [x_*]} \right) \right] = [x_*] \quad \text{where} \quad x_* = \mathcal{A} \left(0^{(k)} \right) + \frac{1}{2}, \quad k \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Due to various symmetries of \mathfrak{G} , it turns out we can solve this problem exactly. This is the content of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Let x_* be as above, and $k \in \{0, 1\}$ then $z_{k, [x_*]} = 0^{(1-k)}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be an involution-symmetric cycle homologous to α containing $0^{(0)}, 0^{(1)}$, and note the following two symmetries:

$$(2.28) \quad \int_{\pm a_2}^z \mathcal{H} = - \int_{\pm a_2}^{z^*} \mathcal{H}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\pm a_2}^{0^{(k)}} \mathcal{H} = \int_{0^{(k)}}^{\mp a_2} \mathcal{H}.$$

Indeed, the first equality follows from $w(z^*) = -w(z)$ while the other follows from $w(\pi(z)) = w(-\pi(z))$. Using the first symmetry and normalization (2.26), for a path contained in $\mathfrak{G}^{(1)}$ we have that

$$\int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using this and (2.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} &= \int_{-a_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{H} = \int_{-a_2}^{0^{(1)}} \mathcal{H} + \int_{0^{(1)}}^{a_2} \mathcal{H} = - \int_{-a_2}^{0^{(0)}} \mathcal{H} + \int_{0^{(1)}}^{a_2} \mathcal{H} \\ &= \int_{0^{(0)}}^{-a_2} \mathcal{H} + \int_{0^{(1)}}^{a_2} \mathcal{H} = \int_{a_2}^{0^{(0)}} \mathcal{H} + \int_{0^{(1)}}^{a_2} \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\left[\mathcal{A} \left(0^{(0)} \right) - \mathcal{A} \left(0^{(1)} \right) \right] = [1/2]$. Noting that $[1/2] = [-1/2]$ yields the desired result. \square

In fact, the proof of the above proposition yields a slightly better identity, namely that

$$(2.29) \quad \mathcal{A}(0^{(0)}) = \mathcal{A}(0^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2},$$

which we will use in the following subsection.

2.8. Theta functions. Let $\theta(x; B)$ be the Riemann theta function associated with B , i.e.,

$$(2.30) \quad \theta(x; B) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\pi i B k^2 + 2\pi i x k\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The function $\theta(x)$ is holomorphic in \mathbb{C} and enjoys the following periodicity properties:

$$\theta(x + j + Bm) = \exp\left\{-\pi i B m^2 - 2\pi i x m\right\} \theta(x), \quad j, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is also known that $\theta(x)$ vanishes only at the points of the lattice $\left[\frac{B+1}{2}\right]$.

Let

$$(2.31) \quad \Theta(z) = \frac{\theta\left(\mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{A}\left(0^{(1)}\right) - \frac{B+1}{2}\right)}{\theta\left(\mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{A}\left(0^{(0)}\right) - \frac{B+1}{2}\right)}.$$

The function $\Theta(z)$ is meromorphic on $\mathfrak{S} \setminus \alpha$ with exactly one simple pole at $z = 0^{(0)}$, and exactly one simple zero at $z = 0^{(1)}$ (Θ can be analytically continued to multiplicatively multi-valued functions on the whole surface \mathfrak{S} ; thus, we can talk about simplicity of a pole or zero whether it belongs to the cycles of a homology basis or not). Moreover, according to (2.27), (2.29), (2.30), and (2.31), $\Theta(z)$ has continuous boundary values on α, β away from $-a_2$ that satisfy

$$(2.32) \quad \Theta_+(x) = e^{\pi i} \Theta_-(x), \quad x \in \alpha \setminus \{-a_2\}.$$

2.9. More auxiliary functions. Let

$$(2.33) \quad \gamma(z) := \left(\frac{z - b_2 z + a_2}{z + b_2 z - a_2}\right)^{1/4}, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t,$$

be the branch analytic outside of J_t and satisfying $\gamma(\infty) = 1$. Furthermore, let

$$(2.34) \quad A(z) := \frac{\gamma(z) + \gamma^{-1}(z)}{2}, \quad B(z) := \frac{\gamma(z) - \gamma^{-1}(z)}{2i}, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t.$$

Both $A(z), B(z)$ are analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_t$ and satisfy

$$(2.35) \quad A(\infty) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad B(\infty) = 0.$$

Furthermore, they satisfy

$$(2.36) \quad A_+(x) = B_-(x) \quad \text{and} \quad A_-(x) = -B_+(x), \quad x \in J_t \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}.$$

Observe that with the choice of branch of γ , we have that $B(0) = 0$. Indeed, the equation $(AB)(z) = 0$ is equivalent to $\gamma^4(z) = 1$, which has two solutions in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, namely $z = \infty$ and $z = 0$. To see that $z = 0$ is a root of $B(z)$ instead of $A(z)$, we will show that $\gamma(0) = 1$. To this end, let $w = \gamma^4(z)$ and observe that $\gamma^4(J_{t,1})$ is a contour connecting $w = 0$ to $w = \infty$. Observe that

$$\gamma^4(z) = \gamma^4(x) \implies z = x \quad \text{or} \quad z = -\frac{a_2 b_2}{x}.$$

Let L denote a contour connecting $z = \infty$ to $z = 0$ while avoiding $J_{t,1}$ and its image under the map $z \mapsto -a_2 b_2 / z$. Then, $\gamma^4(L)$ is a closed contour containing $w = 1$ and not winding around the origin. Hence, the continuation of the principle branch of $\diamond^{1/4}$ along $\gamma^4(L)$ is single-valued, and produces $\gamma(L)$. Finally, since $\gamma(\infty) = 1$, we have $\gamma(0) = 1$ as desired.

3. MAIN RESULTS ON ASYMPTOTICS OF $P_n(z; t, N)$ AND $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$

To simplify the formulation of the following theorems, we make the following definition

Definition 3.1. An estimate $f_n(z, t) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$ holds (z, t) -locally uniformly for $z \in V$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if for each compact $T \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ there is a constant $C(V; T) < \infty$ such that for all $z \in V, t \in T$ and n large enough

$$|f_n(z; t)| \leq C(V; T)n^{-\alpha}.$$

We are now ready to state our main results regarding the asymptotic behavior of the orthogonal polynomials and their recurrence coefficients and normalizing constants.

Theorem 3.2. Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\{N_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integers such that there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|N_n - n| < C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,
(3.1)

$$P_n(z) = e^{ng(z)} \left(D^{N_n - n}(z) A(z) \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(0)}(z)}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

(z, t) -locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus J_t$, where $g(z), D(z), A(z)$ are as in (2.17), (2.25), (2.34), respectively, and the superscripts $\diamond^{(0)}$ are as in Section 2.6.

Remark 3.3. Note that the oddness of the function $P_{2n+1}(z; t, N)$ is reflected in the fact that the leading term in (3.1) vanishes at $z = 0$ since $\Theta^{(0)}(z)$ possesses a simple pole there. \blacktriangleright

Formulas similar to (3.1) can easily be deduced in the interior of J_t , but since we do not use these we do not state them here. One immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and (5.6) is that for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and n large enough, $\deg P_n = n$. In view of the discussion at the beginning of Section 2 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. Let $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$ be a compact set and $\{N_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in the statement of Theorem 3.1. Then, there exists $n_* \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that for all $n > n_*$, $H_n(t, N_n)$ is non-vanishing for $t \in K$, and $h_n(t, N_n), \gamma_n^2(t, N_n)$ are non-vanishing and bounded for $t \in K$.

Remark 3.5. The same boundedness and non-vanishing result is already known for $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1$; this follows directly from the asymptotic analysis in [13]. In fact, motivated by Figure 4, one might suspect that the results can be extended to closed subsets of $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$. An analogous story takes place in the cubic model, where boundedness on compact subsets of the one-cut region was shown in [12] and upgraded to closed subsets in [5]. \blacktriangleright

The asymptotic analysis in Section 6 also yields asymptotic formulas for $\gamma_n^2(t, N), h_n(t, N)$, which are stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_2, \{N_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be as in Theorem 3.2, and $a_2(t), b_2(t)$ be as in (2.15). Then,

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma_n^2(t, N_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{4}(a_2(t) - b_2(t))^2, & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{4}{(a_2(t) - b_2(t))^2}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, with ℓ_* given by (5.7), we have

$$(3.3) \quad h_n(t, N_n) = 2\pi e^{N_n \ell_*} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1}{2}(a_2(t) - b_2(t)), & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{2}{a_2(t) - b_2(t)}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{2n, 2n-2}(t, N_{2n}) = nt + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{8} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{2n-1, 2n-3}(t, N_{2n}) = nt + \frac{3a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + 3b_2^2}{8} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Remark 3.7. A particular instance of (3.2) has already appeared in the literature; when $t \in (-\infty, -2)$, (3.2) reduces to

$$\gamma_n^2(t, N_n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{-t - \sqrt{t^2 - 4}}{2}, & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{-t + \sqrt{t^2 - 4}}{2}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}, \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which agrees with [14, Theorem 1.1] for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. ▶

Next, we will use Theorem 3.6 to obtain asymptotics of special function solutions of Painlevé-IV.

4. APPLICATION TO PAINLEVÉ-IV

To state the consequences of Theorem 3.6 in terms of solutions to Painlevé-IV, we recall two useful reformulations.

4.1. Symmetric form. To state our results, we will need to recall two reformulations of (P_{IV}). The first is as a symmetric system which first appeared in [70] and has since been used in various works; see e.g. [2, 48, 59]. We will follow the notation of [48].

Theorem 4.1 ([70]). *Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary constants satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1.$$

The system

$$(P_{IV}^{\text{sym}}) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{df_0}{dx} &= f_0(f_1 - f_2) + 2\alpha_0, \\ \frac{df_1}{dx} &= f_1(f_2 - f_0) + 2\alpha_1, \\ \frac{df_2}{dx} &= f_2(f_0 - f_1) + 2\alpha_2, \end{aligned}$$

subject to the constraint

$$(4.2) \quad f_0(x) + f_1(x) + f_2(x) = 2x,$$

	α_0	α_1	α_2
T_1	$\alpha_0 - 1$	$\alpha_1 + 1$	α_2
T_2	α_0	$\alpha_1 - 1$	$\alpha_2 + 1$
T_3	$\alpha_0 + 1$	α_1	$\alpha_2 - 1$

TABLE 1. Action of T_i on parameters α_j .

is equivalent to (P_{IV}) . That is, for $j = 0, 1, 2$, $u(x) = -f_j(x)$ solves (P_{IV}) with parameters⁴

$$\Theta_\infty = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{j-1} - \alpha_{j+1} + 1), \quad \Theta_0^2 = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_j^2.$$

Furthermore, given a solution of (P_{IV}) , $(u(x), \Theta_0, \Theta_\infty)$, there exists a triple $(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))$ and parameters $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ satisfying (P_{IV}^{sym}) such that $u(x) = -f_1(x)$.

It is clear that permuting the indices of $(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))$ maps a triple which solves (P_{IV}^{sym}) to another triple which solves the same system but with correspondingly permuted parameters α_j . Less trivially, the following was observed in [1].

Proposition 4.2 ([1]). Let $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^2$ and $\{f_j(x)\}_{j=0}^2$ be as above. Define the Cartan matrix \mathbf{A} and orientation matrix \mathbf{U} by

$$\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=0}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U} = [u_{ij}]_{i,j=0}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and consider the functions given by transformations

$$s_i(f_j(x)) = f_j(x) + u_{ij} \frac{2\alpha_i}{f_i(x)}, \quad i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

Then, the triples $s_i(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x)) := (s_i(f_0(x)), s_i(f_1(x)), s_i(f_2(x)))$ satisfy (4.2) and solve (P_{IV}^{sym}) with parameters $(s_i(\alpha_0), s_i(\alpha_1), s_i(\alpha_2))$ given by⁵

$$s_i(\alpha_j) := \alpha_j - a_{ij}\alpha_i.$$

To construct families of special solutions, we will use the following transformations which were introduced in [60]:

$$T_1 := \pi s_2 s_1, \quad T_2 := s_1 \pi s_2, \quad T_3 := s_2 s_1 \pi,$$

where π denotes the shift

$$\pi(f_j(x)) := f_{j-1}(x), \quad \pi(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j-1}.$$

Transformations T_j map triples $(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))$ which solve (P_{IV}^{sym}) and satisfy (4.2) to triples which again satisfy (P_{IV}^{sym}) and (4.2). It is easy to check that these transformations commute, and their effect on the parameters α_j is summarized in Table 1. To give the reader a sense of what these iterates look like, we find it instructive to include the following example.

⁴Here, the indices are understood cyclically, i.e. $\alpha_3 \equiv \alpha_0$.

⁵We slightly abuse notation by using s_i to denote the transformation of both the functions f_j and parameters α_j .

Example 1. Given a triple $(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))$ which solves $(\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{\text{sym}})$, a direct calculation yields

$$(4.3) \quad T_1(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x)) \\ = \left(f_2(x) + \frac{2\alpha_1}{f_1(x)}, f_0(x) - \frac{2\alpha_1}{f_1(x)} + \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)f_1(x)}{(f_1f_2)(x) + 2\alpha_1}, f_1(x) - \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)f_1(x)}{(f_1f_2)(x) + 2\alpha_1} \right),$$

which solves $(\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{\text{sym}})$ with parameters $(\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2)$. In a similar fashion, one can check that

$$T_1^{-1}(f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x)) \\ = \left(f_1(x) + \frac{2\alpha_0}{f_0(x)} - \frac{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)f_0(x)}{(f_0f_2)(x) - 2\alpha_0}, f_2(x) - \frac{2\alpha_0}{f_0(x)}, f_0(x) + \frac{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)f_0(x)}{(f_0f_2)(x) - 2\alpha_0} \right)$$

solves $(\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{\text{sym}})$ with parameters $(\alpha_0 + 1, \alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2)$. \blacktriangleright

Remark 4.3. It can occur that either $f_1(x) = 0$ or $(f_1f_2)(x) + 2\alpha_1 = 0$ holds identically, which causes an indeterminacy in (4.3). We highlight that the requirement that $T_1(f_0, f_1, f_2)$ be determinate is really a condition on f_1 . Indeed, given that (f_0, f_1, f_2) satisfies $(\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{\text{sym}})$ and (4.2), f_2 is given in terms of f_1 as

$$(4.4) \quad f_2(x) = \frac{1}{2f_1(x)} \left(-2\alpha_1 + 2xf_1(x) - f_1^2(x) + f_1'(x) \right).$$

Hence, for $T_1(f_0, f_1, f_2)$ to be determinate we must require $f_1(x) \not\equiv 0$ and

$$(4.5) \quad f_1'(x) - f_1^2(x) + 2xf_1(x) + 2\alpha_1 \not\equiv 0.$$

In the following section we will check (see Proposition 4.6 below) that the particular family of solutions we study in this paper is determinate. \blacktriangleright

4.2. Hamiltonian form. The second reformulation of (\mathbf{P}_{IV}) we use is as a Hamiltonian system:

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{dq}{dx} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dx} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q},$$

Each of the Painlevé equations admit such a representation with a polynomial Hamiltonian, see e.g. [61]. In the case of (\mathbf{P}_{IV}) the Hamiltonian is given by [48, Equation (2.13)]

$$(4.7) \quad H_{IV}(x; p, q; \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (2p - q - 2x)pq - 2\alpha_1p - \alpha_2q.$$

It is a simple calculation to verify that, for a given α_1, α_2 , if $p(x), q(x)$ satisfy (4.6) with Hamiltonian (4.7) and α_0 is defined by (4.1), then setting

$$f_1(x) = -q(x), \quad f_2(x) = 2p(x), \quad f_0(x) = 2x + q(x) - 2p(x),$$

yields a solution of $(\mathbf{P}_{IV}^{\text{sym}})$ which satisfies constraint (4.2). The Hamiltonian evaluated at such a solution defines the *Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto σ -function*, i.e.

$$(4.8) \quad \sigma(x) := H(x; p(x), q(x); \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{2}(f_0f_1f_2)(x) + \alpha_2f_1(x) - \alpha_1f_2(x).$$

Function (4.8) is known to satisfy the so-called σ -form of Painlevé-IV (see e.g. [48, Proposition 4]) given by

$$\left(\frac{d^2\sigma}{dx^2} \right)^2 - 4 \left(x \frac{d\sigma}{dx} - \sigma(x) \right) + 4 \frac{d\sigma}{dx} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} + 2\alpha_1 \right) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dx} - 2\alpha_2 \right) = 0.$$

Solutions of (P_{IV}) are known to be meromorphic functions in \mathbb{C} [52, Theorem 4.1] and in view of (4.8), it follows that $\sigma(x)$ is a meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} as well. A simple local analysis of (4.2) implies that poles of $\sigma(x)$ are all simple and with residue 1. Therefore, the *Jimbo-Miwa-Okamoto τ -function*, defined (up to a constant) by

$$(4.9) \quad \sigma(x) =: \frac{d}{dx} \log \tau(x),$$

is an entire function with at most simple zeros. In the sequel, we will be interested in iteratively applying transformation T_j to a “seed” triple $((f_0)_{0,0,0}(x), (f_1)_{0,0,0}(x), (f_2)_{0,0,0}(x))$ and recording the resulting σ - and τ -functions. To this end, we will use the notation

$$(4.10) \quad T_3^k T_2^m T_1^n (K_{0,0,0}(x)) = K_{m,n,k}(x), \quad K \in \{f_0, f_1, f_2, \sigma, \tau\}.$$

The constant of integration in (4.9) can be chosen so that the well-known Toda equations hold.

Proposition 4.4 ([62], see also [48, Proposition 5]). *Let $\tau_{0,0,0}(x)$ be an arbitrary τ -function defined by (4.9) and chosen so that for a given $n, m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functions $\tau_{n,m,k}(x), \tau_{n\pm 1,m,k}(x)$ are well-defined. Then the functions $\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}(x) := e^{x^2(\alpha_1+n)} \tau_{n,m,k}(x)$ satisfy the Toda equation:*

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}(x) = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{n+1,m,k}(x) \tilde{\tau}_{n-1,m,k}(x)}{\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}^2(x)}.$$

Furthermore, if $\tau_{n,m\pm 1,k}(x)$ are well-defined, then there exists $K_{n,m,k} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \tau_{n,m,k}(x) = K_{n,m,k} \frac{\tau_{n,m+1,k}(x) \tau_{n,m-1,k}(x)}{\tau_{n,m,k}^2(x)}.$$

Remark 4.5. As noted above, functions $\tau_{n,m,k}(x)$ are entire with only simple zeros. Writing out (4.11) in the form

$$\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}'' \tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k} - (\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}')^2 = \tilde{\tau}_{n+1,m,k} \tilde{\tau}_{n-1,m,k},$$

one can see that $\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}(x), \tilde{\tau}_{n+1,m,k}(x)$ (and hence $\tau_{n,m,k}(x), \tau_{n+1,m,k}(x)$) do not share any common roots. Similar considerations imply the analogous fact about $\tau_{n,m,k}, \tau_{n,m+1,k}$ and $\tau_{n,m,k}, \tau_{n,m,k+1}$. \blacktriangleright

It is well-known (see [52] and references therein) that (P_{IV}) possesses solutions which can be written in terms of parabolic cylinder functions. One way to see this is to require that (P_{IV}) be consistent with a Riccati equation

$$(4.12) \quad \frac{du}{dx} = k_2(x)u^2(x) + k_1(x)u(x) + k_0(x).$$

The compatibility of (4.12) with (P_{IV}) determines the coefficients $k_j(x)$ up to some choices of signs and one finds that (4.12) can be solved in terms of parabolic cylinder functions. For the remainder of the paper, we will be working with the particular seed triple

$$(4.13) \quad (f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))_{0,0,0} = \left(0, \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)}, 2x - \frac{\varphi'(x)}{\varphi(x)} \right), \quad \varphi(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x),$$

which solves (P_{IV}^{sym}) with parameters

$$(4.14) \quad (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and satisfies (4.2). We now address the indeterminacy problem raised in Remark 4.3.

Proposition 4.6 ([25, Proposition 11]). *Let $((f_0)_{0,0,0}, (f_1)_{0,0,0}, (f_2)_{0,0,0})$ and $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be as in (4.13), (4.14), respectively, and recall notation (4.10). Then, $((f_0)_{n,0,0}, (f_1)_{n,0,0}, (f_2)_{n,0,0})$ and $((f_0)_{n,-1,0}, (f_1)_{n,-1,0}, (f_2)_{n,-1,0})$ are well-defined for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $u(x) := -(f_1)_{0,0,0}(x)$. By Theorem 4.1, $u(x)$ solves (P_{IV}) with parameters $\Theta_\infty = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\Theta_0^2 = \frac{1}{16}$. Choosing $\Theta_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ and letting $u_{\searrow}(x) := -T_1((f_1)_{0,0,0}(x))$, a direct computation using (4.3) and (4.2) yields

$$(4.15) \quad u_{\searrow}(x) = \frac{(2\alpha_1)^2 - 8(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)u^2(x) - 4x^2u^2(x) - 4xu^3(x) - u^4(x) - 4\alpha_1u'(x) + u'(x)^2}{2u(x)(-2\alpha_1 + 2xu(x) + u^2(x) + u'(x))},$$

which, upon recalling the definitions of α_i 's and the constraint (4.1), agrees with [25, Equation 3.50]. Observe that if $u_{\searrow}(x)$ is well-defined, then so is the triple $T_1((f_0)_{0,0,0}, (f_1)_{0,0,0}, (f_2)_{0,0,0})$. Indeed, the conditions $(f_1)_{0,0,0} \neq 0$ and (4.5) are equivalent to the denominator of (4.15) not vanishing identically. Since $\Theta_0(\Theta_\infty - \Theta_0 - 1) \neq 0$, by [25, Proposition 11], we have that $u_{\searrow}(x)$ is well-defined and solves (P_{IV}) with parameters

$$\Theta_{\infty, \searrow} = \Theta_\infty - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Theta_{0, \searrow} = \Theta_0 + \frac{1}{2}.$$

The first claim now follows by inductively applying [25, Proposition 11] since, for $n \geq 0$,

$$\left(\Theta_0 + \frac{n}{2}\right) (\Theta_\infty - \Theta_0 - 1) \neq 0.$$

For the second claim, we first note that by [40, 12.8.1-2],

$$(4.16) \quad (f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x))_{0,-1,0} = \left(0, 2x + \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)}, -\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)}\right), \quad \phi(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} D_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x),$$

solves (P_{IV}^{sym}) with parameters $(0, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ and satisfies (4.2). This implies that $-(f_1)_{0,-1,0}(x)$ solves (P_{IV}) with $\Theta_0 = \Theta_\infty = \frac{3}{4}$. Repeating the argument above yields the result. \square

Remark 4.7. A given solution of (P_{IV}) $(u(x), \Theta_0, \Theta_\infty)$ can be embedded into at least two distinct pairs of solutions of (P_{IV}^{sym}) by setting $u(x) = -f_1(x)$ and making the choice $\alpha_1 = \pm 2\Theta_0$. This then fixes the triple (f_0, f_1, f_2) uniquely by (4.4) and (4.2). In the proof of Proposition 4.6, the effect of making the choice $\Theta_0 = -\frac{1}{4}$ is that $u_{\swarrow}(x) := -T_1(f_1(x))$ solves (P_{IV}) with parameters

$$\Theta_{\infty, \swarrow} = \Theta_\infty - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Theta_{0, \swarrow} = \Theta_0 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

This again agrees with [25, Equation 3.49]. \blacktriangleright

The τ -functions corresponding to the triples (4.13) and (4.16) can be calculated explicitly. Indeed, from (4.9) we have $\tau_{0,0,0}(x) := \tau(x) = e^{-\alpha_1 x^2} \varphi(x)$ or $\tilde{\tau}_{0,0,0}(x) = \varphi(x)$. Setting $\tilde{\tau}_{-1,0,0}(x) \equiv 1$ ⁶, the Desnanot-Jacobi identity implies that the functions

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{n,0,0}(x) = \det \left[\frac{d^{i+j}}{dx^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x) \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^n$$

⁶It can be directly verified that this is consistent with applying T_1^{-1} to the triple (4.13)

satisfy (4.11). Similarly, recalling (4.16), the definition of $\tilde{\tau}_{n,m,k}(x)$, and that T_j 's commute, we find

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\tau}_{n,-1,0}(x) = T_2^{-1}T_1^n(\tilde{\tau}_{0,0,0}(x)) = T_1^n(\tilde{\tau}_{0,-1,0}(x)) = \det \left[\frac{d^{i+j}}{dx^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} D_{-\frac{3}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x) \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^n.$$

This particular family appears as recurrence coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials satisfying (1.1), as can be seen from the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8 ([32, Section 4.2]). *Let $((f_0)_{0,0,0}, (f_1)_{0,0,0}, (f_2)_{0,0,0})$ and $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be as in (4.13), (4.14), respectively. With notation as in (4.10), we have*

$$(4.19) \quad (f_0)_{n,0,0}(x) = -N^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_{2n}^2(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) = -\gamma_{2n}^2(2x, 1),$$

$$(4.20) \quad (f_1)_{n,0,0}(x) = -N^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_{2n+1}^2(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) = -\gamma_{2n+1}^2(2x, 1),$$

$$(4.21) \quad (f_2)_{n,0,0}(x) = 2x + N^{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_{2n}^2(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) + \gamma_{2n+1}^2(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N)) \\ = 2x + \gamma_{2n}^2(2x, 1) + \gamma_{2n+1}^2(2x, 1).$$

Furthermore, writing⁷

$$P_n(z; t, N) := z^n + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathfrak{p}_{n,n-2k}(t, N)z^{n-2k},$$

we have

$$(4.22) \quad \sigma_{n-1,0,0}(x) = N^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{p}_{2n,2n-2}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) - (2n-1)x \\ = \mathfrak{p}_{2n,2n-2}(2x, 1) - (2n-1)x,$$

$$(4.23) \quad \sigma_{n-1,-1,0}(x) = N^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{p}_{2n+1,2n-1}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) - (2n+1)x \\ = \mathfrak{p}_{2n+1,2n-1}(2x, 1) - (2n+1)x.$$

Proof. We first note that a re-scaling in (1.1) yields

$$P_n(z; t, N) = N^{-\frac{1}{4}\deg P_n} P_n(N^{\frac{1}{4}}z; N^{\frac{1}{2}}t, 1).$$

This implies

$$N^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma_n^2(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}t, N) = \gamma_n^2(2t, 1), \\ N^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathfrak{p}_{n,n-2}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}t, N) = \mathfrak{p}_{n,n-2}(2t, 1).$$

The proof of (4.19) - (4.21) is an exercise in comparing notation with [32] which we now carry out. Writing the three-term recurrence relation for $S_n(x; t, \lambda)$ as

$$xS_n(x; t, \lambda) = S_{n+1}(x; t, \lambda) + \beta_n(t, \lambda)S_{n-1}(x; t, \lambda),$$

relation (1.8) implies

$$\gamma_n^2(t, 1) = 2\beta_n \left(-t, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

⁷Since $V(z; t)$ is an even function, we have $P_n(z; t, N)$ are even(odd) functions whenever $\deg P_n$ is even(odd)

This and [32, Lemma 4] imply (4.19) - (4.21). Finally, analogs of (4.22) - (4.23) are not explicitly stated in [32], but are easily deduced. Setting

$$S_n \left(z; t, -\frac{1}{2} \right) := z^n + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} s_{n,n-2k} \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right) z^{n-2k},$$

then it follows from (1.8) that

$$(4.24) \quad p_{n,n-2}(t, 1) = 2s_{n,n-2} \left(-t, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Furthermore, the three-term recurrence relation implies

$$(4.25) \quad \beta_n \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = s_{n,n-2} \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right) - s_{n+1,n-1} \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Using (4.25), we have the identities

$$(4.26) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = -s_{n+1,n-1} \left(t, -\frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Combining (4.24), (4.26) with [32, Lemma 5] yields (4.22) - (4.23). \square

An immediate corollary of Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.8 is the following.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $((f_0)_{0,0,0}, (f_1)_{0,0,0}, (f_2)_{0,0,0})$ and $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be as in (4.13), (4.14), respectively and recall (4.10). Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f_0)_{n,0,0} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} x \right) &= x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f_1)_{n,0,0} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} x \right) &= \frac{4}{x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f_2)_{n,0,0} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} x \right) &= \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{n-1,0,0} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} x \right) &= \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{n-1,-1,0} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}} x \right) &= \frac{-x + \sqrt{x^2 - 4}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

locally uniformly for $x \in \mathcal{O}_2$. In all cases, $\sqrt{x^2 - 4}$ is the branch analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2]$ and satisfies $\sqrt{x^2 - 4} \sim -x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

An obvious consequence of Corollary 4.9 is that for n large enough, the triple $((f_0)_{0,0,0}, (f_1)_{0,0,0}, (f_2)_{0,0,0})$ is free of poles in the region $2^{-1/2} n^{1/2} \mathcal{O}_2$, cf. Figure 4.

The remainder of the paper is dedicated to proving Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6.

5. ON FUNCTIONS $g(z; t)$ AND $\mathcal{D}(z; t)$

In this section, we recall useful properties of $g(z; t)$ defined in (2.17) and prove Proposition 2.9.




FIGURE 4. Poles of $(f_1)_{n,0,0}(x)$ for $n = 0$ (blue) and $n = 1$ (orange). The black contours are the boundary of the open sets $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3$.

5.1. **The g -function in the two-cut case.** Combining (2.11), (2.14), and (2.20), we conclude that there exists a constant $\ell_*(t)$ such that⁸

$$(5.1) \quad g(z; t) = \frac{V(z; t) + \ell_*(t) + \eta(z; t)}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t(-\infty, b_2],$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \eta(z; t) := -2 \int_{b_2}^z Q^{1/2}(x; t) dx,$$

and the path of integration is taken to be in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t(-\infty, b_2]$. It will be convenient to introduce the following notation: for $e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$, let

$$(5.3) \quad \eta_e(z) := -2 \int_e^z Q^{1/2}(x) dx,$$

where the path of integration is taken in

- $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t(-\infty, b_2]$ when $e = b_2$,
- $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t[-b_2, \infty)$ when $e = -b_2$,
- $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t(-\infty, -a_2] \cup \Gamma_t[a_2, \infty)$ when $e \in \{\pm a_2\}$.

Then, it follows from definition (5.2) that $\eta(z) = \eta_{b_2}(z)$. Note that since μ_t in (2.12) is a probability measure, and using the evenness of $Q_+^{1/2}(z; t)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{J_{t,1}} Q_+^{1/2}(x) dx = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{J_{t,2}} Q_+^{1/2}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}.$$

⁸Comparing (5.1) with (2.19), we note that $\Re(\ell_*(t)) = -2\ell_t$. In [13], $\ell_*(t)$ was denoted $\ell_*^{(2)}(t)$ and $\eta(z; t)$ was denoted $\eta_2(z; t)$.

Using this, we can deduce that

$$(5.4) \quad \eta_{b_2}(z; t) = \begin{cases} \eta_{a_2}(z; t) \pm \pi i, \\ \eta_{-a_2}(z; t) \pm \pi i, \\ \eta_{-b_2}(z; t) \pm 2\pi i \end{cases} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma,$$

where the plus/minus signs correspond to z on the left/right of Γ_t , respectively.

It turns out that $\eta(z; t)$ (and consequently, all $\eta_e(z; t)$) can be written in terms of elementary functions. Indeed, one can check that

$$(5.5) \quad \eta(z; t) = -\frac{1}{4}(z^2 + t)R^{1/2}(z) + \log \left(\frac{2z^2 + t + R^{1/2}(z)}{2} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma_t(-\infty, b_2].$$

Then, using (5.1), the g functions can be written explicitly

$$g(z; t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{t}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}z^4 + \ell_*(t) \right) - \frac{1}{8}(z^2 + t)R^{1/2}(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{2z^2 + t + R^{1/2}(z)}{2} \right).$$

Combining this expression with the requirement that

$$(5.6) \quad g(z; t) = \log z + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$$

yields

$$(5.7) \quad \ell_*(t) = \frac{t^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Furthermore, $g(z; t)$ has the following jumps

$$(5.8) \quad (g_+ - g_-)(z; t) = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in \Gamma[b_2, \infty), \\ \eta_+(z; t), & z \in \Gamma[a_2, b_2], \\ \pi i, & z \in \Gamma[-a_2, a_2], \\ \eta_+(z; t), & z \in \Gamma[-b_2, -a_2], \\ 2\pi i, & z \in \Gamma(-\infty, -b_2], \end{cases}$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad (g_+ + g_- - V - \ell_*)(z; t) = \begin{cases} \eta(z; t), & z \in \Gamma[b_2, \infty), \\ 0, & z \in \Gamma[a_2, b_2], \\ \eta_{\pm}(z; t) \mp \pi i, & z \in \Gamma[-a_2, a_2], \\ 0, & z \in \Gamma[-b_2, -a_2], \\ \eta_{\pm}(z; t) \mp 2\pi i, & z \in \Gamma(-\infty, -b_2], \end{cases}$$

where the second-to-last line in both formulas uses the fact that

$$\int_{-a_2}^{a_2} x \sqrt{(x^2 - a_2^2)(x^2 - b_2^2)} dx = 0.$$

The arcs $\Gamma[a_2, b_2] \cup \Gamma[-b_2, -a_2]$ are solutions of $\Re[\eta(z; t)] = 0$; in fact, it was shown in [13, Section 3.2] that for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$, there exists a (non-unique, see Remark 2.6) choice of Γ_t so that

$$(5.10) \quad \Re[\eta(z; t)] < 0, \quad z \in \Gamma_t \setminus J_t.$$

Furthermore, it follows from (2.13), (2.18), and the subharmonicity of $\mathcal{U}(z; t)$ that $\Re[\eta(z; t)]$ is subharmonic at any point $z_0 \in J_t$. We then deduce from the maximum principle for subharmonic functions that every $z \in J_{t,1} \cup J_{t,2}$ is on the boundary of the set $\Re[\eta(z; t)] > 0$, cf. Figure 2.

5.2. Proof of Proposition 2.9. From (2.21) it follows that $R^{1/2}(z; t)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus J_t$ and for $z \in J_t \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ we have $R^{1/2}(z; t)_+ = -R^{1/2}(z; t)_-$. This implies (2.23). At $z = \infty$, we note that by (2.11) and the choice of the square root, we have

$$(5.11) \quad Q^{1/2}(z, t) = \frac{V'(z, t)}{2} - \frac{1}{z} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

By definition of $R^{1/2}(z; t)$ and (5.11) we find

$$(z^2 + t)R^{1/2}(z; t) = 4V(z; t) + 4\ell_*(t) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{D}(z; t)$ has a convergent Laurent expansion centered at $z = \infty$ of the form (2.24).

6. PROOFS OF THEOREM 3.2 AND THEOREM 3.6

6.1. Initial Riemann-Hilbert problem. Henceforth, we omit the dependence on t whenever it does not cause ambiguity. In what follows, we will denote matrices with bold, capitalized symbols (e.g. \mathbf{Y}), with the exception of the Pauli matrices:

$$\mathbb{I} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and will use the notation

$$f^{\sigma_3} := \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & f^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are seeking solutions of the following sequence of Riemann-Hilbert problems for 2×2 matrix functions (RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n):

- (a) $\mathbf{Y}_n(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ and $\lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{Y}_n(z)z^{-n\sigma_3} = \mathbf{I}$;
- (b) $\mathbf{Y}_n(z)$ has continuous boundary values on Γ that satisfy

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{Y}_{n,-}(x) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-N_n V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where, as before, $V(z)$ is given by (1.2) and the sequence $\{N_n\}$ is such that $|n - N_n| < C$ for some $C > 0$.

If the solution of RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n exists, then it is necessarily of the form

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{Y}_n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} P_n(z) & \mathcal{C}(P_n e^{-N_n V})(z) \\ -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} P_{n-1}(z) & -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}} \mathcal{C}(P_{n-1} e^{-N_n V})(z) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $P_n(z) = P_n(z; t, N_n)$ are the polynomial satisfying orthogonality relations (1.1), $h_n = h_n(t, N_n)$ are the constants defined in (2.4), and $\mathcal{C}f(z)$ is the Cauchy transform of a function f given on \mathbb{R} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}(f)(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x)}{x - z} dx.$$

The connection of RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n to orthogonal polynomials was first demonstrated by Fokas, Its, and Kitaev in [46]. Below, we show the solvability of RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough following the method of non-linear steepest descent introduced by Deift and Zhou [39].

6.2. Normalized Riemann-Hilbert problem and lenses. Suppose that $\mathbf{Y}_n(z)$ is a solution of **RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n** . Let

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbf{T}_n(z) := e^{-\frac{n}{2}\ell_*\sigma_3}\mathbf{Y}_n(z)e^{-n(g(z)-\frac{1}{2}\ell_*)\sigma_3},$$

where the function $g(z)$ is defined by (2.17) and ℓ_* was introduced in (5.1). Then

$$\mathbf{T}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{T}_{n,-}(x) \begin{bmatrix} e^{-n(g_+(x)-g_-(x))} & e^{n(g_+(x)+g_-(x)-V(x)-\ell_*)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{-n(g_-(x)-g_+(x))} \end{bmatrix},$$

$x \in \Gamma$, and therefore we deduce from (5.6), (5.8), and (5.9) that $\mathbf{T}_n(z)$ solves **RHP- \mathbf{T}_n** :

- (a) $\mathbf{T}_n(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$ and $\lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_n(z) = \mathbb{I}$;
- (b) $\mathbf{T}_n(z)$ has continuous boundary values on $\Gamma \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ that satisfy

$$\mathbf{T}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{T}_{n,-}(x) \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\eta_+(x)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(e^{i\pi\infty}, -b_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n\eta(x)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(b_2, e^{0\pi i\infty}), \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{n\pi i} & e^{n(\eta_+(x)-\pi i)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{-n\pi i} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(-a_2, a_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{-n\eta_+(x)} & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{n\eta_+(x)} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(-b_2, -a_2) \cup \Gamma(a_2, b_2), \end{cases}$$

Clearly, if **RHP- \mathbf{T}_n** is solvable and $\mathbf{T}_n(z)$ is the solution, then by inverting (6.2) one obtains a matrix $\mathbf{Y}_n(z)$ that solves **RHP- \mathbf{Y}_n** .

To proceed, we observe the factorization of the jump matrices on J_t

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{-n\eta_+(x)} & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{n\eta_+(x)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\eta_-(x)-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ -e^{-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\eta_+(x)-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This motivates the following transformation. Denote by J_{\pm} smooth contours connecting $\pm a_2$ and $\pm b_2$ and otherwise remaining in the region $\Re[\eta(z)] > 0$, as shown in Figure 5. Denote the regions bounded by J_t and J_{\pm} by L_{\pm} and define

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{S}_n(z) := \mathbf{T}_n(z) \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mp e^{-n\eta(z)-(n-N_n)V(z)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & z \in L_{\pm}, \\ \mathbb{I}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ solves **RHP- \mathbf{S}_n** :

- (a) $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\Gamma \cup J_{\pm})$ and $\lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{S}_n(z) = \mathbb{I}$,




FIGURE 5. Schematic representation of the jump contour of $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$. The black contours and shaded regions are as in Figure 2.

(b) $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ has continuous boundary values on $\Gamma \cup J_{\pm} \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ which satisfy

$$\mathbf{S}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{S}_{n,-}(x) \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\eta_+(x)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(e^{i\pi}\infty, -b_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n\eta(x)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(b_2, e^{0\pi i}\infty), \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{n\pi i} & e^{n(\eta_+(x)-\pi i)+(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{-n\pi i} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(-a_2, a_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ -e^{-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(-b_2, -a_2) \cup \Gamma(a_2, b_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\eta(x)-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in J_{\pm}. \end{cases}$$

6.3. Global parametrix. It follows from the choice of contour Γ and the discussion at the end of Section 5.1 (see e.g. Figure 2) that jumps of $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ on $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma(-b_2, b_2)$ are exponentially⁹ close to the identity, which motivates introducing the following model Riemann-Hilbert problem, RHP- \mathbf{N}_n .

- (a) $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ is analytic in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma[-b_2, b_2]$ and $\mathbf{N}(\infty) = \mathbb{I}$,
 (b) $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ has continuous boundary values on $\Gamma(-b_2, b_2) \setminus \{\pm a_2\}$ which satisfy

$$\mathbf{N}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{N}_{n,-}(x) \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ -e^{-(n-N_n)V(x)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & x \in \Gamma(-b_2, -a_2) \cup \Gamma(a_2, b_2), \\ \begin{bmatrix} e^{n\pi i} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-n\pi i} \end{bmatrix}, & x \in I_t. \end{cases}$$

⁹However, this exponential estimate is not uniform; we will handle this in the next subsection.

In the next subsection, we solve **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** explicitly.

6.3.1. $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ when $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Let

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbf{N}_n(z) := \mathcal{D}^{-(N_n-n)\sigma_3}(\infty) \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & -B(z) \\ B(z) & A(z) \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}^{(N_n-n)\sigma_3}(z),$$

where $A(z), B(z)$, and $\mathcal{D}(z)$ are defined in (2.34) and Proposition 2.9, respectively. **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** (a) follows from the analyticity properties of $A(z), B(z), \mathcal{D}(z)$, and equations (2.35) and (2.24). **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** (b) follows from (2.36) and (2.23).

6.3.2. $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ when $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\mathbf{M}_n(z) := \begin{bmatrix} (A/\Theta^{(0)})(z) & -(B/\Theta^{(1)})(z) \\ (\Theta^{(0)}B)(z) & (\Theta^{(1)}A)(z) \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}^{(N_n-n)\sigma_3}(z)$$

where $\Theta(z)$ is defined in (2.31) and superscripts (k) are explained in Section 2.6. It follows from analyticity properties of $\Theta(z)$ and Proposition 2.10 that $\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)$ and consequently $\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)$ are finite and non-vanishing. Then, combining this with (2.35) and boundedness/non-vanishing of $\mathcal{D}(\infty)$, we have that $\mathbf{M}(\infty)$ exists and

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \det \mathbf{M}_n(z) = \frac{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)} \neq 0.$$

Hence, the matrix

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbf{N}_n(z) := \mathbf{M}_n^{-1}(\infty) \mathbf{M}_n(z),$$

exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ satisfies **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** (a) due to the analyticity properties of $\Theta(z), A(z), B(z)$, and $\mathcal{D}(z)$. **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** (b) follows from (2.23), (2.36), and (2.32).

It follows from (6.4), (6.5) that

- (i) for any $e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$, we have that $\mathbf{N}_n(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z - e|^{-1/4})$ as $z \rightarrow e$ (entry-wise), and
- (ii) $\det(\mathbf{N}_n(z)) = 1$.

Indeed, (i) follows immediately from the definition of $A(z), B(z), \mathcal{D}(z)$ and $\Theta(z)$. For (ii), note that $\det(\mathbf{N}_n(z))$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$. Furthermore, $\det(\mathbf{N}_n(z))$ has at most square root growth at each of $\{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$, making them removable singularities. Liouville's theorem implies $\det(\mathbf{N}_n(z))$ is constant, and the normalization at infinity implies (ii).

6.4. Local parametrices. To handle the fact that the jumps removed in **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** are not uniformly close to identity, we construct parametrices near the endpoints $\{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ which solve **RHP- \mathbf{S}_n** in a neighborhood U_e , $e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ exactly. Furthermore, we require that this local solution "matches" the global parametrix on ∂U_e . Specifically, fix $e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$ and let

$$U_e := \{z : |z - e| < \delta_e(t)\},$$

where $\delta_e(t)$ is a radius to be determined. We seek a solution to the following Riemann-Hilbert problem (**RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$**)

- (a) $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z)$ has the same analyticity properties as $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ restricted to U_e , see **RHP- \mathbf{S}_n** (a);
- (b) $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z)$ satisfies the same jump relations as $\mathbf{S}_n(z)$ restricted to U_e , see **RHP- \mathbf{S}_n** (b);
- (c) $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z) = \mathbf{N}_n(z) \left(\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \right)$ holds uniformly on ∂U_e as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

6.4.1. *Model Parametrix.* Let $L_\theta := \{re^{i\theta} : r > 0\}$. We will solve **RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$** with the help of the Airy matrix $\mathbf{A}(\zeta)$, see e.g. [37, 38] (see also [36]), whose construction is by now standard and which solves the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

- (a) $\mathbf{A}(\zeta)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup L_{\pm 2\pi/3})$,
- (b) $\mathbf{A}(\zeta)$ has continuous boundary values on $\mathbb{R} \cup L_{\pm 2\pi/3}$ which satisfy

$$\mathbf{A}_+(s) = \mathbf{A}_-(s) \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & s \in (-\infty, 0), \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & s \in L_{\pm 2\pi/3}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & s \in (0, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where the real line is oriented from $-\infty$ to ∞ and the rays $L_{\pm 2\pi/3}$ are oriented towards the origin.

- (c) $\mathbf{A}(\zeta)$ has the following asymptotic expansion¹⁰ at infinity:

$$(6.6) \quad \mathbf{A}(\zeta) e^{\frac{2}{3}\zeta^{3/2}\sigma_3} \sim \frac{\zeta^{-\sigma_3/4}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} s_k & 0 \\ 0 & t_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^k & i \\ (-1)^k i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{2}{3}\zeta^{3/2}\right)^{-k},$$

uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\mathbb{R} \cup L_{\pm 2\pi/3})$, where

$$s_0 = t_0 = 1, \quad s_k = \frac{\Gamma(3k + 1/2)}{54^k k! \Gamma(k + 1/2)}, \quad t_k = -\frac{6k + 1}{6k - 1} s_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

6.4.2. *Local coordinates.* In this section, it will be convenient to denote

$$J_e := J_t \cap U_e, \quad I_e := (\Gamma \cap U_e) \setminus J_e.$$

To transform **RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$** to the model problem above, we will need to study the function $\eta_e(z)$ as $z \rightarrow e$. To this end, observe that the choice of Γ_t in Theorem 2.8 implies

$$\eta_e(z) < 0 \quad \text{for } z \in I_e,$$

and that

$$\eta_e(z) = \pm 2\pi i \zeta(e) \mu_t(\Gamma_t[e, z]) = e^{\mp \zeta(e) \frac{3\pi i}{2}} 2\pi \mu_t(\Gamma_t[e, z]), \quad z \in J_e$$

where

$$(6.7) \quad \zeta(e) = \begin{cases} -1, & e \in \{-b_2, a_2\}, \\ 1, & e \in \{b_2, -a_2\}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, since $\eta_e(z) \sim |z - e|^{\frac{3}{2}}$ as $z \rightarrow e$, we may define a holomorphic branch of $\zeta_e(z) := (-3n\eta_e(z)/4)^{\frac{2}{3}}$ so that $\eta_e(I_e) \subseteq (0, \infty)$, $\eta_e(J_e) \subseteq (-\infty, 0)$, and η_e is conformal in a sufficiently small neighborhood of e ; we choose $\delta_e(t) > 0$ so that η_e is conformal in U_e .

¹⁰We say that a function $F(p)$, which might depend on other variables as well, admits an asymptotic expansion $F(p) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \psi_k(p)$, where the functions $\psi_k(p)$ depend only on p while the coefficients c_k might depend on other variables but not p , if for any natural number K it holds that $F(p) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} c_k \psi_k(p) = \mathcal{O}_K(\psi_K(p))$.

Remark 6.1. We note that for any given compact $K \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2$, we may (and will) choose $\delta_e(t)$ so that they are continuous and separated from zero on K by, say, choosing $2\delta_e(t) = (\min\{2\pi, |\eta_e(0)|\})^{2/3}$. This follows from the Basic Structure Theorem [53, Theorem 3.5] and arguments carries out in [12, Section 6.4]. One of the technical difficulties in extending our asymptotic results to hold uniformly on unbounded subsets of \mathcal{O}_2 is choosing such radii that remain bounded away from zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This was done in [12, Section 6.4] and requires careful estimates of the quantity $\delta_e(t)$ above. While similar analysis can be carried out here, for the sake of brevity we settle for locally uniform asymptotics. \blacktriangleright

6.4.3. *Solution of RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$.* Let

$$(6.8) \quad \mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z) := \mathbf{E}_e(z) \mathbf{A}_e(\zeta_e(z)) e^{\frac{2}{3} \zeta_e^{3/2}(z) \sigma_3} \mathbf{J}_e(z),$$

where

- $\mathbf{E}_e(z)$ is a holomorphic prefactor to be determined,
- $\mathbf{A}_e(\zeta) := \mathbf{A}(\zeta)$ for $e \in \{-a_2, b_2\}$ and $\mathbf{A}_e(\zeta) := \sigma_3 \mathbf{A}(\zeta) \sigma_3$ otherwise. The conjugation by σ_3 has the effect of reversing the orientation of $\mathbb{R} \cup L_\pm$,
- we define

$$(6.9) \quad \mathbf{J}_e(z) := e^{\frac{1}{2}(N_n - n)V(z)\sigma_3} \begin{cases} \mathbb{I}, & e \in \{\pm b_2\}, \\ e^{\pm \frac{\pi i}{2} n \sigma_3}, & e \in \{\pm a_2\}, \end{cases}$$

and we choose the $+/-$ sign when z is to the left/right of Γ , respectively.

Indeed, RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$ (a) follows directly from the analyticity properties of $\mathbf{A}_e(\zeta)$, $\mathbf{J}_e(z)$, and $\zeta_e(z)$. Using (5.1), (5.8), (5.9), and (5.4), we see that for any $e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}$

$$(g_+ + g_- - V - \ell_*)(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{e,+} + \eta_{e,-})(z), \quad z \in \Gamma$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad (g_+ - g_-)(z) = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{e,+} - \eta_{e,-})(z) + \begin{cases} 0, & e = b_2, \\ \pi i, & e \in \{\pm a_2\}, \\ 2\pi i, & e = -b_2, \end{cases} \quad z \in \Gamma.$$

Using (6.10) along with (5.8), (5.9), one may directly verify RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$ (b). Finally, to satisfy RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$ (c), we choose

$$(6.11) \quad \mathbf{E}_e(z) := \mathbf{N}_n(z) \mathbf{J}_e^{-1}(z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i\zeta(e) \\ -i\zeta(e) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \zeta_e^{1/4}(z),$$

where $\zeta(e)$ is as as in (6.7). It follows from the definition of $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$, $\mathbf{J}_e(z)$, and jump conditions RHP- \mathbf{N}_n (b) that $\mathbf{E}_e(z)$ is analytic in $U_e \setminus \{e\}$. At $z = e$, it follows from the discussion in Section 6.3 and the conformality of $\zeta_e(z)$ that $\mathbf{E}_e(z)$ has at most a square-root singularity at e , making it a removable singularity and yielding the desired analyticity.

Using (6.6), the definition of $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z)$, and the definition of $\mathbf{E}_e(z)$, it follows that for $z \in \partial U_e$,¹¹

$$(6.12) \quad \mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z) \sim \mathbf{N}_n(z) \left(\mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{C}_{e,k}(z; t)}{n^k} \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

¹¹Strictly speaking, this is not an asymptotic expansion in the sense of the previous subsection since coefficients $\mathbf{C}_{e,k}$ still depend on n . However, for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$, the estimate $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} n^{-k} \mathbf{C}_{e,k}(z) = \mathcal{O}_K(n^{-K})$ still holds.




FIGURE 6. Schematic representation of Σ (orange). The black contours and shaded regions are as in Figure 2.

where $\mathbf{C}_{e,k}(z;t)$ can be calculated using (6.6), (6.8), (6.9), and (6.11). We will not use these formulas and so omit them, but we do point out that $\mathbf{C}_{e,k}(z;t)$ depend on t via $\eta_e(z;t)$ and $V(z;t)$.

6.5. Small-norm Riemann-Hilbert problem. Let

$$\Sigma = [(\Gamma \cup J_t \cup J_+ \cup J_-) \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_e U_e)] \cup (\cup_e \partial U_e),$$

shown schematically in Figure 6. Consider the following Riemann-Hilbert problem RHP- \mathbf{R}_n :

- (a) $\mathbf{R}_n(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ and $\lim_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma \ni z \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{R}_n(z) = \mathbb{I}$,
- (b) $\mathbf{R}_n(z)$ has continuous boundary values on points of Σ with a well-defined tangent and

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{R}_{n,-}(x) \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}_{e,n}(x) \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & x \in \partial U_e, \\ \mathbf{N}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ e^{-n\eta(x) - (n-N_n)V(x)} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & s \in J_{\pm} \cap \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_e U_e. \end{cases}$$

where ∂U_e are oriented clockwise, and (recall that $\det \mathbf{N}_n(z) = 1$, see Section 6.3)

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,+}(x) = \mathbf{R}_{n,-}(x) \begin{cases} \mathbf{N}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\eta_+(x) + (n-N_n)V(x))} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & x \in \Gamma(e^{i\pi}\infty, -b_2), \\ \mathbf{N}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} e^{-n\eta_+(x)} & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{n\eta_+(x)} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & x \in \Gamma(-b_2, -a_2), \\ \mathbf{N}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n\eta(x) + (n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & x \in \Gamma(b_2, e^{0\pi i}\infty), \\ \mathbf{N}_{n,-}(x) \begin{bmatrix} e^{n\pi i} & e^{n(\eta_+(x) - \pi i) + (n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{-n\pi i} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_{n,+}^{-1}(x), & x \in I_t, \\ \mathbf{N}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} e^{-n\eta_+(x)} & e^{(n-N_n)V(x)} \\ 0 & e^{n\eta_+(x)} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x), & x \in \Gamma(a_2, b_2). \end{cases}$$

We now show that $\mathbf{R}_n(z)$ satisfies a so-called "small-norm" Riemann-Hilbert problem. The estimates in this section are fairly well-known at this point, but since we also consider the dependence on the parameter t , we point to [12, Section 7.6] as a general reference. Consider

$$(6.13) \quad \Delta(x; t) := (\mathbf{R}_{n,-}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{n,+})(x) - \mathbb{I}, \quad x \in \Sigma.$$

It follows from the explicit formulas in Section 6.3 that $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ is uniformly bounded on Σ . This, the choice of Γ (see (5.10) and the surrounding discussion), the fact that $|n - N_n|$ is bounded for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the jump of $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ on I_t implies that there exists $c(t; \delta) > 0$, where $\delta = \min_e \delta_e$, so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(6.14) \quad \Delta(x; t) = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-c(t; \delta)n}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in (J_{\pm} \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_e U_e)) \cup I_t \cup \Gamma(-\infty, -b_2) \cup \Gamma(b_2, \infty).$$

Since $\Delta(x; t)$ is continuous in t , we can choose the exponent $c(t; \delta)$ to be continuous in t as well, and by compactness we find that (6.14) holds (x, t) -locally uniformly. Similarly, by (6.12) and the definition of $\Delta(x; t)$, it follows that for $x \in \partial U_e$

$$(6.15) \quad \Delta(x; t) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{N}_n(x; t) \mathbf{C}_{e,k}(x; t) \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x; t)}{n^k} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Once again, by continuity we have that estimate (6.15) holds (x, t) -locally uniformly. Put together, the above estimates yield that $\|\Delta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$ t -locally uniformly. Furthermore, on the unbounded components of Σ we have

$$\Delta(x; t) = \mathbf{N}_n(x; t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{n(\eta_+(x; t) + (n - N_n)V(x; t))} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{N}_n^{-1}(x; t),$$

and since $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ is bounded as $x \rightarrow \infty$ and $e^{n\eta(x; t)}$ is exponentially small as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\|\Delta\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma) \cap L^2(\Sigma)} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}).$$

Hence, applying [36, Corollary 7.108], yields that for n large enough, a solution $\mathbf{R}_n(z)$ exists and

$$(6.16) \quad \mathbf{R}_n(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

(z, t) -uniformly in \mathbb{C} . In the next subsection, we use this to conclude asymptotic formulas for polynomials $P_n(z; t, N_n)$ and recurrence coefficients $\gamma_n^2(t, N_n)$.

6.6. Asymptotics of $P_n(z; t)$. Given $\mathbf{R}_n(z)$, $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}(z)$, solutions of **RHP- \mathbf{R}_n** , **RHP- \mathbf{N}_n** , and **RHP- $\mathbf{P}_{e,n}$** , respectively, one can verify that **RHP- \mathbf{S}_n** is solved by

$$(6.17) \quad \mathbf{S}_n(z) = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{N}_n)(z), & (\mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{U}_e) \setminus [(\Gamma \setminus J_t) \cup J_+ \cup J_-], \\ (\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{P}_{e,n})(z), & U_e, e \in \{\pm a_2, \pm b_2\}. \end{cases}$$

Let K be a compact set in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. By choosing J_{\pm} appropriately, we can arrange for K to lie entirely within the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$. Then it follows from (6.2), (6.3), and (6.17) that

$$(6.18) \quad \mathbf{Y}_n(z) = e^{\frac{n}{2} \ell_* \sigma_3} (\mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{N}_n)(z) e^{n(g(z) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_*) \sigma_3}, \quad z \in K.$$

Subsequently, by using (6.1) and (5.1), we see that

$$P_n(z) = [\mathbf{Y}(z)]_{11} = e^{\frac{n}{2}(\eta(z) + V(z) + \ell_*)} ([\mathbf{R}_n(z)]_{11} [\mathbf{N}_n(z)]_{11} + [\mathbf{R}_n(z)]_{12} [\mathbf{N}_n(z)]_{21}).$$

Using formulas (6.4), (6.5), estimate (6.16), and the definitions of the entries of $\mathbf{N}_n(z)$ yields (3.1).

6.7. **Asymptotics of $\gamma_n^2(t)$.** It follows from Theorem 3.2 that for n large enough, $\deg P_n = n$, and in this section we will use the notation

$$P_n(z; t, N) = z^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathfrak{p}_{n,k}(t, N) z^k.$$

Furthermore, we will drop the n subscript (e.g. $\mathbf{N}_n \mapsto \mathbf{N}$) and use notation

$$\mathbf{K}(z) = \mathbb{I} + z^{-1}\mathbf{K}_1(n, t, N) + z^{-2}\mathbf{K}_2(n, t, N) + z^{-3}\mathbf{K}_3(n, t, N) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\mathbf{K} \in \{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Y}z^{-n\sigma_3}\}$. It follows from the orthogonality relations (1.1) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} z^n \left(\mathcal{C}P_n e^{-NV} \right) (z) &= -\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} x^n P_n(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx - \frac{1}{z^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} x^{n+1} P_n(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \\ &= \frac{h_n(t, N)}{2\pi i} \left(-\frac{1}{z} + \frac{\mathfrak{p}_{n+1,n}(t, N)}{z^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second expression for the coefficient next to z^{-2} follows from the observation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} x^{n+1} P_n(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx \\ = \int_{\Gamma} \left(x^{n+1} - P_{n+1}(x) \right) P_n(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx = \mathfrak{p}_{n+1,n}(t, N) \int_{\Gamma} x^n P_n(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where all polynomials correspond to the same parameter N . However, since the weight of orthogonality is an even function, we have $P_n(-z; t, N) = (-1)^n P_n(z; t, N)$, i.e. polynomials P_n are even/odd functions. In particular $\mathfrak{p}_{n+1,n}(t, N) = 0$. Hence, it follows from (6.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{h_n(t, N)}{2\pi i} \\ -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}(t, N)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Y}_2(n, t, N) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{p}_{n, n-2}(t, N) & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{Y}_3(n, t, N) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ -\frac{2\pi i}{h_{n-1}(t, N)} \mathfrak{p}_{n-1, n-3}(t, N) & * \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This along with (2.5) yields the formulae

$$\begin{cases} h_n(t, N) &= -2\pi i [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{12}, \\ \gamma_n^2(t, N) &= [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{12} [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{21}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_{n, n-2}(t, N) &= [\mathbf{Y}_2(n, t, N)]_{11}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_{n-1, n-3}(t, N) &= \frac{[\mathbf{Y}_3(n, t, N)]_{21}}{[\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{21}}. \end{cases}$$

Next, using (5.5) we have

$$(6.19) \quad e^{-ng(z)\sigma_3} = z^{-n\sigma_3} \left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{nt}{2z^2} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}) \right).$$

Combining (6.19) with (6.2) yields

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{12} = e^{-n\ell_*} [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, N)]_{12}, \\ [\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{21} = e^{n\ell_*} [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{21}, \\ [\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{11} = [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{11}, \\ [\mathbf{T}_2(n, t, N)]_{11} = [\mathbf{Y}_2(n, t, N)]_{11} - \frac{nt}{2}, \\ [\mathbf{T}_3(n, t, N)]_{21} = e^{n\ell_*} \left([\mathbf{Y}_3(n, t, N)]_{21} - \frac{nt}{2} [\mathbf{Y}_1(n, t, N)]_{21} \right). \end{array} \right.$$

This, in turn, leads to

$$(6.20) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h_n(t, N) = -2\pi i e^{n\ell_*} [\mathbf{T}_1(n, N)]_{12}, \\ \gamma_n^2(t, N) = [\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{12} [\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{21}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_{n, n-2}(t, N) = [\mathbf{T}_2(n, t, N)]_{11} + \frac{nt}{2}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_{n-1, n-3}(t, N) = \frac{[\mathbf{T}_3(n, t, N)]_{21}}{[\mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N)]_{21}} + \frac{nt}{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

It was shown in [35, Theorem 7.10]¹² that $\mathbf{R}(z)$ admits an asymptotic expansion (in the sense of Footnote 11)

$$(6.21) \quad \mathbf{R}(z) \sim \mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z, n)}{n^k}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_e \partial U_e.$$

The matrices $\mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z)$ satisfy an additive Riemann-Hilbert Problem:

- (a) $\mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_e \partial U_e$,
- (b) $\mathbf{R}_+^{(k)}(z) = \mathbf{R}_-^{(k)}(z) + \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{R}_-^{(k-j)}(z) \Delta_j(z)$ for $z \in \cup_e \partial U_e$, where we write

$$\Delta(z; t) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_k(z; t)}{n^k} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and $\Delta(z; t)$ is as in (6.13), and

- (c) as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z)$ admits an expansion of the form

$$(6.22) \quad \mathbf{R}^{(k)}(z) = \frac{\mathbf{R}_1^{(k)}}{z} + \frac{\mathbf{R}_2^{(k)}}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}).$$

Recall that $\mathbf{T}(z) = (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{N})(z)$ for z in the vicinity of infinity by (6.2) and (6.18). It also follows from their definitions that matrices $\mathbf{N}(z)$ form a normal family in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the vicinity of infinity.

¹²In the reference, fractional powers of n appear because of the dependence of the conformal maps φ_n (analog of our η_e) on n . This is not the case in, say, [37, Eq. 4.115].

Plugging expansions (6.21) and (6.22) into the product $\mathbf{T}(z) = (\mathbf{RN})(z)$ yields (6.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}_1(n, t, N_n) &= \mathbf{N}_1(n, t, N_n) + \frac{\mathbf{R}_1^{(1)}(t)}{n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \\ \mathbf{T}_2(n, t, N_n) &= \mathbf{N}_2(n, t, N_n) + \frac{\mathbf{R}_1^{(1)}(t)\mathbf{N}_1(n, t, N_n) + \mathbf{R}_2^{(1)}(t)}{n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}), \\ \mathbf{T}_3(n, t, N_n) &= \mathbf{N}_3(n, t, N_n) + \frac{\mathbf{R}_1^{(1)}(t)\mathbf{N}_2(n, t, N_n) + \mathbf{R}_2^{(1)}(t)\mathbf{N}_1(n, t, N_n) + \mathbf{R}_3^{(1)}(t)}{n} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

where normality of \mathbf{N} is used to ensure boundedness of coefficients $\mathbf{N}_k(n, t, N)$. To use (6.23), we will need to compute $\mathbf{N}_1, \mathbf{N}_2, \mathbf{N}_3$. To this end, note that by (2.24),

$$(6.24) \quad \mathcal{D}^{(N-n)\sigma_3}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-n)\ell_*\sigma_3} \left(\mathbb{I} + \frac{N-n}{4z^4}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-6}) \right).$$

Furthermore, it follows from the definition of $\gamma(z)$ in (2.33) that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(z) &= 1 + \frac{a_2 - b_2}{2z} + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{8z^2} + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)(3a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + 3b_2^2)}{16z^3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \\ \gamma^{-1}(z) &= 1 - \frac{a_2 - b_2}{2z} + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{8z^2} - \frac{(a_2 - b_2)(3a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + 3b_2^2)}{16z^3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, yields

$$(6.25) \quad \begin{aligned} A(z) &= 1 + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{8z^2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-4}), \\ B(z) &= \frac{a_2 - b_2}{2iz} + \frac{(a_2 - b_2)(3a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + 3b_2^2)}{16iz^3} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-5}), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Put together, the expansions (6.24), (6.25) along with the definition of \mathbf{N} yield that

$$(6.26) \quad \mathbf{N}_1(n, t, N) = \frac{b_2 - a_2}{2} e^{(N-n)\ell_*\sigma_3} \sigma_2,$$

when $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Recalling Proposition 2.10, we can write

$$(6.27) \quad \mathbf{N}_1(n, t, N) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(N-n)\ell_*\sigma_3} \left[\begin{array}{cc} -\frac{d}{dx} \left(\log \Theta^{(0)}(1/x) \right) \Big|_{x=0} & -\frac{a_2 - b_2}{2i} \frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)} \\ \frac{a_2 - b_2}{2i} \frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)} & \frac{d}{dx} \left(\log \Theta^{(1)}(1/x) \right) \Big|_{x=0} \end{array} \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N-n)\ell_*\sigma_3},$$

when $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. Using (6.26), (6.27), and (6.23) in (6.20) yields

$$(6.28) \quad h_n(t, N_n) = \pi(a_2 - b_2) e^{n\ell_*} e^{(N_n - n)\ell_*} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1, & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$(6.29) \quad \gamma_n^2(t, N_n) = \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{4} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \quad n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \left(\frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)} \right)^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}. \end{array} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that by using formulas (2.15) in (6.29) when $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$, we immediately recover formulas obtained in [14, Theorem 1.1]¹³, namely, when $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$,

$$\gamma_n^2(t, N_n) = \frac{-t - \sqrt{t^2 - 4}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad t \in (-\infty, -2).$$

When $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$, however, matching our result with the above reference is less obvious.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\Theta(z)$ be as in (2.31) and a_2, b_2 be as in (2.15). Then, the following identity holds:*

$$(6.30) \quad \frac{\Theta^{(0)}(\infty)}{\Theta^{(1)}(\infty)} = \frac{4}{(a_2 - b_2)^2}.$$

Proof. Consider the auxiliary function $G : \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ defined by $G(z^{(0)}) = G^{-1}(z^{(1)})$ and

$$G(z^{(0)}) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(z)} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} (V(z) + \eta_{b_2}(z)) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(z), \eta(z)$ are as in (2.22) and (5.3), respectively. Then, it follows from (5.8), (5.9), and (2.23) that G is well defined on $\mathfrak{S} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and for $z \in \alpha \setminus \pm a_2$,

$$G_+(z) = -G_-(z).$$

Furthermore, it follows from (5.6), (5.1), and (2.24) as $z \rightarrow \infty$,

$$G(z^{(0)}) = z + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Hence, the function $(G\Theta)(z)$ is rational on \mathfrak{S} with divisor $0^{(1)} + \infty^{(1)} - 0^{(0)} - \infty^{(0)}$. This is also true of the function

$$F(z) := \begin{cases} (A/B)(z), & z \in \mathfrak{S}^{(0)}, \\ -(B/A)(z), & z \in \mathfrak{S}^{(1)}, \end{cases}$$

where $A(z), B(z)$ are defined in (2.34). Hence, there exists a constant C so that $F(z) = C(G\Theta)(z)$. In particular, using (6.25) yields

$$\Theta^{(0)}(\infty) = -C \frac{2i}{a_2 - b_2}, \quad \Theta^{(1)}(\infty) = C \frac{a_2 - b_2}{2i}.$$

Taking the ratio of the two identities yields (6.30). □

Plugging (6.30) into (6.29) and (6.28), we find (3.2) and (3.3), respectively. Finally, we note that by combining (6.24), (6.25) with the definition of \mathbf{N} we find that when $n \in 2\mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbf{N}_2(n, t, N) = \frac{(a_2 - b_2)^2}{8} \mathbb{I}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{N}_3(n, t, N) = \frac{(b_2 - a_2)(3a_2^2 + 2a_2b_2 + 3b_2^2)}{16} e^{(N-n)\ell_*\sigma_3\sigma_2}$$

which, combined with (6.23) and (6.20), yield (3.4), (3.5).

¹³There, the authors consider a more general weight of orthogonality and use the notation $R_n = \gamma_n^2$. The specialization is: $g \mapsto 1$, $t \mapsto t$, and $\lambda \mapsto 1$.

7. CONCLUSION

In this work, we studied orthogonal polynomials with a generalized Freud weight depending on a parameter t and whose recurrence coefficients are parabolic cylinder solutions of Painlevé-IV. We obtain leading term asymptotics of the orthogonal polynomials and their recurrence coefficients in the so-called two-cut region and, as a consequence, established asymptotically pole-free regions for the corresponding special function solutions of Painlevé-IV.

7.1. Future Work. The most obvious first step is to carry out asymptotic analysis similar to Section 6 for $t \in \mathcal{O}_3$. Other problems that remain open and deserve attention include:

- A more careful analysis of the matrix $\mathbf{R}_n(z; t)$, it can be shown that $\gamma_n(t)^2$ admits a full asymptotic expansion

$$\gamma_n^2(t, N_n) \sim \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_j(t)}{n^j}, & n \in 2\mathbb{N}, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{o_j(t)}{n^j}, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $e_j(t), o_j(t)$ are analytic functions of t for $t \in \mathcal{O}_2$ and e_0, o_0 are as in equations (3.2), and the remaining o_j, e_j can be computed recursively using the String equation (1.6). This will give rise to two (in principle) different asymptotic expansions of the free energy. It would be interesting to understand if these expansions are different, and whether or not the enumeration property discussed in the introduction carries over to the two-cut region.

- In this work, we concerned ourselves with Bäcklund iterates of the seed solution

$$\varphi(x) = e^{\frac{1}{2}x^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)$$

since the corresponding tau functions appear in the quartic model. One could instead consider a generalization of this model where the starting point is the partition function

$$\hat{Z}_n(t; N) := \int_{\Gamma} \cdots \int_{\Gamma} \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (\zeta_k - \zeta_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n |\zeta_j|^{2a} e^{-N\left(\frac{t}{2}\zeta_j^2 + \frac{1}{4}\zeta_j^4\right)} d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_n,$$

where $\Re(a) > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\Gamma = C_1\mathbb{R} + C_2i\mathbb{R}$ and $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are auxiliary parameters. Then, the corresponding measure of orthogonality is supported on Γ with density $|z|^{2a} e^{-NV(z;t)}$. Since $V(iz; t) = V(z; -t)$, a calculation similar to (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} |z|^{2a} e^{-NV(z;t)} dz \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{4}} \Gamma\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} \left(C_1 D_{-a-\frac{1}{2}}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t\right) + iC_2 D_{-a-\frac{1}{2}}\left(-N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, modulo the restriction on a , corresponds to a general parabolic cylinder seed function. Removing the restriction on a completely requires further deformation of the contour of integration.

- It is known [41] that when $t \rightarrow \pm i\sqrt{12}$, the recurrence coefficients $\gamma_n^2(t, N)$ have asymptotics described in terms of tronquée solutions of Painlevé-I. This suggests that one might be able to obtain tronquée solutions of Painlevé-I as limits of special function solutions of

Painlevé-IV. This type of critical Painlevé-I behavior is not unique to the quartic model, and can also be exhibited in the cubic model [11]. In the setting of the cubic model, it is known that the relevant recurrence coefficients are related to Airy solutions of Painlevé-II [5]. This suggests that one might be able to obtain tronquée solutions of Painlevé-I as limits of special function solutions of Painlevé-II. It would be interesting to work out these limits in detail and identify the limiting solution of Painlevé-I.

APPENDIX A. $H_n(t, N)$ AS A PRODUCT OF τ -FUNCTIONS

In Theorem 4.8, we omitted an interpretation of the functions $\tau_{n,0,0}(x)$, $\tau_{n,-1,0}(x)$ in terms of the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$ and related quantities since we did not need such formulas. We record these here, and as a consequence deduce a theorem on the structure of degree degeneration of polynomials $P_n(z; t, N)$, see Proposition A.4. We start with the following lemma.

Proposition A.1. *Let $H_n(t, N)$ be as in (1.5). Set $H_0^{(e,o)}(t, N) \equiv 1$ and*

$$(A.1) \quad H_n^{(e)}(t, N) := \det \left[\frac{d^{i+j}}{dt^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^{n-1},$$

$$(A.2) \quad H_n^{(o)}(t, N) := \det \left[\frac{d^{i+j}}{dt^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right) \right]_{i,j=0}^{n-1},$$

where $D_\nu(\diamond)$ is the parabolic cylinder functions (cf. [40, Section 12]). Then,

$$H_{2n-1}(t, N) = \frac{\pi^n 2^{2n(n-1)}}{N^{n(2n-1)}} H_n^{(e)}(t, N) H_n^{(o)}(t, N),$$

$$H_{2n}(t, N) = \frac{\pi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2n^2+\frac{1}{4}}}{N^{2n^2+n+\frac{1}{4}}} H_{n+1}^{(e)}(t, N) H_n^{(o)}(t, N).$$

To prove Proposition A.1, we will make use of the following algebraic identity.

Lemma A.2. *Let $\{c_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of variables and set $c_{k/2} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(A.3) \quad \det[c_{\frac{i+j}{2}}]_{i,j=0}^{2n-1} = \det[c_{i+j}]_{i,j=0}^{n-1} \cdot \det[c_{i+j+1}]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$$

$$(A.4) \quad \det[c_{\frac{i+j}{2}}]_{i,j=0}^{2n} = \det[c_{i+j}]_{i,j=0}^n \cdot \det[c_{i+j+1}]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}.$$

Proof of Proposition A.2. We begin by proving (A.3). Denote $H := [c_{\frac{i+j}{2}}]_{i,j=0}^{2n-1}$ and its entries by $H_{i,j} = c_{\frac{i+j}{2}}$. Let $P_{2n} \subseteq S_{2n}$ be the subgroup defined by the property that j and $\sigma(j)$ have the same parity for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$. Note that such permutations factor into cycles which act on even numbers and cycles which act on odd numbers. That is, for all $\sigma \in P_{2n}$, there exist $\sigma_e, \sigma_o \in S_n$

such that¹⁴ $\sigma = \sigma_e \sigma_o$. With this in mind, the definition of the determinant reads

$$\begin{aligned} \det H &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=0}^{2n-1} H_{i, \sigma(i)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\sigma_e \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_e) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{2i, \sigma_e(2i)} \right) \left(\sum_{\sigma_o \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_o) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{2i+1, \sigma_o(2i+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The terms in the last expression can now readily be interpreted as the determinants in the right hand side of (A.3). The proof of (A.4) follows using the same argument and noting that the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 2n\}$ has one more even number than odd numbers. \square

Proof of Lemma A.1. In order to apply Proposition A.2 to determinants $H_n(t, N)$, we set

$$c_{i+j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^{2(i+j)} e^{-NV(s;t)} ds = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{2^{i+j+\frac{1}{4}} \pi^{1/2}}{N^{i+j+\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{d^{i+j}}{dt^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right)$$

and note that by [40, Eq. 12.8.9] we have the identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right) = -N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(N^{1/2} 2^{-1/2} t \right)$$

In particular, (2.3) gives

$$c_{i+j+1} = -\frac{2}{N} \frac{dc_{i+j}}{dt} = (-1)^{i+j} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^{i+j+\frac{1}{4}} \pi^{1/2}}{N^{i+j+\frac{1}{4}}} \frac{d^{i+j}}{dt^{i+j}} \left(e^{\frac{N}{8}t^2} D_{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(N^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t \right) \right).$$

Pulling out common factors from each row/column and recalling (A.1), (A.2), we find

$$(A.5) \quad \det[c_{i+j}]_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{n/4}}{N^{n/4}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{2^k}{N^k} \right)^2 H_n^{(e)}(t, N) = \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{n(n-3/4)}}{N^{n(n-3/4)}} H_n^{(e)}(t, N),$$

$$(A.6) \quad \det[c_{i+j+1}]_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = N^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{n(n-3/4)}}{N^{n(n-3/4)}} H_n^{(o)}(t, N) = \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{n(n-5/4)}}{N^{n(n-1/4)}} H_n^{(o)}(t, N).$$

Finally, we plug (A.5), (A.6) into (A.3), (A.4) to arrive at the result. \square

By simply comparing (A.1) (resp. (A.2)) with (4.17) (resp. (4.18)) and using the identity

$$H_n^{(e,o)}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}t, N) = H_n^{(e,o)}(2t, 1),$$

we find that

$$(A.7) \quad \tau_{n-1,0,0}(x) = e^{-(n-\frac{1}{2})x^2} H_n^{(e)}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) = e^{-(n-\frac{1}{2})x^2} H_n^{(e)}(2x, 1),$$

$$(A.8) \quad \tau_{n-1,-1,0}(x) = e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})x^2} H_n^{(o)}(2N^{-\frac{1}{2}}x, N) = e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2})x^2} H_n^{(o)}(2x, 1).$$

Remark A.3. Using Theorem 4.8, one can write the partition function and the (derivative of the) free energy of the quartic one-matrix model mentioned in Section 1 in terms of τ - and σ -functions of (P_{IV}). Since we do not use this connection in this work, we settle for stating the

¹⁴One should interpret elements of S_{2n} as acting on the alphabet $0, 1, \dots, 2n-1$, $\sigma_e \in S_n$ as a permutation acting on $0, 2, \dots, 2n-2$, and $\sigma_o \in S_n$ acting on $1, 3, \dots, 2n-1$.

formulas without further comment. Let $Z_{n,N}(t), F_{n,N}(t)$ be the partition function and free energy, respectively, defined in [13, Equations (1.17) - (1.18)]. Then,

$$Z_{n,N}(t) = \frac{N! \pi^n 2^{2n(n-1)}}{N^{n(2n-1)}} e^{\frac{nN}{2}t^2} \begin{cases} (\tau_{n-1,0,0} \tau_{n-1,-1,0})(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t), & k = 2n, \\ \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2n+\frac{1}{4}}}{N^{2n+\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\frac{Nt^2}{4}} (\tau_{n,0,0} \tau_{n-1,-1,0})(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t), & k = 2n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$(2n)^2 \frac{d}{dt} F_{2n,N}(t) = nNt + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \left(\sigma_{n-1,0,0}(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t) + \sigma_{n-1,-1,0}(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t) \right),$$

and

$$(2n+1)^2 \frac{d}{dt} F_{2n+1,N}(t) = \left(n - \frac{1}{2} \right) Nt + \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \left(\sigma_{n,0,0}(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t) + \sigma_{n-1,-1,0}(2^{-1}N^{\frac{1}{2}}t) \right).$$



Finally, note that combining Lemma A.1, equations (A.7) - (A.8), and Remark 4.5 yields the following result.

Proposition A.4. *For any fixed $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $N \geq 0$, and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that*

- if $\deg P_n = n$ then $\deg P_{n-1} = n - 1$ or $\deg P_{n+1} = n + 1$,
- if $\deg P_n < n$ then exactly one of the following must hold:
 - (i) $\deg P_{n-1} = \deg P_n = n - 2$ and $\deg P_{n+1} = n + 1$, or
 - (ii) $\deg P_{n+1} = \deg P_n = n - 1$ and $\deg P_{n-1} = n - 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. È. Adler, *Cutting of polygons*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **27** (1993), no. 2, 79–82. MR1251169
- [2] ———, *Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations*, Phys. D **73** (1994), no. 4, 335–351. MR1280883
- [3] F. Balogh, M. Bertola, and T. Bothner, *Hankel determinant approach to generalized Vorob'ev-Yablonski polynomials and their roots*, Constr. Approx. **44** (2016), no. 3, 417–453. MR3562403
- [4] A. Barhoumi, P. Bleher, A. Deaño, and M. Yattselev, *On Airy solutions of PII and complex cubic ensemble of random matrices, II*. To appear in: Contemp. Math. Arxiv: 2403.03023.
- [5] ———, *On Airy solutions of PII and complex cubic ensemble of random matrices, I*, 2023. To appear in: Orthogonal Polynomials, Special Functions and Applications - Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium, Montreal, Canada, In honor to Richard Askey. Arxiv: 2310.14898.
- [6] A. P. Bassom, P. A. Clarkson, and A. C. Hicks, *Bäcklund transformations and solution hierarchies for the fourth Painlevé equation*, Stud. Appl. Math. **95** (1995), no. 1, 1–71. MR1342071
- [7] M. Bertola and A. Tovbis, *Asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with complex varying quartic weight: global structure, critical point behavior and the first Painlevé equation*, Constr. Approx. **41** (2015), no. 3, 529–587. MR3346719
- [8] M. Bertola and T. Bothner, *Zeros of large degree Vorob'ev-Yablonski polynomials via a Hankel determinant identity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **19** (2015), 9330–9399. MR3431594
- [9] M. Bertola and A. Tovbis, *On asymptotic regimes of orthogonal polynomials with complex varying quartic exponential weight*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **12** (2016), Paper No. 118, 50. MR3589917
- [10] D. Bessis, C. Itzykson, and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **1** (1980), no. 2, 109–157. MR603127
- [11] P. Bleher and A. Deaño, *Painlevé I double scaling limit in the cubic random matrix model*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **5** (2016), no. 2, 1650004, 58. MR3493550
- [12] P. Bleher, A. Deaño, and M. Yattselev, *Topological expansion in the complex cubic log-gas model: one-cut case*, J. Stat. Phys. **166** (2017), no. 3-4, 784–827. MR3607591

- [13] P. Bleher, R. Gharakhloo, and K. T-R McLaughlin, *Phase diagram and topological expansion in the complex quartic random matrix model*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **77** (2024), no. 2, 1405–1485. MR4673885
- [14] P. Bleher and A. Its, *Semiclassical asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials, Riemann-Hilbert problem, and universality in the matrix model*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), no. 1, 185–266. MR1715324
- [15] ———, *Double scaling limit in the random matrix model: the Riemann-Hilbert approach*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **56** (2003), no. 4, 433–516. MR1949138
- [16] P. M. Bleher and A. Deaño, *Topological expansion in the cubic random matrix model*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **12** (2013), 2699–2755. MR3071662
- [17] P. M. Bleher and A. R. Its, *Asymptotics of the partition function of a random matrix model*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55** (2005), no. 6, 1943–2000. MR2187941
- [18] L. Boelen and W. Van Assche, *Discrete Painlevé equations for recurrence coefficients of semiclassical Laguerre polynomials*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138** (2010), no. 4, 1317–1331. MR2578525
- [19] T. Bothner and P. D. Miller, *Rational solutions of the Painlevé-III equation: large parameter asymptotics*, *Constr. Approx.* **51** (2020), no. 1, 123–224. MR4046831
- [20] T. Bothner, P. D. Miller, and Y. Sheng, *Rational solutions of the Painlevé-III equation*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **141** (2018), no. 4, 626–679. MR3879971
- [21] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber, *Planar diagrams*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **59** (1978), no. 1, 35–51. MR471676
- [22] R. Buckingham, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-IV functions associated to generalized Hermite polynomials*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **18** (2020), 5534–5577. MR4153120
- [23] R. J. Buckingham and P. D. Miller, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-II functions: noncritical behaviour*, *Nonlinearity* **27** (2014), no. 10, 2489–2578. MR3265723
- [24] ———, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-II functions: critical behaviour*, *Nonlinearity* **28** (2015), no. 6, 1539–1596. MR3350600
- [25] ———, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-IV solutions by the isomonodromy method*, *Constr. Approx.* **56** (2022), no. 2, 233–443. MR4507087
- [26] T. Claeys, T. Grava, and K. D. T-R. McLaughlin, *Asymptotics for the partition function in two-cut random matrix models*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **339** (2015), no. 2, 513–587. MR3370612
- [27] P. A. Clarkson, *Vortices and polynomials*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **123** (2009), no. 1, 37–62. MR2538285
- [28] P. A. Clarkson, *Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the Painlevé equations and applications to soliton equations*, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **6** (2006), no. 2, 329–401. MR2291140
- [29] ———, *On Airy solutions of the second Painlevé equation*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **137** (2016), no. 1, 93–109. MR3529955
- [30] P. A. Clarkson and K. Jordaan, *The relationship between semiclassical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation*, *Constr. Approx.* **39** (2014), no. 1, 223–254. MR3144387
- [31] ———, *Properties of generalized Freud polynomials*, *J. Approx. Theory* **225** (2018), 148–175. MR3733254
- [32] P. A. Clarkson, K. Jordaan, and A. Kelil, *A generalized Freud weight*, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **136** (2016), no. 3, 288–320. MR3494152
- [33] P. A. Clarkson and E. L. Mansfield, *The second Painlevé equation, its hierarchy and associated special polynomials*, *Nonlinearity* **16** (2003), no. 3, R1–R26. MR1975781
- [34] F. David, *Phases of the large- N matrix model and nonperturbative effects in 2D gravity*, *Nuclear Phys. B* **348** (1991), no. 3, 507–524. MR1083917
- [35] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), no. 12, 1491–1552. MR1711036
- [36] P. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach*, *Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 3, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR1677884
- [37] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), no. 11, 1335–1425. MR1702716
- [38] ———, *A Riemann-Hilbert approach to asymptotic questions for orthogonal polynomials*, *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials, Special Functions and their Applications (Patras, 1999)*, 2001, pp. 47–63. MR1858269
- [39] P. Deift and X. Zhou, *A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problems. Asymptotics for the MKdV equation*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **137** (1993), no. 2, 295–368. MR1207209

- [40] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [41] M. Duits and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Painlevé I asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials with respect to a varying quartic weight*, *Nonlinearity* **19** (2006), no. 10, 2211–2245. MR2260263
- [42] N. M. Ercolani and K. D. T.-R. McLaughlin, *Asymptotics of the partition function for random matrices via Riemann-Hilbert techniques and applications to graphical enumeration*, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **14** (2003), 755–820. MR1953782
- [43] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, *Riemann surfaces*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 71, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980. MR583745
- [44] G. Filipuk, W. Van Assche, and L. Zhang, *The recurrence coefficients of semi-classical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation*, *J. Phys. A* **45** (2012), no. 20, 205201, 13. MR2924846
- [45] A. S. Fokas, A. R. Its, and A. V. Kitaev, *Discrete Painlevé equations and their appearance in quantum gravity*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), no. 2, 313–344. MR1137067
- [46] A. S. Fokas, A. R. Its, and A. V. Kitaev, *The isomonodromy approach to matrix models in 2D quantum gravity*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), no. 2, 395–430. MR1174420
- [47] B. Fornberg and J. A. C. Weideman, *A numerical methodology for the Painlevé equations*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **230** (2011), no. 15, 5957–5973. MR2804960
- [48] P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte, *Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001), no. 2, 357–398. MR1833807
- [49] G. Freud, *On the coefficients in the recursion formulae of orthogonal polynomials*, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **76** (1976), no. 1, 1–6. MR419895
- [50] A. A. Gonchar and E. A. Rakhmanov, *Equilibrium distributions and the rate of rational approximation of analytic functions*, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **134(176)** (1987), no. 3, 306–352, 447. MR922628
- [51] V. I. Gromak, *On the theory of the fourth Painlevé equation*, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* **23** (1987), no. 5, 760–768, 914. MR896355
- [52] V. I. Gromak, I. Laine, and S. Shimomura, *Painlevé differential equations in the complex plane*, *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 28, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2002. MR1960811
- [53] J. A. Jenkins, *Univalent functions and conformal mapping*, *Reihe: Moderne Funktionentheorie*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958. MR0096806
- [54] A. B. J. Kuijlaars and G. L. F. Silva, *S-curves in polynomial external fields*, *J. Approx. Theory* **191** (2015), 1–37. MR3306308
- [55] N. A. Lukašević, *The theory of Painlevé's fourth equation*, *Differencial'nye Uravnenija* **3** (1967), 771–780. MR217352
- [56] A. P. Magnus, *Painlevé-type differential equations for the recurrence coefficients of semi-classical orthogonal polynomials*, *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications (Evian-Les-Bains, 1992)*, 1995, pp. 215–237. MR1340938
- [57] ———, *Freud's equations for orthogonal polynomials as discrete Painlevé equations*, *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Canterbury, 1996)*, 1999, pp. 228–243. MR1705232
- [58] P. Nevai, *Géza Freud, orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions. A case study*, *J. Approx. Theory* **48** (1986), no. 1, 3–167. MR862231
- [59] M. Noumi, *Painlevé equations through symmetry*, *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 223, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. Translated from the 2000 Japanese original by the author. MR2044201
- [60] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, *Symmetries in the fourth Painlevé equation and Okamoto polynomials*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999), 53–86. MR1684551
- [61] K. Okamoto, *Polynomial Hamiltonians associated with Painlevé equations. I*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **56** (1980), no. 6, 264–268. MR581468
- [62] ———, *Studies on the Painlevé equations. III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV}* , *Math. Ann.* **275** (1986), no. 2, 221–255. MR854008
- [63] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Appendix B by Thomas Bloom. MR1485778
- [64] J. Shohat, *A differential equation for orthogonal polynomials*, *Duke Math. J.* **5** (1939), no. 2, 401–417. MR1546133
- [65] H. Stahl, *Extremal domains associated with an analytic function. I, II*, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **4** (1985), no. 4, 311–324, 325–338. MR858916

- [66] ———, *The structure of extremal domains associated with an analytic function*, *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **4** (1985), no. 4, 339–354. MR858917
- [67] ———, *Orthogonal polynomials with complex-valued weight function. I, II*, *Constr. Approx.* **2** (1986), no. 3, 225–240, 241–251. MR891973
- [68] K. Strebel, *Quadratic differentials*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR743423
- [69] W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials and Painlevé equations*, *Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series*, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018. MR3729446
- [70] A. P. Veselov and A. B. Shabat, *A dressing chain and the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operator*, *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **27** (1993), no. 2, 1–21, 96. MR1251164
- [71] Z.-T. Wen, R. Wong, and S.-X. Xu, *Global asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials associated with a generalized Freud weight*, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **39** (2018), no. 3, 553–596. MR3795283
- [72] R. Wong and L. Zhang, *Global asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with exponential quartic weight*, *Asymptot. Anal.* **64** (2009), no. 3-4, 125–154. MR2559966

Email address: ahmadba@kth.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KTH), LINDSTEDTSVÄGEN 25, 10044, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN