# Study of Multiuser Multiple-Antenna Wireless Communications Systems Based on Super-Resolution Arrays

Silvio F. B. Pinto and Rodrigo C. de Lamare

*Abstract*—This work studies multiple-antenna wireless communication systems based on super-resolution arrays (SRAs). We consider the uplink of a multiple-antenna system in which users communicate with a multiple-antenna base station equipped with SRAs. In particular, we develop linear minimum mean-square error (MMSE) receive filters along with linear and successiv e interference cancellation receivers for processing signals with the difference co-array originating from the SRAs. We then derive analytical expressions to assess the achievable sum-rates associated with the proposed multiple-antenna systems with SRAs. Simulations show that the proposed multiple-antenna systems with SRAs outperform existing systems with standard arrays that have a larger number of antenna elements.

*Index Terms*—MIMO, Super-resolution, Sparse arrays, Multiple-antenna.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, multiple-antenna technology [\[1\]](#page-4-0), [\[2\]](#page-4-1), [\[3\]](#page-4-2) has attracted the interest of researchers dedicated to the investigation of fifth generation (5G) mobile networks due to its substantial improvement in the capacity of wireless systems and networks. In the specific case of the multiple access channel, multiple-antenna systems employ a number of physical antennas at the base station (BS), which is often much larger than that of the total number of users. However, the higher spectral efficiency and resolution resulting from this excess of antennas comes at the expense of physical space, coupling effects [\[11\]](#page-4-3), [\[12\]](#page-4-4) among antenna elements and energy consumption [\[16\]](#page-5-0). Among some innovative solutions propose d to overcome these drawbacks are coarse quantization [\[17\]](#page-5-1), which provides energy savings. Another is the use of sparse or super-resolution arrays (SRAs) [\[4\]](#page-4-5), [\[5\]](#page-4-6), [\[6\]](#page-4-7), [\[7\]](#page-4-8), [\[8\]](#page-4-9), [\[9\]](#page-4-10), [\[10\]](#page-4-11) in the BS whose large degrees of freedom can be obtained by the virtual expansion of the number of physical sensors.

The essential feature that allows one to obtain more sensors than the physical ones leads to more compact antenna arrays, reduced electromagnetic coupling [\[5\]](#page-4-6), [\[6\]](#page-4-7) effects and significant energy savings. It is known that among the known types of sparse arrays such as nested, co-prime, minimum redundancy (MRAs) and minimum hole (MHAs) only the first and the second provide simple closed-form expressions for the array geometry and their sensor locations must be found from tabulated entries. Despite the co-prime arrays yield virtual ULAs consisting of smaller number of sensors than nested arrays and the MRA, they result in useful virtual ULAs. Therefore, it is desirable to take advantage of the properties of the mathematical properties common to both in order to formulate a unified approach for exploiting the benefits of their unequal spacin g and virtual increase of sensors in multiple-antenna systems to increase achievable sum rates and accuracy. Previous works [\[13\]](#page-4-12) studied nested arrays technique in a massive multi-input multi-output (MIMO) heterogeneous network and the problem of joint user association and interference nulling scheduling to maximize the sum rate of users of small and macro-cells, investigated its channel estimation [\[14\]](#page-4-13) for massive MIMO i n 2-D and extended to 3-D by [\[15\]](#page-4-14). However, neither of them focus on the possibility of unifying the processing of spars e arrays to apply them to MIMO systems.

In this work, we investigate the uplink of multiuser MIMO systems based on SRAs and develop receivers for processing signals with the difference co-array from the SRAs. In particular, we consider co-prime and two level nested arrays, in a single procedure that can be applied to multiuser MIMO systems from the point of view of the properties of the similar virtual ULAs obtained by preprocessing each one. We adopt a tailored geometry-based stochastic model [\[18\]](#page-5-2), [\[23\]](#page-5-3), [\[25\]](#page-5-4), [\[26\]](#page-5-5), [\[27\]](#page-5-6) that preserves the structure of the steering vectors characterized by non-uniform delays, which is a sine qua non condition [\[19\]](#page-5-7), [\[21\]](#page-5-8) to obtain increased number of virtual sensors with these methods. This is provided by assuming no scattering for the propagation inside the single-cell and also that each path is associated to its user and its respective steering vector. We then derive linear minimum mean-square error (MMSE) receivers for processing signals with the differenc e co-array with super resolution. The performance appraisal of the proposed super resolution multiple-antenna processing through longstanding metrics shows that substantial gains can be achieved in terms of savings in the number of antennas and its resulting reduction of energy consumption, increased achievable sum-rates and improved bit error rate (BER), which encourages further research in this field.

This paper is structured as follows. Section II describes th e system model and background for understanding the proposed super-resolution processing. Section III presents the proposed super-resolution multiple-antenna processing. Section IV formulates the proposed MMSE receiver, including its resulting Successive Interference Cancellation form, for Two-level Nested Arrays (TLNA) and Co-prime arrays (CPA), whereas Section V analyzes aspects of the sum-rate performance of th e proposed super-resolution multiple-antenna processing Section VI presents and discusses numerical results whereas the con clusions are drawn in Section VII.

# II. DEFINITIONS AND MULTIPLE-ANTENNA SYSTEM MODEL

In this section, we review some basic on sparse arrays to help the understanding of the subsequent material and then introduce the multiple-antenna system model. We assum e that the multiple-antenna system model under consideratio n employs a sparse antenna array at the base station (BS).

## *A. Definitions*

Definition 2.1. The difference coarray set [\[19\]](#page-5-7)represented by  $D$  is a set associated with the sensors' positions  $S$  through

$$
\mathcal{D} \triangleq \{ n_1 - n_2 \mid (n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{S}^2 \}
$$
 (1)

The authors are with the Center for Telecommunications Studies (CETUC), Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. R. C. de Lamare is also with the Department of Electronics, University of York, UK. Emails: silviof@cetuc.puc-rio.br, delamare@cetuc.puc-rio.br

Definition 2.2. The number of Degrees of Freedom [\[19\]](#page-5-7), denoted by  $DoF$ , of a geometry specified by S is the cardinality of its difference coarray set, as follows:

$$
DoF \triangleq \mathcal{D} \tag{2}
$$

Definition 2.3. A two-level nested array [\[19\]](#page-5-7)is a sparse array consisting of the union of the sensors of two ULAs. The inner  $S_{in}$  presents  $M_1$  sensors and the external  $S_{ou}$  possesses  $M_2$ sensors. Their locations obey the criteria:

$$
S_{in} = \{md, m = 1, 2, \dots M_1\}
$$
  

$$
S_{ou} = \{n(M + 1) d, n = 1, 2, \dots M_2\}
$$
 (3)

For  $M_1 = M_2 = \frac{M}{2}$ , the resulting number of physical sensors is even and yields  $\frac{M^2-2}{2} + M$  DoFs.

Definition 2.4. A coprime pair of arrays [\[21\]](#page-5-8) is a sparse array with the sensors of two ULAs. The first one containing  $F$  sensors with intersensor spacing  $Qd$  and the other with  $2Q - 1$  sensors and intersensor gaps Fd. The locations of their  $F + 2Q - 1$  sensors are driven by

$$
S = \{Qfd, \ 0 \le f \le F - 1\} \cup \{Fqd, \ 1 \le q \le 2Q - 1\}
$$
\n(4)

A coprime pair of arrays provides up to  $2QF + 1$  DoFs [\[21\]](#page-5-8) using solely  $F + 2Q - 1$  physical sensors.

# <span id="page-1-4"></span>*B. System Model*

Let us take into account the uplink of a single-cell MIMO system with a BS equipped with a sparse array composed by *M* physical sensors, possibly a TLNA or CPA, on which the data symbols  $\{s_k\} \in \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{i=K}\}\$ included in radio frequency (RF) signals from  $K$  single-antenna users, impinge on, as depicted in Fig[.1.](#page-1-0) The environment is assumed to be free of scatterers and, in contrast to massive MIMO settings, the number of physical sensors  $M$  is assumed to be not much greater than the number of received users  $K$ . It is also assumed that all users transmit their data at the same time.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Fig. 1. Uplink of a single-cell massive MIMO using sparse arrays

The received data vector  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in C^{M \times 1}$  at the BS is expressed by

$$
\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{s}(t) + \mathbf{z}(t), \ t = 1, 2, \cdots T \text{ snapshots} \quad (5)
$$

where  $\mathbf{x}(t) \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times 1}$  denotes the discrete-time received data vector and  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  is the noise vector drawn from  $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_M)$ and uncorrelated from the signal. The discrete-time signal vector  $\mathbf{s}(t) \in C^{K \times 1}$  contains the transmitted symbols. The

channel matrix  $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_K] \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times K}$  is estimated at the receiver. In [\[23\]](#page-5-3), [\[24\]](#page-5-9) a finite multipath channel model is devised for poor scattering environments, where the number of multipaths is much less than the number of BS antennas and users. In those works the channel vector  $\mathbf{h}_k \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times 1}$  between the kth user and the BS is represented by

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{h}_{k} = \frac{\beta_{k}}{\sqrt{P}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{a} \left( \theta_{p} \right) g_{kp} \tag{6}
$$

where  $g_{kp}$  is the random propagation gain coefficient between the kth user and the BS related to each path  $p = p_1, p_2, \ldots, P$ . In that studies  $g_{kp}$  is modeled as a Rayleigh fading coefficient with zero mean and unit variance, i.e.,  $g_{kp} \sim \mathcal{NC}(0, 1)$ . Furthermore, the expression [\(6\)](#page-1-1) is simplified by the assumption that the path loss coefficient  $\beta_k$  between the kth user and BS for all users is the same and normalized to unity. The steering vector  $\mathbf{a}(\theta_p)$  characterizes the angle measured between the direction of motion of the plane wave front and a line drawn perpendicular to the array. The variable  $\theta_p \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$  denotes the angle of arrival corresponding to the *p*th path, *d* stands for the sensors spacing and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength. However, that model assumes a uniform linear array (ULA) at BS and poor scattering, which results in possible multipaths.

Differently from the mentioned studies, the proposed model assumes that there is no scattering, which means that there is only one path between the kth user and the array. Thus, we can tailor [\(6\)](#page-1-1) to the proposed model so that each path is associated to its user and its respective steering vector, similarly to lineof-sight propagation. Following this reasoning, it is possible to express the channel vector  $h_k$  as follows:

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
\mathbf{h}_k = g_k \, \mathbf{a} \left( \theta_k \right) \tag{7}
$$

where  $g_k \sim \mathcal{NC}(0,1)$  and  $\mathbf{a}(\theta_k)$  is the steering vector that describes the Direction of Arrival (DOA) for each specific sparse array and user.

It is known that sparse arrays can resolve  $\mathcal{O}(M^2)$  signals as a result of the expanded difference coarrays obtained from differences of the distances between their sensors and the incidence of those differences. Nevertheless, some of the known subtypes of this class of arrays like MRA and MHA neither present closed forms nor even have a simple formation rule for the design of the set containing the sensors' positions S. Despite these drawbacks, it is possible to devise a method to be applied to TLNA and CPA, so that we can assess the theoretical performance of their class of arrays when applied to multiple-antenna communications.

# III. PROPOSED SUPER-RESOLUTION MULTIPLE-ANTENNA PROCESSING

We start the exposition of the proposed super-resolution multiple-antenna processing (PSRMAP) method by taking the expectation of the outer product of the received signal vector [\(5\)](#page-1-2), to obtain its covariance matrix  $\mathbf{R}_x \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times M}$ :

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{R}_{x} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\mathbf{x}\left(t\right) \mathbf{x}^{H}\left(t\right)\right\} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sigma_{k}^{2} \left(\mathbf{h}\left(\theta_{k}\right) \mathbf{h}^{H}\left(\theta_{k}\right)\right) + \sigma_{n}^{2} \mathbf{I}
$$
\n(8)

from which we obtain a vector with increased dimension

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\mathbf{v} = \text{vec}(\mathbf{R}_x) = (\mathbf{H}^* \odot \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{p} + \sigma_n^2 \vec{\mathbf{1}}_n, \ \ \in \mathcal{C}^{M^2}
$$
 (9)

where ⊙ denotes the Khatri-Rao product,  $\mathbf{p} = [\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_K^2]^T$  and  $\mathbf{I}_n = [\mathbf{e}_1^T, \mathbf{e}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{e}_M^T]^T$  stands stands for a column vector of all zeros except a  $1$  in the *i*th position. Both TLNA and CPA present DoF that allow the formulation of convenient non-negative definite matrices (NND), which can be combined with subspace methods to estimate a number of signals greater than the number of their respective receive physical sensors. In particular, a method like Multiple Signal Classification (MUSIC) combined with a TLNA with an even number of physical sensors can estimate up to  $\frac{M^2}{4} + \frac{M}{2} - 1$ , signals. If TLNA is replaced by CPA, the estimation can reach  $FQ$  signals.

The vector  $v$  in  $(9)$  obtained by vectorization of the covariance matrix of the received signal  $\mathbf{R}_x$  contains redundant information in the form of some elements that appear more than once. We can remove the repeated entries after their first appearance and arrange them so that the ith row coincides with the sensor situated at  $\left(\frac{-M^2}{4} + \frac{-M}{2} + i\right)d$  and  $(-2FQ - 1 + i) d$  for TLNA and CPA, respectively.

The reshaped vectors obtained after the removal of these redundancies can be expressed for TLNA and CPA respectively as follows:

$$
\mathbf{v}_{t,c} = \mathbf{B}_{t,c} \, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{e}_{t,c} \tag{10}
$$

where the augmented array manifolds  $B_t$ <br>  $[q_t(\theta_1), q_t(\theta_2),..., q_t(\theta_K)]$  and  $B_c$  $\left[\mathbf{q}_t (\theta_1), \mathbf{q}_t (\theta_2), \ldots, \mathbf{q}_t (\theta_K)\right]$  and  $\mathbf{B}_c$  $[\mathbf{q}_{c}(\theta_1), \mathbf{q}_{c}(\theta_2), \dots, \mathbf{q}_{c}(\theta_K)]$  are described by their steering vectors for TLNA and CPA, respectively, as

$$
\mathbf{q}_t\left(\theta_k\right) = |g_k|^2 \left[ e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left(-\bar{M}+1\right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left(-\bar{M}+2\right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left(\bar{M}-2\right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left(\bar{M}-1\right) \sin \theta_k} \right]^T, \tag{11}
$$

$$
\mathbf{q}_c(\theta_k) = |g_k|^2 \left[ e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left( -\hat{M} + 1 \right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left( -\hat{M} + 2 \right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left( \hat{M} - 2 \right) \sin \theta_k}, e^{-j2\pi \frac{d}{\lambda_c} \left( \hat{M} - 1 \right) \sin \theta_k} \right]^T, \tag{12}
$$

where  $k = 1, 2, ..., K$ ,  $\bar{M} = \frac{M^2}{4} + \frac{M}{2}$  and  $\hat{M} = QF + 1$ . The vectors  $\mathbf{e}_t \in \mathcal{R}^{(2\tilde{M}-1)\times 1}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_c \in \mathcal{R}^{(2\tilde{M}-1)\times 1}$  consist of all zeros, except for a 1 in the central position. In comparison with x in [\(5\)](#page-1-2), both  $v_t$  and  $v_c$  in [\(10\)](#page-2-0) work as if the signals received by a longer difference coarray whose sensors positions are computed by the diverse values in the set  $\{\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j \mid i \ge 1, j \le M\}$ , where  $\vec{x}_i$  stands for the position vector of the ith sensor. The source signal vector p composed by the powers  $\sigma_k^2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, ..., K$  of the truly existing sources acts like coherent sources. This associated with the fact that the difference coarrays are ULAs allow the application of spatial smoothing to equations [\(10\)](#page-2-0) to estimate full-rank covariance matrices, which are also NND. The resulting smoothed matrices obtained for TLNA [\[19\]](#page-5-7), [\[20\]](#page-5-10) and for CPA [\[21\]](#page-5-8), [\[22\]](#page-5-11) can be expressed respectively as follows:

$$
\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{tss} = \frac{1}{\bar{M}} \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{M}} \mathbf{v}_{t_i} \mathbf{v}_{t_i}^H = \frac{1}{\bar{M}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{B}_{t1} \Omega_t \mathbf{B}_{t1}^H \right) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{\bar{M}} \right]^2 \tag{13}
$$

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\text{css}} = \frac{1}{\hat{M}} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}} \mathbf{v}_{c_i} \mathbf{v}_{c_i}^H = \frac{1}{\hat{M}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{B}_{c1} \Omega_c \mathbf{B}_{c1}^H \right) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_{\hat{M}} \right]^2 \tag{14}
$$

where the vector  $v_{t_i}$  is formed by the entries between the  $(\bar{M} + 1 - i)$  and the  $(2\bar{M} - i)$  rows of  $v_t$  in [\(10\)](#page-2-0) and  $B_{t1}$ denotes an array manifold composed with the final  $\overline{M}$  rows of  **in [\(10\)](#page-2-0). Similarly,**  $**v**<sub>c<sub>i</sub>}</sub>$  **is composed with the entries included** between the  $(2\hat{M} + 1 - i)$  and the  $(2\hat{M} - i)$  rows of  $v_t$  in [\(10\)](#page-2-0) and  $B_{c1}$  stands for an array manifold composed by the latest  $\overline{M}$  rows of  $\mathbf{B}_c$  in [\(10\)](#page-2-0). More specifically, covariance matrices  $\Omega_t$  in [\(13\)](#page-2-1) and  $\Omega_c$  in [\(14\)](#page-2-2) respectively are expressed as  $\Omega_t = \Omega_c = diag$  (p). Additionally, covariance matrices  $\mathbf{B}_{t1}^H$ and  $\mathbf{B}_{c1}^H$  are described by

<span id="page-2-8"></span>
$$
\mathbf{B}_{t1}^H, \mathbf{B}_{c1}^H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \eta_1 & \cdots & \eta_1^{(\gamma)} \\ 1 & \eta_2 & \cdots & \eta_2^{(\gamma)} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 1 & \eta_K & \cdots & \eta_K^{(\gamma)} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(15)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>where  $\gamma = (\bar{M} - 1)$  for  $\mathbf{B}_{t1}^H$  and  $\gamma = (\hat{M} - 1)$  for  $\mathbf{B}_{c1}^H$ . The matrices  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_t = \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{tss}\right)^{1/2}$ , for TLNA, and  $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_c = \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{css}\right)^{1/2}$ , for CPA, play a pivotal role in this work. Their augmented sizes, which are results of preprocessed signals collected by M and  $F + 2Q - 1$  sparse physical sensors, provide resolution of up to  $\frac{M^2}{4} + \frac{M}{2} - 1$  and QF signals, respectively.

# IV. PROPOSED MMSE RECEIVERS

<span id="page-2-3"></span>We can use the enlarged matrices  $\overline{R}_t$  and  $\overline{R}_c$  defined previously to determine the expressions of the augmented data vectors that give origin to them. Now, let us assume that our system model is similar to that described in Subsection [II-B,](#page-1-4) except that our array is a ULA, composed with  $J|_{\alpha}$  in [\(11\)](#page-2-3) and [\(12\)](#page-2-4) sensors, which is the same number of equivalent sensors obtained at the end of the sparse (TLNA or CPA) array preprocessing. It receives signals transmitted simultaneously from the K single users assumed in Subsection [II-B.](#page-1-4) Following this interpretation, we have that the received data vector  $\mathbf{x}_U(t)$  $\in \mathcal{C}^{J \times 1}$  at the BS would be expressed by

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\mathbf{x}_{U}\left(t\right) = \mathbf{H}_{U}\,\mathbf{s}\left(t\right) + \mathbf{z}\left(t\right),\; t = 1,2,\cdots\,T\,\text{snapshots}\quad(16)
$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_U = [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_K] \in \mathcal{C}^{J \times K}$  stands for the channel,  $\mathbf{z}(t)$  denotes the noise vector, assumed to be  $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_J)$ . It is assumed to be uncorrelated from the signal. This long ULA whose number of physical sensors is equal to the number of the equivalent virtual sensors obtained by preprocessing CPA and TLNA presents the following received data covariance matrix:

$$
\mathbf{R}_U = \mathcal{E} \left\{ \mathbf{x}_U \left( t \right) \; \mathbf{x}_U^H \left( t \right) \right\} = \mathbf{H}_U \; \mathbf{R}_{ss} \; \mathbf{H}_U^H + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_J \tag{17}
$$

Now, we can rewrite  $\overline{R}_t$  for TLNA, and  $\overline{R}_c$ , for CPA, in compact form, as follows:

$$
\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{t,c} = \left(\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{tss,css}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \left[ \left(\mathbf{B}_{t1,c1} \,\Omega_{t,c} \,\mathbf{B}_{t1,c1}^H \right) + \sigma_n^2 \mathbf{I}_J \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{E} \left\{ \mathbf{x}_a \left( t \right) \quad \mathbf{x}_a^H \left( t \right) \right\} \tag{18}
$$

<span id="page-2-1"></span>nere

<span id="page-2-7"></span><span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}\left(t\right) = J^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{t1,c1} \,\mathbf{s}_{a}\left(t\right) + \mathbf{z}_{a}\left(t\right)\right) \tag{19}
$$

By comparing [\(18\)](#page-2-5) and [\(17\)](#page-2-6), it can be noticed that sparse augmented covariance matrices and similar corresponding to equivalent elongated ULAs possess the same number of equally spaced sensors, and for this reason, result in equivalent channel vectors. They also present transmit uncorrelated signals with different amplitudes but have the same SNR. As a matter of fact, except for the factor  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  $\frac{1}{J}$  in the enlarged covariance expressions of the sparse arrays [\(18\)](#page-2-5), expressions [\(17\)](#page-2-6) and [\(18\)](#page-2-5) are similar. Now, let us consider the estimate of a data symbol  $s_a$  of the augmented discrete-time received data vector  $x_a(t)$  [\(5\)](#page-1-2) using a suitable receive filter  $w_a(t)$  whose output is expressed by

$$
\hat{s}_{a}\left(t\right) = \mathbf{w}_{a}^{H}\left(t\right) \mathbf{x}_{a}\left(t\right),\tag{20}
$$

where  $1 \le t \le T$  snapshots. Equation [\(19\)](#page-2-7), can be rewritten in terms of the desired signal and interferences, as follows:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}(t) = J^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\mathbf{b}_{k}s_{k}(t) + \sum_{i=1;\ i \neq k}^{K}\mathbf{b}_{i}s_{i}(t) + \mathbf{z}_{J}(t)\right)
$$

$$
= J^{-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\mathbf{b}_{k}s_{k}(t) + \mathbf{i}(t) + \mathbf{z}_{J}(t)\right)
$$
(21)

where the vectors  $\mathbf{b}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_i$  and  $\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathcal{C}^{J \times 1}$  denote the channel vectors corresponding to the desired user, the interferences, both obtained from the augmented channel matrices in [\(15\)](#page-2-8) and the noise vector corresponding to TLNA or CPA. It can be noticed that  $i(t)$  is equal to the summation of the interferences combined with their respective channel vectors, i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^{K}$  **b**<sub>i</sub>s<sub>i</sub> (t). It can be shown that the Mean-Squared  $\frac{d}{dt}$  Error (MSE) between the transmit symbol and its estimated value [\[61\]](#page-6-0) can be expressed by the following expectation:

$$
\mathcal{E}\left\{|\hat{s}-s|^2\right\} = J^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_k^2 \mathbf{w}_a^H \mathbf{b}_k \mathbf{b}_k^H \mathbf{w}_a - J^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_k^2 \mathbf{w}_a^H \mathbf{b}_k - J^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_k^2 \mathbf{b}_k^H \mathbf{w}_a + \sigma_k^2 + J^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{w}_a^H \mathbf{R}_{i+n} \mathbf{w}_a
$$
(22)

where

$$
\mathbf{R}_{i+n} = \mathcal{E}\left\{\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{i}^H\right\} + \mathcal{E}\left\{\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{z}^H\right\}\big|_{0} + \mathcal{E}\left\{\mathbf{z}\,\mathbf{i}^H\right\}\big|_{0} + \mathcal{E}\left\{\mathbf{z}\,\mathbf{z}^H\right\}
$$
\n(23)

is the equivalent interference-plus-noise covariance matrix, where the cross terms indicated in [\(23\)](#page-3-0) are equal to zero matrices, according to the assumed uncorrelation between signal and noise. By differentiating the MSE in [\(22\)](#page-3-1) with respect to the receive filter w and making it equal to 0, we can compute the minimum MSE (MMSE) receive filter, as follows:

$$
J^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_k^2 \left( \mathbf{b}_k \ \mathbf{b}_k^H \right)^T \mathbf{w}_a^* - J^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_k^2 \ b_k^* + J^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{R}_{i+n}^T \ \mathbf{w}_a^* = 0 \tag{24}
$$

Note that the linear MMSE receive filters can be employed by many detectors employed at the BS for uplink receive processing techniques [\[28\]](#page-5-12), [\[29\]](#page-5-13), [\[30\]](#page-5-14), [\[31\]](#page-5-15), [\[36\]](#page-5-16), [\[32\]](#page-5-17), [\[39\]](#page-5-18), [\[33\]](#page-5-19), [\[35\]](#page-5-20), [\[37\]](#page-5-21), [\[34\]](#page-5-22), [\[38\]](#page-5-23), [\[41\]](#page-5-24), [\[42\]](#page-5-25), [\[43\]](#page-5-26), [\[44\]](#page-5-27), [\[45\]](#page-5-28), [\[46\]](#page-5-29), [\[40\]](#page-5-30), [\[47\]](#page-5-31), [\[48\]](#page-5-32), [\[49\]](#page-5-33), [\[50\]](#page-5-34), [\[51\]](#page-5-35), [\[52\]](#page-5-36), [\[53\]](#page-5-37), [\[54\]](#page-5-38), [\[55\]](#page-5-39), [\[56\]](#page-5-40), [\[57\]](#page-5-41), [\[58\]](#page-5-42), [\[59\]](#page-5-43).

## *A. Linear MMSE Receivers*

In this subsection, we describe linear MMSE receivers with super-resolution, whose column vectors of its matrix form

$$
\mathbf{W}_a = [\mathbf{w}_{a_1}, \mathbf{w}_{a_2}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{a_K}]
$$
 can be obtained by solving (24):

$$
\mathbf{w}_{a_i} = J^{\frac{1}{4}} \sigma_k^2 \left( \mathbf{R}_{i+n} + \sigma_k^2 \, \mathbf{b}_k \mathbf{b}_k^H \right)^{-1} \mathbf{b}_k \ \in \mathcal{C}^{J \times 1} \tag{25}
$$

Assuming perfect channel state information and that the statistical knowledge of the noise is available, the problem corresponds to estimating the transmitted symbol and then performing detection, which can be extracted from the estimate of the data symbol  $\tilde{s}_a(t)$ , which in turn can be expressed as follows:

$$
\tilde{s}_{a}\left(t\right) = \text{Slicer}\left[\hat{s}_{a}\left(t\right)\right] \tag{26}
$$

<span id="page-3-3"></span>where  $\hat{s}_a(t)$  is computed by [\(20\)](#page-3-3).

## <span id="page-3-5"></span>*B. Successive Interference Cancellation MMSE Receivers*

The interference cancellation can be successfully combined with an MMSE filter to better evaluate the performance of sparse arrays in terms of uncoded BER. Specifically, in the norm-based ordered successive interference cancellation (OSIC) method [\[62\]](#page-6-1), [\[63\]](#page-6-2), which will be applied to our PSRMAP it is assumed that the received signal concentration of the *i*th transmitted signal is proportional to the norm of its corresponding channel vector  $[\mathbf{B}_{t1}]_{(:,i)}$ . Thus, before starting the procedure, the channel vectors are norm-ordered decreasingly. Except for the first step, which preserves the MMSE linear filter features, the subsequent refining steps remove the preceding interference according the decreasing order of the Euclidean norm of that vectors. The second step of OSIC is provided by the 2nd row of the MMSE linear filter applied to the first 'peeled' received vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1$ , which is the difference between the received vector  $x_{a}$  and the product of the first norm-ordered channel vector  $J^{-\frac{1}{4}}b_{t1, c1(1)}$ and its corresponding signal estimate  $s_{a_1}(t)$ . The process for estimating the 'peeled' received vector starts with rewriting [\(19\)](#page-2-7) as follows

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{x}_{a}(t) = J^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(1)} s_{a_1}(t) + \mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(2)} s_{a_2}(t) + \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(K)} s_{a_K}(t) + \mathbf{z}_a(t) \right)
$$
(27)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>and after that, taking the desired difference:

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \mathbf{x}_a(t) - J^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(1)} s_{a_1}(t) \n= J^{-\frac{1}{4}} (\mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(2)} s_{a_2}(t) \n+ \ldots + \mathbf{b}_{t1, c1(K)} s_{a_K}(t) + \mathbf{z}_a(t))
$$
\n(28)

## V. ANALYSIS

<span id="page-3-2"></span>In this section, we analyze aspects of the performance of the proposed super-resolution multiple-antenna processing. To this end, we assume Gaussian signalling and make use of suitable indicators such as Achievable Sum-Rate  $(ASR_a)$ . The computational complexity  $(CC_a)$  demanded for carrying out the proposed algorithm follows  $\mathcal{O}(J^3)$  Floating Point Operations per second (FLOPs) mainly concentrated in its inversion matrix. The  $ASR$  can be defined [\[60\]](#page-6-3), [\[23\]](#page-5-3) as:

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
ASR_a \le \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log_2 \left( 1 + SINR_k \right) \tag{29}
$$

in which the received signal-to-interference plus noise ratio of the *k*th user  $(SINR_k)$ , which is taken at the output of the receiver is expressed by:

$$
SINR_k = \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma_j^2 + \sigma_w^2} \tag{30}
$$

where  $\sigma_s^2$  is the signal power,  $\sigma_j^2$  is the interference power and  $\sigma_w^2$  is the noise power. Recall that as our system is composed of a sole cell, the inter-cell interference is not considered. This ratio can be obtained by identifying and taking suitable parts of the expression of the variance of the estimate of the data symbol  $\gamma = \mathcal{E} \left\{ \hat{s}_a(t) \hat{s}_a^H(t) \right\}$  as follows:

$$
\gamma = J^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sigma_k^2 |\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{b}_k|^2 + \sum_{i=1; i \neq k}^{intra-cell MUI} \sigma_i^2 |\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{b}_i|^2 + \widehat{\sigma_n^2 ||\mathbf{w}_k||^2} \right)
$$
\n(31)

where we have considered that the expected values of crossproduct terms are null as a result of the statistics assumed in Subsection [II-B.](#page-1-4) From [\(29\)](#page-3-4), [\(30\)](#page-4-15) and [\(31\)](#page-4-16), we obtain the expression of  $ASR_a$  for the considered sparse arrays, namely, *TLNA* and *CPA*, as follows:

$$
ASR_a \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma_k^2 |\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{b}_k|^2}{\sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^{K} \sigma_i^2 |\mathbf{w}_k^H \mathbf{b}_i|^2 + \sigma_n^2 ||\mathbf{w}_k||^2} \right)
$$
(32)

## VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section is intended to assess the performance of our PSRMAP from the point of view of the ASR and BER. To this end, we examine a scenario involving  $K = 8$  singleantenna users. The transmitted signals containing  $10^2$  symbols under QPSK modulation experience a channel modeled as in [\(7\)](#page-1-5) before impinging on TLNA, CPA and ULA-based MU-MIMO receivers. The first and the second arrays comprise  $M \in \{8, 16\}$  non-uniformly spaced physical sensors whereas ULA consists of  $M = 16$  uniformly spaced physical sensors. We set the number of independent trials to  $10^3$ .

In Fig[.2,](#page-4-17) we plot the ASR corresponding to  $K = 8$  users sending signals to a ULA comprising  $M = 16$  physical sensors. We can compare its ASR to that achieved by TLNA and CPA consisting both of an smaller or equal amount of sensors, i.e.  $M = 8$  and  $M = 16$ . It can be noticed that the smaller number of sensors for TLNA and CPA  $(M = 8)$  is just enough to provide better performance than that achieved by a ULA containing  $(M = 16)$  in all considered SNR range.

Fig[.3](#page-4-18) illustrates the performance of the uncoded BER for TLNA, CPA and ULA under norm-ordered sucessive interference cancellation applied to a MMSE receiver, as described in Subsection [IV-B.](#page-3-5) A comparison among the curves makes clear that for TLNA and CPA with the same number of physical sensors, the first yields better results in the SNR range in question. Furthermore, the BER performance of a ULA consisting of  $M = 16$  is worse than that of a pair  ${T} LNA, CPA$  comprised of  $M = 8$ , i.e, its half, and also by the same pair consisting of the same number of sensors of  $\alpha$  III A  $M - 16$  VII. CONCLUSIONS a ULA  $M = 16$ .

We have proposed a sparse arrays processing common to two-level nested and co-prime arrays that can be applied to

<span id="page-4-15"></span>

<span id="page-4-17"></span>Fig. 2. ASR for  $K = 8$  single users. M= No. of physical sensors.

<span id="page-4-18"></span>Fig. 3. Uncoded BER for  $K = 8$  single users. M= No. of physical sensors.

<span id="page-4-16"></span>multiple-antenna systems in the light of the properties of the similar virtual ULAs. It is assumed a geometry-based stochastic model and no scattering inside a single-cell. The proposed PSRMAP procedure resulted in substantial gains in terms of achievable rates, bit error ratio and the energy savings resulting from the much smaller number of sensor elements which is required to achieve the same performance of a given ULA. The obtained results motivate further studies in this area.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] R. C. de Lamare, "Massive MIMO systems: Signal processing challenges and future trends," in URSI Radio Science Bulletin, vol. 2013, no. 347, pp. 8-20, Dec. 2013.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] L. Lu, G. Y. Li, A. L. Swindlehurst, A. Ashikhmin and R. Zhang, "An Overview of Massive MIMO: Benefits and Challenges," in IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 742- 758, Oct. 2014
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] W. Zhang et al., "Large-Scale Antenna Systems With UL/DL Hardware Mismatch: Achievable Rates Analysis and Calibration," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 1216-1229, April 2015.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[4] P. Pal and P. P. Vaidyanathan, "Nested Arrays: A Novel Approach to Array Processing With Enhanced Degrees of Freedom," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 4167-4181, Aug. 2010.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[5] Liu, C.L.; Vaidyanathan, P. P., 'Super Nested Arrays: Linear Sparse Arrays With Reduced Mutual Coupling Part I: Fundamentals', *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 64(15), pp.3997-4012, Aug 2016.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[6] Liu, C.L., Vaidyanathan, P. P., 'Super nested arrays: Sparse arrays with less mutual coupling than nested arrays', ICASSP, 2016.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>X. Wang, Z. Yang, J. Huang and R. C. de Lamare, "Robust Two-Stage Reduced-Dimension Sparsity-Aware STAP for Airborne Radar With Coprime Arrays," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 68, pp. 81-96, 2020.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[8] W. S. Leite and R. C. d. Lamare, "List-Based OMP and an Enhanced Model for DOA Estimation With Nonuniform Arrays," in IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 4457- 4464, Dec. 2021.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[9] W. Shi, Y. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Novel Sparse Array Design Based on the Maximum Inter-Element Spacing Criterion," in IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 29, pp. 1754-1758, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[10] X. Sheng, D. Lu, Y. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Enhanced MISC-Based Sparse Array With High uDOFs and Low Mutual Coupling," in IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs, 2023.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[11] Gupta, I. J., Kisienskiand A. A., 'Effect of Mutual Coupling on the Performance of Adaptive Arrays', *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Vol. Ap-31, No. 5, September 1983.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[12] Wu,Y., Linnartz, J. P., 'Effects of Antenna Mutual Coupling on the Performance of MIMO Systems', 29th Symposium on Information Theory in the Benelux, May 2008.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[13] Feng, M., Mao, S., 'Interference Management and User Association for Nested Array-Based Massive MIMO HetNets', *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Vol.. 67, No. 1, January 2018.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[14] Zhu, F., Liang, Q. ,'Channel estimation for massive MIMO with 2-D nested array deployment', *Physical Communication*, Elsevier, 25, 432- 437, 2017.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[15] Yuan S., Liang, Q.,'3D nested distributed massive MIMO: Modeling and performance analysis', Ad Hoc Networks, Elsevier, 58, 6-12, 2017.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[16] Pinto, S. F. B., Lamare, R.C., 'Quantization-Aware Block Diagonalization Algorithms for Multiple-Antenna Systems with Low-Resolution Signals', 24th Workshop on Smart Antennas, pp.1-6, Hamburg, Germany, Feb. 2020.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[17] Pinto, S. F. B., Lamare, R. C., Block Diagonalization Precoding and Power Allocation for Multiple-Antenna Systems with Coarsely Quantized Signals, July 2021 *IEEE Trans. on Communications*, pp.99:1-1
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[18] Oestges, C., Clerckx, B.,'MIMO Wireless Networks Channels, Techniques and Standards for Multi-Antenna, Multi-User and Multi-Cell Systems', Elsevier, 2013.
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[19] Pal, P., Vaidyanathan, 'Nested Arrays: A Novel approach to array Processing with Enhanced degrees of Freedom', *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol.58, no. 8, pp. 4167-4181, Aug. 2010.
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[20] Pinto, S. F., Lamare, R. C., 'Multi-Step Knowledge-Aided Iterative MUSIC for Direction Finding using Nested Arrays,' WSA, 2019.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[21] Pal, P., Vaidyanathan, 'Coprime Sampling and the MUSIC Algorithm', Digital Signal Processing and Signal Processing Education Meeting, Sedona, AZ, USA, Jan. 2011.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[22] Tan,Z., Nehorai, A., 'Direction of Arrival Estimation Using Co-Prime Arrays: A Super Resolution Viewpoint,' *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 62, no. 21, pp. 5565-5576, Nov.1, 2014.
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[23] Hawej, M., Shayan, Y., 'Evaluation of Massive MU-MIMO Channel Estimation Based on Uplink Achievable Sum-rate Criteria', IEEE Canadian Conf. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, pp.1-5, 2019.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[24] Hawej, M., Shayan, Y., 'Iterative weighted nuclear norm minimizationbased channel estimation for massive multi-user MIMO systems', IEEE 88th Vehicular Technology Conference, Chicago, USA, pp. 1-5, 2018.
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[25] Hawej, M., Shayan, Y., 'Compressive Sensing based Nuclear Norm Minimization Method for Massive MU-MIMO Channel Estimation', IEEE Canadian Conf. on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2018.
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[26] Hawej, M., Shayan, Y., 'Pilot decontamination in massive multiuser MIMO systems based on low-rank matrixapproximation', *IET Communications*, Jan. 2019.
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[27] Zheng, K., Yin X., 'Massive MIMO Channel Models: A Survey', *International Journal of Antennas and Propagation*, ID 848071, 10 pages, 2014.
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[28] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Processing Based on Joint and Iterative Interpolation, Decimation, and Filtering," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2503-2514, July 2009.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[29] R. C. De Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Minimum Mean-Squared Error Iterative Successive Parallel Arbitrated Decision Feedback Detectors for DS-CDMA Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 778-789, May 2008.
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[30] Y. Cai and R. C. de Lamare, "Space-Time Adaptive MMSE Multiuser Decision Feedback Detectors With Multiple-Feedback Interference Cancellation for CDMA Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 4129-4140, Oct. 2009.
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[31] Fa, R.; de Lamare, R.C.: 'Multi-branch successive interference cancellation for MIMO spatial multiplexing systems: design, analysis and adaptive implementation', IET Communications, 2011, 5, (4), p. 484- 494.
- <span id="page-5-17"></span>[32] P. Li, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Multiple Feedback Successive Interference Cancellation Detection for Multiuser MIMO Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2434-2439, August 2011.
- <span id="page-5-19"></span>[33] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Equalization Algorithms Based on Alternating Optimization Design Techniques for MIMO Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2482-2494, July 2011.
- <span id="page-5-22"></span>[34] R. C. de Lamare and P. S. R. Diniz, "Set-Membership Adaptive Algorithms Based on Time-Varying Error Bounds for CDMA Interference Suppression," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 644-654, Feb. 2009
- <span id="page-5-20"></span>[35] R. C. de Lamare and R. Sampaio-Neto, "Reduced-Rank Space–Time Adaptive Interference Suppression With Joint Iterative Least Squares Algorithms for Spread-Spectrum Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1217-1228, March 2010.
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[36] N. Song, R. C. de Lamare, M. Haardt and M. Wolf, "Adaptive Widely Linear Reduced-Rank Interference Suppression Based on the Multistage Wiener Filter," in IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 60, no. 8, pp. 4003-4016, Aug. 2012
- <span id="page-5-21"></span>[37] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Transmit Diversity Optimization and Relay Selection for Multi-Relay Cooperative MIMO Systems Using Discrete Stochastic Algorithms," in IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1035-1037, October 2011.
- <span id="page-5-23"></span>[38] P. Clarke and R. C. de Lamare, "Transmit Diversity and Relay Selection Algorithms for Multirelay Cooperative MIMO Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1084-1098, March 2012.
- <span id="page-5-18"></span>[39] P. Li and R. C. De Lamare, "Adaptive Decision-Feedback Detection With Constellation Constraints for MIMO Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 853-859, Feb. 2012.
- <span id="page-5-30"></span>[40] J. Liu and R. C. de Lamare, "Low-Latency Reweighted Belief Propagation Decoding for LDPC Codes," in IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1660-1663, October 2012
- <span id="page-5-24"></span>[41] R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive and Iterative Multi-Branch MMSE Decision Feedback Detection Algorithms for Multi-Antenna Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 5294-5308, October 2013.
- <span id="page-5-25"></span>[42] A. G. D. Uchoa, C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Iterative Detection and Decoding Algorithms for MIMO Systems in Block-Fading Channels Using LDPC Codes," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 2735-2741, April 2016.
- <span id="page-5-26"></span>[43] Z. Shao, R. C. de Lamare and L. T. N. Landau, "Iterative Detection and Decoding for Large-Scale Multiple-Antenna Systems With 1-Bit ADCs," in IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 476-479, June 2018.
- <span id="page-5-27"></span>[44] T. Peng, R. C. de Lamare and A. Schmeink, "Adaptive Distributed Space-Time Coding Based on Adjustable Code Matrices for Cooperative MIMO Relaying Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 2692-2703, July 2013.
- <span id="page-5-28"></span>[45] P. Li and R. C. de Lamare, "Distributed Iterative Detection With Reduced Message Passing for Networked MIMO Cellular Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 63, no. 6, pp. 2947- 2954, July 2014.
- <span id="page-5-29"></span>[46] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare and R. Fa, "Switched Interleaving Techniques with Limited Feedback for Interference Mitigation in DS-CDMA Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 59, no. 7, pp. 1946-1956, July 2011.
- <span id="page-5-31"></span>[47] Y. Cai, R. C. de Lamare, B. Champagne, B. Qin and M. Zhao, "Adaptive Reduced-Rank Receive Processing Based on Minimum Symbol-Error-Rate Criterion for Large-Scale Multiple-Antenna Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4185-4201, Nov. 2015.
- <span id="page-5-32"></span>[48] C. T. Healy and R. C. de Lamare, "Design of LDPC Codes Based on Multipath EMD Strategies for Progressive Edge Growth," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 64, no. 8, pp. 3208-3219, Aug. 2016.
- <span id="page-5-33"></span>[49] J. Gu, R. C. de Lamare and M. Huemer, "Buffer-Aided Physical-Layer Network Coding With Optimal Linear Code Designs for Cooperative Networks," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 2560-2575, June 2018.
- <span id="page-5-34"></span>[50] A. R. Flores, R. C. de Lamare and B. Clerckx, "Linear Precoding and Stream Combining for Rate Splitting in Multiuser MIMO Systems," in IEEE Communications Letters, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 890-894, April 2020.
- <span id="page-5-35"></span>[51] Z. Shao, L. T. N. Landau and R. C. de Lamare, "Dynamic Oversampling for 1-Bit ADCs in Large-Scale Multiple-Antenna Systems," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 3423-3435, May 2021.
- <span id="page-5-36"></span>[52] R. B. Di Renna and R. C. de Lamare, "Adaptive Activity-Aware Iterative Detection for Massive Machine-Type Communications," in IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1631-1634, Dec. 2019.
- <span id="page-5-37"></span>[53] R. B. Di Renna and R. C. de Lamare, "Iterative List Detection and Decoding for Massive Machine-Type Communications," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 6276-6288, Oct. 2020.
- <span id="page-5-38"></span>[54] L. T. N. Landau, M. Dörpinghaus, R. C. de Lamare and G. P. Fettweis, "Achievable Rate With 1-Bit Quantization and Oversampling Using Continuous Phase Modulation-Based Sequences," in IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 7080-7095, Oct. 2018.
- <span id="page-5-39"></span>[55] R. B. Di Renna, C. Bockelmann, R. C. de Lamare and A. Dekorsy, "Detection Techniques for Massive Machine-Type Communications: Challenges and Solutions," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 180928-180954, 2020.
- <span id="page-5-40"></span>[56] Z. Shao, L. T. N. Landau and R. C. De Lamare, "Channel Estimation for Large-Scale Multiple-Antenna Systems Using 1-Bit ADCs and Oversampling," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 85243-85256, 2020.
- <span id="page-5-41"></span>[57] R. B. D. Renna and R. C. de Lamare, "Dynamic Message Scheduling Based on Activity-Aware Residual Belief Propagation for Asynchronous mMTC," in IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1290-1294, June 2021.
- <span id="page-5-42"></span>[58] R. B. Di Renna and R. C. de Lamare, "Joint Channel Estimation, Activity Detection and Data Decoding Based on Dynamic Message-Scheduling Strategies for mMTC," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 2464-2479, April 2022.
- <span id="page-5-43"></span>[59] A. B. L. B. Fernandes, Z. Shao, L. T. N. Landau and R. C. de Lamare, "Multiuser-MIMO Systems Using Comparator Network-Aided

Receivers With 1-Bit Quantization," in IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 908-922, Feb. 2023.

- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[60] Marzetta, T.L., Larssson, E.G.,'Fundamentals of Massive MIMO', Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>[61] Li, J., Stoica, P., 'Robust Adaptive Beamforming', John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[62] Paulraj, A., Nabar, 'Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications', Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [63] Wolniansky, P., Foschini, G.,'An architecture for realizing very high data rates over the rich-scattering wireless channel', Proc. URSI ISSSE, 295-300, September, 1998.