

The Generalized Alchemical Integral Transform

Simon León Krug¹ and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,*}

¹*Machine Learning Group, Technische Universität Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany*

²*Berlin Institute for the Foundations of Learning and Data, 10587 Berlin, Germany*

³*Chemical Physics Theory Group, Department of Chemistry,
University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁴*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,
University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁵*Vector Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁶*Department of Physics, University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁷*Acceleration Consortium, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada*

(Dated: September 16, 2024)

We recently introduced the Alchemical Integral Transform (AIT) enabling the prediction of energy differences, and guessed an Ansatz to parametrize space \mathbf{r} in some alchemical change λ . Here, we present a rigorous derivation of AIT’s kernel \mathcal{K} and discuss the parametrization $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ in n dimensions, i.e. necessary conditions, mathematical freedoms and additional constraints when obtaining it. Analytical expressions for changes in energy spectra and densities are given for general systems. One-particle examples include quantum harmonic oscillator and hydrogen-like atom, i.e. (positive) homogeneous functions of degree $\nu \neq -2$ in n dimensions, and finally one multi-particle system.

INTRODUCTION

Schrödinger’s equation yields the absolute energy spectrum and corresponding eigen states. However, most, if not all, processes of interest in chemistry and materials science deal only with relative changes between systems A and B . Early relative computations, e.g. treating nuclear charges or entire functional groups as (non-discrete) parameters, trace back to Hückel [1], Hylleraas & Midtal [2], Wilson [3], Politzer & Parr [4] and Levy [5, 6]. In this sense, nuclear transmutations aka quantum alchemy, simply correspond to yet another fundamental method of inferring information from one quantum system to another. The extensive work done on 1D systems and their application to higher dimensions is dedicated to such fundamental questions [7–12]. Alchemical approaches, however, may realize this inference with rigor in that only parameters are changed, instead of ignoring or adding entire dimensions. Some of the more recent applications of alchemy to quantum mechanical problems include the exploration of chemical compound space [13, 14], design of large band-gap (III)-(IV) semiconductors [15], treatment of alchemically symmetric

molecules [16–18], reactions like deprotonations [19], bonds [20, 21], or excitations [22].

In a previous paper [23], we studied relative energies using an Alchemical Integral Transform (AIT), allowing its user to fully recover the energy of a final system E_B from an iso-electronic initial system’s electron density ρ_A and energy E_A . AIT’s utility hinges on finding a suitable parametrization $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$, with λ being the parameter of alchemical change. The need for the parametrization emerged from rewriting a general electron density $\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y})$ such that any λ -dependency rested with a parametrization \mathbf{r} of the coordinates (cf. Eqs. 2 and 5), and \mathbf{r} was found by trial and error.

Here, we present a rigorous and compact derivation of the AIT’s kernel \mathcal{K} , and discuss conditions, constraints and mathematical freedoms of finding $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ in n dimensions. We consider the constraint of probability conservation: then, $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ can be found as long as the coordinates of systems A, B can be expressed as one another by an affine transformation, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is an invertible matrix and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, we look at the constraint of a fixed electric dipole moment. By extension, we obtain an analytical expression of relative electron densities as well. Examples include one-particle systems (e.g. quantum harmonic oscillator, hydrogen-like atom) and one multi-particle system.

* anatole.vonlilienfeld@utoronto.ca

THEORY

A new derivation

Consider any two iso-electronic systems with electronic Hamiltonians \hat{H}_A and \hat{H}_B and their external potentials v_A and v_B . Connect them via a linear transformation $\hat{H}(\lambda) := \hat{H}_A(1 - \lambda) + \hat{H}_B\lambda$ such that we obtain a general electron density $\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y})$ at every point $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ with $\rho_A := \rho(\lambda = 0)$, $\rho_B := \rho(\lambda = 1)$. The first-order derivative of the general energy according to the Hellmann-Feynman theorem corresponds to [17]:

$$\frac{\partial E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \langle \Psi_\lambda | \hat{H}_B - \hat{H}_A | \Psi_\lambda \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) \quad (2)$$

with $\Delta v = v_B - v_A$. Note that AIT is general, and not limited to Coulombic systems (i.e. molecular Hamiltonians). Rather it extends to any Schrödinger equation, i.e. ρ could refer to any probability density, not just the electronic one.

The original derivation in Ref. 23 continued with a perturbative expansion of the energy in λ ; here, we employ the converse approach with thermodynamic integration [16]:

$$\Delta E := E_B - E_A \quad (3)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) \quad (4)$$

Now, we rewrite the general electron density $\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y})$ in terms of the initial electron density ρ_A and the parametrization $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ (which implicitly depends on \mathbf{y}):

$$\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) = N(\lambda) \rho_A(\mathbf{r}(\lambda)) \quad (5)$$

This differs from the original definition [23] in that we introduced a normalization $N(\lambda)$. In doing so, $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ now only needs to transform the coordinates of system A such that the *functional form* of the intermediate system is ensured.

Inserting Eq. 5 into Eq. 4 and reordering, we find a general kernel of AIT, dubbed $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$:

$$\Delta E = \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) N(\lambda) \rho_A(\mathbf{r}(\lambda)) \quad (6)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) N(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A(\mathbf{x}) \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}(\lambda)) \quad (7)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A(\mathbf{x}) \underbrace{\int_0^1 d\lambda N(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}(\lambda))}_{:= \mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})} \quad (8)$$

Thus, we rephrased the problem's original question from "What are the eigen values and eigen functions of the Hamiltonian?" to "What is the mathematical structure of the coordinates of the underlying Hamiltonian?". Both questions are equivalent but the latter is more accessible as we will show below.

The parametrization $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$

To progress, we want to find a solution to Eq. 5 without solving for the density directly. As we might consider interacting particles which behave not necessarily like electrons, we will instead referring to ρ as a (particle) density. Using constraints like the probability conservation of the density restricts AIT to problems where $\mathbf{r}(\lambda) = A(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$ (with some invertible matrix $A(\lambda)$ and a vector offset $\mathbf{b}(\lambda)$), i.e. systems whose coordinates can be expressed as one another by an affine transformation:

$$\rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) = N(\lambda) \rho_A(A(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)) \quad (9)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) = N(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \rho_A(A(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)) \quad (10)$$

The left-hand side is just the total number of particles along the alchemical path λ , which remains a constant N . The integral on the right-hand side can be solved by substituting $\mathbf{r} = A(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{b}(\lambda)$ with a Jacobian of $|\det(\partial \mathbf{r} / \partial \mathbf{y})| = |\det(A(\lambda))|$.

$$\Rightarrow N = N(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{u} \frac{\rho_A(\mathbf{u})}{|\det(A(\lambda))|} \quad (11)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow N(\lambda) = |\det(A(\lambda))| \quad (12)$$

Inserting this into $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ as defined in Eq. 8:

$$\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x}) := \int_0^1 d\lambda |\det(A(\lambda))| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{x} - A(\lambda) \cdot \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}(\lambda)) \quad (13)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda |\det(A(\lambda))| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \delta^{(n)}(A(\lambda) \cdot [A^{-1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(\lambda)) - \mathbf{y}]) \quad (14)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \delta^{(n)}(A^{-1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(\lambda)) - \mathbf{y}) \quad (15)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \Delta v(A^{-1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}(\lambda))) \quad (16)$$

However, the parametrization is not limited to affine transformations of the coordinates. There are two reasons for this:

1) Even without any additional constraints, the parametrization $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ of the coordinates need not be just an affine transformation. For example, any viable parametrization in dimension $n > 1$ may or may not include a function $\tilde{r}_i(\|\mathbf{y}\|_2)$. This is due to the behavior of the determinant (in tensor notation):

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{r}_i}{\partial y_k}\right) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2} \det\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial \tilde{r}_i}{\partial \|\mathbf{y}\|_2} y_k}_{=\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathbf{y}}\right) \quad (17)$$

$\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \otimes \mathbf{y}$ is the outer product of $\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ and \mathbf{y} and thus, has rank 1. But the determinant of any matrix with no full rank is always zero by the invertible matrix theorem such that

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right) = 0 \quad (18)$$

for any dimension greater 1. Consequently, we can add or subtract all parametrizations which depend on $\|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ only, and which are evidently not affine transformations.

2) Additional constraints are easily included, e.g. consider two systems whose first component of the electric dipole moment is known to remain constant at coordinate component $b_1(\lambda)$ along λ :

$$p_1(b_1(\lambda)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} (y_1 - b_1(\lambda)) \rho_A(\mathbf{y}) = \text{const.} \quad (19)$$

This constraint allows for a different parametrization:

$$\mathbf{r}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2|y_1|} + b_1(\lambda) \\ A'(\lambda)\mathbf{y}' + \mathbf{b}'(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (20)$$

with primed quantities excluding the first component, i.e. invertible $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix $A'(\lambda)$, $\mathbf{y}' = (y_2, \dots, y_n)^T$ and vector offset $\mathbf{b}'(\lambda) = (b_2(\lambda), \dots, b_n(\lambda))^T$. Inserting Eq. 20 into Eq. 5, we find:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\lambda, \mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \rho_A(\mathbf{r}(\lambda)) \quad (21)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow N = \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{r} \frac{\rho_A(\mathbf{r})}{|\det\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right)|} \quad (22)$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{r} \frac{|r_1 - b_1(\lambda)|}{|\det(A'(\lambda))|} \rho_A(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\lambda) = \frac{N}{|p_1|} |\det(A'(\lambda))| \quad (24)$$

With knowledge of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$, we can calculate $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ as defined in Eq. 8:

$$\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x}) := \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{N}{|p_1|} |\det(A'(\lambda))| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{r}(\lambda)) \quad (25)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{N}{|p_1|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) |x_1 + b_1(\lambda)| \delta\left(y_1 - \frac{(x_1 + b_1(\lambda))^2}{2}\right) |\det(A'(\lambda))| \delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{x}' - A'(\lambda)\mathbf{y}' - \mathbf{b}'(\lambda)) \quad (26)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{N}{|p_1|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{y} \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) |x_1 + b_1(\lambda)| \delta\left(y_1 - \frac{(x_1 + b_1(\lambda))^2}{2}\right) \delta^{(n-1)}(A'^{-1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{b}'(\lambda)) - \mathbf{y}') \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{N}{|p_1|} \int_0^1 d\lambda |x_1 + b_1(\lambda)| \Delta v(\mathbf{y}) \left(\frac{(x_1 + b_1(\lambda))^2}{2}, A'^{-1}(\lambda)(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{b}'(\lambda))\right) \quad (28)$$

Additional constraints of the problem allow to extend the possible parametrizations $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$. This method of constraints implicates that $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ can be found just from the problem's statement in the Hamiltonian. Finding an affine transformation, or an $\mathbf{r}(\lambda)$ like Eq. 20, allows analytical statements about the behavior of the energy difference.

Note, how Eq. 5 made no assumption about excited states whatsoever; in fact, it conserves the excitation between initial and final system.

The final electron density

A corollary from the derivation of transformations is a direct statement about the particle density of the final system ρ_B . E.g. since we assumed an affine transformation in Eq. 5 and then proceeded to compute $N(\lambda)$ in Eq. 12, we immediately find:

$$\rho_B(\mathbf{x}) = |\det(A(1))| \rho_A(A(1) \cdot \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}(1)) \quad (29)$$

where $A(\lambda = 1)$ and $\mathbf{b}(\lambda = 1)$ are a constant matrix and vector, respectively. This means, if two Hamiltonians are related via an affine transformation of their coordinates (disregarding any normalization) then their electron densities are related by Eq. 29 and only the final configuration $A(\lambda = 1)$, $\mathbf{b}(\lambda = 1)$ is necessary to connect them.

A similar statement can be made for systems with con-

stant electric dipole moment p_1 :

$$\rho_B(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{N}{|p_1|} |\det(A'(1))| \times \rho_A\left(\sqrt{2|x_1|} + b_1(1), A'(1)\mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{b}'(1)\right) \quad (30)$$

RESULTS

The quantum harmonic oscillator (QHO)

Let us consider some examples: start with the Hamiltonian of the QHO [24] at ω_A and rescale y such that its *functional form* is that of an Hamiltonian at $\omega(\lambda)$:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{QHO}} := -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A^2 y^2 \quad (31)$$

Use $y \rightarrow \sqrt{\omega(\lambda)/\omega_A} y$:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{QHO}} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_A}{\omega(\lambda)} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega_A^2 \frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\omega_A} y^2 \quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{\omega_A}{\omega(\lambda)} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2(\lambda) y^2 \right) \quad (33)$$

We need not care about the normalization (here, the factor of $\omega(\lambda)/\omega_A$ in front) so long as the coordinate y is properly transformed.

Consequently, we find $A(\lambda) = \sqrt{\omega(\lambda)/\omega_A}$, subtracting two Hamiltonians gives the general frequency $\omega^2(\lambda) = (\omega_B^2 - \omega_A^2)\lambda + \omega_A^2$ and potential difference $\Delta v(y) = (\omega_B^2 -$




Figure 1. Visual representation of spectrum and density rescaling derived from AIT in case of the QHO at different excitations.

$\omega_A^2)y^2/2$, and the offset vanishes, $b(\lambda) = 0$:

$$\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](x) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \Delta v(A^{-1}(\lambda)x) \quad (34)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{\omega_B^2 - \omega_A^2}{2} \frac{\omega_A}{\omega(\lambda)} x^2 \quad (35)$$

$$= \frac{\omega_B^2 - \omega_A^2}{2} x^2 \int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{(\omega_B^2/\omega_A^2 - 1)\lambda + 1}} \quad (36)$$

$$= (\omega_B - \omega_A)\omega_A x^2 \quad (37)$$

We can cross check this by inserting \mathcal{K} back into its corresponding integral (cf. Eq. 8):

$$\Delta E = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \rho_A^{\text{QHO}} \mathcal{K}(x) \quad (38)$$

$$= (\omega_B - \omega_A)\omega_A \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx x^2 \rho_A^{\text{QHO}} \quad (39)$$

$$= (\omega_B - \omega_A) \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (40)$$

The explicit evaluation of the integral can be found in the SI. \mathcal{K} correctly reproduces the well-known result for the QHO.

Now, check the density of the QHO [24]:

$$\rho_A^{\text{QHO}}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_A/\pi}}{2^n n!} e^{-\omega_A x^2} H_n^2(\sqrt{\omega_A}x) \quad (41)$$

Here, H_n denote the Hermite polynomials. From earlier, we find $A(\lambda = 1) = \sqrt{\omega_B/\omega_A}$ and $b(\lambda = 1) = 0$, so the relation (cf. Eq. 29) between densities is found to be:

$$\rho_B^{\text{QHO}}(x) = \sqrt{\omega_B/\omega_A} \rho_A^{\text{QHO}}(\sqrt{\omega_B/\omega_A}x) \quad (42)$$

Eq. 41 confirms this. A visual representation of this transformation can be found in Fig. 1.

The hydrogen-like atom

In case of the hydrogen-like atom, the (electronic) Hamiltonian is:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{HL}} := -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}^2 - \frac{Z_A}{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2} \quad (43)$$

$$= Z_A^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}^2}{Z_A^2} - \frac{1}{Z_A \|\mathbf{y}\|_2} \right) \quad (44)$$

Rescaling $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow Z(\lambda)/Z_A \mathbf{y}$:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{HL}} \rightarrow Z_A^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{y}}^2}{Z^2(\lambda)} - \frac{1}{Z(\lambda) \|\mathbf{y}\|_2} \right) \quad (45)$$

Consequently, $A(\lambda) = Z(\lambda)/Z_A \mathbb{1}_3$, $Z(\lambda) = (Z_B - Z_A)\lambda + Z_A$, $\Delta v(\mathbf{y}) = (-Z_B + Z_A)/\|\mathbf{y}\|_2$ and $\mathbf{b}(\lambda) = 0$. Thus, we find:

$$\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \Delta v(A^{-1}(\lambda)\mathbf{x}) \quad (46)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{-Z_B + Z_A}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \frac{Z(\lambda)}{Z_A} \quad (47)$$

$$= \frac{-Z_B + Z_A}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \int_0^1 d\lambda \left[\left(\frac{Z_B}{Z_A} - 1 \right) \lambda + 1 \right] \quad (48)$$

$$= \frac{-Z_B + Z_A}{2\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \left(1 + \frac{Z_B}{Z_A} \right) \quad (49)$$

We can cross check this by inserting \mathcal{K} back into its corresponding integral (cf. Eq. 8):

$$\Delta E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A^{\text{HL}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{-Z_B + Z_A}{2} \left(1 + \frac{Z_B}{Z_A} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\rho_A^{\text{HL}}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \quad (51)$$

$$= \frac{-Z_B^2 + Z_A^2}{2n^2} \quad (52)$$

The explicit evaluation of the integral can be found in the SI. \mathcal{K} correctly reproduces the well-known result for the hydrogen-like atom.

Now, check the density of the hydrogen-like atom. From earlier, we find $A(\lambda = 1) = Z_B/Z_A \mathbb{1}_3$ and $\mathbf{b}(\lambda = 1) = 0$, so the relation (cf. Eq. 29) between densities is found to be:

$$\rho_B^{\text{HL}}(\mathbf{x}) = (Z_B/Z_A)^3 \rho_A^{\text{HL}}(Z_B/Z_A \mathbf{x}) \quad (53)$$

The well-known formula of the hydrogen-like electron density (cf. SI) confirms this.

Homogeneous functions

Both previous examples may be understood as special cases of (positive) homogeneous functions. In general, consider this n -dimensional Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{hom}} := -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}}^2 + k_A^2 f(k_A \mathbf{y}) \quad (54)$$

where f is any (positive) homogeneous function of degree $\nu \neq -2$, i.e. $f(k_A \mathbf{y}) = k_A^\nu f(\mathbf{y})$, and k_A is a real, positive constant describing system A. Now, AIT is restricted to $A(\lambda) = k(\lambda)/k_A \mathbb{1}_n$ ($\mathbb{1}_n$ representing identity in n dimensions) and $b(\lambda) = 0$. Because of this, degree $\nu = -2$ must be excluded as it would constitute the case where Schrödinger's equation includes no parameter to be changed and AIT does not apply. The potential difference is $\Delta v(\mathbf{y}) = (k_B^{2+\nu} - k_A^{2+\nu})f(\mathbf{y})$. Finally, we find $k(\lambda)$:

$$k^{2+\nu}(\lambda)f(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - \lambda)k_A^{2+\nu}f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda k_B^{2+\nu}f(\mathbf{x}) \quad (55)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k(\lambda) = \left[(1 - \lambda)k_A^{2+\nu} + \lambda k_B^{2+\nu} \right]^{1/(2+\nu)} \quad (56)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \Delta v(A^{-1}(\lambda)\mathbf{x}) \quad (57)$$

$$= (k_B^{2+\nu} - k_A^{2+\nu}) \int_0^1 d\lambda f\left(\frac{k_A}{k(\lambda)}\mathbf{x}\right) \quad (58)$$

$$= \int_0^1 d\lambda \frac{(k_B^{2+\nu} - k_A^{2+\nu})f(k_A \mathbf{x})}{\left[(1 - \lambda)k_A^{2+\nu} + \lambda k_B^{2+\nu} \right]^{\nu/(2+\nu)}} \quad (59)$$

$$= k_A^2 f(k_A \mathbf{x}) \frac{2 + \nu}{2} \left(\frac{k_B^2}{k_A^2} - 1 \right) \quad (60)$$

The corresponding relative density (cf. Eq. 29) can be found with $A(\lambda = 1) = k_B/k_A \mathbb{1}_n$, $\mathbf{b}(\lambda = 1) = 0$:

$$\rho_B^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{k_B}{k_A}\right)^n \rho_A^{\text{hom}}\left(\frac{k_B}{k_A}\mathbf{x}\right) \quad (61)$$

Special cases are the n -dimensional, isotropic QHO ($f(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}^2/2$, $k_{A,B} = \sqrt{\omega_{A,B}}$, $\nu = 2$), the hydrogen-like atom ($f(\mathbf{y}) = -1/\|\mathbf{y}\|_2$, $k_{A,B} = Z_{A,B}$, $\nu = -1$) and the Dirac well of depth d ($f(\mathbf{y}) = -\delta(\mathbf{y})$, $k_{A,B} = d_{A,B}$, $\nu = -1$). A generalization to quasi-homogeneous functions is straightforward.

Although the examples above corroborate the validity of AIT, results for densities (and, by extension, energy differences) could have also been obtained via coordinate scaling as employed in DFT [25]. Especially Eq. 61 has been previously derived [26–28]. However, AIT allows for more complicated transformations (see below), and goes beyond (scalar) coordinate scaling of DFT-specific quantities like the exchange-correlation functional.

Multi-particle systems

In multi-particle systems, it is no longer obvious how the parametrization $r(\lambda)$, a transform of \mathbf{y} , can be found, due to multiple coordinates \mathbf{y}_i for each particle. This is quickly resolved via the definition of $\rho(\mathbf{y})$ [29]. Consider an N -particle problem,

$$\rho(\mathbf{y}) := \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \Psi(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) | \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_i) | \Psi(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) \rangle \quad (62)$$

$$= N \langle \Psi(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) | \delta^{(n)}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_1) | \Psi(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N) \rangle, \quad (63)$$

The second line applies to indistinguishable, and thus interchangeable, particles (electrons). Consequently, a transformation of \mathbf{y} implicates a transformation of \mathbf{y}_1 , or $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2$, or \dots , or $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_N$, i.e. we gain arbitrariness which and how many coordinates to transform. Multi-particle systems usually consist of multiple (indistinguishable) electrons such that all electron coordinates \mathbf{y}_i must transform identically. However, distinct particles may be transformed differently.

For an application to real multi-electron systems, both exactly and approximately, we refer to Ref. 30 (and

specifically the Hamiltonian \hat{H}^{fic}). Due to the nature of multi-electron systems, Ref. 30 must resort to comparisons with numerical results, while in this work we will present only a theoretical basis and one example:

Consider the following multi-particle system inside a harmonic potential with inter-particle repulsion in n dimensions:

$$\hat{H}^{\text{multi}} := \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{y}_i}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}_i^T \Omega_A^2 \mathbf{y}_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{z_\mu}{(\mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{y}_j)_\mu^2} \right) \quad (64)$$

with potential strength encoded in the diagonal matrix $\Omega_A = \text{diag}((\omega_A)_1, \dots, (\omega_A)_n)$, and inter-particle strength z_μ along component μ . Note, that the inter-particle repulsion is not proportional to distance⁻² but the component-wise difference⁻². This multi-particle system allows a separate treatment for each dimension and is clearly not separable into N one-particle systems (if $N > 1$). Ω_A being an arbitrary diagonal matrix (except for scalar multiples of $\mathbb{1}_n$, i.e. $(\omega_A)_1 = (\omega_A)_2 = \dots$) suffices to move beyond coordinate scaling. Eq. 64 is, however, separable into its n dimensions which we exploit in the parametrization $r_\mu(\lambda) = \sqrt{(\omega(\lambda))_\mu / (\omega_A)_\mu} y_\mu$ with $\omega_\mu^2(\lambda) = ((\omega_B)_\mu^2 - (\omega_A)_\mu^2) \lambda + (\omega_A)_\mu^2$, similar to the QHO. Note that this parametrization still allows for the cancellation of kinetic and repulsive terms, so Eq. 2 applies. Again, we need not worry about normalization although in this case, it is harder to see why: the definition of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ in Eq. 5 allows for a factorization into n normalization constants $\mathcal{N}_1(\lambda), \dots, \mathcal{N}_n(\lambda)$. Consequently, we could have written \hat{H}^{multi} as a sum over n dimensions and treated every dimension in Eq. 5 separately.

Equipped with a parametrization, we find the kernel $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ similar to that of the QHO:

$$\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T (\Omega_B - \Omega_A) \Omega_A \mathbf{x} \quad (65)$$

$$= \sum_{\mu=1}^n ((\omega_B)_\mu - (\omega_A)_\mu) (\omega_A)_\mu x_\mu^2 \quad (66)$$

Write the energy difference and relative densities using AIT:

$$\Delta E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A^{\text{multi}} \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (67)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A^{\text{multi}} \sum_{\mu=1}^n ((\omega_B)_\mu - (\omega_A)_\mu) (\omega_A)_\mu x_\mu^2 \quad (68)$$

$$\rho_B^{\text{multi}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\mu=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{(\omega_B)_\mu}{(\omega_A)_\mu}} \rho_A^{\text{multi}}(\Omega_A^{-1/2} \Omega_B^{1/2} \mathbf{x}) \quad (69)$$

where the matrix power applies to the main diagonal element-wise. Still, ρ_A^{multi} is unknown. But even for systems with cumbersome or unknown solutions, knowledge about ρ_A is not necessary to extract statements using AIT. The exact (possibly excited) energy difference in Eq. 68 can be used twice, once for the (possibly excited) energy difference between systems A, B , once between A, B' , to give:

$$E_{B'} - E_B = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} \rho_A^{\text{multi}} \sum_{\mu=1}^n ((\omega_{B'})_\mu - (\omega_B)_\mu) (\omega_A)_\mu x_\mu^2 \quad (70)$$

As the left-hand side is independent of A , so must be the right-hand side (even component-wise, since $(\omega_{B'})_\mu - (\omega_B)_\mu$ is of arbitrary value), and consequently:

$$c_\mu = (\omega_A)_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d\mathbf{x} x_\mu^2 \rho_A^{\text{multi}} \quad (71)$$

$$E_{B'} - E_B = \sum_{\mu=1}^n c_\mu ((\omega_{B'})_\mu - (\omega_B)_\mu) \quad (72)$$

with some constants c_μ .

DISCUSSION

The transformations presented here, affine, of square-root type or with functions including $\|\mathbf{y}\|_2$, connect a number of systems – in n dimensions and for multi-particle systems. Thus, if the solution to a Hamiltonian proves unfeasible, $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ may not just provide systematic access to its energy and particle density behavior, but might even permit statements about a different, possibly more complicated, system, giving information about eigen values *and* eigen states. This point is worth repeating: finding a suitable parametrization $r(\lambda)$ of the coordinates of two Schrödinger equations awards immediate, relative information about the energy spectra and the (excited) densities! Thus, it provides the study of chemical compound space with an analytical tool of navigation.

The new formula of $\mathcal{K}[\Delta v](\mathbf{x})$ in Eq. 16 evades any problems of convergence inherent in its original derivation [23]. Although tested numerically for transmutations in atoms and molecules in Ref. 31, here we need

not worry about divergences in absence of an infinite series. However, AIT is a relative method; when calculating explicit values numerically, its accuracy depends on the quality of the initial electron density ρ_A (and by extension, the integration algorithm).

Constraining AIT to homogeneous functions and scaling transformations ($A(\lambda) = k(\lambda)/k_A \mathbb{1}_n$) in one-particle systems allowed a generalization of the examples given (hydrogen-like atom and QHO). Whichever potential is to be used, Eqs. 60 and 61 allow statements about the solutions of Schrödinger’s equations without the necessity to ever explicitly solve them. In this regard, it becomes equivalent to coordinate scaling in DFT as described in Refs. 26–28.

Furthermore, note that the fraction of two homogeneous functions is again a homogeneous function. Consequently, one might refrain from Taylor-expanding a physical potential to model materials in arbitrary dimensions, and instead employ a Padé approximant which, in addition, exhibits better convergence properties and truncation error.

Beyond scaling transformations and one-particle systems, AIT allows analytical statements for all Hamiltonians with a parametrization $r(\lambda)$. Especially the multi-particle system in Eq. 64 drives this point, as general solutions are difficult (or analytically impossible) to obtain, yet Eqs. 72 and 71 disclose information about the proportionality of energies and conservation laws of the density. We are aware that many one-particle systems and some multi-particle systems can be solved numerically to desired accuracy; however, we deem such analytical statements about the relationship between systems always preferable to numerical ones and thus, consider AIT to be an effective tool in navigating between systems.

It has not escaped our attention that homogeneous functions as potentials provide elegant connections between kinetic and potential energy in the virial theorem [24]. However, this relation is due to Euler’s homogeneous function theorem (i.e. a consequence of the derivative behavior of homogeneous functions), while AIT obtains its parameter $k(\lambda)$ by taking the $(2 + \nu)$ -th root. Nonetheless, this is not the first time in which the mathematical properties of a homogeneous potential act in favor of a theorem.

Finally, we want to emphasize the generality of the presented method: although quantum mechanics appears

as an obvious application, AIT requires the density to appear explicitly after the Hellmann-Feynman theorem (which in turn applies to any eigen problem of any Hermitian operator in Hilbert space) to solve Eq. 10. Consequently, any transformation of the coordinates in a Hermitian operator, that allows a solution of Eq. 10 or a similar constraint, bears an integral kernel like \mathcal{K} . A simplification like the one in Eq. 2 is not unique to the Schrödinger equation.

CONCLUSION

We have presented a simpler and more general kernel \mathcal{K} of AIT in n dimensions, with a method to obtain parametrizations $r(\lambda)$, an application to homogeneous potentials of degree $\nu \neq -2$, and an instance of a multi-particle system (Eq. 64). AIT did not just predict the relative behavior of energy spectra, but relative densities as well. Examples included one-particle systems like the quantum harmonic oscillator and the hydrogen-like atom.

Future work will deal with general parametrizations $r(\lambda)$ in n -dimensional systems. To solve Eq. 5, one might employ additional information about the initial electron density ρ_A , similar to the presented fixed electric dipole moment in Eq. 19.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge discussions with Dirk Andrae, Kieron Burke, Florian Bley and Danish Khan. O.A.v.L. has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 772834). This research was undertaken thanks in part to funding provided to the University of Toronto’s Acceleration Consortium from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund, grant number: CFREF-2022-00042. O.A.v.L. has received support as the Ed Clark Chair of Advanced Materials and as a Canada CIFAR AI Chair.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.L.K. conceptualization (lead), formal analysis (lead), investigation (lead), methodology (lead), writing

- original draft (lead), writing - review & editing (equal).
O.A.v.L. conceptualization (supporting), formal analysis (supporting), investigation (supporting), methodology (supporting), funding acquisition, project administration, resources, supervision (lead), writing - review & editing (equal)

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts to disclose. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

-
- [1] Erich Hückel. Quantentheoretische beiträge zum benzolproblem. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 72(5):310–337, 05 1931.
- [2] Egil A. Hylleraas and John Midtdal. Ground state energy of two-electron atoms. *Phys. Rev.*, 103:829–830, Aug 1956.
- [3] E. Bright Wilson. Four-dimensional electron density function. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 36(8):2232–2233, 1962.
- [4] Peter Politzer and Robert G. Parr. Some new energy formulas for atoms and molecules. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 61(10):4258–4262, 11 1974.
- [5] Mel Levy. An energy-density equation for isoelectronic changes in atoms. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 68:5298–5299, 1978.
- [6] Mel Levy. On approximate energy differences from average electron densities. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 70(3):1573–1574, 02 1979.
- [7] Thomas E. Baker, E. Miles Stoudenmire, Lucas O. Wagner, Kieron Burke, and Steven R. White. One-dimensional mimicking of electronic structure: The case for exponentials. *Phys. Rev. B*, 91:235141, Jun 2015.
- [8] Minoru Takahashi. *Thermodynamics of one-dimensional solvable models*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [9] Daniel C Mattis. *The Many-Body Problem: An Encyclopedia Of Exactly Solved Models In One Dimension (3rd Printing With Revisions And Corrections)*. World Scientific, 1993.
- [10] P Schlottmann. Exact results for highly correlated electron systems in one dimension. *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, 11(04n05):355–667, 1997.
- [11] Th Busch and G Huyet. Low-density, one-dimensional quantum gases in a split trap. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 36(12):2553, 2003.
- [12] RJ Magyar. Ground and excited-state fermions in a 1d double-well, exact and time-dependent density-functional solutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0708.3265*, 2007.
- [13] Robert Balawender, Michael Lesiuk, Frank De Proft, Christian Van Alsenoy, and Paul Geerlings. Exploring chemical space with alchemical derivatives: alchemical transformations of H through Ar and their ions as a proof of concept. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics (Incorporating Faraday Transactions)*, 21(43):23865–23879, November 2019.
- [14] Takafumi Shiraogawa and Jun-ya Hasegawa. Exploration of chemical space for designing functional molecules accounting for geometric stability. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 13(36):8620–8627, 2022. PMID: 36073988.
- [15] KY Samuel Chang and O Anatole von Lilienfeld. $\text{Al}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{As}$ crystals with direct 2 eV band gaps from computational alchemy. *Physical Review Materials*, 2(7):073802, 2018.
- [16] Guido Falk von Rudorff and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Alchemical perturbation density functional theory. *Physical Review Research*, 2(2), 5 2020.
- [17] Guido Falk von Rudorff and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Simplifying inverse materials design problems for fixed lattices with alchemical chirality. *Science Advances*, 7(21):eabf1173, 2021.
- [18] O. Anatole von Lilienfeld and Giorgio Domenichini. Even order contributions to relative energies vanish for antisymmetric perturbations, 2023.
- [19] Guido Falk von Rudorff and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Rapid and accurate molecular deprotonation energies from quantum alchemy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 22:10519–10525, 2020.
- [20] K. Y. S. Chang, Stijn Fias, Raghunathan Ramakrishnan, and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Fast and accurate predictions of covalent bonds in chemical space. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 144(17):174110, 2016.
- [21] Emily A. Eikey, Alex M. Maldonado, Charles D. Griego, Guido Falk von Rudorff, and John A. Keith. Quantum alchemy beyond singlets: Bonding in diatomic molecules with hydrogen. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 156(20):204111, 05 2022.
- [22] Emily A. Eikey, Alex M. Maldonado, Charles D. Griego, Guido Falk von Rudorff, and John A. Keith. Evaluating quantum alchemy of atoms with thermodynamic cycles: Beyond ground electronic states. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 156(6):064106, 02 2022.
- [23] Simon León Krug, Guido Falk von Rudorff, and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Relative energies without electronic perturbations via alchemical integral transform. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 157(16):164109, 2022.
- [24] Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, Bernard Diu, and Franck Laloë. *Quantenmechanik, Band 1 & 2*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG, 2008.
- [25] Tim Gould, Derk P. Kooi, Paola Gori-Giorgi, and Stefano Pittalis. Electronic excited states in extreme limits via en-

- semble density functionals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 130:106401, Mar 2023.
- [26] Mel Levy. Density-functional exchange correlation through coordinate scaling in adiabatic connection and correlation hole. *Phys. Rev. A*, 43:4637–4646, May 1991.
- [27] Á. Nagy. Coordinate scaling and adiabatic connection formula for ensembles of fractionally occupied excited states. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, 56(4):225–228, 1995.
- [28] Stephan Kümmel and Leor Kronik. Orbital-dependent density functionals: Theory and applications. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 80:3–60, Jan 2008.
- [29] A. Szabo and N.S. Ostlund. *Modern Quantum Chemistry: Introduction to Advanced Electronic Structure Theory*. Dover Books on Chemistry. Dover Publications, 1989.
- [30] Simon León Krug and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld. Alchemical insights into approximately quadratic energies of iso-electronic atoms, 2024.
- [31] Guido Falk von Rudorff. Arbitrarily accurate quantum alchemy. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 155(22):224103, 12 2021.

The Generalized Alchemical Integral Transform — Supplemental Information —

Simon León Krug,¹ and O. Anatole von Lilienfeld^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}

¹*Machine Learning Group, Technische Universität Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany*

²*Berlin Institute for the Foundations of Learning and Data, 10587 Berlin, Germany*

³*Chemical Physics Theory Group, Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁴*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁵*Vector Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁶*Department of Physics, University of Toronto, St. George Campus, Toronto, ON, Canada*

⁷*Acceleration Consortium, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada*

(Dated: September 16, 2024)

INTEGRALS OF THE ELECTRON DENSITY

The quantum harmonic oscillator

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 \rho_A^{QHO}(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_A} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (73)$$

where the electron density of the quantum harmonic oscillator is given as [24]:

$$\rho_A^{QHO}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_A}{\pi}} e^{-\omega_A x^2} H_n^2(\sqrt{\omega_A} x) \quad (74)$$

PROOF:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 \rho_A^{QHO}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_A}{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 e^{-\omega_A x^2} H_n^2(\sqrt{\omega_A} x) \quad (75)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi} \omega_A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy y^2 e^{-y^2} H_n^2(y) \quad (76)$$

Now use the recurrence relation

$$y H_n(y) = \frac{H_{n+1}(y) + 2n H_{n-1}(y)}{2} \quad (77)$$

and the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-y^2} H_n(y) H_m(y) = \sqrt{\pi} 2^n n! \delta_{nm} \quad (78)$$

such that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 \rho_A^{QHO}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi} \omega_A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy y^2 e^{-y^2} H_n^2(y) \quad (79)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n n! \sqrt{\pi} \omega_A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-y^2} \left(\frac{H_{n+1}(y) + 2nH_{n-1}(y)}{2} \right)^2 \quad (80)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+2} n! \sqrt{\pi} \omega_A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-y^2} (H_{n+1}^2(y) + 4n^2 H_{n-1}^2(y)) \quad (81)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+2} n! \sqrt{\pi} \omega_A} \sqrt{\pi} (2^{n+1} (n+1)! + 4n^2 2^{n-1} (n-1)!) \quad (82)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\omega_A} (n+1+n) \quad (83)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_A} \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (84)$$

The hydrogen-like atom

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\rho_A^{HL}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} = \frac{Z_A}{n^2} \quad (85)$$

where the electron density of the hydrogen-like atom is given as [24]:

$$\rho_A^{HL}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{2Z_A}{n} \right)^3 \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!} \left(\frac{2Z_A \|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n} \right)^{2l} \left(L_{n-l-1}^{(2l+1)} \left(\frac{2Z_A \|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n} \right) \right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2Z_A \|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n}\right) |Y_{lm}|^2 \quad (86)$$

with generalized Laguerre polynomials $L_m^{(\alpha)}$ and spherical harmonics Y_{lm} .

PROOF:

Note the orthogonality relation of the generalized Laguerre polynomials:

$$\int_0^\infty dv e^{-v} v^\alpha L_m^{(\alpha)}(v) L_{m'}^{(\alpha)}(v) = \frac{\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)}{m!} \delta_{m,m'} \quad (87)$$

and the orthogonality relation of the spherical harmonics:

$$\int_{S^2} d\Omega Y_{lm} Y_{l'm'}^* = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \quad (88)$$

First, execute the angular integration, then substitute $\nu = 2Z_A\|\mathbf{x}\|_2/n$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \frac{\rho_A^{HL}(\mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} = \int_0^\infty d\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \left(\frac{2Z_A}{n}\right)^3 \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!} \left(\frac{2Z_A\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n}\right)^{2l} \left(L_{n-l-1}^{(2l+1)}\left(\frac{2Z_A\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n}\right)\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2Z_A\|\mathbf{x}\|_2}{n}\right) \quad (89)$$

$$= \frac{2Z_A}{n} \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!} \int_0^\infty d\nu e^{-\nu} \nu^{2l+1} \left(L_{n-l-1}^{(2l+1)}(\nu)\right)^2 \quad (90)$$

$$= \frac{2Z_A}{n} \frac{(n-l-1)!}{2n(n+l)!} \frac{(2l+1+n-l-1)!}{(n-l-1)!} \quad (91)$$

$$= \frac{Z_A}{n^2} \quad (92)$$