

Poisson structures of some heavenly type dynamical systems

Y.A. Prykarpatsky

Dept. of Applied Mathematics, University of Agriculture in Krakow,
Al. Mickiewicza 21, 31-120, Kraków, Poland

December 12, 2023

Abstract

The paper investigates the Poisson structures associated with dynamical systems of the heavenly type, focusing on the Mikhalev-Pavlov and Plebański equation. The dynamical system is represented as a Hamiltonian system on a functional manifold, and Poisson brackets are defined based on a non-degenerate Poisson operator. The study explores the Lax-type integrability and bi-Hamiltonian properties of the systems, revealing the existence of compatible Poisson operators. The Lie-algebraic approach, particularly the AKS-algebraic and \mathcal{R} -structure schemes, is employed to analyze the holomorphic loop Lie algebra, providing insights into the Lie-algebraic structure of heavenly equations. The Mikhalev-Pavlov and Plebański equations are studied in detail, and the associated Poisson brackets for specific coordinate functions are derived, revealing interesting mathematical properties. The paper establishes a foundation for understanding the symplectic structures associated with heavenly-type dynamical systems.

1 Introduction

Let us consider a dynamical system

$$du/dt = K[u], \quad (1.1)$$

on the functional manifold M , where $u = (u_1(x), \dots, u_m(x))^T \in M \subset \mathbb{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $K : M \rightarrow T(M)$ is a vector field, $T(M)$ is a vector tangent space to the infinite-dimension 2π -periodic manifold M . For any two functionals $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ one can define the Poisson brackets

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_\theta(u) = (\langle \text{grad } F_1 \mid \theta \text{grad } F_2 \rangle), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\theta : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ is a non-degenerated Poisson and skew-symmetrical operator, $\text{grad } F = F'_u[u] \cdot \mathbf{1}$, $u \in M$, $'$ is the Fréchet derivative. The scalar product is given as

$$(\langle a \mid b \rangle) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^m} \langle a \mid b \rangle dx, \quad (1.3)$$

$a, b \in \mathbb{C}_{2\pi}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Cartesian product in \mathbb{R}^m . Dynamical system (1.1) is called Hamiltonian if it can be rewritten as

$$du/dt = \{H, u\}_\theta = -\theta \text{grad } H. \quad (1.4)$$

where θ is a matrix skew-symmetrical integro-differential operator which satisfies the Jacoby identity. The coordinate components $u_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = \overline{1, m}$ are considered as local functionals on M , being represented as

$$u_i(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} u_i(y) \delta(x - y) dy. \quad (1.5)$$

Then the Poisson brackets for coordinate functions $u_i, u_j \in M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i, j \in \overline{1, m}$ are given by

$$\{u_i(x), u_j(y)\}_\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \delta(x - z) \theta_{ij}[u_1(z), \dots, u_m(z)] \delta(y - z) dz = \theta_{ij}[u_1(x), \dots, u_m(x)] \delta(y - x), \quad (1.6)$$

where we used the expression

$$\text{grad } u_i(x) = (0, \dots, \delta(x - y), \dots, 0). \quad (1.7)$$

If the dynamical system (1.1) is Lax-type integrable and bi-Hamiltonian then there exist pair of compatible Poisson operators θ and η that

$$du/dt = -\vartheta \text{grad } H = -\eta \text{grad } \tilde{H}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $\gamma_0 = H$ and $\gamma_1 = \tilde{H}$ are the corresponding local conservation laws of the system (1.8). The operators $\vartheta, \eta : \mathbb{T}^*(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(M)$ allow to define an infinite set of the conservation laws $\gamma_k : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and respectively infinite set of the non-degenerate skew-symmetric and Poisson operators for (1.8)

$$\text{grad } \gamma_k = (\vartheta^{-1} \eta)^k \text{grad } \gamma_0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\vartheta^{(k)} = \vartheta(\vartheta^{-1} \eta)^k$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the system (1.1) can be rewritten in the following form

$$\partial l / \partial t = \partial p / \partial x + [p, l], \quad (1.10)$$

where $l = l[u; \lambda]$, $p = p[u; \lambda]$ are the linear operators which act on the some functional space, $u \in M$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^1$ is a spectral parameter. The operator $l[u; \lambda]$ is called the Lax operator for the system (1.1). The Lax operator can be an element of some linear space which can be analyzed by means of the Lie-algebraic approach developed in [3].

Recently in series of papers [4, 5, 8] the AKS-algebraic and related \mathcal{R} -structure schemes [3, 11] were applied to the holomorphic loop Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} := \widetilde{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{T}^m)$ of vector fields on torus \mathbb{T}^m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It allows to study the orbits of the corresponding coadjoint actions on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$, closely related to the classical Lie-Poisson type structures. It was shown that heavenly type equations are the result of the compatibility conditions of the two constructed commuting flows on the coadjoint space $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$, generated by a chosen seed element $\tilde{l} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ and some Casimir invariants. To proceed further we need to recall the necessary terms from the developed recently Lie-algebraic approach to the theory of heavenly equations.

2 Lie-algebraic structure of heavenly type equations

Let $\tilde{G}_\pm := \widetilde{\text{Diff}}_\pm(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be subgroups of the loop diffeomorphisms group $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{T}^n) := \{\mathbb{C} \supset \mathbb{D}^1 \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^n)\}$, holomorphically extended in the interior $\mathbb{D}_+^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ and in the exterior $\mathbb{S}_-^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ regions of the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^1$, such that for any $g(\lambda) \in \tilde{G}_\pm$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_+^1$, $g(\infty) = 1 \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

The corresponding Lie subalgebras $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\pm} := \widetilde{d\text{if}}_{\pm}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ of the loop subgroups \tilde{G}_{\pm} are vector fields on \mathbb{T}^n holomorphic, respectively, on $\mathbb{D}_{\pm}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^1$, where one can single out two cases: the first one, if for any $\tilde{a}(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+$ the value $\tilde{a}(0) = 0$, and the second one, if for any $\tilde{a}(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-$ the value $\tilde{a}(\infty) = 0$. The natural loop Lie algebra splitting $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-$ can be identified with a dense subspace of the dual space $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ through the pairing

$$(\tilde{l} \mid \tilde{a}) := \text{res}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}(\lambda^{-p} l(x, \lambda) \mid a(x, \lambda))_{H^q}, \quad (2.1)$$

for some fixed $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We take a loop vector field $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma(\tilde{T}(\mathbb{T}^n))$ as

$$\tilde{a} = \sum_{j=1}^n a^{(j)}(x, \lambda) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} := \left\langle a(x; \lambda) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right\rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

and a loop differential 1-form $\tilde{l} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ as

$$\tilde{l} = \sum_{j=1}^n l_j(x, \lambda) dx_j := \langle l(x; \lambda) \mid dx \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ denoted the gradient operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\top}$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n , and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H^q}$ is the Sobolev type metric on the space $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^q(\mathbb{T}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$(l(x; \lambda), a(x; \lambda))_{H^q} := \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^q \int dx \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} l_j(x; \lambda)}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} a^{(j)}(x; \lambda)}{\partial x^\alpha} \right), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\partial x^\alpha := \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. The Lie commutator of vector fields $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ equals

$$[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] = \tilde{a}\tilde{b} - \tilde{b}\tilde{a} = \left\langle \left\langle a(x; \lambda) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right\rangle b(x; \lambda) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right\rangle - \left\langle \left\langle b(x; \lambda) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right\rangle a(x; \lambda) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right\rangle.$$

The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ splitting $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-$ generates the dual direct sum space $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^* = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+^* \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-^*$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+^* \simeq \lambda^{p-1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-^* \simeq \lambda^{p-1} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+,$$

where for any $l(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-^*$ one has assumed the constraint $\tilde{l}(0) = 0$. The projection operator $P_{\pm} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} := \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\pm} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ allows to introduce a classical \mathcal{R} -structure [3, 11, 12] on the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as the endomorphism $\mathcal{R} : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, where

$$\mathcal{R} := (P_+ - P_-)/2. \quad (2.5)$$

Then related new Lie algebra structure

$$[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]_{\mathcal{R}} := [\mathcal{R}\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] + [\tilde{a}, \mathcal{R}\tilde{b}] \quad (2.6)$$

for any $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ satisfies the standard Jacobi identity.

Let $D(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*)$ denote the space of smooth functions on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$. Then for any $f, g \in D(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*)$ one can write the canonical [1, 3, 11, 9] Lie–Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} := (\tilde{l}, [\nabla f(\tilde{l}), \nabla g(\tilde{l})]), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\tilde{l} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ is a seed element and $\nabla f, \nabla g \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are the standard functional gradients at $\tilde{l} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ with respect to the metric (2.1).

3 The Mikhalev-Pavlov equation case

Let us take $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^* := \widetilde{diff}^*(\mathbb{T}^1)$ and consider the Mikhalev-Pavlov equation [7]

$$u_{xt} + u_{yy} = u_y u_{xx} - u_x u_{xy} \quad (3.1)$$

where $u \in M \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^1)$ and $(t, y; x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^1$. It was shown in [4] that the following "seed"-element $\tilde{l}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$

$$\tilde{l}_0 = (\lambda - 2u_x)dx \quad (3.2)$$

generates the following commuting flows on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (\lambda^2 + \lambda u_x - u_y) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + (\lambda + u_x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

satisfied for $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^1; \mathbb{C})$, any $(y, t; x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^1$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The compatibility condition of the flows (3.3) gives rise to the Mikhalev-Pavlov heavenly equation (3.1).

We can also take $\tilde{l}_p \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$

$$\tilde{l}_p = \lambda^p (\lambda + u_0) dx \quad (3.4)$$

where we chose functional $u_0(s_1) = -2u_{s_1}$ as an independent coordinate with parameter $s_1 \in \mathbb{T}^1$.

To find the corresponding Poisson brackets for coordinate $u_0(s_1)$ we remark, that functional $u_0(s_1)$ has the following representation [4] on $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$ at $q = 0$:

$$u_0(s_1) = \left(\tilde{l}_p \left| \text{grad}(u_0(s_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right) \Rightarrow \left((\lambda^{p+1} + \lambda^p u_0) dx \left| \text{grad}(u_0(s_1)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right. \right) = \text{res}_\lambda \int_0^{2\pi} \lambda^p u_0 \text{grad}(u_0(s_1)) dx, \quad (3.5)$$

from which one can find the expression for the gradient of $u_0(s_1)$:

$$\text{grad}(u_0(s_1)) = \lambda^{-(p+1)} \delta(x - s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (3.6)$$

The latter makes it possible to calculate the Lie-Poisson bracket (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \{u_0(s_1), u_0(s_2)\}_p &= \left(\tilde{l}_n \left| [\nabla u_0(s_1), \nabla u_0(s_2)]_{\mathcal{R}} \right. \right)_0 = \dots = \\ &= \left((\lambda^{p+1} + \lambda^p u_0) dx \left| \lambda^{-2(p+1)} \left[\delta(x - s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \delta(x - s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{\mathcal{R}} \right. \right)_0 = \\ &= \text{res}_\lambda \int_0^{2\pi} (\lambda^{-p-1} + \lambda^{-p-2} u_0) (\delta(x - s_1) \delta'(x - s_2) - \delta(x - s_2) \delta'(x - s_1)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is non vanishing only for the cases $p = -1$ and $p = 0$. For the case $p = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u_0(s_1), u_0(s_2)\}_{-1} &= (\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| [\nabla u_0(s_1), \nabla u_0(s_2)]_{\mathcal{R}} \right|_0 = \\
&= \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| \left[\delta(x-s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \delta(x-s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{\mathcal{R}} \right|_0 = \\
&= \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| \delta(x-s_1) \delta'(x-s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \delta(x-s_2) \delta'(x-s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right|_{\mathcal{R}} \right)_0 = \\
&= res_{\lambda} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\langle \left(1 + \frac{u_0(x)}{\lambda} \right) dx \left| \delta(x-s_1) \delta'(x-s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \delta(x-s_2) \delta'(x-s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right\rangle = \quad (3.7) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} u_0(x) (\delta(x-s_1) \delta'(x-s_2) - \delta(x-s_2) \delta'(x-s_1)) dx = \\
&= u_0(s_1) \delta'(s_1-s_2) - u_0(s_2) \delta'(s_2-s_1) = u_0(s_1) \delta'(s_1-s_2) + u_0(s_2) \delta'(s_1-s_2) = \\
&= \delta'(s_1-s_2) (u_0(s_1) - u_0(s_2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account, that $u_0 = -2u_{s_1}$, we obtain, finally:

$$\begin{aligned}
4\{u_{s_1}(s_1), u_{s_2}(s_2)\}_{-1} &= -2\delta'(s_1-s_2)(u_{s_1}(s_1) + u_{s_2}(s_2)), \\
\{u_{s_1}(s_1), u_{s_2}(s_2)\}_{-1} &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta'(s_1-s_2)(u_{s_1}(s_1) + u_{s_2}(s_2)) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (u_{s_2}(s_2) \theta''_{s_2 s_1}(s_1-s_2) - u_{s_1}(s_1) \theta''_{s_1 s_2}(s_2-s_1)) \Rightarrow \\
\{u(s_1), u(s_2)\}_{-1} &= \frac{1}{2} (u_{s_2}(s_2) \theta(s_1-s_2) - u_{s_1}(s_1) \theta(s_2-s_1)) \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

The same calculations one can perform for the case $p = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u_0(s_1), u_0(s_2)\}_0 &= \left(\tilde{l}_0 \left| [\nabla u_0(s_1), \nabla u_0(s_2)]_{\mathcal{R}} \right| \right) = \\
&= \left(\tilde{l}_0 \left| \left[\lambda^{-1} \delta(x-s_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \lambda^{-1} \delta(x-s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right]_{\mathcal{R}} \right| \right) = \\
&= - \left(\tilde{l}_0 \left| \lambda^{-2} (\delta(x-s_1) \delta'(x-s_2) - \delta(x-s_2) \delta'(x-s_1)) \right| \right) = \quad (3.9) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} (\delta(x-s_1) \delta'(x-s_2) - \delta(x-s_2) \delta'(x-s_1)) dx = \\
&= -\delta'(s_1-s_2) + \delta'(s_2-s_1) = -2\delta'(s_1-s_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and then finally

$$\begin{aligned}
4\{u_{s_1}(s_1), u_{s_2}(s_2)\}_0 &= -2\delta'(s_1-s_2) \\
\{u_{s_1}(s_1), u_{s_2}(s_2)\}_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta'(s_1-s_2) \\
\{u(s_1), u(s_2)\}_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}\delta(s_1-s_2) = \frac{1}{2}\theta'_{s_2}(s_1-s_2) \Rightarrow \\
\{u(s_1), u(s_2)\}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\theta(s_1-s_2), \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Having \tilde{l}_0 and reduced gradients [4]

$$\begin{aligned}\text{grad } h^{(t)}(l)_+ &:= (\lambda^2 \text{grad } h)_+ = \lambda^2 + \lambda u_x - u_y, \\ \text{grad } h^{(y)}(l)_+ &:= (\lambda^1 \text{grad } h)_+ = \lambda + u_x,\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\text{grad } h(l) \sim 1 + u_x/\lambda - u_y/\lambda^2 + O(1/\lambda^3),\tag{3.12}$$

we can find the corresponding Hamiltonian equations:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{l}_t = -a d_{\text{grad } h^{(t)}(l)_+} \tilde{l}_0 \\ \tilde{l}_y = -a d_{\text{grad } h^{(y)}(l)_+} \tilde{l}_0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} u_y = -\frac{3}{2}u_x^2 \equiv -\vartheta_0 \text{grad } H_0 \\ u_t = -\frac{5}{2}u_x^3 \equiv -\vartheta_{-1} \text{grad } H_{-1} \end{cases}\tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.13) and (3.9) we can find that

$$\begin{aligned}u_y &= -\frac{3}{2}u_x^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(x-y) \text{grad } H_0(y) dy = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\infty} \text{grad } H_0(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2} \partial^{-1} \text{grad } H_0(x) \equiv -\vartheta_0 \text{grad } H_0,\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

which gives rise to the following expressions for ϑ_0 and ϑ_0^{-1} :

$$\vartheta_0 = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{-1}, \quad \vartheta_0^{-1} = 2\partial\tag{3.15}$$

From (3.14) we have

$$\text{grad } H_0 = \vartheta_0^{-1} \left(-\frac{3}{2}u_x^2 \right) = 2\partial \left(-\frac{3}{2}u_x^2 \right) = -6u_x u_{xx}\tag{3.16}$$

Now we are able to restore the Hamiltonian H_0 , using results of paper [2]:

$$H_0 = -6 \int_0^1 ((\mu u)_x (\mu u)_{xx} | u) d\mu \Rightarrow - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_x^2)_x u dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^3 dx$$

The similar calculations can be performed for Hamiltonian H_{-1} and Poisson operator ϑ_{-1} :

$$\begin{aligned}u_t &= -\frac{5}{2}u_x^3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y} \theta(x-y) - \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x} \theta(y-x) \right) \text{grad } H_{-1}(y) dy = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial^{-1} u_x + u_x \partial^{-1}) \text{grad } H_{-1}(x) \equiv -\vartheta_{-1} \text{grad } H_{-1}\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

from which one can deduce, that

$$\vartheta_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^{-1} u_x + u_x \partial^{-1}), \quad \vartheta_{-1}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \partial \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_x}} \partial^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_x}} \partial\tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\text{grad } H_{-1} = \vartheta_{-1}^{-1} \left(-\frac{5}{2}u_x^3 \right) = -6u_x u_{xx}.\tag{3.19}$$

Finally,

$$H_{-1} = -6 \int_0^1 ((\mu u)_x (\mu u)_{xx} | u d\mu) \Rightarrow - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u_x^2)_x u dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_x^3 dx \equiv H_0\tag{3.20}$$

4 Plebański heavenly equation

This equation [10] is

$$u_{tx_1} + u_{yx_2} + u_{x_1x_1}u_{x_2x_2} - u_{x_1x_2}^2 = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for a function $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{T}^2)$, where $(y, t; x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$. It was shown [4] that the following "seed"-element $\tilde{l} \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^* := \widehat{diff}^*(\mathbb{T}^2)$,

$$\tilde{l} = (\lambda + (u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_{x_1})dx_1 + (\lambda + (u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_{x_1})dx_1, \quad (4.2)$$

generates two independent Casimir functionals $h^{(1)}, h^{(2)} \in I(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*)$, whose gradients when $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ are given by the expansions

$$\text{grad } h^{(1)}(\tilde{l}) \sim (0, 1)^T + (u_{x_2x_2}, -u_{x_1x_2})^T \lambda^{-1} + O(\lambda^{-2}), \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{grad } h^{(2)}(\tilde{l}) \sim (-1, 0)^T + (-u_{x_1x_2}, u_{x_1x_1})^T \lambda^{-1} + O(\lambda^{-2}).$$

The Hamiltonian flows

$$\tilde{l}_t = -ad_{\text{grad } h^{(1)}(\tilde{l})}^* \tilde{l} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\tilde{l}_y = -ad_{\text{grad } h^{(2)}(\tilde{l})}^* \tilde{l}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\text{grad } h^{(1)}(\tilde{l}) := (\lambda \text{grad } h^{(1)}(l))_+ = (u_{x_2x_2}, \lambda - u_{x_1x_2})^T, \quad (4.6)$$

$$\text{grad } h^{(2)}(\tilde{l}) := (\lambda \text{grad } h^{(2)}(l))_+ = (-\lambda - u_{x_1x_2}, u_{x_1x_1})^T,$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_-|_{\lambda=\infty} = 0$, are equivalent to the following pair of equations:

$$(u_{x_2} - u_{x_1})_t = u_{x_1x_1}u_{x_2x_2} - u_{x_1x_2}^2 \quad (4.7)$$

$$(u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_y = u_{x_1x_1}u_{x_2x_2} - u_{x_1x_2}^2,$$

The compatibility condition of these flows (4.6) is equivalent to the following [10] vector field representation [6]

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + u_{x_2x_2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + (\lambda - u_{x_1x_2}) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + (-\lambda - u_{x_1x_2}) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + u_{x_1x_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} = 0,$$

satisfied for $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{C})$, any $(t, y; x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let us take $\tilde{l}_n \in D(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^*)$

$$\tilde{l}_p = \lambda^p (\lambda + u_{0,x_1}) dx_1 + (\lambda + u_{0,x_1}) dx_1 \quad (4.9)$$

with functional $u_0(s_1, s_2) = u_{s_1} - u_{s_2}$ as an independent coordinate with parameters s_1 and s_2 . It is easy to find, using the similar notation as in (3.5), that p can be either 0 or -1 and

$$\nabla u_0(s_1, s_2) = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} \left(\theta(x_1 - s_1) \delta(x_2 - s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \delta(x_1 - s_1) \theta(x_2 - s_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)$$

To find $\{u_0(s_1, s_2), u_0(s_3, s_4)\}_0$ one will use the following chain of calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{u_0(s_1, s_2), u_0(s_3, s_4)\}_0 = \{u_{s_1}(s_1, s_2) - u_{s_2}(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 = \quad (4.10) \\
& = \{u_{s_1}(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 - \{u_{s_2}(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 = \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \{u(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2} \{u(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 \equiv \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\delta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4) - \delta(s_2 - s_4)\theta(s_1 - s_3)) = \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)) \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

$$\{u(s_1, s_2), u_{s_3}(s_3, s_4) - u_{s_4}(s_3, s_4)\}_0 = \frac{1}{2} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)) \Rightarrow \quad (4.11)$$

$$(\partial/\partial s_3 - \partial/\partial s_4) \{u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)\}_0 = \frac{1}{2} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)) \quad (4.12)$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)\}_0 & = \frac{1}{2} (\partial/\partial s_3 - \partial/\partial s_4)^{-1} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)) = \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial/\partial s_1 - \partial/\partial s_2)^{-1} (\theta(s_1 - s_3)\theta(s_2 - s_4)).
\end{aligned}$$

For $n = -1$ we should find firstly the functionals $u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)$ and then obtain the corresponding explicit expression for the Lie-Poisson bracket $\{u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)\}_{-1}$. From

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \left(\frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1} - \frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2} \right) = \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + 0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right),$$

we immediately obtain

$$u_0(s_1, s_2) := \frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1} - \frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2} = \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right. \right),$$

or

$$\nabla u_0(s_1, s_2)(\tilde{l}_{-1}) = \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad (4.13)$$

In the same manner we obtain the symmetric expression:

$$\frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_1} - \frac{\partial u(s_1, s_2)}{\partial s_2} = \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| \delta(s_1 - x_1) \theta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right. \right).$$

We also have

$$\frac{\partial u(s_3, s_4)}{\partial s_3} - \frac{\partial u(s_3, s_4)}{\partial s_4} = \left(\tilde{l}_{-1} \left| \theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right. \right),$$

and, respectively,

$$\nabla u_0(s_3, s_4)(\tilde{l}_{-1}) = \theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad (4.14)$$

and also

$$\nabla u_0(s_3, s_4)(\tilde{l}_{-1}) = \delta(s_3 - x_1) \theta(s_4 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}.$$

For the Lie-Poisson bracket we can take (4.13) i (4.14):

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u_0(s_1, s_2), u_0(s_3, s_4)\} &= (\tilde{l}_{-1} | [\nabla u_0(s_1, s_2)(\tilde{l}_{-1}), \nabla u_0(s_3, s_4)(\tilde{l}_{-1})]_R) = \\
&= (\tilde{l}_{-1} | \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} [\theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2)] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \\
&\quad - \theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} [\theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2)] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}) = \\
&= ([1 + \lambda^{-1}(u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_{x_1}] dx_1 + [1 + \lambda^{-1}(u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_{x_2}] dx_2) | \\
&\quad | - \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \delta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \\
&\quad + \theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \delta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}) = \\
&= \int \int dx_1 dx_2 [(u_{x_1} - u_{x_2})_{x_1} [\theta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2) \delta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) - \\
&\quad - \theta(s_1 - x_1) \delta(s_2 - x_2) \delta(s_3 - x_1) \delta(s_4 - x_2)]] = \\
&= (u_{s_1} - u_{s_2})_{s_1} \theta(s_3 - s_1) \delta(s_2 - s_4) + (u_{s_3} - u_{s_4})_{s_3} \theta(s_3 - s_1) \delta(s_4 - s_2) = \\
&= [(u_{s_1} - u_{s_2})_{s_1} + (u_{s_3} - u_{s_4})_{s_3}] \theta(s_3 - s_1) \delta(s_4 - s_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u_0(s_1, s_2), u_0(s_3, s_4)\} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_4} \right) \{u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)\} = \\
&= [(u_{s_1} - u_{s_2})_{s_1} + (u_{s_3} - u_{s_4})_{s_3}] \theta(s_3 - s_1) \delta(s_4 - s_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
\{u(s_1, s_2), u(s_3, s_4)\} &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_4} \right)^{-1} \times \\
&\quad \times [(u_{s_1} - u_{s_2})_{s_1} + (u_{s_3} - u_{s_4})_{s_3}] \theta(s_3 - s_1) \delta(s_4 - s_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

5 Conclusion

References

- [1] Blackmore D., Prykarpatsky A.K., Samoilenko V.Hr., Nonlinear dynamical systems of mathematical physics: spectral and symplectic integrability analysis. – Hackensack: World Scientific. – 2011. – 542 p.
- [2] Mitropolskii, Y.O., Prikarpat'skii, A.K., Fil', B.M. Some aspects of a gradient holonomic algorithm in the theory of integrability of nonlinear dynamic systems and computer algebra problems. Ukr Math J 43, 63–74 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01066906>
- [3] Faddeev L.D., Takhtadjan L.A Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons. Springer, (2000)
- [4] Oksana E. Hentosh, Yarema A. Prykarpatsky, Denis Blackmore, Anatolij K. Prykarpatski, Lie-algebraic structure of Lax–Sato integrable heavenly equations and the Lagrange–d’Alembert principle, Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 120, 2017, Pages 208–227, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2017.06.003>
- [5] Hentosh, O., Prykarpatsky, Y. The Lax–Sato integrable heavenly equations on functional supermanifolds and their Lie-algebraic structure. European Journal of Mathematics, 6, 232–247 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40879-019-00329-4>

- [6] Kruglikov, B., Morozov, O. Integrable Dispersionless PDEs in 4D, Their Symmetry Pseudogroups and Deformations. *Lett Math Phys* 105, 1703–1723 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-015-0800-z>
- [7] Mikhalev V.G., On the Hamiltonian formalism for Korteweg–de Vries type hierarchies, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 26, Issue 2 (1992), 140–142
- [8] Prykarpatski A.K., Hentosh O.Ye., Prykarpatsky Ya.A., The differential-geometric and algebraic aspects of the Lax-Sato theory. *Mathematics*. – 2017. – **5**, № 4. – 75. – Basel/Switzerland: MDPI. – 20 p.
- [9] A.K. Prykarpatsky I.V. Mykytyuk, Algebraic integrability of nonlinear dynamical systems on manifolds: classical and quantum aspects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherlands, 1998
- [10] J.F. Plebański, Some solutions of complex Einstein equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 16 (1975), Issue 12, 2395–2402. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.522505>
- [11] A.G. Reyman, M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Integrable Systems, The Computer Research Institute Publ., Moscow-Izhvek, 200
- [12] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical R-matrix? *Func. Anal. Appl.*, 1983, 17(4), 259–272