

FROM YONEDA TO TOPOI MORPHISMS

EDUARDO J. DUBUC

ABSTRACT. In this note we show how two fundamental results in Topos theory follow by repeated use of Yoneda's Lemma, the formalism of natural transformations and very basic category theory.

In Lemma 9.4, we show the fundamental result SGA4 EXPOSE IV Proposition 4.9.4, which says that for any site \mathcal{C} , the canonical functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Sh(\mathcal{C})$ into the category of sheaves, classifies site morphisms $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ into any topos \mathcal{Z} . After the usual Yoneda's Lemma, Lemma 3.1, we show that the Yoneda functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ classifies functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ into any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , via a cocontinuous extension $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$, Lemma 5.2. Then we reach Lemma 9.4 by an step by step enrichment of 5.2. All we use is Yoneda's Lemma, over and over again, and the Yoga of natural transformations. In Lemma 10.1 we show the equivalence between *flatness* and *left exactness* for functors from finitely complete categories into any topos. Our proof is elementary, we show how basic exactness properties of sets prove the result for set valued functors, then we generalize to functors valued in any topos utilizing results of the previous sections and the Yoga of natural transformations. Besides the thread we follow, nothing here is new, although we haven't seen 10.1 proved this way before.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper gained its impetus from a talk amongst Matias del Hoyo and the author, we are very grateful to Matias' input. He suggested that the three Yoneda's lemmas discussed in my category theory course concerning the Yoneda functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$:

Yoneda I: Yoneda's lemma,

Yoneda II: Density of the functor h ,

Yoneda III: The functor h classifies functors $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , via a cocontinuous extension $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \mathcal{Z}$.

can be followed by another three:

Yoneda IV: The functor h in Yoneda III classifies functors $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , via a cocontinuous extension \tilde{p} furnished with an explicit right adjoint $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{h_p} \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$.

Yoneda V: The functor h in Yoneda III classifies *flat* functors $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , via a *left exact* cocontinuous extension \tilde{p} .

Yoneda VI: If \mathcal{C} is a site, the canonical functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Sh(\mathcal{C})$, $\varepsilon = a h$ (where "a" denotes the associate sheaf functor), classifies site morphisms $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into any topos \mathcal{Z} , via the restriction of the cocontinuous extension \tilde{p} to the subcategory of sheaves $Sh(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$.

Finally we add as a seventh Yoneda the important fact that in the presence of finite limits on the site, left exactness is a sufficient condition for flatness.


Yoneda VII: If a category \mathcal{C} has finite limits, a functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{Z}$ into a topos \mathcal{Z} is flat provided it is exact.

In this note we follow this thread, adding the full explicit 2-categorical aspects of the statements, and complete detailed proofs of them. In this way we exhibit how each one follow from the previous ones and just the formal properties (Yoga) of natural transformations. Even to pass from the purely category theory Yoneda V to the topos theory Yoneda VI, we only need the pertinent definitions and an almost tautological arguing. For Yoneda VII we also need a very basic category theory proof that the statement holds for set valued functors, then we show how its generalization to functors valued in any topos follows from the previous Yonedas, we haven't seen this proof before in the literature, as far as we know it is inedit.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON CATEGORIES

We recall some category theory definitions that we shall use in order to have explicit and rigorous proofs, and also in this way fix notation and terminology. We refer to Grothendieck's theory of universes [1], see also [2]. Let S and T be any two sets, the notation $S \tilde{\in} T$ means that S is bijective with an element of T .

Let \mathcal{C} be a category, X, Y any two objects, we denote $[X, Y]$ the homset of morphisms between X and Y . Respect to a universe \mathcal{U} we use the following dictionary:




We denote by $\mathcal{E}ns$ the category of small sets. Given a category \mathcal{C} , we denote $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ the category of presheaves over \mathcal{C} . Its objects are the functors $F : \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}ns$, and its arrows are the natural transformations $\theta : F \rightarrow G$. Given a locally small category, the *covariant* Yoneda functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is defined by $h_X = [-, X]$, and for $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, $h_f = f_* =$ post-composition with f . We will often abuse the notation and omit to indicate the label "h". When we say that an object X is considered in $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$, we mean $h(X)$ (see 3.3 below).

Convention. To improve readability and simplify the language we adopt the following convention: A *category* means a locally small category, a locally small category which is not *small* is called *large*, and categories which are not even locally small are called *illegitimate*.

If \mathcal{C} is a small category, $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is a large category, if \mathcal{C} is a large category, $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is an illegitimate category

2.1. Definition. A family $X_i \xrightarrow{\lambda_i} X$ is strict epimorphic if for every compatible family $X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y$, i.e. $\forall x, y (\lambda_i x = \lambda_i y \Rightarrow f_i x = f_i y)$, there exists a unique $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ such that $f \lambda_i = f_i$, as indicated in the following diagram:



It is said universal if under any change of base it remains strict epimorphic.

3. YONEDA I: YONEDA'S LEMMA

Let \mathcal{C} be a category:

3.1. Lemma (Yoneda I). For any $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and any functor $\mathcal{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{E}ns$, there is a bijective correspondence η , natural in the variables C and F :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [-, C] \xrightarrow{\theta} F & & \\ \hline \xi \in FC & \text{Nat}([-, C], F) \xrightarrow{\eta} FC & \end{array}$$

To a natural transformation θ , we define $\eta(\theta) = \theta_C(id_C) \in FC$. Then,

$$\forall \xi \exists! \theta \mid \xi = \theta_C(id_C).$$

Proof. Given $f \in [X, C]$, it is straightforward to check that the condition of naturality on θ forces the definition $\theta_X(f) = F(f)(\xi)$. The rest of the proof consists also of straightforward checks. \square

3.2. Corollary. The Yoneda functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is fully faithful. \square

The following *abuse of notation* introduced by Grothendieck (SGA4, I, 141) is at the core of Category Theory.

3.3. Notation. We identify $[-, X]$ with X and θ with ξ , denoting both with a same label. In this way we have:

$$x \in FX \equiv X \xrightarrow{x} F.$$

Given $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, $F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G$, we also denote f the natural transformation f_* . The naturality in the object and the functor variables means:

$$\text{For } y \in FY : Ff(y) \in FX \equiv X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{y} F.$$

$$\text{For } x \in FX : \varphi_X(x) \in GX \equiv X \xrightarrow{x} F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G.$$

That is, F and φ act by composing, which legitimate this abuse of notation.

4. YONEDA II: ANY PRESHEAF IS COLIMIT OF REPRESENTABLE ONES

Given a category \mathcal{C} and a functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{E}ns$, the *Diagram* or *Category of elements* of F , $\Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, has as objects pairs (x, X) , $x \in FX$, and arrows defined by:

$$\frac{(x, X) \xrightarrow{f} (y, Y)}{X \xrightarrow{f} Y \mid F(f)(y) = x \quad \equiv \quad x = y \circ f}$$

There is a functor $\diamond : \Gamma_F \rightarrow \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$, $\diamond(x, X) = [-, X](= X)$. The condition in the definition of arrows of Γ_F means that the arrows $X \xrightarrow{\lambda_{(x, X)}} F$, $\lambda_{(x, X)} = x$, determine a cone $\diamond \xrightarrow{\lambda} F$ of \diamond over Γ_F .

4.1. Lemma (Yoneda II). *For any $F \in \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$, $H \in \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$, there is a bijective correspondence:*

$$\frac{F \xrightarrow{\varphi} H : \{FX \xrightarrow{\varphi_X} HX\}, \text{ natural transformations}}{\diamond \xrightarrow{\mu} H : \{X \xrightarrow{\mu_{(x, X)}} H\}, \text{ cones of } \diamond \text{ over } \Gamma_F}$$

To a natural transformation φ we assign the cone defined by the composite $\diamond \xrightarrow{\lambda} F \xrightarrow{\varphi} H$. Then,

$$\forall \mu \exists! \varphi \mid \mu = \varphi \circ \lambda$$

That is, $(\diamond \xrightarrow{\lambda} F) = \{[-, X](= X) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{(x, X)}} F\}$ is a colimit cone, we write:

$$F = \underline{\text{colim}}_{\Gamma_F} \diamond = \underline{\text{colim}}_{(x, X)} h_X = \underline{\text{colim}}_{(x, X)} [-, X].$$

Proof. Given a cone μ and given $(X \xrightarrow{x} F)$, the only possible definition of $(X \xrightarrow{\varphi_X(x)} H)$ such that $\mu = \varphi \circ \lambda$ is given by $\varphi_X(x) (\equiv \varphi \circ x) = \mu_{(x, X)}$. Then, given $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, it is straightforward to check that the naturality condition for φ holds by the cone condition of μ . \square

5. YONEDA III: THE CO-CONTINUOUS EXTENSION OF A FUNCTOR

For any category \mathcal{C} and any functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}ns^{op}$ we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \\ & \searrow \approx \eta & \downarrow \hat{p} \\ & & \mathcal{E}ns^{op} \\ & \swarrow p & \end{array}$$

where $\hat{p} = \text{Nat}(-, p)$, and $\eta : p \xrightarrow{\approx} \hat{p}h$ is defined by, for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $FX \xrightarrow{\eta_X} \text{Nat}([-, X], p)$. Note that this assignation is functorial in the variable p .

Clearly \widehat{p} is cocontinuous, and the formal properties of natural transformations yield that the functors $\widehat{(-)}$ and h^* actually determine an equivalence of categories:

$$[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}ns^{op}] \xrightleftharpoons[h^*]{\widehat{(-)}} Cont[\mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}, \mathcal{E}ns^{op}].$$

In fact, given p , we already have a natural isomorphism $p \xrightarrow{\cong} h^*\widehat{p}$ (Yoneda I), and given $\mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{E}ns$, a natural isomorphism $\widehat{h^*G} \xrightarrow{\cong} G$ follows since $\widehat{h^*G}h = \widehat{G}hh \approx Gh$ and both functors are cocontinuous (use Yoneda II).

We now pass to generalize this statement for any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} in place of $\mathcal{E}ns^{op}$. We have the following commutative diagram whose construction we now explain step by step:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}} \\
 \downarrow p & \searrow \tilde{\eta} & \downarrow \widehat{p} \\
 & \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{l} & (\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{Z}})^{op} \\
 \downarrow p_Z & \searrow [-, Z] & \downarrow \equiv & \downarrow ev_Z \\
 & \mathcal{E}ns^{op} & &
 \end{array}$$

$\widehat{p_Z}$

where l is the contravariant Yoneda functor and ev_Z is evaluation at Z .

1. Clearly $[-, Z] = ev_Z l$.

2. Set $p_Z = ev_Z l p = [p(-), Z]$. Note that p_Z is also functorial in the variables p and Z . We have $\widehat{p_Z}$ and $p_Z \xrightarrow{\eta_Z} \widehat{p_Z} h$.

3. We have \widehat{p} such that $ev_Z \widehat{p} = p_Z$: Define \widehat{p} by, for $H \in \mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}$, $\widehat{p}(H)(Z) = \widehat{p_Z}(H) = Nat(H, p_Z)$, clearly \widehat{p} has all the functoriality requirements. Since $\widehat{p_Z}$ is cocontinuous and colimits are computed pointwise, it follows that \widehat{p} is cocontinuous.

4. The family of all η_Z determines $l p \xrightarrow{\eta''} \widehat{p} h$ such that $ev_Z \eta'' = \eta_Z$, $(ev_Z l p \xrightarrow{ev_Z \eta''} ev_Z \widehat{p} h) = (p_Z \xrightarrow{\eta_Z} \widehat{p_Z} h)$.

5. Given $H \in \mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}$, define $\tilde{p}H \in \mathcal{Z}$ as a colimit cone in \mathcal{Z} , $\{pX \xrightarrow{x} \tilde{p}H\}_{(x, X) \in \Gamma_H}$. Since \widehat{p} as well as l are cocontinuous it follows we

have $l \tilde{p}H \xrightarrow{\eta'_H} \widehat{p}H$ such that $\{l pX \xrightarrow{\eta''_X} \widehat{p}h_X\}_{(x, X) \in \Gamma_H}$. Since l is full and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \downarrow x & & \downarrow x \\
 l \tilde{p}H & \xrightarrow{\eta'_H} & \widehat{p}H
 \end{array}$$

faithful (Yoneda I), it follows \tilde{p} is a functor in such a way that $l \tilde{p} \xrightarrow{\eta'} \widehat{p}$ becomes a natural transformation.

6. Let $lp \xrightarrow{\theta} l\tilde{p}h$ be $\theta = (lp \xrightarrow{\eta''} \widehat{p}h \xrightarrow{\eta'^{-1}h} l\tilde{p}h)$. Since l is full and faithful (YI) it follows there is $p \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} \tilde{p}h$ such that $\eta'' = \eta' h \circ l\tilde{\eta}$.

Relabeling $\tilde{\eta}$, again as η we have:

5.1. **Proposition.** *For any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} and any functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$, there exists a cocontinuous functor $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}op} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \mathcal{Z}$ together with a natural isomorphism $p \xrightarrow{\eta} \tilde{p}h$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}op} \\ & \searrow \approx \eta & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ & & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \swarrow p & \\ & & \end{array}$$

5.2. **Lemma** (Yoneda III). *For any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , precomposition with h, h^* , establishes an equivalence of categories, with a quasi-inverse given by the cocontinuous extension $\widetilde{(-)}$:*

$$[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}] \xrightleftharpoons[h^*]{\widetilde{(-)}} \text{Cont}[\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}op}, \mathcal{Z}].$$

Proof. In fact, given p , we already have $p \xrightarrow{\approx} h^*\tilde{p}$, and given $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}op} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Z}$, a natural isomorphism $\widehat{h^*G} \xrightarrow{\approx} G$ follows since $\widehat{h^*G}h = \widehat{G}hh \approx Gh$ and both functors are cocontinuous (use Yoneda II). \square

6. YONEDA IV: RIGHT ADJOINT FOR THE COCONTINUOUS EXTENSION

Given any two categories \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} , we define the category $LA[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]$ of left adjoint functors and natural transformations, more precisely:

6.1. **Definition** (LA). *The category $LA[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]$: its objects are triples $u = (u^*, u_*, \varphi)$, with functors $\mathcal{X} \xrightleftharpoons[u_*]{u^*} \mathcal{Y}$, and an adjunction $\varphi: u^* \dashv u_*$. Given two objects u, v , an arrow is a natural transformation $u^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} v^*$ (note that by means of the adjunctions, α uniquely determines a natural transformation $v_* \xrightarrow{\beta} u_*$ and vice-versa). Composition is just the vertical composition of natural transformations*

We will see that the cocontinuous extension determines the left adjoint component u^* of an object in this category:

For any functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ we enlarge the diagram in section 5 with a functor h_p as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}op} \\ & \searrow \approx \eta & \downarrow \tilde{p} \\ & & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \swarrow p & \uparrow h_p \end{array}$$

6.2. **Definition.** h_p is defined as, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $h_p(Z) = pZ = [p(-), Z]$, clearly functorial in the variable Z .

Given a functor $R \in \mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}$, the colimit cone over its category of elements $\Gamma_R, \{h_X \xrightarrow{\lambda(x, X)} R\}_{(x, X) \in \Gamma_R}, \lambda(x, X) = x$ (Yoneda II), determines the following diagrams, with arrows α as indicated:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 h_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda(x, X)} & R \xrightarrow{\alpha} h_p(Z) \\
 \uparrow \alpha(x, X) & & \\
 pX & \xrightarrow{\approx \eta} & \tilde{p}(h_X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}(\lambda(x, X))} \tilde{p}(R) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z
 \end{array}$$

Note that the cones with vertices R and $\tilde{p}(R)$ are both colimit cones. Given α in the left diagram, composing with $\lambda(x, X)$ determines bijectively a cone of natural transformations $\alpha(x, X)$. By Yoneda's Lemma (Yoneda I) this corresponds bijectively to $\alpha(x, X) \in h_p(Z)(X)$, which by definition of h_p , is a cone $pX \xrightarrow{\alpha(x, X)} Z$ in the right diagram, which in turn determines bijectively an arrow α in the second diagram. This establishes an adjunction φ between the functors \tilde{p} and h_p .

From Yoneda III and some checking it follows:

6.3. Lemma (Yoneda IV). *For any cocomplete category \mathcal{Z} , precomposition with h, h^* , establishes an equivalence of categories, with a quasi-inverse given by the cocontinuous extension $\widetilde{(-)}$:*

$$[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\ell} \\ \xleftarrow{h^*} \end{array} LA[\mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}, \mathcal{Z}]$$

where $\ell(p) = (\tilde{p}, h_p, \varphi)$ as defined in 6.2, and $h^*(u^*, u_*, \varphi) = u^*h$.

7. YONEDA V: FLATNESS

This section is tautological. By definition a functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ is *flat* if its cocontinuous extension $\mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} \mathcal{Z}$ is *exact*, i.e., it preserves finite limits. Then, as full subcategories in Yoneda IV we have:

7.1. Lemma (Yoneda V). *The statement in 6.3 holds for the following diagram of full subcategories:*

$$Flat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\ell} \\ \xleftarrow{h^*} \end{array} ELA[\mathcal{E}ns^{C^{op}}, \mathcal{Z}],$$

where the objects of *Flat* are the flat functors, and those of *ELA* are the ones of *LA* with exact u^* .

8. PRELIMINARIES ON TOPOS THEORY

We recall some basic topos theory and definitions.

8.1. Definition (SGA4 II, Def. 1.3 and Def. 3.0.2). *A site is a category \mathcal{C} together with a pretopology, that is, for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, a collection $Cov(X)$ of families $X_i \rightarrow X$ subject to the three usual axioms, and the following assumption, Topological generators: There exists a small set of objects \mathcal{G} which covers every object, that is, such that for every X there is a cover $X_i \rightarrow X \in Cov(X)$ with all the $X_i \in \mathcal{G}$.*

The canonical pretopology has as covers all universal strict epimorphic families (see Definition 2.1). A site is said to be subcanonical when the covers are strict epimorphic families.

8.2. Definition. A presheaf $H \in \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is a sheaf if it believes that the covers $X_i \rightarrow X$ are strict epimorphic families in $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ (see Definition 2.1).

A site is subcanonical if and only if the representable functors are sheaves.

8.3. Corollary (SGA4 II Corollaire 4.11). *Natural transformations between sheaves are determined by their values on the topological generators, thus sheaves determine a full subcategory $Sh(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ of the illegitimate category of presheaves.*

Note that unless \mathcal{C} is a small category, the illegitimate category of presheaves $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is not a category, in particular it is not a topos (see definition 8.6 below).

8.4. Theorem (SGA4 II 4.4.0). *The associated sheaf functor: There is a left exact left adjoint functor (necessarily cocontinuous), $a : \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \rightarrow Sh(\mathcal{C})$ to the inclusion $i : Sh(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$, $a \dashv i$, $id \approx a i$, $id \Rightarrow i a$.*

8.5. Definition. *The canonical functor $\varepsilon : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Sh(\mathcal{C})$ is defined to be the composite $\varepsilon = a h$ of the associated sheaf with the yoneda functor.*

By definition the canonical functor is exact and sends covering families into strict epimorphic families. When the site is subcanonical it is fully faithful and coincides with the yoneda functor, $i \varepsilon \approx h$.

8.6. Definition (SGA4 IV Definition 1.1). *A Topos is a category \mathcal{Z} such that there exists a site \mathcal{C} such that \mathcal{Z} is equivalent to the category $Sh(\mathcal{C})$ of sheaves on \mathcal{C} (note that the presheaf (illegitimate) category $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is not a topos unless the site \mathcal{C} is small).*

Recall the notion of morphism of topoi and their morphisms :

8.7. Definition (SGA4, IV, 3.1 and 3.2). *Given any two topoi \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} , a morphism $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{Y}$ is a triple $u = (u^*, u_*, \varphi)$ with functors $\mathcal{Y} \xrightleftharpoons[u_*]{u^*} \mathcal{X}$, u^* exact, and an adjunction $\varphi : u^* \dashv u_*$, (u^* is called the inverse image and u_* the direct image).*

Given two morphisms u, v , a morphism of morphisms is a natural transformation $u_ \xrightarrow{\beta} v_*$ (note that by means of the adjunctions, β uniquely determines a natural transformation $v_* \xrightarrow{\alpha} u_*$ and vice-versa). Composition is just the vertical composition of natural transformations. This defines a category $TOP[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}]$.*

Observation. Note that $TOP[\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}] = ELA[\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}]^{op}$, that is, objects and morphisms are both reversed ¹.

9. YONEDA VI: CLASSIFYING SITE MORPHISMS

Note that Lemma 7.1 in section 7 reads as follows:

For any topos \mathcal{Z} , the functors

$$(9.1) \quad Flat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op} \xrightleftharpoons[h^*]{\ell} TOP[\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}] (= ELA[\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op})$$

¹Several authors have changed this Grothendieck's convention.

establish an equivalence of categories (we slightly abuse notation since $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is not a topos when \mathcal{C} is not small).

We will now generalize this to a general topos of the form $Sh(\mathcal{C})$ in place of the (illegitimate) presheaf category $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$.

We say that a functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into a topos is *continuous* if it sends covering families into strict epimorphic families. That is, for each $X_i \rightarrow X \in Cov(X)$, $pX_i \rightarrow pX$ is a strict epimorphic family in \mathcal{Z} .

9.2. Proposition. *Given a flat functor $p \in Flat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]$, for any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $h_p(Z)$ is a sheaf if and only if p is continuous.*

Proof. Given a covering $X_i \rightarrow X$, consider the diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha_i & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ pX_i & \longrightarrow & pX - \underset{\alpha}{\rhd} Z \\ & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha_i & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ h_{X_i} & \longrightarrow & h_X - \underset{\alpha}{\rhd} h_p(Z) \\ & & \end{array}$$

By Yoneda's lemma 3.1 and definition 6.2 of h_p , compatible families α_i in both diagrams are in bijective correspondence, and the same olds for the arrows α .

The proof follows by definition of continuous for p (left diagram) and that of sheaf for $h_p(Z)$ (right diagram) \square

Consider now the full dense subcategories:

$$ContFlat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op} \subset Flat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op}, \text{ and } TOP[\mathcal{Z}, Sh(\mathcal{C})] \subset TOP[\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}]$$

of continuous functors and of morphisms whose direct image lands in the full subcategory of sheaves $Sh(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$.

9.3. Corollary. *The functor ℓ , $\ell(p) = (\tilde{p}, h_p, \varphi)$ in diagram 9.1 restricts to the subcategories and establish an equivalence:*

$$ContFlat[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op} \xrightarrow{\ell} TOP[\mathcal{Z}, Sh(\mathcal{C})]$$

This is not yet the end, what we want is to show that ℓ is actually a quasi-inverse of the functor ε^* of precomposition with the canonical functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Sh(\mathcal{C})$, $\varepsilon = ah$, Definition 8.5. We proceed as follows:

The proposition 9.2 shows that the adjunction $\tilde{p} \dashv h_p$ actually determines an adjunction between the categories \mathcal{Z} and the full subcategory $Sh(\mathcal{C})$, which we relabel $\varphi': \tilde{p}' \dashv h'_p$ to render things completely clear. We have the following diagrams, where i is the inclusion of the full subcategory. The diagram on the right is the exterior diagram of the one on the left:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varepsilon & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \xrightarrow{a} Sh(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \approx \eta & \longleftarrow i \\ & \downarrow \tilde{p} & \uparrow h_p \\ & \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}'} \\ & & \uparrow h'_p \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \varepsilon & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & Sh(\mathcal{C}) \\ & \approx \eta' & \\ & \downarrow \tilde{p}' & \uparrow h'_p \\ & \mathcal{Z} & \end{array}$$

By definition $h_p = ih'_p$. Composing the adjoints we obtain $\tilde{p} \approx \tilde{p}'a$.

Then we define a natural isomorphism $p \xrightarrow{\eta'} \tilde{p}'\varepsilon$ by the composite

$p \stackrel{\eta}{\approx} \tilde{p}h \approx \tilde{p}'a h = \tilde{p}'\varepsilon$. This proof done, we can safely suppress relabeling the adjunction $\tilde{p} \dashv h_p$, then we write $p \stackrel{\eta}{\approx} \tilde{p}\varepsilon$.

We prove now the following result (SGA4, IV, Proposition 4.9.4), that we call *Yoneda VI*:

9.4. Lemma (Yoneda VI). *For any topos \mathcal{Z} , the functor ε^* of precomposition with ε , establishes an equivalence of categories, with a quasi-inverse given by the cocontinuous extension $\widetilde{(-)}$:*

$$\text{ContFlat}[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\ell} \\ \xleftarrow{\varepsilon^*} \end{array} \text{TOP}[\mathcal{Z}, \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})]$$

where $\ell(p) = (\tilde{p}, h_p, \varphi)$, see 9.3, and $\varepsilon^*(u^*, u_*, \varphi) = u^*\varepsilon$.

Proof. In fact, given p , we already have $p \stackrel{\eta}{\approx} \tilde{p}\varepsilon$, that is $id \stackrel{\eta}{\approx} \varepsilon^*\ell$. We apply ℓ and have $\ell \stackrel{\ell\eta}{\approx} \ell\varepsilon^*\ell$. But we know by 9.3 that ℓ is, in particular, essentially surjective, thus it follows $id \approx \ell\varepsilon^*$. \square

From 9.4 it follows a result that we will use in the next section:

9.5. Proposition (SGA4, IV, Remarque 1.3). *Let \mathcal{Z} be a topos, then the canonical functor $\varepsilon : \mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Sh}(\mathcal{Z})$ into the category of sheaves for the canonical pretopology is an equivalence.*

Proof. Considering in 9.4 the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Z}$ with the canonical pretopology:

$$\text{ContFlat}[\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}]^{op} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\ell} \\ \xleftarrow{\varepsilon^*} \end{array} \text{TOP}[\mathcal{Z}, \text{Sh}(\mathcal{Z})] (= \text{ELA}[\text{Sh}(\mathcal{Z}), \mathcal{Z}]^{op}),$$

a straightforward computation (note that in this case since the topology is subcanonical ε is full and faithful) shows that ε and $\ell(id)$ establish an equivalence

$\mathcal{Z} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \\ \xleftarrow{\ell(id)} \end{array} \text{Sh}(\mathcal{Z})$. Note that $\ell(id) = \widetilde{id}$, is the continuous extension of the identity functor. \square

10. YONEDA VII: FLATNESS FOR SITES WITH FINITE LIMITS


10.1. Lemma (Yoneda VII). *If a category \mathcal{C} has finite limits, a functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{Z}$ into a topos \mathcal{Z} is flat provided it is exact.*

Proof. First we prove the case $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{E}ns$, and then we reduce the general case to this one. Let $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}ns$, the following facts are easily obtained with basic category theory:

1. In $\mathcal{E}ns$ filtered colimits commute with finite limits (just check the canonical constructions).
2. It follows immediately from 1. that filtered colimits of exact functors are exact.
3. If \mathcal{C} has finite limits and p is exact, then the category Γ_p is cofiltered (immediate, Γ_p has finite colimits).
4. The continuous extension of a representable functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{[X, -]} \mathcal{E}ns$ is $\mathcal{E}ns^{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \xrightarrow{ev_X} \mathcal{E}ns$, and therefore is exact.
5. Note that by 5.2 $\widehat{(p)}$ as a functor in the variable p , preserves colimits.

Putting all this together, from the dual case of 4.1 (Yoneda II) it follows that *exact implies flat* for set-valued functors.

We pass now to the general case. We have the following diagram:



The arrow p^Z is defined to be the composite $[Z, -]p = [Z, p(-)]$, and the adjoint pair $a \dashv h$, $id \approx ah$, corresponds to the fact that there is an equivalence $\mathcal{Z} \simeq Sh(\mathcal{Z})$ for the canonical topology on \mathcal{Z} , Proposition 9.5.

Clearly p^Z is exact, so by the case $\mathcal{Z} = Ens$ we have $\widetilde{p^Z}$ exact. Then by \approx_3 it follows that \widetilde{hp} is exact. Since a also is exact, \approx_2 shows that \widetilde{p} is exact, and the proof finishes.

We pass now to show the existence of the natural isomorphisms " \approx_i ", $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and label \approx_5 the exterior diagram.

We have \approx_1 and \approx_5 by definition of the cocontinuous extension, and \approx_4 is actually a strict commutativity.

$\widetilde{p} \approx_2 a\widetilde{hp}$: since the three functors are continuous, it is enough (by Yoneda II) to show $\widetilde{p}h \approx a\widetilde{hp}h$. In fact: $\widetilde{p}h \approx p \approx ahp \approx a\widetilde{hp}h$.

$ev_Z \widetilde{hp} \approx_3 \widetilde{p^Z}$: as before, it is enough to show $ev_Z \widetilde{hp}h \approx \widetilde{p^Z}h$. In fact: $ev_Z \widetilde{hp}h \approx ev_Z hp \approx [Z, -]p = p^Z \approx \widetilde{p^Z}h$. □

REFERENCES

[1] Artin M., Grothendieck A., Verdier J. L. *SGA4 (1963-64)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 269 (1972).
 [2] Kashiwara M., Shapira P., *Categories and Sheaves*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2006).