

ON THE NODAL SET OF SOLUTIONS TO DIRAC EQUATIONS

WILLIAM BORRELLI⁽¹⁾ & RUIJUN WU⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT. Motivated by various geometric problems, we study the nodal set of solutions to Dirac equations on manifolds, of general form. We prove that such set has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $n - 2$, n being the ambient dimension. We extend this result, previously known only in the smooth case or in specific cases, working with locally Lipschitz coefficients. Under some additional, but still quite general, structural assumptions we provide a stratification result for the nodal set, which appears to be new already in the smooth case. This is achieved by exploiting the properties of a suitable Almgren-type frequency function, which is of independent interest.

Keywords: Dirac equation, nodal set, Hausdorff dimension, stratification, frequency function.

2020 MSC: 58J05, 35J46, 58J60.

1. INTRODUCTION

We are concerned with the nodal set of spinors solving Dirac equations of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_g \psi = V(\psi) \tag{1.1}$$

on a spin Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The right hand side above takes different forms in various contexts, ranging from supersymmetric quantum field theory, conformal geometry, surface theory and submanifolds theory to positive mass theorems, spinorial Yamabe problems, spectral analysis of Dirac operators, and so on. Some examples are briefly sketched below. It is thus desirable to have good estimates about the nodal sets of such spinor solutions, especially in geometric applications, since nodal sets typically contain important geometric informations about the underlying manifold and on the solutions themselves. The analysis of the nodal sets in concrete backgrounds is carried out, for instance, in [2, 13, 16, 17, 45] and the only general results known to us was due to Christian Bär [6, 7] which deals with *smooth* right hand side, also considering more general first-order elliptic operators. He proves sharp estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of the nodal set, and provides an upper bound for the Hausdorff densities. However, in various geometric settings, especially in high dimensions, the right hand side is usually nonsmooth, so that Bär's results do not apply. This was the case, for instance, in [13], where classification of ground state solutions of the critical Dirac equation on the round sphere (also known as *Dirac bubbles*) is considered. In that case a capacity argument is used in order to handle the nodal set. Generalizing the result of that paper was our original motivation for the present analysis.

Thus here we aim at studying the nodal set of spinors to (1.1) with V not necessarily smooth, extending to that case the dimension estimate available in the smooth case, as stated in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, under additional assumptions on V we can also prove a stratification result in Theorem 1.4, which appears to be new also in the case of smooth potentials. This is proved by exploiting the properties of a suitable Almgren-type frequency function (1.6), studied in the paper, which we think can be of independent interest. Its

¹Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Piazza Leonardo da Vinci, 32, I-20133, Milano, Italy. E-mail address: william.borrelli@polimi.it

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Zhongguancun South St.5, 100081, Beijing, P.R.China. E-mail address: ruijun.wu@bit.edu.cn

main property is stated in Theorem 1.2. Before stating our main results, we quickly recall some well-known examples to which they apply.

1.1. Dirac equations in physics and geometry.

Spinorial Yamabe equation. This equation arises in the study of the spinorial Yamabe problem [3, 5] and takes the form

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = |\psi|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\psi. \quad (1.2)$$

Its solutions are related to a conformal invariant analogous to the classical Yamabe invariant. Typically, one needs to make a conformal transformation with conformal factor proportional to $|\psi|^{\frac{4}{n-1}}$, see [2, 13], so that the zeros of the spinor ψ lead to a degeneracy of the metric, which is (ideally) to be excluded. Such singularity is removable, or negligible in the Sobolev sense, if the nodal set is sufficiently small, for instance, in capacity sense [13]. For this reason, it is desirable to have fine estimates on the nodal set of the spinors. Note that the right hand side has the critical Sobolev nonlinearity. Moreover, for $n \geq 2$, the nonlinearity on the right hand side (1.2) is non-smooth but superlinear, and for $n \geq 4$ it is also sub-quadratic. This was our original motivation for the problem treated in this paper, and was addressed in [13].

We mention that generalizations of the spinorial Yamabe equations are also widely studied in calculus of variations, e.g. [8, 11, 12, 21, 26, 41].

Spinorial Weierstrass representation. Another geometric application of Dirac equations is the famous Weierstrass representation of surfaces in R^3 with prescribed mean curvature $H \in C^\infty(M)$. It is well-known (see e.g. [23, 39, 42]) that such an immersion exists if and only if the Dirac equation

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = H\psi \quad (1.3)$$

has a solution with constant length. By a conformal transformation, we can put the equation into a nonlinear (indeed, superlinear) form similar to (1.2), but then we only need the spinor to be nowhere vanishing, not necessarily of constant length. The spinorial Weierstrass representation has been greatly generalized to higher dimensional and codimensional cases, see [9, 10] and the references therein. The immersion induced from certain spinors satisfying various Dirac type equations still requires that the spinor to be non-vanishing. Even if we allow for branchings in the immersion, it is desirable that the nodal set is relatively small, say, of codimension at least two.

Eigen spinors and Yau's conjecture. The eigenvalues of Dirac operators are of great importance in differential geometry and topology. Moreover, nodal set estimates for eigen spinors are also of interest in spectral geometry. A. Hermann [29] showed that on the sphere for a generic metric the eigen spinors have no zeros. Note that the eigen spinors can be dealt with using C. Bär's results [7], since the right hand side is already smooth, and the nodal set is rectifiable of codimension at least two. Our contribution, in this case, concerns the stratification of the nodal set.

The eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on a Riemannian manifold have been studied for a long time, and there are also many recent results. Among them, we mention the partial proof by A. Ligonov [36, 37] of Yau's conjecture on the nodal sets, concerning lower and upper bounds on the Hausdorff measure. An analogous result for spinors was also covered in [7]. This provides a further motivation for a more detailed investigation of the nodal sets of Dirac equations.

Supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models. A large class of interesting Dirac equations arise from the supersymmetric quantum field theory. For instance, in a two-dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model with gravitino, the fermionic field has to satisfy a critical nonlinear Dirac equation (see e.g. [15, 32] and references therein) of the form

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = R(\phi, \psi, \psi)\psi.$$

Here ψ appearing twice in $R(\phi, \psi, \psi)$ indicates that it is quadratic in ψ . The above equation couples a spinor described by a Dirac equation with another elliptic equation in the map ϕ between manifolds. Moreover, the super-current, which is a conserved super-quantity, involves the solution spinor:

$$J = (2 \langle d\phi(e_j), \gamma(e_j)\gamma(e_k)\psi \rangle + |\psi|^2 \gamma(e_j)\gamma(e_k)\chi^j) e_k$$

where ϕ is a map between manifolds and χ stands for some auxiliary field, while (e_j) denotes a local orthonormal frame, see [33]. In application, it is also desirable for the solution to have small nodal set, ideally isolated zeros.

Seiberg-Witten equations. Another type of coupled equations involving Dirac operators comes from the Seiberg-Witten theory in dimension 3 and 4. In [43] pseudoholomorphic curves are obtained from the nodal set of harmonic spinors on symplectic 4-manifolds. This motivates the study of more detailed properties of the nodal set of some special class of spinors. In this model the spinor is coupled to (it is the so-called *superpartner*) of a suitable gauge field. Geometrically, the latter corresponds to a connection A on the spinor bundle. Depending on the geometry, the equation for the connection may take different forms, while the spinors are required to be harmonic:

$$\mathcal{D}_{g,A}\psi = 0.$$

The zero loci of the spinors, or more generally those of \mathbb{Z}_2 -harmonic spinors, were analyzed in depth already by [22, 28, 44] etc.

Dirac system with scalar potentials. A particular case of (1.1) is given by

$$\mathcal{D}\psi = f(\psi)\psi \tag{1.4}$$

where $f: \Sigma_g M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. This covers, for example, the already mentioned spinorial Yamabe problem (1.2) and the eigenspinor equation, as well as, for instance, the super Liouville systems [30, 31]. The case where f does not depend on ψ , but it is only an endomorphism-valued function on the manifold, is included in this case.

As already remarked, we point out that more general semilinear elliptic *smooth* systems of first order are treated in [7], which also provides further applications.

Notice that, in the above examples, the eigenspinor equation, the equations in supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model, and the Seiberg-Witten equation have a smooth structure, and then the dimension estimate for the nodal set follows by Bär's results [6, 7]. In that case we prove a stratification result in Theorem 1.4, as well as for equations (1.1), assuming that $V(\psi)$ satisfies some growth conditions near the zero section of the bundle. On the other hand, dimension estimates are proved under minimal assumptions in Theorem 1.1.

1.2. Main results. Let (M^n, g) be a complete smooth connected *spin* Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, with spinor bundle $\Sigma_g M$. The Levi-Civita connection on tangent bundle induces a canonical spin connection ∇^g or simply ∇ if there is no confusion. As a bundle of modules over the Clifford algebra bundle $\text{Cl}(M, g)$, there is a Clifford multiplication of tangent vectors on the spinor bundle, denoted by

$$\gamma: TM \rightarrow \text{End}(\Sigma_g M), \quad X \mapsto \gamma(X)$$

which satisfies the Clifford relation

$$\gamma(X)\gamma(Y) + \gamma(Y)\gamma(X) = -2g(X, Y) \text{Id}_{\Sigma_g M}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

Via the Riemannian metric g we can identify the tangent bundle with the cotangent bundle, so that a cotangent vector, or even an exterior differential form, can be multiplied to a spinor. In particular, the composition of the following operators

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_g M) \xrightarrow{\nabla^g} \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \Sigma_g M) \xrightarrow{g} \Gamma(TM \otimes \Sigma_g M) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Gamma(\Sigma_g M)$$

is the well-known Dirac operator, which is given in a local orthonormal frame $(e_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ by

$$\not{D}_g \psi = \gamma \circ g \circ \nabla \psi = \gamma \circ g \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e^j \otimes \nabla_{e_j} \psi \right) = \gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j \otimes \nabla_{e_j} \psi \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma(e_j) \nabla_{e_j} \psi.$$

Let $V: \Sigma_g M \rightarrow \Sigma_g M$ be a fiber-preserving map which is locally Lipschitz near the zero section and *respects the zero section*, i.e. $V(0) = 0$. In particular, for $|\psi|$ small,

$$|\not{D}\psi| = |V(\psi)| \leq C_0 |\psi|.$$

The solutions of (1.1) is of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ by the regularity theory of Dirac equations, see e.g. [2]. Hence, near a zero point x_0 of a solution ψ , we have

$$|\not{D}\psi(x)| \leq C_0 |\psi| \leq C_0 \text{dist}(x, x_0)^{1+\alpha}.$$

Actually in applications we usually encounter the case that $V(\psi) \lesssim |\psi|^p$ for some $p \geq 1$.¹

For a solution ψ of (1.1), we are concerned with the zero sets

$$\mathcal{Z}(\psi) := \{x \in M \mid \psi(x) = 0\} \tag{1.5}$$

and the singular sets

$$\mathcal{S}(\psi) := \{x \in M \mid \psi(x) = 0, \nabla \psi(x) = 0\}.$$

We are now in a position to state the first main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V: \Sigma_g M \rightarrow \Sigma_g M$ be a fiber-preserving map which is locally Lipschitz near the zero section and respects the zero section. Then the nodal set (1.5) of a nontrivial solution $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma_g M)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, to (1.1) has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $n - 2$.*

Remark 1. *In the above theorem (and in the other main results) we deal with $C^{1,\alpha}$ solutions to (1.1) as, for instance, we get that regularity if we start from a weak solution in the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}$, thanks to Schauder estimates.*

Remark 2. *As pointed out in [7, Sec. 3], the dimension estimate in 1.1 is sharp.*

As already remarked, the above result generalizes the analogous statements in [6, 7], proved in the smooth case, and in [13], where the model nonlinearity $V(\psi) = |\psi|^{2/(n-1)}\psi$ on the round n -sphere is considered. Working with limited regularity one cannot, roughly speaking, take smooth expansions and argue correspondingly. This is done in Bär's papers, where a version of the Malgrange preparation theorem is used. As in [13], our argument relies on a local expansion of the Green function the Dirac operator; in particular, the Euclidean ones are sufficient for our purposes, see Section 3. We also exploit some ideas from the scalar case, contained in [18, 19].

In order to proceed further, we need to impose some constraints on the map V . We consider the following cases, where we say that

¹Most of our argument will not work for $p \in (0, 1)$. This interesting case will be addressed in a future work.

- V is of type (Vf) if

$$V(\psi) = f(\psi)\psi \quad (\text{Vf})$$

for some function $f: \Sigma_g M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|\not{D}V(\psi)| \leq C_f(|\psi| + |\psi|^\tau |\not{\nabla}\psi|), \quad \text{for some } \tau = \tau(f) \in (0, 1); \quad (\text{DV-f})$$

- V is of type (Vq) if

$$|\not{D}V(\psi)| \leq C_q(|\psi| + |\psi| |\not{\nabla}\psi|); \quad (\text{Vq})$$

Observe that in the proofs we have to treat the above cases separately when dealing with the frequency function. Note that the (Vq) case allows V to be locally (near the zero section) quadratic or super-quadratic, namely $V(\psi) \lesssim |\psi|^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \geq 2$, and since $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$, $|\not{\nabla}\psi|$ is locally bounded, so that locally in a compact subset K we have

$$|\not{D}V(\psi)| \leq C_q(1 + \|\not{\nabla}\psi\|_{L^\infty(K)})|\psi|.$$

This will be used later. When the potential part is of scalar type (Vf) the right hand side can be sub-quadratic. It is worth noting that the case (Vf) allows f to be a function defined on M and independent of the spinor fiber, namely

$$\not{D}\psi = h\psi$$

for some $h \in C^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. This takes care of (1.3), and when $h = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, this reduces to the eigenspinor equation.

In these cases, the result in Theorem 1.1 can be improved. Indeed the zero set can be stratified according to the symmetries of the homogeneous blowup, as described in Section 6. This kind of result is well-established for scalar functions satisfying elliptic second order equations, for which we refer to reader to e.g. [24, 27].

From now on we assume the manifold M to be compact, for simplicity.

Indeed, some of the quantities involved in the proofs of the next results rely on the geometry of the manifold and they are finite, for instance, if the manifold is compact. An example is given by the constants $C_{\text{am}}, r_{\text{am}} > 0$ appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.2. More generally, the results below also apply when (M, g) is of bounded geometry, that is, when g is complete and the Riemann curvature tensor and all its covariant derivatives are bounded. This can be checked by inspection of the proofs.

Observe that, since a priori the solution is not guaranteed to be smooth, we cannot define the vanishing order at a point by checking the lowest order of non-vanishing derivatives. We rather do so via a variant of the so-called *frequency function*:

$$N(x, r) = \frac{r \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \langle \not{\nabla}_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r(x)} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r}, \quad (1.6)$$

defined for $r > 0$ small, where $\partial B_r(x)$ denotes the geodesic sphere in normal coordinates centered at $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$. For harmonic functions, this corresponds to the frequency function used by Almgren in [1] to study minimal submanifolds. The choice of the particular form of frequency function $N(x, r)$ is partially inspired from [24], meanwhile there are other formulations of frequency functions for spinors solving various Dirac-type equations, see [22, 28, 44] and the references therein. The most significant property of the frequency function (1.6), for our purposes, is the contained in the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$ be a solution to (1.1), with V as in 1.1 satisfying (Vf) or (Vq). For any $C_N > 0$, there exist $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $r_{am} > 0$ and $C_{am} > 0$, all depending only on the geometry of M and on V but independent of the solution ψ , such that the function*

$$(0, r_{am}) \ni s \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}s^{\beta+1}\right)(N(x, s) + C_N)$$

is non-decreasing.

This is generally known as the *almost monotonicity* of the frequency function, which is the reason for the subscript in C_{am} . Here it is proved for a non-homogenous equation and on a general manifold. For harmonic functions and harmonic spinors in Euclidean domains the corresponding frequency function can be easily shown to be monotone increasing, without need to add the constant C_N . The property for harmonic functions is well-known (see e.g. [1]), while the same fact for harmonic spinors on manifolds can be obtained arguing as in [28], working with their choice of the frequency function.

The almost monotonicity of N allows to show that the vanishing order at any point $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$ is well-defined and equals

$$N(x, 0) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N(x, r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \exp\left(\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}r^{\beta+1}\right)(N(x, r) + C_N) - C_N.$$

Moreover, for compact manifolds, we have

Proposition 1.3. *Let ψ be a solution of (1.1) under the assumptions of 1.2. Then the set*

$$\{N(x, 0) \mid x \in M\}$$

is uniformly bounded in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

In particular, this helps us to get rid of the set of points where the solution vanishes to infinite order (denoted by \mathcal{N}_∞ in [7]). This is a desirable property, as we are dealing with the spin Dirac operator, which is a geometric elliptic operator. With a uniform bound on the vanishing orders at hand, starting from some ideas used for the scalar case in [27], we can establish the following stratification result.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $V: \Sigma_g M \rightarrow \Sigma_g M$ be a fiber-preserving map which is locally Lipschitz near the zero section and respects the zero section, and be of type (Vf) or (Vq). Then the nodal set $\mathcal{Z}(\psi)$ of a solution to (1.1) is countably $(n-2)$ -rectifiable. More precisely, it can be decomposed as*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\psi) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{Z}^j(\psi)$$

where each $\mathcal{Z}^j(\psi)$ is on a countable union of j -dimensional C^1 graphs for $0 \leq j \leq n-3$ and \mathcal{Z}^{n-2} is on a countable union of $(n-2)$ -dimensional $C^{1,\alpha'}$ manifolds, for some $0 < \alpha' < 1$.

Recall that a subset of \mathbb{R}^n is called countably k - C^l -rectifiable if it is contained in a countable union of k -dimensional submanifolds of class C^l (we follow the definition in [7]).

We conclude this Introduction, observing that there are still a lot of open questions concerning the nodal sets of spinors. In lower dimension, say dimension two, three and four, the zero loci of spinor solutions are geometrically significant and have rather nice structural properties, see e.g. [28, 44]. However, it is unclear how to provide a similar description in higher dimensions. Moreover, as remarked before, the local finiteness of $(n-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure would be expected, as in the smooth case [7], thus calling for an estimate of the Hausdorff density in the general case. Those questions will be handled in a future work.

1.3. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we first recall the well-known Bourguignon-Gauduchon trivialization of the spinor bundle, which is later used in local arguments involving spinor solutions. Then we establish some preliminary estimates, involving a Hardy-type inequality and Pohozaev formula, needed for the frequency estimates. Then, in Section 3 we locally decompose a solution into a vectorial harmonic polynomial plus a higher order term, and establish the dimension estimate for the nodal set in Section 4.

In the second part, we first analyze the frequency function in Section 5 and then use it to have an uniform control on the vanishing order of a solution. Combining those properties with the local decomposition mentioned above, we can prove the desired stratification result in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Q. Han for providing useful references and to A. Malchiodi for many insightful conversations during their time in Pisa.

W. B. has been supported by MUR grant *Dipartimento di Eccellenza 2023-2027* of Dipartimento di Matematica at Politecnico di Milano, by the GNAMPA 2023 Project *Analisi spettrale per equazioni di Dirac e applicazioni*, and by the PRIN 2022 Project *Nonlinear effective equations in presence of singularities*. R. W. was partially supported by SISSA, Trieste, Italy where part of the project was carried out.

Data availability statement. Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some basic material, together with some technical lemmas. We refer to [34] for a more complete account on spin geometry, and to [25, 2] for the elliptic regularity theory for scalar second order elliptic equations and Dirac equations, respectively.

We will briefly recall the Bourguignon-Gauduchon construction of a suitable local trivialization for spinor bundles, which is particularly useful for our purposes, and the Green function for Dirac operators. Afterwards we introduce a Hardy type inequality and Pohozaev type identity for spinors, for which we also provide a proof.

2.1. The Bourguignon-Gauduchon trivialization. In [14], Bourguignon and Gauduchon analyzed the variation of the Dirac operator with respect to the Riemannian metrics. For that purpose a suitable trivialization for different metrics has to be chosen, which is quite convenient in application and now bears their names, here abbreviated as *BG-trivialization*. We briefly recall the construction below, referring to [14, 38, 4, 5] for more details.

Fix $x_0 \in M$ and take a contractible neighborhood U of x_0 on which the spinor bundle is trivial $\Sigma_g M|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{C}^N$. Let (x^1, \dots, x^n) be the local normal coordinates with origin at $x_0 = 0$. Then the coefficients of the Riemannian metric $g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$ has the following local expansion

$$g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}R_{iklj}x^k x^l + \frac{1}{6}R_{iklj,q}x^k x^j x^q + \mathcal{O}(r^4)$$

where $r = |x|$ denotes the geodesic distance from the origin $x_0 = 0$, see [35]. Let g_0 denote the Euclidean metric on U which is identified with a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ via the coordinate chart, i.e. $g_{0,ij} = \delta_{ij}$ in U . Then for each $x \in U$, there exists a unique positive definite symmetric matrix $b(x) = (b_i^j(x))_{n \times n}$ such that

$$b_k^i(x)g_{ij}(x)b_l^j(x) = \delta_{kl}.$$

In matrix form, $b(x)$ is just the positive definite square root of $(g_{ij})^{-1}$. Note that $b(x)$ depends smoothly on x , and it has the local expansion

$$b_i^j(x) = \delta_i^j - \frac{1}{6}R_{iklj}x^kx^l - \frac{1}{12}R_{iklj,q}x^kx^lx^q + \mathcal{O}(r^4),$$

see e.g. [3].

The coordinate tangent frame $\{\partial_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$, with $\partial_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, is oriented but not orthonormal w.r.t. g , though it is orthonormal w.r.t. the Euclidean metric g_0 . The matrix $b(x)$ transforms it into a local oriented g -orthonormal frame:

$$e_i(x) = b_i^j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Big|_x, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Thus we have an isometry of the tangent bundles

$$b: (TU, g_0) \rightarrow (TU, g).$$

In other words, b is a local isomorphism of the principal $SO(n)$ bundles

$$b: P_{SO}(U, g_0) \rightarrow P_{SO}(U, g).$$

It lifts to an isomorphism of the corresponding principal $Spin(n)$ bundle

$$\tilde{b}: P_{Spin}(U, g_0) \rightarrow P_{Spin}(U, g).$$

and hence also induces an isometry of the associated spinor bundles

$$\beta: \Sigma_{g_0}U \rightarrow \Sigma_gU.$$

Thus any spinor $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma_gM)$ can locally (in U) be expressed by an Euclidean spinor $\varphi \in \Gamma(\Sigma_{g_0}U)$, which is nothing but a vector valued function

$$\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N,$$

so that $\psi = \beta(\varphi)$.

We remark that, since the local spin geometry depends on the metric in an intrinsic way, the spin connections of the two spinor bundles are different, hence β is not an isomorphism of Dirac bundles; in particular, β does not preserve the Dirac operators. Denoting by γ_{g_0} and γ_g the corresponding Clifford multiplications, we can choose the Clifford maps in such a way that

$$\gamma_g(X)\beta(\varphi) = \beta(\gamma_{g_0}(X)\varphi), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TU), \quad \forall \varphi \in \Gamma(\Sigma_{g_0}U).$$

Then it was shown in [3] that, for any $\varphi \in \Gamma_{g_0}U$,

$$\mathcal{D}_g\beta(\varphi) = \beta(\mathcal{D}_{g_0}\varphi) + \sum_{i,j} (b_i^j - \delta_i^j) \beta \left(\gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \nabla_{\partial_j}^0 \varphi \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \gamma_g(e_i) \gamma_g(e_j) \gamma_g(e_k) \beta(\varphi).$$

Here ∇^0 is an abbreviation for the Euclidean connection ∇^{g_0} on $\Sigma_{g_0}U$ (and it is just $\nabla = d$ componentwise since the Euclidean metric has zero connection forms), and the Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols for g in the orthonormal frame (e_1, \dots, e_n) :

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k.$$

Note that for $r = |x|$ small,

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) = \mathcal{O}(r).$$

Returning to the solution ψ of (1.1), writing $\psi = \beta(\varphi)$ and noting that $|\psi|_g = |\varphi|_{g_0}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_g \psi &= \beta(\mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi) + \sum_{i,j} (b_i^j - \delta_i^j) \beta \left(\gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \nabla_{\partial_j}^0 \varphi \right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \beta \left(\gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_k) \varphi \right) \\ &= \beta^{-1} (V(\beta(\varphi))), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi + \sum_{i,j} (b_i^j - \delta_i^j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \nabla_{\partial_j}^0 \varphi + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_k) \varphi = \tilde{V}(\varphi) \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\tilde{V}(\varphi) := \beta^{-1} \circ V \circ \beta(\varphi).$$

Since β is isometric, the new map \tilde{V} is of the same type as V : this is clear for V of type (Vf), while for V of type (Vq), note that for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\nabla_X^{g_0} (\beta^{-1} \circ V \circ \beta(\varphi)) = \nabla_X^{g_0} (\beta(V(\psi))) = (\nabla_X \beta) V(\psi) + \beta (\nabla_X V(\psi))$$

so that

$$|\mathcal{D}_{g_0} (\beta^{-1} \circ V \circ \beta(\varphi))| \leq |\nabla \beta| |V(\psi)| + |\mathcal{D} V(\psi)|$$

which satisfies a growth condition of the form (Vq) locally near the zero section of $\Sigma_g M$.

Moreover, the Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k with respect to $(g, (e_i))$ relates to the coordinates Christoffel symbols $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{ij}^k$ defined by $\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j = \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \partial_k$ via (see [3])

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \left(b_i^p (\partial_p b_j^l) + b_i^p b_j^q \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{pq}^l \right) (b^{-1})_l^k.$$

Note that the nodal sets of ψ and of φ are the same, we can thus exchangeably consider the solution of the equation (2.1) in the sequel. However, since the second term in the equation also involves first order derivatives, which still cause some troubles for local computations, so we will also use the formulation in terms of the original Riemannian metric g whenever convenient.

2.2. Fundamental solution of Euclidean Dirac operator. Recall that the fundamental solutions $\mathcal{G}(x, y)$ of the Dirac operator \mathcal{D}_g is a section of the bundle $\pi_1^* \Sigma_g M \otimes (\pi_2^* \Sigma_g M)^* \rightarrow M \times M \setminus \text{diag}(M \times M)$ which is singular along the diagonal

$$\text{diag}(M \times M) := \{(x, x) \in M \times M \mid x \in M\}$$

where π_1, π_2 denote the canonical projections to the first and second factors, respectively. It satisfies

$$\mathcal{D}_{g,x} \mathcal{G}(x, y) = \delta_y(x) \text{Id}_{\Sigma_y M}$$

in the sense of distributions: for any $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \Gamma(\Sigma_g M)$,

$$\int_M \langle \mathcal{G}(x, y) \psi_1(y), \mathcal{D}_g^* \psi_2(x) \rangle \text{dvol}_g(x) = \langle \psi_1(y), \psi_2(y) \rangle, \quad \forall y \in M. \quad (2.2)$$

In the Euclidean domain $(U, g_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the fundamental solution of \mathcal{D}_{g_0} is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_0(x, y) = \mathcal{D}_{g_0,x} G_0(x, y) = -\frac{1}{n\omega_n} \frac{\gamma(x-y)}{|x-y|^n}$$

¹Here the subscript of the norms are indicating that the inner products on the spinor bundles are induced by the corresponding Riemannian metrics on the tangent bundle; strictly speaking we should write $|\psi|_{g_s} = |\varphi|_{g_{0s}}$ where g_s stands for the inner product structure on $\Sigma_g U$, and g_{0s} for $\Sigma_{g_0} U$ respectively.

where $G_0(x, y)$ denotes the fundamental solution of $-\Delta_{g_0}$ satisfying $-\Delta_{g_0}G_0(x, y) = \delta_y(x)$; for $n \geq 3$

$$G_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{(n-2)n\omega_n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}},$$

while for $n = 2$

$$G_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|}.$$

This is due to the fact

$$\mathbb{D}_{g_0}^2 = -\Delta_{g_0} \text{Id}_{N \times N} = \text{diag}(-\Delta_{g_0}, \dots, -\Delta_{g_0}).$$

It is readily seen that $\mathbb{D}_{g_0, x} \mathbb{G}_0(x, y) = \delta_y(x) \text{Id}_{\Sigma_{g_0, y}} U$. Then for Euclidean spinors φ_1, φ_2 defined in U , integration by parts in (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_U \langle \mathbb{G}_0(x, y) \varphi_1(y), \mathbb{D}_{g_0, x}^* \varphi_2(x) \rangle dx \\ &= \int_U \langle \mathbb{D}_{g_0, x} \mathbb{G}_0(x, y) \varphi_1(y), \varphi_2(x) \rangle dx + \int_{\partial U} \langle \mathbb{G}_0(x, y) \varphi_1(y), \gamma(\nu) \varphi_2(x) \rangle ds_x \\ &= \langle \varphi_1(y), \varphi_2(y) \rangle_{\Sigma_{g_0, y} U} + \int_{\partial U} \langle \varphi_1(y), \mathbb{G}_0(y, x) \gamma(\nu) \varphi_2(x) \rangle ds_x \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the skew-symmetry of Clifford multiplication of tangent vectors, and that $G_0(x, y) = -G_0(y, x)$. That $G(x, y)$ is anti-symmetric and self-adjoint actually holds true in general case, see [5]. In the above computation, only $\varphi_1(y)$ is needed, and $\varphi_1(y)$ can be arbitrary in $\Sigma_{g_0, y} U$. Moreover, $\mathbb{D}_{g_0}^* = \mathbb{D}_{g_0}$. Thus we obtain the spinorial Newton representation formula

$$\varphi(y) = \int_U \mathbb{G}_0(y, x) \mathbb{D}_{g_0, x} \varphi(x) dx - \int_{\partial U} \mathbb{G}_0(y, x) \gamma_{g_0}(\nu(x)) \varphi(x) ds_x. \quad (2.3)$$

This will be the starting point for the proof of the local expansion provided in Section 3.

2.3. A Hardy type inequality. We need a general form of the Hardy inequality with boundary terms in the Riemannian case. For completeness we include a proof here.

Let $B_r \equiv B_r(0) \subset U$ be a geodesic ball centered at x_0 in (M, g) . The Riemannian metric in normal coordinates $(\rho, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1})$ takes the form

$$g = d\rho^2 + \rho^2 w_{ij}(\rho, \theta) d\theta^i d\theta^j.$$

The corresponding volume form is

$$d\text{vol}_g = \sqrt{\det(g)} d\rho d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^{n-1} = \rho^{n-1} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta))} d\rho d\theta$$

where we have abbreviated $d\theta \equiv d\theta^1 \dots d\theta^{n-1}$.

Remark 3. For spaceforms, we know that

$$w_{ij}(0, \theta) = \omega_{ij}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)|_{\rho=0} = 0,$$

while the second derivatives of $w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)$ would reflect curvature information. Here ω_{ij} 's are the metric coefficients for the standard Euclidean spherical coordinates.

For general g , for $\rho > 0$ small, we may assume that

$$w_{ij}(\rho, \theta) = \omega_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} w_{ij}(\rho, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\rho).$$

In particular,

$$\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)) = \mathcal{O}(\rho).$$

In the sequel we will encounter the term

$$\begin{aligned} W(\rho, \theta) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta))}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta))} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta))} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \ln \det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

which can be estimated by

$$|W(\rho, \theta)| \leq C_2 \rho, \quad \text{for } 0 < \rho < r_2$$

for some $r_2 > 0$ small and $C_2 = C_2(n, g) > 0$. Actually the constant C_2 depends only on the dimension and local curvature, which can be chosen uniformly on compact regions of M , or for regions with bounded geometry; in particular this is the case for smooth and compact M . Though the following Hardy inequality for scalar functions on bounded domain is well-known, we present a proof to highlight the universality of the constants.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $r_3 = r_3(n, g) > 0$ such that for any $r < r_3$ and any $u \in W^{1,2}(B_r)$, there holds*

$$\int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g \leq \frac{2}{n-1} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B_r} u^2 \, ds_r + \frac{4}{(n-2)^2} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

Proof. In normal spherical coordinates and for $r < r_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} d\theta \int_0^r \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \rho^{n-1} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(\rho, \theta))} \, d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} d\theta \int_0^r u^2 \sqrt{\det(w_{ij})} \, d\rho^{n-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u^2 \sqrt{\det(w_{ij})} \rho^{n-2} \, d\theta \Big|_0^r \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^r 2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij})} \rho^{n-2} \, d\rho \, d\theta \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^r u^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij})} \rho^{n-2} \, d\rho \, d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{u^2}{r} \, ds_r - \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{B_r} 2 \frac{u}{\rho} u_\rho \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho} \frac{\partial \sqrt{\det(w_{ij})}}{\sqrt{\det(w_{ij})}} \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n-2} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B_r} u^2 \, ds_r + \frac{\varepsilon}{n-2} \int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g + \frac{1}{(n-2)\varepsilon} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\quad + \frac{C_2 r^2}{n-2} \int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $r_3 > 0$ such that $\frac{C_2 r_3^2}{n-2} < \frac{1}{4}$, and take $\varepsilon = \frac{n-2}{4}$. Then for $r < r_3 < \sqrt{\frac{n-2}{4C_2}}$, we have

$$\int_{B_r} \frac{u^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\partial B_r} u^2 \, ds_r + \frac{4}{(n-2)^2} \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g$$

□

Once C_2 is uniformly fixed on M (or on a compact subset), the r_3 can be also uniformly chosen. As a result, *the coefficients in the Hardy inequality above are only dimensional constants*. This is quite relevant for later applications. For later convenience we write $C_3 =$

$\frac{2}{n-2}$. We apply the above Hardy type inequality to $u = |\psi|$ and use the Kato's inequality to obtain

Lemma 2.2. *For $r_3(n, g) > 0$ as above, $r < r_3$ and $\psi \in W^{1,2}(B_{r_3})$, we have*

$$\int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \leq r^2 \int_{B_r} \frac{|\psi|^2}{\rho^2} \, d\text{vol}_g \leq C_3 r \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r + C_3^2 r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g. \quad (2.4)$$

2.4. A Pohozaev type formula for spinors. Recall the Pohozaev identity for a scalar function $u \in C^2(B_R)$ and $r < R$

$$- \int_{B_r} 2(\vec{x} \cdot \nabla u) \Delta u + (n-2)u \Delta u \, dx = \int_{\partial B_r} r |\nabla u|^2 - 2r |\partial_\nu u|^2 - (n-2)u \partial_\nu u \, ds.$$

Here $B_r = B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an Euclidean ball.

A similar formula holds for spinors on manifolds. Indeed, let B_R denote a geodesic ball in normal coordinates, and write $\vec{x} = x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ for the local position vector field. Recall that for a general vector field $X = X^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$, the divergence is given by

$$\text{div}_g(X) = \frac{\partial X^j}{\partial x^j} + \mathring{\Gamma}_{jk}^j X^k$$

with $|\mathring{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|)$. In particular, for a spinor field $\psi \in C^2(B_R)$ and $r < R$, the vector field (here we identify a differential form part with a vector field via the Riemannian metric)

$$X = |\nabla \psi|^2 \vec{x} - 2 \langle (\nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi), \nabla \psi \rangle - (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla \psi \rangle$$

has divergence (where we have dropped the Christoffel symbol terms in the computation, but this doesn't affect the conclusion since both the LHS and RHS are tensorial)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{div}_g(|\nabla \psi|^2 \vec{x} - 2 \langle (\nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi), \nabla \psi \rangle - (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla \psi \rangle) \\ &= e_i (|\nabla \psi|^2 x^i - 2x^j \langle \nabla_j \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle - (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle) \\ &= n |\nabla \psi|^2 + 2x^i \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - 2\delta_i^j \langle \nabla_j \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle - 2x^j \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle - 2x^j \langle \nabla_j \psi, \nabla_i \nabla_i \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - (n-2) \langle \nabla_i \psi, \nabla_i \psi \rangle - (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla_i \nabla_i \psi \rangle \\ &= 2x^i \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j \psi - \nabla_j \nabla_i \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + 2x^j \langle \nabla_j \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle + (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle R_{\vec{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + \langle 2\nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Stokes theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B_r} r |\nabla \psi|^2 - 2r |\nabla_\nu \psi|^2 - (n-2) \langle \psi, \nabla_\nu \psi \rangle \, ds_r \\ &= \int_{B_r} 2 \langle R_{\vec{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + \langle 2\nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g. \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by assumption we only have $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$ so in general $\nabla^* \nabla \psi$ is not defined. But for solutions of (1.1) with V being of the types under consideration, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^2 \psi = \mathcal{D}V(\psi) = \gamma(e_j) \nabla_{e_j} V(\psi)$$

where the RHS is pointwisely defined, so by Lichnerowicz formula

$$\nabla^* \nabla + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} = \mathcal{D}^2$$

we can define $\nabla^* \nabla \psi$ as well, and

$$|\nabla^* \nabla \psi| \leq \frac{|\text{Scal}|}{4} |\psi| + |\mathcal{D}V(\psi)|.$$

Hence the above Pohozaev formula (2.5) is also well-defined.

3. LOCAL EXPANSION AROUND A ZERO

In this section we prove that a spinor ψ solving (2.1), vanishing at x_0 admits a local expansion in $U(x_0)$, with principal term being a vector consisting of harmonic polynomials. Similar results are well established for scalare equations, see e.g. [18, 19, 27]. This fact in the spinorial case was essentially proved in [13], and we briefly sketch it below.

As before we denote a solution of (1.1) on (M, g) by ψ , and denote the corresponding local Euclidean spinor defined in (U, g_0) by φ ; they are related by $\psi = \beta(\varphi)$ in U . The spinor φ satisfies the equation (2.1):

$$\not{D}_{g_0}\varphi + \sum_{i,j} (b_i^j - \delta_i^j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \not{\nabla}_{\partial_j}^0 \varphi + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_k) \varphi = \tilde{V}(\varphi)$$

with $\tilde{V}: \Sigma_{g_0}U \rightarrow \Sigma_{g_0}U$ being Lipschitz and of the same type as V .

Recall that the solution ψ to (1.1) has regularity at least $C^{1,\alpha}$, and so is φ since β is a bundle isometry. In particular, in the normal coordinates centered at $0 = x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$, we have

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq C|x|^{1+\alpha}, \quad |\not{\nabla}^0 \varphi(x)| \leq C|x|^\alpha, \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Moreover, due to the equation (2.1) and the fact that $b_i^j - \delta_i^j = \mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$, $\Gamma_{ij}^k(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|)$ and $\tilde{V}(\varphi) \leq C_0|\varphi(x)|$, we see that

$$|\not{D}_{g_0}\varphi| \leq C|x|^{1+\alpha}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

With the aid of the representation formula (2.3), we can get the following decomposition.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ is $C^{1,\alpha}$, $\varphi(0) = 0$ and satisfies the local growth condition*

$$|\not{D}\varphi(x)| \leq C_\sigma|x|^\sigma$$

for some non-integer $\sigma > 0$. Then there exists $R \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = P(x) + Q(x) \quad \text{in } B_R(0) \subseteq U \quad (3.1)$$

for some $P, Q: B_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ where the nonzero components of P are harmonic polynomials of degree $[\sigma] + 1$, and

$$|Q(x)| \leq C'_\sigma|x|^{\sigma+1}, \quad |\not{\nabla}^0 Q(x)| \leq C''_\sigma|x|^\sigma, \quad \text{in } B_R(0). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, P is a \not{D}_{g_0} -harmonic spinor, i.e. $\not{D}_{g_0}P = 0$.

Note that initially we may start with $\sigma = \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and get a decomposition with $\deg(P) = 1$. But if ψ or equivalently φ vanishes at $0 = x_0$ to a higher order, a notion which will be clear later, we may get a larger σ and hence also a P of higher degree.

Proof. The proof is essentially given in [13], thus here we only sketch it.

By (2.3), we have

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{B_R} \mathcal{G}_0(x, y) \not{D}_{g_0}\varphi(y) dy - \int_{\partial B_R} \mathcal{G}_0(x, y) \gamma_{g_0}(\nu(y)) \varphi(y) ds_y.$$

The Green operator \mathcal{G}_0 admits a local expansion in terms of homogeneous \not{D}_{g_0} -harmonic polynomials, i.e., Taylor expanding

$$\mathcal{G}_0(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=k} \partial_x \mathcal{G}_0(-y) \frac{x^\beta}{\beta!}.$$

For each $k \geq 0$, the k -th summand above,

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} \partial_x \mathcal{G}_0(-y) \frac{x^\beta}{\beta!} \equiv \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y)$$

is \mathcal{D}_{g_0} -harmonic in x and consists of degree k homogeneous polynomials.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &:= \int_{B_R} \sum_{k=0}^{[\sigma]+1} \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y) \mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi(y) \, dy + \int_{B_R \cap B_{(1+\frac{1}{\sigma})|x|}} \sum_{k \geq [\sigma]+2} \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y) \mathcal{D} \varphi(y) \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial B_R} \sum_{k=0}^{[\sigma]+1} \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y) \gamma_{g_0}(\nu(y)) \varphi(y) \, ds_y, \end{aligned}$$

which can be shown to be \mathcal{D}_{g_0} -harmonic in x , and whose components are homogeneous polynomials of degree at most $[\sigma] + 1$.

The other part

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &= \int_{B_R \setminus B_{(1+\frac{1}{\sigma})|x|}} \sum_{k \geq [\sigma]+2} \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y) \mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi(y) \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial B_R} \sum_{k \geq [\sigma]+2} \mathfrak{G}_k(x, y) \gamma_{g_0}(\mu(y)) \varphi(y) \, ds_y \end{aligned}$$

is readily seen to satisfy (3.2). For more details we refer to [13] and [18, 19]. \square

We remark that $P(0) = 0$, so the vector polynomial P has no degree zero part. The lowest degree part in P , is the leading term for the dimension estimates in next section. Therefore, we restate the result with P homogeneous and \mathcal{D}_{g_0} -harmonic.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(U, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ satisfies $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi \neq 0$ in U , and*

$$|\mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi| \leq C |\psi|^{\bar{\sigma}}$$

for some $C \geq 0$ and $\bar{\sigma} \geq 1$.

Then there exist $k \geq 1$ and $R \in (0, 1)$ such that in the ball $B_R(0) \subset U$ we have the decomposition

$$\varphi(x) = P_k(x) + Q_k(x) \tag{3.3}$$

where $P_k, Q_k: B_R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ satisfy

- $P_k \neq 0$, $\mathcal{D}_{g_0} P_k = 0$, and the nonzero components of P_k are homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k ;
- Q_k is continuous, $Q_k(0) = 0$ and for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a constant $C(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$|Q_k(x)| \leq C(\delta) |x|^{k+\delta}, \quad |\nabla Q_k(x)| \leq C(\delta) |x|^{k+\delta-1}, \quad \text{in } B_R(0).$$

For the proof, given the regularity of φ , the previous results applies and then it suffices to collect the nonzero lowest degree part P_k of P in (3.1), and put $Q_k = (P - P_k) + Q$. That P is nonzero (so that such a k exists) follows from the strong unique continuation principle for the standard Euclidean Dirac operator \mathcal{D}_{g_0} .

4. DIMENSION ESTIMATE OF THE NODAL DOMAIN

In this section we prove that the nodal set $\mathcal{Z}(\psi)$ of a solution $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma_g M)$ to (1.4) has Hausdorff dimension less than or equal to $n - 2$, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1. Note that under the bundle isomorphism β we have $\mathcal{Z}(\psi) = \mathcal{Z}(\varphi)$ where $\psi = \beta(\varphi)$, thus it suffices to consider the Euclidean spinor φ . To this aim we decompose the nodal set as follows

$$\mathcal{Z}(\psi) = \mathcal{Z}_1(\psi) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\psi), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_1(\psi)$ is the set of points where ψ vanishes to first order, that is, where the gradient $\nabla\psi$ does not vanish, while $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\psi)$ denotes the set of points where both ψ and $\nabla\psi$ vanish, namely the *singular set* of ψ , $\mathcal{S}(\psi)$.

In terms of the decomposition (3.3), $\mathcal{Z}_1(\psi) = \mathcal{Z}_1(\varphi)$ corresponds to those points where $k = 1$, while $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\psi) = \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\varphi)$ corresponds to those points where $k \geq 2$.

In the sequel, for simplicity of notation, we assume that a solution $\psi = \beta(\varphi) \in C^{1,\alpha}$ is given and we drop the dependence on it in the notation, whenever there is no ambiguity. Thus we denote the nodal set by \mathcal{Z} , and so on.

We treat differently points in the nodal set, according to the splitting (4.1), and prove the desired dimension estimate for the set \mathcal{Z}_1 first, and then dealing with $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2} = \mathcal{S}$.

4.1. Dimension estimates for \mathcal{Z}_1 . In contrast to scalar functions, whose regular level sets are smooth $(n - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurfaces, we have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *The set \mathcal{Z}_1 is $(n - 2)$ - $C^{1,\alpha}$ -rectifiable.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_1$ and take a normal coordinate chart around $x_0 = 0$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{Z} \cap B_r$ is contained in a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -rectifiable subset of dimension at most $n - 2$ for some small $r > 0$.

By assumptions, the decomposition (3.3) holds around x_0 , with $k = 1$. Then we have $P_1 = (P_1^1, \dots, P_1^{2N})$, each P_1^j being a homogenous polynomial of degree one, and the vector space $\mathcal{P} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{P_1^1, \dots, P_1^{2N}\}$ is non-trivial. We claim that \mathcal{P} cannot be one-dimensional.

By contradiction, suppose that there exists a non-zero linear function $p(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ and constants $c^1, \dots, c^{2N} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$P_1^j = c^j p, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2N$$

where at least one coefficient c^j is non-zero. By (3.1), we have $\nabla Q_1(0) = 0$. Then at $x_0 = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{g_0}\varphi(0) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_\alpha) \nabla_{\partial_\alpha} \varphi(0) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_\alpha) \nabla_{\partial_\alpha} P_1(0).$$

Since $p(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ is linear, up to a linear transformation on $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we may assume that $p(x^1, \dots, x^n) = x^1$, and hence $\nabla_{\partial_\alpha} p = \delta_{1\alpha}$. Consequently, there holds

$$\mathcal{D}_{g_0}\varphi(0) = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} c^1 \\ \vdots \\ c^{2N} \end{pmatrix} \delta_{1\alpha} = \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_1) \begin{pmatrix} c^1 \\ \vdots \\ c^{2N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, since V respects the zero section, $\mathcal{D}\psi(0) = V(0) = 0$, hence also $\mathcal{D}_{g_0}\varphi(0) = 0$. But since $\gamma_{g_0}(\partial_1)$ is invertible, we get $c^1 = \dots = c^{2N} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, the vector space $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{P_1^1, \dots, P_1^{2N}\}$ is at least two-dimensional. We may suppose that P_1^1, P_1^2 are linearly independent, and then so are their gradients $\nabla P_1^1, \nabla P_1^2$. Note that

$$\mathcal{Z} \cap B_\rho = \{x \in B_\rho : \varphi(x) = 0\} \subseteq \{x \in B_\rho : \varphi^1(x) = 0, \varphi^2(x) = 0\} =: \Omega_\rho,$$

and, again using (3.3), $\nabla\varphi^1(0) = \nabla P_1^1(0)$, $\nabla\varphi^2(0) = \nabla P_1^2(0)$ are linearly independent. Then by the implicit function theorem, noting that $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that Ω_ρ is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -submanifold of dimension $(n-2)$, concluding the proof. \square

4.2. Dimension estimates for $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}$. For simplicity, let us assume the spinor φ is defined on the unit ball B_1 , as the argument is local.

Observe that, in terms of the components $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^{2N})$, there holds

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\varphi) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{2N} \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\varphi^j). \quad (4.2)$$

That is, the singular set of the spinor is the intersection of the singular sets of its components. Moreover, in terms of (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\varphi^j) &= \{x_0 \in B_1 : \varphi^j(x_0) = 0, \varphi^j(x) = P_k^j(x - x_0) + Q_k^j(x - x_0), \text{ for some } k \geq 2\} \\ &= \{x_0 \in B_1 : \varphi^j(x_0) = 0, \nabla\varphi^j(x_0) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are led to prove the desired dimension estimate for each set $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}(\varphi^j)$. This is achieved using an argument from [19], as illustrated below.

For fixed $k \geq 2$, denote by $\mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi)$ the set of points for which the homogeneous polynomial part in (3.3) has degree k . This essentially means that φ vanishes there to the order k , a concept which will be made clear later via the frequency function. It is evident that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2} = \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{Z}_k. \quad (4.3)$$

Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result, thanks to (4.2) and (4.3).

Theorem 4.2. *There holds*

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2+\gamma}(\mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^j)) = 0, \quad \forall \gamma > 0, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, 2N\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where \mathcal{H}^β denotes the β -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Observe that [19, Thm. 2.1] applies to $\mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^j)$. It essentially proves that the set is locally contained in a cusp-like neighborhood of a $(n-2)$ -plane. In our context, such result reads

Lemma 4.3. *Take $0 < r < 1$. If $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^j) \cap B_{1-r}$, there exists a ball $B_\delta(x_0)$ and an $(n-2)$ -plane π_{x_0} passing through x_0 such that*

$$\mathcal{Z}_k \cap B_\delta(x_0) \cap B_{1-r/2} \subseteq K(x_0),$$

where $K(x_0) = \{x : C|x - x_0|^{1+\varepsilon} > d(x, \pi_{x_0})\}$, for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Here $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the distance of a point from a set, and the constants $C, \delta > 0$ only depend on r and on a lower bound on $\|P_k\|_{L^2(B_1)}$.

The proof of the above result essentially relies on the decomposition (3.3) and on the properties of homogeneous harmonic polynomials, which represent the leading order term in that expansion. Since the nodal set is then locally ‘squeezed’ on a $(n-2)$ -plane, we can use this information to estimate its Hausdorff dimension by a simple covering argument. Before doing so, we need a technical result.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unit cube and π an $(n-2)$ -plane. Then for any small $\delta > 0$ there exists $N(\delta)$ cubes of sides 2δ covering $\pi \cap K$, with*

$$N(\delta) \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{2\delta} \right)^{n-2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Consider, for simplicity, coordinates such that $\pi = \{x_{n-1} = x_n = 0\}$. Notice that $\pi \cap K$ is contained in an $(n-2)$ -cube of side \sqrt{n} . Covering $\pi \cap K$ with cubes, with disjoint interior, of sides 2δ and parallel to the coordinate axis, for the total volume of the covering one gets

$$(2\delta)^{n-2}N(\delta) \leq (\sqrt{n} + 1)^{n-2},$$

$N(\delta)$ being the number of the cubes involved, and (4.5) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We start by considering, for fixed $0 < \mu < 1$, $\eta > 0$, the set

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^{\mu,\eta}(\varphi^j) = \{x \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi^j) \cap B_{1-\mu} : \|P_k^j\|_{L^2(B_1)} \geq \eta\}.$$

as, in order to apply the previous Lemma 4.3, we need a positive lower bound on $\|P_k^j\|_{L^2(B_1)}$. By such result, we know that there exist $\varepsilon, C_0, l_0 > 0$ such that

$$S := \mathcal{Z}_k^{\mu,\eta}(\varphi^j)$$

is contained in the $(C_0l)^{1+\varepsilon}$ -neighborhood of an $(n-2)$ -plane π , for any $l \leq l_0$.

We now argue by induction, constructing a sequence of coverings of S with cubes of shrinking sides. For a suitable sequence (m_k) (to be chosen later), cover the set S with cubes of side 2^{-m_k} , at step k . Passing to step $k+1$, scale the sides of the cubes by $\delta = 2^{-m_k\varepsilon}$ and apply Lemma 4.4. Then by (4.5) the number N_k of cubes in the covering at step k satisfies

$$N_{k+1} \leq N_k \left(\frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{2^{-m_k\varepsilon+1}} \right)^{n-2}.$$

Choosing $m_{k+1} = m_k(1 + \varepsilon)$, we thus get

$$N_{k+1} \leq N_k \left(\frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{2^{-(1+\varepsilon)^k\varepsilon+1}} \right)^{n-2}.$$

Iterating the estimate, one finds

$$N_{k+1} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{2} \right)^{n-2} \left(2^{\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^k (1+\varepsilon)^j} \right)^{n-2} \leq C^k 2^{(1+\varepsilon)^{k+1}(n-2)},$$

$C = C(n) > 0$ being a dimensional constant. Using the above inequality, we can estimate the $(n-2+\gamma)$ -measure of S as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{n-2+\gamma}(S) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2^{-(1+\varepsilon)^{k+1}})^{n-2+\gamma} N_{k+1} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} C^k 2^{-(1+\varepsilon)^{k+1}\gamma} = 0, \quad \forall \gamma > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ one thus gets

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2+\gamma}(\mathcal{Z}_k \cap B_{1-\mu}) = 0, \quad \forall \gamma > 0,$$

and (4.4) finally follows letting $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$. \square

5. A FREQUENCY FUNCTION FOR SPINORS

In this section we study a version of Almgren's frequency function for spinors (1.6), as anticipated in Section 1. Our aim is to make the notion of vanishing order at point clear for a non-smooth spinor and to obtain a quantitative control on it. In particular, we show that such a function is globally bounded on M (or on a compact region, if the manifold itself is not compact). This strategy is well-known for second order elliptic operators on scalar functions, see [20, 40] and references therein, as well as [44, 28] for the spinorial case. However, here, inspired by [24], we choose to use a version of frequency function which is slightly different from the one typically used in the mentioned references on Dirac equations. We believe that this choice is more suited for our purposes and allows to deal with a larger classe of equations.

We point out that in order to incorporate the influence of the local geometry, we choose to work in the original Riemannian metric g . Alternatively, of course, one could equivalently work in Euclidean frames, by carefully keeping track of the Christoffel symbols.

Generally speaking, the frequency function at a point $x \in M$ usually takes the form

$$N(x, r) = \frac{rD(x, r)}{H(x, r)}, \quad r > 0,$$

with $D(x, r)$ and $H(x, r)$ to be suitably chosen according to the problem under consideration. For the moment the basepoint x will be fixed, thus we will omit it and simply write $H(r)$, $D(r)$ and $N(r)$, regarding them as functions in r . Later on we will also consider the dependence on the basepoint.

If H and D are nonzero (even only for small $r > 0$) and differentiable, then

$$\frac{N'}{N} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{D'}{D} - \frac{H'}{H}.$$

This can be used to show certain (almost) monotonicity of $N(r)$ by analyzing the above differential identity. We refer the reader, for instance, to [1, 24, 27] for the case of second order elliptic equations.

In the argument below local coordinates are understood to be the normal ones.

Moreover, in this section we will assume that the map V in (1.1) satisfies (Vf) or (Vq).

5.1. The denominator function. The choice of the denominator H is quite standard. That is, we take

$$H(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 ds_r = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\psi(r, \theta)|^2 r^{n-1} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(r, \theta))} d\theta.$$

Its derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} H'(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (n-1)r^{n-2} |\psi(r, \theta)|^2 \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(r, \theta))} + 2 \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle r^{n-1} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(r, \theta))} d\theta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\psi(r, \theta)|^2 r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \sqrt{\det(w_{ij}(r, \theta))} d\theta \\ &= \frac{n-1}{r} H(r) + 2 \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle ds_r + \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) ds_r. \end{aligned}$$

5.2. The numerator function. Dealing with an equation of general form as (1.1), the choice of the suitable numerator function is not obvious. This is actually the main difficulty to generalize the frequency method to PDE systems. For Dirac equations, some generalizations are considered in the literature, see e.g. [44, 28, 22], in the setting of Seiberg–Witten equations. However, since we do not find them convenient for our purposes, we prefer to follow [24] and by analogy we consider the function

$$D(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle ds_r.$$

With this choice (5.1) reads as

$$H'(r) = \frac{n-1}{r} H(r) + 2D(r) + \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) ds_r.$$

Moreover, thanks to Gauss–Green formula and Lichnerowicz formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(r) &= \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle ds_r = \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 - \langle \nabla^* \nabla \psi, \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g \\ &= \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - \langle \not{D}V(\psi), \psi \rangle d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the co-area formula

$$D'(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 - \langle \nabla^* \nabla \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r. \quad (5.2)$$

We remark again that $\nabla^* \nabla \psi$ is defined pointwisely for V among the particular types under consideration.

Moreover, by Lichnerowicz formula,

$$D(r) = \int_{B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - |\not{D}\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \gamma(\nu) \not{D}\psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r.$$

In general, the above boundary integral above is troublesome and we do not know how to deal with it in full generality.. However, for V satisfying (Vf) or (Vq), we can still handle it. Indeed, if V is of type (Vf), then due to the skew-symmetry of Clifford multiplications by tangent vectors,

$$\langle \gamma(\nu) \not{D}\psi, \psi \rangle = f(\psi) \langle \gamma(\mu)\psi, \psi \rangle = 0,$$

hence the boundary integral drops out in this case. Then the co-area formula tells

$$D'(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - |V(\psi)|^2 \, ds_r,$$

and in particular, denoting $\|\text{Scal}\| = \|\text{Scal}\|_{L^\infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D'(r) - \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 \, ds_r &= \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - |V(\psi)|^2 \, ds_r \\ &\geq - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r = - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) H(r). \end{aligned}$$

If V is of type (Vq), then

$$D'(r) = \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - \langle \not{D}V(\psi), \psi \rangle \, ds_r.$$

and by the condition (Vq) we have

$$D'(r) - \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 \, ds_r \geq - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_q(1 + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty(K)}) \right) H(r).$$

Thus in the cases under consideration we have

$$D'(r) - \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla\psi|^2 \, ds_r \geq -C_5 H(r) \quad (5.3)$$

for some constant

$$C_5 = C_5(\|\text{Scal}\|, C_0, C_q, \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty}) > 0.$$

This fact will be of crucial importance in later arguments.

In contrast to the case of the scalar functions treated in [24], here it is unclear whether the numerator function $D(r)$ is positive, even for small $r > 0$. Actually, what we really need is the local almost positivity of (1.6), in the sense that for any $C_N > 0$, the quantity $N(r) + C_N$ is positive for small $r > 0$.

Proposition 5.1. *Fix $C_N > 0$. There exists $r_4 > 0$ such that for any $0 < r < r_4$ there holds*

$$N(r) + C_N(r) > 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Observe that (5.4) is equivalent to the local positivity of $rD(r) + C_N H(r)$ for small $r > 0$. For V of type (Vf) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& rD(r) + C_N H(r) \\
&= r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - |V(\psi)|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + C_N \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \\
&\geq r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) r \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + C_N \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \\
&\geq r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) C_3^2 r^3 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) C_3 r^2 \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r + C_N \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \\
&\geq r \left(1 - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) C_3^2 r^2 \right) \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\
&\quad + \left(C_N - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_0 \right) C_3 r^2 \right) \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists $r_4 > 0$ and $C_4 > 0$ such that for $0 < r < r_4$

$$rD(r) + C_N H(r) \geq C_4 r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + C_4 \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \quad (5.5)$$

which is positive.

Meanwhile, if V is of type (Vq), since $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$, the gradient $|\nabla \psi|$ is locally uniformly bounded, so that

$$|\mathcal{D}V(\psi)| \leq C_q (|\psi| + |\psi| |\nabla \psi|) \leq C'_q |\psi|$$

near the zero section locally; for simplicity we will write C_q for C'_q , hence the assumption (Vq) becomes (locally)

$$|\mathcal{D}V(\psi)| \leq C_q |\psi|.$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
& rD(r) + C_N H(r) \\
&= r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 - \langle \mathcal{D}V(\psi), \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g + C_N \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \\
&\geq r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_q \right) \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g + C_N \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r
\end{aligned}$$

and then the same argument as above works also in this case. \square

We remark that the constant C_N in (5.5) can be very small (and then choose r_4 accordingly), reflecting the fact that $D(r)$ is “nonnegative” locally near $r = 0$.

5.3. Almost monotonicity of $N(r) + C_N$. Now fix a positive constant C_N and consider the function $N(r) + C_N$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dr} (N(r) + C_N) = N'(r) = \left(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{D'}{D} - \frac{H'}{H} \right) N(r)$$

as long as the RHS is defined.

Lemma 5.2. *There exist a small radius $r_{am} > 0$ and constants $C_{am} > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$N'(r) \geq -C_{am} r^\beta (N(r) + C_N), \quad \forall r \in (0, r_{am}). \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. By (5.1), the inequality (5.6) is equivalent to

$$(2 - n + C_{\text{am}}r^{1+\beta})DH + C_{\text{am}}C_Nr^\beta H^2 + rHD' \geq 2rD^2 + rD \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) \, ds_r.$$

By Cauchy–Schwartz we have

$$D(r)^2 = \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_\nu \psi|^2 \, ds_r \right) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r \right)$$

so that

$$2rD(r)^2 \leq 2rH(r) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_\nu \psi|^2 \, ds_r \right).$$

To handle with $D'(r)$ we use the Pohozaev type formula for spinors (2.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, ds_r &= \frac{n-2}{r} \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r + 2 \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_\nu \psi|^2 \, ds_r \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{r} \int_{B_r} \langle R_{\bar{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g + \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} \langle 2\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D'(r) &= \frac{n-2}{r} D(r) + 2 \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_\nu \psi|^2 \, ds_r - \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla^* \nabla \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{r} \int_{B_r} \langle R_{\bar{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g + \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_r} \langle 2\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{am}}r^{1+\beta}HD + C_{\text{am}}C_Nr^\beta H^2 - rH(r) \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, ds_r \right) \\ + H(r) \left(\int_{B_r} 2 \langle R_{\bar{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + \langle 2\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol} \right) \\ \geq rD(r) \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) \, ds_r. \quad (5.7) \end{aligned}$$

At place where $H(r) = 0$, (5.7) trivially holds, so w.l.o.g. we may assume $H(r) > 0$ and (5.7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{am}}r^{1+\beta}D + C_{\text{am}}C_Nr^\beta H - r \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, ds_r \right) \\ + \left(\int_{B_r} 2 \langle R_{\bar{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + \langle 2\nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol} \right) \\ \geq rD(r) \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) \, ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r}. \quad (5.8) \end{aligned}$$

Recall that from (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$-r \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, ds_r \right) \geq -C_5 r H(r).$$

Next we estimate the integrals over B_r . By the Hardy type inequality (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} 2 \langle R_{\vec{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol} &\leq 2|\vec{x}| \|R\| \int_{B_r} |\psi| |\nabla \psi| \, d\text{vol} \leq r \|R\| \int_{B_r} \frac{1}{r} |\psi|^2 + r |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol} \\ &\leq r \|R\| \left(C_3 H(r) + (1 + C_3^2) r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, dx \right) \\ &\leq C_3 \|R\| r H(r) + \|R\| (1 + C_3^2) r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lichnerowicz formular, we have

$$\int_{B_r} 2 \langle \nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g = \int_{B_r} 2 \left\langle \nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi, \mathcal{D}V(\psi) - \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} \psi \right\rangle \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

For V of type (Vf), recalling that (DV-f), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} 2 \langle \nabla_{\vec{x}} \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g &\geq -2r \int_{B_r} C_f |\psi|^\tau |\nabla \psi|^2 + \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_f \right) |\psi| |\nabla \psi| \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\geq -2C_f r \int_{B_r} |\psi|^\tau |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_f \right) r \int_{B_r} \frac{|\psi|^2}{r} + r |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\geq -2C_f r \int_{B_r} |\psi|^\tau |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_f \right) r \left(C_3 H(r) + (1 + C_3^2) r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right) \\ &\geq - \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_f \right) C_3 r H(r) \\ &\quad - r \left(2C_f \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^\tau + \left(\frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} + C_f \right) (1 + C_3^2) r \right) \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^\tau \leq C r^{(1+\alpha)\tau}$. For V of type (Vq), the situation is similar but easier, since (Vq) is stronger than (DV-f).

We turn to the estimate for

$$(n-2) \int_{B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g = (n-2) \int_{B_r} \left\langle \psi, \mathcal{D}^2 \psi + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} \psi \right\rangle \, d\text{vol}_g.$$

For V of type (Vf) integrating by parts one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2) \int_{B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g &= (n-2) \int_{B_r} |\mathcal{D}\psi|^2 + \frac{\text{Scal}}{4} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\geq -(n-2) \left(C_0 + \frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} \right) \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\geq -(n-2) \left(C_0 + \frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} \right) \left(C_3 r H(r) + C_3^2 r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right); \end{aligned}$$

while for V of type (Vq) we use (Vq) to get

$$\begin{aligned} (n-2) \int_{B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle \, d\text{vol}_g &\geq -(n-2) \left(C_q + \frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} \right) \int_{B_r} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \\ &\geq -(n-2) \left(C_q + \frac{\|\text{Scal}\|}{4} \right) \left(C_3 r H(r) + C_3^2 r^2 \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \right). \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_{\text{am}}r^{1+\beta}D + C_{\text{am}}C_Nr^\beta H - r \left(\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle ds_r \right) \\
& + \left(\int_{B_r} 2 \langle R_{\bar{x}, e_j} \psi, \nabla_j \psi \rangle + \langle 2 \nabla_{\bar{x}} \psi + (n-2)\psi, \nabla^* \nabla \psi \rangle d\text{vol} \right) \\
& \geq C_{\text{am}}r^\beta (rD(r) + C_N H(r)) - CrH(r) \\
& \quad - C(r + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^\tau) r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g \\
& \geq C_{\text{am}}r^\beta \left(C_4 r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g + C_4 H(r) \right) - CrH(r) \\
& \quad - C(r + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^\tau) r \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g.
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (5.5), and that C is a universal constant. Moreover, note that the right hand side of (5.8) can be estimated by

$$rD(r) \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 ds_r} \leq C_2 r^2 D(r)$$

and $D(r)$ can be in turn estimated, for V of type (Vf) or (Vq), by

$$D(r) \leq C \int_{B_r} |\nabla \psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g + CH(r).$$

Recall that $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$ and then $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(B_r)}^\tau \leq Cr^{(1+\alpha)\tau}$. Thus we can choose $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $r_{\text{am}} > 0$ small, C_{am} large enough, so that (5.6) holds. \square

5.4. A unique continuation principle. As a first consequence of (5.6), we first show that ψ cannot vanish in an open subset of Ω .

First recall the formula (5.1):

$$H'(r) = \frac{n-1}{r}H + 2D(r) + \int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) ds_r \geq \frac{2}{r}(rD(r) + \frac{n-1}{2}H(r) - \frac{C_2 r^2}{2}H(r))$$

which is nonnegative for small $r \in (0, r_4)$; and $H(r)$ is thus locally non-decreasing.

We argue by contradiction and assume that ψ vanishes in $B_{\rho_1}(y)$ but does not vanish identically in $B_{\rho_2}(y)$ for some $y \in \Omega$ and $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < r_4(y)$ (note that r_4 may taken to be independent of y). Then, by the above monotonicity of $H(r)$, there exists $\rho_* \in [\rho_1, \rho_2]$ such that

- $H(\rho) = 0$ for all $\rho \leq \rho_*$;
- $H(\rho) > 0$ for $\rho \in (\rho_*, r_4)$.

Then for any $\rho_* < s < t < r_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log H(t) - \log H(s) &= \int_s^t (\log H)'(r) dr = \int_s^t \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{2}{r}N(r) + \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 ds_r} dr \\
&\leq (n-1 + 2 \sup_{r \in [s, t]} N(r)) \int_s^t \frac{1}{r} dr + \int_s^t C_2 r dr \\
&\leq (n-1 + 2 \sup_{r \in [s, t]} N(r)) \log \frac{t}{s} + \frac{1}{2}C_2(t^2 - s^2),
\end{aligned}$$

namely, we obtain a Harnack type inequality:

$$e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2} H(t) \leq e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}s^2} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{n-1+2\sup_{[s,t]} N} H(s).$$

Meanwhile for $\rho_* < r < r_4$, $N(r)$ is well-defined and positive, and

$$\frac{d}{dr} \log(N(r) + C_N) \geq -C_{\text{am}} r^\beta$$

from which it follows that

$$\log \frac{N(t) + C_N}{N(s) + C_N} \geq -\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta + 1} (t^{\beta+1} - s^{\beta+1})$$

and hence

$$N(s) + C_N \leq \exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta + 1} (t^{\beta+1} - s^{\beta+1})\right) (N(t) + C_N).$$

In particular, $N(\rho)$ (for $\rho \in (\rho_*, r_2)$) is uniformly bounded by

$$\sup_{\rho \in [s, t]} N(\rho) \leq \exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta + 1} (r_2^{\beta+1} - \rho_*^{\beta+1})\right) (N(r_2) + C_N) - C_N \equiv N^*.$$

and consequently

$$e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2} H(t) \leq e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}s^2} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{n-1+2N^*} H(s).$$

Letting $s \searrow \rho_*$ we would get

$$H(t) = 0$$

for any $t \in (\rho_*, r_4)$, which is a contradiction. Thus the unique continuation property holds for ψ and $H(r) > 0$ for any $r \in (0, r_4)$.

5.5. Almost monotonicity for $N(r)$. Now for a nonzero solution ψ of (1.1), assuming (Vf) or (Vq), the frequency function $N(y, r)$ is well-defined for $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$ and $0 < r < r_4$. Moreover, from Lemma 5.2 it follows that, for any $0 < s < t < r_4$,

$$N(s) + C_N \leq \exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta + 1} (t^{\beta+1} - s^{\beta+1})\right) (N(t) + C_N).$$

Equivalently, this can be rephrased as follows.

Lemma 5.3. *The function*

$$\exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta + 1} s^{\beta+1}\right) (N(s) + C_N), \quad s \in (0, r_4)$$

is monotonely increasing.

Corollary 5.4.

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} N(y, s) =: N(y, 0) \tag{5.9}$$

exists for all $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$.

The frequency function acutally describes the vanishing order of the spinor $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$. Indeed, if $P = P_k$ is a \mathcal{D}_{g_0} -harmonic spinor with the nonzero components consisting of homogeneous degree k polynomials, then, by Euler's theorem for homogeneous functions,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N_P(0, r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r \int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu P, P \rangle ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |P|^2 ds_r} = k.$$

This justifies the following

Definition 5.5. Let ψ be a solution to (1.4) and take $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$. The vanishing order of ψ at y is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}(y) = N_\psi(y, 0) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N_\psi(y, r)$$

where the limit exists thanks to (5.9).

Let ψ be a solution of (1.1) and let $x_0 = 0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$. Thanks to the decomposition (3.1) of $\varphi = \beta^{-1}(\psi)$ in Lemma 3.1 at the point x_0 ,

$$\mathcal{O}(x_0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} N_\psi(x_0, r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu \psi, \psi \rangle \, ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r \frac{\int_{\partial B_r} \langle \nabla_\nu P, P \rangle \, ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r} |P|^2 \, ds_r} = k$$

where in the φ or P formulation, the metric is Euclidean. Thus we see that the degree of the homogeneous polynomial P is precisely the vanishing order of ψ at the basepoint of the local coordinates. The frequency function is a powerful tool in studying the nodal sets. In particular, it helps to control the vanishing order of a spinor ψ in a large scale, as shown in next subsection.

5.6. Local uniform upper bound for the frequency. We have seen that

$$N(x, r) = \frac{rD(x, r)}{H(x, r)}$$

is almost monotone increasing in r (in the sense of Lemma 5.3), where x is fixed. In this section we derive an upper bound for the frequency function, locally uniform in x .

We will assume that the injectivity radius of M is larger than r_{am} , so that we are always referring to geodesic balls in normal coordinates, without worrying about overlapping.

Lemma 5.6. Let ψ be a solution of (1.1), assuming (Vf) or (Vq), and $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi)$. Then there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that for any $x \in B_{r_{\text{am}}/4}(x_0)$ such that $B_{2r}(x) \subset B_{r_{\text{am}}}(0)$, we have

$$N(x, r) \leq C_4(N(x_0, r_{\text{am}}) + 1).$$

This is a well-known result for harmonic functions and, more generally, for solutions to scalar second order elliptic equations, for which we refer the reader to [20, 40] and references therein.

Proof. For simplicity of notation we take $x_0 = 0$ and $r_{\text{am}} = 1$. Thus we need to show that for $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$ and $2r < 1 - |x|$,

$$N(x, r) \leq C_4(N(0, 1) + 1).$$

We may further assume that $r_{\text{am}}(x) = r_{\text{am}}$ for all $x \in B_{r_{\text{am}}/2}(0)$, so that the almost monotonicity always holds for $r < r_{\text{am}}$ and $x \in B_{r_{\text{am}}/2}(0)$.

Recall that

$$\frac{\partial H(x, r)}{\partial r} = \frac{n-1}{r} H(x, r) + 2D(x, r) + \int_{\partial B_r(x)} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) \, ds_r.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial r} H(x, r)}{H(x, r)} = \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{2}{r} N(x, r) + \mathcal{W}(x, r), \quad \text{with } \mathcal{W}(x, r) := \frac{\int_{\partial B_r(x)} |\psi|^2 W(r, \theta) \, ds_r}{\int_{\partial B_r(x)} |\psi|^2 \, ds_r}$$

and $|\mathcal{W}(x, r)| \leq C_2 r$. It follows that, for $s, t \in (0, r_{\text{am}} - |x|)$,

$$\frac{H(x, t)}{H(x, s)} = \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{n-1-2C_N} \exp\left(\int_s^t \frac{2(N(x, r) + C_N)}{r} \, dr\right) \exp\left(\int_s^t \mathcal{W}(r) \, dr\right).$$

Let $R_3 < R_2 < R_1 < 1 - |x|$, which are to be chosen later. The argument involves changing of centers and radii. We split it into three steps.

Step 1. Since $H(x, r)$ is non-decreasing in $r \in (0, r_{\text{am}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \geq \int_{B_{R_1}(x) \setminus B_{R_2}(x)} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g = \int_{R_2}^{R_1} H(x, r) \, dr \\ &= \int_{R_2}^{R_1} dr \cdot H(x, R_2) \left(\frac{r}{R_2} \right)^{n-1-2C_N} \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \frac{2(N(x, t) + C_N)}{t} \, dt \right) \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \mathscr{W}(x, t) \, dt \right) \\ &= \frac{H(x, R_2)}{R_2^{n-1-2C_N}} \int_{R_2}^{R_1} dr \cdot r^{n-1-2C_N} \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \frac{2(N(x, t) + C_N)}{t} \, dt \right) \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \mathscr{W}(x, t) \, dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

As observed before, for $r \in [R_2, R_1]$,

$$\int_{R_2}^r \mathscr{W}(x, t) \, dt \geq -\frac{C_2}{2}(r^2 - R_2^2) \geq -\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1^2 - R_2^2),$$

and by the almost monotonicity of frequency function,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_2}^r \frac{2(N(x, t) + C_N)}{t} \, dt &\geq 2e^{\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta+1}(R_2^{\beta+1} - R_1^{\beta+1})} (N(x, R_2) + C_N) \int_{R_2}^r \frac{1}{t} \, dt \\ &= 2e^{\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta+1}(R_2^{\beta+1} - R_1^{\beta+1})} (N(x, R_2) + C_N) \ln \frac{r}{R_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by denoting $A_1 \equiv 2e^{\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta+1}(R_2^{\beta+1} - R_1^{\beta+1})} (N(x, R_2) + C_N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g &\geq \frac{H(x, R_2)}{R_2^{n-1-2C_N}} e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1^2 - R_2^2)} \int_{R_2}^{R_1} r^{n-1-2C_N} \left(\frac{r}{R_2} \right)^{A_1} \, dr \quad (5.10) \\ &= \frac{H(x, R_2)}{R_2^{n-1-2C_N}} \frac{e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1^2 - R_2^2)}}{R_2^{A_1}} \frac{1}{n - 2C_N + A_1} \left(R_1^{n-2C_N+A_1} - R_2^{n-2C_N+A_1} \right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1^2 - R_2^2)} \frac{R_2 H(x, R_2)}{n - 2C_N + A_1} \left(\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_1} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can bound the LHS from above as follows. Indeed,

$$\int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g \leq \int_{B_{R_1+|x|}(0)} |\psi|^2 \, d\text{vol}_g = \int_0^{R_1+|x|} H(0, r) \, dr.$$

By a change of variables $\rho = \frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \rho$ where $\rho \in [0, R_3]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{R_1+|x|} H(0, r) \, dr = \int_0^{R_3} H\left(0, \frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \rho\right) \frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \, d\rho \\ &= \left(\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \right)^{n-2C_N} \int_0^{R_3} H(0, \rho) \exp \left(\int_\rho^{\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \rho} \frac{2(N(0, t) + C_N)}{t} \, dt \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \exp \left(\int_\rho^{\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \rho} \mathscr{W}(0, t) \, dt \right) \, d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_\rho^{\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \rho} \mathscr{W}(0, t) \, dt \leq \frac{C_2}{2} \left(\left(\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3} \right)^2 - 1 \right) \rho^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{2} (R_1 + |x|)^2 \leq \frac{C_2}{2}$$

where we have used the conventional assumption $r_3 = 1$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\rho}^{\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3}} \frac{2(N(0,t) + C_N)}{t} dt &\leq 2(N(0,1) + C_N) e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(1-\rho^{\beta+1})} \int_{\rho}^{\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3}} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\leq 2(N(0,1) + C_N) e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}} \ln \left(\frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, denoting $A_2 \equiv 2(N(0,1) + C_N) e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_1}(x)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g &\leq e^{\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1+|x|)^2} \left(\frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_2} \int_0^{R_3} H(0, \rho) d\rho \\ &= e^{\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1+|x|)^2} \left(\frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_2} \int_{B_{R_3}(0)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Combine (5.10) and (5.11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1^2-R_2^2)} \frac{R_2 H(x, R_2)}{n-2C_N+A_1} \left(\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_1} - 1 \right) \\ \leq e^{\frac{C_2}{2}(R_1+|x|)^2} \left(\frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_2} \int_{B_{R_3}(0)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

with

$$A_1 \equiv 2e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(R_2^{\beta+1}-R_1^{\beta+1})} (N(x, R_2) + C_N), \quad A_2 \equiv 2(N(0,1) + C_N) e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}}.$$

Step 2. If $B_{R_3}(0) \subset B_{R_2}(x)$ then, by the coarea formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R_3}(0)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g &\leq \int_{B_{R_2}(x)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g = \int_0^{R_2} H(x, r) dr \\ &= \int_0^{R_2} H(x, R_2) \left(\frac{r}{R_2} \right)^{n-1-2C_N} \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \frac{2(N(x,t) + C_N)}{t} dt \right) \exp \left(\int_{R_2}^r \mathscr{W}(x, t) dt \right) dr \\ &= \frac{R_2 H(x, R_2)}{R_2^{n-2C_N}} \int_0^{R_2} r^{n-1-2C_N} \exp \left(\int_r^{R_2} -\frac{2(N(x,t) + C_N)}{t} dt \right) \exp \left(\int_r^{R_2} -\mathscr{W}(x, t) dt \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_r^{R_2} -\mathscr{W}(x, t) dt &\leq \frac{C_2}{2}(R_2^2 - r^2) \leq \frac{C_2}{2} R_2^2, \\ \int_r^{R_2} -\frac{2(N(x,t) + C_N)}{t} dt &\leq \int_r^{R_2} \frac{-2}{t} (N(x,0) + C_N) e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(-t^{\beta+1})} \\ &= 2(N(x,0) + C_N) e^{-\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1} R_2^{\beta+1}} \ln \frac{r}{R_2} = A_3 \ln \frac{r}{R_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_3 \equiv 2(N(x,0) + C_N) e^{-\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1} R_2^{\beta+1}}$, we have the estimate

$$\int_{B_{R_3}(0)} |\psi|^2 d\text{vol}_g \leq e^{\frac{C_2}{2} R_2^2} \frac{R_2 H(x, R_2)}{n-2C_N+A_3}.$$

Substituting this into (5.12), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_1} \leq 1 + e^{\frac{C_2}{2}[(R_1+|x|)^2+R_1^2]} \left(\frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3} \right)^{n-2C_N+A_2} \frac{n-2C_N+A_1}{n-2C_N+A_3}. \quad (5.13)$$

Moreover, by the almost monotonicity of frequency function,

$$A_3 = 2e^{-\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}R_2^{\beta+1}}(N(x, 0) + C_N) \leq 2(N(x, R_2) + C_N) = e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(R_1^{\beta+1} - R_2^{\beta+1})}A_1.$$

In particular, since both R_1 and R_2 are small (since r_{am} should be small, although we notationally take $r_{am} = 1$ here), we have

$$\frac{n - 2C_N + A_1}{n - 2C_N + A_3} \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 + A_1\right).$$

Thus from (5.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^{n-2C_N+A_1} &\leq 3e^{\frac{C_2}{2}[(R_1+|x|)^2+R_1^2]} \left(\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3}\right)^{n-2C_N+A_2} \frac{n-2C_N+A_1}{n-2C_N+A_3} \\ &\leq 3A_1e^{C_2} \left(\frac{R_1+|x|}{R_3}\right)^{n-2C_N+A_2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 2C_N + 2e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(R_2^{\beta+1} - R_1^{\beta+1})}(N(x, R_2) + C_N)) \ln \frac{R_1}{R_2} \\ \leq \ln(N(x, R_2) + C_N) + C_2 + \ln 6 + (n - 2C_N + 2e^{\frac{C_{am}}{\beta+1}(N(0, 1) + C_N)}) \ln \frac{R_1 + |x|}{R_3}, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$N(x, R_2) + C_N \leq C(N(0, 1) + C_N + 1)$$

for some $C = C(R_1, R_2, R_3, C_{am}, \beta)$.

Finally, to see that the above estimate is uniform for $x \in B_{1/4}(0)$, we may take $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{8}$,

$$\rho \equiv \frac{1}{2} - 2\varepsilon - |x| = \frac{1}{4} - |x|,$$

and

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \rho + \varepsilon, \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \rho, \quad R_3 = \varepsilon = \frac{1}{8}.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let (M^n, g) be a compact manifold and ψ solve (1.1), with V of type (Vf) or (Vq). Let $N_\psi(x, r)$ denote the corresponding frequency function. Then the set*

$$\{N_\psi(x, 0) \mid x \in M\}$$

is uniformly bounded in \mathbb{R}_+ (say by \mathcal{O}_{\max}).

This follows by a standard covering argument, where the cover is finite since M is compact.

6. STRATIFICATION OF THE NODAL SET

Before proving Theorem 1.4 we need to establish various technical results. Our strategy is motivated from that in [27], where the author deals with the singular set of a scalar function solving a second order elliptic equation.

Let $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}(B_1, \mathbb{C}^N)$ be a solution to (1.4), under the assumptions of 1.4. Thanks to 4.1 we only need to deal with $\mathcal{Z}_{\geq 2}$, that is, with points where the spinor vanishes at least to order two.

Recall that

$$\mathcal{Z}(\psi) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi).$$

Then by 5.6 one sees that for any $r \in (0, 1)$ there exists an integer $\bar{k} = \bar{k}(r)$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(\psi) \cap B_r = \emptyset, \quad \forall k > \bar{k}.$$

Since locally in B_r we have $\psi = \beta(\varphi)$, see Section 2.1, where β is a bundle isomorphism, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(\psi) \cap B_r = \mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi) \cap B_r.$$

This fact will be used implicitly in this section and helps us to get control of $\mathcal{Z}(\psi)$ via φ ; loosely speaking one can identify ψ locally with φ without loss of much information.

We are interested in studying the local behavior of ψ near each point in the nodal set. To this aim, for each $p \in \mathcal{Z}(\psi) \cap B_{1/2}$, for any $r \in (0, (1 - |p|)/2)$ we define

$$\psi_{p,r}(x) := \frac{\psi(p + rx)}{\left(\int_{\partial B_r(p)} |\psi|^2 ds_r\right)^{1/2}}, \quad x \in B_2. \quad (6.1)$$

Using 3.2, we see that

$$\psi_{p,r} \rightarrow \Psi_p, \quad \text{in } L^2(B_2(0)), \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where Ψ_p coincides with the leading term P in the expansion (3.3), normalized so that $\|\varphi_p\|_{L^2(\partial B_1)} = 1$. As such, the spinor Ψ_p solves $\mathcal{D}_{g_0} \Psi_p = 0$, with respect to the Euclidean metric.

Definition 6.1. *The spinor Ψ_p defined above is called a homogeneous blow-up of ψ at the point p .*

By the above argument, the blow-up is unique. Notice that this fact might not be true in full generality, but it is not unexpected in this case since the solution is already known to be $C^{1,\alpha}$ and we have the decomposition in 3.1. When it does not cause ambiguity, we will simply denote the blow-up by Ψ , for simplicity.

Observe that since Ψ is smooth Euclidean spinor, the vanishing order at its nodal points is understood in classical sense. Thus

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \partial^\alpha \Psi^j(x) = 0, \forall |\alpha| \leq k - 1; \exists 1 \leq j \leq N, \exists |\beta| = k + 1, \partial^\beta \Psi^j(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Proposition 6.2. *$\mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi)$ is a linear subspace and there holds*

$$\Psi(x) = \Psi(x + y), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall y \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi). \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. This is a standard fact for the homogeneous harmonic polynomials. Indeed, since the components of Ψ are homogeneous polynomials, it is immediate that $0 \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi)$. Take $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi)$ and $j = 1, \dots, N$, so that

$$\partial^\alpha \Psi^j(y) = 0, \quad \forall |\alpha| \leq k - 1.$$

Assuming that

$$\Psi^j(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha^j x^\alpha,$$

then

$$\Psi^j(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha^j (x - y)^\alpha,$$

and hence

$$\Psi(x + y) = \Psi(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, .$$

Again, since each Ψ^j is a homogeneous polynomial, then

$$\Psi(x + \lambda y) = \Psi(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\partial^\alpha \Psi(\lambda y) = 0, \quad \forall |\alpha| \leq k-1.$$

Thus $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi)$ is a *linear subspace* and (6.2) holds. \square

Observe that Ψ is essentially a function of $(n - \dim \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi))$ -variables up to a change of variables, thanks to (6.2). We claim that

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi) \leq n - 2.$$

Indeed, by contradiction, assume $\dim \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi) = n - 1$. Then the nonzero components of Ψ would be degree k monomials of one variable, say $(x^1)^k$. Combining the fact that $\mathcal{D}\Psi = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}^2 = -\Delta$, on the Euclidean space, then one finds $-\Delta\Psi = 0$, and thus $k = 0$ or $k = 1$. But this is impossible, since we are assuming $k \geq 2$.

Now fix $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi)$ and consider the corresponding homogeneous blow-up Ψ_y . Set

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi) = \{y \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi) : \dim \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi_y) = l\}, \quad (6.3)$$

for $l = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(y_m) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi)$ be a sequence of points such that $y_m \rightarrow y \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi)$. Consider the leading terms P_k^m, P_k of $\varphi = \beta^{-1}(\psi)$ in the decomposition (3.3) at y_m and y , respectively. Then*

$$P_k^m \rightarrow P_k, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

in C^k -norm, on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $y = 0$ is the center of the local coordinate chart $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\varphi(x) = P_k(x) + Q_k(x)$$

for some homogeneous harmonic P_k , as in 3.2. Denote

$$\varphi_m(x) := \varphi(y_m + x).$$

Then the corresponding decomposition for ψ_m at 0 is precisely given by P_k^m .

Since $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}$, the spinors φ_m converges in $C^{1,\alpha}(B_{1-\varepsilon})$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. By the proof of 3.1 we see that $P_k^m \rightarrow P_k$ uniformly in $B_{1-2\varepsilon}$. But the degree k homogeneous harmonic polynomials in \mathbb{R}^n constitute a finite dimensional vector space, on which all the norms are equivalent, hence they also converge in C^k . \square

Remark 4. *The vanishing order is well-known to be upper semi-continuous. For a sequence $y_m \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi)$ which converges to $y \in \mathcal{Z}_l(\psi)$, then necessarily $l \geq k$; and if $l > k$, then actually $P_k^m \rightarrow 0$ in C^k .*

Lemma 6.4. *The set $\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$ is contained in the countable union of l -dimensional C^1 graphs, for any $l = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2$.*

Proof. Given $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$, let ℓ_y be the l -dimensional linear space $\mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi_y) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

Step 1. We start by proving that, for any sequence of points $(y_m) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$ which converges to y , there holds

$$\text{angle}\langle \overline{yy_m}, \ell_y \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.4)$$

where by $\overline{yy_m}$ we denote the segment connecting the points y and y_m .

We may assume $y = 0$ and up to subsequence $\xi_m = y_m/|y_m| \rightarrow \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then ξ_m is a zero of the spinor $\psi_{0,|y_m|}$, defined as in (6.1), with vanishing order k .

We now claim that

$$\psi_{0,|y_m|} \rightarrow \Psi_y, \quad \text{in } C^{1,\alpha}, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

and that

$$\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_k(\Psi_y) = \ell_y. \quad (6.5)$$

Note that (6.5) immediately implies (6.4).

Indeed, since ψ is a solution of (1.1), the local spinor $\varphi = \beta^{-1}(\psi)$ solves the equation (2.1), while the local Euclidean spinor $\varphi_{0,|y_m|} := \beta^{-1}(\psi_{0,|y_m|})$ solves the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{g_0} \varphi_{0,|y_m|}(x) &= \frac{|y_m|}{\left(\int_{\partial B_{|y_m|}} |\psi|^2 ds_{|y_m|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tilde{V}(\varphi)(|y_m|x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} (b_i^j(|y_m|x) - \delta_i^j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \nabla_{p_i}^0 \varphi_{0,|y_m|}(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{|y_m|}{4} \sum_{i,j,k} \Gamma_{ij}^k(|y_m|x) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_i) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_j) \gamma_{g_0}(\partial_k) \varphi_{0,|y_m|}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $y = 0$ and $|y_m| \rightarrow 0$, the right hand sides converges locally uniformly to zero. Thus $\varphi_{0,|y_m|}$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}(B_2)$ to a harmonic spinor, which is Ψ_y ; and so is $\psi_{0,|y_m|} = \beta^{-1}(\varphi_{0,|y_m|})$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\psi_{0,|y_m|}}(\xi_m) + C_N &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta+1} r^{\beta+1}\right) \left(N_{\psi_{0,|y_m|}}(\xi_m, r) + C_N\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{C_{\text{am}}}{\beta+1} r^{\beta+1}\right) \left(N_{\Psi_y}(\xi, r) + C_N\right) \end{aligned}$$

and then sending $r \rightarrow 0+$ we see that

$$k = \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{O}_{\psi_{0,|y_m|}}(\xi_m) \leq \mathcal{O}_{\Psi_y}(\xi),$$

which is essentially the upper semi-continuity of the vanishing order, defined via frequency functions. But Ψ_y is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree k , so $\mathcal{O}_{\Psi_y}(\xi) = k$, thus $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}(\Psi_y) = \ell_y$.

Step 2. By (6.4) we see that, for any $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there exists $r = r(y, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi) \cap B_r(y) \subseteq B_r(y) \cap \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(\ell_y), \quad (6.6)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(\ell_y)$ is the ε -cone around ℓ_y :

$$\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon(\ell_y) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(z, \ell_y) \leq \varepsilon|z|\}.$$

Let P_k^m and P_k be the leading polynomial of ψ at y_m and at y , respectively. By Lemma 6.3 one sees that

$$\ell_{y_m} \rightarrow \ell_y, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

as subspaces. Then (6.6) holds uniformly near y , in the sense that for any $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there exists a radius $r = r(y, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi) \cap B_r(y) \subseteq C_\varepsilon(\ell_z) \cap B_r(y), \quad \text{for any } z \in \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi) \cap B_r(z).$$

Thus, taking $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough this implies that $\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi) \cap B_r(y)$ is contained in a l -dimensional Lipschitz graph, which in addition is C^1 by (6.4). \square

The regularity of the top-dimensional stratum $\mathcal{Z}_k^{n-2}(\psi)$ can be improved.

Lemma 6.5. $\mathcal{Z}_k^{n-2}(\psi)$ is contained in the countable union of $(n-2)$ -dimensional $C^{1,\alpha'}$ manifolds, for some $0 < \alpha' < 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to [27, Lemma 2.4], and we give it here for completeness. Let $y \in \mathcal{Z}_k^{n-2}(\psi)$. Take a local chart so that $y = 0$, and let P_k be the spinor in (3.3) near $y = 0$, whose components are either zero or homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k , depending on two variables. Decomposing

$$x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{Z}_k(\varphi_0) = \mathbb{R}^n,$$

consider $x \in \mathcal{Z}_k^{n-2}(\psi)$ close to the origin $y = 0$. Since $k \geq 2$, we have $\nabla\psi(x) = 0$, and hence

$$\nabla P_k(x) = -\nabla(\varphi - P_k)(x),$$

and by (3.3) we see that

$$|x_1|^{k-1} \leq C|x|^{k-1+\delta},$$

that is

$$|x_1| \leq C|x|^{1+\frac{\delta}{k-1}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant. By Lemma 6.4, the local $(n-2)$ -dimensional C^1 manifold containing the set $\mathcal{Z}_k^{n-2}(\psi)$ near $p = 0$ is actually of class $C^{1,\alpha'}$ with $\alpha' \leq \frac{\delta}{k-1}$. \square

Collecting the above results we get the following

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Recall that there holds

$$\mathcal{Z}(\psi) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{Z}_k(\psi) = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \bigcup_{l=0}^{n-2} \mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_k(\psi)$ is the set of points where the spinor vanishes to order k . The sets $\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$ are defined in (6.3). The claim thus follows combining Lemma 4.1, dealing with points of order $k = 1$, while the sets $\mathcal{Z}_k^l(\psi)$, $2 \leq k \leq \mathcal{O}_{\max}$, $l = 0, \dots, n-2$ are considered in Lemma 6.4 and finally, noting that $2 \leq k \leq \mathcal{O}_{\max} < +\infty$ so that α' can be uniformly chosen, Lemma 6.5 concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. J. ALMGREN, JR., *Dirichlet's problem for multiple valued functions and the regularity of mass minimizing integral currents*, in Minimal submanifolds and geodesics (Proc. Japan-United States Sem., Tokyo, 1977), North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1979, pp. 1–6.
- [2] B. AMMANN, *A variational problem in conformal spin geometry*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Hamburg, 2003.
- [3] B. AMMANN, J.-F. GROSJEAN, E. HUMBERT, AND B. MOREL, *A spinorial analogue of Aubin's inequality*, Math. Z., 260 (2008), pp. 127–151.
- [4] B. AMMANN AND E. HUMBERT, *Positive mass theorem for the Yamabe problem on Spin manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 15 (2005), pp. 567–576.
- [5] B. AMMANN, E. HUMBERT, AND B. MOREL, *Mass endomorphism and spinorial Yamabe type problems on conformally flat manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 14 (2006), pp. 163–182.
- [6] C. BÄR, *On nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators*, Comm. Math. Phys., 188 (1997), pp. 709–721.
- [7] C. BÄR, *Zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order*, Invent. Math., 138 (1999), pp. 183–202.
- [8] T. BARTSCH AND T. XU, *A spinorial analogue of the Brezis-Nirenberg theorem involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Funct. Anal., 280 (2021), pp. Paper No. 108991, 47.
- [9] A. BASILIO, P. BAYARD, M.-A. LAWN, AND J. ROTH, *Spinorial representation of submanifolds in a product of space forms*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr., 33 (2023), p. Paper No. 55.
- [10] P. BAYARD, M.-A. LAWN, AND J. ROTH, *Spinorial representation of submanifolds in Riemannian space forms*, Pacific J. Math., 291 (2017), pp. 51–80.
- [11] W. BORRELLI, *Symmetric solutions for a critical Dirac equations*, Commun. Cont. Math., Vol. 24, No. 04, 2150019 (2022).
- [12] W. BORRELLI, R. FRANK, *Sharp decay estimates for critical Dirac equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 373 (2020), pp. 2045–2070.
- [13] W. BORRELLI, A. MALCHIODI, AND R. WU, *Ground state Dirac bubbles and Killing spinors*, Comm. Math. Phys., 383 (2021), pp. 1151–1180.

- [14] J.-P. BOURGUIGNON AND P. GAUDUCHON, *Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques*, Comm. Math. Phys., 144 (1992), pp. 581–599.
- [15] V. BRANDING, *Some aspects of Dirac-harmonic maps with curvature term*, Diff. Geom. Appl., 40 (2015), pp. 1–13.
- [16] V. BRANDING, *An estimate on the nodal set of eigenspinors on closed surfaces*, Math. Z., 288 (2018), pp. 1–10.
- [17] V. BRANDING, *A note on twisted Dirac operators on closed surfaces*, Diff. Geom. Appl., 60 (2015), pp. 54–65.
- [18] L. A. CAFFARELLI AND A. FRIEDMAN, *The free boundary in the Thomas-Fermi atomic model*, J. Differential Equations, 32 (1979), pp. 335–356.
- [19] L. A. CAFFARELLI AND A. FRIEDMAN, *Partial regularity of the zero-set of solutions of linear and superlinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 60 (1985), pp. 420–433.
- [20] J. CHEEGER, A. NABER, AND D. VALTORTA, *Critical sets of elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68 (2015), pp. 173–209.
- [21] Y. DING, J. LI, AND T. XU, *Bifurcation on compact spin manifold*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), pp. Art. 90, 17.
- [22] A. DOAN AND T. WALPUSKI, *On the existence of harmonic Z_2 spinors*, J. Differential Geom., 117 (2021), pp. 395–449.
- [23] T. FRIEDRICH, *On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*, J. Geom. Phys., 28 (1998), pp. 143–157.
- [24] N. GAROFALO AND F.-H. LIN, *Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, a_p weights and unique continuation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 35 (1986), pp. 245–268.
- [25] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, vol. 224 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second ed., (1983).
- [26] N. GROSSE, *Solutions of the equation of a spinorial Yamabe-type problem on manifolds of bounded geometry*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, Vol. 37, Issue 1, (2012)
- [27] Q. HAN, *Singular sets of solutions to elliptic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 43 (1994), pp. 983–1002.
- [28] A. HAYDYS AND T. WALPUSKI, *A compactness theorem for the Seiberg-Witten equation with multiple spinors in dimension three*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 25 (2015), pp. 1799–1821.
- [29] A. HERMANN, *Zero sets of eigenspinors for generic metrics*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 22 (2014), pp. 177–218.
- [30] A. JEVIKAR, A. MALCHIODI, AND R. WU, *Existence results for a super-Liouville equation on compact surfaces*, Trans. AMS., 373 (2020), pp. 8837–8859.
- [31] A. JEVIKAR, A. MALCHIODI, AND R. WU, *Existence results for super-Liouville equations on the sphere via bifurcation theory.*, J. Math. Study., 54 (2021), pp. 89–122.
- [32] J. JOST, E. KESSLER, J. TOLKSDORF, R. WU, AND M. ZHU, *Regularity of solutions of the nonlinear sigma model with gravitino*, Comm. Math. Phys., 358 (2018), pp. 171–197.
- [33] J. JOST, E. KESSLER, J. TOLKSDORF, R. WU, AND M. ZHU, *Symmetries and conservation laws of a nonlinear sigma model with gravitino*, J. Geom. Phys., 128 (2018), pp. 185–198.
- [34] H. B. LAWSON AND M.-L. MICHELSON, *Spin Geometry*, vol. 38 of Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1989.
- [35] J. M. LEE AND T. H. PARKER, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 17 (1987), pp. 37–91.
- [36] A. LOGUNOV, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: polynomial upper estimates of the Hausdorff measure*, Ann. of Math. (2), 187 (2018), pp. 221–239.
- [37] A. LOGUNOV, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: proof of Nadirashvili’s conjecture and of the lower bound in Yau’s conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2), 187 (2018), pp. 241–262.
- [38] S. MAIER, *Generic metrics and connections on Spin- and Spin^c-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys., 188 (1997), pp. 407–437.
- [39] S. MATSUTANI, *A constant mean curvature surface and the Dirac operator*, J. Phys. A, 30 (1997), pp. 4019–4029.
- [40] A. NABER AND D. VALTORTA, *Volume estimates on the critical sets of solutions to elliptic PDEs*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 70 (2017), pp. 1835–1897.
- [41] Y. SIRE, T. XU, *On the Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant for the Dirac operator and a spinorial proof of the Yamabe problem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.03640, 2021.
- [42] I. A. TAIMANOV, *Modified Novikov-Veselov equation and differential geometry of surfaces*, in Solitons, geometry, and topology: on the crossroad, vol. 179 of Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 133–151.

- [43] C. H. TAUBES, *SW \Rightarrow Gr: from the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (1996), pp. 845–918.
- [44] C. H. TAUBES, *The zero loci of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ harmonic spinors in dimension 2, 3 and 4*, 2014.
- [45] T. XU, *Conformal embeddings of $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with prescribed mean curvature: A variational approach*, arXiv e-prints, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1810.03874.