

**VALUES AND DERIVATIVE VALUES AT NONPOSITIVE
INTEGERS OF GENERALIZED MULTIPLE HURWITZ ZETA
FUNCTIONS, APPLICATIONS TO WITTEN ZETA FUNCTIONS**

SIMON RUTARD

ABSTRACT. We present an analytic continuation of certain multiple zeta functions of generalized Hurwitz type. From this analytic continuation we derive explicit formulas for their values and derivative values at nonpositive integers along a direction. As an application, we provide explicit formulas for some values and derivative values of the Witten zeta functions $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}$. Furthermore, by employing a Meinardus-type theorem, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the number of n -dimensional representations of the exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 .

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. Throughout this paper, we fix some integers $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and some tuples $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_{q,p})_{1 \leq q \leq m, 1 \leq p \leq r}$ such that $x_1, \dots, x_r > 0$ and $\Re(c_{1,1}), \dots, \Re(c_{m,r}) > 0$. We consider the following Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^r (n_i + x_i)^{-s_i} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^r c_{j,i} (n_i + x_i) \right)^{-s_{r+j}}, \quad (1)$$

which absolutely converges when $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. We view this as a multiple zeta function of generalized Hurwitz type. The analytic properties of multiple zeta functions have been studied by many, including H. Mellin, P. Cassou-Noguès, P. Sargos, B. Lichtin, M. Kaneko, K. Matsumoto, D. Essouabri, and Y. Komori. In [Kom10], Komori studied the analytic continuation and the singularities of multiple zeta functions of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch type, and showed that it has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex space, and that nonpositive integers are points of indeterminacy. In particular, the values at nonpositive integers of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ aren't well-defined. One can still give meaning to values at nonpositive integers by considering consecutive limits of the variables s_1, \dots, s_{r+m} at nonpositive integers (see [AT01]). One can also consider directional values (see (5)), which consists of approaching a tuple $-\mathbf{N} = (-N_1, \dots, -N_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{r+m}$ along a direction $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$. These directional values are well-defined because Komori proved that the single variable function $z \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is regular at

Date: December 20, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M32, Secondary 11M41.

Key words and phrases. Multiple zeta functions, Special values, Witten zeta functions.

During the preparation of this work, I benefited from a JSPS postdoctoral grant in Nagoya University. This work also came into light thanks to Prof. Driss Essouabri, with whom I discussed many ideas of the paper.

$z = 0$, assuming some conditions on the direction $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. Komori also showed a non-explicit formula for directional values at nonpositive integers involving generalized Bernoulli numbers. Other works from Essouabri and Matsumoto proved several explicit formulas for directional values in the Euler-Zagier type, and in a generalized polynomial type (see [EM20], [EM19]).

The interest of studying multiple zeta functions as defined in (1) are several. Firstly, the function $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ as defined in (1) is a natural generalization of multiple Hurwitz zeta function of generalized Mordell–Tornheim type introduced by Onodera (see [Ono21]), and some techniques used for studying its analytic properties still work for the multiple zeta function $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$. Secondly, the multiple zeta functions associated with root systems of rank 2 (see Definition 1 and Example 2) can be written as $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ with suitable data \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{x} . Thirdly, by setting $s_1 = \dots = s_r = 0$ and $s_{r+1} = \dots = s_{r+m} = s$, the zeta function $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, (0, \dots, 0, s, \dots, s))$ corresponds to a zeta function of Shintani type, which are tightly linked to Stark’s conjectures.

Definition 1 ([KMT23]). Let Δ be a root system equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We denote Δ_+ the positive roots, and $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ its fundamental roots, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ being the rank of Δ . One can define a multiple zeta function associated with the root system Δ by considering the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_\Delta(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 1} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha^\vee, n_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + n_r \lambda_r \rangle^{-s_\alpha} \quad (\mathbf{s} = (s_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\Delta_+|}, \Re(s_\alpha) > 1).$$

It’s known that the function $\zeta_\Delta(\mathbf{s})$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}^{|\Delta_+|}$, and that it’s a multiple variable generalization of the Witten zeta functions defined by

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(s) := \sum_{\varphi} \frac{1}{\dim(\varphi)^s}$$

where φ runs over all class of finitely dimensional irreducible representations of a semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Indeed, if we denote $\Delta(\mathfrak{g})$ its root system, and if we set $s_\alpha = s$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_+(\mathfrak{g})$, by Weyl’s formula we have $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(s) = K(\mathfrak{g})^s \zeta_{\Delta(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbf{s})$ where $K(\mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbb{N}$ is explicit. Note that its abscissa of convergence is $\sigma_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{r}{|\Delta_+(\mathfrak{g})|}$ (see [HS19]), thus $s = \sigma_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is a singularity for $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(s)$ by Landau’s theorem.

Example 2. For the root systems of rank 2, A_2, B_2 and G_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{A_2}(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} (n_1 + n_2)^{s_3}}, \\ \zeta_{B_2}(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} (n_1 + n_2)^{s_3} (n_1 + 2n_2)^{s_4}}, \\ \zeta_{G_2}(s_1, \dots, s_6) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} (n_1 + n_2)^{s_3} (n_1 + 2n_2)^{s_4} (n_1 + 3n_2)^{s_5} (2n_1 + 3n_2)^{s_6}}, \end{aligned}$$

and they correspond to the Witten zeta functions

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{sl}(3)}(s) = 2^s \zeta_{A_2}(s, s, s), \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(s) = 6^s \zeta_{B_2}(s, s, s, s), \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s) = 120^s \zeta_{G_2}(s, s, s, s, s, s).$$

Recently, the analytic properties of the Witten zeta functions were studied due to their ties with asymptotic formulas for the number of class of n -dimensional representations of a semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , noted $r_{\mathfrak{g}}(n)$. Such a sequence can be seen as a partition number, and in [Rom17], Romik proved an asymptotic

formula for $r_{s_l(3)}(n)$ by expanding the scope of Meinardus theorem (see [And76]) to a more general setting. In order to make the asymptotic formula fully explicit, he studied the analytic continuation of $\zeta_{s_l(3)}$, and obtained information about its singularities, its residues, and its values $\zeta_{s_l(3)}(0)$ and $\zeta'_{s_l(3)}(0)$. He later improved on his asymptotic formula by using results from Borwein and Dilcher (see [BD18]), who provided a much simpler formula than the one Romik obtained for $\zeta'_{s_l(3)}(0)$. Later on, Bridges, Brindle, Bringmann and Franke proved a generalized Meinardus-type theorem to study the asymptotic behavior of number of partitions generated by some infinite product (see [BBBF24, Theorem 4.4]). As an application, they showed a similar asymptotic formula for $r_{s_o(5)}(n)$ as the one obtained by Romik (see [BBBF24, Theorem 1.3]), although one constant wasn't computed in their paper due to the lack of explicit formula for $\zeta'_{s_o(5)}(0)$ in the literature.

1.2. Notations. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we note $s = \sigma + i\tau$, with $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $H_x := \{s \in \mathbb{C}; \sigma > x\}$, and \overline{H}_x its closure in \mathbb{C} . We note $D_a(r)$ the open disk centered at a complex a and of radius $r \geq 0$, and $\overline{D}_a(r)$ its closure in \mathbb{C} . Throughout the paper, we assume that a product over the empty set is 1, and a sum over the empty set is 0.

Notation. For any sets $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and any complex tuple $\mathbf{z} = (z_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$, we note $|\mathbf{z}|_{\mathcal{B}} := \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} z_b$. If $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$, we simply write $|\mathbf{z}| := |\mathbf{z}|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let's point out that if $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$, then the tuple \mathbf{z} corresponds to the empty map $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, thus by convention $|\mathbf{z}| = 0$.

Notation. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be finite sets, and $\mathbf{u} = (u_{a,b})_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}$ be an integer tuple. We set $\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b} := (u_{a,b})_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\mathbf{u}_{a \bullet} := (u_{a,b})_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Notation. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we note $h_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$ the n -th harmonic number, where we write $h_0 = 0$ by convention.

Notation. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $j \neq \ell \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$ be integers, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in$

\mathbb{Z}^m be a tuple. The sum $\sum_{\substack{\widehat{j, \ell} \\ u_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,k} = n_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1} + \dots + u_{m,k} = n_m}}$ means summing over all the nonnegative integer tuples $\mathbf{u} = (u_{q,p})_{\substack{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j, \ell\} \\ p \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket}}$ such that $u_{q,1} + \dots + u_{q,k} = n_q$ ($1 \leq q \neq j, \ell \leq m$).

Similarly we define $\sum_{\substack{\widehat{j} \\ u_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,k} = n_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1} + \dots + u_{m,k} = n_m}}$ by summing over all nonnegative integer tuples $\mathbf{u} = (u_{q,p})_{\substack{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\} \\ p \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket}}$ such that $u_{q,1} + \dots + u_{q,k} = n_q$ ($1 \leq q \neq j \leq m$).

Remark 3. i) If $k = 0$ or $m = 1, 2$ (resp. $k = 0$ or $m = 1$), then the only tuple \mathbf{u} in the first sum (resp. the second sum) corresponds to an empty matrix.

ii) If there exist $q \neq j, \ell$ (resp. $q \neq j$) such that $n_q < 0$, then the first sum symbol (resp. the second sum symbol) is just 0 by convention.

We now introduce some functions that will appear either in the analytic continuation of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$, or in the explicit formulas for the directional values and directional derivative values of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$.

Definition 4. Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We set

$$\binom{s}{n} := \frac{s(s-1)\dots(s-n+1)}{n!} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

$$\binom{n}{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_i!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^i \text{ s.t. } k_1 + \dots + k_i = n).$$

With our convention, if $i = 0$ then \mathbf{k} is the empty map $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\binom{0}{\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} = 1$.

Definition 5. We call Lerch zeta function, denoted $\phi(z, s, x)$, the meromorphic continuation with respect to s to \mathbb{C} of the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+x)^s}$, ($|z| \leq 1, \Re(s) > 1, \Re(x) > 0$). We note $\zeta(s, x) := \phi(1, s, x)$ the Hurwitz zeta function.

Definition 6. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in H_0^r$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in H_0^r$, and $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. We call generalized Barnes zeta function the meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} of the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}) := \sum_{n_1=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{n_r=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n_1 + x_1)^{M_1} \dots (n_r + x_r)^{M_r}}{(c_1(n_1 + x_1) + \dots + c_r(n_r + x_r))^s}. \quad (2)$$

We note its derivative with respect to s by $\zeta'_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}) := \partial_s \zeta_B(\mathbf{M}, s, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c})$.

Remark 7. By taking $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{0} := (0, \dots, 0)$, we get the "usual" Barnes zeta function

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{1}{(c_1(n_1 + x_1) + \dots + c_r(n_r + x_r))^s}.$$

Definition 8. We note Γ the Euler Gamma function, and $\gamma := -\Gamma'(1)$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We also set

$$\Gamma(s, t, z) := \int_t^{+\infty} e^{-zy} y^{s-1} dy \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}, t > 0, \Re(z) > 0),$$

$$\gamma(s, t, z) := \int_0^t e^{-zy} y^{s-1} dy \quad (\Re(s) > 0, t > 0, \Re(z) > 0).$$

We call $\Gamma(s, t, z)$ (resp. $\gamma(s, t, z)$) the upper (resp. lower) incomplete gamma function.

Remark 9. If $z = 1$, we get the "usual" incomplete gamma functions used in the litterature (see [EMOT81, Chap.IX]). We can see easily that, for all $t > 0$, the functions $(s, z) \in H_0 \times H_0 \rightarrow \gamma(s, t, z)$ and $(s, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times H_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(s, t, z)$ are holomorphic. Moreover, one can also prove that

$$\Gamma(s) z^{-s} = \Gamma(s, t, z) + \gamma(s, t, z) \quad (\Re(s) > 0, t > 0, \Re(z) > 0). \quad (3)$$

1.3. Main results. Throughout this paper, we fix a tuple $-\mathbf{N} = (-N_1, \dots, -N_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{r+m}$, and a direction $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ that verifies the following non-vanishing condition

$$\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \theta_a + \sum_{q=1}^m \theta_{r+q} \neq 0 \quad (\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket). \quad (4)$$

We show a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex space of the function $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ in §2. In §2.5 we prove that the single variable function $z \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is regular at $z = 0$, and we prove an explicit formula for such a function. Hence we can define and study the directional values and the directional derivative values

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (5)$$

$$\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) := \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})). \quad (6)$$

From the analytic continuation obtained for $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$, we obtain closed and explicit formulas for both the directional values and the directional derivative values in §3. Both feature some coefficients $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ and $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ which are explicit (see (10) and (11)), and correspond to coefficients of a Taylor expansion of a parameter dependent integral $f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s})$ (see (27)). For further details about those coefficients, see §4.

Theorem A. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) &= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta} \theta_{r+j}}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we write $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha}\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, and $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_{\beta}\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem B. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{r+j} \sum_{\substack{\widehat{j} \\ u_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,r} = N_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ u_{m,1} + \dots + u_{m,r} = N_{r+m}}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \left(\binom{N_{r+q}}{\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}} \prod_{p=1}^r C_{q,p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left[\zeta'_B(-N_{r+j}, \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}) - (\gamma - h_{N_{r+j}}) N_{r+j}! \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \frac{(N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}|)!}{(-c_{j,b_p})^{N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}| + 1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = N_{r+j} + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} (N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}| + 1)} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{j,a_p}^{k_p} \zeta(-N_{a_p} - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet a_p}| - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta} \theta_{r+j}}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} \\ = \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{\alpha} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} + \theta_{a_i} C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \frac{\zeta'(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u})$ is defined as the tuple

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u}) := (N_p + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|)_{p \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m, \mathbf{u} = (u_{q,p})_{\substack{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\} \\ p \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket}}). \quad (9)$$

Definition 10. Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$, $j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$. We set

$$C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) = \prod_{q=1}^m N_{r+q}! \sum_{\substack{v_{1,1}+\dots+v_{m,1}=k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha}+\dots+v_{m,\alpha}=k_\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,\beta}=N_{r+1}-|\mathbf{v}_{1\bullet}| \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,\beta}=N_{r+m}-|\mathbf{v}_{m\bullet}|}} \widehat{\sum}_j \quad (10)$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^\alpha \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^\beta \left(\binom{-N_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} c_{j, b_p}^{-N_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m c_{q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right)$$

$$C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) = \prod_{q=1}^m N_{r+q}! \sum_{\substack{v_{1,1}+\dots+v_{m,1}=k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha}+\dots+v_{m,\alpha}=k_\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,\beta}=N_{r+1}-|\mathbf{v}_{1\bullet}| \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,\beta}=N_{r+m}-|\mathbf{v}_{m\bullet}|}} \widehat{\sum}_j \quad (11)$$

$$\left(\sum_{p=1}^\beta \theta_{b_p} (\gamma - h_{N_{b_p}+|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} + \ln c_{j, b_p}) + \sum_{q=1}^m \theta_{r+q} (\gamma - h_{N_{r+q}}) \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^\beta \left(\binom{-N_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} c_{j, b_p}^{-N_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m c_{q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right)$$

$$+ \prod_{q=1}^m N_{r+q}! \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^m \theta_{r+\ell} \sum_{\substack{v_{1,1}+\dots+v_{m,1}=k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha}+\dots+v_{m,\alpha}=k_\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,\beta}=N_{r+1}-|\mathbf{v}_{1\bullet}| \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,\beta}=N_{r+m}-|\mathbf{v}_{m\bullet}|}} \widehat{\sum}_{j, \ell}$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^\alpha \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^\beta \left(\binom{-N_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, \ell}}^m c_{q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) W_{\mathcal{B}, j, \ell, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{u}), N_{\ell+r}-|\mathbf{v}_{\ell\bullet}|}(\mathbf{c})$$

where $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{u}) := (N_{b_1} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet 1}|, \dots, N_{b_\beta} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet \beta}|)$, and W is the constant defined in (81).

An important consequence of the theorems A and B is that we have explicit formulas for the directional values and the derivative directional values of the multiple zeta functions associated to the root systems B_2 and G_2 . In particular, we obtain a close explicit formula for the two Witten zeta function $\zeta_{s\mathfrak{o}(5)}$ and $\zeta_{s\mathfrak{g}_2}$ at nonpositive integers, and also for their derivatives at nonpositive integers. We give formulas for those two Witten zeta functions at $s = 0, -1, \dots, -4$ and their first derivatives at $s = 0$.

Theorem C. *At the first nonpositive integers, we have Moreover,*

N	0	-1	-2	-3	-4
$\zeta_{s\mathfrak{o}(5)}(N)$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$-\frac{11}{26880}$	0	$-\frac{509}{37847040}$	0
$\zeta_{s\mathfrak{g}_2}(N)$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{6641}{62705664}$	0	$\frac{12522872818983257}{109242202556140093440}$	0

$$\zeta'_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(0) = \frac{3 \ln 3}{8} + \frac{13 \ln 2}{8} + \frac{3 \ln \pi}{2} \approx 3.255438605434552... \quad (12)$$

$$\zeta'_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(0) = \frac{5 \ln 5}{12} - \frac{\ln 3}{12} + \frac{13 \ln 2}{4} + \frac{5 \ln \pi}{2} \approx 5.693601157568522... \quad (13)$$

Note that both of these Witten zeta functions vanish at $s = -2$, which is a special case of a larger conjecture (see [KO13]). Also, note that the asymptotic formula for the number of representations $r_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(n)$ (see [BBBF24, Theorem 1.3]) involves a constant that depends on $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(0)$, thus (12) makes this asymptotic formula fully explicit. By applying a Meinardus-type theorem (see [BBBF24, Theorem 4.4]), we show an asymptotic formula for the number of n -dimensional representations of the exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 , noted $r_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(n)$.

Theorem D. *For $N \geq 1$, there exist explicit constants $\tilde{B}_2, \dots, \tilde{B}_{N+1}$ such that*

$$r_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(n) \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{=} \frac{C}{n^{\frac{9}{16}}} \exp\left(A_1 n^{\frac{1}{4}} + A_2 n^{\frac{3}{20}} + A_3 n^{\frac{1}{20}}\right) \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} \frac{\tilde{B}_j}{n^{\frac{j-1}{20}}} + O\left(n^{-\frac{N+1}{20}}\right)\right),$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3, C are defined in §6.2.

1.4. Paper's layout. In §2, we prove the analytic continuation of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ via Crandall's expansion techniques, following ideas of Onodera (see [Ono21], and Borwein and Dilcher (see [BD18]). More particularly, in §2.2, we start by giving an expression of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ in terms of a series of Eulerian-type integrals. Then we split each integral domain into two sets depending on a free real variable $t > 0$. The first part noted $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ corresponds to a series of integral with the same underlying domain $[0, t]^r$. The second part noted $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ corresponds to the same series of integrals, but with the underlying domain being $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^r \setminus [0, t]^r$. We show that $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ is an entire function in the \mathbf{s} variables that vanishes at nonpositive integers, therefore not contributing for the values at nonpositive integers of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$. Using Erdelyi formula we show an expression for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ depending only on Hurwitz zeta function, Gamma function, and parameter dependent integrals noted $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$ (see (27)). In §2.3 we study the analytic properties of $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$, and we show that it's an entire function in the \mathbf{s} variables, with a. In §2.4, we establish an analytic continuation formula for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ with respect to \mathbf{s} , using a bound for Hurwitz zeta functions provided by Onodera. In particular, we obtain that it has a meromorphic continuation with singularities belonging to a union of hyperplanes $\mathcal{S}_{r, m}$ which contains nonpositive integer tuples, therefore proving an analytic continuation formula of the form

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) + H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{r, m}, t > 0 \text{ small enough}).$$

We then apply this formula in §2.5 upon proving that the single variable function $z \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is regular at $z = 0$.

In §3, we prove Theorems A and B based on the analytic continuation formula obtained for $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$. More specifically, in §3.2 we evaluate the directional value

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) + \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t).$$

This computation follows from the fact that $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ vanishes at nonpositive integers, and from the analytical continuation formula obtained for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$

in §2.4. The computation of the directional value

$$\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)) + \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t))$$

is slightly more difficult, because it's not true in general that the second limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t))$ vanishes in general. In §3.3, we evaluate the first limit by using the analytic continuation formula obtained for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ in §2.4. Let's note that the formula for this limit will feature a sum between $\ln t$ and an explicit Laurent series in the t variable, with an explicit constant term. In §3.4, we show a relation between the second limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t))$ and derivative values at nonpositive integers of some generalized Barnes zeta function. This last limit can also be expressed in terms of a sum between $\ln t$ and an explicit Laurent series in the t variable. By summing the two formula obtained for the two previous limits, we prove Theorem B in §3.5.

In §4, we study the values and derivative values of the parameter dependent integrals $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$ that appear in the analytic continuation formula of $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$.

In §5, we show a few results for simplifying in particular cases the formula obtained for $\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N})$. More particularly, in §5.2, we prove an explicit expression between generalized Barnes formula associated with rational coefficients, and the Hurwitz zeta function. In §5.1, we recall some well-known special values and relations for the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, x)$. In §5.3, we compute the values $\zeta'_{s_0(5)}(0)$ and $\zeta'_{g_2}(0)$ thanks to the formulas obtained in §5.2 and §5.1, and thanks to Theorem B.

In §6, we give an overview of a generalized Meinardus Theorem (see [BBBF24]). More specifically, we recall that particular result in §6.1, and then in §6.2 we apply that theorem, along with the analytic properties we showed for ζ_{g_2} , in order to obtain an asymptotic formula for the number of representation $r_{g_2}(n)$.

In §7, we use a partial fraction decomposition to study an integral that appears in the computations of the directional derivative values of the entire function $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$.

2. ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$

We set for this section the linear forms $l_q(\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{p=1}^r c_{q,p} y_p$ ($1 \leq q \leq m$). The goal of this section is to prove an explicit analytic continuation of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$, meaning that we show an explicit formula for this multiple zeta function, that will only involve Hurwitz zeta function and gamma functions, and integrals that will be computed at special values later on.

Theorem 11. *The function $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ has an explicit analytic continuation to the whole complex space*

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) + F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) \quad (t > 0 \text{ small enough}),$$

where the function $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ (resp. $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$) satisfies (39) (resp. (18)). Moreover, the multiplicity of each singularity is 1, and they all belong in a set noted $\mathcal{S}_{r,m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$, defined as a union of the following hyperplanes

$$\begin{aligned} s_p &= n & (1 \leq p \leq r, n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ s_{r+1} + \dots + s_{r+m} + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} s_a &= n & (\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq m}). \end{aligned}$$

2.1. Erdélyi formula. Erdélyi's formula [EMOT81, §1.11] described in the following proposition is crucial for re-expressing integrands involving the Lerch zeta function in the following subsection.

Proposition 12 ([Ono21]). *Let $u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$. Then*

$$e^{-xu} \phi(e^{-u}, s, x) = \Gamma(1-s) u^{s-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-u)^k}{k!} \zeta(s-k, x) \quad (|u| < 2\pi, s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}, x > 0).$$

In order to prove that the previous series is absolutely convergent, Onodera showed a bound via Hurwitz's formula. We will also make use of this bound later on.

Lemma 13 ([Ono21]). *Let $\overline{D}(\delta, R) := \{s \in \mathbb{C}; \min_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |s - n| \geq \delta, |s| \leq R\}$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, R \in \mathbb{N}$. We then have*

$$|\partial_s^n \zeta(s-k, x)| \ll_{R, \varepsilon, \delta} k! (k+1)^{R+\varepsilon} (2\pi)^{-k} \quad (s \in \overline{D}(\delta, r), k, n \geq 0, \varepsilon > 0).$$

2.2. Crandall's expansion. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ be a complex tuple such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. We first notice that $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ can be written using eulerian-type integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{q=1}^m \Gamma(s_{r+q})} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p}} \\ &\quad \int_0^{+\infty} \dots \int_0^{+\infty} \prod_{q=1}^m \left(e^{-l_q(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{x})y_q} y_q^{-s_{r+q}} \right) dy_1 \dots dy_m. \end{aligned}$$

Next we split the domain of each integrals such that $[0, +\infty) = [0, t] \cup (t, +\infty)$ for any given $t > 0$. By using (3), one can then prove that the general term of the Dirichlet series associated with $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ verifies the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p} \prod_{q=1}^m l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{s_{r+q}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m \frac{e^{-l_q(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{x})y_q} y_q^{s_{r+q}}}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} dy_1 \dots dy_m \quad (14) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \\ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^c}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathcal{A}^c \setminus \mathcal{C}|}}{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q})} \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{-s_p} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{-s_{r+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

At the right-hand side, we obtain two types of terms. The first one depends on an integral on the domain $[0, t]^m$, and the second term is a finite sum of a non-empty product of upper incomplete gamma functions times a product of linear forms. We now consider the sum over $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0$ for each terms, and study the nature of each corresponding series.

Proposition 14. *Let $t > 0, \mathcal{C} \subsetneq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, and $K \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ be a compact set. Then the series*

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{s_{r+q}}}$$

is normally convergent on K in the variables (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) .

Proof. We proceed by bounding the general term of the series above. Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $t > 0$, $z \in H_0$. By a change of variable, we have $\Gamma(s, t, z) = 2^s \int_{t/2}^{+\infty} e^{-2zy} y^{s-1} dy$. We then find the following bound for the upper complete gamma function

$$|\Gamma(s, t, z)| \leq 2^{\Re(s)} e^{-\Re(z)t/2} \Gamma\left(\Re(s), \frac{t}{2}, \Re(z)\right). \quad (15)$$

By the previous inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{-s_p} \prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{-s_{r+q}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \left(2^{\sigma_{r+q}} e^{-\frac{\Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))t}{2}} \Gamma(\sigma_{r+q}, t, \Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))) \right)}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{\sigma_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{\sigma_{r+q}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let's note that $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mapsto \Gamma(\sigma, t, x)$ is increasing for any $\sigma > 0, t > 0$. Since $\Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \geq c$ ($1 \leq q \leq m$) where $c := \min_{\substack{1 \leq q \leq m \\ 1 \leq p \leq r}} \Re(c_{q,p} x_p) > 0$, we find

$$\Gamma(\sigma_{r+q}, t, \Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))) \leq \Gamma(\sigma_{r+q}, t, c).$$

Since K is compact, then

$$|2^{\sigma_{r+q}}| \ll_K 1, \quad \Gamma(\sigma_{r+q}, t, c) \ll_K 1.$$

Since the set $\llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is non-empty, and since $\Re(c_{q,p}) > 0$ ($1 \leq q \leq m, 1 \leq p \leq r$), there exist $d_1, \dots, d_r > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{-s_p} \prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{-s_{r+q}} \right| \\ & \ll_K \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} e^{-\frac{\Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))t}{2}}}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{\sigma_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{\sigma_{r+q}}} = O(e^{-d_1(n_1 + x_1) - \dots - d_r(n_r + x_r)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the following sum is normally convergent in the variables (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) on K

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} e^{-\frac{\Re(l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))t}{2}}}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{\sigma_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{\sigma_{r+q}}}.$$

□

From (14), from the previous proposition, and from the fact that the Dirichlet series defining $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ converges normally over any compact set $K \subset H_1^{r+m}$ we get:

Corollary 15. *Let $K \subset H_1^{r+m}$ be a compact set, then the series*

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m \left(e^{-l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}) y_q} y_q^{s_{r+q}} \right) dy_1 \dots dy_m$$

is normally convergent in the variables (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) on K .

It follows from the previous corollary that we can perform a series-integral swap in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{1}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m \left(e^{-l_q(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{x})y_q} y_q^{s_{r+q}} \right) dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ &= \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{p=1}^r \left(e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})x_p} \phi(-l_p^*(\mathbf{y}), s_p, x_p) \right) \prod_{q=1}^m y_q^{s_{r+q}} dy_1 \dots dy_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$ and

$$l_p^*(\mathbf{y}) := c_{1,p}y_1 + \dots + c_{m,p}y_m \quad (1 \leq p \leq r).$$

For all $t > 0$, we have

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) + H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) \quad (\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1), \quad (16)$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) := \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{p=1}^r \left(e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})x_p} \phi(-l_p^*(\mathbf{y}), s_p, x_p) \right) \prod_{q=1}^m \frac{y_q^{s_{r+q}}}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} dy_1 \dots dy_m \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) &:= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \\ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^c}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathcal{A}^c \setminus \mathcal{C}|}}{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q})} \\ &\quad \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(s_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{s_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{s_{r+q}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By (14), by Proposition 14, by Corollary 15 and using the fact that $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ has a meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C}^{r+m} , we get that:

Corollary 16. *Let $t > 0$, then $\mathbf{s} \mapsto H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ is entire and vanish at any nonpositive integers $-\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{r+m}$.*

By the previous result, $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ doesn't contribute to the directional values at nonpositive integers of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$. Thus, the sole contributor to those values is $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$, and we shall give an explicit formula to compute them thanks to Erdélyi's formula.

Proposition 17. *Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N})^{r+m}$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that, for all $t_0 > t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^\beta \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p}) \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \frac{\zeta(s_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m \frac{y_q^{s_{r+q}-1}}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} \prod_{p=1}^\beta l_{b_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha l_{a_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{k_p} dy_1 \dots dy_m, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we recall that we write $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

Let's note that \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{B} can be empty, thus α or β can be 0. In such a case, our convention is that the product over an empty set is replaced with 1. Also, if

$\alpha = 0$, then the tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha)$ corresponds to the empty map $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, thus $|\mathbf{k}| = 0$.

Proof. Let

$$\overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R) := \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_0^{r+m}; \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |s_p - n| \geq \delta \ (1 \leq p \leq r) \right\} \quad (20)$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$ and $R > 0$ are both arbitrary. We wish to prove that (19) holds for all $\mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R)$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$ by using Erdélyi formula.

Let $\mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R)$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. This condition alone implies that $s_p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ ($1 \leq p \leq r$). Let's also note that

$$\forall \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in [0, t]^m, \quad |l_p^*(\mathbf{y})| \gg t \quad (1 \leq p \leq r). \quad (21)$$

Therefore, for $t > 0$ small enough, by Erdélyi formula we obtain

$$e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})x_p} \phi(e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})}, s_p, x_p) = \Gamma(1 - s_p) l_p^*(\mathbf{y})^{s_p-1} + \sum_{k_p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-l_p^*(\mathbf{y}))^{k_p}}{k_p!} \zeta(s_p - k_p, x_p), \quad (22)$$

with $1 \leq p \leq r$ and $\mathbf{y} \in [0, t]^m$. Thanks to (22), we can then replace the product $\prod_{p=1}^r \left(e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})x_p} \phi(e^{-l_p^*(\mathbf{y})}, s_p, x_p) \right)$ in the integrand of $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$, and we will then perform another series-integral inversion. In order to justify such inversion, we first need to bound each terms at the right-hand side of (22) for all $\mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R)$.

We begin by recalling some well-known facts:

- i) The function Γ is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} with simple poles at nonpositive integers, and the function $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ is entire.
- ii) The Hurwitz zeta function is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} with a simple pole at $s = 1$.
- iii) Since $s_p \mapsto \Gamma(1 - s_p)$ is holomorphic on the compact set $\overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R)$, we obtain

$$|\Gamma(1 - s_p)| \ll_{R,\delta} 1 \quad (\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in \overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R)). \quad (23)$$

iv) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 13 we have

$$\left| \frac{\zeta(s_p - k_p, x_p)}{k_p!} \right| \ll_{R,\varepsilon,\delta} (2\pi)^{-k_p} (k_p + 1)^{R+\varepsilon} \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_{r,m}(\delta, R), k_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}), \quad (24)$$

moreover the series $\sum_{k_p=0}^{+\infty} (k_p + 1)^{R+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{k_p}$ converges for all $t > 0$ small enough.

Using iv) and the bounds (21) and (23), we can perform a series-integral inversion

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{p=1}^r \left(\Gamma(1 - s_p) l_p^*(\mathbf{y})^{s_p-1} + \sum_{k_p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-l_p^*(\mathbf{y}))^{k_p}}{k_p!} \zeta(s_p - k_p, x_p) \right) \prod_{q=1}^m y_q^{s_{r+q}} dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(s_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \\ & \quad \times \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m y_q^{s_{r+q}-1} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} l_{b_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} l_{a_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{k_p} dy_1 \dots dy_m. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Dividing both sides of (25) by $\prod_{q=1}^m \Gamma(s_{r+q})$, we obtain that (19) holds. \square

We now wish to extend (19) to the whole complex space. One major obstruction that we face is the fact that the integral at the right-hand side of (19) isn't convergent when we don't assume $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$, because of its singularity at the origin of the integral domain. For this reason, we will perform a suitable blow-up in order to obtain an analytic continuation of this parameter dependent integral.

2.3. Analytical continuation of a parameter-dependent integral. Let's fix for this subsection $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$, and a tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$. We set

$$V_j(t) := \{\mathbf{y} \in [0, t]^m; y_j \geq y_q \ (1 \leq q \neq j \leq m)\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Let's note that we can decompose the integral domain of the integral at the right-hand side of (19) such that

$$[0, t]^m = \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_j(t),$$

where the pairwise intersections of the above sets are null sets. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. Through Chasles' relation, and with the change of variables

$$\varphi_j : \begin{cases} [0, 1]^{j-1} \times [0, t] \times [0, 1]^{m-j} \rightarrow V_j(t) \\ \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \mapsto (y_1 y_j, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_m y_j) \end{cases} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \prod_{q=1}^m \frac{y_q^{s_{r+q}-1}}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} \prod_{p=1}^\beta l_{b_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha l_{a_p}^*(\mathbf{y})^{k_p} dy_1 \dots dy_m \\ = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}|-\beta+|\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}|-\beta+|\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we have set

$$f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) := \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \frac{y_q^{s_{r+q}-1}}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} \prod_{p=1}^\beta l_{b_p}^*(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha l_{a_p}^*(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{k_p} dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy}_j \dots dy_m \quad (27)$$

with $dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy}_j \dots dy_m := dy_1 \dots dy_{j-1} dy_{j+1} \dots dy_m$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j := (y_1, \dots, y_{j-1}, 1, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_m)$. It's clear that the function $\mathbf{s} \mapsto f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$ is holomorphic over H_1^{r+m} .

In the next proposition, we show an explicit formula for $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$ ($\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$) involving a normally convergent series with respect to the variables s_1, \dots, s_{r+m} . Such an expression also proves that this function is entire. We also provide a bound for this function, so that we can use it in order to prove that the right-hand side of (19) converges for all complex tuples $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}$, except for some that belong in a union of hyperplanes.

Proposition 18. *The function $\mathbf{s} \mapsto f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^{r+m} . Furthermore, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}) &= \sum_{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}} \sum_{\substack{u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha', \beta} \geq 0 \\ v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \frac{\sum_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \binom{s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|}{\varepsilon}}{\prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \left(\Gamma(s_{r+a'_q}) (s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|) \right)} \\
&\times \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, \alpha_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{s_{b_p} - 1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} c_{\alpha'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \\
&\times \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \dots \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \prod_{q=1}^{\beta'} \frac{y_q^{s_{r+b'_q} - 1 + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|}}{\Gamma(s_{r+b'_q})} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(c_{j, b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{a_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} dy_1 \dots dy_{\beta'}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$, and where we write $\mathcal{A}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{\alpha'}) \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_{\alpha'}) := \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus (\mathcal{A}' \cup \{j\})$. Moreover, for any $R > 0$, we have

$$|f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})| \ll_{R, \varepsilon} (m \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|))^{\|\mathbf{k}\|} \quad (|s_1|, \dots, |s_{r+m}| \leq R). \tag{29}$$

Before proving the Proposition, we need the following uniform bound.

Lemma 19. *Let $R > 0$. We have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \right| \ll_R 1, \quad \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(s+n)} \right| \ll_R 1 \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, s \in \overline{D}_0(R)).$$

Proof. The first bound follows from the fact that $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ is entire. Let $R > 0$. We first notice that for any given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \lfloor R \rfloor + 1}$, we have

$$\forall s \in \overline{D}_0(R), |s+n| \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

thus we obtain that $\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(s+n)} \right| \leq C_R$ ($s \in \overline{D}_0(R)$) with $C_R > 0$.

For any given $n \in \llbracket 0, \lfloor R \rfloor \rrbracket$, we know that the function $s \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(s+n)}$ is entire, therefore we also obtain that there exist $C_{R,n} \geq 0$ such that

$$\forall s \in \overline{D}_0(R), \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(s+n)} \right| \leq C_{R,n},$$

By taking $\tilde{C}_R := \max(C_R, C_{R,0}, \dots, C_{R, \lfloor R \rfloor})$, we get a uniform upper bound for $\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)(s+n)}$. \square

Proof of Proposition 18. Firstly, we prove that the series at the right-hand side of (28) is normally convergent on any given compact set of \mathbb{C}^{r+m} in the variables \mathbf{s} by carefully bounding each term of this series. Secondly, we show that (28) holds for all $s_1, \dots, s_{r+m} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$, which will conclude the proof.

i) We first bound the general term, noted $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{s})$ of the series in the right-hand side of (28) on the compact set $K := \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}; \forall k \in \llbracket r+m \rrbracket, s_k \in \overline{D}_0(R)\}$ with $R \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha', \beta} \geq 0$ and $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{m, \alpha} \geq 0$ be integer tuples such that $v_{p,1} + \dots + v_{p,m} = k_p$ ($1 \leq p \leq \alpha$). Let $\mathbf{s} \in K$, we have:

- From Lemma 19, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})(s_{r+q} + n)} \right| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} 1 \quad (1 \leq q \leq m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \quad (30)$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+q})} \right| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} 1 \quad (1 \leq q \leq m). \quad (31)$$

- By compactness, it's clear that

$$\left| x^{s_{r+q}-1+|\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|} \right| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} 1 \quad (1 \leq q \leq m, x \in [\varepsilon, 1]), \quad (32)$$

$$\left| \varepsilon^{\sum_{q=1}^{\alpha'} (s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|)} \right| \ll_{R,\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\sum_{q=1}^{\alpha'} |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}|}. \quad (33)$$

- By setting $L := \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|)$, we clearly have that

$$\left| \binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q,a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right| \leq \binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} L^{k_p} \quad (1 \leq p \leq \alpha). \quad (34)$$

- Using the bound

$$\left| \binom{z-1}{k} \right| \leq \binom{R+k}{k} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, z \in \overline{D}_0(R))$$

we obtain

$$\left| \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{s_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} c_{a'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \right| \ll_{r,\varepsilon} L^{|\mathbf{u}|} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{R+|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \right). \quad (35)$$

- Let $1 \leq p \leq \beta$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{\beta'}) \in [\varepsilon, 1]^{\beta'}$. It's clear that

$$\left| \left(c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{\sigma_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \right| \leq \left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right|^{\sigma_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} e^{R\frac{\beta'}{2}}.$$

Let's note that we have the following bound:

$$l \leq \left(\Re(c_{j,b_p}) + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} \Re(c_{b'_q, b_p}) \varepsilon \right) \leq \left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right| \leq \sum_{q=1}^m |c_{q,b_p}| \leq mL$$

with $L := \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|) > 0$, $l := \min_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} \Re(c_{q,p}) > 0$.

Thus

$$\left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right|^{\sigma_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \leq \begin{cases} (mL)^{\sigma_{b_p}-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|-1} & \text{if } \left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right| \geq 1 \\ & \text{and } \sigma_{b_p} - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}| \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right| \geq 1, \sigma_{b_p} - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}| < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \left| c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right| < 1, \sigma_{b_p} - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}| \geq 1 \\ K^{\sigma_{b_p}-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|-1} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

By compactness, we also have:

$$(mL)^{\sigma_p - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}| - 1} \ll_R (mL)^{-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}, \quad l^{\sigma_p - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}| - 1} \ll_R l^{-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}.$$

Finally, we find that

$$\left| \left(c_{j, b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{b_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \right| \ll_R \min(l, mL, 1)^{-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}. \quad (36)$$

From (32) and (36), we get:

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \cdots \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \prod_{q=1}^{\beta'} \frac{y_q^{s_r + b'_q - 1 + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|}}{\Gamma(s_r + b'_q)} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(c_{j, b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{a_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} dy_1 \cdots dy_{\beta'} \right| \ll_{R, \varepsilon} \min(l, mL, 1)^{-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}.$$

By the above inequality, and from (30), (31), (33), (34), (35), we find that

$$|T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{s})| \ll_{R, \varepsilon} \left(\frac{L\varepsilon}{\min(l, mL, 1)} \right)^{|\mathbf{u}|} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} L^{k_p} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{R + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \right).$$

By Newton's multinomial we have $\sum_{\substack{v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} L^{k_p} \right) = (mL)^{|\mathbf{k}|}$. It's

clear that the series

$$(mL)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \sum_{u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha', \beta} \geq 0} \left(\frac{L\varepsilon}{\min(l, mL, 1)} \right)^{|\mathbf{u}|} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{R + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \right)$$

converges absolutely for all $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\min(l, mL, 1)}{L}$. Therefore, the series at the right-hand side of (19) is normally convergent on the compact set K , for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

ii) Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m}$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. We now wish to prove that (19) holds for such \mathbf{s} . Let $\mathcal{A}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{\alpha'}) \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = (b'_1, \dots, b'_{\alpha'}) := \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus (\mathcal{A}' \cup \{j\})$. We set

$$V_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon) := \left\{ (y_1, \dots, \widehat{y}_j, \dots, y_m) \in [0, 1]^{m-1}; \begin{array}{l} y_{a'_q} \leq \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq q \leq \alpha') \\ y_{b'_q} \geq \varepsilon \quad (1 \leq q \leq \beta') \end{array} \right\} \quad (1 > \varepsilon > 0).$$

We then can partition the following integration domain:

$$\{(y_1, \dots, \widehat{y}_j, \dots, y_m); \forall q \neq j, y_q \in [0, 1]\} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}} V_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon),$$

where the pairwise intersections of each sets is a null set. Via Chasles' formula, we get

$$f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s})$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}} \int_{V_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon)} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \frac{y_q^{s_r+q-1}}{\Gamma(s_r+q)} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} l_{b_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} l_{a_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{k_p} dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy}_j \dots dy_m. \quad (37)$$

We now aim to expand the integrand of each integral above by using power series expansions around the origin. Note that these power series converge uniformly on a compact disk sufficiently small. Therefore we are able to perform a series-integral inversion.

Let $\mathcal{A}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{\alpha'}) \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$ and $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{m \max_{1 \leq q \leq m, 1 \leq p \leq r} (|c_{q,p}|)}\right)$. By the following Taylor's expansion

$$(1 + X_1 + \dots + X_d)^{-s} = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \geq 0} \binom{-s}{|\mathbf{k}|} \binom{|\mathbf{k}|}{\mathbf{k}} X_1^{k_1} \dots X_d^{k_d} \quad (|X_1| + \dots + |X_d| < 1),$$

we obtain uniformly in $\widehat{x}^j \in F_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon)$

$$l_{b_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{s_{b_p}-1} = \sum_{u_{p,1}, \dots, u_{p,\alpha'} \geq 0} \binom{s_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}} \left(c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \left(c_{a'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} y_q^{u_{q,p}} \right).$$

Let $p \in \llbracket 1, \alpha \rrbracket$ and $k_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have by Newton's multinomial:

$$l_{a_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{k_p} = \sum_{\substack{v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet,p}} c_{j,a_p}^{v_{j,p}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m c_{q,p}^{v_{q,p}} y_q^{v_{q,p}}.$$

Injecting these last two formulas in the integrand of the integral at the right-hand side of (37), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{V_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon)} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \frac{y_q^{s_r+q-1}}{\Gamma(s_r+q)} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} l_{b_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{s_{b_p}-1} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} l_{a_p}^* (\widehat{\mathbf{y}}^j)^{k_p} dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy}_j \dots dy_m \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha', \beta} \geq 0 \\ v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet,p}} c_{j,a_p}^{v_{j,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{s_{b_p}-1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}} \right) \\ & \times \int_{V_{\mathcal{A}', j}(\varepsilon)} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \left(\frac{y_q^{s_r+q-1}}{\Gamma(s_r+q)} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(c_{q,a_p}^{v_{q,p}} y_q^{v_{q,p}} \right) \right) \\ & \times \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\left(c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{b_p}-1-|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet,p}|} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \left(c_{a'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} y_q^{u_{q,p}} \right) \right) dy_1 \dots \widehat{dy}_j \dots dy_m. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Via Fubini's theorem, we then get that the integral inside that last expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \frac{\varepsilon^{s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|}}{\Gamma(s_{r+a'_q})(s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|)} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} \left(\prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ q \neq j}}^{\beta} \left(c_{a'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} y_q^{u_{q,p}} \right) \right) \prod_{q=1}^m \left(\prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ q \neq j}}^{\alpha} c_{q, a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \\ & \times \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \dots \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \prod_{q=1}^{\beta'} \frac{y_q^{s_{r+b'_q} - 1 + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|}}{\Gamma(s_{r+b'_q})} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(c_{j, b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{b_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} dy_1 \dots dy_{\beta'}. \end{aligned}$$

Injecting that last equality in (38), and using (37), we get (28). \square

Remark 20. Let's note that we proved that the series in the index (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) in the right-hand side of (28) is normally convergent on any compact subset of \mathbb{C}^{r+m} in the variables s_1, \dots, s_{r+m} . Therefore, one can compute the limit at $\mathbf{s} = (-N_1, \dots, -N_{r+m})$ of by switching the limit and the series in the right-hand side of (28). One can also compute the directional derivative values of $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}$ by taking the directional derivative value of the general term of the series at the right-hand side of (28).

2.4. Analytical continuation for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$. We already established the equality $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) + H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ ($t > 0$, $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$), where $\mathbf{s} \mapsto H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ is entire and vanish at nonpositive integer tuples. We established in (19) an explicit formula for $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$, where $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. We now wish to extend it to \mathbb{C}^{r+m} , and by doing so, some singularities occur.

Proposition 21. *Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{r,m}$. There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that, for all $t_0 > t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p}) \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{\alpha} \geq 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(s_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where we recall that we write $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha}\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_{\beta}\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, the singularities of $\mathbf{s} \mapsto F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t)$ belong in $\mathcal{S}_{r,m}$.

Proof. By injecting (26) into (19), we obtain that (39) holds for all $\mathbf{s} \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N})^{r+m}$ such that $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_{r+m}) > 1$. We now wish to prove that the series at the right-hand side of (39) is normally convergent on a suitable compact set that doesn't intersect the set $\mathcal{S}_{r,m}$, and we prove such a result by using bounds obtained for the Hurwitz zeta function and for $f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s})$. We need to choose our compact set by taking into consideration the possible singularities that can occur at the right-hand side of (39).

Let $R > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we set

$$K_{r,m}(\delta, R) := \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \overline{D}_0(R)^{r+m}; \begin{array}{l} \bullet \min_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |s_p - n| \geq \delta \quad (1 \leq p \leq r) \\ \bullet \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq m}} \left| \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} s_a + \sum_{q=1}^m s_{r+q} - n \right| \geq \delta \quad (\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let's note that the set $K_{r,m}(\delta, R)$ does contain the compact set $D_{r,m}(\delta, R)$ as defined in (20). We first note that the general term of the series at the right-hand side of 39 is holomorphic on $K_{r,m}(\delta, R)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$, $j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$. For all $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$, we have:

- If $k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha \geq \beta$, then by Lemma 19 we find that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right|_{R, \delta} \lll 1 \quad (\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R)).$$

- If $k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha < \beta$, we know that the function

$$\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R) \mapsto \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})}$$

is entire, then by compactness we have that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right|_{R, \delta, \mathbf{k}} \lll 1 \quad (\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R)).$$

Since there's only a finite number of nonnegative tuples \mathbf{k} satisfying $k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha \leq \beta$, we get that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right|_{R, \delta} \lll 1 \quad (\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha). \quad (40)$$

We also see that the function $\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R) \mapsto \prod_{p=1}^\beta \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p})$ is entire, so by compactness we have

$$\left| \prod_{p=1}^\beta \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p}) \right|_{R, \delta} \lll 1 \quad (\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R)). \quad (41)$$

One can also prove that

$$\left| t^{|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \right|_{R, \delta} \lll t^{|\mathbf{k}|} \quad (\mathbf{s} \in K_{r,m}(\delta, R), \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha). \quad (42)$$

By (40), (41), (29), (42), and by Lemma 13, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{s}) \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}}{\Gamma(s_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta + |\mathbf{s}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \prod_{p=1}^\beta \Gamma(1 - s_{b_p}) \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \frac{\zeta(s_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \right| \\ & \lll_{R, \varepsilon, \delta} \left(\frac{m \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|)}{2\pi} t \right)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha (k_p + 1)^{R+\varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon > 0), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

It only remains to see that $\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0} \left(\frac{m \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|)}{2\pi} t \right)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha (k_p + 1)^{R+\varepsilon}$ converges for all $0 < t < \frac{2\pi}{m \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} (|c_{q,p}|)} =: t_0$. By the previous fact and by the bound (43), we obtain that the series at the right-hand side of (39) is normally convergent on $K_{r,m}(\delta, R)$ in the variables $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m})$, for any $t \in (0, t_0)$. \square

By (16) we obtain an analytic continuation for $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$ to the whole complex space.

Corollary 22. *Let $t > 0$ small enough, we have*

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) + H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, t) \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^{r+m} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{r,m}). \quad (44)$$

Remark 23. One can see that the set $\mathcal{S}_{r,m}$ contains all the nonpositive integer tuples. Upon our study of the directional values of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s})$, we make use of (44) and (39) by replacing \mathbf{s} with $-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to do such a replacement, we have to assume that the direction $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ verifies the non-vanishing condition (4).

2.5. Regularity of $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)$ at $z = 0$. We prove in this subsection that the single variable function $z \mapsto \zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{C} and regular at $z = 0$, thus justifying the notations (5) and (6). By Theorem 11 and Corollary 16, it's enough to prove that $z \mapsto F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)$ is regular at $z = 0$, therefore we just need show that (39) holds around $z = 0$ after replacing \mathbf{s} by $-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Proposition 24. *Let $t > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ small enough. For all $z \in D_0(\eta)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \Gamma(1 + N_{b_p} - z\theta_{b_p}) \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &\quad \times \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}| - m - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z^{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - m - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z^{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}})} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} + z\theta_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Proof. Let $t > 0$ be small enough. By definition of $\mathcal{S}_{r,m}$ in Theorem 11, we have

$$-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{S}_{r,m} \iff z \in \left(\bigcup_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{A} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \mathbb{Z}_{\leq |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{A} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\substack{p \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ \text{s.t. } \theta_p \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\theta_p} \mathbb{N} \right).$$

We take a radius η in such a way that the function $z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta) \mapsto F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)$ have at most one singularity in the disk, at $z = 0$. Let $\eta > 0$ and $R \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &:= \frac{1}{2} \min \left(\min_{p \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \text{ s.t. } \theta_p \neq 0} \left| \frac{1}{\theta_p} \right|, \min_{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \theta_a + \sum_{q=1}^m \theta_{r+q}} \right| \right) \\ R &:= \left\lceil \max_{1 \leq k \leq r+m} (N_k + |\theta_k \eta|) \right\rceil \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

With our choice for η , it's clear that

$$\forall z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta) \setminus \{0\}, \quad -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta} \notin \mathcal{S}_{r,m},$$

and with our choice for η and R , we obtain also

$$\{-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}; z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)\} \subseteq \overline{D}_0(R).$$

Therefore, for $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have that the compact set

$$K(\eta, \delta, R) := \{-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}; z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)\} \cap K(\delta, R)$$

contains a non-empty open connected subset of $K(\delta, R)$. It's clear that (45) holds for all $z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)$ such that $-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta} \in K(\delta, R)$. Therefore it remains to show that the formula at the right-hand side of (45) is normally convergent on $\overline{D}_0(\eta)$. We will then bound the general term of the series at the right-hand side of the formula, and we will use the same bounds as the one used in the proof of Proposition 21.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let's note that by definition of η , no singularity comes from the terms $\zeta(-N_{a_p} + z\theta_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \alpha$) for $z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)$. Thanks to (29), to Lemma 19 and Lemma 13, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta})| &\llcorner_\eta \left(m \max_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq m}} |c_{q,p}| \right)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \\ &\left| \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z^{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z^{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}) \right| \llcorner_\eta t^{|\mathbf{k}|} \\ &\left| \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} + z\theta_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \right|_{\varepsilon, \eta} \llcorner_\eta (2\pi)^{-|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha (k_p + 1)^{\eta + \varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon > 0) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)$. By compactness, we also have

$$\left| \prod_{p=1}^\beta \Gamma(1 + N_{b_p} - z\theta_{b_p}) \right| \llcorner_\eta 1 \quad (z \in \overline{D}_0(\eta)).$$

Therefore we obtain that the general term of the series at the right-hand side of (45) is bounded by $\left(\frac{m \times \max_{1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq m} |c_{q,p}|}{2\pi} t \right)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha (k_p + 1)^{\eta + \varepsilon}$, but that last term converges for all $t > 0$ small enough, therefore the series at the right-hand side of (45) converges normally on $\overline{D}_0(\eta)$. \square

3. COMPUTATION OF $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ AND $\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$

In this section, we wish to prove both theorem A and B. Both of these proofs rely on Crandall's expansion performed in the previous section, and also on the analytic continuations of the functions F and H . By Corollary 22, we have

$$\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) + H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) \quad (46)$$

$$\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) + H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) \quad (47)$$

for $t > 0$ small enough, where we noted

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) &:= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) \\ H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) &:= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t) \\ F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) &:= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)) \\ H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) &:= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Corollary 16, we get that $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) = 0$. In the following subsections, we will compute all of the other directional limits above need to be computed in order to prove both Theorems A and B.

3.1. Lemmata. By using Gamma's functional relation, and by using the Taylor expansion of order 1 of $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ at nonpositive integers, one gets:

Lemma 25. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(az - N)(bz + n)} \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^N a N!}{b} + \frac{(-1)^N a^2 N!}{b} (\gamma - h_N) z + O(z^2) & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \frac{(-1)^N a N!}{n} z + O(z^2) & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where h_N is the N -th harmonic number. Moreover, we have $(\frac{1}{\Gamma})'(-N) = (-1)^N N!$.

Lemma 26. *Let $(n_1, \dots, n_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$, we have*

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{-N_i - 1 + z\theta_i}{n_i} \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \theta_i (h_{N_i} - h_{N_i + n_i}) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{-N_i - 1}{n_i}. \quad (48)$$

Proof. It follows Leibnitz derivation rule and from

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z \left(\binom{-N_i - 1 + z\theta_i}{n_i} \right) = \theta_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \frac{(-N_j - 1) \dots \widehat{(-N_j - 1 - i)} \dots (-N_j - n_j)}{n_j!} \right),$$

where $\widehat{(-N_j - 1 - i)}$ means that we forget that term in the product. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem A. We know that $H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) = 0$, thus the term $F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t)$ is the only one who contributes to the special value $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N})$. Thanks to Proposition 24, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \\ &\quad \times \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^{|\mathbf{k}| - m - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z |\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j}) (|\mathbf{k}| - m - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z |\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$. By Lemma 25 we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j}) (|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + z |\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\mathbf{k}| \neq m + |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket} \\ \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j} N_{r+j}}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$, then \mathbf{k} corresponds to the empty map, and so $|\mathbf{k}| = 0$. Since $0 < \beta = m$, we obtain that the limit in (49) vanishes when $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$.

Let

$$C_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) := (-1)^{N_{r+1} + \dots + \widehat{N_{r+j}} + \dots + N_{r+m}} N_{r+j}! f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(-\mathbf{N}). \quad (51)$$

By (50) and by splitting the sum in (49) such that

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_\alpha \geq 0} = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha = \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} + \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha \neq \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}},$$

we get Theorem A. \square

Let's note that the choice for $C_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ simplify the expression of $\zeta(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta})$ in Theorem A. The computations of this coefficient will be performed in §4.1.

3.3. Computation of $F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}, t)$. One can evaluate at $z = 0$ the derivative with respect to z termwise of (45).

Proposition 27. *There exist a function without any constant term $F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}, t) = \mu \ln t + \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} \lambda_n t^n$ defined for all $0 < t \ll 1$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, such that:*

$$F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}, t) = F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}, t) \quad (52)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathbf{N}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta} \theta_{r+j}}{|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} \\ = \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \\ i=1 \\ p=1 \\ p \neq i}} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} + \theta_{a_i} C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \frac{\zeta'(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \right)$$

where $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ (resp. $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$) is as defined in (51) (resp. in (57)).

Proof. By taking the derivative with respect to z of (45) and taking the limit at $z = 0$, we obtain:

$$F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}, t) = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{\alpha} \geq 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|_t |\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket}} \quad (53)$$

$$\times (T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} + T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} + T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(3)} \ln t),$$

with

$$T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket} + z|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{\zeta(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \left(f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}) + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p} (h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}) \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \theta_{a_i} \frac{\zeta'(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}) \right] \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}$$

$$T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket} + z|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right)$$

$$\times f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}$$

$$T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^{(3)} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket} + z|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket})} \right)$$

$$\times |\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup \llbracket r+1, r+m \rrbracket} f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\theta}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}.$$

It remains to compute the limits in each term. Let's fix $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\alpha}\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_{\beta}\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{\alpha})$. Thanks to Lemma

25, we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})(|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]} + z|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]})}$$

$$\stackrel{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j} N_{r+j}!}{|\mathbf{k}| - \beta - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} z + O(z^2) & \text{if } |\mathbf{k}| \neq \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]} \\ \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j} N_{r+j}!}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} + \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j}^2 N_{r+j}!}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} (\gamma - h_{N_{r+j}}) z + O(z^2) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, many terms actually vanish depending on an equality satisfied by $|\mathbf{k}|$.

- i) If $|\mathbf{k}| \neq \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}$ we find that $T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(3)} = 0$. In particular, if $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ then \mathbf{k} corresponds to the empty map $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, thus $|\mathbf{k}| = 0 < \beta = m$ and then $T_{\emptyset, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = T_{\emptyset, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(3)} = 0$.
- ii) If $|\mathbf{k}| = \beta + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}$, we find

$$T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j} N_{r+j}!}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} \quad (54)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \left[\left(f'_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) + f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p} (h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) \right) \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \right. \\ \left. + \theta_{a_i} f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) \frac{\zeta'(-N_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \right] \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}$$

$$T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(2)} = \frac{(-1)^{N_{r+j}} \theta_{r+j}^2 N_{r+j}!}{|\boldsymbol{\theta}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} (\gamma - h_{N_{r+j}}) f_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-N_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!}. \quad (55)$$

From i) and ii), and by splitting the series $\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{\alpha} \geq 0}$ in (53) we obtain

$$F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) = F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) + \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, r] \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} (-1)^{m+|\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \quad (56) \\ \times \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = m + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} (T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(1)} + T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(2)})$$

where

$$F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, t) := \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, r] \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} (-1)^{m+|\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \\ \times \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = m + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(3)} \ln t \\ + \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, r] \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} N_{b_p}! \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} \neq m + |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} T_{\mathcal{A}, j, \mathbf{k}}^{(2)} t^{|\mathbf{k}| - m - |\mathbf{N}|_{|\mathcal{B}\cup[r+1, r+m]}}.$$

By setting

$$C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_\theta) := N_{r+j}! \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m (-1)^{N_{r+q}} \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta) + f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}) \left(\theta_{r+j}(h_{N_{r+j}} - \gamma) + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p}(h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) \right) \right] \\ & = (-1)^{N_{r+1} + \dots + \widehat{N_{r+j}} + \dots + N_{r+m}} N_{r+j}! f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta) \\ & \quad + C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_\theta) \left(\theta_{r+j}(h_{N_{r+j}} - \gamma) + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p}(h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

and by injecting formulas (54) and (55) into (56) we obtain the required formula. \square

3.4. Computation of $H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t)$. By (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N} + z\theta, t) &= \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \\ \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^c}} \frac{(-1)^{|\mathcal{A}^c \setminus \mathcal{C}|}}{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(-N_{r+q} + z\theta_{r+q})} \\ & \times \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{q \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{C}} \Gamma(-N_{r+q} + z\theta_{r+q}, t, l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))}{\prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{-N_p + z\theta_p} \prod_{q \in \mathcal{C}} l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})^{-N_{r+q} + z\theta_{r+q}}} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

for any $t > 0$, and any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thanks to Proposition 14, we know that all the series above are normally convergent with respect to z over any compact subset of \mathbb{C} . In particular, we can consider the derivative termwise with respect to z of (59). By taking the limit at $z = 0$ of such derivatives, many terms vanish thanks to the fact that the function $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ has a zero of order 1 at nonpositive integers. Therefore, we obtain the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(-N_{r+j} + z\theta_{r+j})} \right) \\ & \times \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \Gamma(-N_{r+j}, t, l_j(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{N_p} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m (l_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x}))^{N_{r+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 25 and by Newton's multinomial, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{r+j} \sum_{\substack{\widehat{j} \\ u_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,r} = N_{r+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1} + \dots + u_{m,r} = N_{r+m}}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \left(\binom{N_{r+q}}{\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}} \prod_{p=1}^r c_{q,p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \\ & \times (-1)^{N_{r+j}} N_{r+j}! \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \Gamma(-N_{r+j}, t, l_j(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{N_p + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

One notices that this last expression isn't very explicit due to the presence of the series in the right-hand side of (60). In §3.4.1, we will show via another Crandall's expansion that this series can be written in the form of a derivative values of a generalized Barnes zeta function, plus some explicit constant.

3.4.1. *Crandall's expansion for generalized Barnes zeta function.* We fix $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, $j \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$. By applying Corollary 22, Proposition 21 and (18) with suitable data for the generalized Barnes zeta function

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \frac{(n_1 + x_1)^{M_1} \dots (n_r + x_r)^{M_r}}{(c_{j,1}(n_1 + x_1) + \dots + c_{j,r}(n_r + x_r))^s} \quad (\Re(s) \gg 1),$$

one gets the following Crandall's expansion for the generalized Barnes zeta function $\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet})$:

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}) = H_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, s, t) + F_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, s, t), \quad (61)$$

where $t > 0$ is small enough, and

$$H_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, s, t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \Gamma(s, t, l_j(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{M_p} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (62)$$

$$F_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, s, t) := \sum_{A \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \frac{M_{b_p}!}{c_{j,b_p}^{M_{b_p}+1}} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{\alpha} \geq 0} (-1)^{|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{j,a_p}^{k_p} \zeta(-M_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \times \frac{t^{s-|\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}}-\beta+|\mathbf{k}|}}{\Gamma(s)(s-|\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}}-\beta+|\mathbf{k}|)} \quad (s \neq 1, \dots, |\mathbf{M}| + r). \quad (63)$$

We note $H'_{\mathbf{M}}$ and $F'_{\mathbf{M}}$ the derivative with respect to s of the two functions defined above. We now give an expression for both of these derivative functions at nonpositive integers.

Proposition 28. *The function $s \mapsto \zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet})$ is meromorphic over \mathbb{C} , and is regular at nonpositive integers. Moreover, there exist a function without any constant term $F_{\mathbf{M}}^*(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, -N_{r+j}, t) = \mu \ln t + \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} \lambda_n t^n$ defined for all $0 < t \ll 1$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, such that*

$$F'_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, -N_{r+j}, t) = F_{\mathbf{M}}^*(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, -N_{r+j}, t) + N_{r+j}!(\gamma - h_{N_{r+j}}) \times \sum_{\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \frac{M_{b_p}!}{(-c_{j,b_p})^{M_{b_p}+1}} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = N_{r+j} + |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{j,a_p}^{k_p} \zeta(-M_{a_p} - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \quad (64)$$

$$H'_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, -N_{r+j}, t) = (-1)^{N_{r+j}} N_{r+j}! \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0} \Gamma(-N_{r+j}, t, l_j(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{x})) \prod_{p=1}^r (n_p + x_p)^{M_p}. \quad (65)$$

Proof. By taking the derivative with respect to s of (62) and by using Lemma 25, we get (65). The formula (64) follows from the same arguments as the ones used in the proof of Proposition 27. \square

3.4.2. *Explicit formula for $H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t)$.* Via Proposition 28 we get rid of the non-explicit series at the right-hand side of (60)

$$H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{r+j} \sum_{\substack{\hat{j} \\ u_{1,1} + \dots + u_{1,r} = N_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ u_{m,1} + \dots + u_{m,r} = N_{r+m}}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \left(\binom{N_{r+q}}{\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}} \prod_{p=1}^r c_{q,p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \quad (66)$$

$$\times \left(\zeta'_B(-N_{r+j}, \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}) - F'_{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u})}(-N_{r+j}, \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, t) \right)$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u})$ is the tuple defined in (9). By injecting (64) into (66), we get that there exist a function without any constant term $H_{\mathbf{M}}^*(\mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}, \mathbf{x}, -N_{r+j}, t) = \mu \ln t + \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} \lambda_n t^n$ defined for all $0 < t \ll 1$ where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) &= H^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{r+j} \sum_{\substack{\hat{j} \\ u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,r}=N_{r+1} \\ \dots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,r}=N_{r+m}}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \left(\binom{N_{r+q}}{\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}} \prod_{p=1}^r c_{q,p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \left[\zeta'_B(-N_{r+j}, \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{N}, j, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{c}_{j\bullet}) \right. \\ &- (\gamma - h_{N_{r+j}}) N_{r+j}! \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \frac{(N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}|)!}{(-c_{j,b_p})^{N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}| + 1}} \\ &\times \left. \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha = N_{r+j} + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} (N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet b_p}| + 1)} \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \frac{c_{j,a_p}^{k_p} \zeta(-N_{a_p} - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet a_p}| - k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

3.5. Proof of Theorem B. Let's recall that we've obtained thanks to Crandall's expansion

$$\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}) = F'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) + H'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t),$$

for $t > 0$ small enough. A crucial step for the proof of Theorem B relies on the linear independance of $(\ln t, (t^n)_{n \geq \ell})$.

Lemma 29. *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}}$ and $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}}$ be two complex number sequences such that the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \lambda_n y^n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mu_n y^n$ have a positive radius of convergence R . Let's also assume that*

$$\forall y \in]0, R[, \quad \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} \lambda_n y^n + \sum_{n=\ell}^{+\infty} \mu_n y^n \ln y = 0.$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq \ell}$, we have $\lambda_n = 0$ and $\mu_n = 0$.

Proof. If all μ_n are zeros (resp. all λ_n are zeros) for all $n \geq \ell$, the result is trivial. Otherwise, let's assume that there exist $i, j \geq \ell$ such that $a_i \neq 0$ and $b_j \neq 0$. Suppose that $i = \min\{n; \lambda_n \neq 0\}$, $j = \min\{n; \mu_n \neq 0\}$, then $\lambda_i y^i + \mu_j y^j \ln y + o(y^j \ln y) + o(y^i) \underset{y \rightarrow 0}{=} 0$, thus $\mu_j y^{j-i} \ln y \underset{y \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \lambda_i$, hence the contradiction. \square

By adding (52) and (67), we obtain that $\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N})$ is equal to a constant term (with respect to t) that corresponds to the right-hand side of (8), plus the function in the t variable without any constant term $H^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) + F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t)$. This equality stands for all $t > 0$ small enough, yet $\zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N})$ doesn't depend on t , therefore thanks to Lemma 29 we obtain for all $t > 0$ small enough,

$$H^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) + F^*(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{N}, t) = 0.$$

\square

4. COMPUTATIONS OF $C_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^0(c, \mathbf{N})$ AND $C_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}^1(c, \mathbf{N}_\theta)$

We fix a set $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} = \{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, a tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\alpha$, and for convenience we also set $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} := \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

We recall that $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ (resp. $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_\theta)$) is defined in (51) (resp. (57)) by the value $f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N})$ (resp. by the values $f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N})$ and $f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta)$). We note that we can derive from (28) two explicit expressions of $f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N})$ and $f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta)$.

Notation. Let $\mathcal{A}' = \{a'_1, \dots, a'_{\alpha'}\} \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$, $\mathcal{B}' = \{b'_1, \dots, b'_{\beta'}\} := \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus (\mathcal{A}' \cup \{j\})$, $\mathbf{u} = (u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha',1}, \dots, u_{\alpha',\beta})$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{m,1}, \dots, v_{m,\alpha})$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k},\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}, \varepsilon) &:= \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \Gamma(s_{r+q})} \frac{\varepsilon^{\sum_{q=1}^{\alpha'} (s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|)}}{\prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} (s_{r+a'_q} + |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|)} \\ &\times \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q,a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{s_{b_p} - 1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^{\alpha'} c_{a'_q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right) \\ &\times \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \dots \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(c_{j,b_p} + \sum_{q=1}^{\beta'} c_{b'_q, b_p} y_q \right)^{s_{a_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \prod_{q=1}^{\beta'} y_q^{s_{r+b'_q} - 1 + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}|} dy_1 \dots dy_{\beta'}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For convenience, since we already fixed the data \mathbf{c} , \mathcal{A} , j and \mathbf{k} for this section, we simply note $T_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{s}, \varepsilon) := T_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k},\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}, \varepsilon)$.

Let's remind that we proved in Proposition 18 that the series at the right-hand side of (28) is normally convergent on any compact subset of \mathbb{C}^{r+m} in the variables (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) . Therefore, by means of limits and series inversion, and by injecting the resulting formulas of $f_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N})$ and $f'_{\mathcal{A},j,\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta)$ into (51) and (58), we find

$$C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) = (-1)^{N_{r+1} + \dots + \widehat{N_{r+j}} + \dots + N_{r+m}} N_{r+j}! \quad (69)$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\} \\ u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha',\beta} \geq 0 \\ v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}}$$

$$C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}_\theta) = C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \left(\theta_{r+j} (h_{N_{r+j}} - \gamma) + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p} (h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) \right) \quad (70)$$

$$+ (-1)^{N_{r+1} + \dots + \widehat{N_{r+j}} + \dots + N_{r+m}} N_{r+j}! \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\} \\ u_{1,1}, \dots, u_{\alpha',\beta} \geq 0 \\ v_{1,1} + \dots + v_{m,1} = k_1 \\ v_{1,\alpha} + \dots + v_{m,\alpha} = k_\alpha}}$$

where we noted $T'_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}_\theta) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \partial_z (T_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\theta))$. It turns out that the values $T_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon)$ and $T'_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}_\theta, \varepsilon)$ vanish for most of the tuples \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} .

Lemma 30. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, \varepsilon) \stackrel{z \rightarrow 0}{=} \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } \mathcal{A}' = \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}, \text{ and } \forall q \in \mathcal{A}', |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q} \\ O(z) & \text{if } \mathcal{A}' = \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\} \text{ and } \exists \ell \in \mathcal{A}' \setminus \{j\} \text{ s.t.} \\ & |\mathbf{u}_{\ell\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{\ell\bullet}| \neq N_{r+f} \text{ and } \forall q \in \mathcal{A}' \setminus \{\ell\}, |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q} \\ O(z) & \text{if } \mathcal{A}' = \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j, \ell\} \text{ and } \forall q \in \mathcal{A}', |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q} \\ O(z^2) & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. One notices that the second and third line of the right-hand side of (68) define entire functions in the variables (s_1, \dots, s_{r+m}) . By setting $\mathbf{s} = -\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (68). By reminding that the function $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ has a zero of order 1 at nonpositive integers, we find that the function $z \mapsto T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, \varepsilon)$ has a zero at $z = 0$ of order

$$m - 1 - \left| \{q \in \llbracket 1, \alpha' \rrbracket; |\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+\alpha'_q}\} \right|.$$

□

4.1. Computation of $C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$. Considering (69), it's enough to study the value $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon)$ in order to get (10). Taking $\mathbf{s} = (-N_1, \dots, -N_{r+m})$ in (68), we have two possible types of values for $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon)$, depending on a condition for the tuples \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{u} .

Proposition 31. *Let $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$.*

i) If $\mathcal{A}' = \{1, \dots, \widehat{j}, \dots, m\}$ and $|\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q}$ ($1 \leq q \neq j \leq m$), then

$$T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) = \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m ((-1)^{N_{r+q}} N_{r+q}!) \quad (71)$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m C_{q, a_p}^{v_{q,p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{-N_{b_p} - 1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} c_{j, b_p}^{-N_{b_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m C_{q, b_p}^{u_{q,p}} \right).$$

ii) Otherwise, we have $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) = 0$.

Proof. i) By taking the limit of (68) when $\mathbf{s} \rightarrow (-N_1, \dots, -N_{r+m})$, we get an expression of $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon)$. Let's note that in that expression, one of the terms is an integral on a domain equal to an empty product, so the corresponding integral sign is just 1 by convention. The rest of the terms are straightforward to compute thanks to Lemma 25.

ii) The second case follows from Lemma 30. □

This last proposition shows that there's only a finite number of terms contributing to the series in the right-hand side of (69). By Injecting (71) into (69), we get (10).

4.2. Computation of $C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$. Considering (70), it's enough to study the derivative value $T'_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon)$ in order to get (11). The computations are done in a similar fashion as in §4.1.

Proposition 32. *Let $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j\}$.*

i) If $\mathcal{A}' = \{1, \dots, \widehat{j}, \dots, m\}$ and $|\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q}$ ($1 \leq q \neq j \leq m$), then there exist $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^*(\varepsilon) = \lambda \ln \varepsilon$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) &= T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^*(\varepsilon) + \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m ((-1)^{N_{r+q}} N_{r+q}!) \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, a_p}^{u_{q, p}} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{-N_{a_p} - 1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} c_{j, a_p}^{-N_{a_p} - 1 - |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m c_{q, a_p}^{u_{q, p}} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p} (h_{N_{b_p}} - h_{N_{b_p} + |\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} + \ln c_{j, b_p}) + \sum_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m \theta_{r+q} (\gamma - h_{N_{r+q}}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

ii) If $\mathcal{A}' = \{1, \dots, \widehat{j}, \dots, m\}$, and if there exist an integer $\ell \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $|\mathbf{u}_{\ell\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{\ell\bullet}| \neq N_{r+\ell}$ and $|\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q}$ ($1 \leq q \neq j, \ell \leq m$), then $T'_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{C} \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{u}_{\ell\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{\ell\bullet}| - N_{r+\ell}}$ (as a polynomial in ε).

iii) If $\mathcal{A}' = \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket \setminus \{j, \ell\}$ and $|\mathbf{u}_{q\bullet}| + |\mathbf{v}_{q\bullet}| = N_{r+q}$ ($1 \leq q \neq j, \ell \leq m$), then there exist a function without any constant term $T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^*(\varepsilon) = \mu \ln \varepsilon + \sum_{n=i}^{+\infty} \lambda_n \varepsilon^n$ defined for all $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, and such that

$$\begin{aligned} T'_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) &= T_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}^*(\varepsilon) + \theta_{r+\ell} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j}}^m ((-1)^{N_{r+q}} N_{r+q}!) \\ &\times \prod_{p=1}^{\alpha} \left(\binom{k_p}{\mathbf{v}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{q=1}^m c_{q, a_p}^{u_{q, p}} \right) \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} \left(\binom{-N_{b_p} - 1}{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|} \binom{|\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}|}{\mathbf{u}_{\bullet p}} \prod_{\substack{q=1 \\ q \neq j, \ell}}^m c_{q, b_p}^{u_{q, p}} \right) W_{\mathcal{B}, j, \ell, \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{u}), N_{r+\ell} - |\mathbf{v}_{\ell\bullet}|}(\mathbf{c}) \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

with W the constant defined in (81), and $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{u})$ the tuple defined in Definition 10.

iv) Otherwise we have $T'_{\mathcal{A}', \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, -\mathbf{N}, \varepsilon) = 0$.

Proof. By (68), we get a formula for the derivative $\partial_s T_{\mathcal{P}, j, \mathcal{Q}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N} + z\boldsymbol{\theta}, \varepsilon)$. By using Lemma 25, Lemma 26, and Lemma 43, we prove the 4 claims of the proposition. \square

Remark 33. To shorten expressions in Proposition 32 we made the following choices:

- 1) In i) and ii), the tuple \mathbf{u} is such that $\mathbf{u} = (u_{q, p})_{\substack{1 \leq q \neq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq p \leq \beta}}$.
- 2) In iii), the tuple \mathbf{u} is such that $\mathbf{u} = (u_{q, p})_{\substack{1 \leq q \neq j, \ell \leq m \\ 1 \leq p \leq \beta}}$.

We can now compute $C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$. By (70) and Proposition 32, we have

$$C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) = C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}) \left(\theta_{r+j} (h_{N_{r+j}} - \gamma) + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \theta_{b_p} (h_{N_{b_p}} - \gamma) \right) + G^*(\varepsilon) \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\substack{v_{1,1}+\dots+v_{m,1}=k_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{1,\alpha}+\dots+v_{m,\alpha}=k_\alpha}} (-1)^{N_{r+1}+\dots+\widehat{N_{r+j}}+\dots+N_{r+m}} N_{r+j}! \\
& \times \left(\sum_{\substack{\widehat{j} \\ u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,\beta}=N_{r+1}-|\mathbf{v}_{1\bullet}| \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,\beta}=N_{r+m}-|\mathbf{v}_{m\bullet}|}} + \sum_{\substack{\widehat{j,\ell} \\ u_{1,1}+\dots+u_{1,\beta}=N_{r+1}-|\mathbf{v}_{1\bullet}| \\ \vdots \\ u_{m,1}+\dots+u_{m,\beta}=N_{r+m}-|\mathbf{v}_{m\bullet}|}} \right) \\
& \left(T'_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N},\varepsilon) - T^*_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N},\varepsilon) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $G^*(\varepsilon) = \mu \ln \varepsilon + \sum_{n \geq i} \lambda_n \varepsilon^n$ is a function without any constant term, defined for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Since $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$ is independent of ε , then by Lemma 29, we find that $G^*(\varepsilon) = 0$, and therefore that the constant term (with respect to ε) of the right-hand side of (74) is equal to the coefficient $C_{j,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N})$. By replacing $T'_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N},\varepsilon) - T^*_{\mathcal{A}',\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}}(-\mathbf{N},\varepsilon)$ with their corresponding values obtained in Proposition 32, we get (11).

5. SOME VALUES AND DERIVATIVE VALUES OF HURWITZ AND GENERALIZED BARNES ZETA FUNCTIONS

In this section we wish to simplify in specific cases the many terms involved in (8). We start by recalling some well-known equalities for the Hurwitz zeta function in §5.1. In §5.2, we prove a formula for the generalized Barnes zeta function when the coefficients are all rational numbers.

5.1. Particular Hurwitz zeta values and derivative values. Let's first recall that the values at nonpositive integers of Hurwitz zeta functions have an expression in terms of Bernoulli polynomials

$$\zeta(-N, x) = -\frac{B_{N+1}(x)}{N+1} \quad (\Re(x) > 0, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

The derivative values don't have a simple formula in general. For particular cases,

$$\zeta'(-1, 1) = \frac{1}{12} - \ln A, \quad \zeta'(0, x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2} \quad (\Re(x) > 0)$$

where A is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant. From [MA98, Formula (12)], we know that the Hurwitz zeta function satisfy a multiplication formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \zeta\left(s, x + \frac{n}{k}\right) = k^s \zeta(s, kx) \quad (\Re(x) > 0, s \neq 1, k \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (75)$$

Also, by writing $\zeta(s, x) = \zeta(s, x+1) + \frac{1}{x^s}$, we get

$$\zeta'(-N, x+1) = \zeta'(-N, x) + x^N \ln x \quad (\Re(x) > 0, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}). \quad (76)$$

5.2. Explicit formula for generalized Barnes zeta functions with rational coefficients. For this subsection alone, we fix $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^r$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in H_0^r$, and $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, \dots, M_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. We wish to establish an explicit relation between the generalized Barnes zeta function $\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c})$ and the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, x)$. To do this, we adapt a strategy used by Aoki and Sakane (see [SA22]) which yielded formulas for higher derivative values of classical Barnes zeta functions with rational coefficients.

Notation. Let $c_i = \frac{p_i}{q_i}$ with $p_i > 0$ and $q_i > 0$ being coprime integers ($1 \leq i \leq r$). We set $\tilde{c} := \frac{\text{lcm}(p_1, \dots, p_r)}{\text{gcd}(q_1, \dots, q_r)}$, and $\tilde{c}_i := \frac{\tilde{c}}{c_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

Proposition 34. *We have*

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{c}_i^{M_i}}{\tilde{c}^s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} \zeta_B \left(s, \mathbf{M}, \left(\frac{x_i + u_i}{\tilde{c}_i} \right)_{i \in \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} \middle| (1, \dots, 1) \right). \quad (77)$$

Proof. By the analytic continuation principle, it's enough to check that (77) holds for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re(s) \gg 1$. Let $q = \text{lcm}(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ and $p = \text{lcm}(qc_1, \dots, qc_r)$. By [SA22, p.3], we know that $\frac{p}{q} = \tilde{c}$. Writing $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} = \bigcup_{u_i=0}^{\tilde{c}_i-1} (\tilde{c}_i \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + u_i)$, for any $1 \leq i \leq r$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) \\ &= \tilde{c}^{-s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 = u_1 \pmod{\tilde{c}_1} \\ \dots \\ n_r = u_r \pmod{\tilde{c}_r}}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (n_i + x_i)^{M_i}}{\left(\frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i x_i + c_i u_i + c_i (n_i - u_i)) \right)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

By a change of variables $n_i \rightarrow \tilde{c}_i n_i + u_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), we get

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) = \tilde{c}^{-s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 0 \\ \dots \\ n_r \geq 0}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (\tilde{c}_i n_i + u_i + x_i)^{M_i}}{\left(\frac{q}{p} \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i x_i + c_i u_i + c_i \tilde{c}_i n_i) \right)^s}.$$

By factoring $\tilde{c}_i^{M_i}$ in the numerator, and by noticing that $\frac{qc_i \tilde{c}_i}{p} = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), we get

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{c}_i^{M_i}}{\tilde{c}^s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 0 \\ \dots \\ n_r \geq 0}} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \left(n_i + \frac{u_i + x_i}{\tilde{c}_i} \right)^{M_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \left(n_i + \frac{q}{p} (c_i x_i + c_i u_i) \right) \right)^s}.$$

Since $\frac{u_i + x_i}{\tilde{c}_i} = \frac{q}{p} (c_i x_i + c_i u_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), we find that (77) holds when $\Re(s) \gg 1$. \square

We now show a formula for $\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{1})$, by making a slight modification to Onodera's proof of [Ono21, Proposition 4.1].

Proposition 35. *Let $s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{1}) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket} (-1)^{\beta + |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} M_{b_i}! \\ &\times \sum_{k' + k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha = |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta - 1} (-1)^{k'} \frac{\zeta(s - k', |\mathbf{x}|)}{k'!} \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta(-M_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!}. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Before proving this proposition, we first need to state a Lemma provided by Onodera.

Lemma 36. [Ono21, Lemma 4.2] *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = \ell}} \prod_{i=1}^r (n_i + x_i)^{M_i} + (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \leq -1 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = \ell}} \prod_{i=1}^r (n_i + x_i)^{M_i} \\ &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subsetneq [1, r]} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} M_{b_i}! \sum_{k' + k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta - 1} \frac{(|\mathbf{x}| + \ell)^{k'}}{k'!} \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{k_i} \zeta(-M_{a_i} - k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 35. On the convergence domain of $\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{1})$ we have

$$\zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{1}) = \sum_{n'=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(n' + |\mathbf{x}|)^s} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 0 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_r = n'}} \prod_{i=1}^r (n_i + x_i)^{M_i}.$$

By Lemma 36, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{1}) &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subsetneq [1, r]} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} M_{b_i}! \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} + k' = |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{k_i} \zeta(-M_{a_i} - k_i, x_i)}{k_i!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n'=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n' + |\mathbf{x}|)^{k'}}{k'! (n' + |\mathbf{x}|)^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\zeta(s - k', |\mathbf{x}|) = \sum_{n'=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(n' + |\mathbf{x}|)^{k'}}{k'! (n' + |\mathbf{x}|)^s}$, we obtain (78). \square

Injecting (78) into (77), we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_B(s, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{c}_i^{M_i}}{\tilde{c}^s} \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subsetneq [1, r]} (-1)^{\beta + |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} M_{b_i}! \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} \sum_{k' + k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta - 1} \frac{(-1)^{k'} \zeta\left(s - k', \frac{\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} \rangle}{\tilde{c}}\right)}{k'!} \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta\left(-M_{a_i} - k_i, \frac{x_{a_i} + u_{a_i}}{\tilde{c}_{a_i}}\right)}{k_i!}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u} \rangle := c_1(x_1 + u_1) + \dots + c_r(x_r + u_r)$. By evaluating the derivative with respect to s at nonpositive integers, we get:

Corollary 37. *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_B(-N, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}) &= \tilde{c}^N \prod_{i=1}^r \tilde{c}_i^{M_i} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{A} \subsetneq [1, r] \\ 0 \leq u_1 \leq \tilde{c}_1 - 1 \\ 0 \leq u_r \leq \tilde{c}_r - 1}} (-1)^{\beta + |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{\beta} M_{b_i}! \quad (79) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k' + k_1 + \dots + k_{\alpha} = |\mathbf{M}|_{\mathcal{B}} + \beta - 1} (-1)^{k'} \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\zeta\left(-M_{a_i} - k_i, \frac{x_{a_i} + u_{a_i}}{\tilde{c}_{a_i}}\right)}{k_i!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\zeta' \left(-N - k', \frac{(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})}{\tilde{c}} \right)}{k'!} - \frac{\zeta \left(-N - k', \frac{(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})}{\tilde{c}} \right)}{k'!} \ln \tilde{c} \right].$$

Example 38. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_B(0, \mathbf{1}|(1, 1)) &= \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2} - \ln A + \frac{1}{12}, & \zeta'_B(0, \mathbf{1}|(1, 2)) &= \frac{\ln 2}{4} + \frac{\ln \pi}{2} - \frac{\ln A}{2} + \frac{1}{24}, \\ \zeta'_B(0, \mathbf{1}|(1, 3)) &= -\frac{5 \ln 3}{9} + \ln(2\pi) - \frac{\ln A}{3} - \frac{2 \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{3} - \frac{\ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{3} + \frac{1}{36}, \\ \zeta'_B(0, \mathbf{1}|(2, 3)) &= -\frac{11 \ln 3}{18} + \frac{13 \ln 2}{12} + \frac{4 \ln \pi}{3} - \frac{\ln A}{6} - \frac{2 \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{3} - \ln \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{72}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant.

5.3. Consequence: A formula for $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(0)$ and $\zeta'_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(0)$. We wish to prove (12) and (13). Let's first start with the following Lemma, which simplifies (8) in the case where $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{0}$.

Lemma 39. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \theta_{r+j} \zeta'_B(0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c}_j \bullet) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\theta \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, r] \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{(-1)^\beta \theta_{r+j}}{|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup [r+1, r+m]}} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha = \beta} \frac{\prod_{p=1}^\alpha c_{j, a_p}^{k_p}}{\prod_{p=1}^\beta c_{j, b_p}} \sum_{i=1}^\alpha \theta_{a_i} \frac{\zeta'(-k_i, x_{a_i})}{k_i!} \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^\alpha \frac{\zeta(-k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\theta \neq \mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, r] \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} \frac{(-1)^\beta \theta_{r+j}}{|\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup [r+1, r+m]}} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_\alpha = \beta} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \frac{\zeta(-k_p, x_{a_p})}{k_p!} \left[\frac{\prod_{p=1}^\alpha c_{j, a_p}^{k_p}}{\prod_{p=1}^\beta c_{j, b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^\beta \theta_{b_p} \ln c_{j, b_p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^m \theta_{r+\ell} \sum_{\substack{v_{j,1} + v_{\ell,1} = k_1 \\ \dots \\ v_{j,\alpha} + v_{\ell,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \left(\binom{k_p}{(v_{j,p}, v_{\ell,p})} c_{j, a_p}^{v_{j,p}} c_{\ell, a_p}^{v_{\ell,p}} \right) W_{\mathcal{B}, j, \ell, \mathbf{0}, -|\mathbf{v}_\ell \bullet|}(\mathbf{c}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It directly follows from (8), and from the two following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^0(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0}) &= \frac{\prod_{p=1}^\alpha c_{j, a_p}^{k_p}}{\prod_{p=1}^\beta c_{j, b_p}}, \\ C_{j, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{k}}^1(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0}) &= \frac{\prod_{p=1}^\alpha c_{j, a_p}^{k_p}}{\prod_{p=1}^\beta c_{j, b_p}} |\theta|_{|\mathcal{B} \cup [r+1, r+m]}\gamma + \frac{\prod_{p=1}^\alpha c_{j, a_p}^{k_p}}{\prod_{p=1}^\beta c_{j, b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^\beta \theta_{b_p} \ln c_{j, b_p} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq j}}^m \theta_{r+\ell} \sum_{\substack{v_{j,1} + v_{\ell,1} = k_1 \\ \dots \\ v_{j,\alpha} + v_{\ell,\alpha} = k_\alpha}} \prod_{p=1}^\alpha \left(\binom{k_p}{(v_{j,p}, v_{\ell,p})} c_{j, a_p}^{v_{j,p}} c_{\ell, a_p}^{v_{\ell,p}} \right) F_{\mathcal{A}, j, \ell, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{N}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{so}(5)) := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ and $\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{g}_2) := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$. Considering Example 2, we have $\zeta_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(s) = 6^s \zeta(\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{so}(5)), \mathbf{1}, (s, s, s, s))$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s) = 120^s \zeta(\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{g}_2), \mathbf{1}, (s, s, s, s, s, s))$. By Lemma 39, Examples 38 and 42, we find (12). Using the same results, and by

using (76), the multiplication formula (75), and Euler's reflection formula, we get (13).

6. APPLICATION: ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS FOR $r_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(n)$ AND $r_{\mathfrak{so}(5)}(n)$

Bridges, Brindle, Bringmann, and Franke established in [BBBF24] a more general variant of Meinardus theorem (see [And76]). It allows us to get an asymptotic formula for the number of n -dimensional representations of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 .

6.1. Statement of the Meinardus-type Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We set for all $q = e^{-z}$ ($z \in H_0$), the functions

$$G_f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_f(n) q^n := \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^{f(n)}}, \quad L_f(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}.$$

Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus f^{-1}(\{0\})$. We assume that:

- (P1) Let $\alpha > 0$ be the largest poles of L_f . There exists an integer $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that, for all prime number p , $|\Lambda \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cap \Lambda)| \geq L > \frac{\alpha}{2}$.
- (P2) There exists a real number $R \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that L_f is meromorphic on $\overline{H}_{-R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(z) \geq -R\}$, and L_f is holomorphic on the line $(\Re(z) = -R)$. We also assume that the meromorphic function $L_f^*(s) := \Gamma(s)\zeta(s+1)L_f(s)$ has only real poles $\alpha := \gamma_1 > \dots$, and that these poles are simple except at $s = 0$, where the pole may be a double pole.
- (P3) There exists a real number $a < \frac{\pi}{2}$ such that, on each strip $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ in the domain of holomorphy of L_f , we have

$$L_f(s) \underset{|\tau| \rightarrow +\infty}{=} O_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2} \left(e^{a|\tau|} \right) \quad (s = \sigma + i\tau).$$

Theorem 40. [BBBF24, Theorem 4.4] *We assume conditions (P1), (P2), and (P3). Let L be the real number from (P1) and R be the real number from (P2). Moreover, we assume that L_f has only two poles $\alpha > \beta > 0$ in H_0 , and that there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the inequality $\frac{\ell+1}{\ell}\beta < \alpha < \frac{\ell}{\ell-1}\beta$. Then we have*

$$p_f(n) \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{=} \frac{C}{n^b} \exp \left(A_1 n^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}} + A_2 n^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+1}} + \sum_{j=3}^{\ell+1} A_j n^{\frac{(j-1)\beta}{\alpha+1} + \frac{j-2}{\alpha+1} + 2-j} \right) \\ \times \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^N \frac{\tilde{B}_j}{n^{\nu_j}} + O_{L,R} \left(n^{-\min\{\frac{2L-\alpha}{2(\alpha+1)}, \frac{R}{\alpha+1}\}} \right) \right),$$

with $(A_j)_{j \geq 3}$ explicit constants, $0 < \nu_2 < \dots$ running over the positive elements of $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{M}$ described in formulas [BBBF24, (1.9), (1.10)].

6.2. Proof of Theorem D. We set $P(i, j) := \frac{ij(i+j)(i+2j)(i+3j)(2i+3j)}{5!}$. By [Hum72, §24.3], we have that the number of n -dimensional representations of the exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 is

$$r_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(n) = \left| \left\{ (k_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}^2}; \sum_{i,j \geq 1} k_{i,j} P(i, j) = n \right\} \right|.$$

We set $f(n) = |\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2; P(i, j) = n\}|$. We have that

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^{f(n)}} = \prod_{i, j \geq 1} \sum_{k_{i, j} \geq 1} q^{k_{i, j} P(i, j)} = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} r_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(n) q^n.$$

Let's define $L_f(s) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s) = 120^s \zeta(\mathbf{c}(\mathfrak{g}_2), \mathbf{1}, (s, \dots, s))$, and $L_f^*(s) = \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s+1)$. From [KMT11, Theorem 3.1], we have that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s)$ has only two poles in H_0 , at $\alpha := \frac{1}{3}$ and $\beta := \frac{1}{5}$, and the integer $\ell = 2$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 40. Moreover, $L_f^*(s)$ has a pole of order 2 at $s = 0$. We set $\omega_\alpha := \text{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{3}} \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s)$ and $\omega_\beta := \text{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{5}} \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(s)$. Let $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus f^{-1}(\{0\})$. We now check the conditions (P1), (P2), and (P3):

(P1) For any prime number p , we have $|\Lambda \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cap \Lambda)| = +\infty$. Indeed, the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} u(2) &:= (P(8k+1, 1))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, & u(3) &:= (P(9k+1, 1))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, \\ u(5) &:= (P(25k+1, 1))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, & u(p) &:= (P(kp+1, 1))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \quad \text{if } p \geq 7. \end{aligned}$$

are strictly increasing, and they belong in $\Lambda \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cap \Lambda)$. Therefore any real number $L \geq \frac{1}{6}$ satisfies condition (P1).

(P2) Thanks to [KMT11, Theorem 3.1], we know that the poles of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$ belong in the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}_2} := \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{k}{3}; k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 1}, k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \right\}.$$

Also, Theorem 11 implies that all these poles are simple poles.

(P3) The polynomial P satisfies the H0S condition of the article [Ess97]. Therefore from [Ess97, Theorem 3], $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_2}$ admits a polynomial bound on each vertical strip.

In the conditions (P1) and (P2), L, R are arbitrarily large. From the definition of the sets (1.8), (1.9), and (1.10) in [BBBF24], we find that the sequence ν_j in [BBBF24, Theorem 4.4] corresponds to $\nu_2 = \frac{1}{20}, \nu_3 = \frac{2}{20}, \nu_4 = \frac{3}{20}, \dots$ Applying Theorem 40 to the integer sequence $f(n)$, we get Theorem D with the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 2^{\frac{7}{4}} 15^{\frac{5}{12}} \pi^2 \left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{-3}{16}}, & b &= \frac{9}{16}, & K_2 &= \frac{3\omega_\beta \Gamma\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{6}{5}\right)}{4 \left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{3}{20}}} \\ A_1 &= 4 \left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}, & A_2 &= \frac{\omega_\beta \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{6}{5}\right)}{\left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{3}{20}}}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{2K_2^2}{3 \left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{3}{4}}} - \frac{\omega_\beta \Gamma\left(\frac{6}{5}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{6}{5}\right)}{\left(\omega_\alpha \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \right)^{\frac{9}{10}}} K_2. \end{aligned}$$

7. ANNEX

From partial fraction decomposition, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 41. *Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{c})$ be the number field generated by the coefficients $c_{q,p}$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_\beta\} \subsetneq \llbracket 1, r \rrbracket$, $j, \ell \in \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$, $n' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\beta$. We*

have the following partial fraction decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n'-1} \times \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} (c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p} y)^{-n_p-1} \\ = \tilde{Q}_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \frac{U_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',k}}{y^k} + \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathbf{n}|+\beta} \frac{V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,k}}{(c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p} y)^k} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where $U_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',k}, V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,k} \in \mathbb{K}$, and where $\tilde{Q}_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(y) \in \mathbb{K}[y]$ is a polynomial.

Notation. We consider $Q_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(y)$ the antiderivative polynomial which vanish at $y = 1$ of the polynomial $\tilde{Q}_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(y)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(\mathbf{c}) &:= -Q_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(0) - \sum_{k=2}^{n'} \frac{U_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',k}}{k-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c_{\ell,b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k=2}^{|\mathbf{n}|+\beta} \frac{V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,k}}{k-1} \left((c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p})^{1-k} - c_{j,b_p}^{1-k} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_{\ell,b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_{\ell,b_p}}{c_{j,b_p}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Example 42. Let $j \neq \ell$, then

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\{p\},j,\ell,0,0} &= -\frac{1}{c_{j,p}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_{\ell,b_p}}{c_{j,p}} \right), \quad W_{\{p\},j,\ell,0,1}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{c_{\ell,b_p}} \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_{\ell,b_p}}{c_{j,b_p}} \right), \\ W_{\emptyset,j,\ell,0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},0}(\mathbf{c}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 43. There exist a function without any constant term $G_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}^*(\varepsilon) = \mu \ln \varepsilon + \sum_{n \geq i} \lambda_n \varepsilon^n$ defined for all $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such that

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 y^{-1-n'} \times \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} (c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p} y)^{-1-n_p} dy = G_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}^*(\varepsilon) + W_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(\mathbf{c}),$$

where $W_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(\mathbf{c})$ is the constant defined in (81).

Proof. Injecting (80) into the integrand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 y^{-1-n'} \times \prod_{p=1}^{\beta} (c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p} y)^{-1-n_p} dy &= -U_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',1} \ln \varepsilon \\ &\quad - Q_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n'}(\varepsilon) - \sum_{\lambda=2}^{N_f'+1-\mathbf{v}(f)} \frac{U_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',k}(1 - \varepsilon^{1-k})}{k-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c_{\ell,b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,1} \left(\ln \left(1 + \frac{c_{\ell,b_p}}{c_{j,b_p}} \right) - \ln \left(1 + \frac{c_{\ell,b_p}}{c_{j,b_p}} \varepsilon \right) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{c_{\ell,b_p}} \sum_{p=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k=2}^{|\mathbf{n}|+\beta} \frac{V_{\mathcal{B},j,\ell,\mathbf{n},n',p,k}}{k-1} \left((c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p})^{1-k} - (c_{j,b_p} + c_{\ell,b_p} \varepsilon)^{1-k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude via a Taylor expansion of $(c_{j,p} + c_{f,p}\varepsilon)^{1-\lambda}$ and $\ln\left(1 + \frac{c_{f,p}}{c_{j,p}}\varepsilon\right)$ near $\varepsilon = 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [And76] George E. Andrews. *The theory of partitions*, volume Vol. 2 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.
- [AT01] Shigeki Akiyama and Yoshio Tanigawa. Multiple zeta values at non-positive integers. *Ramanujan J.*, 5(4):327–351, 2001.
- [BBBF24] Walter Bridges, Benjamin Brindle, Kathrin Bringmann, and Johann Franke. Asymptotic expansions for partitions generated by infinite products. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–40, 2024.
- [BD18] Jonathan M. Borwein and Karl Dilcher. Derivatives and fast evaluation of the Tornheim zeta function. *Ramanujan J.*, 45(2):413–432, 2018.
- [EM19] Driss Essouabri and Kohji Matsumoto. Values of multiple zeta-functions with polynomial denominators at non-positive integers. 2019.
- [EM20] Driss Essouabri and Kohji Matsumoto. Values at non-positive integers of generalized Euler–Zagier multiple zeta-functions. *Acta Arith.*, 193(2):109–131, 2020.
- [EMOT81] Arthur Erdélyi, Wilhelm Magnus, Fritz Oberhettinger, and Francesco G. Tricomi. *Higher transcendental functions. Vol. I*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, FL, 1981. Based on notes left by Harry Bateman, With a preface by Mina Rees, With a foreword by E. C. Watson, Reprint of the 1953 original.
- [Ess97] Driss Essouabri. Singularité des séries de Dirichlet associées à des polynômes de plusieurs variables et applications en théorie analytique des nombres. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 47(2):429–483, 1997.
- [HS19] Jocke Häsä and Alexander Stasinski. Representation growth of compact linear groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(2):925–980, 2019.
- [Hum72] James E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, volume Vol. 9 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [KMT11] Yasushi Komori, Kohji Matsumoto, and Hirofumi Tsumura. On Witten multiple zeta-functions associated with semi-simple Lie algebras IV. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 53(1):185–206, 2011.
- [KMT23] Yasushi Komori, Kohji Matsumoto, and Hirofumi Tsumura. *The theory of zeta-functions of root systems*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Singapore, [2023] ©2023.
- [KO13] Nobushige Kurokawa and Hiroyuki Ochiai. Zeros of Witten zeta functions and absolute limit. *Kodai Math. J.*, 36(3):440–454, 2013.
- [Kom10] Yasushi Komori. An integral representation of multiple Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions and generalized multiple Bernoulli numbers. *Q. J. Math.*, 61(4):437–496, 2010.
- [MA98] Jeff Miller and Victor S. Adamchik. Derivatives of the Hurwitz zeta function for rational arguments. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 100(2):201–206, 1998.
- [Ono21] Kazuhiro Onodera. On multiple Hurwitz zeta function of Mordell–Tornheim type. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 17(10):2327–2360, 2021.
- [Rom17] Dan Romik. On the number of n -dimensional representations of $SU(3)$, the Bernoulli numbers, and the Witten zeta function. *Acta Arith.*, 180(2):111–159, 2017.
- [SA22] Shinpei Sakane and Miho Aoki. On values of the higher derivatives of the Barnes zeta function at non-positive integers. *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, 45(1):65–95, 2022.

Email address: `simon.rutard@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA AICHI, JAPAN