CURVED NONLINEAR WAVEGUIDES

LAURA BALDELLI AND DAVID KREJČIŘÍK

ABSTRACT. The Dirichlet *p*-Laplacian in tubes of arbitrary cross-section along infinite curves in Euclidean spaces of arbitrary dimension is investigated. First, it is shown that the gap between the lowest point of the generalised spectrum and the essential spectrum is positive whenever the cross-section is circular and the tube is asymptotically straight, untwisted and non-trivially bent. Second, a Hardy-type inequality is derived for unbent and non-trivially twisted tubes.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between the geometry and spectrum of Riemannian manifolds constitutes a traditional area of mathematics. Physical motivations range from classical vibrational systems to modern nanostructure devices in quantum mechanics. Moreover, the study is intrinsically charming due to the emotional impacts geometric shapes have over a person's perception of the world. The spectrum of the Laplacian in any compact manifold is purely discrete. On the other hand, non-compact manifolds typically have an essential spectrum and the existence of eigenvalues is a non-trivial property. For non-complete manifolds, the additional challenge in the game is the role of boundary conditions.

The present paper is motivated by an extensive study of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a special case of non-compact non-complete manifolds: tubes. We restrict to the simplest non-trivial situation of tubular neighbourhoods of unbounded curves embedded in Euclidean spaces. Here a strong physical motivation comes from quantum mechanics, where the Laplacian models the Hamiltonian of quantum waveguides [21].

Bending is attractive. Any straight tube has a purely essential spectrum. Bending it, however, leads to the existence of eigenvalues below the essential spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian. This astonishing observation goes back to the pioneering paper of Exner and Šeba in two dimensions from 1989 [22]. Among the multitude of subsequent results, let us highlight the milestones of the generalisation to three-dimensional tubes via a robust variational proof [26, 18], arbitrary dimensions [12] and optimal regularity hypotheses [38]. Relying on the quantum-mechanical motivation, the spectral result can be illustratively interpreted as that an electron in a curved quantum waveguide gets trapped.

Twisting is repulsive. Finding a way how to geometrically eliminate the discrete eigenvalues inspired Ekholm, Kovařík and one of the present authors to establish a Hardy inequality for the Dirichlet Laplacian in twisted three-dimensional tubes [19]. A more robust technique to derive the geometrically induced Hardy inequalities was later developed in [30, 40]. Roughly, twisting the waveguide stabilises quantum transport. An alternative application to the heat flow (including the Brownian motion) can be found in [40, 27].

1.1. The nonlinear setting. The objective of this paper is to generalise the spectralgeometric properties of tubes to the nonlinear setting of the *p*-Laplacian $-\Delta_p$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$ formally acting as

(1)
$$-\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$$

The linear case mentioned above corresponds to p = 2, while the purely geometric setting p = 1 (the Cheeger problem) is analysed in [36, 42, 35]. Our motivation is not only the mathematical curiosity about the robustness of the effects of bending and twisting, but also the relevance of the nonlinear Laplacian in various areas of physics and other natural sciences [6]. Moreover, the generalisation is challenging because of the absence of the powerful spectral theory of self-adjoint operators whenever $p \neq 2$.

Our "spectral analysis" of (1) is notably inspired by the criticality approach of Pinchover et al. [46, 49, 47, 16, 41, 24, 14]. Given any open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of dimension $d \geq 1$, we introduce the spectral threshold and the essential spectral threshold by the variational formulae

(2)
$$\lambda_1(\Omega) := \inf_{\substack{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p \, dx} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{\infty}(\Omega) := \sup_{K \Subset \Omega} \lambda_1(\Omega \setminus K) \, .$$

If Ω is bounded, then the infimum is achieved and the Euler–Lagrange equation associated with the minimisation formula is the quasilinear eigenvalue problem

(3)
$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda_1(\Omega) |u|^{p-2} u$$

in Ω , subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$; moreover, $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega) = \infty$. In general, $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega)$ extend to $p \neq 2$ the well-known variational characterisations of the lowest point in the spectrum (Rayleigh–Ritz) and the essential spectrum (Persson), respectively, of the self-adjoint Dirichlet Laplacian $-\Delta_2$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Whenever, the infimum in (2) is achieved by a function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we call it the first eigenfunction (or ground state) of Ω . Then the spectral threshold $\lambda_1(\Omega)$ is also called the first (or principal) eigenvalue of Ω . For unbounded Ω , the existence of the ground state is a highly non-trivial property. In particular, the positivity of the essential spectral gap $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega) - \lambda_1(\Omega)$ generalises the existence of (discrete) eigenvalues below the essential spectrum of $-\Delta_2$.

The class of domains we are interested in in this paper are deformations of the *straight* tube $\Omega_0 := \mathbb{R} \times \omega$, where $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ with $d \geq 2$ is an arbitrary bounded open connected set. It is easy to see that

(4)
$$\lambda_1(\Omega_0) = \lambda_1(\omega) = \lambda_\infty(\Omega_0),$$

so the essential spectral gap is zero in this case. Our goal is to analyse the influence of bending and twisting of Ω_0 on the spectral threshold and the essential spectral threshold.

1.2. The geometric framework. Let $\Gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a $C^{1,1}$ -smooth unit-speed curve. Then $T := \Gamma'$ is a unit tangent vector field along Γ and $\kappa := |\Gamma''|$ is the (locally bounded) curvature function of Γ . There exist (almost everywhere differentiable) unit normal vector fields $N_1, \ldots, N_{d-1} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

(5)
$$\begin{pmatrix} T \\ N_1 \\ \vdots \\ N_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa_1 & \dots & \kappa_{d-1} \\ -\kappa_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\kappa_{d-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T \\ N_1 \\ \vdots \\ N_{d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_{d-1} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are (locally bounded) functions such that $\kappa_1^2 + \cdots + \kappa_{d-1}^2 = \kappa^2$. Let us consider a one-parametric family of rotation matrices

$$R: \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{SO}(d-1),$$

which we assume to be differentiable with $R' \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{(d-1) \times (d-1)})$. Rotating the normal vector fields N_1, \ldots, N_{d-1} via R, we obtain an arbitrary frame $(T, R_{1\mu}N_{\mu}, \ldots, R_{d-1\mu}N_{\mu})$ of Γ . Here the Einstein summation convention is adopted, with the range of Greek indices being $1, \ldots, d-1$. Then a general *bent twisted tube* about Γ is obtained by

(6)
$$\Omega_{\kappa,R} := \left\{ \Gamma(s) + t_{\mu} R_{\mu\nu}(s) N_{\nu} : (s,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \omega \right\}.$$

Our standing hypothesis is that $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ does not overlap itself, which particularly involves the necessary condition that $\kappa \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and

with $a := \sup_{t \in \omega} |t|$.

We say that $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ is unbent or untwisted if $\kappa = 0$ or R' = 0, respectively. The former implies that Γ is a straight line, while the latter means that the cross-section ω is translated along Γ with respect to a *relatively parallel* frame (i.e., the normal components rotate along Γ only whatever amount is necessary to remain normal, namely, their derivative stays tangential). An unbent untwisted tube is straight in the sense that it is congruent to $\Omega_0 = \Omega_{0,I}$, where I is the identity matrix.

The terminology is not perfect because straight tubes are considered as a particular situation of bent twisted tubes. For this reason, we say that $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ is non-trivially bent if $\kappa \neq 0$. However, even if $R' \neq 0$, it might happen that $\Omega_{0,R}$ is congruent to Ω_0 . In fact, this is the case whenever the cross-section ω is circular, i.e., ω is the ball $B_a(0)$ of radius a > 0 centred at the origin of \mathbb{R}^{d-1} or $\omega = B_a(0) \setminus \overline{B_{a_0}(0)}$ is a spherical shell of radii $0 < a_0 < a$ centred at the origin. Therefore, the property of a tube being non-trivially twisted requires an extra hypothesis about the asymmetry of the cross-section ω with respect to the rotations R. A discovery of this paper is that, in all dimensions, the right definition reads

(8)
$$f_{\mu}\partial_{t_{\mu}}\phi_{1}\neq 0$$

as an identity in Ω_0 . Here ϕ_1 is the first eigenfunction of ω and $f_{\mu}(s,t) := t_{\alpha} R'_{\alpha\beta}(s) R_{\mu\beta}(s)$.

1.3. The main results. Our first result is about *asymptotically straight* tubes characterised by the vanishing of bending and twisting at infinity:

(9)
$$\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \kappa(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|s|\to\infty} \int_{\omega} |f_{\mu}(s,t)\partial_{t_{\mu}}\phi_1(t)|^p \, dt = 0.$$

Of course, a sufficient condition to ensure the validity of the second limit is that $R'(s) \to 0$ as $|s| \to \infty$ (in any matrix topology). **Theorem 1** (stability of λ_{∞}). If (9) holds, then $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) = \lambda_1(\omega)$.

In particular, the second equality of (4) follows as a very special case.

Our second result is that the spectral threshold diminishes whenever the tube is nontrivially bent and untwisted. Unfortunately, we are able to prove it only if the cross-section ω is circular. Recall that $\Omega_{\kappa,R} = \Omega_{\kappa,I}$ in this case, even if $R' \neq 0$.

Theorem 2 (bending). If $\kappa \neq 0$ and ω is circular, then $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,I}) < \lambda_1(\omega)$.

As a consequence of Theorems 1 and (2), we get the ultimate result about the positivity of the essential spectral gap.

Corollary 1. If $\kappa \neq 0$, ω is circular and (9) holds, then $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,I}) < \lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,I})$.

We leave as an open problem (see Remark 3) whether the result holds for arbitrary crosssections (unless p = 2 when the general validity is well known).

Finally, for unbent non-trivially twisted tubes we establish a Hardy inequality.

Theorem 3 (twisting). If $\kappa = 0$ and (8) holds, then there exists a positive continuous function $\rho : \Omega_{0,R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

(10)
$$\forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_{0,R}), \qquad \int_{\Omega_{0,R}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_{0,R}} |u|^p \, dx \ge \int_{\Omega_{0,R}} \rho \, |u|^p \, dx.$$

Note that the theorem is void if d = 2, because there is no twisting for a two-dimensional strip. If d = 3 and p = 2, the existence of a Hardy inequality is known from [19, 30, 40], however, a positive weight ρ was established only for compactly supported R'. Here we provide a robust existence of the Hardy inequality under the minimal hypothesis. What is more, we prove the Hardy inequality in all dimensions $d \ge 3$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. The result is completely new in higher dimensions $d \ge 4$ even in the linear case p = 2.

The result Theorem 3 is highly non-trivial because there is no Hardy inequality in straight tubes Ω_0 . Indeed, the shifted operator $-\Delta_p - \lambda_1(\omega)$ in Ω_0 is *critical* in the sense that the spectral threshold of $-\Delta_p - \lambda_1(\omega) + V$ in Ω_0 is negative whenever the perturbation $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0)$ is non-positive and non-trivial (yet arbitrarily small), see Proposition 2. Theorem 3 says that $-\Delta_p - \lambda_1(\omega)$ in $\Omega_{0,R}$ is *subcritical* whenever $\Omega_{0,R}$ is non-trivially twisted, in the sense of the stability that the spectral threshold of $-\Delta_p - \lambda_1(\omega) + V$ in $\Omega_{0,R}$ remains zero whenever $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{0,R})$ is small. For non-trivially bent untwisted tubes, the operator $-\Delta_p - \lambda_1(\omega)$ in $\Omega_{\kappa,I}$ may be understood as *supercritical* under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, because $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,I}) - \lambda_1(\omega)$ is negative even if V = 0.

1.4. **Possible extensions.** As an interesting direction of possible future research, let us mention the replacement of the Dirichlet boundary conditions by *Robin* boundary conditions in the spirit of [29]. Apart from two-dimensional studies [17, 23, 31, 45, 50] or thin-width asymptotics [10, 15], a detailed spectral-geometric analysis of higher-dimensional Robin waveguides remains open even in the linear case p = 2.

Another interesting extension would be to add magnetic fields to the p-Laplacian [37, 11].

The present paper is essentially concerned with the quasi-linear eigenvalue problem (3) (to be properly understood through (2)). This is a special (so-called *p*-linear) case of the more general problem

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda \, |u|^{q-2} u \, .$$

The other cases q > p and q < p are known as *p*-sublinear and *p*-superlinear, respectively. There is an extensive literature on existence of solutions both in the variational and nonvariational frameworks, including more general operators, see, e.g., [2, 4, 3, 25, 51] and references therein. We consider an extension of the present spectral-geometric study to the more general types of nonlinearities as yet another interesting future project.

1.5. The organisation. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we comment more on the geometric setting and implement the usual passage to the curvilinear "coordinates" (s,t), which is the main strategy to deal with curved quantum waveguides. Straight tubes are considered in Section 3, where we establish the first equality of (4) as well as Proposition 2 about the criticality of Ω_0 . Theorems 1, 2 and 3 are established in Sections 4, 5 and 6, respectively. In the last section concerned with twisted tubes, we also comment more on hypothesis (8).

2. Preliminaries

We refer to [38, 39] on the geometry of curves under the present minimal hypotheses. The unit-speed hypothesis about Γ means that $|\Gamma'(s)| = 1$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$, which can be always achieved by a suitable (arc-length) change of parameterisation. Note that the *relatively par*allel adapted frame $(T, N_1, \ldots, N_{d-1})$ differs from the customarily used Frenet frame. The latter requires an extra (classically C^d -smoothness) regularity of Γ , and moreover, curves with vanishing curvature somewhere must be excluded. The relatively parallel adapted frame is uniquely defined modulo the choice of initial conditions $N_j(s_0) = N_j^0(s_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, which also fixes the curvature functions κ_j , with $j \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$. The shape of a bent untwisted tube $\Omega_{\kappa,I}$ therefore depends on the choice of the relatively parallel adapted frame, unless the cross-section ω is circular.

For the usual passage to the curvilinear "coordinates" (s,t) when dealing with curved tubes, we refer to the geometrically oriented references [12, 39, 33]. Let us introduce the mapping $\mathscr{L} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$\mathscr{L}(s,t) := \Gamma(s) + t_{\mu} R_{\mu\nu}(s) N_{\nu} ,$$

so that $\Omega_{\kappa,R} = \mathscr{L}(\Omega_0)$. It is convenient to think of $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ as the Riemannian manifold Ω_0 equipped with the induced metric $g := (\nabla \mathscr{L}) \cdot (\nabla \mathscr{L})^T$, where the dot denotes the matrix multiplication in \mathbb{R}^d . Using (5) and the orthogonality of R, it is straightforward to check that the metric reads

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} f^2 + f_{\mu}f_{\mu} & f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_{d-1} \\ f_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ f_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{d-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det(g) := f^2,$$

where

$$f(s,t) := 1 - t_{\alpha} R_{\alpha\beta}(s) \kappa_{\beta}(s) ,$$

$$f_{\mu}(s,t) := t_{\alpha} R'_{\alpha\beta}(s) R_{\mu\beta}(s) .$$

Note that $(f_{\mu}f_{\mu})(s,t) = t_{\alpha}R'_{\alpha\beta}(s)t_{\nu}R'_{\nu\beta}(s)$ by the orthogonality of R. From the basic hypothesis (7), it follows that the Jacobian of \mathscr{L} satisfies

(11)
$$0 < 1 - a \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \le f(s,t) \le 1 + a \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$$

for every $(s,t) \in \Omega_0$. Consequently, (Ω_0, g) is a Riemannian manifold provided that (7) holds. More specifically, $\mathscr{L} : \Omega_0 \to \Omega_{\kappa,R}$ is a local $C^{0,1}$ -diffeomorphism under the assumption (7) (cf. [39, Prop. 2.2]). To make it a global diffeomorphism, one needs to additionally assume that \mathscr{L} is injective. The inverse metric is given by

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -f_1 & -f_2 & \dots & -f_{d-1} \\ -f_1 & 1+f_1^2 & f_1f_2 & \dots & f_1f_{d-1} \\ -f_2 & f_2f_1 & 1+f_2^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -f_{d-1} & f_{d-1}f_1 & f_{d-1}f_2 & \dots & 1+f_{d-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Passing to the curvilinear coordinates in the integrals in the variational characterisation (2) by the change of trial function $\psi := u \circ \mathscr{L}$, it is straightforward to verify that

(12)
$$\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) = \inf_{\substack{\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0,g)\\ \psi \neq 0}} \frac{Q[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^p} =: \lambda_1(\Omega_0,g),$$

where

(13)
$$Q[\psi] := \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\left| \frac{(\partial_s - f_\mu(s, t)\partial_{t_\mu})\psi(s, t)}{f(s, t)} \right|^2 + |\nabla_t \psi(s, t)|^2 \right)^{p/2} f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \,,$$
$$\|\psi\| := \left(\int_{\Omega_0} |\psi(s, t)|^p \, f(s, t) \, ds \, dt \right)^{1/p} \,,$$

and $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0, g)$ denotes the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0)$ with respect to the norm $(Q[\psi] + \|\psi\|^p)^{1/p}$. By virtue of (11), it is straightforward to verify that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0, g) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ provided that $\kappa \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ (always assumed) and $R' \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{(d-1) \times (d-1)})$ (not necessarily assumed).

Remark 1. It is evident that (12) is well defined merely under the hypothesis (7). Therefore, if we take (12) as the very definition of $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,R})$ and abandon the interpretation of $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ as a non-self-intersecting tube, all the results in this paper hold without the extra assumption that \mathscr{L} is injective. In this more general approach, the essential spectral threshold should be interpreted as

(14)
$$\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) = \sup_{K \in \Omega_0} \lambda_1(\Omega_0 \setminus K, g) \,.$$

To handle $Q[\psi]$, the following elementary observation will be widely used.

Lemma 1. For any non-negative numbers a, b, q, one has

(15)
$$(a+b)^q \le \alpha^q a^q + \beta^q b^q,$$

where α, β are any positive numbers satisfying $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$.

Proof. If $q \leq 1$, one has the better inequality $(a+b)^q \leq a^q + b^q$, from which (15) follows by the fact that necessarily $\alpha, \beta > 1$. In any case, the claim is achieved by arguing that either $a+b \leq \alpha a$ or $a+b \leq \beta b$ holds. This is true since otherwise one would get the contradiction that $(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta})(a+b) > a+b$.

3. Straight tubes

If $\kappa = 0$ and R' = 0, then Γ is a straight line and the relatively parallel adapted frame is actually parallel (i.e., constant along Γ). Consequently, $\Omega_{\kappa,R}$ coincides with $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R} \times \omega$ up to congruence. Our goal is to establish the first equality of (4) for any cross-section ω . Since any straight tube is necessarily asymptotically straight, the proof of the second equality of (4) is postponed to Section 4.

First of all, since ω is assumed to be bounded and connected, it is well known [43, 5, 28] that $\lambda_1(\omega)$ is a simple eigenvalue of the Dirichlet *p*-Laplacian in ω . More specifically, there exists a unique (up to a constant multiple) positive minimiser $\phi_1 \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega)$ of the minimisation problem in (2) (with Ω being replaced by ω). We choose it normalise to 1 in $L^p(\omega)$, i.e., $\int_{\omega} |\phi_1(t)|^p dt = 1$. The variational characterisation of $\lambda_1(\omega)$ yields the Poincaré inequality

(16)
$$\forall \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega), \qquad \int_{\omega} |\nabla \phi(t)|^p \, dt \ge \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\omega} |\phi(t)|^p \, dt$$

Note that $\lambda_1(\omega)$ is necessarily positive. We assume no regularity hypotheses about ω , unless otherwise stated.

Proposition 1. One has

$$\lambda_1(\Omega_0) = \lambda_1(\omega) \,.$$

Proof. If $\kappa = 0$ and R' = 0, then f = 1 and $f_{\mu} = 0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} Q[\psi] &= \int_{\Omega_0} \left(|\partial_s \psi|^2 + |\nabla_t \psi|^2 \right)^{p/2} ds \, dt \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \psi|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &\geq \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_0} |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt = \lambda_1(\omega) \, \|\psi\|^p \, , \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (16) and the Fubini theorem. (For simplicity, we suppress the arguments of functions in the integrals from now on.)

To prove the opposite inequality, it is enough to construct a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ such that

(17)
$$R[\psi_n] := \frac{Q[\psi_n] - \lambda_1(\omega) \|\psi_n\|^p}{\|\psi_n\|^p} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0.$$

To this purpose, let $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$ be defined by

(18)
$$\varphi_n(s) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |s| \le n, \\ 2 - \frac{|s|}{n} & \text{if } |s| \in (n, 2n), \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| \ge 2n. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\varphi_n \to 1$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$ and

(19)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n(s)|^{\xi} \, ds = \frac{2}{n^{\xi-1}} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$$

whenever $\xi > 1$. Set $\psi_n(s,t) := \varphi_n(s)\phi_1(t)$. By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} Q[\psi_n] &\leq \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_0} |\partial_s \psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt + \beta^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &= \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n|^p \, ds + \beta^{p/2} \, \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds \,, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality follows by the fact that equality holds in (16) if (and only if) $\phi = \phi_1$ and by the normalisation of ϕ_1 . At the same time, $\|\psi_n\|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p ds$. Consequently,

$$R[\psi_n] \le \alpha^{p/2} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n|^p \, ds}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds} + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_1(\omega) \, .$$

By (19), it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} R[\psi_n] \le \left(\beta^{p/2} - 1\right) \lambda_1(\omega) \,,$$

where $\beta > 1$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1.

Straight tubes are critical in the sense of the following instability of $-\Delta_p$ with respect to small perturbations.

Proposition 2. Let $V \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_0)$ be non-positive and non-trivial. Then

$$\lambda_{1}^{V}(\Omega_{0}) := \inf_{\substack{\psi \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega_{0})\\\psi \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{0}} |\nabla \psi|^{p} \, ds \, dt + \int_{\Omega_{0}} V |\psi|^{p} \, ds \, dt}{\|\psi\|^{p}}$$
$$< \inf_{\substack{\psi \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega_{0})\\\psi \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{0}} |\nabla \psi|^{p} \, ds \, dt}{\|\psi\|^{p}} = \lambda_{1}(\Omega_{0}) = \lambda_{1}(\omega)$$

Proof. The last but one inequality is the definition of $\lambda_1(\Omega_0)$, while the last inequality is (4) (see Proposition 1). The main claim is the strict inequality. To prove it, it is enough to find a (trial) function $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ for which

$$Q_1^V[\psi] := \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla \psi|^p \, ds \, dt + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt - \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_0} |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt < 0 \, .$$

It is achieved by the trial function $\psi_n(s,t) := \varphi_n(s)\phi_1(t)$, where the sequence φ_n is defined in (18). Indeed, if $p \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^V[\psi_n] &\leq \int_{\Omega_0} |\partial_s \psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_0} |\varphi_n'|^p \, ds + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\varphi_n|^p \, |\phi_1|^p \, ds \, dt \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} \int_{\Omega_0} V(s,t) \, |\phi_1(t)|^p \, ds \, dt < 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that equality holds in (16) if (and only if) $\phi = \phi_1$ and the normalisation of ϕ_1 . The convergence holds due to (19) and since φ_n converges to 1 pointwise. If p > 2,

Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{split} Q_1^V[\psi_n] &\leq \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_0} |\partial_s \psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_0} |\psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\psi_n|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &= \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n'|^p \, ds + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\varphi_n|^p \, |\phi_1|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &\leq 2\alpha^{p/2} \, \frac{1}{n^{p-1}} + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_1(\omega) \, 4n + \int_{\Omega_0} V \, |\varphi_n|^p \, |\phi_1|^p \, ds \, dt \,, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality employs (19) and the fact that the function φ_n satisfies $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ on (-2n, 2n) and that it is zero elsewhere. Choosing *n*-dependent β (and thus also *n*dependent conjugate α), for instance $(n \geq 2)$,

(20)
$$\beta := 1 + (n \log n)^{-1} \qquad \text{(which implies } \alpha = 1 + n \log n)$$

it is straightforward to check that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_1^V[\psi_n] \le \int_{\Omega_0} V(s,t) \, |\phi_1(t)|^p \, ds \, dt < 0$$

in this case as well. In summary, there exists a natural number n_0 such that $Q_1^V[\psi_n]$ is negative for all $n \ge n_0$.

4. Asymptotically straight tubes

In this section we establish Theorem 1. To simplify the presentation, we divide the proof into two steps. First, we show that the essential spectral threshold satisfies the required lower bound if the tube is merely asymptotically unbent.

Proposition 3. If $\lim_{|s|\to\infty} \kappa(s) = 0$, then

$$\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \geq \lambda_1(\omega)$$
.

Proof. By the definition of $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R})$ given in (14), one has $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \geq \lambda_1(\Omega_0 \setminus K, g)$ for any "trial" compact subset K of Ω_0 . For any positive numbers ε (small) and l (large), we set

$$K := [-l, l] \times \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{with} \quad \omega_{\varepsilon} := \{t \in \omega : \operatorname{dist}(t, \partial \omega) > \varepsilon\}.$$

Let $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0 \setminus K)$ be arbitrary. Neglecting the "longitudinal energy" of $Q[\psi]$ in (13) and recalling (11), one has

$$\begin{split} Q[\psi] &\geq \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \psi|^p f \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{[-l,l]} \int_{\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla_t \psi|^p f \, dt \, ds + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l]} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_t \psi|^p f \, dt \, ds \\ &\geq (1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) \int_{[-l,l]} \int_{\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}} |\nabla_t \psi|^p \, dt \, ds \\ &+ (1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l])}) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l]} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_t \psi|^p \, dt \, ds \\ &\geq (1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) \, \lambda_1(\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}) \int_{[-l,l]} \int_{\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}} |\psi|^p \, dt \, ds \\ &+ (1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}) \, \lambda_1(\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l]} \int_{\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}} |\psi|^p \, dt \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}}{1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l])}} \, \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l]} \int_{\omega} |\psi|^p \, f \, dt \, ds \\ &+ \frac{1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}}{1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l])}} \, \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l]} \int_{\omega} |\psi|^p \, f \, dt \, ds \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}}{1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}} \, \lambda_1(\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}), \frac{1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l])}}{1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus [-l,l])}} \, \lambda_1(\omega) \right\} \|\psi\|^p \,. \end{split}$$

Note that $\lambda_1(\omega \setminus \overline{\omega_{\varepsilon}}) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. To see it, one can argue through the Faber–Krahn inequality for the Dirichlet *p*-Laplacian (see, e.g., [13] and references therein) and the scaling $\lambda_1(B_{\epsilon}(0)) = \epsilon^{-p}\lambda_1(B_1(0))$. Consequently, the minimum equals the second constant for all sufficiently small ε , so we have established the bound

$$\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \geq \frac{1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\setminus[-l,l])}}{1+a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\setminus[-l,l])}} \, \lambda_{1}(\omega) \, .$$

Since the fraction tends to 1 as $l \to \infty$, the desired lower bound follows.

Now we turn to the upper bound. For simplicity, let us denote

$$r(s) := \int_{\omega} |f_{\mu}(s,t)\partial_{t_{\mu}}\phi_1(t)|^p dt.$$

Proposition 4. If $\lim_{s \to \infty} \kappa(s) = 0$ and $\lim_{s \to \infty} r(s) = 0$, then $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \leq \lambda_1(\omega)$.

Proof. Fix any $K \Subset \Omega_0$ and let us define $\psi_n(s,t) := \tilde{\varphi}_n(s)\phi_1(t)$ with $\tilde{\varphi}_n(s) := \varphi_n(s-n^2)$, where φ_n is the sequence defined in (18). As in the proof of Proposition 1, $\tilde{\varphi}_n \to 1$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$ and (19) holds for φ_n being replaced by $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ as well. Moreover, $\tilde{\varphi}_n$ is "localised at" ∞ meaning that inf supp $\tilde{\varphi}_n = n^2 - 2n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. This, in particular, ensures that $\psi_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0 \setminus K)$ for all sufficiently large n. Let us abbreviate and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty} := \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{n,\infty} := \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}(\text{supp }\tilde{\varphi}_n)}$. Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 1, we use Lemma 1

(twice) to obtain

$$\begin{split} Q[\psi_n] &\leq \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^p \left| \partial_s \psi_n \right|^p + \beta^p \left| f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu} \psi_n \right|^p}{f^p} f \, ds \, dt + \beta^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \nabla_t \psi_n \right|^p f \, ds \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^{p/2}}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty})^{p-1}} \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\tilde{\alpha}^p \left| \partial_s \psi_n \right|^p + \tilde{\beta}^p \left| f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu} \psi_n \right|^p \right) \, ds \, dt \\ &+ \beta^{p/2} \left(1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty} \right) \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \nabla_t \psi_n \right|^p \, ds \, dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \, \tilde{\alpha}^p}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty})^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi'_n \right|^p \, ds + \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \, \tilde{\beta}^p}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty})^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi_n \right|^p \, r \, ds \\ &+ \beta^{p/2} \left(1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty} \right) \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi_n \right|^p \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \, \tilde{\alpha}^p}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_\infty)^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi'_n \right|^p \, ds + \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \, \tilde{\beta}^p \, \|r\|_{n,\infty}}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty})^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi_n \right|^p \, ds \\ &+ \beta^{p/2} \left(1 + a \, \|\kappa\|_{n,\infty} \right) \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \varphi_n \right|^p \, ds \, . \end{split}$$

At the same time,

$$\|\psi_n\|^p \ge (1-a\,\|\kappa\|_{n,\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds \ge (1-a\,\|\kappa\|_{\infty}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds \, .$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{Q[\psi_n]}{\|\psi_n\|^p} \le \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \,\tilde{\alpha}^p}{(1-a \,\|\kappa\|_{\infty})^p} \, \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n|^p \, ds}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_n|^p \, ds} + \frac{\alpha^{p/2} \,\tilde{\beta}^p \,\|r\|_{n,\infty}}{(1-a \,\|\kappa\|_{n,\infty})^p} + \beta^{p/2} \, \frac{1+a \,\|\kappa\|_{n,\infty}}{1-a \,\|\kappa\|_{n,\infty}} \, \lambda_1(\omega) \, .$$

By (19) and the fact that $\kappa(s) \to 0$ and $r(s) \to 0$ as $s \to \infty$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Q[\psi_n]}{\|\psi_n\|^p} \le \beta^{p/2} \,\lambda_1(\omega) \,,$$

where $\beta > 1$ can be made arbitrarily close to 1. In summary, given any $K \subseteq \Omega_0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have proved that

$$\lambda_1(\Omega_0 \setminus K, g) \leq \lambda_1(\omega) + \varepsilon$$
.

Consequently, $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \leq \lambda_1(\omega) + \varepsilon$. Since ε can be made arbitrarily small, the desired claim follows.

As a consequence of Propositions 3 and 4, we get Theorem 1. In particular, the second equality of (4) follows, too.

Remark 2. It is clear from the proof of Proposition 4 that its simple modification enables one to assume that $\kappa(s) \to 0$ and $r(s) \to 0$ as $s \to -\infty$ to get the upper bound $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \leq \lambda_1(\omega)$. On the other hand, the lower bound $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) \geq \lambda_1(\omega)$ requires that $\kappa(s) \to 0$ both as $s \to \pm \infty$ (but no condition on r is needed).

Inspired by [34, Ex. 5.1], untwisted periodically non-trivially bent tubes are an example when $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{\kappa,I}) < \lambda_1(\omega)$. At the same time, unbent periodically non-trivially twisted tubes satisfy $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{0,R}) > \lambda_1(\omega)$. What is more, if d = 3 and p = 2, it is known [32] that $\lambda_{\infty}(\Omega_{0,R}) = \infty$ whenever $0 \notin \omega$ and $r(s) \to \infty$ as $|s| \to \infty$ (an extension of this result to higher dimensions $d \ge 4$ and/or arbitrary $p \in (1, \infty)$ represents and interesting open problem).

5. Bent tubes

In this section we establish Theorem 2 dealing with untwisted bent tubes. The proof has roots in the original variational idea of [26], but we rather follow the rigorous implementations due to [34, 12, 33]. The nonlinear case $p \neq 2$ requires technically non-trivial modifications. What is more, the lack of an integration-by-parts argument (cf. Remark 3) leads us to assume that ω is circular.

The weak formulation of the eigenvalue equation $-\Delta_p \phi_1 = \lambda_1(\omega) |\phi_1|^{p-2} \phi_1$ in ω , subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions $\phi_1 = 0$ on $\partial \omega$, reads

(21)
$$\forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\omega), \qquad \int_{\omega} |\nabla \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dt = \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\omega} |\phi_1|^{p-2} \phi_1 \varphi \, dt.$$

Unless p = 2, the eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\omega)$ and its associated eigenfunction ϕ_1 are not known explicitly, even for balls (not even in one dimension [8]). However, by the positivity and uniqueness of ϕ_1 , it is possible to conclude that ϕ_1 is radially symmetric in balls and spherical shells (see, e.g., [7, 44, 20, 9, 1]). Using this observation, one immediately concludes with the following properties that we employ in the proof.

Lemma 2. If ω is circular, then

$$\int_{\omega} |\phi_1(t)|^p t \, dt = 0 = \int_{\omega} |\nabla \phi_1(t)|^p t \, dt$$

Now we are ready to establish Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we may take R = I.

Proof of Theorem 2. By the definition of $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,I})$ given in (12), the claim is equivalent to the existence of a (trial) function $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ for which

(22)
$$Q_1[\psi] := Q[\psi] - \lambda_1(\omega) \, \|\psi\|^p < 0 \, .$$

The first step consists in taking a regularisation of $(s,t) \mapsto \phi_1(t)$, where ϕ_1 is the normalised eigenfunction of the Dirichlet *p*-Laplacian in ω . More specifically, as in the proof of Proposition 2, we define

$$\psi_n(s,t) := \varphi_n(s)\phi_1(t)$$

where φ_n is given by (18). By virtue of Lemma 2 and the variational definition of $\lambda_1(\omega)$, one has

(23)

$$\lambda_{1}(\omega) \|\psi_{n}\|^{p} = \lambda_{1}(\omega) \int_{\Omega_{0}} |\psi_{n}|^{p} f \, ds \, dt = \lambda_{1}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\phi_{1}|^{p} f \, dt \, ds$$

$$= \lambda_{1}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\phi_{1}|^{p} \, dt \, ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_{t}\phi_{1}|^{p} \, dt \, ds$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_{t}\phi_{1}|^{p} f \, dt \, ds = \int_{\Omega_{0}} |\nabla_{t}\psi_{n}|^{p} f \, ds \, dt = \|\nabla_{t}\psi_{n}\|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_{t}\psi_{n}|^{p} f \, ds \, dt = \|\nabla_{t}\psi_{n}\|^{p} \int_{\omega} |\nabla_{t}\phi_{1}|^{p} f \, dt \, ds$$

Consequently,

$$Q_1[\psi_n] = \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\left| \frac{\partial_s \psi_n}{f} \right|^2 + |\nabla_t \psi_n|^2 \right)^{p/2} f \, ds \, dt - \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \psi_n|^p \, f \, ds \, dt \, .$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2, we distinguish two cases. If $p \leq 2$, then

$$Q_1[\psi_n] \le \int_{\Omega_0} \left| \frac{\partial_s \psi_n}{f} \right|^p f \, ds \, dt \le \frac{1}{(1-a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})})^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi'_n|^p \, ds \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0,$$

where the convergence holds due to (19). If p > 2, Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1}[\psi_{n}] &\leq \alpha^{p/2} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \left| \frac{\partial_{s} \psi_{n}}{f} \right|^{p} f \, ds \, dt + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \int_{\Omega_{0}} |\nabla_{t} \psi_{n}|^{p} f \, ds \, dt \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^{p/2}}{(1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})})^{p-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}'|^{p} \, ds + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_{1}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi_{n}|^{p} \, ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\alpha^{p/2}}{(1 - a \, \|\kappa\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})})^{p-1}} \frac{1}{n^{p-1}} + (\beta^{p/2} - 1) \, \lambda_{1}(\omega) \, 4n \,, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality employs (19) and the fact that the function φ_n satisfies $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ on (-2n, 2n) and that it is zero elsewhere. Choosing *n*-dependent β as in (20), it is straightforward to check that $Q_1[\psi_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ in this case as well. In summary, with the choice ψ_n , we have achieved an asymptotic equality instead of the strict inequality in (22).

In the second step, we perturb ψ_n in such a way that the strict inequality is achieved in (22). We define

$$\psi_{n,\varepsilon} := \psi_n + \varepsilon \phi \qquad ext{with} \qquad \phi(s,t) := j(s) \, \xi(t) \, \phi_1(t) \, ,$$

where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in L^{\infty}(\omega)$ are arbitrary real-valued functions. We always consider *n* so large that $\varphi_n = 1$ on the support of *j*. Moreover, we always assume $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_0$, where $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is so small that $\varepsilon_0 ||j||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} ||\xi||_{L^{\infty}(\omega)} < 1$; this ensures that $\psi_{n,\varepsilon}(s,t) = \phi_1(t)(1+\varepsilon j(s)\xi(t))$ is positive whenever $s \in \text{supp } j$. Let us write

$$Q_1[\psi_{n,\varepsilon}] = I_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda_1(\omega) I_2(\varepsilon) =: I(\varepsilon) \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{aligned} I_1(\varepsilon) &:= Q[\psi_{n,\varepsilon}], \\ I_2(\varepsilon) &:= \|\psi_{n,\varepsilon}\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Our strategy is to employ the Taylor expansion

(24)
$$I(\varepsilon) = I(0) + I'(0)\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$$
 as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

where the remainder $o(\varepsilon)$ is of Peano type.

Let us start with the derivative of the simpler integral:

$$I_2'(\varepsilon) = p \int_{\Omega_0} |\psi_{n,\varepsilon}|^{p-1} \phi f \, ds \, dt$$

First of all, observe that it is in fact independent of n, since $\psi_{n,\varepsilon}(s,t) = \phi_1(t) + \varepsilon \phi(s,t)$ whenever $s \in \operatorname{supp} j$. Still, we need to argue that the interchange of the derivative with respect to ε and the integration is justified. This follows from the ε -independent bound

$$\left\| |\psi_{n,\varepsilon}(s,t)|^{p-1} \phi(s,t) \right\| \le \|j\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|\xi\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)} \left(1 + \varepsilon_0 \|j\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \|\xi\|_{L^{\infty}(\omega)}\right)^{p-1} |\phi_1(t)|^p,$$

which is integrable over $(s,t) \in \Omega'_0 := \operatorname{supp} j \times \omega$ (the Jacobian f is irrelevant in view of (11)).

As for the derivative of the more complicated integral, we find

$$I_1'(\varepsilon) = \int_{\Omega_0'} F f \, ds \, dt$$

with

$$F := p\left(\left|\frac{\partial_s \psi_{n,\varepsilon}}{f}\right|^2 + |\nabla_t \psi_{n,\varepsilon}|^2\right)^{p/2-1} \left(\frac{\partial_s \psi_{n,\varepsilon} \partial_s \phi}{f^2} + \nabla_t \psi_{n,\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_t \phi\right).$$

Here the function F should be interpreted as zero at the points where both $\partial_s \psi_{n,\varepsilon}$ and $\nabla_t \psi_{n,\varepsilon}$ equal zero. Again, $I'_1(\varepsilon)$ is actually independent of n. Indeed, $\psi_{n,\varepsilon} = \phi_1 + \varepsilon \phi$ and $\partial_s \psi_{n,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \partial_s \phi$ on Ω'_0 . Using the Schwarz inequality, we get the bound

$$|F| \le p \left(\left| \frac{\varepsilon \partial_s \phi}{f} \right|^2 + |\nabla_t (\phi_1 + \varepsilon \phi)|^2 \right)^{(p-1)/2} \left(\left| \frac{\partial_s \phi}{f} \right|^2 + |\nabla_t \phi|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Similarly as above, using additionally that not only ϕ_1 but also $\nabla_t \phi_1$ belongs to $L^p(\omega)$, it is straightforward to estimate this bound by an ε -independent bound integrable in Ω'_0 .

Let us look at the first variation

$$I'(0) = p \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \phi_1|^{p-2} \nabla_t \phi_1 \cdot \nabla_t \phi f \, ds \, dt - p \,\lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_0} |\phi_1|^{p-2} \,\phi_1 \phi f \, ds \, dt \,.$$

Choosing $\varphi := \phi(s, \cdot)f(s, \cdot)$ for the test function in (21) and the Fubini theorem yield

$$I'(0) = p \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla_t \phi_1|^{p-2} \phi \nabla_t \phi_1 \cdot \nabla_t f \, ds \, dt$$
$$= -p \int_{\omega} |\nabla_t \phi_1(t)|^{p-2} \xi(t) \phi_1(t) \, k \cdot \nabla_t \phi_1(t) \, dt$$

where $k := (k_1, \ldots, k_{d-1})$ is a constant vector composed of $k_i := \int_{\mathbb{R}} j(s) \kappa_i(s) ds$. By choosing the support of j on an interval where $\kappa \neq 0$, the vector k can be chosen to be non-zero. We claim that there is a choice of ξ which guarantees that $I'(0) \neq 0$. By contradiction, let us assume that I'(0) = 0 for any choice of ξ . Then, recalling that ϕ_1 is positive, necessarily $k \cdot \nabla_t \phi_1 = 0$ in ω . Since ϕ_1 is radial, it follows that $k \cdot t = 0$ for every $t \in \omega$, which is a contradiction.

In summary, we have established (24) with $I(0) = Q_1[\psi_n] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, *n*-independent remainder $o(\varepsilon)$ and *n*-independent non-zero I'(0). By choosing ε sufficiently small and of suitable sign, it is possible to ensure that $I'(0) \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) < 0$. Then we choose *n* so large that also $I(\varepsilon) < 0$.

Remark 3. Unfortunately, we do not know how to get rid of the hypothesis that ω is circular. This assumption was employed twice in the proof. The usage in the argument showing that I'(0) is non-zero can be avoided by noticing that, in general, the property that a directional derivative of ϕ_1 vanishes identically is incompatible with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. The second circumstance was the usage of the identity

(25)
$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla \phi_1(t)|^p t \, dt = \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\omega} |\phi_1(t)|^p t \, dt$$

in the first part of the proof (cf. (23)). This trivially holds for circular domains due to the symmetry (cf. Lemma 2). By using the test function $\varphi(t) := t \phi_1(t)$ in (21), the identity (25) is equivalent to the property

(26)
$$\int_{\omega} |\nabla \phi_1(t)|^{p-2} \nabla |\phi_1|^2 dt = 0$$

14

Integrating by parts, this identity obviously holds for any domain ω whenever p = 2. For arbitrary $p \in (1, \infty)$, instead of assuming that ω is circular, the present proof works (and therefore conclusions of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 hold) for any domain ω satisfying (26).

Remark 4. Since ω is assumed to be circular in Theorem 2, we could have stated an illusively more general claim $\lambda_1(\Omega_{\kappa,R}) < \lambda_1(\omega)$ irrespectively of the choice of R. It is just because $\Omega_{\kappa,R} = \Omega_{\kappa,I}$.

6. Twisted tubes

In this section we establish Theorem 3 dealing with unbent twisted tubes. We therefore assume that $\kappa = 0$ (so f = 1). Under the hypothesis (8) that $\Omega_{0,R}$ is non-trivially twisted, our goal is to show that there exists a positive continuous function $\rho : \Omega_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the Hardy inequality

(27)
$$\forall \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0, g), \qquad Q[\psi] - \lambda_1(\omega) \, \|\psi\|^p \ge \int_{\Omega_0} \varrho \, |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt$$

holds. Note that (8) necessarily requires that $d \ge 3$, because the only orthogonal matrix of dimension 1 is the scalar identity (there is no twisting for two-dimensional strips).

Following the approach of [30, 40], we define

(28)
$$\lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l) := \inf_{\substack{\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0) \\ \psi \neq 0}} \frac{Q^l[\psi]}{\|\psi\|^{l,p}}$$

for any $\Omega_0^l := (-l, l) \times \omega$ with l > 0, where

$$Q^{l}[\psi] := \int_{\Omega_{0}^{l}} \left(\left| \left(\partial_{s} - f_{\mu} \partial_{t_{\mu}} \right) \psi \right|^{2} + |\nabla_{t} \psi|^{2} \right)^{p/2} \, ds \, dt \,,$$
$$\|\psi\|^{l,p} := \int_{\Omega_{0}^{l}} |\psi|^{p} \, ds \, dt \,.$$

The minimisation over $\psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ in (28) precisely means that ψ is a restriction of a function from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0)$ to Ω_0^l . We use the superscript N to point out that the minimiser ψ of (28) satisfies Neumann boundary conditions $(\partial_s - f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu})\psi = 0$ on $\{\pm l\} \times \omega$, but we shall not use this fact.

By (16), $\lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l) \geq \lambda_1(\omega)$. At the same time, by choosing the trial function $\psi(s,t) := \phi_1(t)$ in (28), it follows that $\lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l) = \lambda_1(\omega)$ if $f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu} \phi_1 = 0$ in Ω_0^l . The converse result is non-trivial.

Lemma 3. One has

$$\lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l) > \lambda_1(\omega) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu} \phi_1 \neq 0 \quad in \quad \Omega_0^l$$

Proof. To prove the remaining implication, let us assume that $f_{\mu}\partial_{t_{\mu}}\phi_{1} \neq 0$ but $\lambda_{1}^{N}(\Omega_{0}^{l}) = \lambda_{1}(\omega)$. By compactness, the infimum (28) is indeed achieved by a function $\psi_{1} \in W_{0}^{1,p}(\Omega_{0}) \upharpoonright \Omega_{0}^{l}$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega_0^l} \left| \left(\partial_s - f_\mu \partial_{t_\mu} \right) \psi_1 \right|^p ds \, dt = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\Omega_0^l} |\nabla_t \psi_1|^p \, ds \, dt - \lambda_1(\omega) \int_{\Omega_0^l} |\psi_1|^p = 0 \, .$$

By [28], $\lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l)$ is simple. Consequently, the second identity implies that there exists a function $\varphi \in W^{1,p}((-l,l))$ such that $\psi_1(s,t) = \varphi(s)\phi_1(t)$. Substituting this result into the first identity, we get

(29)
$$0 = \varphi' \phi - \varphi f_{\mu} \partial_{t_{\mu}} \phi_1 = \varphi' \phi - \varphi \partial_{t_{\mu}} (f_{\mu} \phi_1) = \operatorname{div}(\varphi \phi_1, -\varphi f_{\mu} \phi_1),$$

where the second equality follows by the orthogonality of R. By the divergence theorem, it is possible to conclude that φ is constant. Substituting this result back to (29), it follows that $f_{\mu}\partial_{t_{\mu}}\phi_1 = 0$ in Ω_0^l , a contradiction.

Now we are ready to establish Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Definition (28) implies

$$\forall \psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_0, g), \qquad Q[\psi] - \lambda_1(\omega) \, \|\psi\|^p \ge c_l \int_{\Omega_0^l} |\psi|^p$$

for every positive l, where $c_l := \lambda_1^N(\Omega_0^l) - \lambda_1(\omega)$. By Lemma 3 and hypothesis (8), the constant c_l is positive for all sufficiently large l. This establishes a "local" Hardy inequality with

$$\varrho_l := c_l \, \chi_{\Omega_0^l}$$
.

We call it local, because the weight ρ_l is not positive, albeit it is non-negative and nontrivial. However, there exists a general procedure how to deduce a "global" Hardy inequality (i.e., with a positive ρ) from the local one. It is based on a standard argument of partition of unity subordinated to a finitely local covering, see [48, Lem. 3.1]. In detail, given any natural number $j \geq 1$, let us write

$$2^{-j} (Q[\psi] - \lambda_1(\omega) \|\psi\|^p) \ge 2^{-j} c_{l+j} \int_{\Omega_0^{l+j}} |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt$$
$$\ge 2^{-j} \min\{c_{l+j}, 1\} \int_{\Omega_0} \chi_{\Omega_0^{l+j}} |\psi|^p \, ds \, dt \, .$$

Summing over all $j \ge 1$ and interchanging the order of summation and integration, we get (27) with

$$\varrho(s,t) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \min\{c_{l+j}, 1\} \chi_{[-(l+j), l+j]}(s).$$

Since this Hardy weight is independent of t, one gets (10) with $\rho(s,t) := \varrho(s,t)$.

Finally, let us comment on hypothesis (8).

Remark 5 (d = 3). In three dimensions, one has a convenient parameterisation

$$R(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta(s) & -\sin \theta(s) \\ \sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function with locally bounded derivative. Then condition (8) is equivalent to a simultaneous validity of the following two requirements:

$$\theta' \neq 0$$
 and ω is not circular.

This is clear from the identity $f_{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = \theta' \partial_{\tau}$, where $\partial_{\tau} := t_2 \partial_{t_1} - t_1 \partial_{t_2}$ is the angular derivative.

Remark 6 $(d \ge 4)$. In higher dimensions, the situation is more complicated because we cannot separate the "longitudinal" and "transverse" variables from the condition (which is natural in view of a more complicated structure of rotations in the higher dimensions). Anyway, we have the following sufficient condition:

$$\begin{array}{l} R' \neq 0 \\ \forall \text{tangential } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}, \ \sigma \neq 0, \qquad \partial_{\sigma} \phi_1 \neq 0 \end{array} \right\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (8) \text{ holds } .$$

(By a "tangential" vector in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} we mean any vector perpendicular to the radial vector $t \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.) The implication is clear from the identity (employing the orthogonality condition $RR^T = I$)

$$f_{\mu}t_{\mu} = t_{\alpha}R'_{\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\beta}t_{\mu} = -t_{\alpha}R_{\alpha\beta}R'_{\mu\beta}t_{\mu} = -t_{\mu}f_{\mu},$$

which shows that $(f_1(s), \ldots, f_{d-1}(s))$ is tangential for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, another sufficient condition follows:

$$\begin{cases} R' \neq 0\\ 0 \notin \omega \end{cases} \implies (8) \text{ holds}.$$

Acknowledgments

D.K. was supported by the EXPRO grant No. 20-17749X of the Czech Science Foundation. This work has been partially carried out during a stay of L.B. in Prague at the *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague.* She would like to express her deep gratitude to this prestigious institution for its support and warm hospitality. L.B. is member of the *Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni* (GNAMPA) of the *Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica* (INdAM). L.B. was partially supported by National Science Centre, Poland (Grant No. 2020/37/B/ST1/02742), by INdAM-GNAMPA Project 2023 titled *Problemi ellittici e parabolici con termini di reazione singolari e convettivi* (E53C22001930001) and by the IMAG-Maria de Maeztu Excellence Grant CEX2020-001105-M funded by MICINN/AEI.

Data Availability: The manuscript has no associated data.

Conflict of interest: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- T. V. Anoop, V. Bobkov, and S. Sasi, On the strict monotonicity of the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian on annuli, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 370 (2018), 7181–7199.
- [2] G. Autuori and P. Pucci, Existence of entire solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 20 (2013), 977–1009.
- [3] L. Baldelli, V. Brizi, and R. Filippucci, Existence and nonexistence of positive radial solutions of a quasilinear Dirichlet problem with diffusion, J. Differential Equations **359** (2023), 107–151.
- [4] L. Baldelli and R. Filippucci, Singular quasilinear critical Schrödinger equations in ℝ^N, Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. 21 (2022), 2561–2586.
- M. Belloni and B. Kawohl, A direct uniqueness proof for equations involving the p-Laplace operator, Manuscripta Math. 109 (2002), 229–231.
- [6] J. Benedikt, P. Girg, L. Kotrla, and P. Takáč, Origin of the p-Laplacian and A. Missbach, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. 2018 (2018), 1–17.
- [7] T. Bhattacharya, Radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction for the p-Laplacian in the ball, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 169–174.

- [8] P. Binding, L. Boulton, J. Čepička, Drábek, and P. Girg, Basis properties of eigenfunctions of the p-Laplacian, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 3487–3494.
- [9] V. Bobkov and P. Drábek, On some unexpected properties of radial and symmetric eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the p-Laplacian on a disk, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017), 1755–1772.
- [10] G. Bouchitté, M. L. Mascarenhas, and L. Trabucho, *Thin waveguides with robin boundary conditions*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), 123517.
- [11] C. Cazacu, D. Krejčiřík, N. Lam, and A. Laptev, *Hardy inequalities for magnetic p-Laplacians*, preprint on arXiv:2201.02482 [math.AP].
- [12] B. Chenaud, P. Duclos, P. Freitas, and D. Krejčiřík, Geometrically induced discrete spectrum in curved tubes, Differential Geom. Appl. 23 (2005), 95–105.
- [13] A. M. H. Chorwadwala, R. Mahadevan, and F. Toledo, On the Faber-Krahn inequality for the Dirichlet p-Laplacian, ESAIM: COCV 21 (2015), 60–72.
- [14] U. Das, Y. Pinchover, and B. Devyver, On existence of minimizers for weighted L^p -Hardy inequalities on $C^{1,\gamma}$ -domains with compact boundary, preprint on arXiv:2303.03527.
- [15] C. R. de Oliveira and A. F. Rossini, Effective operators for Robin Laplacian in thin two- and threedimensional curved waveguides, Commun. Anal. Geom. 30 (2022), 1227–1268.
- [16] B. Devyver and Y. Pinchover, Optimal L^p Hardy-type inequalities, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare. Anal. Non Lineaire 33 (2016), 93–118.
- [17] J. Dittrich and J. Kříž, Curved planar quantum wires with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, J. Phys. A 35 (2002), L269–275.
- [18] P. Duclos and P. Exner, Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions, Rev. Math. Phys. 7 (1995), 73–102.
- [19] T. Ekholm, H. Kovařík, and D. Krejčiřík, A Hardy inequality in twisted waveguides, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 188 (2008), 245–264.
- [20] G. Ercole, J. C. do Espírito Santo, and E. M. Martins, Computing the first eigenpair of the p-Laplacian in annuli, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 422 (2015), 1277–1307.
- [21] P. Exner and H. Kovařík, *Quantum waveguides*, Springer, 2015.
- [22] P. Exner and P. Seba, Bound states in curved quantum waveguides, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 2574–2580.
- [23] P. Freitas and D. Krejčiřík, Waveguides with combined Dirichlet and Robin boundary conditions, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 9 (2006), no. 4, 335–352.
- [24] D. Ganguly and Y. Pinchover, Some new aspects of perturbation theory of positive solutions of secondorder linear elliptic equations, Special issue dedicated to the memory of A. I. Volpert, Pure Appl. Funct. Anal., vol. 5, 2020, pp. 295–319.
- [25] J. P. García Azorero and I. Peral Alonso, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 323 (1991), 877–895.
- [26] J. Goldstone and R. L. Jaffe, Bound states in twisting tubes, Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992), 14100–14107.
- [27] G. Grillo, H. Kovařík, and Y. Pinchover, Sharp two-sided heat kernel estimates of twisted tubes and applications, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 213 (2014), 215–243.
- [28] B. Kawohl and P. Lindqvist, Positive eigenfunctions for the p-Laplace operator revisited, Analysis 26 (2006), 545–550.
- [29] H. Kovařík and K. Pankrashkin, On the p-Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions and boundary trace theorems, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 56 (2017), 29 pp.
- [30] D. Krejčiřík, Twisting versus bending in quantum waveguides, Analysis on Graphs and its Applications, Cambridge, 2007 (P. Exner et al., ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 617–636. See arXiv:0712.3371v2 [math-ph] (2009) for a corrected version.
- [31] _____, Spectrum of the Laplacian in a narrow curved strip with combined Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 15 (2009), 555–568.
- [32] _____, Waveguides with asymptotically diverging twisting, Appl. Math. Lett. 46 (2015), 7–10.
- [33] D Krejčiřík, Spectral geometry of tubes, (2023), lecture notes (Mini-courses in Mathematical Analysis, Padova, Italy, 19–23 June 2023) on https://hal.science/hal-04159525.
- [34] D Krejčiřík and J. Kříž, On the spectrum of curved quantum waveguides, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University 41 (2005), no. 3, 757–791.
- [35] D. Krejčiřík, G. P. Leonardi, and P. Vlachopulos, The Cheeger constant of curved tubes, Arch. Math. 112 (2019), 429–436.
- [36] D. Krejčiřík and A. Pratelli, The Cheeger constant of curved strips, Pacific J. Math. 254 (2011), 309–333.

- [37] D. Krejčiřík and N. Raymond, Magnetic effects in curved quantum waveguides, Ann. H. Poincare 15 (2014), 1993–2024.
- [38] D. Krejčiřík and H. Šediváková, The effective Hamiltonian in curved quantum waveguides under mild regularity assumptions, Rev. Math. Phys. 24 (2012), 1250018.
- [39] D. Krejčiřík and K. Zahradová, Quantum strips in higher dimensions, Oper. Matrices 14 (2020), 635– 665.
- [40] D. Krejčiřík and E. Zuazua, The Hardy inequality and the heat equation in twisted tubes, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94 (2010), 277–303.
- [41] P. D. Lamberti and Y. Pinchover, L^p Hardy inequality on $C^{1,\alpha}$ domains, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **19** (2019), 1135–1159.
- [42] G. P. Leonardi and A. Pratelli, On the Cheeger sets in strips and non-convex domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 55 (2016), 1–28.
- [43] P. Lindqvist, On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2}u = 0$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 157–164.
- [44] A. I. Nazarov, The one-dimensional character of an extremum point of the Friedrichs inequality in spherical and plane layers, J. Math. Sci. 102 (2000), 4473–4486.
- [45] R. Novák, Bound states in waveguides with complex Robin boundary conditions, Asympt. Anal. 96 (2016), 251–281.
- [46] Y. Pinchover, Topics in the theory of positive solutions of second-order elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations, Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics: A Festschrift in Honor of Barry Simon's 60th Birthday (F. Gesztesy, et al., ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 76, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 329–356.
- [47] Y. Pinchover and N. Regev, Criticality theory of half-linear equations with the (p, A)-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 119 (2015), 295–314.
- [48] Y. Pinchover and K. Tintarev, Ground state alternative for p-Laplacian with potential term, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 28 (2007), 179–201.
- [49] _____, On positive solutions of p-Laplacian-type equations, Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Applications – The Vladimir Maz'ya Anniversary Volume (Basel) (A. Cialdea et al., ed.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 193, Birkauser Verlag, 2009, pp. 245–268.
- [50] A. F. Rossini, On the spectrum of Robin Laplacian in a planar waveguide, Czechoslovak Math. J. 69 (2019), 485–501.
- [51] D. Ruiz, A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions for strongly nonlinear problems, J. Differential Equations 199 (2004), 96–114.

Email address: labaldelli@ugr.es

Email address: david.krejcirik@fjfi.cvut.cz

(Baldelli) IMAG, DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, CAMPUS FUENTENUEVA, 18071 GRANADA, SPAIN

(Krejčiřík) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF NUCLEAR SCIENCES AND PHYSICAL ENGINEER-ING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, TROJANOVA 13, 12000 PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC