

MToP: A MATLAB Optimization Platform for Evolutionary Multitasking

Yanchi Li, Wenyin Gong, *Member, IEEE*, Fei Ming, Tingyu Zhang, Shuijia Li, and Qiong Gu, *Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Evolutionary multitasking (EMT) has emerged as a popular topic of evolutionary computation over the past years. It aims to concurrently address multiple optimization tasks within limited computing resources, leveraging inter-task knowledge transfer techniques. Despite the abundance of multitask evolutionary algorithms (MTEAs) proposed for multitask optimization (MTO), there remains a comprehensive software platform to help researchers evaluate MTEA performance on benchmark MTO problems as well as explore real-world applications. To bridge this gap, we introduce the first open-source optimization platform, named MTO-Platform (MToP), for EMT. MToP incorporates over 40 MTEAs, more than 150 MTO problem cases with real-world applications, and over 20 performance metrics. Moreover, to facilitate comparative analyses between MTEAs and traditional evolutionary algorithms, we adapted over 40 popular single-task evolutionary algorithms to address MTO problems. MToP boasts a user-friendly graphical interface, facilitating results analysis, data export, and schematics visualization. More importantly, MToP is designed with extensibility in mind, allowing users to develop new algorithms and tackle emerging problem domains. The source code of MToP is available at <https://github.com/intLyc/MTO-Platform>.

Index Terms—Evolutionary multitasking, MATLAB optimization platform, multitask optimization problem, evolutionary algorithm.

I. INTRODUCTION

EVOLUTIONARY computation (EC), inspired by natural evolution, has experienced rapid growth owing to its effectiveness and efficiency. Evolutionary algorithms (EAs), the cornerstone of EC, have demonstrated remarkable success in addressing black-box optimization problems due to their robustness and user-friendly nature. Researchers have dedicated significant efforts to designing tailored EAs for various complex black-box optimization problems, including constrained optimization [1], [2], multi-objective optimization [3], [4], and combinatorial optimization [5], [6]. In recent years, driven by escalating computational demands and the emergence of cloud computing, there has been a growing emphasis on utilizing EAs to tackle multiple optimization tasks concurrently, known as evolutionary multitasking [7]. A multitask optimization (MTO) problem within the realm of EMT, comprising K

optimization tasks, aims to find solutions $(\mathbf{x}_1^*, \mathbf{x}_2^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K^*)$ for all tasks, which can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}_1^*, \mathbf{x}_2^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K^*) &= \arg \min [F_1(\mathbf{x}_1), F_2(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots, F_K(\mathbf{x}_K)], \\ \text{s.t. } \mathbf{x}_k &\in \Omega_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, K, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where Ω_k and F_k are the decision space and objective function of the k -th task. Note that for a multi-objective optimization task, the F_k contains multiple objective functions $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{M_k})$ and \mathbf{x}_k^* becomes a set of non-dominated solutions for the k -th task. For task k with upper and lower bounds L_k and U_k and dimension D_k , the solution \mathbf{x} of its decision space is typically mapped to the unified search space [8] as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \frac{\mathbf{x} - L_k}{U_k - L_k}. \quad (2)$$

In addition, the dimensionality of task k is expanded to $\max(D_1, \dots, D_K)$. During real function evaluation, dimensions and upper and lower bounds are linearly reproduced without loss of precision. EMT has found successful applications in various domains, including engineering scheduling [5], [9], nonlinear equation systems [10], feature selection in machine learning [11], anomaly detection [12], point cloud registration [13], [14], and reinforcement learning [15].

To expedite and enhance the concurrent resolution of multiple optimization tasks, researchers have endeavored to leverage task similarity to augment EAs with knowledge extraction and transfer techniques [16], [17]. Through knowledge transfer, EAs can effectively exploit implicit parallelism to achieve superior solutions across multiple tasks while conserving computational resources [18], [19]. The first attempt of EMT can be traced back to the multifactorial EA [8], which introduced an implicit knowledge representation via random mating among optimization tasks. Subsequently, numerous EAs tailored for MTO have emerged. These multitask evolutionary algorithms (MTEAs) adopt either a multifactorial framework utilizing a single population for multiple tasks [20], [21], or a multi-population framework allocating separate populations for each task [22], [23]. Moreover, to facilitate decision space mapping across different tasks, various techniques such as unified search space [8], [21], autoencoding [24], [25], affine transformation [26]–[28], and adversarial generative models [29] have been proposed in MTEAs. Given the significant impact of knowledge transfer on solving MTO problems, MTEAs with adaptive control strategies for knowledge transfer, such as similarity judgment [20], [30], [31], knowledge selection [23], [32], and historical feedback [33]–[35], have also been in-

This work was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 62076225, the Open Research Fund of the State Key Lab of Digital Manufacturing Equipment & Technology under Grant No. IMETKF2024020, and the Major Project of Hubei Province Science and Technology under Grant No. 2023BCA006. (*Corresponding author: Wenyin Gong*)

Y. Li, W. Gong, F. Ming, T. Zhang, and S. Li are with School of Computer Science, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China (Email: int_lyc@cug.edu.cn; wygong@cug.edu.cn).

Q. Gu is with School of Computer Engineering, Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang 441053, China.

investigated. Despite the proliferation of MTEAs proposed by researchers, there is currently no standardized programming language, code pattern, or software platform for EMT source codes. This presents challenges for newcomers entering the field of EMT and for researchers seeking to conduct convenient experimental comparisons of algorithms.

As the field of EMT continues to gain momentum, there is an urgent need for a convenient and user-friendly software platform to facilitate the benchmarking of MTEAs. Furthermore, accessible source code and platforms are indispensable for exploring the real-world applications of the EMT field. Open-source and user-friendly software platforms play a crucial role in fostering the advancement of a research field. In the field of EC, several popular and successful software platforms have significantly contributed to the development of EAs and evolutionary optimization. For instance, PlatEMO [36] is tailored for evolutionary multi-objective optimization and benchmarking multi-objective EAs, aiding researchers in designing EAs to address various types of multi-objective optimization problems. EvoX [37] is a distributed GPU-accelerated library that assists researchers in designing parallel EAs to expedite the resolution of complex optimization problems and reinforcement learning training. Evo-Panel [38] created a comprehensive dynamic visualization tool to illustrate the detailed procedures of different optimization algorithms in solving numerical benchmark functions. EDOLAB [39] is an optimization tool specifically for dynamic optimization.

However, unlike the traditional single-task EC field, solving MTO problems with MTEAs necessitates the simultaneous evolution of multiple optimization tasks. Especially important, the implementation of MTEAs requires incorporating inter-task solution space mapping and diverse knowledge transfer techniques. Additionally, the performance metrics in EMT are diverse, encompassing both single-task and multitask metrics. Specifically, single-task metrics evaluate the performance of each task in the MTO problem, while multitask metrics assess the overall performance of the MTO problem. These bring uncertainty to the pattern of results display and analysis. These distinctive requirements present significant challenges for the implementation of MTEAs in existing tools and platforms. Moreover, EMT has been extended to the subfields of many-task optimization [40], [41], multi-objective multitask optimization [42], [43], competitive multitask optimization [33], [44], and constrained multi-task optimization [45]. Consequently, researchers encounter difficulties in benchmarking MTEAs across various types of MTO problems.

To mitigate these challenges, we present MToP, an open-source MATLAB platform tailored for advancing the EMT field. MToP is designed to provide a comprehensive software platform for researchers to evaluate MTEAs on benchmark MTO problems and explore real-world applications. The reason for using MATLAB is that it is a widely used programming language in the field of EC. Moreover, MATLAB provides a user-friendly graphical interface and a powerful numerical computing environment, making it an ideal choice for developing MToP. More importantly, the existing MTEAs and MTO problems are mainly implemented in MATLAB, which can be directly integrated into MToP. The main contributions of

MToP are summarized as follows:

- 1) MToP features a user-friendly graphical user interface (GUI) comprising test, experiment, and data-process modules. These modules facilitate researchers in understanding problem characteristics, conducting comparative experiments, solving problems in parallel, statistically analyzing results, plotting result figures, and managing experimental data. Moreover, MToP offers a modular implementation of algorithms, problems and performance metrics. On top of these, it provides an extensive public application programming interface (API) with template functions for population initialization, function evaluation, evolutionary operators, and environmental selection.
- 2) MToP encompasses a wide array of algorithms, problems, and metrics, all accessible via a public API. Over 40 MTEAs are implemented, catering to single-objective, multi-objective, constrained, many-task, and competitive multitask types. Additionally, to facilitate comparative analyses between MTEAs and popular traditional EAs, MToP integrates more than 40 single-task EAs of diverse types. In terms of synthetic test problems, MToP incorporates over 150 benchmark MTO problems alongside several real-world applications. Lastly, MToP features a variety of multitask and single-task performance metrics, such as multitask score, objective value, hypervolume, and running time, providing comprehensive evaluation capabilities.
- 3) MToP is designed to be easily extended, allowing for the seamless addition of new algorithms, problems, and metrics. By adhering to established coding patterns and implementing functionality based on the public API, new code can be seamlessly integrated and utilized within MToP. Given its status as a completely open-source project, researchers have the opportunity to leverage existing algorithms, problems, and metrics as the foundation for novel ideas. Through the collaborative platform GitHub, MToP undergoes continuous updates and enhancements, ensuring that it remains at the forefront of EMT research¹.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II elaborates on the architecture of MToP. Section III describes approaches for performing experiments with MToP. Section IV introduces how to extend the contents of MToP. Finally, the conclusion and future work are given in Section V.

II. ARCHITECTURE OF MToP

MToP consists of key modules including the test, experiment, and data processing modules, which facilitate algorithm execution, visualization, and data analysis. These components allow for flexible experimentation through both GUI and command line interfaces. Following standardized code patterns, MToP integrates various algorithms and performance metrics.

A. Functional Modules

¹MToP has been continuously updated since Sep. 21, 2021, when it was uploaded to GitHub at <https://github.com/intLyc/MTO-Platform>.




Fig. 1. Examples of graphical display in the test module of MToP. (a) and (b) illustrate the landscapes of single-objective problems with different tasks in the one- and two-dimensional unified search space, respectively. (c) shows the feasible regions of a single-objective problem with different tasks in the two-dimensional unified search space. (d) depicts the Pareto front of a multi-objective problem with multiple tasks. (e) displays the convergence behavior of metrics after executing algorithms on problems.

1) *Test Module*: The test module is designed to assist researchers in analyzing the characteristics of MTO problems and algorithms. It facilitates running the selected algorithm on the chosen problem within MToP. The module includes visualization functions for both single-objective and multi-objective MTO problems. Users can select different options to display depending on the problem type, resulting in different visual outputs, as demonstrated below. In the case of single-objective MTO problems, the landscapes of the objective function with different tasks in the one- and two-dimensional unified search space can be depicted, as shown in Fig. 1 (a)-(b). For tasks with constraints, the feasible regions with different tasks in the two-dimensional unified search space can be visualized, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (c). Regarding multi-objective MTO problems, the Pareto front figures can be generated for multiple tasks, as depicted in Fig. 1 (d). Furthermore, the convergence behavior of metrics can be plotted after executing algorithms on problems, as exemplified in Fig. 1 (e).

In addition to static schematic presentations, MToP offers dynamic display utilities such as `DrawPopObj()` and `DrawPopDec()` to enable researchers to explore algorithm behavior. Figure 2 illustrates an example of population variation of MO-MFEA on CEC17-MTMO4, showcasing the evolution in both objective space and decision space. CEC17-MTMO4 is a multi-objective problem with two tasks, each comprising two objectives. The optimal Pareto set of the first task contains diversity dimension 1 with optimal value range $[0, 1]$ and convergence dimension $[2, 50]$ with optimal value 0.5. The optimal Pareto set of the second task contains diversity dimension 1 with optimal value range $[0, 1]$, convergence dimension $[2, 40]$ with optimal value 0.5, and convergence dimension $[41, 50]$ with optimal value 0.4. Through dynamic changes, researchers can intuitively grasp the algorithm's behavior and delve deeper into its dynamics.

In this example, during the initial phase of evolution (Gen=1), the population initialization is dispersed across the decision space and poorly situated in the objective space. As evolution progresses through the first and middle phases (Gen=100 to 200), individual gene knowledge transfer leads to populations swiftly converging to more favorable positions in the objective space, albeit at the expense of reduced diversity. Subsequently, in the middle and late stages (Gen=500 to 700),

the population gradually emphasizes diversity. By Gen=1000, MO-MFEA achieves the optimal Pareto frontier on the first task but becomes trapped in local optima on the second task. The schematics indicate that the population for the second task occupies a similar position in the decision space as the first task. However, these two tasks do not precisely overlap in the decision space. This observation suggests the presence of negative knowledge transfer, which could be contributing to this discrepancy. In MToP, the decision space population function applies not only to multi-objective problems but also to single-objective problems.

The workflow of API calls for executing an algorithm on a problem is depicted in Fig. 3. The process initiates with the `<Start_Button>` event in `<MTO_GUI.m>`, triggering the parameter setting API `SetParameter()` within the selected algorithm `<MFEA.m>`. Subsequently, it proceeds to the `Run()` function of the algorithm, which initially invokes the `Initialization()` API of the algorithm base class `<Algorithm.m>`, and then iterates through the function evaluation API `Evaluation()`. During the initialization phase, the parameter settings of the problem `<CEC17_MTP1.m>` to be solved are obtained via the problem base class `<Problem.m>`. Similarly, the `Evaluation()` function retrieves the specific optimization task object through the problem base class. Once the algorithm completes its execution, the GUI displays the resulting performance metrics by calling the metrics function `<Obj.m>` via the `CallMetric()` API.

All functions within MToP that execute algorithms, including subsequent experiment modules, adhere to this procedure. Additionally, both algorithm and problem parameters can be directly modified through the GUI of MToP.

2) *Experiment Module*: The experiment module offers functionalities for conducting comprehensive experiments and analyzing results. Users can execute multiple independent runs of various algorithms to tackle multiple MTO problems. Upon completion of the runs, data including experimental settings, objective values, and decision variables are recorded and stored. These data serve as the basis for generating metric results, conducting significance tests, and creating schematic plots.

Metrics functions operating on the data are categorized into




Fig. 2. Schematic of MO-MFEA's population on CEC17-MTMO4 in the objective space and decision space with the number of generations. The top row (a)-(f) shows the population in the objective space, while the bottom row (g)-(l) displays the population in the decision space.




Fig. 3. The application programming interface calling workflow of running an algorithm MFEA on a problem CEC17-MTP1 with metric Obj by MToP.




Fig. 4. schematic plotting by the experiment module of MToP. (a) Convergence plot of algorithms on problems. (b) Pareto front plot of multi-objective problems.

single-task and multitask metrics. The selection of single-task metrics presents the results of each task of the MTO problem in tabular format within MToP while choosing to multitask metrics displays the results of each MTO problem. Significance test results are also included in the table alongside the metric results. All table data can be exported in formats such as `tex`, `csv`, and `xlsx`, as demonstrated in Table I.

Convergence plots are generated based on the selected table data to facilitate the comparison of convergence behaviors among different algorithms, as depicted in Fig. 4 (a). The convergence plot function can be applied to both single- and multi-objective problems. Furthermore, for multi-objective MTO algorithms and problems, the Pareto front can be plotted, as illustrated in Fig. 4 (b).

TABLE I
RESULTS OF OBJ METRIC AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS WITH WILCOXON
RANK-SUM TEST OF 30 INDEPENDENT RUNS.

	GA	MFEA	MTEA-AD
CI-HS-T1	9.0426e-01 (6.16e-02)	8.4445e-01 (5.51e-02) +	9.3438e-01 (5.03e-02) =
CI-HS-T2	4.2400e+02 (4.90e+01)	2.8119e+02 (5.09e+01) +	3.1099e+02 (4.11e+01) =
CI-MS-T1	5.4562e+00 (1.52e+00)	5.8307e+00 (8.23e-01) -	4.5085e+00 (2.69e-01) +
CI-MS-T2	4.2474e+02 (6.45e+01)	3.1448e+02 (4.41e+01) +	3.1660e+02 (4.39e+01) =
CI-LS-T1	2.1167e+01 (1.82e-01)	2.0234e+01 (8.35e-02) +	2.1086e+01 (2.82e-01) =
CI-LS-T2	4.1476e+03 (6.34e+02)	4.3638e+03 (4.88e+02) =	4.5958e+03 (6.64e+02) -
PI-HS-T1	4.2186e+02 (5.27e+01)	6.6221e+02 (1.11e+02) -	4.4662e+02 (4.13e+01) -
PI-HS-T2	8.6994e+01 (1.93e+01)	8.9970e+01 (1.67e+01) =	1.2177e+02 (2.88e+01) -
PI-MS-T1	5.4736e+00 (1.42e+00)	4.9276e+00 (4.76e-01) =	4.7264e+00 (3.50e-01) +
PI-MS-T2	2.4152e+04 (1.00e+04)	1.0488e+04 (4.77e+03) +	9.1688e+03 (4.69e+03) +
PI-LS-T1	5.6540e+00 (1.88e+00)	1.9583e+01 (2.71e+00) -	5.3895e+00 (9.11e-01) =
PI-LS-T2	1.4062e+01 (2.48e+00)	1.9371e+01 (3.26e+00) -	5.1076e+00 (1.44e+00) +
NI-HS-T1	2.9452e+04 (1.49e+04)	9.7165e+03 (4.93e+03) +	1.3459e+04 (4.77e+03) +
NI-HS-T2	4.5183e+02 (6.26e+01)	3.7757e+02 (7.84e+01) +	3.8559e+02 (6.26e+01) +
NI-MS-T1	9.0462e-01 (6.19e-02)	9.3064e-01 (5.16e-02) =	9.8876e-01 (4.48e-02) -
NI-MS-T2	3.8662e+01 (3.97e+00)	2.7501e+01 (3.26e+00) +	2.4831e+01 (3.76e+00) +
NI-LS-T1	4.2895e+02 (5.41e+01)	7.4411e+02 (1.30e+02) -	4.6340e+02 (4.97e+01) =
NI-LS-T2	4.3308e+03 (5.19e+02)	4.5452e+03 (4.90e+02) =	4.7449e+03 (6.45e+02) -
+ / - =	Base	8 / 5 / 5	9 / 6 / 3

TABLE II
PROPERTIES OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA.

Property	Description
Reps	Repetitions number of independent runs
Algorithms	Algorithms data contains names and parameter settings
Problems	Problems data contains names and parameter settings
Results	Total results data contains Obj, CV, and Dec
RunTimes	Running time data of algorithms on problems
Metrics	Calculated metric results data

3) *Data Process Module*: Each unique execution within the experiment module is considered a data object and is saved to a data file named <MTOData.mat>. The settings and intermediate results of the experiment are stored in data properties, as illustrated in Table II. This saved data can subsequently be reloaded by the experiment module of MTOp.

With the data process module, the data can be merged or split, enabling data reuse and customization. The processing of data is categorized into three types: independent runs, algorithms, and problems. If several sets of data with fewer independent runs are available, the data can be merged to create new data with a larger number of independent runs. Similarly, in cases where existing data needs augmentation with new algorithms for comparison, it is unnecessary to re-execute all comparative experiments. Instead, only the new algorithms need to be executed, and the resulting data can be merged with the original data. Likewise, if not all algorithms within the data are required, the data can be split and re-merged accordingly. The data processing for problems follows a similar approach, wherein new problems can be added or deleted as needed.

In practical use, the specific operations for splitting and merging data are as follows:

- **Data Splitting**: If an experiment involves running both the MFEA and EMEA algorithms on same problems, the data can be split by selecting it in the <Data Tree> and clicking the <Algorithms Split> button to generate separate datasets for each algorithm. Similarly, data can

also be split by independent runs or problems using the respective options.

- **Data Merging**: If the MFEA and EMEA algorithms were run on same problems in separate experiments, the data can be merged by selecting both datasets and clicking the <Algorithms Merge> button, provided the settings are identical except for the algorithm. This process can also be used to merge datasets by independent runs or problems.

B. File Structure




Fig. 5. File structure of MTOp. The root directory contains the main script file <mto.m> and four subfolders: <Algorithms/>, <Problems/>, <Metrics/>, and <GUI/>.

In the root directory <MTO/> of MTOp, you'll find a script file named <mto.m> and four folders: <Algorithms/>, <Problems/>, <Metrics/>, and <GUI/>. The structure of these folders is depicted in Fig. 5.

The <Algorithms/> folder contains various categories of algorithms, utility functions, and the algorithm base class <Algorithm.m>. Specific algorithm files such as <MFEA.m>, <MO_MFEA.m>, and <GA.m> are stored within their respective classification folders. The <Algorithms/Utils/> folder provides public utility functions for generation operators, environmental selection approaches, constraint handling techniques, *etc*.

The <Problems/> folder houses various categories of problems, the base function folder, and the problem base class <Problem.m>. Specific problem files like <WCCI20_MTS01.m>, <CMT3.m>, and <CEC19_MaTMO6.m> are stored under their corresponding classification folders. Real-world application problem files are located in the <Real-World Application/> folder, while the <Problems/Base/> folder contains public base functions for problems.

The <Metrics/> folder contains all result evaluation metrics, organized into subfolders for single-objective <Metrics/Single-objective/> and multi-objective <Metrics/Multi-objective> optimization. Specific metric files include <Obj.m>, <Obj_MTS.m>, and <IGD.m>, among others.

Lastly, the <GUI/> folder houses all files used by the GUI of MToP. Among them, <MTO_GUI.m> serves as the main file of the GUI, while <MTO_CMD.m> provides functionality for executing experiments via the command line.

C. Code Pattern

TABLE III
PROPERTIES AND METHODS OF ALGORITHM BASE CLASS.

Property or method	Description
FE	Number of fitness function evaluations
Gen	Number of evolutionary generations
Best	Best individual found for single-objective optimization
Result	Result data contains Obj, CV, and Dec
getParameter()	Get customized parameters for algorithm object
setParameter()	Set customized parameters for algorithm object
notTerminated()	Determine whether to terminate and update result data
Evaluation()	Fitness function evaluation
run()	Executing algorithm

```

1 classdef Algo_Example1_MP < Algorithm
2   % <Multi-task> <Single-objective> <None/Constrained>
3
4   properties % set parameter
5     para_example = 0.5;
6   end
7
8   methods
9   function run(Algo, Prob)
10    % initialize multiple populations for all tasks
11    pops = Initialization(Algo, Prob, Individual);
12
13    while Algo.notTerminated(Prob, pops) % main loop
14      for t = 1:Prob.T % for each task
15        % generate new offspring
16        off(index1) = Generation(pops{t});
17        % knowledge transfer
18        off(index2) = KnowledgeTransfer(pops)
19        % evaluate the fitness of offspring
20        off = Algo.Evaluation(off, Prob, t);
21        % environmental selection
22        pops{t} = Selection(pops{t}, off);
23      end
24    end
25  end

```

Listing 1. Algorithm implementation example for multi-population EA.

1) *Algorithm*: All algorithms within MToP inherit from the algorithm base class <Algorithm.m>. The properties and methods of this base class are detailed in Table III. This base class encapsulates all functions that interface with the GUI, simplifying the implementation process for algorithms, which only need to focus on the evolutionary workflow itself. The MTEAs implemented in MToP are outlined in Table S-I of the supplementary files. Additionally, the single-task EAs are exclusively implemented using multi-population methods tailored for MTO problems. Specific algorithms belonging to this category are listed in Table S-II.

Listings 1 and 2 exemplify the implementation of multi-population and multifactorial algorithms respectively. The algorithm labels provided in the second line serve as identifiers for classification within the GUI. The run() function within each class orchestrates algorithm execution, with <Algo> representing the object itself and <Prob> denoting the problem object to be solved. Population initialization occurs at

```

1 classdef Algo_Example2_MF < Algorithm
2   % <Multi-task> <Multi-objective> <None/Constrained>
3
4   properties % set parameter
5     para_example = 0.2;
6   end
7
8   methods
9   function run(Algo, Prob)
10    % initialize populations for multifactorial evolution
11    population=Initialization_MF(Algo, Prob, Individual);
12
13    while Algo.notTerminated(Prob, pops) % main loop
14      % generate new offspring with random mating
15      off = Generation(population);
16      % evaluate based on offspring skill factor
17      for t = 1:Prob.T
18        idx = [off.MFfactor] == t;
19        off(idx) = Algo.Evaluation(off(idx), Prob, t);
20      end
21      % environmental selection
22      population = Selection(population, off);
23    end
24  end

```

Listing 2. Algorithm implementation example for multifactorial EA.

the outset of the run() function, utilizing either the multi-population or multifactorial method provided by MToP.

In Listing 1, the variable <pops> is of <cell> data type, housing multiple populations as <population1, population2, population3...>. Each individual within <pops> is an <Individual> object, containing properties such as objective value <Obj>, constraint violation <CV>, and decision variables <Dec>. Contrastingly, Listing 2 utilizes a list data type for the <population> variable, directly containing individuals as <individual1:factor1, individual2:factor1, individual3:factor2...>, along with an additional property <MFFactor>.

Subsequently, the primary loop commences with the invocation of the notTerminated() function, a component of the algorithm base class. This function oversees data updates and generation counting within the loop. During the main loop, distinct operations are carried out for offspring generation Generation(), offspring evaluation Evaluation(), and environmental selection Selection().

In the context of knowledge transfer, the multi-population algorithm requires the implementation of the KnowledgeTransfer() function to acquire knowledge from other tasks. Conversely, the multifactorial approach achieves knowledge transfer through random mating within the Generation() function. The Generation() function operates on the decision variables <Dec> of offspring individuals and is tailored to specific algorithms. Meanwhile, the Evaluation() function, embedded within the algorithm base class, automatically engages the problem object <Prob> for offspring fitness evaluation. This entails calculating the objective value <Obj> and constraint violation <CV> for all individuals. Following each loop iteration, the environmental selection function Selection() is invoked to update the new population. While MToP offers universal Selection() functions, specific algorithms also have the option to reimplement this function. Subsequently, the code progresses to the next loop and invokes notTerminated()

to document changes. The algorithmic structure in MToP is designed to accommodate all EAs for solving MTO problems.

TABLE IV
PROPERTIES AND METHODS OF PROBLEM BASE CLASS.

Property or method	Description
T	Number of optimization tasks
N	Population size for each task
M	Number of objectives for all tasks
D	Number of decision variable dimensions for all tasks
Fnc	Fitness function for all tasks
Lb	Lower bound of decision variables for all tasks
Ub	Upper bound of decision variables for all tasks
maxFE	Maximum fitness function evaluations
getRunParameter()	Get public parameters for problem object
getParameter()	Get customized parameters for problem object
setParameter()	Set customized parameters for problem object
setTasks()	Set optimization tasks
getOptimum()	Get optimal solutions for multi-objective optimization

```

1  classdef Prob_Example < Problem
2  % <Multi-task> <Multi-objective> <None>
3
4  methods
5  function Prob = Prob_Example(varargin)
6  Prob = Prob@Problem(varargin);
7  % set default maximum function evaluations
8  Prob.maxFE = 1000 * 100;
9  end
10 function setTasks(Prob)
11 Prob.T = 2;
12 % task 1
13 Prob.D(1) = 10; % variable dimensions
14 Prob.M(1) = 2; % objective number
15 Prob.Fnc{1} = @func1 % fitness function
16 Prob.Lb{1} = zeros(1, 10); % lower bound
17 Prob.Ub{1} = ones(1, 10); % upper bound
18 % task 2
19 Prob.D(2) = 20; % variable dimensions
20 Prob.M(2) = 3; % objective dimensions
21 Prob.Fnc{2} = @func2 % objective function
22 Prob.Lb{2} = [0, -ones(1, 19)]; % lower bound
23 Prob.Ub{2} = [1, ones(1, 19)]; % upper bound
24 end
25 function optimum = getOptimum(Prob)
26 % return optimum points for each task
27 optimum{1} = optimum_matrix1;
28 optimum{2} = optimum_matrix2;
29 end
30 end

```

Listing 3. Problem implementation example.

2) *Problem*: All problems within MToP inherit from the problem base class `<Problem.m>`. The properties and methods of `<Problem.m>` are detailed in Table IV. As dimensions and upper and lower boundaries may vary across tasks, MToP offers a default unified search space mapping approach [8]. It's important to note that while the unified search space approach serves as the default mapping method in MToP, alternative mapping techniques can also be implemented within specific algorithms.

A simple problem implementation example is illustrated in Listing 3. The maximum number of function evaluations, denoted as `<maxFE>`, for the problem can be specified within the class constructor. The function `setTasks()` is responsible for configuring the properties and evaluation function for each optimization task. Within this function, the number of tasks `<T>` is first defined, followed by a detailed setup for

each task. Subsequently, parameters such as decision variable dimensions `<D>`, objective dimensions `<M>`, fitness function `<Fnc>`, lower bound `<Lb>`, and upper bound `<Ub>` are set individually for each task. For problems involving multiple tasks, `<M>` and `<D>` are represented as `<vector>` type, while `<Fnc>`, `<Lb>`, and `<Ub>` are represented as `<cell>` type. Notably, the function `<Fnc>` for each task takes the decision variable `<Dec>` as input and returns objective values `<Obj>` along with the constraint violation value `<CV>`. Moreover, for multi-objective optimization, an external function `getOptimum()` is required to define optimal solutions (*i.e.*, reference points).

With these standardized problem code patterns, all fully defined problems can be solved using the corresponding types of algorithms in MToP. The existing problems available in MToP are enumerated in Table S-III of the supplementary files. In addition to MTO problems, MToP also encompasses some single-task problems, the specifics of which can be found in the source code repository, but are not discussed herein.

```

1  function result = Metric_Example(MTOData)
2  % <Metric> <Multi-objective>
3
4  result.Metric = 'Min';
5
6  % Data for shown in the GUI table
7  result.RowName = {MTOData.Problems.Name};
8  result.ColumnName = {MTOData.Algorithms.Name};
9  result.TableData = CalculateTableData(MTOData);
10
11 % Data for shown in the GUI convergence plot
12 result.ConvergeData = CalculateConvergeData(MTOData);
13
14 % Data for shown in the GUI Pareto plot
15 result.ParetoData = CalculateParetoData(MTOData);
16 end


```

Listing 4. Metric implementation example.

3) *Performance Metric*: Unlike the implementation of algorithm and problem classes, metric codes in MToP are defined as functions. An illustrative example of metric implementation is presented in Listing 4. The input parameter of the function is `<MTOData>`, which is generated during experimental execution. The function returns `<result>`, comprising properties such as `<Metric>`, `<RowName>`, `<ColumnName>`, `<TableData>`, `<ConvergeData>`, and `<ParetoData>`. The `<Metric>` property can take values of either 'Min' or 'Max', indicating whether a smaller or larger metric value is preferable. `<RowName>`, `<ColumnName>`, and `<TableData>` are utilized to present metric results in the GUI table. On the other hand, `<ConvergeData>` and `<ParetoData>` are employed to exhibit metric convergence results for convergence plots and non-dominated solutions for Pareto plots, respectively. Note that specific metric calculation functions are not elaborated here for the sake of simplicity. The metrics currently integrated into MToP are enumerated in Table V.

III. PERFORMING EXPERIMENTS WITH MToP

To launch MToP, start by running the script file `<mt.o.m>` located in the root directory. This action will initialize the MToP GUI interface, which is illustrated in Fig. 6. The



(a) Test Module

(b) Experiment Module

Fig. 6. Graphical user interface of MToP. The test module (a) is used for testing algorithms and problems. The graphical display is shown in the center section of the test module. The experiment module (b) is used for executing comparative experiments. The results of the experiment are displayed in the right section of the experiment module.

TABLE V

METRICS INCLUDED IN MToP. THE TABLE LISTS THE METRIC NAME, OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE, TASK TYPE, AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION. SO AND MO DENOTE SINGLE-OBJECTIVE AND MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION, RESPECTIVELY. ST AND MT REPRESENT SINGLE-TASK AND MULTI-TASK, RESPECTIVELY

Metric	Objective	Task	Description
Obj	SO	ST/MT	Objective value for each task
Obj (AV)	SO	MT	Average Obj for all tasks
Obj (UV)	SO	MT	Unified average Obj for all tasks
Obj (MTS)	SO	MT	Multitask score of Obj for all tasks
Obj (CMT)	SO	MT	Competitive multitask Obj for all tasks
Obj (NBR)	SO	MT	Number of best Obj result for all tasks
CV	SO	ST/MT	Constraint violation for each task
FR	SO	ST/MT	Feasible rate for each task
HV	MO	ST/MT	Hypervolume for each task
HV (MTS)	MO	MT	Multitask score of HV for all tasks
HV (CMT)	MO	MT	Competitive multitask HV for all tasks
IGD	MO	ST/MT	Inverted generational distance for each task
IGD (AV)	MO	MT	Average IGD for all tasks
IGD+ (MTS)	MO	MT	Multitask score of IGD+ for all tasks
IGD (CMT)	MO	MT	Competitive multitask IGD for all tasks
IGD+	MO	ST/MT	Improved IGD plus for each task
IGD+ (MTS)	MO	MT	Multitask score of IGD+ for all tasks
IGD+ (CMT)	MO	MT	Competitive multitask IGD+ for all tasks
Spread	MO	ST/MT	Spread metric for each task
Spread (CMT)	MO	MT	Competitive multitask spread for all tasks
Run Time	SO/MO	ST/MT	Algorithm running time for all tasks

GUI interface of MToP requires MATLAB R2020b or later versions to run, while command-line execution can be done with any version. In addition, if parallel execution is required, MATLAB Parallel Computing Toolbox is needed.

A. Testing Algorithms and Problems

As depicted in Fig. 6 (a), the test module interface is structured into left, center, and right sections. The left section facilitates the selection and configuration of algorithms and problems. Upon choosing the desired labels such as <Task>, <Objective>, and <Special> from the drop-down buttons, the options available for <Algorithm> and <Problem> are updated accordingly. Selecting specific algorithms and problems reveals their parameters in the edit box,

which can be edited by clicking on them. Once parameters are adjusted, the new values are applied to the algorithm and problem objects through the `setParameter()` method. Algorithm parameters typically include evolutionary operator settings and other customizable parameters, while problem parameters usually consist of population size $\langle N \rangle$, maximum function evaluations $\langle \max FE \rangle$, and other specific parameters.

In the center section of the MToP GUI, users can execute the test experiment and visualize the results graphically. Upon completion of the algorithm execution on the chosen problem, the metric results of the problem are displayed in the right section of the test module.

B. Executing Comparative Experiments

The GUI of the experiment module is displayed in Fig. 6 (b), featuring a three-column layout. The left and middle columns comprise the experimental setup, while the right column displays the results.

In the first column, users can configure the basic experiment settings. The <No. of Runs> textbox specifies the number of independent repeat runs for each algorithm on each problem. The <No. of Results> textbox determines the number of results saved during the evolutionary process of each algorithm, sampled uniformly according to the number of generations. The <Parallel> button toggles parallel processing, allowing independent experiments to run simultaneously across multiple process. The number of concurrent experiments depends on the number of processor cores. If the number of parallel experiments exceeds the available cores, MATLAB automatically handles the scheduling. For multiple algorithms and problems, each algorithm processes a problem in parallel. After one algorithm finishes, the next starts, repeating until all algorithms have processed the problem, then moving to the next problem. Hence, the number of algorithms and problems is not inherently limited. The drop-down buttons <Task>, <Objective>, and <Special> filter algorithms and problems based on predefined labels, similar to the test module. Users can add selected algorithms and problems by

clicking the <Add> button after choosing them from the respective drop-down boxes at the bottom.

In the second column, the names and parameters of the added algorithms and problems can be edited by clicking on them. Once all configurations are set, clicking the green <Start> button initiates the experiment execution. Users can also pause or stop the experiment using the <Pause> and <Stop> buttons if needed. Once the experiment is completed, the table's contents become available in the results area on the right part of the experiment module. Users can read and save the original data of the experiment using the <Load Data> and <Save Data> buttons.

In the results area, the first function row contains buttons for operating on the experimental data. The first button, labeled <Save Table>, exports the table results to `xlsx`, `csv`, and `tex` files. The subsequent buttons labeled <Converge> control the convergence `y-lim` types and generate convergence plots based on the selected results from the table. The <Pareto> button draws the Pareto front and non-dominated solutions based on the selected results.

The edit box controls the formatting type of the table result. Following this, the drop-down box allows users to select performance metrics, and subsequent drop-down boxes determine the result display type, statistical test method, base algorithm, and result highlighting type, respectively. After selecting the statistical test method, calculated statistical results denoted by “+ / - / =” followed by each metric result can also be displayed in the table. MToP offers two non-parametric methods, the Wilcoxon signed rank test and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test, for statistical tests. The base algorithm drop-down button controls the base algorithm in the statistical test, while the highlight button highlights the best and worst algorithm's metric results for each problem or task.

C. Executing via Alternative Command Line

In addition to GUI execution, MToP offers alternative options for conducting experiments via the command line. By inputting the algorithm list, problem list, and other parameters into the script file `<mt.o.m>`, experiments can be executed directly from the command line. For example, running the command `mt.o({MFEA, EMEA}, {CMT1, CMT2}, 30)` will execute the MFEA and EMEA algorithms on the CMT1 and CMT2 problems independently 30 times. Upon completion, the experiment data `<MTOData.mat>` is returned. Similarly, by inputting the data into the metrics function, users can obtain the calculated metric results.

IV. EXTENDING MToP

Users can seamlessly integrate new algorithms, problems, and performance metrics into MToP. By adhering to the code pattern outlined in Section II-C, users can develop and implement their code files with ease.

A. Adding New Algorithms

To incorporate a new algorithm into MToP, users should first generate a MATLAB class file within the

`<Algorithms/>` folder. This class file should inherit from the base class `<Algorithm.m>`. Subsequently, users can implement the algorithmic framework following the provided code pattern, as demonstrated in Listing 1. Utilizing functions such as `Initialization()`, `Generation()`, and `Selection()` provided by MToP is recommended. However, users can also choose to reimplement these functions to suit their specific requirements. Additionally, users seeking to create customizable parameters that can be modified via the MToP GUI should implement the `getParameter()` and `setParameter()` APIs within the new algorithm class file.

B. Adding New Problems

To integrate new problems into MToP, users should create a dedicated problem class file within the `<Problems/>` folder. This class file should inherit from the base class `<Problem.m>`. It's advisable to define the suggested maximum function evaluations `<maxFE>` within the constructor of the specific problem. Subsequently, users can refer to Listing 3 for guidance on configuring each optimization task within the `setTasks()` function. In cases where optimization tasks involve multi-objective optimization problems, users need to additionally implement the `getOptimum()` function to provide the optimal solution set or reference points. Lastly, for setting customizable parameters that can be modified within the GUI, users must also implement the `getParameter()` and `setParameter()` functions.

C. Adding New Performance Metrics

To incorporate new performance metrics into MToP, users should create a new metrics function file within the `<Metrics/>` folder. Follow the structure outlined in Listing 4 to receive the data structure object `<MTOData>` and return the calculated `<result>` object. For the metrics displayed in the GUI table, ensure that the `<RowName>`, `<ColumnName>`, and `<TableData>` fields are properly populated. Typically, the `<RowName>` for combined multitask metrics is the problem name, while metrics on individual tasks should return the name of each task. The `<ColumnName>` typically represents the algorithm name. Implement the calculation function for the specific performance metric in the `<TableData>` field. For plotting metric convergence, ensure the `<ConvergeData>` field is populated. In the case of multi-objective optimization, include non-dominated solutions in the `<ParetoData>` field.

D. Extending Optimization Types

There are also some areas, such as sequential transfer optimization and multiform optimization, in the evolutionary transfer optimization field similar to evolutionary multitasking [18]. Although MToP is currently focused on evolutionary multitasking, it is possible to extend the platform to include these new optimization types. Users can refer to the existing code patterns in MToP to develop new optimization types. Specifically, for the sequential transfer optimization, it is possible to set the target task as the first task and the remaining

tasks as source tasks for algorithms and problems. The metric calculation can be adjusted to evaluate the performance of the target task. For multiform optimization, it can dynamically construct multiform tasks within the implemented algorithms depending on the problem to be solved.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This paper introduces MToP, an open-source MATLAB platform designed for EMT. MToP features a user-friendly GUI, a rich collection of algorithms and problems, and convenient code structures. The current version of MToP contains over 40 MTEAs, more than 40 single-task EAs capable of handling MTO problems, over 150 benchmark MTO problems, and several real-world applications of EMT. This paper provides detailed descriptions of MToP's functional modules, file structure, and code patterns, as well as guidelines for conducting experiments using MToP.

While MToP has undergone careful reimplementations, modifications, and testing of included algorithms and problems, it may still contain some reproducing errors and bugs. Continuous efforts are underway to identify and rectify such issues, with updates regularly posted on GitHub. The platform encourages feedback and contributions from followers and researchers, with many codes already received and integrated from the community.

Moving forward, the development and enhancement of MToP will continue, drawing inspiration and contributions from the academic community. It is our aspiration that MToP evolves into a valuable tool to propel research in the field of EMT and, by extension, advance the broader evolutionary computation field. Specifically, MToP is considering the incorporation of many-objective optimization codes [4], [46], multitask combinatorial optimization codes [5], [6] and more real-world applications [9], [47] in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All algorithm, problem, and metric implementations within MToP adhere closely to the methodologies outlined in their respective articles. The code provided by the original authors undergoes modifications based on the source code during its integration into MToP.

The authors would like to thank the researchers who assisted in modifying the code into the MToP pattern and the researchers who open-sourced their codes. Some of the function implementations in MToP about multi-objective optimization are referred to the code implementation in PlatEMO [36], for which the authors are also thankful.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Wang, J.-P. Li, X. Xue, and B.-C. Wang, "Utilizing the correlation between constraints and objective function for constrained evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 29–43, 2020.
- [2] Y. Tian, T. Zhang, J. Xiao, X. Zhang, and Y. Jin, "A coevolutionary framework for constrained multiobjective optimization problems," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 102–116, 2021.
- [3] Q. Zhang and H. Li, "MOEA/D: A multiobjective evolutionary algorithm based on decomposition," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 712–731, 2007.
- [4] K. Deb and H. Jain, "An evolutionary many-objective optimization algorithm using reference-point-based nondominated sorting approach, part i: Solving problems with box constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 577–601, 2014.
- [5] L. Feng, L. Zhou, A. Gupta, J. Zhong, Z. Zhu, K.-C. Tan, and K. Qin, "Solving generalized vehicle routing problem with occasional drivers via evolutionary multitasking," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 3171–3184, 2021.
- [6] L. Feng, Y. Huang, L. Zhou, J. Zhong, A. Gupta, K. Tang, and K. C. Tan, "Explicit evolutionary multitasking for combinatorial optimization: A case study on capacitated vehicle routing problem," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 3143–3156, 2021.
- [7] T. Wei, S. Wang, J. Zhong, D. Liu, and J. Zhang, "A review on evolutionary multi-task optimization: Trends and challenges," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 941–960, 2022.
- [8] A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, and L. Feng, "Multifactorial evolution: Toward evolutionary multitasking," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 343–357, 2016.
- [9] A. Gupta, L. Zhou, Y.-S. Ong, Z. Chen, and Y. Hou, "Half a dozen real-world applications of evolutionary multitasking, and more," *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 49–66, 2022.
- [10] S. Li, W. Gong, R. Lim, Z. Liao, and Q. Gu, "Evolutionary multitasking for solving nonlinear equation systems," *Information Sciences*, vol. 660, p. 120139, 2024.
- [11] K. Chen, B. Xue, M. Zhang, and F. Zhou, "Evolutionary multitasking for feature selection in high-dimensional classification via particle swarm optimisation," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 446–460, 2022.
- [12] C. Wang, K. Wu, and J. Liu, "Evolutionary multitasking auc optimization," *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 67–82, 2022.
- [13] Y. Wu, H. Ding, M. Gong, A. K. Qin, W. Ma, Q. Miao, and K. C. Tan, "Evolutionary multiform optimization with two-stage bidirectional knowledge transfer strategy for point cloud registration," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 62–76, 2024.
- [14] Y. Wu, P. Gong, M. Gong, H. Ding, Z. Tang, Y. Liu, W. Ma, and Q. Miao, "Evolutionary multitasking with solution space cutting for point cloud registration," *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 110–125, 2024.
- [15] N. Zhang, A. Gupta, Z. Chen, and Y.-S. Ong, "Multitask neuroevolution for reinforcement learning with long and short episodes," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 1474–1486, 2023.
- [16] Z. Tan, L. Luo, and J. Zhong, "Knowledge transfer in evolutionary multi-task optimization: A survey," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 138, p. 110182, 2023.
- [17] A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, and L. Feng, "Insights on transfer optimization: Because experience is the best teacher," *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 51–64, 2018.
- [18] K. C. Tan, L. Feng, and M. Jiang, "Evolutionary transfer optimization - a new frontier in evolutionary computation research," *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 22–33, 2021.
- [19] S. Li, W. Gong, L. Wang, and Q. Gu, "Evolutionary multitasking via reinforcement learning," *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 762–775, 2024.
- [20] K. K. Bali, Y.-S. Ong, A. Gupta, and P. S. Tan, "Multifactorial evolutionary algorithm with online transfer parameter estimation: MFEA-II," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 69–83, 2020.
- [21] L. Zhou, L. Feng, K. C. Tan, J. Zhong, Z. Zhu, K. Liu, and C. Chen, "Toward adaptive knowledge transfer in multifactorial evolutionary computation," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2563–2576, 2021.
- [22] G. Li, Q. Lin, and W. Gao, "Multifactorial optimization via explicit multipopulation evolutionary framework," *Information Sciences*, vol. 512, pp. 1555–1570, 2020.
- [23] C. Wang, J. Liu, K. Wu, and Z. Wu, "Solving multi-task optimization problems with adaptive knowledge transfer via anomaly detection," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 304–318, 2022.
- [24] L. Feng, L. Zhou, J. Zhong, A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, K.-C. Tan, and A. K. Qin, "Evolutionary multitasking via explicit autoencoding," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 3457–3470, 2019.
- [25] L. Zhou, L. Feng, A. Gupta, and Y.-S. Ong, "Learnable evolutionary search across heterogeneous problems via kernelized autoencoding,"

- IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 567–581, 2021.
- [26] X. Xue, K. Zhang, K. C. Tan, L. Feng, J. Wang, G. Chen, X. Zhao, L. Zhang, and J. Yao, “Affine transformation-enhanced multifactorial optimization for heterogeneous problems,” *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 6217–6231, 2022.
- [27] W. Lin, Q. Lin, L. Feng, and K. C. Tan, “Ensemble of domain adaptation-based knowledge transfer for evolutionary multitasking,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 388–402, 2024.
- [28] W. Gao, J. Cheng, M. Gong, H. Li, and J. Xie, “Multiobjective multitasking optimization with subspace distribution alignment and decision variable transfer,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 818–827, 2022.
- [29] Z. Liang, Y. Zhu, X. Wang, Z. Li, and Z. Zhu, “Evolutionary multitasking for optimization based on generative strategies,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1042–1056, 2023.
- [30] Y. Jiang, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, “A bi-objective knowledge transfer framework for evolutionary many-task optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1514–1528, 2023.
- [31] X. Wu, W. Wang, H. Yang, H. Han, and J. Qiao, “Multitasking feedback optimization algorithm based on an evolutionary state estimator,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, pp. 1–16, 2024.
- [32] Z. Liang, X. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Tu, and Z. Zhu, “Evolutionary many-task optimization based on multi-source knowledge transfer,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 319–333, 2022.
- [33] G. Li, Q. Zhang, and Z. Wang, “Evolutionary competitive multitasking optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 278–289, 2022.
- [34] J. Lin, H.-L. Liu, K. C. Tan, and F. Gu, “An effective knowledge transfer approach for multiobjective multitasking optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 3238–3248, 2021.
- [35] Z. Tang, M. Gong, Y. Xie, H. Li, and A. K. Qin, “Multi-task particle swarm optimization with dynamic neighbor and level-based inter-task learning,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, pp. 1–15, 2021.
- [36] Y. Tian, R. Cheng, X. Zhang, and Y. Jin, “PlatEMO: A MATLAB platform for evolutionary multi-objective optimization [educational forum],” *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 73–87, 2017.
- [37] B. Huang, R. Cheng, Z. Li, Y. Jin, and K. C. Tan, “EvoX: A distributed GPU-accelerated framework for scalable evolutionary computation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12457*, 2023.
- [38] S.-Y. Kuo, Y.-C. Jiang, C.-Y. Hua, Y.-H. Chou, and S.-Y. Kuo, “Evo-Panel: Dynamic visualization tool for optimization process,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 1717–1732, 2023.
- [39] M. Peng, Z. She, D. Yazdani, W. Luo, C. Li, J. Branke, T. T. Nguyen, A. H. Gandomi, Y. Jin, and X. Yao, “Evolutionary dynamic optimization laboratory: A MATLAB optimization platform for education and experimentation in dynamic environments,” 2023.
- [40] Y. Chen, J. Zhong, L. Feng, and J. Zhang, “An adaptive archive-based evolutionary framework for many-task optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 369–384, 2020.
- [41] R.-T. Liaw and C.-K. Ting, “Evolutionary manytasking optimization based on symbiosis in biocoenosis,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 33, no. 01, pp. 4295–4303, Jul. 2019.
- [42] A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, L. Feng, and K. C. Tan, “Multiobjective multifactorial optimization in evolutionary multitasking,” *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1652–1665, 2017.
- [43] F. Gao, W. Gao, L. Huang, J. Xie, H. Li, and M. Gong, “Evolutionary multitasking optimization enhanced by geodesic flow kernel,” *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, pp. 1–10, 2023.
- [44] Y. Li, W. Gong, and S. Li, “Evolutionary competitive multitasking optimization via improved adaptive differential evolution,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 217, p. 119550, 2023.
- [45] —, “Evolutionary constrained multi-task optimization: Benchmark problems and preliminary results,” in *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, ser. GECCO ’22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2022, p. 443–446.
- [46] R. Cheng, Y. Jin, M. Olhofer, and B. Sendhoff, “A reference vector guided evolutionary algorithm for many-objective optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 773–791, 2016.
- [47] B. Huang, R. Cheng, Z. Li, Y. Jin, and K. C. Tan, “EvoX: A distributed GPU-accelerated framework for scalable evolutionary computation,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 1–1, 2024.

Supplementary Files for “MToP: A MATLAB Optimization Platform for Evolutionary Multitasking”

Yanchi Li, Wenyin Gong, *Member, IEEE*, Fei Ming, Tingyu Zhang, Shuijia Li, and Qiong Gu, *Member, IEEE*

TABLE S-I

MULTITASK EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS INCLUDED IN MToP. THE TABLE LISTS THE ALGORITHM NAME, YEAR OF PUBLICATION, PUBLICATION VENUE, OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE, TASK TYPE, FRAMEWORK, CONSTRAINT HANDLING, AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION. SO AND MO DENOTE SINGLE-OBJECTIVE AND MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION, RESPECTIVELY. MT AND MAT REPRESENT MULTI-TASK AND MANY-TASK, RESPECTIVELY. MF AND MP REPRESENT MULTI-TASK AND MULTI-POPULATION, RESPECTIVELY.

Algorithm	Year	Publication	Objective	Task	Framework	Constraint	Description
MFEA [1]	2016	TEVC	SO	MT	MF	✓	Multifactorial evolution-based GA for multitask optimization
LDA-MFEA [2]	2017	CEC	SO	MT	MF		Linearized domain adaptation in MFEA
MFDE&MFPSO [3]	2017	CEC	SO	MT	MF	✓	Multifactorial evolution-based DE and PSO
G-MFEA [4]	2019	TEVC	SO	MT	MF		Generalized MFEA with decision variable translation and shuffling
MFEA-GHS [5]	2019	ESWA	SO	MT	MF	✓	Genetic Transform and hyper-rectangle search in MFEA
MFEA-DV [6]	2019	CEC	SO	MT	MF	✓	Enhanced MFEA with cross-task search direction
MFEA-II [7]	2020	TEVC	SO	MT	MF	✓	MFEA with online transfer parameter estimation
SREMT0 [8]	2020	TEVC	SO	MT	MF	✓	Self-regulated multitask framework for varying relatedness among tasks
TLTLA [9]	2020	FNS	SO	MT	MF	✓	MFEA with two-level inter- and intra-task transfer learning
MFEA-AKT [10]	2021	TCYB	SO	MT	MF		MFEA with adaptive knowledge transfer via multiple crossover operator
ASCMFDE [11]	2021	TEVC	SO	MT	MF	✓	Intertask transfer in aligned subspace for MFDE
AT-MFEA [12]	2022	TCYB	SO	MT	MF	✓	Affine transformation-enhanced domain adaptation for MFEA
MFEA-DGD [13]	2022	TCYB	SO	MT	MF		MFEA based on diffusion gradient descent
IMEA [14]	2018	GECCO	SO	MT	MP	✓	Multi-population framework for multitask optimization through island model
EMEA [15]	2019	TCYB	SO	MT	MP	✓	Explicit knowledge transfer for multitask optimization via autoencoding
MFMP [16]	2020	INS	SO	MT	MP	✓	Multi-population-based adaptive DE for multitask optimization
DEORA [17]	2022	TEVC	SO	MT	MP		Adaptive task selection for competitive multitask optimization
MKTDE [18]	2022	TEVC	SO	MT	MP	✓	Meta-knowledge transfer-based multitask DE
MTEs [19]	2022	TCYB	SO	MT	MP	✓	Multitask OpenAI-ES via gradient-free evolution multitasking
BLKT-DE [20]	2023	TCYB	SO	MT	MP	✓	Multitask DE with block-level knowledge transfer
MTSRA [21]	2023	ESWA	SO	MT	MP		Improved adaptive DE with competitive task selection
CEDA [22]	2024	SWEC	SO	MT	MF/MP	✓	Constrained multitasking via co-evolution and domain adaptation
MTEA-AD [23]	2022	TEVC	SO	MT/MaT	MP	✓	MTEA with adaptive knowledge transfer via anomaly detection
MTEs-KG [24]	2023	TEVC	SO	MT/MaT	MP	✓	Multitask ES with knowledge-guided external sampling
BoKT [25]	2022	TEVC	SO	MaT	MP		Bi-objective knowledge transfer framework for many-task optimization
TRADE [26]	2023	TCYB	SO	MaT	MP	✓	Transferable adaptive parameter DE for many-task optimization
MTEA-SaO [27]	2023	INS	SO/MO	MT/MaT	MP	✓	Adaptive solver multitask framework with implicit knowledge transfer
KR-MTEA [28]	2023	INS	SO/MO	MT/MaT	MP	✓	Adaptive multi-task EA based on knowledge reuse
SBO [29]	2019	AAAI	SO/MO	MaT	MP	✓	Symbiosis in biocoenosis framework for many-task optimization
MaTDE [30]	2020	TETCI	SO/MO	MaT	MP	✓	Many-task DE with adaptive archive-based knowledge transfer
EMaTO-MKT [31]	2022	TEVC	SO/MO	MaT	MP		Multi-source knowledge transfer via local distribution estimation
MO-MFEA [32]	2017	TCYB	MO	MT	MF	✓	Multiobjective MFEA with non-dominated sort and crowding distance
MO-MFEA-II [33]	2021	TCYB	MO	MT	MF	✓	Cognizant Multitasking for parameter estimation in MO-MFEA
EMT-PD [34]	2021	TSMC-S	MO	MT	MF	✓	Two-stage adaptive knowledge transfer based on population distribution
EMT-GS [35]	2023	TEVC	MO	MT	MF	✓	Generative adversarial networks for knowledge transfer
MM-DE [36]	2018	CEC	MO	MT	MP	✓	Fast memetic DE for multiobjective multitask optimization
AMT-NSGA-II [37]	2019	TCYB	MO	MT	MP	✓	Curbing negative influences online for seamless transfer
EMT-ET [38]	2021	TCYB	MO	MT	MP	✓	Effective knowledge transfer approach via non-dominated sort
MOMFEA-SADE [39]	2022	TCYB	MO	MT	MP	✓	Subspace alignment and adaptive Differential Evolution
MTEA-D-DN [40]	2023	TEVC	MO	MT	MP		Neighborhood as a bridge for decomposition-based knowledge transfer
MTEA-D-TSD [41]	2024	GECCO	MO	MT	MP		Transfer search directions among decomposed subtasks
MTDE-MKTA [42]	2024	TEVC	MO	MT	MP	✓	Multitask DE with multiple knowledge types and transfer adaptation

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, and L. Feng, “Multifactorial evolution: Toward evolutionary multitasking,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 343–357, 2016.
- [2] K. K. Bali, A. Gupta, L. Feng, Y. S. Ong, and T. P. Siew, “Linearized domain adaptation in evolutionary multitasking,” in *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2017, pp. 1295–1302.
- [3] L. Feng, W. Zhou, L. Zhou, S. W. Jiang, J. H. Zhong, B. S. Da, Z. X. Zhu, and Y. Wang, “An empirical study of multifactorial PSO and multifactorial DE,” in *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2017, pp. 921–928.
- [4] J. Ding, C. Yang, Y. Jin, and T. Chai, “Generalized multi-tasking for evolutionary optimization of expensive problems,” *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 44–58, 2019.
- [5] Z. Liang, J. Zhang, L. Feng, and Z. Zhu, “A hybrid of genetic transform and hyper-rectangle search strategies for evolutionary multi-tasking,” *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 138, 2019.

TABLE S-II

SINGLE-TASK EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHMS FOR MULTITASK OPTIMIZATION INCLUDED IN MTOP. THE TABLE LISTS THE ALGORITHM NAME, YEAR OF PUBLICATION, PUBLICATION VENUE, OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE, TASK TYPE, CATEGORY, CONSTRAINT HANDLING, AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION. SO AND MO DENOTE SINGLE-OBJECTIVE AND MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION, RESPECTIVELY. GA DENOTES GENETIC ALGORITHM, DE DENOTES DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION, SI DENOTES SWARM INTELLIGENCE, ES DENOTES EVOLUTION STRATEGY, CH DENOTES CONSTRAINT HANDLING, ND DENOTES NON-DOMINATED SORTING, HV DENOTES HYPERVOLUME, DC DENOTES DECOMPOSITION, AND RV DENOTES REFERENCE VECTOR.

Algorithms	Year	Publication	Objective	Task	Category	Constraint	Description
GA [43]	1996	CS	SO	ST/MT	GA	✓	Genetic algorithm with SBX crossover and polynomial mutation
Global-GA [44]	2024	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	GA	✓	GA with global crossover to replace cooperative coevolution
DE [45]	1996	NAFIP	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Differential evolution algorithm with DE/rand/1/bin operator
jDE [46]	2006	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Self-adapting control parameters in DE
JADE [47]	2009	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Adaptive DE with fast convergence performance
rank-DE [48]	2013	TCYB	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Ranking-based mutation operators for DE
SHADE [49]	2013	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Success-history based parameter adaptation for DE
L-SHADE [50]	2014	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Linear population size reduction for SHADE
LSHADE44 [51]	2017	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	L-SHADE with competing strategies applied to constrained optimization
CAL-SHADE [52]	2017	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Adaptive constraint handling technique for L-SHADE
jSO [53]	2017	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Champion of CEC 2017 numerical optimization competition via improved DE
NL-SHADE-RSP [54]	2021	CEC	SO	ST/MT	DE	✓	Champion of CEC 2021 numerical optimization competition via improved DE
PSO [55]	1995	ICNN	SO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Particle swarm optimization with global and particle best update
CSO [56]	2015	TCYB	SO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Competitive swarm optimizer for large-scale optimization
MPA [57]	2020	ESWA	SO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Marine predators algorithm for numerical optimization
EO [58]	2020	KBS	SO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Equilibrium optimizer for numerical optimization
AO [59]	2021	CIE	SO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Aquila optimizer for numerical optimization
KLDE&KLPSO [60]	2023	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	DE/SI	✓	Knowledge learning-based DE and PSO for numerical optimization
CMA-ES [61]	2001	ECJ	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Evolution strategy with derandomized covariance matrix adaptation
IPOP-CMA-ES [62]	2005	CEC	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Restart CMA-ES with increasing population size
sep-CMA-ES [63]	2008	PPSN	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Separable CMA-ES for large-scale optimization
xNES-as [64]	2012	GECCO	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Natural ES with adaptation sampling
R1-NES [65]	2013	GECCO	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	A Linear Time natural ES for non-separable functions
xNES&SNES [66]	2014	JMLR	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	ES with adaptive natural gradients to update distribution
OpenAI-ES [67]	2017	ArXiv	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Parallelized ES with standard normal distribution gradients
DES [68]	2020	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Matrix-free covariance matrix adaptation ES
MTV-DE [69]	2007	EngOpt	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Multiple trial vectors in DE for handling constraints
ECHT [70]	2010	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Ensemble of constraint handling techniques
FROFI [71]	2016	TCYB	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Incorporating objective function information into the feasibility rule
C2oDE [72]	2019	TSMC-S	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Composite DE for constrained optimization
CORCO [73]	2020	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Utilizing the correlation between constraints and objective function
DeCODE [74]	2021	TSMC-S	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Decomposition-based multiobjective approach for constrained optimization
VMCH [75]	2022	TEVC	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Voting-mechanism-based ensemble of constraint handling techniques
CEDE-DRL [76]	2023	SWEC	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Deep reinforcement learning assisted co-evolutionary DE
CEEF-ECHT [77]	2024	TSMC-S	SO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Competitive and cooperative ensemble of constraint handling techniques
SPEA2 [78]	2001	EUROGEN	MO	ST/MT	ND	✓	Improving the strength Pareto approach for multiobjective optimization
NSGA-II [79]	2002	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	ND	✓	Multiobjective GA with non-dominated sort and crowding distance
NSGA-III [80]	2014	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	ND	✓	Reference-point-based nondominated sorting for multiobjective optimization
SMS-EMOA [81]	2007	EJOR	MO	ST/MT	HV	✓	Hypervolume-based selection for multiobjective optimization
MO-CMA-ES [82]	2007	ECJ	MO	ST/MT	ES	✓	Covariance matrix adaptation for multiobjective optimization
MOEA/D [83]	2007	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	DC	✓	Decomposition-based multiobjective evolutionary algorithm
MOEA/D-DE [84]	2009	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	DC	✓	MOEA/D for complex Pareto sets in multiobjective optimization
RVEA [85]	2016	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	RV	✓	Reference vector-guided EA for many-objective optimization
CCMO [86]	2021	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	CH	✓	Coevolutionary framework for constrained multiobjective optimization
LMOCSO [87]	2020	TCYB	MO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Efficient large-scale multiobjective optimization based on CSO
CMOCSO [88]	2022	TEVC	MO	ST/MT	SI	✓	Competitive and cooperative swarm optimizer for multiobjective optimization

- [6] J. Yin, A. Zhu, Z. Zhu, Y. Yu, and X. Ma, "Multifactorial evolutionary algorithm enhanced with cross-task search direction," *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 2244–2251, 2019.
- [7] K. K. Bali, Y.-S. Ong, A. Gupta, and P. S. Tan, "Multifactorial evolutionary algorithm with online transfer parameter estimation: MFEA-II," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 69–83, 2020.
- [8] X. Zheng, A. K. Qin, M. Gong, and D. Zhou, "Self-regulated evolutionary multitask optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 16–28, 2020.
- [9] X. Ma, Q. Chen, Y. Yu, Y. Sun, L. Ma, and Z. Zhu, "A two-level transfer learning algorithm for evolutionary multitasking," *Frontiers in neuroscience*, vol. 13, p. 1408, 2020.
- [10] L. Zhou, L. Feng, K. C. Tan, J. Zhong, Z. Zhu, K. Liu, and C. Chen, "Toward adaptive knowledge transfer in multifactorial evolutionary computation," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 2563–2576, 2021.
- [11] Z. Tang, M. Gong, Y. Wu, W. Liu, and Y. Xie, "Regularized evolutionary multitask optimization: Learning to intertask transfer in aligned subspace," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 262–276, 2021.
- [12] X. Xue, K. Zhang, K. C. Tan, L. Feng, J. Wang, G. Chen, X. Zhao, L. Zhang, and J. Yao, "Affine transformation-enhanced multifactorial optimization for heterogeneous problems," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 6217–6231, 2022.
- [13] Z. Liu, G. Li, H. Zhang, Z. Liang, and Z. Zhu, "Multifactorial evolutionary algorithm based on diffusion gradient descent," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, pp. 1–13, 2023.
- [14] R. Hashimoto, H. Ishibuchi, N. Masuyama, and Y. Nojima, "Analysis of evolutionary multi-tasking as an island model," in *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, ser. GECCO '18. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2018, p. 1894–1897.

TABLE S-III

BENCHMARK AND REAL-WORLD OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS INCLUDED IN MTOPT. THE TABLE LISTS THE PROBLEM NAME, CASE NUMBER, TASK TYPE, DIMENSION, OPTIMIZATION OBJECTIVE, TASK TYPE, SPECIAL CASE, AND A BRIEF DESCRIPTION. SO AND MO DENOTE SINGLE-OBJECTIVE AND MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMIZATION, RESPECTIVELY. ST, MT AND MAT REPRESENT SINGLE-TASK, MULTI-TASK AND MANY-TASK, RESPECTIVELY.

Problem	Case	Task	Dimension	Objective	Task	Special	Description
CEC17-MTSO [89]	9	2	50	SO	MT		Complete/partial/no global optima interaction and high/medium/low inter-task similarity
CEC19-MaTSO [90]	6	2-50	50	SO	MaT		Single-objective many-task optimization problems
WCCI20-MTSO [91]	10	2	50	SO	MT		Complex single-objective multitask optimization problems
WCCI20-MaTSO [91]	10	2-50	50	SO	MaT		Complex single-objective many-task optimization problems
CMT [92]	9	2	Any	SO	MT	Constrained	Large/partial/no feasible regions interaction and different inter-task similarity
C2TOP&C4TOP [17]	51	2/4	50	SO	MT	Competitive	Different optimal objective values among competitive tasks
C-CPLX [21]	20	2	50	SO	MT	Competitive	Complex competitive multitask optimization problems
CEC17-MTMO [93]	9	2	50	MO	MT		Complete/partial/no global optima interaction and high/medium/low inter-task similarity
CEC19-MTMO [90]	10	2	50	MO	MT		Complex multi-objective multitask optimization problems
CEC19-MaTMO [90]	10	2-50	50	MO	MaT		Multi-objective many-task optimization problems
WCCI20-MaTMO [91]	10	2-50	50	MO	MaT		Complex multi-objective many-task optimization problems
CEC21-MTMO [94]	10	2	50	MO	MT		More complex multi-objective multi optimization problems
Synthetic Functions	9	1	Any	SO	ST		Ackley, Elliptic, Griewank, Rastrigin, Rosenbrock Schwefel, Sphere, Weierstrass
CEC06-CSO [95]	24	1	2-24	SO	ST	Constrained	CEC 2006 competition on constrained single-objective optimization
CEC10-CSO [96]	18	1	10/30	SO	ST	Constrained	CEC 2010 competition on constrained single-objective optimization
CEC17-CSO [97]	28	1	2-100	SO	ST	Constrained	CEC 2017 competition on constrained single-objective optimization
CEC17-SO [98]	29	1	2-100	SO	ST		CEC 2017 competition on bound constrained single-objective optimization
CEC20-RWCO [99]	57	1	2-158	SO	ST	Constrained	CEC 2020 competition on real-world constrained single-objective optimization
CEC22-SO [100]	12	1	10/20	SO	ST		CEC 2022 competition on bound constrained single-objective optimization
PKACP [25]	1	Any	Any	SO	MaT		Planar kinematic arm control problem as many-task optimization problem
SCP [17]	1	Any	60-120	SO	MT	Competitive	Sensor coverage problem as competitive multitask optimization problem
PEPVM [27]	1	3	7	SO	MT		Parameter extraction of photovoltaic models as multitask optimization problem
OPF [24]	5	2	24/33	SO	MT	Constrained	Optimal power flow as constrained multitask optimization problem
SOPM [42]	2	3	30	MO	MT	Constrained	Synchronous optimal pulse-width modulation as constrained multiobjective multitask problem
MO-OPF [42]	4	2	24/33	MO	MT	Constrained	Multiobjective optimal power flow as constrained multiobjective multitask problem
MO-SCP [27]	1	Any	60-120	MO	MT	Competitive	Multiobjective sensor coverage problem as competitive multiobjective multitask problem

- [15] L. Feng, L. Zhou, J. Zhong, A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, K.-C. Tan, and A. K. Qin, "Evolutionary multitasking via explicit autoencoding," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 49, no. 9, pp. 3457–3470, 2019.
- [16] G. Li, Q. Lin, and W. Gao, "Multifactorial optimization via explicit multipopulation evolutionary framework," *Information Sciences*, vol. 512, pp. 1555–1570, 2020.
- [17] G. Li, Q. Zhang, and Z. Wang, "Evolutionary competitive multitasking optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 278–289, 2022.
- [18] J.-Y. Li, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, "A meta-knowledge transfer-based differential evolution for multitask optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 719–734, 2022.
- [19] L. Bai, W. Lin, A. Gupta, and Y.-S. Ong, "From multitask gradient descent to gradient-free evolutionary multitasking: A proof of faster convergence," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 8561–8573, 2022.
- [20] Y. Jiang, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, "Block-level knowledge transfer for evolutionary multitask optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- [21] Y. Li, W. Gong, and S. Li, "Evolutionary competitive multitasking optimization via improved adaptive differential evolution," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 217, p. 119550, 2023.
- [22] T. Zhang, D. Li, Y. Li, and W. Gong, "Constrained multitasking optimization via co-evolution and domain adaptation," *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 87, p. 101570, 2024.
- [23] C. Wang, J. Liu, K. Wu, and Z. Wu, "Solving multi-task optimization problems with adaptive knowledge transfer via anomaly detection," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 304–318, 2022.
- [24] Y. Li, W. Gong, and S. Li, "Multitask evolution strategy with knowledge-guided external sampling," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 1–1, 2023.
- [25] Y. Jiang, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, "A bi-objective knowledge transfer framework for evolutionary many-task optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1514–1528, 2023.
- [26] S.-H. Wu, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, "Transferable adaptive differential evolution for many-task optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 7295–7308, 2023.
- [27] Y. Li, W. Gong, and S. Li, "Multitasking optimization via an adaptive solver multitasking evolutionary framework," *Information Sciences*, vol. 630, pp. 688–712, 2023.
- [28] Z. Cui, B. Zhao, T. Zhao, X. Cai, and J. Chen, "Adaptive multi-task evolutionary algorithm based on knowledge reuse," *Information Sciences*, vol. 648, p. 119568, 08 2023.
- [29] R.-T. Liaw and C.-K. Ting, "Evolutionary manytasking optimization based on symbiosis in biocenosis," *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 33, no. 01, pp. 4295–4303, Jul. 2019.
- [30] Y. Chen, J. Zhong, L. Feng, and J. Zhang, "An adaptive archive-based evolutionary framework for many-task optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computational Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 369–384, 2020.
- [31] Z. Liang, X. Xu, L. Liu, Y. Tu, and Z. Zhu, "Evolutionary many-task optimization based on multi-source knowledge transfer," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 319–333, 2022.
- [32] A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, L. Feng, and K. C. Tan, "Multiobjective multifactorial optimization in evolutionary multitasking," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1652–1665, 2017.
- [33] K. K. Bali, A. Gupta, Y.-S. Ong, and P. S. Tan, "Cognizant multitasking in multiobjective multifactorial evolution: MO-MFEA-II," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 1784–1796, 2021.
- [34] Z. Liang, W. Liang, Z. Wang, X. Ma, L. Liu, and Z. Zhu, "Multiobjective evolutionary multitasking with two-stage adaptive knowledge transfer based on population distribution," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 4457–4469, 2022.
- [35] Z. Liang, Y. Zhu, X. Wang, Z. Li, and Z. Zhu, "Evolutionary multitasking for optimization based on generative strategies," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1042–1056, 2023.

- [36] Y. Chen, J. Zhong, and M. Tan, "A fast memetic multi-objective differential evolution for multi-tasking optimization," in *2018 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [37] B. Da, A. Gupta, and Y.-S. Ong, "Curbing negative influences online for seamless transfer evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 4365–4378, 2019.
- [38] J. Lin, H.-L. Liu, K. C. Tan, and F. Gu, "An effective knowledge transfer approach for multiobjective multitasking optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 3238–3248, 2021.
- [39] Z. Liang, H. Dong, C. Liu, W. Liang, and Z. Zhu, "Evolutionary multitasking for multiobjective optimization with subspace alignment and adaptive differential evolution," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 2096–2109, 2022.
- [40] X. Wang, Z. Dong, L. Tang, and Q. Zhang, "Multiobjective multitask optimization - neighborhood as a bridge for knowledge transfer," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 155–169, 2023.
- [41] Y. Li, W. Gong, and Q. Gu, "Transfer search directions among decomposed subtasks for evolutionary multitasking in multiobjective optimization," in *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*, ser. GECCO '24, 2024.
- [42] Y. Li and W. Gong, "Multiobjective multitask optimization with multiple knowledge types and transfer adaptation," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [43] M. Zbigniew, "Genetic algorithms + data structures = evolution programs," *Computational statistics*, vol. 24, pp. 372–373, 1996.
- [44] L. Bull and H. Liu, "On cooperative coevolution and global crossover," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 558–561, 2024.
- [45] R. Storn, "On the usage of differential evolution for function optimization," in *Proceedings of north american fuzzy information processing*. IEEE, 1996, pp. 519–523.
- [46] J. Brest, S. Greiner, B. Boskovic, M. Mernik, and V. Zumer, "Self-adapting control parameters in differential evolution: A comparative study on numerical benchmark problems," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 646–657, 2006.
- [47] J. Zhang and A. C. Sanderson, "JADE: Adaptive differential evolution with optional external archive," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 945–958, 2009.
- [48] W. Gong and Z. Cai, "Differential evolution with ranking-based mutation operators," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2066–2081, 2013.
- [49] R. Tanabe and A. Fukunaga, "Success-history based parameter adaptation for differential evolution," in *2013 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, 2013, pp. 71–78.
- [50] R. Tanabe and A. S. Fukunaga, "Improving the search performance of SHADE using linear population size reduction," in *2014 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2014, pp. 1658–1665.
- [51] R. Poláková, "L-SHADE with competing strategies applied to constrained optimization," in *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2017, pp. 1683–1689.
- [52] A. Zamuda, "Adaptive constraint handling and success history differential evolution for CEC 2017 constrained real-parameter optimization," in *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2017, pp. 2443–2450.
- [53] J. Brest, M. S. Maučec, and B. Bošković, "Single objective real-parameter optimization: Algorithm jSO," in *2017 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2017, pp. 1311–1318.
- [54] V. Stanovov, S. Akhmedova, and E. Semenkina, "NL-SHADE-RSP algorithm with adaptive archive and selective pressure for CEC 2021 numerical optimization," in *2021 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2021, pp. 809–816.
- [55] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, vol. 4, 1995, pp. 1942–1948 vol.4.
- [56] R. Cheng and Y. Jin, "A competitive swarm optimizer for large scale optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 191–204, 2015.
- [57] A. Faramarzi, M. Heidarinejad, S. Mirjalili, and A. H. Gandomi, "Marine predators algorithm: A nature-inspired metaheuristic," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 152, p. 113377, 2020.
- [58] A. Faramarzi, M. Heidarinejad, B. Stephens, and S. Mirjalili, "Equilibrium optimizer: A novel optimization algorithm," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 191, p. 105190, 2020.
- [59] L. Abualigah, D. Yousri, M. Abd Elaziz, A. A. Ewees, M. A. Al-qaness, and A. H. Gandomi, "Aquila optimizer: A novel meta-heuristic optimization algorithm," *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, vol. 157, p. 107250, 2021.
- [60] Y. Jiang, Z.-H. Zhan, K. C. Tan, and J. Zhang, "Knowledge learning for evolutionary computation," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 1–1, 2023.
- [61] N. Hansen and A. Ostermeier, "Completely derandomized self-adaptation in evolution strategies," *Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 159–195, 2001.
- [62] A. Auger and N. Hansen, "A restart CMA evolution strategy with increasing population size," in *2005 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 2, 2005, pp. 1769–1776 Vol. 2.
- [63] R. Ros and N. Hansen, "A simple modification in CMA-ES achieving linear time and space complexity," in *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature – PPSN X*, G. Rudolph, T. Jansen, N. Beume, S. Lucas, and C. Poloni, Eds. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 296–305.
- [64] T. Schaul, "Benchmarking natural evolution strategies with adaptation sampling on the noiseless and noisy black-box optimization testbeds," in *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Conference Companion on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, ser. GECCO '12. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2012, p. 229–236.
- [65] Y. Sun, T. Schaul, F. Gomez, and J. Schmidhuber, "A linear time natural evolution strategy for non-separable functions," in *Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference Companion on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, ser. GECCO '13 Companion. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2013, p. 61–62.
- [66] D. Wierstra, T. Schaul, T. Glasmachers, Y. Sun, J. Peters, and J. Schmidhuber, "Natural evolution strategies," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 15, no. 27, pp. 949–980, 2014.
- [67] T. Salimans, J. Ho, X. Chen, S. Sidor, and I. Sutskever, "Evolution strategies as a scalable alternative to reinforcement learning," 2017.
- [68] J. Arabas and D. Jagodziński, "Toward a matrix-free covariance matrix adaptation evolution strategy," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 84–98, 2020.
- [69] E. Mezura-Montes, C. A. C. Coello, J. Velázquez-Reyes, and L. Muñoz-Dávila, "Multiple trial vectors in differential evolution for engineering design," *Engineering Optimization*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 567–589, 2007.
- [70] R. Mallipeddi and P. N. Suganthan, "Ensemble of constraint handling techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 561–579, 2010.
- [71] Y. Wang, B.-C. Wang, H.-X. Li, and G. G. Yen, "Incorporating objective function information into the feasibility rule for constrained evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 2938–2952, 2016.
- [72] B.-C. Wang, H.-X. Li, J.-P. Li, and Y. Wang, "Composite differential evolution for constrained evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 49, no. 7, pp. 1482–1495, 2019.
- [73] Y. Wang, J.-P. Li, X. Xue, and B.-C. Wang, "Utilizing the correlation between constraints and objective function for constrained evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 29–43, 2020.

- [74] B.-C. Wang, H.-X. Li, Q. Zhang, and Y. Wang, "Decomposition-based multiobjective optimization for constrained evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 574–587, 2021.
- [75] G. Wu, X. Wen, L. Wang, W. Pedrycz, and P. N. Suganthan, "A voting-mechanism-based ensemble framework for constraint handling techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 646–660, 2022.
- [76] Z. Hu, W. Gong, W. Pedrycz, and Y. Li, "Deep reinforcement learning assisted co-evolutionary differential evolution for constrained optimization," *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 83, p. 101387, 2023.
- [77] Y. Li, W. Gong, Z. Hu, and S. Li, "A competitive and cooperative evolutionary framework for ensemble of constraint handling techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 2440–2451, 2024.
- [78] E. Zitzler, M. Laumanns, and L. Thiele, "SPEA2: Improving the strength pareto evolutionary algorithm for multiobjective optimization," in *Evolutionary Methods for Design, Optimization and Control with Applications to Industrial Problems. Proceedings of the EUROGEN'2001. Athens, Greece, September 19-21, 2001*.
- [79] K. Deb, A. Pratap, S. Agarwal, and T. Meyarivan, "A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 182–197, 2002.
- [80] K. Deb and H. Jain, "An evolutionary many-objective optimization algorithm using reference-point-based nondominated sorting approach, part i: Solving problems with box constraints," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 577–601, 2014.
- [81] N. Beume, B. Naujoks, and M. Emmerich, "SMS-EMOA: Multiobjective selection based on dominated hypervolume," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 1653–1669, 2007.
- [82] C. Igel, N. Hansen, and S. Roth, "Covariance matrix adaptation for multi-objective optimization," *Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–28, 2007.
- [83] Q. Zhang and H. Li, "MOEA/D: A multiobjective evolutionary algorithm based on decomposition," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 712–731, 2007.
- [84] H. Li and Q. Zhang, "Multiobjective optimization problems with complicated pareto sets, MOEA/D and NSGA-II," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 284–302, 2009.
- [85] R. Cheng, Y. Jin, M. Olhofer, and B. Sendhoff, "A reference vector guided evolutionary algorithm for many-objective optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 773–791, 2016.
- [86] Y. Tian, T. Zhang, J. Xiao, X. Zhang, and Y. Jin, "A coevolutionary framework for constrained multiobjective optimization problems," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 102–116, 2021.
- [87] Y. Tian, X. Zheng, X. Zhang, and Y. Jin, "Efficient large-scale multiobjective optimization based on a competitive swarm optimizer," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 3696–3708, 2020.
- [88] F. Ming, W. Gong, D. Li, L. Wang, and L. Gao, "A competitive and cooperative swarm optimizer for constrained multi-objective optimization problems," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 1313–1326, 2023.
- [89] B. Da, Y.-S. Ong, L. Feng, A. K. Qin, A. Gupta, Z. Zhu, C.-K. Ting, K. Tang, and X. Yao, "Evolutionary multitasking for single-objective continuous optimization: Benchmark problems, performance metric, and baseline results," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03470*, 2017.
- [90] L. Feng, K. Qin, A. Gupta, Y. Yuan, Y.-S. Ong, and X. Chi, "CEC 2019 competition on evolutionary multi-task optimization," *2019 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2019. [Online]. Available: http://www.bdsc.site/websites/MTO_competition_2019/MTO_Competition_CEC_2019.html
- [91] —, "WCCI 2020 competition on evolutionary multi-task optimization," *2020 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence (WCCI)*, 2020. [Online]. Available: http://www.bdsc.site/websites/MTO_competition_2020/MTO_Competition_WCCI_2020.html
- [92] Y. Li, W. Gong, and S. Li, "Evolutionary constrained multi-task optimization: Benchmark problems and preliminary results," in *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*, ser. GECCO '22. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2022, p. 443–446.
- [93] Y. Yuan, Y.-S. Ong, L. Feng, A. K. Qin, A. Gupta, B. Da, Q. Zhang, K. C. Tan, Y. Jin, and H. Ishibuchi, "Evolutionary multitasking for multiobjective continuous optimization: Benchmark problems, performance metrics and baseline results," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.02766*, 2017.
- [94] L. Feng, K. Qin, A. Gupta, Y. Yuan, E. Scott, Y.-S. Ong, and X. Chi, "CEC 2021 competition on evolutionary multi-task optimization," *2021 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, 2021. [Online]. Available: http://www.bdsc.site/websites/MTO_competition_2021/MTO_Competition_CEC_2021.html
- [95] J. J. Liang, T. P. Runarsson, E. Mezura-Montes, M. Clerc, P. N. Suganthan, C. C. Coello, and K. Deb, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2006 special session on constrained real-parameter optimization," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 8–31, 2006.
- [96] R. Mallipeddi and P. Suganthan, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2010 competition on constrained real-parameter optimization," 05 2010.
- [97] G. Wu, R. Mallipeddi, and P. N. Suganthan, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2017 competition on constrained real-parameter optimization," *National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, Hunan, PR China and Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea and Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Technical Report*, 2017.
- [98] N. H. Awad, M. Z. Ali, J. J. Liang, B. Y. Qu, and P. N. Suganthan, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2017 special session and competition on single objective bound constrained real-parameter numerical optimization," *Technical Report, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore*, 2017.
- [99] A. Kumar, G. Wu, M. Z. Ali, R. Mallipeddi, P. N. Suganthan, and S. Das, "A test-suite of non-convex constrained optimization problems from the real-world and some baseline results," *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 56, p. 100693, 2020.
- [100] A. Kumar, K. V. Price, A. W. Mohamed, A. A. Hadi, and P. N. Suganthan, "Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2022 special session and competition on single objective bound constrained numerical optimization," 2022.