

POWERS AVERAGING FOR ACTIONS ON $C(X)$ -ALGEBRAS

TATTWAMASI AMRUTAM, ILAN HIRSHBERG, AND APURVA SETH

ABSTRACT. Given a unital $C(X)$ -algebra A , a discrete group Γ , and an action $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ which leaves $C(X)$ invariant and such that $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \Gamma$ is simple, and a 2-cocycle ω , we obtain a bijective correspondence between maximal Γ -invariant ideals of A and maximal ideals in $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$. In particular, $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$ is simple if and only if A has no Γ -invariant ideals.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra. We consider $C(X)$ a distinguished subalgebra of the center $Z(A)$. Let Γ be a discrete group and $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action. We say that α is *compatible* with an action on $C(X)$ if $C(X)$ is invariant under α . Our main result in this paper is the following. It generalizes [4, Theorem 7.1] and [5, Theorem 1.1], which are special cases of Theorem 1 when $X = \{\text{pt}\}$. By a *maximal ideal* in the statement below, we mean a maximal proper ideal.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra. Let Γ be a discrete group, and let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action that is compatible with an action on $C(X)$, also denoted by α . Suppose $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \Gamma$ is simple. Let ω be a 2-cocycle for the action. Let $I_{\max}(A, \Gamma)$ denote the set of maximal Γ -invariant ideals in A , and let $I_{\max}(A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma)$ denote the set of maximal ideals in $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$. For an invariant ideal J in A , we denote by $\tilde{\alpha}$ the induced action on A/J . Then the maps*

$$\iota: I_{\max}(A, \Gamma) \rightarrow I_{\max}(A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma)$$

given by

$$\iota(J) = \ker(A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma \rightarrow (A/J) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma)$$

and

$$\text{res}: I_{\max}(A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma) \rightarrow I_{\max}(A, \Gamma) \text{ given by } \text{res}(J) = J \cap A$$

Date: April 10, 2024.

We thank the Fields Institute, in which most of the work on this paper was done, for its hospitality.

define a bijective correspondence between those sets of maximal ideals. In particular, if there are no Γ -invariant ideals, then $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ is simple.

The assumption that $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ implies that the action of Γ on X is minimal. However, we do not assume that the action on X is topologically free. For example, if $X = \{\text{pt}\}$, so the action on X is trivial, this simply means that Γ is C^* -simple, which is the assumption in [4, Theorem 7.1] and [5, Theorem 1.1].

Example 2. Let X be a minimal Γ -space such that $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is topologically free. Denote by α the action on $C(X)$. It follows from the result on page 122 of [2] that $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple. Suppose now that D is an unital C^* -algebra, suppose $\beta: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D)$ is an action with no Γ -invariant ideals. Let $A = D \otimes C(X)$. It follows from Theorem 1 that $A \rtimes_{\beta \otimes \alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple.

We begin by recalling some definitions and results. For a compact Hausdorff space X , we use δ_x to denote the point probability measure at x , and by ev_x the evaluation state at x . We use both notations as sometimes it is more convenient to talk about states and sometimes about measures. When we have an action of Γ on a space X , and the action is understood, we may write $(s, x) \mapsto s \cdot x$ for the action with $s \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$. We write $\Gamma x = \{s \cdot x : g \in \Gamma\}$. Likewise, if ν is a measure on X and $s \in \Gamma$, we write $s_*\nu$ for the push-forward measure, and $\Gamma\nu = \{s_*\nu : s \in \Gamma\}$.

Generalized Boundaries and Contractible Measures. The notion of a generalized boundary was introduced independently in [13] and [11] as a generalization of the notion of a Γ -boundary (which Furstenberg [7] introduced and has been used extensively recently, see [4, 9, 10]). Given a Γ -space X and a probability measure $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$, we say that ν is contractible if

$$\{\delta_x : x \in X\} \subset \overline{\Gamma\nu}^{\text{w}^*}.$$

Notice that any Dirac measure is contractible in a minimal Γ -space.

Definition 3 (Generalized boundary). Let Γ be a discrete group. Let X and Y be compact Hausdorff spaces endowed with an action of Γ . Suppose that the action on X is minimal. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a factor map, that is, a continuous surjective Γ -equivariant map. We say that Y is a (Γ, X) -boundary if

- (1) The action of Γ on Y is minimal.
- (2) For any probability measure $\nu \in \text{Prob}(Y)$, if $\pi_*\nu$ is contractible then so ν .

Given a minimal Γ -space X , there exists a maximal (Γ, X) -boundary, which is the spectrum of the Γ -injective envelope of $C(X)$ (see for example [11, Section 3]). We denote this space by $\partial_F(\Gamma, X)$. It follows from [11, Theorem 3.4] that if the action on X is minimal, then $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple if and only if $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ is topologically free (that is, for any $s \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$, the set of points $\partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ not fixed by s is dense). By [11, Proposition 3.3], since $\partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ is a Stonean space, an action on $\partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ is topologically free if and only if it is free.

Tensor Products of $C(X)$ -Algebras over $C(X)$. We briefly recall the construction of tensor products of $C(X)$ -algebras over $C(X)$. We refer the reader to [3] for further details. Let A be a C^* -algebra, and X be a compact Hausdorff space. A $C(X)$ -algebra consists of a C^* -algebra A along with a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\iota: C(X) \rightarrow Z(M(A))$. ($Z(M(A))$ denotes the center of the multiplier algebra of A .) We shall assume throughout that ι is injective. We suppress the notation for ι and think of $C(X)$ as a distinguished subalgebra of $Z(M(A))$. We focus in this paper only on unital C^* -algebras, so $A = M(A)$ in our case.

Let A and B be two $C(X)$ -algebras, and let I be the involutive ideal of the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ generated by the elements $(fa) \otimes b - a \otimes (fb)$, for $f \in C(X)$, $a \in A$, and $b \in B$. Then the quotient algebra $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B/I$ is an involutive algebra over \mathbb{C} .

If A and B are unital $C(X)$ -algebras, and one of them is abelian, then there is a unique C^* -norm on $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B/I$ ([3, Lemma 2.7]). Note that in this case, I and J (defined as in [3, Definition 2.1]) coincide (see for example, [3, Proposition 3.1]). This is the only case we consider in this paper, so we denote it by $A \otimes_{C(X)} B$.

Crossed Products. Recall that a (scalar-valued) 2-cocycle for a discrete group Γ is a function $\omega: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies, for any $r, s, t \in \Gamma$,

$$\omega(r, s)\omega(rs, t) = \omega(r, st)\omega(s, t)$$

and

$$\omega(e, t) = \omega(t, e) = 1.$$

(One can consider more general unitary-valued cocycles for an action, provided those unitaries are in the normalizer of $C(X)$, but we do

not go into the added generality here, as we do not have interesting enough examples to justify it.) Given an unital C^* -algebra, an action $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ and a 2-cocycle ω , the twisted reduced crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ is the subalgebra of the algebra of adjointable operators on the Hilbert C^* -module $l^2(\Gamma) \otimes A$ (with A given the structure of a trivial C^* -module over A) generated by the operators $\{a\lambda_s : a \in A, s \in \Gamma\}$ defined on elements of the form $\delta_t \otimes b$ (for $t \in \Gamma$ and $b \in A$) by

$$a\lambda_s \cdot \delta_t \otimes b = \omega((st)^{-1}, s)\delta_{st} \otimes \alpha_{(st)^{-1}}(a)b.$$

We view A as embedded in $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ in the canonical way. That is, the unitaries $\{\lambda_s : s \in \Gamma\}$ satisfy $\lambda_s \lambda_t = \omega(s, t)\lambda_{st}$ and $\lambda_s a \lambda_s^* = \alpha_s(a)$ for any $s, t \in \Gamma$ and for any $a \in A$. We denote by $E: A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma \rightarrow A$ the canonical faithful conditional expectation which is given by $E(a\lambda_s) = \delta_{s, e}a$ for any $a \in A$ and for any $s \in \Gamma$. Notice that the map $s \mapsto \text{Ad}_{\lambda_s}$ is an action of Γ on $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ by automorphisms, and the map E is Γ -equivariant with respect to this inner action of Γ .

Note that if J is an ideal of $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$, then $J \cap A$ is a Γ -invariant ideal in A .

Generalized Probability Measures. The notion of a generalized probability measure was introduced in [1]. We recall the definition for the sake of completion.

Definition 4. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, Γ be a discrete group, and $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(X))$ be an action. A *generalized $(C(X), \Gamma, \alpha)$ -probability measure* is a function $f: \Gamma \rightarrow C(X)_+$ such that $\sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s)^2 = 1$ (where the sum converges uniformly).

We denote the set of all such generalized measures by $P(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)$. Moreover, $P_f(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)$ denotes the collection of generalized probability measures with finite support.

It is sometimes convenient to consider sums over a (typically finite) subset $I \subset \Gamma$, in which case, it is understood that the elements corresponding to $s \in \Gamma \setminus I$ are zero.

Given a $C(X)$ -algebra A along with an action $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ leaving $C(X)$ invariant, and given any $\mu \in P(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)$, we define a unital and completely positive map $\Phi_\mu: A \rightarrow A$ by

$$\Phi_\mu(a) = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s)\alpha_s(a)f(s).$$

The map Φ_μ induces a dual map on the state space $\Phi_\mu^*: S(A) \rightarrow S(A)$.

We extend the definition of Φ_μ to a completely positive map

$$\Phi_\mu: A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma \rightarrow A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$$

by setting

$$\Phi_\mu(a) = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) \lambda_s a \lambda_s^* f(s).$$

Likewise, Φ_μ^* extends to an affine map

$$\Phi_\mu^*: S(A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma) \rightarrow S(A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma).$$

To prove the simplicity result, we need an averaging result, which was also used in [1, 8, 9], that shows that probability measures can be contracted to Dirac measures using $C(X)$ -convex-combination of group elements.

Lemma 5. [1, Lemma 3.6] *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and Γ be a discrete group. Suppose $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow C(X)$ is an action that induces a minimal action on X . For any $x \in X$ there is a net $(\mu_\lambda) \subseteq P_f(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)$ with the property that for any $\nu \in S(C(X))$, we have $\Phi_{\mu_\lambda}^*(\nu) \rightarrow ev_x$.*

Proof. Let U be an open neighborhood of x . For any $x_0 \in X$, the orbit Γx_0 is dense in X since the action is assumed to be minimal. Therefore, we can find an element $t_{x_0} \in \Gamma$ such that $t_{x_0} x_0 \in U$, or equivalently, $x_0 \in t_{x_0}^{-1} U$. Since $X = \cup_{t \in \Gamma} t^{-1} U$, by compactness, we can find a finite set $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \Gamma$ such that $t_1 U, \dots, t_n U$ are a cover of X . Now let $\{g_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{t_i U\}_i$. Define $f: \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\} \rightarrow C(X)_+$ by $f(t_i) = \sqrt{g_i}$. Given any $\nu \in P(X)$, it follows that $\Phi_{\mu_U}^*(\nu)$ is a measure with support contained in the closure of U . The net $\{\Phi_{\mu_U}^* \nu\}$, indexed by open neighborhoods of x ordered by reverse inclusion, converges to ev_x in the weak*-topology, as required. \square

Lemma 6. *Let X be compact Hausdorff space, let A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra, let Γ be a discrete group, and let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action which leaves $C(X)$ invariant, and such that the induced action on X is minimal. Let ω be a 2-cocycle for Γ . Fix $x \in X$. Let $\eta \in S(A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma)$ be such that $\eta|_{C(X)} = ev_x$. Then, for every $s \notin \Gamma_x$ and for any $a \in (A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma) \cap C(X)'$ we have $\eta(a \lambda_s) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\eta|_{C(X)} = ev_x$, the subalgebra $C(X)$ in the multiplicative domain of η . Let $s \in \Gamma$ be such that $sx \neq x$. Using Uryhson's lemma,

choose $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and $f(sx) = 0$. Fix an element $a \in (A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma) \cap C(X)'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(a\lambda_s) &= f(x)\eta(a\lambda_s) \\ &= \eta(fa\lambda_s) \\ &= \eta(af\lambda_s) \\ &= \eta(a\lambda_s)f(sx) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 7. *Let X be compact Hausdorff space, let A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra, let Γ be a discrete group, let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action which leaves $C(X)$ invariant. Suppose that $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple. Let ω be a 2-cocycle for Γ . Then, for any state $\varphi \in S(A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma)$ there exists a state $\psi \in S(A)$ such that*

$$\psi \circ E \in \overline{\{\Phi_\mu^*(\varphi) : \mu \in P_f(C(X), \Gamma, \alpha)\}}^{w*}.$$

Proof. Let $I_\Gamma(C(X)) = C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ be the Γ -injective envelope of $C(X)$. Denote by β the canonical extension of the action of Γ to $C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes_{C(X)} \beta$ on $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Extend the state φ to a state $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma$. Because $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple, the action on X is minimal. Therefore, using Lemma 5, we can find a net $(\mu_\lambda) \subseteq P_f(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)$ such that $\Phi_{\mu_\lambda}^*(\varphi|_{C(X)}) \rightarrow \text{ev}_x$ for some $x \in X$. Passing to a subnet if needed, we may assume that there exists

$$\tilde{\psi} \in S((A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma)$$

such that $\Phi_{\mu_\lambda}^*(\tilde{\varphi}) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}$. Notice that the state $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies $\tilde{\psi}|_{C(X)} = \text{ev}_x$.

Therefore, $\tilde{\psi}|_{C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))}$ is contractible as well. Hence, using [13, Theorem A], there is a net $(s_i)_{i \in I} \subset \Gamma$ with $\tilde{\psi}|_{C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))} \circ \beta_{s_i} \rightarrow \text{ev}_y$ for some $y \in \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$. Again passing to a subnet if needed, we can find a state $\eta \in \overline{\{\Phi_\mu^*(\tilde{\varphi}) : \mu \in P_f(\Gamma, C(X), \alpha)\}}^{w*}$ with the property that $\eta|_{C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))} = \text{ev}_y$.

We claim that $\eta|_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma}$ satisfies the requirement in the statement. By [11, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.3], because $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple, the action of G on $\partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ is free. Observe that $C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ is in the commutant of A in $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. It then follows from Lemma 6 that for any $a \in A$, we have $\eta(a\lambda_s) = 0$ whenever $s \neq e$. Thus $\eta|_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma} = \eta|_{A \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma} \circ E$, as required. □

The following generalizes [5, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 8. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra, let Γ be a discrete group, and let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action which leaves $C(X)$ invariant. Suppose $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha,r} \Gamma$ is simple. Let β be the canonical extension of $\alpha|_{C(X)}$ to $C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$, and let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes_{C(X)} \beta$ be the induced action on $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Let ω be a 2-cocycle for Γ . If I is proper ideal in $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$, then the ideal J generated by I inside $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma$ is proper.*

Proof. Let φ be a state on $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$ such that $\varphi|_I = 0$. Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be an extension of this state to $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma$. Following a similar argument to that of proof of Proposition 7, there exists a state ψ on $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma$, such that $\psi|_{C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))} = \text{ev}_y$ for some $y \in \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ and such that

$$\psi \in \overline{\{\Phi_\mu^*(\tilde{\varphi}) : \mu \in P_f(C(X), \Gamma, \alpha)\}}^{w*}.$$

Note that $C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ is in the multiplicative domain of ψ , and $\psi|_I = 0$.

Now, for any element $x \in I$, for any $a, b \in A$, for any $f, g \in C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ and for any $s, t \in \Gamma$, because $\psi(axb) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi((a \otimes_{C(X)} f)\lambda_s x (b \otimes g)\lambda_t) \\ &= f_1(y)\psi(a\lambda_s)xb\lambda_t)g(t \cdot y) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $\psi|_J = 0$. Hence, J is a proper ideal. \square

Let X, A, Γ and ω be as above. Given a Γ -invariant ideal $I \triangleleft A$, let $I \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$ be the ideal in $A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma$ generated by I . We write $\bar{\alpha}$ for the induced action of Γ on A/I . The surjection $\pi^I: A \rightarrow A/I$ induces a surjective $*$ -homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}^I: A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma \rightarrow A/I \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma$. We do not necessarily have $I \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^I)$. This happens when the group Γ is exact; see [6, 12]. We write E_I, E_A and $E_{A/I}$ for the canonical expectation maps

$$E_I: I \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma \rightarrow I,$$

$$E_A: A \rtimes_{\alpha,\omega,r} \Gamma \rightarrow A$$

and

$$E_{A/I}: A \rtimes_{\bar{\alpha},\omega,r} \Gamma \rightarrow A/I.$$

We have a commuting diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} I \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma & \longrightarrow & A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^I} & A/I \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma & & \\ E_I \downarrow & & E_A \downarrow & & E_{A/I} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A/I \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

It follows from diagram (1) that

$$(2) \quad \ker(\tilde{\pi}^I) \cap A = I.$$

Now, given an ideal $J \triangleleft (A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma$, write $J_1 = J \cap A$ and $J_2 = J \cap A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. We have the following commutative diagram of *-homomorphisms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \\ \tilde{\pi}^{J_1} \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi}^{J_2} \downarrow \\ (A/J_1) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma & \longrightarrow & [(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X)))/J_2] \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrows are injective. Therefore,

$$(3) \quad \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{J_1}) = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{J_2}) \cap A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma.$$

The following is a generalization of [4, Lemma 7.3].

Lemma 9. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let A be an unital $C(X)$ -algebra, let Γ be a discrete group, and let $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be an action which leaves $C(X)$ invariant. Suppose $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple. Let β be the canonical extension of $\alpha|_{C(X)}$ to $C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$, and let $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes_{C(X)} \beta$ be the induced action on $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Let ω be a 2-cocycle for Γ . Let J be an ideal in $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma$. Let J_2 , $\tilde{\pi}^{J_1}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{J_2}$ be as in the paragraph before the statement of the lemma. Then,*

$$J_2 \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \subseteq J \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{J_2}).$$

Proof. The inclusion $J_2 \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \subseteq J$ is immediate. We show the other inclusion.

For $x \in X$, we denote by A_x the fiber of A over x , that is, $A_x = A/C_0(X \setminus \{x\})A$. Let us denote the factor map by $p: \partial_F(\Gamma, X) \rightarrow X$. Notice that for any $y \in \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$, $\text{id} \otimes_{C(X)} \text{ev}_y$ maps $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ into $A_{p(y)}$. Let

$$J_2 = J \cap (A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))).$$

Fix $y \in \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$. Consider the map

$$\pi_y = \text{id} \otimes_{C(X)} \text{ev}_y : A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X)) \rightarrow A_{p(y)}.$$

Let $J_2^y = \text{id} \otimes_{C(X)} \delta_y(J_2)$. We note that J_2^y is an ideal of $A_{p(y)}$. Therefore, the u.c.p map

$$\Theta_y : A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))/J_2 \rightarrow A_{p(y)}/J_2^y$$

given by $\Theta_y(b + J_2) = \pi_y(b) + J_2^y$ is well-defined. Pick now a Hilbert space K and an embedding $j : A_{p(y)}/J_2^y \rightarrow B(K)$. Consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} J + A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X)) &\rightarrow \frac{J + A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))}{J} \\ &= \frac{A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))}{J_2} \\ &\xrightarrow{\Theta_y} \frac{A_{p(y)}}{J_2^y} \xrightarrow{j} B(K), \end{aligned}$$

By Arveson's extension theorem, we can choose a u.c.p map

$$\Psi_y : (A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \rightarrow B(K)$$

which extends this composition. Let

$$E : (A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma \rightarrow A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$$

be the canonical conditional expectation. We claim that $\Psi_y = \Psi_y \circ E$. Observe that $A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ is in the multiplicative domain of Ψ_y . Moreover, $\Psi_y(f) = f(y)$ for all $f \in C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Therefore, to show that $\Psi_y = \Psi_y \circ E$, it is enough to show that $\Psi_y(\lambda_s) = 0$ for all $s \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$. Since $C(X) \rtimes_{\alpha, r} \Gamma$ is simple, $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$ is free, and hence, $\Gamma_y = \{e\}$. Since $sy \neq y$, we can find $f \in C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$ such that $f(y) = 1$ and $f(sy) = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_y(\lambda_s) &= f(y)\Psi_y(\lambda_s) \\ &= \Psi_y(f\lambda_s) \\ &= \Psi_y(\lambda_s\alpha_{s^{-1}}(f)) \\ &= \Psi_y(\lambda_s)f(sy) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we see that $\Psi_y(J) = 0 = \Psi_y(E(J))$ for all $y \in \partial_F(\Gamma, X)$. Since $E(J) \subset A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$, it follows that $E(J) \subset J_2$. This is equivalent to saying that $J \subset \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{J_2})$. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Adopt the notation from the discussion before Lemma 9. Let I be a maximal Γ -invariant ideal of A . Let J be a proper ideal in $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ such that $\ker(\tilde{\pi}^I) \subseteq J$. Let \hat{J} be the ideal generated by J in $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma$. Let

$$L = \hat{J} \cap A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X)).$$

By Lemma 9, we have $\hat{J} \subseteq \ker \tilde{\pi}^L$. Using equation (3), we see that

$$(4) \quad J \subseteq (\hat{J} \cap A) \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma \subseteq (\ker(\tilde{\pi}^L) \cap A) \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\hat{J} \cap A}).$$

By applying equation (2) to I and to $\hat{J} \cap A$, we see that

$$I \subseteq J \cap A \subseteq \hat{J} \cap A.$$

Since J is a proper ideal, from Lemma 8, it follows that \hat{J} is proper. Therefore, from the maximality of I , we obtain $I = \hat{J} \cap A$. Combining this along with equation (4), we see that $J \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\hat{J} \cap A}) = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^I) = \iota(I)$. This shows that $\iota(I)$ is a maximal ideal inside $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$.

For the other direction, let J be a maximal ideal inside $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$. We must show that $I = J \cap A$ is a maximal Γ -invariant ideal on A . Let \hat{J} be the ideal generated by J inside $(A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))) \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}, \omega, r} \Gamma$. Let $L = \hat{J} \cap A \otimes_{C(X)} C(\partial_F(\Gamma, X))$. Using Lemma 9, we see that $\hat{J} \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\pi}^L)$.

Combining this with equation (3), we obtain that

$$J \subseteq \hat{J} \cap (A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma) \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\pi}^L) \cap (A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma) = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\hat{J} \cap A}).$$

Since J is a proper ideal in $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$, using Lemma 8, we see that $\hat{J} \cap A$ must be a proper ideal inside A . By the maximality of J , it follows that $J = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\hat{J} \cap A})$. Using equation (2), we see that

$$I = J \cap A = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\hat{J} \cap A}) \cap A = \hat{J} \cap A.$$

Consequently, we see that $J = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^I)$. Now, if \tilde{I} is a Γ -invariant proper ideal of A containing I , then we obtain that $J = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^I) \subseteq \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\tilde{I}})$. Because $\ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\tilde{I}})$ is a proper ideal in $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \omega, r} \Gamma$ which contains J , and J is maximal, it follows that $J = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\tilde{I}})$. Consequently,

$$I = J \cap A = \ker(\tilde{\pi}^{\tilde{I}}) \cap A = \tilde{I},$$

as required. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Tattwamasi Amrutam and Dan Ursu, *A generalized Powers averaging property for commutative crossed products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), no. 3, 2237–2254.
- [2] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg, *Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete C^* -dynamical systems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1994), no. 1, 119–124.
- [3] Etienne Blanchard, *Tensor products of $C(X)$ -algebras over $C(X)$* , 1995, pp. 81–92. Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992).
- [4] Emmanuel Breuillard, Mehrdad Kalantar, Matthew Kennedy, and Narutaka Ozawa, *C^* -simplicity and the unique trace property for discrete groups*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **126** (2017), 35–71.
- [5] Rasmus Sylvester Bryder and Matthew Kennedy, *Reduced twisted crossed products over C^* -simple groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **6** (2018), 1638–1655.
- [6] Ruy Exel, *Exact groups and Fell bundles*, Math. Ann. **323** (2002), no. 2, 259–266.
- [7] Harry Furstenberg, *Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces*, Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), 1973, pp. 193–229.
- [8] Uffe Haagerup, *A new look at C^* -simplicity and the unique trace property of a group*, Operator algebras and applications—the Abel Symposium 2015, 2017, pp. 167–176.
- [9] Yair Hartman and Mehrdad Kalantar, *Stationary C^* -dynamical systems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **25** (2023), no. 5, 1783–1821. With an appendix by Uri Bader, Hartman and Kalantar.
- [10] Mehrdad Kalantar and Matthew Kennedy, *Boundaries of reduced C^* -algebras of discrete groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **727** (2017), 247–267.
- [11] Takuya Kawabe, *Uniformly recurrent subgroups and the ideal structure of reduced crossed products*, 2017. Preprint, arXiv:1701.03413.
- [12] Eberhard Kirchberg and Simon Wassermann, *Exact groups and continuous bundles of C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **315** (1999), no. 2, 169–203.
- [13] Zahra Naghavi, *Furstenberg boundary of minimal actions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **92** (2020), no. 2, Paper No. 14.

BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS.
BE’ER SHEVA, 8410501, ISRAEL.

Email address: `tattwama@post.bgu.ac.il`

BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS.
BE’ER SHEVA, 8410501, ISRAEL.

Email address: `ilan@bgu.ac.il`

BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS.
BE’ER SHEVA, 8410501, ISRAEL.

Email address: `apurva@post.bgu.ac.il`