On periodic solutions for the Maxwell–Bloch equations

A. I. Komech²

Institute of Mathematics of BOKU University, Vienna alexander.komech@boku.ac.at

Abstract

We consider the Maxwell–Bloch system which is a finite-dimensional approximation of the coupled nonlinear Maxwell–Schrödinger equations. The approximation consists of one-mode Maxwell field coupled to $N \ge 1$ two-level molecules. Our main result is the existence of solutions with time-periodic Maxwell field. For the proof we construct time-periodic solutions to the reduced system with respect to the symmetry gauge group U(1). The solutions correspond to fixed points of the Poincaré map, which are constructed using the contraction of high-amplitude Maxwell field and the Lefschetz theorem. The theorem is applied to a suitable *modification* of the reduced equations which defines a smooth dynamics on the *compactified* phase space. The crucial role is played by the fact that the Euler characteristic of the compactified space is strictly greater than the same of the infinite component.

MSC classification: 34C25, 58D19, 57Q65, 32S50, 78A40, 78A60.

Keywords: Maxwell–Bloch equations; laser; attractor; periodic solutions; Rabi solution; gauge group; reduced dynamics; Hopf fibration; Poincaré map; fixed points; Lefschetz theorem; Euler characteristic.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The contraction of high-amplitude Maxwell field and well-posedness	3
3	Gauge symmetry and reduced dynamics in the Hopf fibration	4
4	Periodic solutions for the reduced MB equations with one particle 4.1 A priori estimate for fixed points of the Poincaré map 4.2 Modified dynamics on the compactified phase space	5 5 6
5	Maxwell–Bloch equations with many molecules	7
A	The Maxwell–Bloch equations as the Galerkin approximation	8

1 Introduction

The paper addresses an old problem of quantum optics on existence of time-periodic solutions to the nonlinear coupled Maxwell–Bloch equations (MB) [32]. The equations were introduced by Lamb [23] as a finite-dimensional approximation of the semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger system [1, 12, 17, 19]. The equations were traditionally used in many works for semiclassical description of the laser action using perturbation methods and different hypotheses on the molecular currents [13, 16, 28, 30, 31]. However, up to now, there are very few rigorous results.

The main problem of the theory of the laser action is the convergence of the Maxwell field to a high amplitude time-periodic regime in the case of sufficiently intense pumping. In the present paper we establish the existence of solutions with time-periodic Maxwell field for the MB equations with $N \ge 1$ two-level molecules in the case of time-periodic pumping. For N = 1, the equations read as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{A}(t) = B(t), \quad \dot{B}(t) = -\Omega^2 A(t) - \sigma B(t) + c j(t) \\ i\hbar \dot{C}_1(t) = \hbar \omega_1 C_1(t) + ia(t) C_2(t), \quad i\hbar \dot{C}_2(t) = \hbar \omega_2 C_2(t) - ia(t) C_1(t) \end{cases}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

¹Preprint arXiv: 2312.08180 [quant-ph]

²Supported partly by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) PAT 3476224

where $A(t), B(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ represent the Maxwell filed, while $C_1(t), C_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ represent the Schrödinger wave function; $\Omega > 0$ is the resonance frequency, $\sigma > 0$ is the dissipation coefficient, *c* is the speed of light, \hbar is the Planck constant, and $\hbar \omega_2 > \hbar \omega_1$ are the energy levels of active molecules. The current j(t) and the function a(t) are given by

$$j(t) = 2q \operatorname{Im}[\overline{C}_{1}(t)C_{2}(t)], \quad a(t) = \frac{q}{c}[A(t) + A_{p}(t)]; \qquad q = \omega p, \quad \omega = \omega_{2} - \omega_{1} > 0,$$
(1.2)

where $A_p(t)$ represents an external Maxwell field (the pumping), and p > 0 is proportional to the molecular dipole moment of the molecule; see Appendix A.

The *charge conservation* holds: $|C_1(t)|^2 + |C_2(t)|^2 = \text{const for } t \in \mathbb{R}$. The conservation follows by differentiation from the last two equations of (1.1) since the function a(t) is real-valued. We will consider solutions with the const = 1, so

$$|C_1(t)|^2 + |C_2(t)|^2 = 1, \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(1.3)

Accordingly, the phase space of the MB system (1.1) is $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^3$. We assume that $A^p(t) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^+)$. Then the existence and uniqueness of global solutions $X(t) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^+, \mathbb{X})$ to the system (1.1) with any given initial state $X(0) \in \mathbb{X}$ follows from the *a priori* bound

$$|X(t)| \le D_1 |X(0)| e^{-\gamma t} + D_2, \quad t > 0; \qquad D_1, D_2, \gamma > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

which is proved in Section 2.

Our main result is the existence of solutions with time-periodic Maxwell field for the MB equations (1.1), (5.2) with any number of molecules $N \ge 1$ in the case of time-periodic pumping:

$$A_p(t+T) = A_p(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}; \qquad T = 2\pi/\Omega_p, \tag{1.5}$$

where Ω_p is the pumping frequency.

Let us comment on our approach. The equations (1.1) are invariant with respect to the group G = U(1) of gauge transformations

$$g(\theta)(A,B,C) = (A,B,e^{i\theta}C), \qquad \theta \in [0,2\pi]; \qquad A,B \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C = (C_1,C_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2.$$
(1.6)

The action (1.6) does not affect the Maxwell field. Hence, it suffices to prove the existence of *T*-periodic solutions for the MB equations reduced by the symmetry gauge group U(1); see (1.6), (3.2).

Remark 1.1. For the corresponding solutions to the MB equations, the Maxwell field, the current, and the population inversion are time-periodic, while the wave function can acquire a unitary factor in each period; see (3.4) and (5.6).

The time-periodic solutions correspond to fixed points of the Poincaré map for the reduced equations. Our construction of the fixed points relies on the *contraction* (1.4) of high-amplitude Maxwell field and the Lefschetz theorem on fixed points and Euler characteristic [11]. The main issue in our application of the theorem is that it is known for compact spaces, while for the MB equations, the phase space X is not compact. Accordingly, the intersection number can be changed in the homotopy if some of the fixed points run off to infinity in finite time. To prevent such runaway, we use the contraction (1.4) for the *a priori* bound of the fixed points, thus reducing the problem to the compact space.

The Lefschetz theorem is applied to a suitable *modification* of the reduced equations which defines a smooth dynamics on the *compactified* phase space with a "repulsion" from infinity. The crucial role is played by the fact that the Euler characteristic of the compactified space is strictly greater than the same of the infinite component; see Remark 4.4.

We give detailed proofs for the MB equations with one active molecule described by the two-level Schrödinger equation. Further we extend the result to the case of any number of the molecules N > 1 which is typical for the laser action with $N \sim 10^{20}$; see [17].

As a by-product, we obtain for the MB equations the existence of a compact global attractor [9, 33].

Let us comment on previous related results. The problem of existence of time-periodic solutions has been discussed since 1960s. The first results in this direction were obtained recently in [6]-[8] and [34] for various

versions of the MB equations. In [34], the approximate *N*th order time-periodic solutions were constructed by perturbation techniques. For the phenomenological model [2, 3], time-periodic solutions were constructed in [8] in the absence of time-periodic pumping [8, Eq. (1)] for small interaction constant. The solutions are constructed as the result of a bifurcation relying on homotopy invariance of the degree [6] and develops the averaging arguments [7]. The period is determined by bifurcation, and is not related to an external source.

Up to our knowledge, periodic solutions for the MB equations without the smallness assumptions were not constructed until now.

Remark 1.2. i) By Lemma 3.2, for solutions of the nonreduced Maxwell–Bloch system, corresponding to the periodic solutions of the reduced equations, the Maxwell field, current, and the population inversion are time-periodic, while the wave function can acquire a unitary factor in each period (3.4). So the time-periodic solutions to the nonreduced Maxwell–Bloch system with nonzero pumping probably do not exist, see Remark 3.3.

ii) In [6]-[8] and [34], the time-periodic solutions are constructed for the MB systems with wave function replaced by the density matrix which is gauge-invariant, so the reduction is not needed.

The contraction of high-amplitude Maxwell fields and well-posedness are proved in Section 2. In Section 3, we introduce the reduced dynamics. In Section 4 solutions with time-periodic Maxwell field are constructed for the equations with one molecule, and in Section 5 -for equations with many molecules. In Appendix A we recall the introduction of the MB equations.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks V.A. Vassiliev for helpful discussions of topological aspects.

2 The contraction of high-amplitude Maxwell field and well-posedness

In this section, we prove the a priori bound (1.4) assuming that $A^e(t) \in C[0,\infty)$. The bound implies the wellposedness of the MB system (1.1) in the phase space $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^3$. The Schrödinger amplitudes $C_1(t), C_2(t)$ are bounded by the charge conservation (1.3). Hence, it remains to prove the *a priori* estimates for the Maxwell amplitudes (A(t), B(t)).

Lemma 2.1. Let $A^e(t) \in C[0,\infty)$. Then there exists the Lyapunov function V(A,B) such that

$$a_1[A^2 + B^2] \le V(A, B) \le a_1[A^2 + B^2]$$
 where $a_{1,2} > 0,$ (2.1)

and for solutions to (1.1),

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(A(t),B(t)) \le -\gamma V(A(t),B(t)) + D, \qquad t > 0; \quad \gamma, D > 0.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Proof. Denote by $E(A,B) = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega^2 A^2 + B^2)$ "the energy" of the Maxwell field. The first two equations of (1.1) imply for

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(A(t),B(t)) = \Omega^2 A(t)B(t) + B(t)[-\Omega^2 A(t) - \sigma B(t) + cj(t)] = -\sigma B^2(t) + cB(t)j(t).$$
(2.3)

We introduce the Lyapunov function following the standard approach to dissipative perturbations of the Hamiltonian systems [4, 14]: $V(A,B) = E(A,B) + \varepsilon AB$, where sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ will be chosen below. Differentiating, we obtain for V(t) = V(A(t), B(t)):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) &= \dot{E}(t) + \varepsilon \dot{A}(t)B(t) + \varepsilon A(t)\dot{B}(t) \\ &= -\sigma B^2(t) + cB(t)j(t) + \varepsilon B^2(t) + \varepsilon A(t)[-\Omega^2 A(t) - \sigma B(t) + cj(t)] \\ &= -(\sigma - \varepsilon)B^2(t) - \varepsilon \Omega^2 A^2(t) - \varepsilon \sigma A(t)B(t) + cj(t)(\varepsilon A(t) + B(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|\varepsilon \sigma AB| \leq \frac{\sigma}{2}B^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma \varepsilon^2 A^2$. Hence, for small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\dot{V}(t) \le -\left[\frac{\sigma}{2} - \varepsilon\right]B^2(t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\Omega^2 A^2(t) + cj(t)(\varepsilon A(t) + B(t)), \qquad t > 0.$$
(2.4)

Moreover, $|j(t)| \le 2\varkappa$ by (1.2) and (1.3). Hence, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we get (2.2).

Corollary 2.2. Solving the inequality (2.2) in the region $V > D/\gamma$, we obtain:

$$V(t) \le V(0)e^{-\gamma t} + \frac{D}{\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma t}), \qquad t > 0.$$
(2.5)

Hence, for solutions to (1.1)*, the following bounds hold:*

$$|A(t)|^{2} + |B(t)|^{2} \le d_{1}(|A(0)|^{2} + |B(0)|^{2})e^{-\gamma t} + d_{2}, \ t > 0; \quad d_{1}, d_{2}, \gamma > 0.$$

$$(2.6)$$

Remark 2.3. i) The bound (2.6) trivially follows by solving the first two equations of (1.1). However, it does not imply the bound (2.2) which plays crucial role in our construction of the time-periodic solutions.

ii) The Lyapunov function V(A,B) is the quadratic form with the level lines which are ellipses transversal to the vector field $(B, -\Omega^2 A - \sigma B)$. In particular, the transversality does not hold for V(A,B) = E(A,B) as is seen from (2.4) with $\varepsilon = 0$.

Remark 2.4. The bound (1.4) implies that there exists the compact global attractor for the MB equations which is the minimal subset $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathbb{X}$ such that

$$X(t) \to \mathscr{A}, \qquad t \to \infty$$

for any solution X(t) to (1.1). This follows by the standard techniques [4, 9, 22, 33].

3 Gauge symmetry and reduced dynamics in the Hopf fibration

Recall that the phase space of the MB system is $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^3$ due to the charge conservation (1.3). The MB system (1.1) is U(1)-invariant with respect to the action (1.6). This means that the function $g(\theta)X(t)$ is a solution if X(t) is. This is obvious from (1.1) and (1.2). Let us denote the factorspace $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}/U(1)$ which is the space of all orbits $X_* = \{g(\theta)X : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ with $X \in \mathbb{X}$. Denote the map

$$\Pi: X = (A, B, C) \mapsto X_* = (A, B, C_*) \in \mathbb{Y}, \qquad X \in \mathbb{X}.$$
(3.1)

The factorspace \mathbb{Y} is the smooth manifold $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ since the map $h : C \mapsto C_*$ is the Hopf fibration $S^3 \to S^2$. The MB system (1.1) induces the corresponding reduced dynamics in the factorspace \mathbb{Y} which can be written as

$$\dot{Y}(t) = F(Y(t), t), \qquad t \ge 0,$$
(3.2)

where $F(\cdot, t)$ is the smooth vector field on \mathbb{Y} which continuously depends on $t \ge 0$.

Lemma 3.1. The reduced equation (3.2) admits a unique global solution $Y(t) = (A(t), B(t), C_*(t))$ for every *initial state* $Y_0 \in \mathbb{Y}$.

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the smoothness and continuity of $F(\cdot,t)$. To prove the existence, take any point $\hat{Y}_0 \in \Pi^{-1}Y(0)$ and set $Y(t) = \Pi \hat{Y}(t)$, where $\hat{Y}(t)$ is the solution to the MB equations (1.1) with the initial state \hat{Y}_0 . Then Y(t) is the solution to (3.2) by definition of the reduced dynamics, and the initial state $Y(0) = \Pi \hat{Y}(0) = Y_0$.

For $X = (A, B, C) \in \mathbb{X}$, the current $j = 2q \operatorname{Im}[\overline{C}_1 C_2]$ and the population inversion $I := |C_2|^2 - |C_1|^2$ are invariant with respect to the gauge transformations (1.6). So, $j_*(hC) := j(C)$ is a bounded smooth function on S². In particular, the first line of (1.1) can be written as

$$\dot{A}(t) = B(t), \quad \dot{B}(t) = -\Omega^2 A(t) - \sigma B(t) + c j_*(C_*(t)).$$
(3.3)

Lemma 3.2. Let $Y(t) = (A(t), B(t), C_*(t))$ be a *T*-periodic solutions to the reduced dynamics (3.2), and $\hat{Y}(t) = (\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t), \hat{C}(t))$ be the solution to the nonreduced MB equations (1.1) with any $\hat{Y}(0) \in \Pi^{-1}Y(0)$. Then the Maxwell amplitudes $(\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t))$, the current $j(t) = q \operatorname{Im} [\hat{C}_1 \hat{C}_2(t)]$, and the population inversion $I(t) := |\hat{C}_2(t)|^2 - |\hat{C}_1(t)|^2$ are *T*-periodic, while the wave function can acquire a unitary factor:

$$\hat{C}(t+T) = e^{i\theta(t)}\hat{C}(t), \qquad t \ge 0, \quad \theta(t) \in [0, 2\pi].$$
(3.4)

Proof. By definition of the reduced dynamics, $\Pi \hat{Y}(t) = Y(t)$, that is $(\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t), h\hat{C}_*(t)) = (A(t), B(t), C(t))$. Hence, the Maxwell amplitudes $(\hat{A}(t), \hat{B}(t))$ are *T*-periodic. Similarly, the Hopf projection $h\hat{C}(t)$ is also *T*-periodic, that is equivalent to (3.4). Finally, (3.4) imples that I(t) is *T*-periodic.

Remark 3.3. The relation (3.4) suggests that for the nonreduced system (1.1) with *T*-periodic pumping (1.5), *T*-periodic solutions probably do not exist. This conjecture is confirmed by the Rabi solution [26] since it can contain incommensurable frequencies [10, (4.8)]. Our topological arguments also do not work for the nonreduced system; see Remark 4.4.

4 Periodic solutions for the reduced MB equations with one particle

In this section, we consider the reduced dynamics (3.2) with a time-periodic pumping (1.5). Then (3.2) is a time-periodic system:

$$F(Y,t+T) = F(Y,t), \qquad Y \in \mathbb{Y}, \qquad t \ge 0. \tag{4.1}$$

The main result of present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let (1.5) hold. Then the MB equations (1.1) admit solutions with T-periodic Maxwell field:

$$(A(t+T), B(t+T)) = (A(t), B(t)), \qquad t \ge 0.$$
(4.2)

To prove Theorem 4.1, it suffices to construct *T*-periodic solutions Y(t) for the reduced dynamics (3.2):

$$Y(t+T) = Y(t), t \ge 0.$$
 (4.3)

4.1 A priori estimate for fixed points of the Poincaré map

Solutions to (3.2) admit the representation

$$Y(t) = U(t)Y(0), t \ge 0,$$
 (4.4)

where $U(t) : \mathbb{Y} \to \mathbb{Y}$ is the diffeomorphism and U(0) = Id is the identity map. The map U(T) is homotopic to the identity since U(t) depends continuously on $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The existence of *T*-periodic solution (4.3) is equivalent to the fact that the Poincaré map U(T) admits at least one fixed point. Let us denote the set of all fixed points by

$$\Phi = \{ Y_{\#} \in \mathbb{Y} : U(T) Y_{\#} = Y_{\#} \}.$$
(4.5)

Lemma 4.2. The set Φ is bounded in \mathbb{Y} .

Proof. Denote by *v* the vector field on \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to the equations (3.3): for $M = (A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $C_* \in S^2$,

$$v(M,C_*) = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ -\Omega^2 A - \sigma B + c j_*(C_*) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j_*(C_*) = 2q \operatorname{Im}[\overline{C}_1(t)C_2(t)].$$
(4.6)

By (2.2) and (2.1), for large |M|, the field $v(M, C_*)$ is directed "towards the origin":

$$v(M,C_*) \cdot \nabla V(M) \le -a_1 \gamma |M|^2 + D < 0, \qquad |M| > R(D).$$
(4.7)

Therefore, the region |M| > R(D) does not contain fixed points.

4.2 Modified dynamics on the compactified phase space

We are going to apply the Lefschetz theorem [11, p. 120]: the number of fixed points (counted with multiplicities) of any continuous map homotopic to the identity map of a compact space to itself is equal to the Euler characteristic of this space. However, in our case the phase space $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ is not compact, so we first have to reduce the question to a compact case.

Introduce the *compactification* $\mathbb{Y}_c = \mathbf{S}_c^2 \times \mathbf{S}^2$ of the phase space, where $\mathbf{S}_c^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \cup *$ with the neighborhoods of the "infinite point" * defined by

$$O_R(*) = \{ M \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |M| > R \}, \qquad R > 0.$$
(4.8)

Let us define a *modification* of dynamics (3.2) on the space \mathbb{Y}_c by the system

$$\dot{Y}_c(t) = F_c(Y_c(t), t), \qquad t > 0.$$
(4.9)

The goal of the modification is to obtain a smooth vector field $F_c(\cdot, t)$ on the compactification \mathbb{Y}_c and to keep the same set of all fixed points in \mathbb{Y} . Denote M(t) = (A(t), B(t)) and first modify the system (1.1) by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{M}(t) = v_{R}(M(t), C_{1}(t), C_{2}(t)) \\ i\hbar\dot{C}_{1}(t) = \zeta_{R}(|M|)[\hbar\omega_{1}C_{1}(t) + ia(t)C_{2}(t)] \\ i\hbar\dot{C}_{2}(t) = \zeta_{R}(|M|)[\hbar\omega_{2}C_{2}(t) - ia(t)C_{1}(t)] \end{cases}, \quad t > 0, \qquad (4.10)$$

where

$$\zeta \in C^{\infty}[0,\infty), \qquad \zeta_R(r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1, & r \le R \\ 0, & r \ge R+1 \end{array} \right|.$$

$$(4.11)$$

For the modified vector field v_R we require

$$v_R(M, C_1, C_2) = v(M, C_1, C_2), \quad |M| < R, \quad \text{and} \quad v_R(M, C_1, C_2) \cdot \nabla V(M) < 0, \quad |M| > R.$$
 (4.12)

By (4.7), such modification exists for R > R(D). Similarly to (1.1), the modified system (4.10) is invariant with respect to the action (1.6). Accordingly, define the modified dynamics (4.9) as the corresponding reduction of (4.10). Moreover, we can require that for large *M* the modified field is radial and

$$v_R(M, C_1, C_2) = -M/|M|^2, \qquad |M| \ge R_c \ge R.$$
(4.13)

Then the vector field of the modified system (4.9) is smooth on the compactified space $\mathbb{Y}_c = S_c^2 \times S^2$ with the smooth structure defined by the coordinates $(M/|M|^2, C_*)$ in a neighborhood of the infinite sphere $S_*^2 = * \times S^2$.

As a result, we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. There exists an R > 0 and a modification (4.9) of the reduced system (4.1) with the following properties:

i) The modified system (4.9) is smooth on the compactified space $\mathbb{Y}_c = S_c^2 \times S^2$.

ii) For both systems (4.9) and (4.1), the fixed points of the Poincaré map with |M| < R are identical;

- iii) For both systems, the fixed points of the Poincaré map with |M| > R do not exist;
- iv) The identity (4.13) holds for the modified system.

Now we can apply the Lefschetz theorem to the Poincaré map $U_c(T) : \mathbb{Y}_c \to \mathbb{Y}_c$ which corresponds to the modified system (4.9). Indeed, the map is homotopic to the identity map, and the Euler characteristic is given by $\chi(\mathbb{Y}_c) = \chi(S_c^2)\chi(S^2) = 4$. Hence, $U_c(T)$ admits four fixed points in \mathbb{Y}_c counted with their multiplicities. Further, by (4.13), the infinite sphere S_*^2 is invariant under $U_c(T)$, and the number of fixed points $Y_{\#} \in S_*^2$ with multiplicities equals the Euler characteristic $\chi(S_*^2) = 2$. Hence, $U_c(T)$ has at least one fixed point $Y_{\#} \in \mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{Y}_c \setminus S_*^2$. Finally, $Y_{\#}$ is also the fixed point for U(T). Theorem 4.1 is proved.

Remark 4.4. The Lefschetz theorem gives the conclusion due to the bound (2.6) for the reduced Maxwell– Bloch equations with the phase space $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$. Note that the similar bound (1.4) holds for the nonreduced system (1.1) with the phase space $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^3$, however in this case the Lefschetz theorem does not give the desired result since the Euler characteristic is $\chi(\mathbb{X}) = \chi(S^3) = 0$. This is what made necessary the reduction of the MB system by the symmetry group U(1).

Remark 4.5. The crucial role in our proof is played by the inequality (4.7) which means that for large |M|, the vector field $v(M, C_*)$ admits the Lyapunov function $V(M) \to \infty$ as $|M| \to \infty$. Such function does not exist, for example, for the vector field of the system $\dot{A} = \dot{B} = 1$, $\dot{C} = 0$. Accordingly, the Poincaré map is the shift $(A, B, C) \mapsto (A + T, B + T, C)$, so the system does not admit solutions with *T*-periodic A(t) and B(t).

5 Maxwell–Bloch equations with many molecules

Let us write the MB equations for many molecules. Now (A.8) becomes

$$\Psi_n(x,t) = C_{n,1}(t)\varphi_{n,1}(x) + C_{n,2}(t)\varphi_{n,2}(x), \qquad x \in V, \quad n \in \overline{N} := \{1,\dots,N\}.$$
(5.1)

The MB system reads, similarly to (1.1), as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{A}(t) = B(t), \quad \dot{B}(t) = -\Omega^2 A(t) - \sigma B(t) + c j(t) \\ i\hbar \dot{C}_{n,1}(t) = \hbar \omega_1 C_{n,1}(t) + ia(t) C_{n,2}(t), \quad i\hbar \dot{C}_{n,2}(t) = \hbar \omega_2 C_{n,2}(t) - ia(t) C_{n,1}(t), \quad n \in \overline{N} \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

where the current j(t) is given by

$$j(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varkappa_n \text{Im}[\overline{C}_{n,1}(t)C_{n,2}(t)].$$
(5.3)

All the results above for the system (1.1) can be extended to the system (5.2). In particular, the charge conservation (1.3) holds for each active molecule:

$$|C_{n,1}(t)|^2 + |C_{n,2}(t)|^2 = 1, \qquad t \ge 0, \quad n \in \overline{N}.$$
(5.4)

The gauge group $G = [U(1)]^N$ acts on the phase space $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ by

$$g(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N})(A, B, C) = (A, B, e^{i\theta_1}C_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_N}C_N) \qquad C(t) = (C_1(t), \dots, C_N(t)) \in [S^3]^N,$$
(5.5)

where $C_n(t) = (C_{n,1}(t), C_{n,2}(t)) \in S^3$. This action commutes with the dynamics (5.2), hence the latter induces the corresponding reduced dynamics on the factorspace $\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{X}/G = \mathbb{R}^2 \times [S^2]^N$. The action (5.5) does not affect the Maxwell field.

Theorem 5.1. Let (1.5) hold. Then the MB equations (5.2) admit solutions with T-periodic Maxwell field.

The proof of the theorem relies on a minor modification of constructions and arguments used above in the case N = 1. The relation (3.4) holds as in the case N = 1 with the obvious modification: now

$$C(t+T) = g(e^{i\theta_1(t)}, \dots, e^{i\theta_N(t)})C(t), \qquad t \ge 0, \quad \theta_k(t) \in [0, 2\pi].$$
(5.6)

Remark 5.2. Our assumption (1.5) guarantees the existence of solutions to the MB equations with timeperiodic Maxwell field. However, we do not impose the resonance conditions

$$\Omega_p \approx \Omega \approx \omega, \tag{5.7}$$

which physically are responsible for the "lasing", that is, the effective laser action.

A The Maxwell–Bloch equations as the Galerkin approximation

Here we introduce the MB equations (1.1) as the Galerkin approximation of the semiclassical Maxwell–Schrödinger equations studied in [5, 12, 27] (see also [18, 20, 21, 22]) and endowed with the damping and pumping. The MB equations describe the coupling of one-mode Maxwell field with a two-level molecule in a bounded cavity $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\mathbf{A}(x,t) = A(t)\mathbf{X}(x), \quad \Psi(x,t) = C_1(t)\varphi_1(x) + C_2(t)\varphi_2(x), \qquad x \in V.$$
(A.8)

Here $\mathbf{X}(x)$ and φ_l are suitable *normalized* eigenfunctions of the Laplace and Schrödinger operators under suitable boundary value conditions:

$$\Delta \mathbf{X}(x) = -\frac{\Omega^2}{c^2} \mathbf{X}(x), \quad x \in V; \qquad \mathbf{H}\varphi_l(x) = \hbar \omega_l \varphi_l(x), \quad x \in V, \quad l = 1, 2.$$
(A.9)

We introduce the Schrödinger operator $\mathbf{H} := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + e\Phi(x)$, where $\Phi(x)$ is the molecular (ion's) potential. The semiclassical MB equations can be defined as the Hamiltonian equations with dissipation:

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\dot{A}(t) = \partial_B H, \quad \frac{1}{c^2}\dot{B}(t) = -\partial_A H - \frac{\sigma}{c^2}B; \qquad i\hbar\dot{C}_l(t) = \partial_{\overline{C}_l}H, \quad l = 1, 2,$$
(A.10)

where $\sigma > 0$ is the electrical conductivity of the cavity medium. The Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H(A, B, C, t) = \mathscr{H}(A\mathbf{X}, B\mathbf{X}, C_1\varphi_1 + C_2\varphi_2, t), \qquad C = (C_1, C_2),$$
 (A.11)

where \mathcal{H} is the Hamiltonian of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations with pumping. We neglect the spin and scalar potential which can be easily added. In the Heaviside–Lorentz units [15] (which are also *unrationalized Gaussian units*), the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} reads as

$$\mathscr{H}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \boldsymbol{\psi}, t) = \frac{1}{2} [\|\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{B}\|^2 + \|\operatorname{curl}\mathbf{A}\|^2] + \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A}, t)\boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle,$$
(A.12)

where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm in the phase Hilbert space $L^2(V) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ and the brackets $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stand for the Hermitian inner product in $L^2(V) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The Schrödinger operator reads as

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A},t) := \frac{1}{2m} [-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{e}{c} (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x,t))]^2 + e\Phi(x)$$

$$= \mathbf{H} + \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \Big[(\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x,t)) \circ i\nabla + i\nabla \circ (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x,t)) \Big] + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x,t))^2,$$
(A.13)

where $\mathbf{A}_p(x,t) = \mathbf{X}(x)A_p(t)$ is the pumping. Substituting (A.8) into (A.12), we find:

$$H(A, B, C, t) = \frac{1}{2c^2} [B^2 + \Omega^2 A^2] + \langle \psi, \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A}, t)\psi \rangle.$$
(A.14)

Using (A.13), we obtain:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}, \mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A}, t) \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle = \hbar \omega_1 |C_1|^2 + \hbar \omega_2 |C_2|^2 + i \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} (A + A_p(t)) \sum_{l,l'} \overline{C}_l C_{l'} \Big[\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l(x) \mathbf{X}(x), \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{l'}(x) \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_l(x), \nabla (\mathbf{X}(x) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{l'}(x)) \rangle \Big] + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}, (\mathbf{A}(x) + \mathbf{A}_p(x, t))^2 \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle.$$
(A.15)

We will use the standard *dipole approximation* which physically means that the wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi c/\Omega$ is much greater than the size of the molecule. In this case, substituting (A.15), into (A.14), we get by (1.3),

$$H(A,B,C,t) \approx \frac{1}{2c^2} [B^2 + \Omega^2 A^2] + \hbar \omega_1 |C_1|^2 + \hbar \omega_2 |C_2|^2 + i \frac{\hbar}{2mc} (A + A_p(t)) \sum_{l,l'} \overline{C}_l C_{l'} P_{l,l'} + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} (\mathbf{A}(x_*) + \mathbf{A}_p(x_*,t))^2, \qquad (A.16)$$

where $x_* \in V$ is the location of the molecule, and

$$P_{l,l'} = e \Big[\langle \mathbf{X}(x) \varphi_l(x), \nabla \varphi_{l'}(x) \rangle - \langle \nabla \varphi_l(x), \mathbf{X}(x) \varphi_{l'}(x) \rangle \Big].$$
(A.17)

The last term on the right hand side of (A.16) is negligible compared to the first term because usually $\Omega^2 \gg \frac{e^2}{m}$. For example, $\Omega \approx 3 \times 10^{15} s^{-1}$ for the Ruby laser [16, 31], while $\frac{e^2}{m} \approx 3 \times 10^8 s^{-1}$. This is why the last term is traditionally neglected [29, Eq. (44.13)]; we will also neglect this term in the Hamiltonian. In the dipole approximation,

$$P_{l,l'} \approx P_{l,l'}^d = 2e\mathbf{X}(x_*)\langle \varphi_l(x), \nabla \varphi_{l'}(x) \rangle, \qquad P_{l,l'}^d = -\overline{P}_{l,l'}^d.$$
(A.18)

As a result, we take the Hamiltonian

$$H(A,B,C,t) = \frac{1}{2c^2} [B^2 + \Omega^2 A^2] + \hbar \omega_1 |C_1|^2 + \hbar \omega_2 |C_2|^2 + i \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} (A + A_p(t)) \sum_{l,l'} \overline{C}_l C_{l'} P_{l,l'}^d.$$
(A.19)

The commutation $[\mathbf{H}, x] = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \nabla$ implies the well-known identity [29, Eq. (44.20)]

$$e\langle\varphi_l,\nabla\varphi_{l'}\rangle = -\frac{em}{\hbar^2}\langle\varphi_l,[\mathbf{H},x]\varphi_{l'}\rangle = -\frac{em}{\hbar}[\omega_l - \omega_{l'}]\langle\varphi_l,x\varphi_{l'}\rangle = -\frac{m}{\hbar}\Delta_{ll'}\mathbf{P}^{ll'}, \quad \omega_{ll'} := \omega_l - \omega_{l'}. \quad (A.20)$$

We have $\mathbf{P}^{12} = \mathbf{P}^{21} = \mathbf{P} = e\langle \varphi_1, x\varphi_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^3$ since we can assume that both wave functions φ_l are real; here, **P** is the dipole moment (or polarization) of the molecule (this explains the term "dipole approximation"). Hence, (A.19) and (A.18) give

$$H(A, B, C, t) = \frac{1}{2c^2} [B^2 + \Omega^2 A^2] + \hbar \omega_1 |C_1|^2 + \hbar \omega_2 |C_2|^2 - \frac{2\omega p}{c} p[A + A_p(t)] \operatorname{Im}[\overline{C}_1 C_2],$$
(A.21)

where $p = \mathbf{X}(x_*)\mathbf{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{21}$. Now the Hamilton equations (A.10) read as (1.1).

References

- [1] L. Allen, J.H. Eberly, Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms, Dover, New York, 1987.
- [2] F.T. Arecchi, Chaos and generalized multistability in quantum optics, *Phys. Scr.* 9 (1985), 85–92.
- [3] F. Arecchi, R. Bonifacio, Theory of optical maser amplifiers, *EEE Journal of Quantum Electronics* 1 (1965), no. 4, 169–178.
- [4] A.V. Babin, M.I. Vishik, Attractors of Evolution Equations, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- [5] I. Bejenaru, D. Tataru, Global wellposedness in the energy space for the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 288 (2009), 145–198.
- [6] M.R. Candido, J. Llibre, D.D. Novaes, Persistence of periodic solutions for higher order perturbed differential systems via Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction, *Nonlinearity* **30** (2017), 35–60.
- [7] M.R. Candido, J. Llibre, Stability of periodic orbits in the averaging theory: Applications to Lorenz and Thomas' differential systems, *Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos* 28 (2018), 1830007–14.
- [8] M. R. Candido, J. Llibre, C. Valls, New symmetric periodic solutions for the Maxwell–Bloch differential system, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* 20 (2019), no. 16.
- [9] V.V. Chepyzhov, M.I. Vishik, Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics, AMS, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [10] C.C. Gerry, P.L. Knight, Introductory Quantum Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [11] V. Guillemin, A. Pollack, Differential Topology, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1974.

- [12] Y. Guo, K. Nakamitsu, W. Strauss, Global finite-energy solutions of the Maxwell–Schrödinger system, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 170 (1995), no. 1, 181–196.
- [13] H. Haken, Laser Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [14] A. Haraux, Nonlinear Evolution Equations Global Behavior of Solutions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 841, Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [15] R. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [16] W. Koechner, M. Bass, Solid-State Lasers, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [17] A. Komech, On parametric resonance in the laser action, 2022. arXiv:2208.10179 [quant-ph].
- [18] A.I. Komech, On quantum jumps and attractors of the Maxwell–Schrödinger equations, *Annales mathematiques du Quebec* **46** (2022), 139–159.
- [19] A. Komech, On absorbing set for 3D Maxwell–Schrödinger damped driven equations in bounded region, preprint, 2021. arXiv: 2104.10723.
- [20] A. Komech, Quantum Mechanics: Genesis and Achievements, Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.
- [21] A.I. Komech, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics and Attractors, World Scientific, Singapore, 2022.
- [22] A. Komech, E. Kopylova, Attractors of Hamiltonian Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [23] W.E. Lamb, jr, Theory of an Optical Maser, *Phys. Rev.* bf 134 (1964), no. 6a, A1429–A1450.
- [24] S. Lefschetz, Algebraic geometry, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1953.
- [25] H. Metcalf, Laser Cooling and Trapping, Springer, 1999.
- [26] I.I. Rabi, Space quantization in a gyrating magnetic field, *Physical Review* **51** (1937), no. 8, 652–654.
- [27] J.J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics, Pearson Education, Incorporated, 2006.
- [28] M. Sargent III, M.O. Scully, W.E. Lamb Jr, Laser Physics, Addison Wesley, Reading, 1978.
- [29] L.I. Schiff, Quantum Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [30] A.E. Siegman, Lasers, University Science Books, 1986.
- [31] W.T. Silfvast, Laser Fundamentals, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [32] M.O. Scully, M.S. Zubairy, Quantum Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [33] R. Temam, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [34] J. Wei, X. Wang, X. Geng, Periodic and rational solutions of the reduced Maxwell–Bloch equations, *Comm. Nonl. Sci. & Numer. Simulat.* **59** (2018), 1–14.
- [35] G.K. Woodgate, Elementary Atomic Structure, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2002.