

Extended codes and deep holes of MDS codes

Yansheng Wu, Cunsheng Ding, Tingfang Chen

Abstract

For a given linear code \mathcal{C} of length n over $\text{GF}(q)$ and a nonzero vector \mathbf{u} in $\text{GF}(q)^n$, Sun, Ding and Chen defined an extended linear code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of \mathcal{C} , which is a generalisation of the classical extended code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(-1)$ of \mathcal{C} and called the second kind of an extended code of \mathcal{C} (see arXiv:2307.04076 and arXiv:2307.08053). They developed some general theory of the extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ and studied the extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of several families of linear codes, including cyclic codes, projective two-weight codes, nonbinary Hamming codes, and a family of reversible MDS cyclic codes. The objective of this paper is to investigate the extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of MDS codes \mathcal{C} over finite fields. The main result of this paper is that the extended code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of an MDS $[n, k]$ code \mathcal{C} remains MDS if and only if the covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k$ and the vector \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp . As applications of this main result, the extended codes of the GRS codes and extended GRS codes are investigated and the covering radii of several families of MDS codes are determined.

Index Terms

Covering radius, extended code, deep hole, GRS code, extended GRS code, MDS code

I. INTRODUCTION

Let n be a positive integer and q be a power of a prime. An $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ is a k -dimensional subspace of $\text{GF}(q)^n$ with minimum Hamming distance d . The minimum

Y. Wu's research was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Number 12101326 and 62372247 and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province under Grant Number BK20210575. C. Ding's research was supported by The Hong Kong Research Grants Council, Proj. No. 16301523.

Y. Wu is with the School of Computer Science, Nanjing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Nanjing 210023, China. Email: yanshengwu@njupt.edu.cn

C. Ding is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China. Email: cding@ust.hk

T. Chen is with the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China. Email: tchenba@ust.hk

distance d of a linear code \mathcal{C} is upper bounded by $d \leq n - k + 1$, which is called the *Singleton bound*. If $d = n - k + 1$, then \mathcal{C} is called a *maximum distance separable* (MDS) code. Namely, an MDS code has the greatest error correcting capability when its length and dimension are fixed. MDS codes have wide applications in communication systems, cryptography and data storage systems. There are many known constructions for MDS codes, including the *generalized Reed-Solomon* (GRS) codes and extended GRS codes [5], and those from arcs in projective geometry [4], circulant matrices [6], and Hankel matrices [7].

In coding theory, there are several methods to construct new linear codes with interesting parameters and properties from old ones. Among them are the shortening, puncturing, augmenting and extending techniques. There are different ways to extend an $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ into an $[n + 1, k, d']$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$. Two of them are outlined below.

For a given $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$, the first extending method goes as follows. Select a $k \times n$ generator matrix G of \mathcal{C} and a $k \times 1$ vector \mathbf{g} in $\text{GF}(q)^k$. Then construct a $k \times (n + 1)$ matrix

$$\tilde{G}(G, \mathbf{g}) = (G | \mathbf{g}). \quad (1)$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ be the linear code with generator matrix $\tilde{G}(G, \mathbf{g})$. By definition, the extended code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ has parameters $[n + 1, k, \tilde{d}]$, where $\tilde{d} = d$ or $\tilde{d} = d + 1$. It is easy to notice the following:

- The exact value of \tilde{d} depends on both G and \mathbf{g} .
- The weight distribution of the extended code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ depends on both G and \mathbf{g} .
- Two extended codes $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G_1, \mathbf{g})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G_2, \mathbf{g})$ may not be equivalent for a fixed nonzero vector \mathbf{g} , where G_1 and G_2 are two $k \times n$ generator matrices of \mathcal{C} .

There are a huge number of choices of a generator matrix G of \mathcal{C} and $q^k - 1$ choices of a nonzero vector \mathbf{g} . Hence, by this extending technique a given $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ could be extended into a huge number of codes $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$. Seroussi and Roth [8] proved that a GRS code can be extended by this extending technique while preserving the MDS property if and only if the resulting extended code is a GRS code or extended GRS code. This extending technique is not well studied in the literature and has been applied only to MDS codes and a few other types of linear codes. The extended GRS codes and Roth-Lempel codes are such extended codes. To distinguish these extended codes $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ from other types of extended codes of \mathcal{C} , we call those codes the *first kind of extended codes*.

For a given $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$, the second extending method goes as follows [11]. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ be any nonzero vector. Define an $[n + 1, k, \bar{d}]$ code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ by

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}) = \left\{ (c_1, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}) : (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}, c_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i c_i \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{d} = d$ or $\bar{d} = d + 1$. All extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(a\mathbf{1})$ with $a \neq 0$ are called the standardly extended codes and all the other extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ with $\mathbf{u} \neq a\mathbf{1}$ for all $a \in \text{GF}(q)^*$ are called nonstandardly extended codes. It is easy to observe the following:

- If $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ (i.e., the dual of \mathcal{C}), then $c_{n+1} = 0$ for all codewords $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$ of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$. In this case, $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is not interesting and is said to be trivial.
- The extended code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ depends on \mathbf{u} but does not require a selected generator matrix of \mathcal{C} .
- $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}_1)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}_2)$ may have different minimum distances or different weight distributions.

The classical extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(-\mathbf{1})$ of a number of types of linear codes were studied in the literature. Recently, nonstandardly extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of linear codes were investigated in [11]. To distinguish the extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of \mathcal{C} from those extended codes $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$, we called these $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ the *second kind of extended codes* of \mathcal{C} .

For a given $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$, the two kinds of extended codes $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ are different but related in the following senses. We have the following remarks.

- For each $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$, there is a vector \mathbf{g} such that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ for each generator matrix G .
- For a given $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$, where G is a $k \times n$ generator matrix of \mathcal{C} , there are q^{n-k} vectors \mathbf{u} in $\text{GF}(q)^n$ such that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$, as $\text{Rank}(G) = \text{Rank}(G|\mathbf{g}) = k \leq n$. These \mathbf{u} are the solutions to the system of equations $G\mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{g}$.

Hence, both extending techniques yield the same set of $[n + 1, k]$ linear codes when they are applied to an $[n, k]$ linear code \mathcal{C} .

The first kind of extended codes of only a few families of MDS and other types of codes were studied in the literature. Relatively speaking, the second kind of extended codes of more families of linear codes were investigated in the literature (see, e.g., [10], [11]). By definition, it looks harder to determine the minimum distance of an extended code $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(G, \mathbf{g})$ than the minimum distance of an extended code $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ in general.

This paper is motivated by the following open problem:

Open Problem 1. Is there a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ such that the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ remains MDS for a given MDS code \mathcal{C} of length n over $\text{GF}(q)$? What are such vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ if they exist?

In this paper, we will investigate the second kind of extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of MDS codes \mathcal{C} regarding Open Problem 1. The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section II, we will recall some required concepts and results on the extended codes and (extended) GRS codes over finite fields. In Section III, we will establish a relation between the extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of MDS codes and deep holes of the dual codes \mathcal{C}^\perp , which is the main result of this paper. As applications of this main result, we will investigate the second kind of extended codes of the GRS codes and extended GRS codes and also give partial answers to the open problem above for these special families of MDS codes in Sections IV and V, respectively. As another application of the main result, we will determine the covering radii of several families of MDS codes in Section VI. Finally, we will conclude this paper and make some concluding remarks in Section VII.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will recall some concepts and known results, which will be needed later.

A. A basic result of the extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of linear codes \mathcal{C}

The dual code of an $[n, k, d]$ linear code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{x} \in \text{GF}(q)^n \mid \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = 0 \forall \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Recall the definition of the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ in (2). It is easy to check that the following lemma holds.

Lemma 1. [11] Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k, d]$ linear code over $\text{GF}(q)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$. If \mathcal{C} has generator matrix G and parity check matrix H , then the generator and parity check matrices for the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ in (2) are $(G \ G\mathbf{u}^T)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{u} & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where \mathbf{u}^T denotes the transpose of \mathbf{u} .

B. The GRS codes and extended GRS codes

In this section, we recall the GRS codes and the extended GRS codes as well as their basic properties.

Definition 1. For a positive integer k , let

$$\text{GF}(q)[x]_k = \{f(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x] \mid \deg(f(x)) \leq k-1\},$$

which is a $\text{GF}(q)$ -linear space of dimension k . Take $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\text{GF}(q)^*)^n$, and $k \leq n \leq q$. The *generalized Reed-Solomon code* (GRS code for short) is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) = \{(v_1 f(\alpha_1), v_2 f(\alpha_2), \dots, v_n f(\alpha_n)) \mid f(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]_k\}.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ is an $[n, k, n-k+1]$ MDS code over $\text{GF}(q)$. When $v_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, the code $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1})$ is called a *Reed-Solomon code*. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ has generator matrix

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \alpha_1^0 & v_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^1 & v_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

and parity check matrix

$$H_{n-k} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \alpha_1^0 & w_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^1 & w_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where these w_i are given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2. [2] $\mathcal{C}_k^\perp(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$w_i = \frac{1}{v_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2 tells us that the dual code of $\mathcal{C}_k^\perp(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ is also a GRS code with parameters $[n, n-k, k+1]$.

Definition 2. By including the point at infinity $\infty = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \text{GF}(q)^k$, the *extended GRS code* (EGRS code for short) is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \{(v_1 f(\alpha_1), v_2 f(\alpha_2), \dots, v_n f(\alpha_n), f_{k-1}) \mid \text{for all } f(x) \in \text{GF}(q)[x]_k\},$$

where f_{k-1} is the coefficient of x^{k-1} in $f(x)$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is an $[n+1, k, n-k+2]$ MDS code over $\text{GF}(q)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ has generator matrix $G_{k,\infty}$ and parity check matrix $H_{n+1-k,\infty}$, where

$$G_{k,\infty} := (G_k | \infty^T) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \alpha_1^0 & v_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^0 & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^1 & v_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-2} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-2} & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-2} & 0 \\ v_1 \alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2 \alpha_2^{k-1} & \dots & v_n \alpha_n^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

and

$$H_{n+1-k,\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \alpha_1^0 & w_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^0 & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^1 & w_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k-1} & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k} & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where G_k was defined in (3). Using our earlier notation, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(G_k, \infty^T).$$

Hence, $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is a first kind of extended code of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$. The following result is well known.

Lemma 3. [9, Lemma 2.1] $\mathcal{C}_k^\perp(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$, where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ and w_i was given in (5) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

By Lemma 3, $\mathcal{C}_k^\perp(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is also an EGRS code with parameters $[n+1, n+1-k, k+1]$.

III. THE EXTENDED CODES AND DEEP HOLES OF MDS CODES

In this section, we will establish the relationship between extended codes and deep holes of MDS codes. Before doing this, we need to recall the definition of deep holes of a linear code.

The *covering radius* of a code \mathcal{C} , denoted by $\rho(\mathcal{C})$, is the maximum distance from any vector in $\text{GF}(q)^n$ to the nearest codeword in \mathcal{C} . A *deep hole* is a vector achieving the covering radius. Before presenting our main result, we need to recall the following well-known characterisation of covering radius of a linear code in terms of a generator matrix.

Lemma 4. [12, Lemma II.7] Let G denote a generator matrix of an $[n, k]$ MDS code \mathcal{C} . Then the covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C}) = n - k$ if and only if there exists a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ such that the $(k + 1) \times n$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} G \\ \mathbf{x} \end{pmatrix}$ generates an $[n, k + 1]$ MDS code.

The determination of deep holes for a given linear code is a hard problem in general. Below we provide a general characterization of deep holes of MDS codes with parity check matrices. The following lemma is a generalisation of [13, Proposition 2], which was stated only for the Reed-Solomon codes.

Lemma 5. (1) [12, Lemma II. 2] Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]$ linear code with parity check matrix H . The vector \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C} if and only if the vector $H\mathbf{u}^T$ can not be written as a linear combination of any $(\rho(\mathcal{C}) - 1)$ columns of H .

(2) Suppose G is a generator matrix of an $[n, k]$ MDS code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ with covering radius $\rho = n - k$. Then $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ is a deep hole of \mathcal{C} if and only if

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} G \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$$

generates an MDS code.

Proof. (2). (\implies). Suppose that \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C} , we need to show that G' is a generator matrix for another MDS code. Equivalently, we need to show that any $k + 1$ columns of G' are linearly independent.

Assume there exist $k + 1$ columns of G' which are linearly dependent. Without loss of generality, assume that the first $k + 1$ columns of G' are linearly dependent. Consider the submatrix consisting of the intersection of the first $k + 1$ rows and $k + 1$ columns of G' . Hence there exist $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{GF}(q)$, not all zero, such that

$$(u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) = a_1 \mathbf{r}_{1,k+1} + \dots + a_k \mathbf{r}_{k,k+1},$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $\mathbf{r}_{i,k+1}$ is the vector consisting of the first $k + 1$ elements of i -th row of G for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $\mathbf{g} = a_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{r}_k \in \mathcal{C}$, where \mathbf{r}_i is the i -th row of G for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We have

$$d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{g}) \leq n - (k + 1) < \rho,$$

which is a contradiction with the assumption that \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C} .

(\Leftarrow). Now suppose G' is a generator matrix for an MDS code, i.e., any $k + 1$ columns of G' are linearly independent. We need to show that $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{C}) = n - k$.

Assume that $d(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{C}) < n - k$. Equivalently, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{g} = a_1 \mathbf{r}_1 + \dots + a_k \mathbf{r}_k \in \mathcal{C}$ have more than k common coordinates, where \mathbf{r}_i is the i -th row of G for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Without loss of generality, assume that the first $k + 1$ coordinates of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{g} are the same. Consider the submatrix consisting of the first $k + 1$ columns. Since the rank of the matrix is less than $k + 1$, thus the first $k + 1$ columns of G' are linearly dependent, which contradicts the assumption. This completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to present our main result, which gives an answer to Open Problem 1 regarding MDS codes.

Theorem 6. Let \mathcal{C} be an $[n, k]$ MDS code over $\text{GF}(q)$. Then for any $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ in (2) is MDS if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp .

Proof. Suppose that the code \mathcal{C} has generator and parity check matrices G and H , respectively. By Lemma 1, the generator and parity check matrices for the code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ are $(G \ G\mathbf{u}^T)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & \mathbf{0}^T \\ \mathbf{u} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS if and only if the following hold:

- 1) The submatrix consisting of the vector $(0, \dots, 0, -1)^T$ and any $n - k$ columns from $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$ is nonsingular.
- 2) The submatrix consisting of any $n + 1 - k$ columns from $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$ is nonsingular.

(\Rightarrow). Assume that $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS with parameters $[n + 1, k, n + 2 - k]$. Since \mathcal{C} is an $[n, k, n - k + 1]$ MDS code, the condition 1) holds. Let \mathcal{D} be the code generated by $\begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix}$. From 2), the dual code of \mathcal{D} has parameters $[n, k - 1, n - k + 2]$ and hence \mathcal{D} is also MDS with parameters $[n, n + 1 - k, k]$. From Lemma 4, $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = n - (n - k) = k$. From Lemma 5, \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C}^\perp if and only if the vector $G\mathbf{u}^T$ cannot be written as a linear combination of any $(\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) - 1) = (k - 1)$ columns of G . Note that $(G \ G\mathbf{u}^T)$ is a parity check matrix of $(\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u}))^\perp$,

which is an $[n+1, n+1-k, k+1]$ MDS code. Hence the submatrix consisting of any k columns from $(G \ Gu^T)$ is nonsingular. Hence \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C}^\perp .

(\Leftarrow). Let us assume that $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k$, and \mathbf{u} represents a deep hole of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp . According to Lemma 5(2), it follows that $(\frac{H}{\mathbf{u}})$ generates an MDS code with parameters $[n, n+1-k, k]$. Furthermore, the dual code of this generated code has parameters $[n, k-1, n+2-k]$. Therefore, the condition 2) mentioned above is satisfied.

Moreover, the condition 1) is also fulfilled because \mathcal{C} is an $[n, k, n-k+1]$ MDS code, and H serves as a parity-check matrix for \mathcal{C} . Consequently, the code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is proven to be MDS. This completes the proof. \square

As applications of Theorem 6, we will deal with the extended codes of the GRS codes and EGRS codes in Sections IV and V, and will determine the covering radii of some MDS codes in Section VI.

IV. APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 6 TO THE GENERALIZED REED-SOLOMON CODES

In this section, we consider two applications of Theorem 6 to the generalized Reed-Solomon codes $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ and their related codes.

A. The covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^\perp$

As an application of Theorem 6, we derive the covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^\perp$ in this subsection. It was shown earlier that $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(G_k, \infty^T)$ with $\infty^T = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ in Equation (1). We would first find a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u}). \quad (8)$$

The next theorem documents such a vector \mathbf{u} .

Theorem 7. Take $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\text{GF}(q)^*)^n$, and $k \leq n \leq q$. Then $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty) = \overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$, where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ and

$$u_i = \frac{\alpha_i^{n-k}}{v_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}.$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$G_n = \begin{pmatrix} v_1\alpha_1^0 & v_2\alpha_2^0 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^0 \\ v_1\alpha_1^1 & v_2\alpha_2^1 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1\alpha_1^{n-1} & v_2\alpha_2^{n-1} & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. Then according to the Cramer Rule, the system of the equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1\alpha_1^0 & v_2\alpha_2^0 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^0 \\ v_1\alpha_1^1 & v_2\alpha_2^1 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1\alpha_1^{n-1} & v_2\alpha_2^{n-1} & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

has a nonzero solution. Hence the system $G_{n-1}X = 0$ has a nonzero solution $(w_1, \dots, w_n)^T$ where $w_i = \frac{1}{v_i \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}$ was given in (5) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore, we have

$$G_k \mathbf{u}^T = \begin{pmatrix} v_1\alpha_1^0 & v_2\alpha_2^0 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^0 \\ v_1\alpha_1^1 & v_2\alpha_2^1 & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1\alpha_1^{k-1} & v_2\alpha_2^{k-1} & \dots & v_n\alpha_n^{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that the code $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})$ has generator matrix G_k in (3). By Lemma 1 and the system of equations above, $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ has generator matrix $(G_k \ G_k \mathbf{u}^T) = G_{k, \infty}$, which is exactly a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ in (6). This completes the proof. \square

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v}, \infty)$ is MDS. Combining Theorems 6 and 7 then gives the following result.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\text{GF}(q)^*)^n$, and $k \leq n \leq q$. Then

$$\rho(\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^\perp) = k$$

and the \mathbf{u} given in Theorem 7 is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})^\perp$.

B. Finding all u 's such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS in some cases

In this subsection, we will find all vectors u such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS in some cases. By Theorem 6, we have the following theorem immediately:

Theorem 9. Take $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in (\text{GF}(q)^*)^n$, and $k \leq n \leq q$. For a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ in (2) is MDS with parameters $[n+1, k, n-k+2]$ if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of the code $\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, w_i was given in (5).

To find all \mathbf{u} such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS in some cases, we need to recall some results on deep holes of the GRS codes.

Note that any GRS code is of the form $\mathcal{C}' = \{cM : c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ where \mathcal{C} is an $[n, k]$ Reed-Solomon code over $\text{GF}(q)$ and M is an invertible $n \times n$ diagonal matrix over $\text{GF}(q)$. Clearly, the set of deep holes of \mathcal{C}' is $\{\mathbf{x}M : \mathbf{x} \text{ is a deep hole of } \mathcal{C}\}$. Therefore, for the problem of determining the deep holes of the GRS codes, it surfaces to treat only Reed-Solomon codes.

Given a vector $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, we consider the following Lagrange interpolating polynomial

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \in \text{GF}(q)[x],$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$. The Lagrange interpolating polynomial is the unique polynomial in $\text{GF}(q)[x]$ of degree less than n that satisfies $f(\alpha_i) = f_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. We say that a function $f(x)$ generates a vector $\mathbf{f} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$ if $\mathbf{f} = (f(\alpha_1), f(\alpha_2), \dots, f(\alpha_n))$.

We say that two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are equivalent over $\text{GF}(q)$ if and only if there exist $a \in \text{GF}(q)^*$ and $h(x)$ with degree less than $\deg(f(x))$ such that $g(x) = af(x) + h(x)$.

Lemma 10. [3, Theorem 1] Let $q > 2$ be a power of a prime, $k \geq (q-1)/2$, and $k < n \leq q$. Take $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct. The only deep holes of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1})$ are generated by functions which are equivalent to the following:

$$f(x) = x^k, f_\pi = \frac{1}{x - \pi},$$

where $\pi \in \text{GF}(q) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

By Lemma 10, we have the following corollary, which gives all vectors \mathbf{u} such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS in the special case that $(q-1)/2 \leq n-k$ and $k < n \leq q$, provided that $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})) = k$.

Corollary 11. Let q be a power of a prime, $(q-1)/2 \leq n-k$, and $k < n \leq q$. For a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{u})$ in (2) is MDS with parameters

$[n + 1, k, n - k + 2]$ if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is generated by functions which are equivalent to the following:

$$f(x) = x^{n-k} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right), f_\pi = \frac{1}{x - \pi} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right)$$

where w_i was given in (5) and $\pi \in \text{GF}(q) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.

Remark 1. The determination of all deep-holes of the Reed-Solomon codes is an interesting and difficult problem in coding theory and combinatorics. This problem has received significant attention in the literature (see, e.g., [3], [12], [13]). New results on deep holes of the Reed-Solomon codes can be applied to Theorem 9 to make sure that the extended codes are MDS.

V. APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 6 TO THE EXTENDED REED-SOLOMON CODES $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)$

In this section, we will consider the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ and find some \mathbf{u} 's such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS. In addition, we will determine the covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ in some cases.

A. The Roth-Lempel codes

In this subsection, we recall the Roth-Lempel codes, which are a class of MDS codes that are non-Reed-Solomon type under certain condition [6].

Definition 3. [6] Let n and k be two integers such that $4 \leq k + 1 \leq n \leq q$. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be pairwise distinct elements of $\text{GF}(q)$, $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then the $[n + 2, k]$ Roth-Lempel code $RL(\mathbf{a}, k, \delta, n + 2)$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ is generated by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{k-3} & \alpha_2^{k-3} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-3} & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_1^{k-2} & \alpha_2^{k-2} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-2} & 0 & 1 \\ \alpha_1^{k-1} & \alpha_2^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha_n^{k-1} & 1 & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

The following lemma gives a sufficient and necessary condition for the Roth-Lempel code to be MDS.

Lemma 12. [6] The $[n + 2, k]$ Roth-Lempel code $RL(\mathbf{a}, k, \delta, n + 2)$ with $4 \leq k + 1 \leq n \leq q$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ is MDS if and only if the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an $(n, k - 1, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$, where a set $S \subseteq \text{GF}(q)$ of size n is called an $(n, k - 1, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$ if no $(k - 1)$ elements of S sum to δ .

B. The covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$

As an application of Theorem 6, we derive the covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ under certain conditions in this subsection.

It is easy to check that $RL(\mathbf{a}, k, \delta, n + 2) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(G_{k, \infty}, \mathbf{g})$ with $\mathbf{g} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \delta)^T$ in Equation (1). We would find a vector \mathbf{u} such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(G_{k, \infty}, \mathbf{g})$.

Theorem 13. We have the equality

$$RL(\mathbf{a}, k, \delta, n + 2) = \overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \delta - a) \in \text{GF}(q)^{n+1}$, $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and

$$u_i = \frac{\alpha_i^{n+1-k}}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, it suffices to check that $G_{k, \infty} \mathbf{u}^T = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \delta)^T$. From Equation (9), we only need to check that $(\alpha_1^{k-1}, \dots, \alpha_n^{k-1}, 1) \mathbf{u}^T = \delta - a + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \alpha_i^n$, where w_i was given in (5) with $v_i = 1$.

Let $m(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \alpha_j) = x^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^j$. Note that $m(\alpha_i) = 0$ and hence $\alpha_i^n = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \alpha_i^j$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By $G_n(w_1, \dots, w_n)^T = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \alpha_i^n = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \alpha_i^j \right) = -a_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = a.$$

This completes the proof. □

Combining Lemma 12 and Theorems 6 and 13, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 14. If there is a $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an $(n, k - 1, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$, then

$$\rho(\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp) = k$$

and the vector \mathbf{u} given in Theorem 13 is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$.

Whether Theorem 14 can be used to determine the covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp)$ of the MDS code $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ depends on if there is a $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an $(n, k-1, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$. This is an interesting open problem. The following examples show the existence of such δ and the usefulness of Theorem 14 sometimes.

Example 1. Let $n = q = 4$ and $k = 3$. Then $\text{GF}(4)$ is a $(4, 2, 0)$ -set in $\text{GF}(4)$ and in fact $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ is a $[5, 2, 4]$ linear code over $\text{GF}(4)$. By Theorem 14, we have $\rho(\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp) = 3$, which is confirmed by Magma.

Example 2. Let $n = q = 8$ and $k = 3$. Then $\text{GF}(8)$ is a $(8, 2, 0)$ -set in $\text{GF}(8)$ and in fact $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ is a $[9, 6, 4]$ linear code over $\text{GF}(8)$. By Theorem 14, we have $\rho(\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp) = 3$, which is confirmed by Magma.

Example 3. Let $n = q = 8$ and $k = 4$. According to Magma, there is no $\delta \in \text{GF}(8)$ such that $\text{GF}(8)$ is a $(8, 3, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(8)$. Namely, for any $\delta \in \text{GF}(8)$, we can always find three distinct elements of $\text{GF}(8)$ whose sum is δ . In fact $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ is a $[9, 5, 5]$ linear code over $\text{GF}(8)$ with covering radius 3.

C. Some \mathbf{u} 's such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS via deep holes

In this subsection, we will find some \mathbf{u} 's such that $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS via deep holes. By Theorem 6 and Lemma 3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 15. Let $k \leq n \leq q$ and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are pairwise distinct. For a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}) \in \text{GF}(q)^{n+1}$, the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS with parameters $[n+2, k, n+3-k]$ if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of the code $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$, where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ and for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$w_i = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)}.$$

We will find some deep holes of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ by utilizing some results on deep holes of $\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$ in Corollary 11. By Corollary 15, these deep holes of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ give MDS codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$, provided that $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)) = k$.

Theorem 16. Assume that $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)) = k$.

(1) Suppose that \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$ generated by functions which are equivalent to the following:

$$f(x) = x^{n-k} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right).$$

For $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$,

$$\mathbf{g} = (\alpha_1 f(\alpha_1), \dots, \alpha_n f(\alpha_n), \delta),$$

is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ if and only if $-\delta \notin S_{n+1-k}$, where

$$S_{n+1-k} = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i : \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } |I| = n + 1 - k \right\}. \quad (11)$$

(2) Suppose that \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$ generated by functions which are equivalent to the following:

$$f_\pi(x) = \frac{1}{x - \pi} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \right).$$

For $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$,

$$\mathbf{g} = (f_\pi(\alpha_1), \dots, f_\pi(\alpha_n), \delta),$$

is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ if and only if $\delta \notin T_{\pi, n+1-k}$, where

$$T_{\pi, n+1-k} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\prod_{i \in I} (\pi - \alpha_i)} : \forall I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } |I| = n + 1 - k \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Proof. The code $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ has generator matrix $H_{n+1-k, \infty}$ in (7) for $v_i = 1$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 5 (2), \mathbf{g} is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)$ if and only if $\left(\frac{H_{n+1-k, \infty}}{\mathbf{g}} \right)$ generates an MDS code.

(1) When $\mathbf{g} = (\alpha_1 f(\alpha_1), \dots, \alpha_n f(\alpha_n), \delta)$, it suffices to prove that the submatrix consisting of any $(n + 2 - k)$ columns of the following matrix is nonsingular:

$$\left(\frac{H_{n+1-k, \infty}}{\mathbf{g}} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \alpha_1^0 & w_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^0 & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^1 & w_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k-1} & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k} & -1 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n+1-k} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n+1-k} & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

If those columns from (13) do not containing the vector $(0, \dots, 0, -1, \delta)^T$, then the result holds because each induced submatrix is Vandermonde and α_i are distinct. Then we consider the other case, without loss of generality we need to compute the determinant of the matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k-1} & 0 \\ \alpha_1^{n-k} & \alpha_2^{n-k} & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k} & -1 \\ \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & \alpha_2^{n+1-k} & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n+1-k} & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A_i be the coefficient of x^i in the polynomial $\det(B(x))$, where

$$B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & \alpha_2^{n+1-k} & \dots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n+1-k} & x^{n+1-k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\det(B) = \delta A_{n+1-k} - A_{n-k}$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1-k} A_i x^i = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1-k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1-k} (x - \alpha_i).$$

Thus $A_{n+1-k} \neq 0$, $A_{n-k} = -A_{n+1-k} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha_i$ and $\det(B) \neq 0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \alpha_i \neq -\delta$.

(2) When $\mathbf{g} = (f_\pi(\alpha_1), \dots, f_\pi(\alpha_n), \delta)$, it suffices to prove that the submatrix consisting of any $(n+2-k)$ columns of the following matrix is nonsingular:

$$\left(\frac{H_{n+1-k, \infty}}{\mathbf{g}} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \alpha_1^0 & w_2 \alpha_2^0 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^0 & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^1 & w_2 \alpha_2^1 & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k-1} & 0 \\ w_1 \alpha_1^{n-k} & w_2 \alpha_2^{n-k} & \dots & w_n \alpha_n^{n-k} & -1 \\ \frac{w_1}{\alpha_1 - \pi} & \frac{w_2}{\alpha_2 - \pi} & \dots & \frac{w_n}{\alpha_n - \pi} & \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

If those columns from (14) do not containing the vector $(0, \dots, 0, -1, \delta)^T$, then the result holds because each induced submatrix is Vandermonde and α_i are distinct. Then we consider the other case, without loss of generality we need to compute the determinant of the matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_1 - \pi} & \frac{1}{\alpha_2 - \pi} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1-k} - \pi} & \delta \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k-1} & \alpha_2^{n-k-1} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k-1} & 0 \\ \alpha_1^{n-k} & \alpha_2^{n-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \frac{1}{\alpha_i - \pi} \det(B) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \delta \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & \pi\delta \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k} & \alpha_2^{n-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k} & \pi^{n-k}\delta \\ \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & \alpha_2^{n+1-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n+1-k} & \pi^{n+1-k}\delta - 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let $\prod_{i=1}^{n+1-k} \frac{1}{\alpha_i - \pi} \det(B) = \delta \det(B_1) + \det(B_2)$, where

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & \pi \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k} & \pi^{n-k} \\ \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n+1-k} & \pi^{n+1-k} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n-k} & 0 \\ \alpha_1^{n+1-k} & \cdots & \alpha_{n+1-k}^{n+1-k} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\det(B_1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1-k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n+1-k} (\pi - \alpha_i)$$

and

$$\det(B_2) = - \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1-k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i).$$

Therefore $\det(B) \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n+1-k} (\pi - \alpha_i) \neq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2. By Corollary 15 and Theorem 16 (1), we have $G_{k,\infty} \mathbf{g}^T = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \delta + a)^T$ with $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. By Lemma 12, the Roth-Lempel code generated by the matrix in (10) (replace δ by $\delta + a$) is MDS if and only if the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an $(n, k-1, \delta + a)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$, namely in the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ no $(k-1)$ elements sum to $\delta + a$. On the other hand, that also means the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is an $(n, n+1-k, -\delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$, which is consistent with the condition in Theorem 16 (1).

Remark 3. In Theorem 14 (2) the condition $\delta \notin T_{\pi, n+1-k}$ may be easily met in some cases. For example, $\delta = 0$ or if the set $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ properly is contained to some subfield $\text{GF}(s)$ of $\text{GF}(q)$ and $\pi \in \text{GF}(s) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, and $\delta \in \text{GF}(q) \setminus \text{GF}(s)$, then the condition holds.

By Corollary 11, there are only two kinds of deep holes of $\mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w})$. Hence we have the following conjecture and the reader is cordially invited to attack it.

Conjecture 1. Let q be a power of a prime, $(q-1)/2 \leq n-k$, and $k < n \leq q$. For a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n+1}) \in \text{GF}(q)^{n+1}$, the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)}(\mathbf{u})$ is MDS if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{n+1-k}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{w}, \infty)) = k$ and \mathbf{u} has one of the following forms:

(1) $\mathbf{u} = (\alpha_1 f(\alpha_1), \dots, \alpha_n f(\alpha_n), \delta)$ with $-\delta \notin S_{n+1-k}$, where $f(x)$ was given in Theorem 16 (1) and S_{n+1-k} was defined in (11).

(2) $\mathbf{u} = (f_\pi(\alpha_1), \dots, f_\pi(\alpha_n), \delta)$ with $\delta \notin T_{\pi, n+1-k}$, where $f_\pi(x)$ was given in Theorem 16 (2) and $T_{\pi, n+1-k}$ was defined in (12).

VI. THE COVERING RADII OF MDS CODES

As an application of Theorem 6, in this section we will determine the covering radii of some MDS codes. This will further demonstrate the usefulness and importance of Theorem 6.

A. Covering radii of projective Reed-Solomon codes

In this subsection, we will determine the covering radii of some projective Reed-Solomon codes. Determining the covering radius of a given linear code over a finite field is generally a challenging task. The covering radius is a basic geometric parameter of a code and has been widely investigated for distinguished families of error-correcting codes, including Reed-Solomon codes and Reed-Muller codes, see [1]. For an $[n, k]$ MDS code \mathcal{C} , it is known that the covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C})$ is either $n-k$ or $n-k-1$ [1]. In [12] it is mentioned that the covering radius of a q -ary $[n, k]$ Reed-Solomon code with length $n < q+1$ is known to be $n-k$.

In the case $n = q+1$, these q -ary $[n, k]$ Reed-Solomon codes are referred to as *projective Reed-Solomon codes*, denoted as $\text{PRS}(k)$. Now let's consider the projective Reed-Solomon codes. By Lemma 3, we have $\text{PRS}(k)^\perp = \text{PRS}(q+1-k)$ as in this case $w_i = -1$ in (5) for all i . This result is derived from the fact that $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) = -1$, which is precisely the derivative of the polynomial $x^q - x$ at the element α_i . It was informed in [12] that for $k \in \{1, q, q+1\}$ the covering radius of $\text{PRS}(k)$ is $q-k+1$. In the case of $k = q-1$, the covering radius of

$\text{PRS}(k)$ is 1. However, for $2 \leq k \leq q - 2$, the covering radius of $\text{PRS}(k)$ is currently open and conjectured as follows.

Conjecture 2. [12, Conjecture I.2] For $2 \leq k \leq q - 2$, the covering radius of $\text{PRS}(k)$ is:

$$\begin{cases} q - k + 1 & \text{if } q \text{ is even and } k \in \{2, q - 2\}, \\ q - k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above conjecture is true if $k \geq \lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} \rfloor$ from the work of Seroussi and Roth [8]. The following theorem gives a partial answer to Conjecture 2.

Theorem 17. (1) When $k \in \{2, q - 2\}$, then the covering radius of $\text{PRS}(k)$ is equal to $q - k + 1$ if and only if q is even.

(2) When $3 \leq k \leq q - 3$, if the covering radius $\rho(\text{PRS}(k)) = q - k$, then the set $\text{GF}(q)$ is not a (q, k, δ) -set in $\text{GF}(q)$ for any $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$, namely for each $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$, there exist k distinct elements of $\text{GF}(q)$ whose sum is exactly δ .

Proof. (1) If $k = 2$, then $\text{GF}(q)$ is a $(q, 2, 0)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$ if and only if q is even. Theorem 14 tells us that $\rho(\text{PRS}(3)^\perp) = \rho(\text{PRS}(q - 2)) = 3 = (q + 1) - (q - 2)$. If $k = q - 2$, then $\text{GF}(q)$ is a $(q, q - 2, 0)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$ if and only if q is even due to the identity $\sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} x = 0$. By Theorem 14, $\rho(\text{PRS}(q - 1)^\perp) = \rho(\text{PRS}(2)) = q - 1 = (q + 1) - 2$.

(2) Suppose that $\rho(\text{PRS}(k)) = q - k$. By Theorem 6, $\overline{\text{PRS}(q + 1 - k)}(\mathbf{u})$ is not an MDS code. By Lemma 12, the set $\text{GF}(q)$ is not a $(q, q - k, \delta)$ -set in $\text{GF}(q)$ for any $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$. So $\text{GF}(q)$ is also not a $(q, k, -\delta)$ -set. The result follows from the fact that the argument holds for any arbitrary choice of δ in $\text{GF}(q)$. This completes the proof. \square

From [8], Conjecture 2 holds for $k \geq \lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} \rfloor$. Namely $\rho(\text{PRS}(k)) = q - k$ when $\lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} \rfloor \leq k < q - 2$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary 18. When $\lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} \rfloor \leq k < q - 2$, for any $\delta \in \text{GF}(q)$ there exist k distinct elements of $\text{GF}(q)$ whose sum is equal to δ .

B. The covering radii of two families of MDS codes

In this subsection, we will determine the covering radii of two families of MDS codes. We first introduce a class of MDS codes. Let m be a positive integer, $q = 2^m$, and α be a primitive

element of $\text{GF}(q^2)$. Define $\beta = \alpha^{q-1}$. Then β is a $(q+1)$ -th primitive root of unity in $\text{GF}(q^2)$. Let $\mathbb{M}_{\beta^i}(x)$ denote the minimal polynomial of β^i over $\text{GF}(q)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{M}_{\beta^0}(x) = x - 1$ and

$$\mathbb{M}_{\beta^i}(x) = (x - \beta^i)(x - \beta^{q+1-i}) = (x - \beta^i)(x - \beta^{-i})$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq q$. For each u with $1 \leq u \leq q/2$, define

$$g_u(x) = \mathbb{M}_{\beta^u}(x) \cdots \mathbb{M}_{\beta^{q/2}}(x). \quad (15)$$

Let \mathcal{C}_u be the cyclic code of length $q+1$ over $\text{GF}(q)$ with generator polynomial $g_u(x)$. As pointed in [10], here the code \mathcal{C}_u and its dual code are both not Reed-Solomon codes. We have the following well-known result [4], [10].

Lemma 19. [10, Theorem 7] Let $m \geq 2$. For each u with $1 \leq u \leq q/2$, \mathcal{C}_u is a $[q+1, 2u-1, q-2u+3]$ MDS cyclic code.

The next lemma was proved in [10].

Lemma 20. [10, Corollary 17] Let $m \geq 2$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathbf{1})$ is a $[q+2, 3, q]$ MDS code over $\text{GF}(q)$ with weight enumerator

$$1 + \frac{(q+2)(q^2-1)}{2}z^q + \frac{q(q-1)^2}{2}z^{q+2}.$$

Combining Theorem 6 and Lemma 20 yields the following result.

Theorem 21. The covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C}_2^\perp) = 3$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is a deep hole of \mathcal{C}_2^\perp .

The next lemma was proved in [10].

Lemma 22. [10, Theorem 49] Let $m \geq 2$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{q/2}}(\mathbf{1})$ is a $[q+2, q-1, 4]$ MDS code over $\text{GF}(q)$.

Combining Theorem 6 and Lemma 22 yields the following result.

Theorem 23. The covering radius $\rho(\mathcal{C}_{q/2}^\perp) = q-1$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is a deep hole of $\mathcal{C}_{q/2}^\perp$.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows.

- For an MDS $[n, k]$ code \mathcal{C} , we proved that its extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ for a vector \mathbf{u} is still MDS if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp (see Theorem 6). This is the main result of this paper.
- With the help of known results on deep holes of the GRS codes, we determined all vectors \mathbf{u} such that the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of the GRS code \mathcal{C} is still MDS in some cases (see Corollary 11).
- With the help of known results on deep holes of the GRS codes, we determined some vectors \mathbf{u} such that the extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ of the EGRS code \mathcal{C} is still MDS in some cases (see Theorem 16).
- We determined the covering radius of $\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{1}, \infty)^\perp$ under certain conditions (see Theorem 14).
- We proved that the covering radius of $\text{PRS}(k)$ is equal to $q - k + 1$ when q is even and $k \in \{2, q - 2\}$ (see Theorem 17). This provides a partial answer to Conjecture 2.
- We settled the covering radii of two families of MDS cyclic codes (see Theorems 21 and 23).

It was proved in [11] that every linear code \mathcal{C}' of length $n + 1$ with minimum distance at least 2 is permutation-equivalent to an extended code $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ for some vector \mathbf{u} and some linear code \mathcal{C} of length n over the same finite field. This shows the importance of the extending techniques in coding theory. However, the determination of the minimum distance of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is very hard in most cases, even if the weight distribution of \mathcal{C} is known. For example, the minimum distance of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is either 3 or 4 when \mathcal{C} is a Hamming code over $\text{GF}(q)$ for $q > 2$. But it is open for which \mathbf{u} 's the minimum distance of the extended nonbinary Hamming code is exactly 4 [11]. This hardness may explain why there are very limited results on extended linear codes in the literature.

Given an MDS $[n, k]$ code \mathcal{C} over $\text{GF}(q)$ and a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \text{GF}(q)^n$, $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{u})$ is an MDS code if and only if $\rho(\mathcal{C}^\perp) = k$ and \mathbf{u} is a deep hole of \mathcal{C}^\perp according to Theorem 6. However, determining the deep holes of MDS codes is a very hard problem. Hence, Open Problem 1 for MDS codes is very hard in general. For instance, it is open if $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(-\mathbf{1})$ is MDS for many MDS codes \mathcal{C} in a family of MDS codes [10]. Determining the covering radii of MDS codes is also a hard problem in general. It would be good if the conjectures presented in this paper could be proved or disproved.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bartoli, M. Giulietti, and I. Platoni, “On the covering radius of MDS codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 801-811, 2014.
- [2] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [3] K. Kaipa, “Deep holes and MDS extensions of Reed–Solomon codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 4940-4948, 2017.
- [4] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: North Holland, 1977.
- [5] I. S. Reed and G. Solomon, “Polynomial codes over certain finite fields,” *J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 300-304, Jun. 1960.
- [6] R. M. Roth and A. Lempel, “A construction of non-Reed-Solomon type MDS codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 655-657, May 1989.
- [7] R. M. Roth and G. Seroussi, “On generator matrices of MDS codes (Corresp.),” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 826-830, Nov. 1985.
- [8] G. Seroussi, and R. M. Roth, “On MDS extensions of generalized Reed-Solomon codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 349-354, 1986.
- [9] X. Shi, Q. Yue, and S. Yang, “New LCD MDS codes constructed from generalized Reed–Solomon codes,” *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 18, no. 08, 1950150, 2019.
- [10] Z. Sun and C. Ding, “The extended codes of a family of reversible MDS cyclic codes,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04076, 2023.
- [11] Z. Sun, C. Ding, and T. Chen, “The extended codes of some linear codes,” arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.08053, 2023.
- [12] J. Zhang, D. Wan and K. Kaipa, “Deep holes of projective Reed-Solomon codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 2392-2401, 2020.
- [13] J. Zhuang, Q. Cheng and J. Li, “On determining deep holes of generalized Reed–Solomon codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 199-207, Jan. 2016.