

One-dimensional hydrogenic ions with screened nuclear Coulomb field

Suchindram Dasgupta, Chirag Khurana, A. Shadi Tahvildar-Zadeh
Rutgers (New Brunswick)

December 8, 2023

Abstract

We study the spectrum of the Dirac Hamiltonian in one space dimension for a single electron in the electrostatic potential of a point nucleus, in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation where the nucleus is assumed fixed at the origin. The potential is screened at large distances so that it goes to zero exponentially at spatial infinity. We show that the Hamiltonian is essentially self-adjoint, the essential spectrum has the usual gap $(-mc^2, mc^2)$ in it, and that there are only finitely many eigenvalues in that gap, corresponding to ground and excited states for the system. We find a one-to-one correspondence between the eigenfunctions of this Hamiltonian and the heteroclinic saddle-saddle connectors of a certain dynamical system on a finite cylinder. We use this correspondence to study how the number of bound states changes with the nuclear charge.

1 Introduction

Let $\phi = \phi(s)$ be the electrostatic potential due to a (point) nucleus in one-dimensional space. Let $(x^0 = t, x^1 = s)$ be time and space coordinates on the 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. Using the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, we can assume the nucleus is fixed at $s = 0$. Let $\Psi = \Psi(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ denote the wave function of a single electron placed in this electrostatic field. According to the principles of relativistic quantum mechanics, Ψ solves the one-dimensional Dirac equation with a minimal coupling to the potential ϕ (we have set $c = 1$):

$$-i\hbar\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi - e\gamma^0\phi(s)\Psi + m\Psi = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here γ^μ are Dirac matrices satisfying $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}I_{2\times 2}$, η is the Minkowski metric, $\partial_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$, and Einstein summation convention is used. \hbar is the Planck constant, $e > 0$ is the elementary charge (i.e. e is the charge of a proton and $-e$ is the charge of an electron), and m is the mass of the electron.

Writing the above in Hamiltonian form, we obtain

$$i\partial_t\Psi = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\partial_s\Psi - \frac{e}{\hbar}\phi\Psi + \frac{m}{\hbar}\gamma^0\Psi =: H_D\Psi. \quad (2)$$

Letting $\alpha^1 := \gamma^0\gamma^1$ and $\beta := \gamma^0$, we get

$$H_D = -i\alpha^1\partial_s - \frac{e}{\hbar}\phi I + \frac{m}{\hbar}\beta. \quad (3)$$

We may choose the following representation

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

and assume natural units ($\hbar = 1$). Then,

$$H_D = \begin{pmatrix} -e\phi - i\partial_s & m \\ m & -e\phi + i\partial_s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

To study the spectrum of H_{Dirac} , we search for solutions of (3) that are of the form

$$\Psi(t, s) = e^{-iEt}\psi(s), \quad (6)$$

which leads us to the eigenvalue problem

$$H_D\psi(s) = E\psi(s). \quad (7)$$

A nonzero ψ that satisfies (7) and is square-integrable, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi|^2 ds < \infty$ is called an eigenfunction for H_D , and in that case the corresponding number E is called an energy eigenvalue. Referring back to equation (7), we need to find both the eigenfunction ψ and the energy eigenvalue E . The wave function has two complex-valued components. Let us set

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} R_1(s) \\ R_2(s) \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where R_1 and R_2 are complex-valued functions of one real variable. Plugging this back into equation (7), we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -e\phi - i\partial_s & m \\ m & -e\phi + i\partial_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_1(s) \\ R_2(s) \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} R_1(s) \\ R_2(s) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

After completing some algebra, we are left with the following set of ordinary differential equations:

$$R'_1 - i(E + e\phi)R_1 + imR_2 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$-R'_2 - i(E + e\phi)R_2 + imR_1 = 0. \quad (11)$$

These are equations for two unknown complex-valued, and therefore four unknown real-valued functions. We proceed to simplify this system by reducing the number of independent real unknown to two. Multiplying (10) with R_1^* and (11) with R_2^* , adding the two resulting equations and taking the real part, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{ds}(|R_1(s)|^2 - |R_2(s)|^2) = 0 \quad (12)$$

which implies that $|R_1(s)|^2 - |R_2(s)|^2$ is a constant, i.e. independent of s . But if ψ is an eigenfunction, it must be square-integrable, which implies that its components must go to zero as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$|R_1(s)| = |R_2(s)| =: R(s)$$

for all s . This allows us to write the wave function components in the following way:

$$R_j(s) = R(s)e^{i\phi_j}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (13)$$

We now want to show that without loss of generality (WLOG) $\phi_1 + \phi_2 = 0$; that is

$$R_2 = R(s)e^{-i\phi_1} = R_1^*.$$

First, we take equation (10) and multiply it by the conjugate of R_2 , then take the conjugate of equation (11) and multiply it by R_1 :

$$R_2^*(R'_1 - i(E - e\phi)R_1 + imR_2) = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$R_1(-R'_2 - i(E - e\phi)R_2 + imR_1)^* = 0 \quad (15)$$

Now we add these equations to obtain

$$R'_1 R_2^* - R'_2 R_1 = 0, \quad (16)$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2^*} \right) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Referring back to (13), we see that this implies

$$\frac{d}{ds}(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = 0 \quad (18)$$

which means

$$(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \delta \quad (19)$$

where δ is some constant. Recall that

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Re^{i\phi_1} \\ Re^{i\phi_2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

If ψ is a solution of (7), multiplying it by a constant phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ will still be a solution. Choosing the phase factor to be $e^{-i\delta/2}$, we find an equivalent wave function ψ' .

$$\psi' = \begin{pmatrix} Re^{i(\phi_1 - \delta/2)} \\ Re^{i(\phi_2 - \delta/2)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Let $\phi'_1 = \phi_1 - \delta/2$ and $\phi'_2 = \phi_2 - \delta/2$. Then,

$$\phi'_1 + \phi'_2 = \phi_1 - \delta/2 + \phi_2 - \delta/2 = 0. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ can be set equal to 0 without loss of generality. ie. $\phi_1 = -\phi_2$. In that case. R_1 and R_2 are complex conjugates of one another. Therefore, we can set

$$\begin{cases} R_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u - iv) \\ R_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u + iv) \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

Remembering that we are only interested in square integrable solution of (7), we must have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|R_1|^2 + |R_2|^2) ds < \infty$, so that ψ can be normalized in such a way that this quantity is one. This now implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + v^2) ds = 1. \quad (24)$$

Recall from the beginning of the section that

$$R'_1 - i(E + e\phi)R_1 + imR_2 = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$-R'_2 - i(E + e\phi)R_2 + imR_1 = 0. \quad (26)$$

This becomes,

$$(u' - iv') - i(E + e\phi)(u - iv) + im(u + iv) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$u' - v(E + e\phi) - mv + i(-v' - (E + e\phi)u + mu) = 0. \quad (28)$$

which means that

$$\begin{cases} u' + (-m - e\phi)v - Ev = 0 \\ -v' + (m - e\phi)u - Eu = 0 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Letting $h = \begin{pmatrix} m - e\phi & -\frac{d}{ds} \\ \frac{d}{ds} & -m - e\phi \end{pmatrix}$, the above can be rewritten as

$$h \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad (30)$$

where h is our **reduced hamiltonian**.

2 The Spectrum of the Reduced Hamiltonian

Earlier, we obtained the system of equations and constraint

$$(h - E) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + v^2) ds = 1 \quad (32)$$

where the reduced hamiltonian $h = \begin{pmatrix} m - e\phi & -\frac{d}{ds} \\ \frac{d}{ds} & -m - e\phi \end{pmatrix}$. The hamiltonian can be written in the form

$$h = J \frac{d}{ds} + P \quad (33)$$

where

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad P(s) := \begin{pmatrix} m - e\phi(s) & 0 \\ 0 & -m - e\phi(s) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

In order to study hamiltonians like this further, we must know a few things. Firstly, is h self-adjoint? In order for a matrix of numbers to have real eigenvalues, it must be hermitian-symmetric, i.e. equal to its own conjugate-transpose. For an *operator-valued* matrix such as h this is not enough, and more care is needed in order to determine its self-adjointness. (See e.g. [6] Vol. 2.)

Secondly, what is the **spectrum** of h ? By spectrum, we mean all such λ that make the operator $h - \lambda I$ not have a bounded inverse. The discrete spectra (eigenvalues) will correspond to the bound states of the electron with the nucleus. The essential spectra correspond to the scattering states of this system.

In 3 dimensions, the spectrum of the Dirac operator is the following

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_{Dirac}) = (-\infty, m] \cup [m, \infty) \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_{disc}(H_{Dirac}) = \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (-m, m). \quad (36)$$

where m is the mass of the electron. For the discrete spectrum,

$$E_0 < E_1 < E_2 < \dots \quad (37)$$

which correspond to the orbital energies of hydrogen, with E_0 being the ground state. Additionally, $E_n \rightarrow m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We wish to replicate all of these properties in 1 dimension. For the above results to hold, it is necessary that $\phi(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. However, in one space dimension the electrostatic potential of a point charge placed at $s = 0$ satisfies $-\phi''(s) = Q\delta_0(s)$ where δ_0 is the Dirac delta distribution. Therefore $\phi = -\frac{Q}{2}|s|$, which does not go to zero at infinity. This will therefore not work. In what follows we will replace ϕ with a screened version of itself, one that has the same absolute value behavior at the origin but decays exponentially fast at infinity.

Consider the potential

$$\phi(s) = \frac{Q}{2}\mu e^{-|s|/\mu}, \quad (38)$$

where μ is a screening length (which for now we will set equal to 1.)

Proposition 1. *with the above ϕ , the reduced Hamiltonian h is self-adjoint, and its essential spectrum is $(-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$.*

Proof. Recall that $h = J \frac{d}{ds} + P$, and since $\psi(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$P(s) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & -m \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{as } |s| \rightarrow \infty \quad (39)$$

The conclusion now follows from Theorems 16.5 and 16.6 of [9].

□

From a previous section, we determined a system of differential equations

$$(h - E) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

$$(41)$$

with the condition that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + v^2) ds = 1. \quad (42)$$

This **coupled** system of linear ordinary differential equations can be written more explicitly as the following.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{ds} + (-m - e\phi)v - Ev = 0 \\ -\frac{dv}{ds} + (m - e\phi)u - Eu = 0 \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

The interdependence of the differential equations on u and v and the fact that E is also unknown makes this problem complicated. The problem might be simplified if the equations can be re-written such that this mutual interdependence can be removed. One way of doing this is through a Prüfer transform, as was done in [5]. (See [8] for an earlier application of this method.)

Let us define

$$R^2 := u^2 + v^2, \quad \theta(s) := \arctan \frac{v(s)}{u(s)}. \quad (44)$$

Then we have

$$R' = \frac{1}{R}(uu' + vv') = \frac{1}{R}[u((m + e\phi)v + Ev) + v((m - e\phi)u - Eu)] \quad (45)$$

so that

$$\frac{R'}{R} = m \sin 2\theta. \quad (46)$$

We can therefore solve for R if θ is known. Similarly, we have

$$\theta' = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{v^2}{u^2}} \frac{v'u - u'v}{u^2} = \frac{v'u - u'v}{R^2}. \quad (47)$$

Substituting from (43),

$$\theta' = \frac{(m - e\phi - E)u^2 - (m + e\phi + E)v^2}{R^2} = m \cos(2\theta) - e\phi - E, \quad (48)$$

using the trig identity $\cos(2\theta) = \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta$. We now have a new system of *partially uncoupled* differential equations (θ equation has no R).

$$\frac{R'}{R} = m \sin 2\theta, \quad \theta' = m \cos(2\theta) - e\phi(s) - E. \quad (49)$$

This system must satisfy the condition that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R^2 ds = 1 \quad (50)$$

Since the θ equation does not involve R , we focus on analyzing the θ equation alone. We do this by converting the θ equation into a dynamical system on a 2-dimensional surface.

2.1 Setting up a dynamical system

We first make the θ equation *autonomous*. This means that we do not want the independent variable to show up on the right side of the differential equation. This can be done trivially by introducing a new independent variable τ and setting $s = \tau$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \dot{s} &= 1 \\ \dot{\Theta} &= 2m \cos(\Theta) - 2e\phi(s) - 2E, \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

where dot denotes differentiation with respect to τ and we have set $\Theta = 2\theta$ for simplification. We now have τ as an independent variable and Θ and s as dependent variables.

Next we recall the equation for R :

$$\frac{R'}{R} = m \sin(\Theta). \quad (52)$$

Solving this equation, we get

$$R(s) = R(0)e^{\int_0^s m \sin(\Theta(s)) ds} \quad (53)$$

To satisfy the integrability condition (50), the function R must converge to 0 as $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the integral in the exponent in (53) must diverge to $-\infty$. So $\sin \Theta$ must be negative as $s \rightarrow \infty$, but positive as $s \rightarrow -\infty$, i.e.:

$$\Theta(\infty) \in [-\pi, 0), \quad \Theta(-\infty) \in (0, \pi] \quad (54)$$

Next, we want to compactify the system (51). To this end let us now define a new variable

$$z = \arctan(s), \quad (55)$$

so that $s = \pm\infty \iff z = \pm\frac{\pi}{2}$. Changing variables in (51), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} &= \cos^2 z \\ \dot{\Theta} &= 2m \cos(\Theta) - 2e\phi(\tan z) - 2E \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

Recall that

$$\phi(s) = \frac{Q}{2} \exp(-|s|). \quad (57)$$

We can also choose units such that $m = 1$. So, in the case of a nucleus with Z protons fixed at the origin, $Q = Ze$ and the system becomes

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} &= \cos^2 z =: F(z, \Theta) \\ \dot{\Theta} &= 2 \cos(\Theta) - \gamma \exp(-|\tan z|) - 2E =: G_E(z, \Theta) \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

where $\gamma := Ze^2$.

2.2 Linearizing the System

One way we can study this system's behavior further is through a local linear approximation near the critical points. The local linear approximation of (58) about a critical point (z_0, Θ_0) would be

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} z - z_0 \\ \Theta - \Theta_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_z(z_0, \Theta_0) & F_\Theta(z_0, \Theta_0) \\ G_z(z_0, \Theta_0) & G_\Theta(z_0, \Theta_0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z - z_0 \\ \Theta - \Theta_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (59)$$

where the 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{J}(z_0, \Theta_0) = \begin{pmatrix} F_z(z_0, \Theta_0) & F_\Theta(z_0, \Theta_0) \\ G_z(z_0, \Theta_0) & G_\Theta(z_0, \Theta_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is the Jacobian matrix. We can now compute the partial derivatives as follows.

$$F_z = -2 \cos z \sin z, F_\Theta = 0, G_z = 2e\phi'(\tan z) \sec^2 z, G_\Theta = -2 \sin \Theta \quad (60)$$

Recall ϕ is Lipschitz at the origin and smooth otherwise. $F(z, \Theta)$ is 0 at $z = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$. So, our critical points lie on either $z = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ or $z = \frac{\pi}{2}$. When substituting either value into $G(z, \Theta)$, we get:

$$2 \cos \Theta - 2E = 0 \rightarrow \Theta = \pm \cos^{-1} E \quad (61)$$

If $|E| < 1$, then there are 4 equilibrium points which are as follows.

$$S^- : (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \cos^{-1} E) \quad S^+ : (\frac{\pi}{2}, -\cos^{-1} E) \quad (62)$$

$$N^- : (-\frac{\pi}{2}, -\cos^{-1} E) \quad N^+ : (\frac{\pi}{2}, \cos^{-1} E) \quad (63)$$

In this case, the Jacobian at our equilibrium points are as follows.

$$\mathbf{J}(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \cos^{-1} E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sqrt{1-E^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (64)$$

$$\mathbf{J}(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, -\cos^{-1} E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{1-E^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (65)$$

If $|E| = 1$, then there are only 2 equilibrium points, with Jacobians $\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so these equilibrium points are completely degenerate. For $E = -1$ we have the equilibrium points

$$C^- := (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \pm\pi), \quad C^+ := (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pm\pi) \quad (66)$$

while for $E = 1$ we have

$$D^- := (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \quad D^+ := (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0). \quad (67)$$

If $|E| > 1$, then there are no equilibrium points.

Since we have found the linearization of our system at the equilibrium points in each case, we can also find the eigenvalues and associated eigenvectors of the locally linear system. This will give us information about the behavior of the system near the critical point. The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{J}(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \cos^{-1} E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sqrt{1-E^2} \end{pmatrix}$ are $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = -2\sqrt{1-E^2}$ with corresponding eigenvectors being $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively. Similarly, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{J}(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, -\cos^{-1} E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{1-E^2} \end{pmatrix}$ are $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 2\sqrt{1-E^2}$ with corresponding eigenvectors being $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively.

The purpose of the linearization was to determine the behavior of the trajectories near the equilibrium points in the phase portrait of our system. This is complicated by the fact that the equilibria of this system are *non-hyperbolic*, meaning there are zero eigenvalues. This means that we need *center manifold theory* to describe the behavior of the nonlinear system.

According to this theory, when $|E| < 1$, the equilibrium points S^\pm and N^\pm correspond to saddle-nodes. Their local behavior is determined by Theorem 2.19(iii) in [3]. Their phase portraits are depicted in Figure 2.13(c) of the same reference. The uniqueness of the center-unstable manifold emanating from S^- follows from this theorem. Similarly for the center-stable manifold going into S^+ . For a generic value of E , these two orbits will not coincide, i.e., generically, the orbit from S^- will run into N^+ , and the orbit that goes into S^+ , when run backwards, will fall into N^- .

Additionally, recall that we need $\sin \Theta$ to be negative at $s = \infty$ (ie. $z = \pi/2$) and positive at $s = -\infty$ (ie. $z = -\pi/2$). The equilibrium points which correspond to these conditions are S^+ and S^- respectively. Therefore, the energy of a bound state for the electron in our system will be the energy level that gives a trajectory between these two equilibrium points, i.e. the value for E that makes the center-unstable manifold of S^- coincide with the center stable manifold of S^+ , resulting in a heteroclinic orbit connecting these two saddle-nodes. See Fig. 1.

Because the dynamical system (58) is 2π -periodic in Θ , one can view it as a dynamical system on a finite cylinder $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. As a result, there may exist connectors between S^- and S^+ that start on




Figure 1: A heteroclinic orbit between our saddle equilibrium points.

the left boundary and wrap around the cylinder multiple times before reaching the right boundary of the cylinder. For the purpose of analyzing the system it is convenient to “unwrap” the cylinder into a vertical strip $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times \mathbb{R}$ (the universal cover of the cylinder) and let us identify the rectangle $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times [-\pi, \pi]$ as the *fundamental domain*. Note that if we define a saddles connector as beginning in our fundamental domain at S^- , then it must connect to the S^+ which is in the fundamental domain, or to *some copy* of S^+ shifted down by some multiple of 2π . The number of times a trajectory wraps around the cylinder is called the **winding number** of the orbit. We define this as

$$N = \left\lfloor \frac{\Theta(-\infty) - \Theta(\infty)}{2\pi} \right\rfloor. \quad (68)$$

Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to x . We would like to find out whether or not saddles connectors exist for any non-negative winding number.

3 Existence of energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

3.1 Existence of a Saddle Connector with a given Winding Number

We apply a continuity argument to prove the existence of a heteroclinic saddles connector with a given winding number $N \geq 0$.

Theorem 1. *Let $N \geq 0$ be an integer and w_E be the energy-dependent winding number of trajectories whose α -limit is S^- . Then, for energy values $-1 \leq E' < E'' \leq 1$ such that*

$$w_{E'} \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad w_{E''} \geq N + 1 \quad (69)$$

there exists some $E \in (E', E'')$ such that there is a saddles connector \mathcal{W}_E with winding number $w_E = N$.

Proof. Define the orbit $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ to be one with energy E' whose α -limit is S^- in our fundamental domain and ω -limit located above a particular copy of S^+ at $z = \pi/2$ (i.e., above $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \arccos(E') - 2\pi N)$). Similarly define $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^-$ to be the orbit with a higher energy E'' whose α -limit is S^- in our fundamental domain and ω -limit located at some point below the same copy of S^+ at $z = \pi/2$ (i.e., below $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \arccos(E'') - 2\pi N)$). The existence of these orbits is guaranteed by the assumption (69).

Additionally, define orbits $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^+$ and $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^+$ whose ω -limits are S^+ in the fundamental domain of our phase portrait $\mathcal{C}^* = [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \times [-\pi, \pi]$ at the energy levels E' and E'' respectively. If the α -limit of one of these is S^- we already have a saddles connector and we’re done, so we can assume that these orbits will run

backward into some copy of N^- . Lastly, define $\sigma_{E'}$ and $\sigma_{E''}$ to be the alternate orbits analogous to $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^+$ and $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^+$, whose ω -limit is the copy of S^+ shifted down by $2\pi N$, (i.e., the points $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \arccos(E') - 2\pi N)$ and $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \arccos(E'') - 2\pi N)$ respectively).

Define $\mathcal{K}_{E'}$ as the open domain on our cylinder such that $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ and $\sigma_{E'}$ lie on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{K}_{E'}$, and define $\mathcal{K}_{E''}$ as the open domain on our cylinder such that $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^-$ and $\sigma_{E''}$ lie on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{K}_{E''}$. Orient each boundary so that the orientation induced on $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ and $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^-$ coincides with the direction of the flow (i.e., left to right). The signed area of \mathcal{K}_E is

$$A(E) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (y_E^+ - y_E^-) dz$$

where y_E^- denotes the Θ component of \mathcal{W}_E^- and y_E^+ denotes the Θ component of σ_E . Orbits in our dynamical system cannot intersect with one another, so either $y_E^+ - y_E^- \geq 0$ or $y_E^+ - y_E^- \leq 0$ for all $z \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Therefore, if a value of E exists such that $A(E) = 0$, then $y_E^+ = y_E^-$ and the orbits coincide. The right-hand equilibrium point of $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ is N^+ in our fundamental domain while the right-hand equilibrium point of $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^-$ is below our fundamental domain. By continuity of the area as a function of E , a saddle connector of winding number N must exist at some intermediate value of $E \in [E', E'']$ such that $A(E) = 0$.

Figure 2 illustrates the case $N = 0$. In this specific case, $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ is an orbit with winding number $w_{E'}$. It connects to N^+ in our fundamental domain, and since $\mathcal{W}_{E'}^-$ lies above $\sigma_{E'}$, $A(E') < 0$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{W}_{E''}^-$, an orbit of winding number $w_{E''} \geq 1$ lies beneath $\sigma_{E''}$, so $A(E'') > 0$. It remains to show that $A(E)$ is a continuous function of E in the interval $[E', E'']$ because that would imply, by intermediate value theorem, the existence of some $E \in [E', E'']$ such that $A(E) = 0$.

To show continuity, let $E_n \in [E', E'']$ be any sequence such that $E_n \rightarrow E$. Since our trajectories depend continuously on the parameter E , we have that $y_{E_n}^\pm \rightarrow y_E^\pm$ pointwise. Since y_E^\pm is monotone in E , both $y_{E_n}^-$ and $y_{E_n}^+$ are bounded uniformly. Therefore, $A(E_n) \rightarrow A(E)$ by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. \square




Figure 2: The above illustrates the curves \mathcal{W}_E^- and σ_E for $E = E'$ and for $E = E''$. When the energy E increases from E' to E'' , σ_E goes from below to above \mathcal{W}_E^- . Since these trajectories depend continuously on the energy, there must be a value of energy in which the signed area enclosed by the two curves, A_E , must become zero. Since the points at S^- and S^+ remain fixed, the trajectory at this value of E must be our desired heteroclinic saddle connector.

3.2 Construction of Barriers

Using barriers, we will prove the existence of an orbit with winding number $\leq N$ and prove the existence of another orbit with winding number $\geq N + 1$. Then we will apply Theorem 1 to prove the existence of a saddles connector with winding number N .

First we show a general result about orbits of more energy being a lower barrier for orbits of less energy:

Proposition 2. *Let $-1 \leq E_1 < E < E_2 \leq 1$. Let \mathcal{W}_E^- denote the unique orbit of the system (58) whose α -limit is $S_E^- = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 2\pi + \arccos(E))$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{E_1}^-$ is an upper barrier (as defined below) for \mathcal{W}_E^- and similarly $\mathcal{W}_{E_2}^-$ is a lower barrier for \mathcal{W}_E^- .*

Proof. Let $(z(\tau), \Theta_i(\tau))$ be the two orbits $\mathcal{W}_{E_i}^-$, for $i = 1, 2$. To prove the statement, we need to compare the slope of the orbit \mathcal{W}_E^- with the slopes of these. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Theta}{dz} \Big|_{\Theta=\Theta_1} - \frac{d\Theta_1}{dz} &= \sec^2(z) \left(\frac{d\Theta}{d\tau} \Big|_{\Theta=\Theta_1} - \frac{d\Theta_1}{d\tau} \right) \\ &= \sec^2(z) (G_E(z, \Theta_1) - G_{E_1}(z, \Theta_1)) = -2(E - E_1) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if the orbit \mathcal{W}_E^- were to cross $\mathcal{W}_{E_1}^-$, it could only cross it from above to below. Moreover, the α -limit of \mathcal{W}_E^- is clearly below that of $\mathcal{W}_{E_1}^-$, therefore it is impossible for \mathcal{W}_E^- to ever end up above $\mathcal{W}_{E_1}^-$. In this sense $\mathcal{W}_{E_1}^-$ is an upper barrier for \mathcal{W}_E^- . This proof can also be used to show that $\mathcal{W}_{E_2}^-$ is a lower barrier. \square

By the above proposition, if we can prove the existence of an orbit with $E = 1$ that connects an equilibrium point on the left-hand side of the cylinder with another on the right-hand side, since that is the highest value of energy possible, that orbit would acts as the mother of all floors, meaning it could be used as a universal lower barrier for all saddles connectors. This is accomplished in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *For $E = 1$, there exists a sequence of values $0 = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \gamma_3 < \dots$, so that if $\gamma \in (\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k)$, then there exists a heteroclinic orbit for system (58) with winding number k and another with winding number $k + 1$.*




Figure 3: A plot of a scaled version of $-iM_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir)$ vs r along with plots of solutions to the odd and even boundary value problems for several values of γ for $E = 1$.

Proof. We set $E = 1$ and rewrite the system (43):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{ds} &= \left(2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}e^{-|s|}\right)v \\ \frac{dv}{ds} &= -\frac{\gamma}{2}e^{-|s|}u. \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

From the second equation, $u = -\frac{2}{\gamma}e^{|s|}\frac{dv}{ds}$. Plugging that into the first equation, we obtain a second order linear ODE for $v(s)$:

$$\frac{d^2v}{ds^2} + \frac{s}{|s|}\frac{dv}{ds} + \left(\gamma e^{-|s|} + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}e^{-2|s|}\right)v = 0. \quad (71)$$

We observe that changing s to $-s$ leaves this equation invariant. It is therefore enough to solve the above on $(-\infty, 0)$ and then extend the function v to all of \mathbb{R} as an even function. Thus the equation to solve is

$$v'' - v' + \left(\gamma e^s + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}e^{2s}\right)v = 0, \quad -\infty < s < 0. \quad (72)$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to s . Having found v for $s < 0$, one can then solve for u by setting

$$u(s) = -\frac{2}{\gamma}e^{-s}\frac{dv}{ds}, \quad -\infty < s < 0. \quad (73)$$

Since the extended v is even, the extended u has to be an odd function, so we extend u to all of \mathbb{R} as an odd function. Note that v may not be differentiable at $s = 0$, and thus u may have a jump discontinuity there.

Once u and v are found in this way, one can compute $\theta = 2 \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$ and verify that it has the requisite winding number.

To solve (72), we make a change of variable that transforms it into a known equation: Let $r = \gamma e^s$ and define $w(r) = v(s)$. We then have $v_s = rv_r$ and $v_{ss} = r^2v_{rr} + rv_r$. We therefore obtain from (72) that

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}\right)w = 0 \quad (74)$$

which is known as Whittaker's equation, with parameters $\kappa = -i$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$. (To see that, rewrite 1 as $\frac{i}{i}$ and change variables to $x = ir$.) Here, \dot{w} is differentiation with respect to r .

The general solution of Whittaker's equation is a (complex) linear combination of the two Whittaker functions $M_{\kappa,\mu}$ and $W_{\kappa,\mu}$. We thus have that the general solution to (74) is

$$w_{gen}(r) = c_1 M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) + c_2 W_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \quad (75)$$

To find c_1 and c_2 we need to supplement (74) with two boundary conditions. These need to be set in such a way that the corresponding solution for the Θ equation has a desired winding number. We accomplish this by making sure v and u have asymptotic behaviors as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ that are compatible with the heteroclinic orbit beginning and ending at the right equilibrium points.

Recall that the equilibrium point on the left side of the cylinder corresponds to $s = -\infty$, and therefore to $r = 0$. We use the known asymptotic behavior at zero of the Whittaker functions that show up in (75):

$$M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(z) = z(1 + O(z)) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad W_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+i)} + O(z \ln z) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0 \quad (76)$$

(Γ is the Gamma function.)

It thus follows that the general solution (75) goes to a constant value $v_0 := c_2/\Gamma(1+i)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, which would be nonzero if $c_2 \neq 0$, so that $v(s) \sim v_0 \neq 0$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. From the equation satisfied by $u(s)$, namely

$$\frac{du}{ds} = (2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}e^s)v \quad (77)$$

it follows that as $s \searrow -\infty$, we have $\frac{du}{ds} \sim 2v_0$, and thus $u(s) \sim 2v_0s$, so that $\Theta(s) \sim 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{1}{2s}$ and thus by choosing a branch of arctan we can arrange it that $\Theta \nearrow 2\pi$ as $s \searrow -\infty$. Thus the corresponding Θ orbit has the correct α -limit, and the only condition we find on v is that $v_0 \neq 0$, which can be assured by choosing the boundary condition $v(0) = 1$ for (74). We also note that for $E = 1$ the function $G_E(z, \Theta)$ is negative everywhere, so that Θ would be a monotone decreasing function of z .

Thus, since the only equilibrium points of the system (58) are at $(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, the ω limit of this orbit is $\Theta(\infty) = -2\pi n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. The winding number of the orbit is thus $N = n + 1$.

Recall that v is an even function of s , and u is an odd function of s . It follows that the Θ orbit must be symmetric with respect to $s = 0$ and therefore $\Theta(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\Theta(-\infty) + \Theta(\infty)) = (2 - N)\pi$.

Now suppose N is odd. Then $\tan \frac{\Theta(0)}{2} = \pm\infty$, which can be achieved if $u(0) = 0$, i.e. $\frac{dv}{ds}(s = 0) = 0$. Thus, to have an orbit with an odd winding number, we may choose the other boundary condition for (74) on the interval $[0, \gamma]$ to be $\dot{w}(\gamma) = 0$ (recall that $r = \gamma e^s$ so $s = 0$ corresponds to $r = \gamma$.) We thus have the following boundary value problem for (74):

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}\right)w = 0, \quad w(0) = 1, \quad \dot{w}(\gamma) = 0. \quad (78)$$

Suppose on the other hand that N is even. We then have $\tan \frac{\Theta(0)}{2} = 0$, which can be achieved if $v(s = 0) = 0$. We thus obtain another boundary value problem for (75) on the interval $[0, \gamma]$ in that case:

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}\right)w = 0, \quad w(0) = 1, \quad w(\gamma) = 0. \quad (79)$$

Both of the above boundary value problems we can solve since we know the general solution (75). For the N odd case, we obtain:

$$w(r) = -\Gamma(1+i) \frac{W'_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)}{M'_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)} M_{-i,1/2}(ir) + \Gamma(1+i) W_{-i,1/2}(ir) \quad (80)$$

with prime denoting differentiation with respect to the argument of the Whittaker functions. This is a valid solution on $[0, \gamma]$ provided the denominator $M'_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)$ does not vanish. Similarly, for the case N even we find

$$w(r) = -\Gamma(1+i) \frac{W_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)}{M_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)} M_{-i,1/2}(ir) + \Gamma(1+i) W_{-i,1/2}(ir) \quad (81)$$

which is once again valid on $[0, \gamma]$ provided $M_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma) \neq 0$.

Note that despite the appearance of complex numbers in these solutions, they must be real, since they are solutions to real boundary value problems for linear equations with real coefficients. Complex coefficients appear because Whittaker functions themselves are complex-valued.

Let us therefore define the following increasing sequence γ_k of real numbers, with $\gamma_0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{2j-1} &= j\text{-th positive root of } M'_{-i,1/2} \\ \gamma_{2j} &= j\text{-th positive root of } M_{-i,1/2} \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (82)$$

For example, we can numerically compute the first few of these to be

$$\gamma_1 = 1.230870178, \gamma_2 = 2.934791015, \gamma_3 = 5.218667468, \gamma_4 = 7.643742568 \quad (83)$$

Thus, for any $\gamma > 0$ there exists exactly two orbits of (58) with $E = 1$.

Since $\gamma \in (\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k)$, the boundary value problems in (84) and (90) have valid solutions on $r \in [0, \gamma]$. We first consider the boundary value problem (84) when N is odd.

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}\right)w = 0, \quad w(0) = 1, \quad \dot{w}(\gamma) = 0. \quad (84)$$

where $w = w(r)$. Now, recall that

$$\begin{cases} w(r) = w(\gamma e^s) = v(s) & \text{if } s < 0 \\ v(s) = v(-s) & \text{if } s > 0 \end{cases} \quad (85)$$

since $r = \gamma e^s$ so $s = 0$ corresponds to $r = \gamma$.

For the odd boundary value problem, we have that

$$\begin{cases} v'(0) = 0 \\ v(s \rightarrow -\infty) = 1 \\ v(s \rightarrow \infty) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

In addition to this, $v'(s)$ will be zero $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1$ times. This is because for $r \leq \gamma$, the general solution $w(r)$ will have exactly $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ critical points by Lemma 1 (see Appendix). $w(r)$ on $r \in (0, \gamma]$ and $v(s)$ on $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ must share the same number of critical points since $v'(s) = \gamma e^s w'(\gamma e^s) = r w'(r)$. Since $v(s)$ is even, we double this number and subtract the one at $r = 0$ to get the number of critical points of $v(s)$ for $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Then, since

$$u(s) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} e^{-s} \frac{dv}{ds}, \quad -\infty < s < 0 \quad (87)$$

and we know that $u(s) = -u(-s)$ and $u(s) \sim 2v_0 s$, this means that

$$\begin{cases} u(s \rightarrow -\infty) = -\infty \\ u(0) = 0 \\ u(s \rightarrow \infty) = \infty \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

So, $u(s)$ will also be zero $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1$ times since $u \propto \frac{dv}{ds}$ by (95).

From here, since $\Theta = 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{v}{u}$ we determine that $\Theta(s \rightarrow \infty) = -2\pi(2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1)$. That is, Θ passes through the required number of branches of \tan^{-1} to match the number of times $\frac{v}{u}$ diverges for $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(s \rightarrow -\infty) = 2\pi \\ \Theta(s \rightarrow \infty) = -2\pi(2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1). \end{cases} \quad (89)$$

By definition, the winding number of such an orbit is $N = \frac{\Theta(-\infty) - \Theta(\infty)}{2\pi} = 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1$.

Now we consider the boundary value problem for an orbit that satisfies N being even.

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}\right) w = 0, \quad w(0) = 1, \quad w(\gamma) = 0. \quad (90)$$

where $w = w(r)$.

Here, since $v(0) = w(\gamma) = 0$, we see that:

$$\begin{cases} v(0) = 0 \\ v(s \rightarrow -\infty) = 1 \\ v(s \rightarrow \infty) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (91)$$

In addition to this, $v'(s)$ will be zero $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ times. This is because, for $r \leq \gamma$, the general solution $w(r)$ will have exactly $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ critical points by Lemma 1. Like before, $w(r)$ on $r \in (0, \gamma]$ and $v(s)$ on $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ must share the same number of critical points. Since $v(s)$ is even, we double this number to get the number of critical points of $v(s)$ for $s \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Likewise, $u(s)$ will also be zero $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ times and so,

$$\begin{cases} \Theta(s \rightarrow -\infty) = 2\pi \\ \Theta(s \rightarrow \infty) = -2\pi(2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor). \end{cases} \quad (92)$$

By definition, the winding number of such an orbit is $N = \frac{\Theta(-\infty) - \Theta(\infty)}{2\pi} = 2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$.

Thus, there exists a heteroclinic orbit for the system (58) with winding number $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor - 1$, and another one with winding number $2\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$. More simply, this means there exists an orbit with winding number k and another with winding number $k + 1$. Once these exist, there cannot be a third orbit with a higher winding number, as it would necessarily intersect with at least one of these two orbits which would violate the existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of ODEs. \square

An analogous result holds for $E = -1$ as well:

Theorem 3. *For $E = -1$, there exists a sequence of values $0 = \Gamma_0 < \Gamma_1 < \Gamma_2 < \Gamma_3 < \dots$, so that if $\gamma \in (\Gamma_{j-1}, \Gamma_j)$, for some $j \geq 1$, then there are exactly two heteroclinic orbits of the system (58), one with winding number $j - 2$ and another one with winding number $j - 1$.*

Proof. We set $E = -1$ and rewrite the system (43):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{ds} &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}e^{-|s|}\right)v \\ \frac{dv}{ds} &= \left(2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}e^{-|s|}\right)u \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

From the first equation, $v = \frac{2}{\gamma}e^{|s|}\frac{du}{ds}$. Plugging that into the second equation, we obtain a second order linear ODE for $u(s)$:

$$\frac{d^2u}{ds^2} + \frac{s}{|s|}\frac{du}{ds} + \left(-\gamma e^{-|s|} + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}e^{-2|s|}\right)u = 0 \quad (94)$$

We observe that changing s to $-s$ leaves this equation invariant. It is therefore enough to solve the above on $(-\infty, 0)$ and then extend the function u to all of \mathbb{R} as an even function. Thus the equation to solve is

$$u'' - u' + \left(-\gamma e^s + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}e^{2s}\right)u = 0, \quad -\infty < s < 0. \quad (95)$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to s . Having found u for $s < 0$, one can then solve for v by setting

$$v(s) = \frac{2}{\gamma}e^{-s}\frac{du}{ds}, \quad -\infty < s < 0 \quad (96)$$

Since the extended u is even, the extended v has to be an odd function, so we extend v to all of \mathbb{R} as an odd function.

Once u and v are found in this way, one can compute $\theta = 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$ and verify that it has the requisite winding number.

To solve (95), we make a change of variable that transforms it into a known equation: Let $r = \gamma e^s$. We then have $u_s = ru_r$ and $u_{ss} = r^2 u_{rr} + ru_r$. We therefore obtain from (95) that

$$\ddot{u} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{r}\right)u = 0 \quad (97)$$

where \ddot{u} is the second derivative of u with respect to r . This is Whittaker's equation, with parameters $\kappa = i$ and $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$, which can be seen with the change of variables $x = ir$.

The general solution of Whittaker's equation is a (complex) linear combination of the two Whittaker functions $M_{\kappa,\mu}$ and $W_{\kappa,\mu}$. We thus have that the general solution to (97) is

$$u_{gen}(r) = c_1 M_{i,\frac{1}{2}}(ir) + c_2 W_{i,\frac{1}{2}}(ir), \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \quad (98)$$

To find c_1 and c_2 we need to supplement (74) with two boundary conditions. These need to be set in such a way that the corresponding solution for the Θ equation has a desired winding number. We accomplish this by making sure v and u have asymptotic behaviors as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$ that are compatible with the heteroclinic orbit beginning and ending at the right equilibrium points.

Recall that the equilibrium point on the left side of the cylinder corresponds to $s = -\infty$, and therefore to $r = 0$. We use the known asymptotic behavior at zero of the Whittaker functions that show up in (98):

$$M_{i,\frac{1}{2}}(z) = z(1 + O(z)) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad W_{i,\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-i)} + O(z \ln z) \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0 \quad (99)$$

(Γ is the Gamma function.)

It thus follows that the general solution (98) goes to a constant value $u_0 := c_2/\Gamma(1-i)$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, which would be nonzero if $c_2 \neq 0$, so that $u(s) \sim u_0 \neq 0$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$. From the equation satisfied by $v(s)$, namely

$$\frac{dv}{ds} = \left(2 - \frac{\gamma}{2}e^s\right)u \quad (100)$$

it follows that as $s \searrow -\infty$, we have $\frac{dv}{ds} \sim 2u_0$, and thus $v(s) \sim 2u_0 s$, so that $\Theta(s) \sim 2 \tan^{-1} 2s$ and thus by choosing a branch of arctan we can arrange it that $\Theta \searrow 3\pi$ as $s \searrow -\infty$. Thus the corresponding Θ orbit has the correct α -limit, and the only condition we find on u is that $u_0 \neq 0$, which can be assured by choosing

the boundary condition $u(0) = 1$ for (97). Also, we note that, in contrast to the $E = 1$ case, here Θ will be an *increasing* function of z in a neighborhood of the endpoints.

Since the only equilibrium points of the system (58) are at $(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi + 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$, the ω limit of this orbit is $\Theta(\infty) = 3\pi - 2\pi n$ for some integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The winding number of the orbit is thus $N = n$ (which can be negative).

Recall that u is an even function of s , and v is an odd function of s . It follows that the Θ orbit must be symmetric with respect to $s = 0$ and therefore $\Theta(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\Theta(-\infty) + \Theta(\infty)) = 3\pi - \pi N$.

Now suppose N is odd. Then $\tan \frac{\Theta(0)}{2} = 0$, which can be achieved if $v(0) = 0$, i.e. $\frac{du}{ds}(s = 0) = 0$. Thus, to have an orbit with an odd winding number, we may choose the other boundary condition for (97) on the interval $[0, \gamma]$ to be $\dot{u}(\gamma) = 0$ (recall that $r = \gamma e^s$ so $s = 0$ corresponds to $r = \gamma$.) We thus have the following boundary value problem for (97):

$$\ddot{u} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{r}\right)u = 0, \quad u(0) = 1, \quad \dot{u}(\gamma) = 0. \quad (101)$$

Suppose on the other hand that N is even. We then have $\tan \frac{\Theta(0)}{2} = \pm\infty$, which can be achieved if $u(s = 0) = 0$. We thus obtain another boundary value problem for (75) on the interval $[0, \gamma]$ in that case:

$$\ddot{u} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{r}\right)u = 0, \quad u(0) = 1, \quad u(\gamma) = 0. \quad (102)$$

Both of the above boundary value problems we can solve since we know the general solution (98). For the N odd case, we obtain (with prime denoting differentiation with respect to the argument of the Whittaker functions):

$$u(r) = -\Gamma(1-i) \frac{W'_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)}{M'_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)} M_{i,1/2}(ir) + \Gamma(1-i) W_{i,1/2}(ir) \quad (103)$$

which is a valid solution on $[0, \gamma]$ provided the denominator $M'_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)$ does not vanish. Similarly, for the case N even we find

$$u(r) = -\Gamma(1-i) \frac{W_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)}{M_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)} M_{i,1/2}(ir) + \Gamma(1-i) W_{i,1/2}(ir) \quad (104)$$

which is once again valid on $[0, \gamma]$ provided $M_{i,1/2}(i\gamma) \neq 0$. Note that despite the appearance of complex numbers in these solutions, they must be real, since they are solutions to real boundary value problems for linear equations with real coefficients. Complex coefficients appear because Whittaker functions themselves are complex-valued.

Let us therefore define the following increasing sequence Γ_k of real numbers, with $\Gamma_0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_{2j-1} &= j\text{-th positive root of } M'_{i,1/2} \\ \Gamma_{2j} &= j\text{-th positive root of } M_{i,1/2} \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (105)$$

For example, we can numerically compute the first few of these to be

$$\Gamma_1 = 7.3148, \Gamma_2 = 11.6282, \Gamma_3 = 15.3354, \Gamma_4 = 18.9491 \quad (106)$$

Let $\gamma > 0$ be given. There exists an integer $j \geq 1$ such that $\gamma \in [\Gamma_{j-1}, \Gamma_j)$. We therefore have that $\gamma < \Gamma_j$ and $\gamma < \Gamma_{j+1}$, so that both boundary value problems in the above have valid solutions.

We prove in Lemma 1 (see Appendix) that for $E = -1$, $u(r)$ will have $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ zeroes between $r \in [0, \gamma]$ in the solution to (101) and $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ zeroes between $r \in [0, \gamma]$ in the solution to (102). Consequently, the number of zeros of $u(s)$ would be $2\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ and $2\lfloor \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor - 1$ respectively, noting that the 1 is subtracted in the latter case to avoid double counting the zeroes of $u(s)$ at $s = 0$ due to our boundary condition.

Consider the case $j = 1$. In that case, u will have no zeros, which implies that the branch of arctan to be chosen goes from $3\pi/2$ to $5\pi/2$, or in other words Θ goes from 3π up to 5π , so that the winding number of the corresponding orbit is $N = -1$. From here we deduce that in general, the winding number of corresponding $E = -1$ orbits will be $2\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $2\lfloor \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor - 2$, respectively.

It follows that for $\gamma \in (\Gamma_{j-1}, \Gamma_j)$ and $E = -1$, there exists a heteroclinic orbit for the system (58) with winding number $j - 2$ and another with winding number $j - 1$. As in the case of $E = 1$, there cannot be a third orbit with a higher winding number, as it would necessarily intersect with at least one of these two orbits which would violate the existence and uniqueness theorem for solutions of ODEs.

Thus, for any $\gamma > 0$ there exists exactly two orbits of (58) with $E = -1$. \square

We can now state and prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem 4. *Let $\gamma > 0$ be given. There exists integers $n \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$ such that $\gamma \in (\gamma_{j-1}, \gamma_j) \cap (\Gamma_n, \Gamma_{n+1})$. Let $k(n) \geq 1$ be the smallest integer with the property that $\gamma_{n+k(n)} > \Gamma_n$. Then the dynamical system (58) has saddles connectors with all winding numbers between n and $j - 1$. As a result, the Hamiltonian (5) has a ground state with winding number n and a maximum of $k(n + 1) - 1$ excited states with higher winding numbers. Thus the discrete spectrum of the Hamiltonian consists of finitely many simple eigenvalues in $(-1, 1)$ that form a monotone increasing sequence.*




Figure 4: Winding numbers of saddles connectors versus γ

Proof. For $j \geq 1$ let $I_j := [\gamma_{j-1}, \gamma_j)$ and $J_j := [\Gamma_{j-1}, \Gamma_j)$. These are two families of disjoint intervals covering $[0, \infty)$. Let $\gamma > 0$ be given. Then there is a unique $n \geq 0$ such that $\gamma \in J_{n+1}$ and there is a unique integer $j \geq 1$ such that $\gamma \in I_j$. One can also check that $\gamma_i < \Gamma_i$ for all $i \geq 1$, which implies that we must have

$$n + 1 \leq j \leq n + k(n + 1).$$

Therefore Theorem 2 guarantees the existence of orbits with $E = 1$ and winding numbers j and $j + 1$, while Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of orbits with $E = -1$ and winding numbers $n - 1$ and n for $E = -1$. We also know that no other orbits of different winding numbers can exist at these values of energy. Since there exists an orbit with winding number n for $E = -1$ and an orbit with winding number $j \geq n + 1$ for $E = 1$, By Theorem 1, this means that there exists some $E \in (-1, 1)$ such that there exists a saddles connector with winding number n . Since the $E = 1$ orbits act as universal lower barriers, the existence of the $E = 1$ orbit with winding number j implies that there can be no saddles connector with winding number j or higher. Similarly, since $E = -1$ orbits function as universal upper barriers, the existence of an $E = -1$ orbit with winding number n rules out the possibility of a saddles connector with a winding number $n - 1$ or lower as well. Otherwise, all winding numbers between n and $j - 1$ are allowed for saddle connectors, and their existence can be established by repeated use of Theorem 1. It follows that for $\gamma \in J_n \cap I_j$, the Hamiltonian (5) has a total of $j - n$ bound states, with the ground state having winding number n . Since $j \leq n + k(n + 1)$, the maximum number of bound states is $k(n + 1)$. See Fig. 4. \square

4 Numerical Investigation of Discrete Energy Levels

In this section we use the computational software package Matlab [4] to create a binary search program that will allow us to input an initial guess E_N^0 for the actual energy eigenvalue E_N of a saddles connector with a specified winding number N , and a tolerance ϵ , and it will search for an approximate eigenvalue E_N^{app} such that $|E_N^{\text{app}} - E_N| < \epsilon$.

In order to find these approximate values, we first numerically solve the Θ equation

$$\Theta' = 2 \cos \Theta - \gamma e^{-|s|} - 2E, \quad (107)$$

for the given $E = E_N^0$ and the initial value

$$\Theta(0) = \Theta_N = \frac{1}{2}(\Theta(-\infty) + \Theta(\infty)) = \frac{1}{2}(2\pi + \cos^{-1} E + 2\pi - \cos^{-1} E - 2\pi N) = \pi(2 - N).$$

(Here we are using the fact that the solution to the above equation will be symmetric under reflection with respect to $(s = 0, \Theta = \Theta(0))$.)

The parameter γ in the equation is the product of the electric charges of the electron and the nucleus (in non-dimensionalized units). Since we are working in one space dimension, we do not have a-priori knowledge of what the physically meaningful range of values is for γ , so that we treat it as a parameter that can have any positive value.

Once a numerical solution is found in the interval $[0, S_N]$ for $S_N > 0$ suitably large, by reflecting it across the initial point we can obtain a numerical approximation to the desired saddles connector in the interval $[-S_N, S_N]$. However, since the end points of such a connector are necessarily saddle-nodes, the orbit itself is expected to be highly unstable, and therefore we expect that, when solving the equation forward in s , the computed solution will either overshoot or undershoot its target, depending on whether the initial guess for the energy is above or below the actual eigenvalue. Thus by doing a binary search, we can successively halve the length of the interval in which the eigenvalue lies, until it is less than 2ϵ .

We can now investigate the relationship between γ and the corresponding energy eigenvalues for various winding numbers (see Fig. 5).




Figure 5: This figure shows the energy eigenvalue as a function of γ , for different winding numbers.

From the figure, we can see that only certain winding numbers exist for any given γ . For example, if we look at $0 < \gamma < 5$, there only exist winding numbers 0, 1, and 2. The curves of winding numbers 3 and 4 begin at some value $\gamma > 5$. This means an atom that corresponds to $\gamma = 5$ has only one ground and two excited states.

Interestingly, for larger value of γ , the ground state may not have winding number 0. For example, solutions with three winding numbers exist for $\gamma = 7.5$, but this value of γ is larger than where the winding

number 0 curve crashes into the lower part of the continuous spectrum, so the ground state for this γ has winding number 1, and once again, it has two excited states (winding numbers 2 and 3). All of these observations are completely consistent with our Theorem 4, and are in sharp contrast to the three-dimensional Hydrogenic hamiltonian, where eigenfunctions with any non-negative winding number exist for any value of $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, while for $\gamma \geq 1$ the hamiltonian stops being self-adjoint.

For the remainder of this numerical investigation, we will only consider the γ values and winding numbers where we expect saddle connectors to exist, according to Figure 5.

The instability of saddles connectors that was previously mentioned in the above also means that, in computing $\Theta(s)$, we need to choose S_N 's so that they are neither too small (so that the solution has a chance to stabilize) nor too large (so that it has not yet veered off to the wrong equilibrium point at $s = \infty$.) In practice we do this by watching the $\Theta(s)$ values and stopping the computation when we see that the energy stabilizes. See the upper left-hand corner plots in Figures 6 through 9. Once the correct $\Theta(s)$ is found in $[-S_N, S_N]$ we truncate it outside this interval and extend it to all \mathbb{R} by keeping its values constant on each side of that interval (see the upper right-hand plots in Figures 6 – 9.) We then use this $\Theta(s)$ to compute $R(s)$ by numerically integrating (53), thus calculating the probability density function $\rho = R^2(s)$, which we plot as a function of s (See the lower left-hand corner plots in Figures 6 – 9). Finally, we can use equation (30) to plot the corresponding eigenfunctions $(u(s), v(s))$ as a parametric curve in the uv -plane. These will be curves that have to begin and end at the origin due to the integrability condition (50). See the plots in the lower right-hand corner of figures 6 – 9. These shapes are therefore the 1-dimensional analogues of the familiar hydrogenic orbitals in 3 dimensional space.

We first look at the case $\gamma = 0.5$, where only the ground state ($n = 0$) is supposed to exist.




Figure 6: Plots for $\gamma = 0.5$, $n = 0$

The plot on the lower right-hand corner of Figure 6 shows us that the electron is most likely to be where the nucleus is, and in fact there is a non-zero probability of it being almost on top of the nucleus, in stark contrast to the three-dimensional case. This is to be expected, since unlike the Coulomb potential, our electrostatic potential ϕ does not diverge at $s = 0$. Another interesting feature of this plot is that it is for the winding number $n = 0$ case, and the probability density function has one crest. As we will see in the

high γ plots, a pattern emerges where the number of crests in the graph of the probability density function is equal to $n + 1$, where n is the winding number of the saddles connector in question.

We now look at the case of $\gamma = 7.5$. For this value, the saddles connector with $n = 0$ does not exist, and thus the ground state has winding number $n = 1$.




Figure 7: Plots for $\gamma = 7.5$, $n = 1$

We can also repeat this analysis for two excited states ($n = 2$ and $n = 3$).




Figure 8: Plots for $\gamma = 7.5$, $n = 2$




Figure 9: Plots for $\gamma = 7.5$, $n = 3$

Interestingly, the graph of Θ looks very similar for each winding number (as well as in the case $\gamma = 0.5$), except they have different starting and ending points. The probability density plots demonstrate that the electron prefers to stay close to the origin, but there are multiple local maxima (regions where the electron has a higher probability of being located). This is the same conclusion as in the low γ case, and these probability density plots have the property that their number of crests equals $n + 1$.

5 Summary and Outlook

In this paper we analyzed the spectrum of the Dirac Hamiltonian for a single electron in the electrostatic potential of a point nucleus in one spatial dimension, and in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation where we fixed the nucleus at the origin. In order for the discrete spectrum to be non-empty, we had to screen the electrostatic potential so that it had exponential decay at spatial infinity. We showed that the resulting Hamiltonian is essentially self-adjoint and its essential spectrum is the same as the three-dimensional spectrum for the Dirac operator.

To analyze the coupled system of linear ODEs that arise in the study of the discrete spectrum of the Hamiltonian, we used a Prüfer transform to recast the equations as a dynamical system on the surface of a finite cylinder. We linearized the system, found the equilibrium points to be exclusively on the two circular boundaries of the cylinder, and determined the local flow near these equilibrium points using center manifold theory, showing that any heteroclinic orbit connecting the two saddle-node points corresponds to a bound state for the electron. We showed that these orbits have a well-defined winding number, and we proved that for any given atomic number for the nucleus, there are only finitely many bound states.

One direction we plan to take to continue this investigation is to find either exact formulas or at least good upper and lower estimates for the energy eigenvalues in terms of the other relevant non-dimensional parameters in the problem (nuclear charge, winding number, screening length, etc.)

Another future task is to incorporate relativistic gravitational effects into this Hamiltonian. The above analysis can then be repeated to find the corresponding energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions in that case. The difference between the energy eigenvalues in presence of gravity with those in the absence of gravity may have an interpretation as the energy of the one-dimensional analog of gravitons.

6 Appendix

6.1 Behavior of the Whittaker Functions

Now, consider the series expansion for the Whittaker M function.

$$M_{k,m}(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}+m} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}+m-k)_s}{(1+2m)_s s!} z^s \quad (108)$$

which is determinate so long as $2m$ is not a negative integer. In our case, $m = \frac{1}{2}$ and $k = -i$. So, using these parameters we get

$$M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) = ire^{-\frac{1}{2}ir} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+i)_s}{(2)_s s!} (ir)^s = ire^{-\frac{1}{2}ir} \left(1 + \frac{1+i}{2}ir + O(r^2)\right) \quad (109)$$

and therefore $M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(i \cdot 0) = 0$.

We also compute the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) = ire^{-\frac{1}{2}ir} \left(\frac{1+i}{2}i + O(r)\right) + (ie^{-\frac{1}{2}ir} + \frac{1}{2}re^{-\frac{1}{2}ir}) \left(1 + \frac{1+i}{2}r + O(r^2)\right) \quad (110)$$

and therefore $\frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(i \cdot 0) = i$

It is known that the Whittaker M function will have a zero between consecutive critical points, and similarly, there is a critical point between consecutive zeroes. More exactly, the zeroes and critical points are interlaced and there exist infinitely many of them. The Whittaker W function also has interlaced zeros and critical points and infinitely many of them, a known result [7].

Since $-i \cdot M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(i \cdot 0) = 0$ and $-i \cdot \frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(i \cdot 0) = 1$, the function $-i \cdot M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir)$ starts at $r = 0$ which is its first zero, defined as γ_0 , and increases until its first critical point, defined as γ_1 . Then, between γ_1 (a critical point) and γ_2 (a zero), $-i \cdot M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(z)$ must be decreasing, since $-i \cdot M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_1) > 0$. The sign of the derivative does not change until the next critical point γ_3 and so the function keeps decreasing until γ_3 . Then, it increases between γ_3 and γ_5 (where γ_5 is the next critical point), with γ_4 being the zero in between. It must increase, otherwise there would be no zero interlaced between the two critical points. And so, this sine-like behavior continues to repeat indefinitely, since the Whittaker M function is known to have infinitely many zeroes and critical points.

So, the general pattern is as follows: Let n be a non-negative integer

If $r \in (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+2})$ for $j = 4n$, then $-iM_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) > 0$ on that domain. If $j = 4n + 2$, then $-iM_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) < 0$ on that domain.

If $r \in (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+2})$ for $j = 4n + 3$, then $-i \cdot \frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) > 0$ on that domain. If $j = 4n + 2$, then $-i \cdot \frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) < 0$ on that domain. Also, as mentioned before, $-i \cdot \frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) > 0$ for $r \in (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ and $-i \cdot \frac{d}{dr} M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir) < 0$ for $r \in (\gamma_1, \gamma_3)$.

6.2 Wronskian

For the Whittaker functions, the Wronskian is given by [2]

$$\mathscr{W} \{M_{\kappa, \mu}(z), W_{\kappa, \mu}(z)\} = -\frac{\Gamma(1+2\mu)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa)} \quad (111)$$

which for $\kappa = -i$ and $\mu = 1/2$ evaluates to

$$\mathscr{W} \left\{ M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(z), W_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(z) \right\} = -\frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(1+i)} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+i)} \quad (112)$$

6.3 Proof of a Single Critical Point between γ_0 and γ_1 for $w(r)$

We evaluate $w(r)$ by first substituting equations 13.14.2 and 13.14.3 of [2] for $M_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ and $W_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ respectively into (84) and (90). Then we differentiate. We evaluate the subsequent $M'_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ and $W'_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ terms separately and show that first is finite while the latter diverges to ∞ at $r = 0$.

The definition of the Whittaker M function as given by [2] is

$$M_{-i,\frac{1}{2}}(z) = e^{-\frac{z}{2}} z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} M\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z\right). \quad (113)$$

In our case, $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\kappa = -i$. If we define

$$f(z) = e^{-\frac{z}{2}} z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu}, \quad (114)$$

it is clear that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = i$. So, in order to show $M'_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ is finite at $r = 0$, it will suffice to show that $M(1+i, 2, z)$ and $M'(1+i, 2, z)$ is finite at $z = 0$. As per 13.2.2 of [2]

$$M(a, b, z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_s}{(b)_s s!} z^s = 1 + \frac{a}{b} z + \frac{a(a+1)}{b(b+1)2!} z^2 + \dots \quad (115)$$

In our case, $a = 1+i$ and $b = 2$ so

$$M'(a, b, 0) = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{1+i}{2}. \quad (116)$$

This is finite, and the $M'_{-i,1/2}(i\gamma)$ in our solution to the odd N boundary value problem (80) will also have a finite coefficient for the first term so long as $\gamma \neq \gamma_j$ for odd j and $j = 0$. Similarly, the first term of the even N boundary value problem (81) will be finite so long as $\gamma \neq \gamma_j$ for even j . This condition is necessary for the respective boundary value problems.

The definition of the Whittaker W function given by [2] is

$$W_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z} z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z\right), \quad (117)$$

where

$$U(a, n+1, z) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n! \Gamma(a-n)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(n+1)_k k!} z^k (\ln z + \psi(a+k) - \psi(1+k) - \psi(n+k+1)) \\ + \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k-1)!(1-a+k)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!} z^{-k}, \quad (118)$$

and ψ is the digamma function.

Since the derivative of the $M'_{-i,1/2}(0)$ term is finite, it will suffice to show that the real part of $\Gamma(1+i) \frac{d}{dr} W_{-i,1/2}(ir)$ is infinitely positive at $r = 0$. The complex parts of the M' and W' terms will cancel since the derivative of our solution must also be real.

In our case,

$$\Gamma(1+i) \frac{d}{dr} W_{-i,1/2}(ir) = i\Gamma(1+i)(f(ir)U'(1+i, 2, ir) + f'(ir)U(1+i, 2, ir)). \quad (119)$$

By using our formula for $U(1+i, 2, ir)$, we determine that the real part limit of this equation as r approaches 0 is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} i \frac{\Gamma(1+i)}{\Gamma(i)} \ln(r) = -\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) = \infty. \quad (120)$$

Thus, $w'(\gamma_0) > 0$.

In the $E = -1$ case, it is simple to check that when computing the derivative of $u(r)$ at Γ_0 , the limit in equation 120 would be $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} i \frac{\Gamma(1-i)}{\Gamma(-i)} \ln(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln(r) = -\infty$ instead of positive.

On the other hand, $w'(\gamma_1) < 0$. γ_1 is a root of $M'_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir)$, so this term is 0. The $W'_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(\gamma_1)$ term is approximately -1.8 , so the derivative at γ_1 for our solution is always negative. Then, since $w'(\gamma_0) > 0$ and $w'(\gamma_1) < 0$, there must be a critical point of w between γ_0 and γ_1 . We claim this will be the only critical point in this interval. Suppose there were two critical points between (γ_0, γ_1) . By Rolle's theorem, there will be a point r_0 in between where the second derivative is zero, and by our differential equation, this point is a root of $w(r)$. That requires the sign of $w(r)$ must change at r_0 , since if it did not, then there would be at least three critical points in the interval or in other words two points at which $w''(r) = 0$ by Rolle's theorem or two roots between (γ_0, γ_2) . This violates Sturm's separation theorem which guarantees at most one root in this interval between consecutive roots of $M_{-i, 1/2}(ir)$ [7]. So the other possibility is that the sign of $w(r)$ changes. However, by Theorem 1 of [1], if y_2 and y_1 are independent solutions of our differential equation on an open interval (a, b) , $\frac{y_2}{y_1}$ will be monotonic on that open interval. $M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir)$ is one such solution, and it must be monotonic between γ_0 and γ_1 . Using Theorem 1 of [1], this implies that the quotient of linearly independent solutions $w(r)/M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(ir)$ must be monotonic. Then, $w(r)$ is monotonic under the condition that $w'(r)$ or $M'_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(r)$ are not flat zero for on an interval. This condition holds, for if it didn't, this would require three or more critical points due to Rolle's theorem and this would violate Sturm's separation theorem since there would be two or more roots in the interval. So, the zeros are isolated. This guarantees that both $w(r)$ and $M_{-i, \frac{1}{2}}(r)$ must remain monotonic in order to satisfy their division being monotonic. Hence, $w(r)$ is monotonic on (γ_0, γ_1) . This contradicts the fact that the sign of $w(r)$ would have to change at r_0 . Hence, our hypothesis that there were two critical points is false. Thus, if $\gamma \in (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$ there is exactly one critical point of $w(r)$.

These results apply to both the odd and even winding number solutions (80) and (81).

6.4 Critical Points and Roots of $E = 1$ and $E = -1$ Boundary Value Problems

Lemma 1. *Let $\gamma \in (\gamma_{k-1}, \gamma_k) \cap (\Gamma_{j-1}, \Gamma_j)$. For the $E = 1$ boundary value problems, the general solution $w(r)$ to (84) will have $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ critical points in $r \in (0, \gamma]$ and the general solution to (90) will have $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ critical points. For the boundary value problems corresponding to $E = -1$, the general solution to (101) will have $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ roots and the general solution to (102) will have $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We use induction and start with the $E = 1$ cases.

We define

$$f(r) = c_1 M_{-i, 1/2}(ir). \quad (121)$$

We begin by differentiating the boundary value problem (84) for odd winding number solutions with respect to r once more. We get

$$\ddot{w} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r} \right) \dot{w} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\ddot{w}}{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}} = 0 \quad (122)$$

where we substitute $w = -\frac{\ddot{w}}{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}}$ given by our original differential equation. Then, we rewrite this with $z = \dot{w}$ as

$$\ddot{z} + \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r} \right) z + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\dot{z}}{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{r}} = 0. \quad (123)$$

This is a homogeneous second order linear differential equation of z . As such, we can apply the Sturm separation theorem [1]. Both $z = \dot{w}(r)$, where $w(r)$ is given by the odd boundary value problem solution (80), and $\dot{f}(r)$ satisfy this differential equation (for different initial value problems). By the Sturm separation theorem, $z(r) = \dot{w}(r)$ has exactly one root between successive roots of $\dot{f}(r) = ic_1 M'_{-i, 1/2}(ir)$. In other words, there is exactly one critical point of w between successive roots of $\dot{f}(r)$ which are given by (γ_j, γ_{j+2}) for j odd. Similarly, between successive roots of $f(r)$, corresponding to γ with even indices, there is only one root to our general solution to both boundary value problems. This applies to both the $E = 1$ and $E = -1$ cases, one only needs to substitute r with $-r$ and γ_i with Γ_i and all else holds.

Let $k = 1$, then $\gamma \in (\gamma_0, \gamma_1)$. In the case of the odd boundary value problem (84), we are guaranteed one critical point due to the boundary value condition. There cannot be any more, as proven in the previous

section. Similarly, the even boundary value problem (90) gives us one critical point because $\dot{w}(\gamma_0) > 0$ and $w(\gamma) = 0$, and more than one critical point would give us more than one zero in this interval due to Rolle's theorem and our differential equation, which would violate Sturm's theorem. Indeed, for $k = 1$, there are $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} + 1 \rfloor = 1$ critical point for the solution to (84) and $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 1$ critical points for the solution to (90).

Now suppose that for $k = n$, the number of critical points is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ for the solution to (84) and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ for the solution to (90).

Now let $k = n + 1$. We claim that if k is even, the solution of (84) will gain one additional critical point and that for k odd, the solution of (90) will gain one additional critical point. We have already shown the previous Appendix section that the critical point between (γ_0, γ_1) will be preserved for all γ . Furthermore, the Sturm critical points between consecutive odd γ_i will also be preserved. If k is even, then we add a new Sturm critical point between $(\gamma_{k-3}, \gamma_{k-1})$ to the solution of (84), in addition to our boundary value condition. Otherwise, if k is odd, the previous critical points that are guaranteed in the $k = n$ case are preserved and no new critical points can enter due to Sturm's separation theorem. Similarly, for k odd, the critical points of (90) are preserved, and there will be an additional critical point between (γ_{k-1}, γ_k) due to the addition of a Sturm zero between $(\gamma_{k-3}, \gamma_{k-1})$ and the zero at our boundary value. This new critical point must occur in this particular interval because between intervals of consecutive even-indexed γ_i , roots must come after critical points, as this is the starting behavior of our solution for all γ , and due to the alternation of critical points and roots, violating this behavior at larger values of r would result in one too many roots in at least one such interval.

Counting up the critical points in each case, we verify that there are $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ for the solution to (84) and $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ for the solution to (90), proving our inductive hypothesis.

The $E = -1$ case is similar in proof. The base case is shown using the boundary value conditions of (101) and (102). For $\Gamma \in (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, we are only guaranteed a zero in the case of (102) due to the boundary value condition, and there is only one such zero due to Sturm's separation theorem. The case of (101) does not have a Sturm zero. To prove that there is no root at all, we examine the solution to our equation (103) on (Γ_0, Γ_1) . The second term is a multiple of the Whittaker-W function whose first root for $r > 0$ is at $r \approx 7.55 > \Gamma_1$ and is outside the interval. The function then must be decreasing inside the interval since the derivative at $r = 0$ is negative and the first critical point is outside the interval. At Γ_0 , the first term is zero and by our boundary value condition, we have that $\Gamma(1-i)W_{i,1/2}(ir) = 1$ which is positive. Hence, the real part of $\Gamma(1-i)W_{i,1/2}(ir)$ is positive between (Γ_0, Γ_1) . The real part of the first term is monotonic because it is some multiple $M_{i,1/2}(ir)$ and we are in the open interval of a root followed by the next critical point of the function. For $\gamma \in (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$, the real part of the quotient factor $\frac{W'_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)}{M'_{i,1/2}(i\gamma)}$ is negative solely in $2.11 \lesssim \gamma \lesssim 6.31$ and obtains a minimum value of -0.00671 at $\gamma = 4$. The maximum real value of $\Gamma(1-i)M_{i,1/2}(ir)$ in this negative interval is at $r = 6.31$ due to the monotonicity. This is because the real part of the function is increasing which can be verified by computing the derivative at any point in this interval. The value of this maximum is $\Re[\Gamma(1-i)M_{i,1/2}(i \cdot 6.31)] \approx 3.22$. So, the product of the real parts of each factor in the first term is at least $3.22 \cdot -0.00671 \approx -0.022$. However, $\Gamma(1-i)W_{i,1/2}(ir)$ is decreasing on the interval (its first root for $r > 0$ is outside the interval and we know that this function is 1 at $r = 0$), and its positive real part at $r = 6.31$ is 0.055 . Since $0.055 - 0.022 = 0.033 > 0$, we can be assured that the product of the real parts of the factors, when summed with the real part of the second term, will never be negative in $r \in (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ for any value of $\gamma \in (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$. However, we must also consider the real value of the product of imaginary parts in the first term. The imaginary part of the quotient factor has a positive minimum at $r = 4$ on this interval and the imaginary part of $\Gamma(1-i)M_{i,1/2}(ir)$ is negative and decreasing, which can be verified by simply computing the derivative at $r = 0$ and using the fact that the function is monotone on the interval. So, the product of the imaginary parts for the first term will have a positive real part term.

Hence, we can conclude that $u(r)$ will be positive on the interval and therefore has no root in (Γ_0, Γ_1) for $\gamma \in (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ in the case of (101). This establishes the base case, since for $j = 1$, there are $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 0$ roots for the solution to (101) and $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor = 1$ root for the solution to (102).

Now suppose that for $j = n$, the number of roots is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ for the solution to (101) and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ for the solution to (102).

Now let $j = n + 1$. If j is odd, then the solution to (102) preserves the number Sturm roots from the previous intervals of consecutive, even-indexed Γ_i that existed in the $j = n$ case, although not necessarily at the exact same value, and gains one new root between (Γ_{j-1}, Γ_j) due to the boundary condition. The

solution does not gain an additional root if j is even since there can only be one root in (Γ_{j-2}, Γ_j) which had already been fulfilled by our boundary value condition. For the solution to (101), it will also preserve all Sturm roots from the previous even-indexed intervals of Γ_i . In addition to this, it will preserve all Sturm critical points in the odd-indexed intervals. If j is even, then a new critical point will be added to the existing ones from the $j = n$ case between (Γ_{j-1}, Γ_j) . This will induce a new root between the last two critical points, and so the solution to (101) will gain an additional root if j is even. On the other hand, if j is odd, there is no new critical point, and no new root will be added. If another root were to be added, then it would violate Sturm's separation theorem in one of the intervals, which one can easily verify using Rolle's theorem and the fact that the order of critical points and roots must be preserved in each of the even-indexed intervals of (Γ_i) , an argument analogous to the $E = 1$ case.

Then, we count up the total number of roots and find that the general solution to (101) will have $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor$ roots and the general solution to (102) will have $\lfloor \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$, which proves our inductive hypothesis. \square

6.5 Numerical Code

The supplementary code for this project can be found at <https://github.com/ck768/1D-Hydrogenic-Ion-Numerical>.

References

- [1] Paul R Beesack. On Sturm's separation theorem. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 15(4):481–487, 1972.
- [2] *NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*. <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.7 of 2022-10-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [3] F. A. G. Dumortier, Joan Carles Artés Ferragud, and Jaume Llibre. *Qualitative Theory of Planar Differential Systems*. 2006.
- [4] The MathWorks Inc. Matlab version: 9.13.0 (r2022b), 2022.
- [5] M. K.-H. Kiessling and A. S. Tahvildar-Zadeh. The Dirac point electron in zero-gravity Kerr–Newman spacetime. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56(4), 2015.
- [6] Michael C. Reed and Barry Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. 2. Fourier Analysis, Self-adjointness*. 1975.
- [7] T. M. U. *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Elsevier North-Holland, 1978.
- [8] I. Úlehla and J. Hořejší. Generalized Prüfer transformation and the eigenvalue problem for radial Dirac equations. *Physics Letters A*, 113(7):355–358, 1986.
- [9] J. Weidmann. *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.