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#### Abstract

We show an example of an embedded copy of $5 \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ in the four-sphere $S^{4}$ which is topologically standard but smoothly knotted, i.e. smoothly not isotopic to the standard embedding.


## 1. Introduction

The real projective plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}{ }^{2}$ can be embedded in the four-sphere $S^{4}$ by simply capping off a Möbius band in $S^{3}$ having unknotted boundary, with a slice disk of its boundary unknot. Indeed, in this way we get two different embeddings, as there are two Möbius bands with the property above. The two $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ 's will have normal Euler numbers -2 and 2 . Another way to present these embeddings is to take the quotient of the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ (or $\overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ ) with the involution given by complex conjugation in homogeneous coordinates [16]. The quotient is $S^{4}$, and the fixed point set is the real projective plane $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2} \subset \mathbb{C P}^{2}$. Connect summing the two embeddings above in $S^{4}$ we get embeddings of $n \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ into $S^{4}$ with Euler numbers $-2 n,-2 n+$ $4, \ldots, 2 n-4,2 n$. These embeddings are the standard embeddings with the appropriate Euler numbers. We note that the complement of the standardly embedded $n \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ has fundamental group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

In [5, 6] S. Finashin and M. Kreck showed that the 10 -fold connected sum $10 \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ admits (indeed, infinitely many) embeddings with Euler number $\pm 16$ into $S^{4}$ which are topologically isotopic, but smoothly not. The argument utilized the discovery of exotic smooth structures on the fourmanifold $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 9 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ by S . Donaldson 3 . This construction was improved by S . Finashin in $\left[4\right.$ to exotic embeddings of $6 \mathbb{R}^{2} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with Euler number $\pm 8$ - the basis of the argument was similar to the one above: in this case, the existence of exotic smooth structures on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 5 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ that were found in [20]. Further exotic embeddings of $n \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with $n=6,7,8,9$ and other Euler numbers were found by A. Havens [10].

The common theme of the proofs of the results mentioned above was that the exotic smooth manifolds can be presented as double branched covers of $S^{4}$ branched along a connected sum of some number of copies of $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$; the fact that the branched covers are not diffeomorphic then shows the nonexistence of smooth isotopies (or even diffeomorphisms of pairs) between the

[^0]branching loci. This method constructed infinitely many pairwise smoothly non-isotopic embeddings. An adaptation of the method of M. Kreck [12] then verified that there are at most finitely many topological (locally flat) isotopy classes, providing infinitely many topologically isotopic, smoothly distinct smooth embeddings.

In this paper we show
Theorem 1.1. There is an embedding $\iota: 5 \mathbb{R P}^{2} \hookrightarrow S^{4}$ with Euler number 6 which is smoothly non-isotopic to the standard embedding, such that the complement $S^{4} \backslash \iota\left(5 \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ has fundamental group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

We combine this theorem with a recent result of A. Conway, P. Orson and M. Powell [2, Theorem A], stating that a locally flat embedding of $n \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ into $S^{4}$ with the fundamental group of the complement being $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the Euler number being less than $2 n$ in absolute value is topologically (locally flat) isotopic to the standard embedding. As a result, we get
Corollary 1.2. There is an embedding of $5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ into $S^{4}$ with normal Euler number 6 which is topologically isotopic to the standard embedding, but smoothly non-isotopic to it.
Proof. According to [2, Theorem A] an embedding of $5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ with Euler number 6 and complement with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is topologically isotopic to the standard embedding with the same Euler number. The corollary then follows from Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.3. Theorem A of [2] indeed implies that all the embeddings found in [4, 5, 6] are exotic, that is, topologically isotopic to the standard embedding, but smoothly not isotopic to it.

Recently a very interesting preprint [17] of J. Miyazawa appeared on the arXiv, claiming a much stronger result: the existence of infinitely many exotic embeddings of a single $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ in $S^{4}$. The techniques of the proof, and indeed the embeddings found in [17] are, however, very different from the one we discuss in this paper: Miyazawa's exotic examples are connected sums of the standard $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ with knotted spheres, hence the double branched covers all contain spheres generating the second homology, implying that the Seiberg-Witten invariants of the branched covers are trivial. In fact, the proof of the fact that the embeddings are smoothly distinct relies on a novel invariant (called Real Seiberg-Witten invariant in [17]). Indeed, it was shown that the double branched covers along these smoothly knotted $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$,s are all standard (diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ with one of its orientations) [11, and the involutions generated by the branched covers are exotic. The following question is still open:
Question 1.4. Is there a smooth exotic $\mathbb{R}^{2} \subset S^{4}$ which is not the connected sum of the standard $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ with a knotted sphere?

The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the construction of an exotic smooth structure on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ via the rational blow-down method in
the fashion discussed in [22, 23]. By choosing the appropriate construction, the implementation of Finashin's methods from [4] leads to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The crucial observation in this construction is that in the pencil defining the elliptic fibration on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 9 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ the generating curves and all the points to be blown up in the further blow-ups are real, so that one can perform each blow-up equivariantly. Moreover, as in [4], one can perform the rational blow-downs equivariantly, thus the resulting four-manifold has a natural involution, with the fixed point set $\widehat{F} \cong \# 5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and quotient $S^{4}$.
Remark 1.5. Notice that Theorem 1.1 provides a single exotic embedding of $5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$, while 4 gives infinitely many distinct embeddings for $6 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. This difference is due to the fact that at the moment we have only one example of an exotic $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ with an appropriate involution, while there are infinitely many exotic $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 5 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ with this extra structure, constructed by knot surgeries which we were unable to perform in an equivariant way on our example.

The paper is organized as follows. We describe the construction of the exotic smooth structure on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ and verify the existence of an embedding of $5 \mathbb{R P}^{2} \subset S^{4}$ with Euler number equal to 6 in Section 2 . The proof of Theorem 1.1 is then concluded by calculating the fundamental group of the complement in Section 3 and proving exoticness of the smooth structure on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ in the subsequent sections. In Section 4 we give a proof using the Seiberg-Witten invariants, while Section 5 contains a proof with a more algebro-geometric flavor.
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## 2. Construction of the exotic four-manifold

As we said in the introduction, we will construct our example via first constructing an exotic $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ by performing a rational blow-down of an appropriate blow-up of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, then acting by an involution which fixes an embedded $\# 5 \mathbb{R P}^{2}$. Similar further examples of exotic structures on $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ are presented in [22, 23], and all of these are obtained by rationally blowingdown Wahl chains in appropriate blowups of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ that are found as results of a computer search. ${ }^{1}$

The example we consider here, different from any of those in [22, 23], has the added property which we need for our construction: that all operations

[^1]are invariant under conjugation. This example might, of course, not be the only such that can be found using a similar computer search.

In $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ consider the lines

- $X=\{x=0\}, Y=\{y=0\}, Z=\{z=0\}$,
- $A=\{y+z=0\}, B=\{x+z=0\}, C=\{x+y=0\}$,
- $H=\{x-y=0\}, L=\{x+y+z=0\}$.

The pair of singular cubics $F_{\infty}=X \cup Y \cup Z$ and $F_{9}=A \cup B \cup C$ determine the pencil $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{C P}^{1}}$ of cubic curves given by the cubic equations

$$
(x+y)(x+z)(y+z)+(t-9) x y z=0 .
$$



Figure 1. $F_{\infty}$ is the black, $F_{9}$ is the blue curve. Part of $F_{1}$ is also shown (in green). The red line $H$ provides a multisection of the elliptic fibration we get after blowing up the base points of the pencil.

This pencil has two further singular curves (besides $F_{9}$ and $F_{\infty}$ ): $F_{1}$ with a nodal cubic singularity at $[1: 1: 1]$ and $F_{10}$ which is the union of the line $L$ and the conic

$$
Q=\{x y+x z+y z\} .
$$

The pencil has 6 base points $[0: 0: 1],[0: 1: 0],[1: 0: 0],[0: 1:-1],[1:$ $0:-1]$, $[1:-1: 0]$ with multiplicities $2,2,2,1,1$ and 1 , respectively. Note that the curves generating the pencil are given by polynomials with real coefficients, and all base points as well as the singular point of $F_{1}$ are real. As a consequence, complex conjugation maps curves in the pencil to
(potentially other) curves in the pencil. As we will blow up only fixed points of the involution, this involution will extend to the blown-up surface in a way that the new exceptional divisors, as well as the strict transforms of invariant curves will be also invariant under the involution. Such blow-ups will be referred to as equivariant.

We blow up at the 6 base points 9 times to obtain an elliptic fibration $S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ with singular fibers $I_{6}+I_{3}+I_{2}+I_{1}$ (see also [9] or [25 for notation and conventions regarding the singular fibers in an elliptic fibration). Using the notation of [22], the 9 blow-ups, with the exceptional curves (denoted by $\left.E_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 9\right)$, are performed at the following intersection points:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1}: X \cap Y \cap C \cap H \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{2}: E_{1} \cap C \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{3}: X \cap Z \cap B \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{5}: E_{3} \cap B \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{7}: X \cap Z \cap A \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{6}: E_{5} \cap A \cap F_{1}, \\
E_{5}, Y \cap F_{1},
\end{gathered} E_{8}: Y \cap B \cap L \cap F_{1}, \quad E_{9}: Z \cap C \cap L \cap F_{1} .
$$

Here and below (with a slight abuse of notation) we use the same letters for the strict transforms of the curves after blow-ups. We blow up equivariantly 13 more times as follows:

\[

\]

Let $W$ be the resulting complex surface, and let $\phi: W \rightarrow S$ be the corresponding composition of 13 blow-ups.


Figure 2. The 22 -fold equivariant blow-up $W$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$. The four singular fibers of the elliptic fibration $W \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ are shown, together with the sections $E_{4}, E_{9}, E_{6}$, and the doublesection $H$. The orange curves are $(-1)$-curves. The selfintersections of the curves in the chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ are in red.

Thus we obtain the following pair of chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ of equivariant curves in $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$. We include the self-intersection numbers of the spheres.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathcal{C}_{1} & : B-C-A-E_{6}-F_{1}-E_{9}-L-E_{19}-E_{20} \\
\text { self-int }:-4 & -3 & -3 & -2 & -6 & -3 & -3 & -2 & -2
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\mathcal{C}_{2} & : Y & E_{5}-Z-H-E_{1}-X-E_{3}-E_{4}-E_{13} \\
\text { self-int }:-3 & -3 & -4 & -5 & -3 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -2
\end{array}
$$

Note that these chains are Wahl chains (i.e. are in the family $\mathcal{G}$ of [26]) and are equivariant in the complex surface $(W, c)$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$. (Here $c$ is the involution given by the extension of complex conjugation from $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ to the 22 -fold equivariant blow-up.) Moreover, with the ( -1 )-curves $E_{21}$ and $E_{14}$ we have longer, equivariant chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup E_{21}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}_{2} \cup E_{14}$. The fixed point set $F$ of $c$ is diffeomorphic to $\# 23 \mathbb{R P}^{2}$ embedded standardly in $W$, with Euler number 42.

Recall that in the rational blow-down operation (introduced by Fintushel and Stern [7] and extended to the generality used here by J. Park [19]) one considers a collection of embedded spheres in a four-manifold intersecting each other according to a linear plumbing tree, with self-intersections given by the continued fraction coefficients of $-\frac{p^{2}}{p q-1}$ for some relatively prime $p>q>0$. The boundary of a tubular neighborhood $C_{p, q}$ of this sphere configuration is the lens space $L\left(p^{2}, p q-1\right)$, and this lens space bounds a four-manifold $B_{p, q}$ with the rational homology of the disk.

The rational blow-down operation replaces $C_{p, q}$ with $B_{p, q}$. This fourmanifold $B_{p, q}$ can be explicitly constructed by the following observation: the lens space $L\left(p^{2}, p q-1\right)$ is the double branched cover of $S^{3}$, branched along the two-bridge knot $K_{p^{2}, p q-1}$. The plumbing of annuli and Möbius bands (with the right amount of twisting, dictated by the plumbing tree for $C_{p, q}$ ), provides an embedded surface $\Sigma_{p^{2}, p q-1} \subset S^{3}$ with boundary the two-bridge knot $K_{p^{2}, p q-1}$, and by pushing the interior of $\Sigma_{p^{2}, p q-1}$ into the disk $D^{4}$, we get $C_{p, q}$ as the double branched cover of $D^{4}$, branched along this pushed in $\Sigma_{p^{2}, p q-1}$. As it was shown in [15], the two-bridge knots $K_{p^{2}, p q-1}$ are all slice (in fact, ribbon), and the double branched cover along a particular ribbon disk of this knot in $D^{4}$ provides $B_{p, q}$.

For our two chains above the corresponding neighborhoods are $N\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)=$ $C_{65,18}$ and $N\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)=C_{79,30}$ with boundaries $L\left(65^{2}, 1169\right)$ and $L\left(79^{2}, 2369\right)$. The significance of these chains is in the following
Theorem 2.1. The symplectic 4-manifold $\widehat{W}$ obtained by rationally blowing down the two disjoint Wahl chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is an exotic $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$, i.e. it is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ but not diffeomorphic to it.
(In the rest of the paper a hat will always denote the corresponding object after the blow-down.)

In our example rationally blowing down the two negative definite chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ starting with the 22 -fold equivariant blow-up $W$ of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$, a manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$, produces a manifold $\widehat{W}=W_{0} \cup\left(B_{65,18} \cup\right.$ $\left.B_{79,30}\right)$ ) with $b_{2}^{+}=1$ and $b_{2}^{-}=4$, were $\left.W_{0}=W \backslash\left(\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}\right)\right)$. Using the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem it is not hard to show that

Proposition 2.2. The four-manifold $\widehat{W}$ is simply connected.
Proof. To prove the statement of the proposition, it is enough to show that a loop $\alpha$ around $E_{13}$, and a loop $\beta$ around $E_{20}$ are homotopically trivial in $W_{0}$. This is because these loops generate the fundamental groups of the links (i.e. the boundaries of the tubular neighbourhoods of the chains) corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ respectively. We can relate via conjugation the loop $\alpha$ with a loop around $F_{1}$ using the 2 -sphere $E_{14}$, and the loop $\beta$ with a loop around $H$ using the 2 -sphere $E_{21}$. (At this point we use Mumford's computation [18] to relate loops around exceptional curves with powers of a generating loop of the fundamental group of the link.) As the orders of the fundamental groups of these links are $65^{2}$ and $79^{2}$, they are coprime, and so $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are trivial in $W_{0}$.

By Freedman's celebrated classification result [8], simple connectivity and a straighforward Euler characteristic and signature calculation shows that
Corollary 2.3. $\widehat{W}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$.
We will show that $\widehat{W}$ is exotic in Sections 4 and 5 ,
The crucial fact in our construction is that the rational blow-downs can be performed in a way that the new manifold $\widehat{W}$ has a natural involution $\widehat{c}$, with its fixed point set $\widehat{F}$ diffeomorphic to $\# 5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$. To see this involution, recall that, as branched covers, both $C_{p, q}$ and $B_{p, q}$ come with an involution; indeed, these involutions extend the complex conjugation on the complement of $C_{p, q}$, cf. [4, Section 3.1].

The quotient of $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ by the conjugation action is $S^{4}$, with the fixed point set $\# 23 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ descending to the standardly embedded $\# 23 \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ with normal Euler class 42 . The neigborhoods $N\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)=C_{65,18}$ and $N\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)=$ $C_{79,30}$ descend under this action to two standard $B^{4}$. The blow-down operation replaces $B^{4}=N\left(\mathcal{C}_{i}\right) / c$ by $B^{4}=B_{p_{i}, q_{i}} / \hat{c}$, hence the quotient under the induced action $\hat{c}$ on $\widehat{W}$ is also $S^{4}$.

Corollary 2.4. The embedding of $\iota: 5 \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S^{4}$ found above has Euler number 6 and is not smoothly isotopic to the standard embedding.
Proof. As $\widehat{W} \rightarrow S^{4}$ is a double branched cover, simple Euler characteristic calculation determines the topology of the branch locus. Its normal Euler number follows from the formula derived from the $G$-signature theorem. If the branch set is isotopic to the standard embedding, then we would find a diffeomorphism between $\widehat{W}$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$, contradicting Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.5. It was shown in [21, 27] that if the surfaces in $C_{p, q}$ are symplectic in a symplectic four-manifold (and intersect each other orthogonally with respect to the symplectic structure) then the result of the rational blow-down admits a symplectic structure which coincides with the original one outside of a neighborhood of $C_{p, q}$.

## 3. Fundamental group calculation

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to determine the fundamental group of the complement of $\overparen{F}=\iota\left(5 \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \subset S^{4}$. This computation will rely on the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem. Recall, that if $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ and $X_{1} \cap X_{2}$ is path connected, then (after choosing the base point in $X_{1} \cap X_{2}$ ) the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(X)$ can be presented as the amalgamated product $\pi_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) *_{\pi_{1}\left(X_{1} \cap X_{2}\right)} \pi_{1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, with maps $i_{j}: \pi_{1}\left(X_{1} \cap\right.$ $\left.X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$ induced by embeddings. In our argument we will use the following principle:

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that for the amalgamated product $A *_{Q} B$ of the groups $A, B$ over $Q$ with homomorphisms $\varphi_{A}: Q \rightarrow A$ and $\varphi_{B}: Q \rightarrow B$ we have

- $\varphi_{B}$ is onto, and
- $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{B} \leq \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A}$.

Then $A *_{Q} B$ is isomorphic to $A$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{B} \leqslant \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{A}$ and $\varphi_{B}$ is surjective, there is a map $r: B \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi_{A}=r \circ \varphi_{B}$. Together with the identity $A \rightarrow A$, they induce a map $F: A *_{Q} B \rightarrow A$ which, when precomposed with the natural map $G_{A}: A \rightarrow A *_{Q} B$, gives the identity on $A$. Therefore $G_{A}$ is injective, hence to show that $G_{A}$ is an isomorphism, we need to show that it is surjective. Let $G_{B}: B \rightarrow A *_{Q} B$ be the other natural map, and let $b \in B$. Choose $q \in Q$ with $b=\varphi_{B}(q)$ and let $a=\varphi_{A}(q)$. By construction, $G_{A}(a)=G_{B}(b)$, so we deduce that all elements in the image of $G_{B}$ are in the image of $G_{A}$. Since $A *_{Q} B$ is generated by such elements in the image of either $G_{A}$ or $G_{B}$, it follows that $G_{A}$ is surjective.

We will adapt the principle above to our current setting as follows. As discussed in [4, Section 3], the quotient spaces $W / c$ and $\widehat{W} / \widehat{c}$ are diffeomorphic to $S^{4}$. Moreover, as we have pointed out above, since $F=\operatorname{Fix}(c)$ is standardly embedded in $W$, we have that $\pi_{1}(W / c-F)=\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need to show that $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}\right)=$ $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right)$. Obviously the branching surfaces $F$ and $\widehat{F}$ in $S^{4}$ are the same outside the domain of the two rational blow-downs.

To set up notation, let $U_{1}, U_{2} \subset W$ be the equivariant plumbing neighborhoods (to be blown down rationally) of the chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ respectively, and set $V_{i}=U_{i} / c$. During rationally blowing down $\mathcal{C}_{i}, U_{i}$ is replaced with an equivariant rational homology ball $\widehat{U}_{i}$ and $\widehat{V}_{i}=\widehat{U}_{i} / \widehat{c}$ will denote the corresponding 4-ball in $S^{4}(i=1,2)$; see Figure 3. We recall that $\partial V_{i} \cap F$ is a

2-bridge knot $K_{i}$ in $S^{3} \cong \partial V_{i}$ and stays intact after the blow-down. (These knots can be determined through the continued fractions given by the framings of the spheres in $\mathcal{C}_{i}, K_{1}$ is the 2-bridge knot $K_{65^{2}, 65 \cdot 18-1}$ and $K_{2}$ is the 2-bridge knot $K_{\left.79^{2}, 79 \cdot 30-1 .\right)}$ ) Moreover $\widehat{F} \cap \widehat{V}_{i}$ is a slice disk for $K_{i}$, that is, a smooth 2-disk embedded in the 4-ball with $\partial\left(\widehat{F} \cap \widehat{V}_{i}\right)=K_{i}$; indeed, these disks are ribbon.


Figure 3. Two rational blow-downs on $W$. The manifolds in the bottom row are all $S^{4}$, containing the surfaces $F, \widehat{F}_{2}$ and $\widehat{F}$.

Consider the subsurfaces $F_{i}=F \cap V_{i}$ of the branch locus $F$ in $S^{4} . F_{i}$ is the plumbing (of the images under quotient by $c$ ) of the neighborhoods of the invariant equators of the equivariant spheres that appear in the chain $\mathcal{C}_{i}$. Since there exist spheres of odd intersection in each chain, $F_{i}$ is nonorientable for $i=1,2$.

We will do the calculation in two steps; first we will blow down the configuration $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and then $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. In this way we need to apply the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem several times. Let us therefore define $W_{2}$ to be the four-manifold we get from $W$ by blowing down $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, by replacing the neighbourhood $U_{2}$ in $W$ with $\widehat{U}_{2}$, and downstairs in $S^{4}$ replacing $V_{2}$ with $\widehat{V}_{2}$. The branch set $F$ is also changed, as we replace $F_{2}$ with the slice disk of the slice knot $K_{2}$; we denote the new branch set by $\widehat{F}_{2} \subset S^{4}$.

Lemma 3.2. The fundamental group of the complement $S^{4}-\widehat{F}_{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. The proof of this statement follows from the argument in [4]. For the sake of completeness, we give a detailed account of the argument here.

Let $I_{2}$ denote the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\left(S^{4}-V_{2}\right)-F\right), J_{2}=\pi_{1}\left(V_{2}-F\right)$, and $\widehat{J}_{2}=\pi_{1}\left(\widehat{V}_{2}-\widehat{F}_{2}\right)$. With the notation $G_{2}=\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-K_{2}\right)$ the application of the Seifert-Van Kampen theorem gives presentations of the fundamental groups before the blow-down (which is $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right)$ ) and after the blowdown (which is $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}_{2}\right)$ ) - with the base point always chosen in the intersection $S^{3}-K_{2}$ - as amalgameted products

$$
\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right) \cong I_{2} *_{G_{2}} J_{2}, \quad \pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}_{2}\right) \cong I_{2} *_{G_{2}} \widehat{J_{2}} .
$$

The maps $\varphi_{J_{2}}: G_{2} \rightarrow J_{2}$ and $\varphi_{\widehat{J_{2}}}: G_{2} \rightarrow \widehat{J}_{2}$ are both onto, as $F_{2}$ is a pushedin (nonorientable) spanning surface of $K_{2}$ and we replace it with a ribbon disk of $K_{2}$ (both the complement of a pushed-in surface and a ribbon disk can be built on the knot complement by adding only 2 -, 3 - and 4 -handles).

Note that $G_{2}$ is generated by two elements $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (as $K_{2}$ is a two-bridge knot); we can take these generators as the meridians of $F_{2}$ at the two arcs $l_{\alpha}$ and $l_{\beta}$ of the intersection of $K_{2}$ with a neighborhood $\nu \gamma_{0} \subset F$ where $\gamma_{0}$ is the boundary of the disk $E_{14} / c$, and is part of $F$. Once an orientation on $K_{2}$ is chosen, these normal circles come with an orientation. As $F_{2}$ is a push-in of a spanning surface (so its complement can be built from 0 -, 1 - and 2-handles only), we have that $\alpha \beta^{-1}$ is in $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{J_{2}}$. Furthermore, the band $F_{2} \cap \nu \gamma_{0}$ gives $\alpha \beta \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{J_{2}}$. As the quotient of $G_{2}$ with these relations is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, it follows that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{J_{2}}$ (as a subgroup of $G_{2}$ ) is normally generated by these two elements.

When $\varphi_{\widehat{J}_{2}}$ is composed with the abelianization map

$$
G_{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\widehat{J}_{2}}} \widehat{J}_{2} \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\widehat{V}_{2}-\widehat{F}_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{1}\left(S^{3}-K_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathbb{Z},
$$

we get that the kernel of $\varphi_{\widehat{J}_{2}}$ is contained in the normal subgroup generated by $\alpha \beta^{-1}$.

In order to apply Lemma 3.1 we need to show that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{I_{2}}$ contains both $\alpha \beta$ and $\alpha \beta^{-1}$. Lemma 3.1 then shows that both groups $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic to $I_{2}$, and since $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, this concludes the argument.

Recall that for $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ we have the extended chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\prime}$ we get by adding the classes $E_{21}$ and $E_{14}$, respectively. Let $W(\mathcal{C})$ denote a characteristic set for a chain $\mathcal{C}$. For $i=1,2$, the sets $W\left(\mathcal{C}_{i}\right)$ and $W\left(\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are unique since the intersection matrices in all 4 cases have odd determinants. The sets are shown below marked by a dot $\cdot$ at the top and by a cross $\times$ at the bottom for $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{\prime}$ respectively:

$$
(i=1):[\dot{4}, 3, \underset{\times}{3}, \dot{2}, \underset{\times}{6}, \dot{3}, \underset{\times}{3}, \underset{\times}{2}, 2], \underset{\times}{1} ; \quad(i=2):[\dot{3}, \underset{\times}{3}, 4, \underset{\times}{5}, \dot{3}, 2, \underset{3}{\dot{3}}, \underset{\times}{3}, 2], \underset{\times}{1} .
$$

Let us denote by $S_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 9)$ the $i$-th sphere (from left to right) in the chain $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and let $\gamma_{i}=\partial\left(S_{i} / c\right) \subset F$ be the image of the equatorial circle of
$S_{i}$. Recall that the 1-dimensional mod-2 cohomology class

$$
P D\left(\sum_{S_{i} \in W\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)}\left[\gamma_{i}\right]\right)=P D\left(\left[\gamma_{1}\right]+\left[\gamma_{5}\right]+\left[\gamma_{7}\right]\right)
$$

is the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $F_{2}$ and hence is the obstruction to orientability of $F_{2}$. (Here $P D(\alpha)$ denoted the Poincaré dual of the homology class $\alpha$.)

We observe that $W\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)$ does not extend over the longer chain $E_{14} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$, or equivalently the obstruction to orientability of $F_{2}$ is not equal to that of the extended surface $F_{2}^{\prime}=F_{2} \cup \nu \gamma_{0}$. (The obstruction for $F_{2}^{\prime}$ is $P D\left(\left[\gamma_{0}\right]+\right.$ $\left.\left[\gamma_{2}\right]+\left[\gamma_{4}\right]+\left[\gamma_{8}\right]\right)$.) This implies that $F_{2}^{\prime}-\cup_{i=1}^{9} \nu \gamma_{i}$ is nonorientable and hence the surface $\widehat{F}_{2}^{\prime}$ is a Möbius band, which we denote by $M$. Consider the bands $\sigma_{1}=M-\widehat{V}_{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}=M \cap \widehat{V}_{2}$ which are glued together along the intervals $l_{\alpha}$ and $l_{\beta}$. Choosing the base point in the intersection of $\partial V_{2}-K_{2}$ and the disk $E_{14} / c$, this disk provides the continuous family of arcs from the base point to the normal circles of the middle arc of these bands. This construction then provide the desired relations among the normal circles $\alpha$ and $\beta$, concluding the claim.

Next we apply the other rational blow-down, blowing down $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ this time. The branch set $\widehat{F}_{2}$ is modified; we denote the new branch set by $\widehat{F}$.

Lemma 3.3. The fundamental group of the complement $S^{4}-\widehat{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. We use the objects and notation of the previous proof; we add $I_{1}=$ $\pi_{1}\left(\left(S^{4}-V_{1}\right)-F\right) J_{1}=\pi_{1}\left(V_{1}-F\right)$ and $\widehat{J}_{1}=\pi_{1}\left(\widehat{V}_{1}-\widehat{F}\right)$. As before, we have $G_{1}=\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-K_{1}\right)$, and the fundamental groups $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}\right)$ can be expressed as amalgamated products over $G_{1}$ similar to the previous case. (Notice that now the base point is chosen in $S^{3}-K_{1}$.) The maps $\varphi_{J_{1}}$ and $\varphi_{\widehat{J}_{1}}$ are onto as before, hence we only need to deal with the kernels and indeed, the kernels of these maps can be identified exactly in the same way as before.

For the band $\sigma_{1}$ from the previous argument, the part $\sigma_{1}-V_{1}$ has two connected components; say $\sigma_{3}$ and $\sigma_{4}$, with $l_{\alpha}=\sigma_{3} \cap K_{2}$ and $l_{\beta}=\sigma_{4} \cap K_{2}$. Let us also set $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\sigma_{3} \cap K_{1}$ and $l_{\beta}^{\prime}=\sigma_{4} \cap K_{1}$. The normal circles $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ to $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $l_{\beta}^{\prime}$ generate $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-K_{1}\right)$.

As in the previous proof, we have that the subgroup of $G_{1}$ generated by $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime-1}$ contains both $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{J_{1}}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{\widehat{J}_{1}}$. So to apply Lemma 3.1 again and to conclude the proof of the lemma, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{I_{1}}$ contains both $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime-1}$.

In these arguments we proceed as before: we will find two bands connecting $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $l_{\beta}^{\prime}$, so that their union is a Möbius band, and the normal circles to these bands will provide the required homotopies. Consider first the union $\sigma_{3} \cup \sigma_{2} \cup \sigma_{4}$. We can connect $l_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $l_{\beta}^{\prime}$ in $\left(S^{4}-\widehat{V}_{1}\right) \cap \widehat{F}_{2}$ so that
the neighbourhood of this arc will provide the other band. These two bands now show that both $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ and $\alpha \beta^{\prime-1}$ are in $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{I_{1}}$, concluding the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 2.4 verifies the first claim in Theorem 1.1. Rationally blowing down the chain $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ and then the chain $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 prove that $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}_{2}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-\widehat{F}\right)$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-F\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the claim of the theorem follows at once.

## 4. Seiberg-Witten calculation

In this section, using Seiberg-Witten theory, we verify that the fourmanifold $\widehat{W}$ constructed by rationally blowing down the two Wahl chains in $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ is, indeed, not diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$.

Theorem 4.1. The four-manifolds $\widehat{W}$ and $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ are not diffeomorphic.

Proof. We will use Seiberg-Witten invariants in the argument; below we will adapt the argument detailed in [24, Section 3].

Recall that for manifolds with $b_{2}^{+}>1$ the Seiberg-Witten invariants are diffeomorphism invariants, while for $b_{2}^{+}=1$ the invariants might depend on a chosen metric on the manifold and a perturbation term in the equations. By using small perturbation and considering manifolds with $b_{2}^{-} \leq 9$, however, this dependence disappears. By the existence of a positive scalar curvature metric on $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ it easily follows that the (small perturbation) Seiberg-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$ are identically zero.

Consider the homology class $K=3 h-\sum$ (all exceptional divisors) $=$ $3 h-\sum_{i=1}^{22} e_{i}$ (where we use the lower case letters to denote the homology classes represented by the exceptional divisors, and not by their potential strict transforms in further blow-ups) on $W=\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$. Restrict this class to the complement of the neighbourhoods of the two chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, and extend it to $\widehat{W}$; denote the result (as well as its Poincaré dual) by $\widehat{K}$. Indeed, the class extends: (the Poincaré dual of) $K$ is the first Chern class of the standard symplectic structure on $W$, and as the rational blow-down of symplectic configurations is a symplectic operation [21, 27], not only the Chern class, but the symplectic form also extends; its first Chern class is (the Poincaré dual of) $\widehat{K}$. Our goal is to show that $S W_{\widehat{W}}(\widehat{K})= \pm 1$.

For this argument, we consider a metric $g$ on $W$ with the property that the neighbourhoods of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ are pulled away, that is, connected to their complement by a sufficiently long neck. For such a metric, the gluing theorem of Seiberg-Witten solutions implies that

$$
S W_{W, g}(K)=S W_{\widehat{W}}(\widehat{K}) .
$$

As noted above, the right-hand side is independent of the metric as we use small perturbation and we have $b_{2}^{-}(\widehat{W})=4<9$; the left-hand side, however, might depend on the choice of $g$, hence the appearance of the metric $g$ in the
formula. To conclude the proof, we need to determine the Seiberg-Witten value in the chamber represented by the metric $g$ on $W$.

The metric $g$ induces a period point (the unique self-dual 2 -form with length 1 paring with the hyperplane class $h$ positively) which is in the chamber with homology classes orthogonal to the spheres in the two chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. As $K \cdot h=3>0$, the value of the Seiberg-Witten invariant in the chamber is decided by the sign of $K \cdot \alpha$ for a homology class $\alpha$ which is

- of non-negative square,
- orthogonal to the homologies of the spheres in $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$,
- $\alpha \cdot h>0$.

Indeed, as in the chamber containing $h$ the Seiberg-Witten invariant vanishes, if $K \cdot \alpha<0$, we have (by the wall-crossing formula) that $S W_{W, g}(K)=$ $\pm 1$. It is not hard to check that for the class

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha= & 15 h-6 e_{1}-5 e_{2}-3 e_{3}-3 e_{4}-4 e_{5}-2 e_{6}-6 e_{7}-4 e_{8}-4 e_{9}-e_{10} \\
& -2 e_{12}-e_{13}-e_{14}-2 e_{15}-4 e_{16}-3 e_{18}-e_{19}-e_{20}-e_{21}-3 e_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

all the requirements above are satisfied, implying $S W_{\widehat{W}}(\widehat{K})= \pm 1$. As $S W_{\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 4 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}} \equiv 0$, this shows that the two four-manifolds are not diffeomorphic, concluding the proof.

Remark 4.2. For completeness, the pairing $\alpha \cdot \alpha$ is equal to 10 and $K \cdot \alpha$ is equal to -12 , and obviously $\alpha \cdot h=15>0$. To check that $\alpha$ is, indeed, orthogonal to all the spheres in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$, below we list the homology classes of the spheres in $\mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$ in the 'lower case' basis, the easy verification of orthogonality is left to the reader.

The homology classes of the spheres in the blow-ups (in the basis given by the exceptional divisors and not by their possible strict transforms, that is, via our previous convention in lower case letters) are given as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=h-e_{1}-e_{18}-e_{19}-e_{20}-e_{21}-e_{22} \quad L=h-e_{7}-e_{8}-e_{9}-e_{19} \\
F_{1}=3 h-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{8}-e_{9}-e_{13}-e_{14}-2 e_{22} \\
E_{1}=e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{10} \quad E_{3}=e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{11} \quad E_{4}=e_{4}-e_{12}-e_{13} \\
E_{5}=e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{15} \quad E_{6}=e_{6}-e_{15} \quad E_{9}=e_{9}-e_{16}-e_{17} \\
E_{13}=e_{13}-e_{14} \quad E_{19}=e_{19}-e_{20} \quad E_{20}=e_{20}-e_{21} \\
A=h-e_{5}-e_{6}-e_{7}-e_{18} B=h-e_{3}-e_{4}-e_{8}-e_{12}-e_{18} C=h-e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{9}-e_{17} \\
X=h-e_{1}-e_{3}-e_{7} \quad Y=h-e_{1}-e_{5}-e_{8}-e_{10} \quad Z=h-e_{3}-e_{5}-e_{9}-e_{11}-e_{16}
\end{gathered}
$$

## 5. Proof of exoticness of $\widehat{W}$ VIA algebraic geometry

We have Wahl chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ in the complex projective surface $W=$ $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$. By Artin's contractibility criterion [1, Theorem 2.3], there is a normal projective surface $W^{\prime}$ and a birational morphism $\sigma: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ which contracts $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ into two singular points $P, Q \in W^{\prime}$. This morphism induces an isomorphism between $W \backslash \mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $W^{\prime} \backslash P, Q$. In this section, we give a proof of exoticness of $\widehat{W}$ via algebraic properties of $W^{\prime}$. This is based on [23, Section 1].

Let us first recall some definitions from algebraic geometry to be applied to our particular situation. A Wahl singularity is a 2 -dimensional cyclic quotient singularity of type $\frac{1}{p^{2}}(1, p q-1)$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1$. For a complex surface $W^{\prime}$ with only Wahl singularities, we consider its minimal resolution $\sigma: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$, where $W$ is a nonsingular complex projective surface and $\sigma$ is a birational morphism. The pre-image of each Wahl singularity is a Wahl chain $\mathcal{C}_{j}=\cup_{i} C_{i}^{j}$, where the $C_{i}^{j}$ s are 2 -spheres. The discrepancy $d\left(C_{i}^{j}\right)$ of $C_{i}^{j}$ is a rational number such that

$$
K_{W} \equiv \sigma^{*}\left(K_{W^{\prime}}\right)+\sum_{j} \sum_{i} d\left(C_{i}^{j}\right) C_{i}^{j},
$$

(see e.g. [13, Definition 2.22]), where $K_{W}, K_{W^{\prime}}$ are canonical divisors, the symbol $\equiv$ is numerical equivalence of divisors, and the sum runs through all the Wahl chains $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ over Wahl singularities with $C_{i}^{j}$ the $i^{\text {th }}$ sphere in the Wahl chain $\mathcal{C}_{j}$. One can show that $-1<d\left(C_{i}^{j}\right)<0$. To compute these numbers one uses the adjunction formula and solves the linear system

$$
-\left(C_{k}^{j} \cdot C_{k}^{j}\right)-2=\sum_{i} d\left(C_{i}^{j}\right)\left(C_{i}^{j} \cdot C_{k}^{j}\right), \text { for all } k,
$$

for each Wahl chain. This system has a unique solution since the intersection matrix is negative definite [18]. The canonical divisor $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and so there is $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u K_{W^{\prime}}$ is a Cartier divisor. This integer $u$ can be taken as the least common multiple of the indices $p$ of the singularities $\frac{1}{p^{2}}(1, p q-1)$ in $W^{\prime}$. The canonical class $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample if there is an integer $v \in \mathbb{N}$ so that the sections of the line bundle associated to $u v K_{W^{\prime}}$ define an embedding of $W^{\prime}$ into some projective space.

We now state the result we need from [23] to prove exoticness.
Theorem 5.1. (Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5 of [23]) Let $W^{\prime}$ be a complex projective surface with only Wahl singularities such that $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample. Then, the rational blow-down $\widehat{W}$ of the Wahl chains $\cup_{j} \mathcal{C}_{j}$ in the minimal resolution $W$ of $W^{\prime}$ is a (smoothly) minimal symplectic 4-manifold. Moreover, if $\widehat{W}$ is simply-connected and it has an odd intersection form, then $\widehat{W}$ is an exotic

$$
\left(2 p_{g}(W)+1\right) \mathbb{C P}^{2} \#\left(10 p_{g}(W)+9-K_{W^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}
$$

with $10 p_{g}(W)+9-K_{W^{\prime}}^{2}>0$. Thus if $W$ is rational (e.g. it is some blow-up of $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ ), then $\widehat{W}$ is a minimal exotic $\mathbb{C P}^{2} \#\left(9-K_{W^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$.

Informally, singular surfaces in the Kollár-Shepherd-Barron-Alexeev compactification of the moduli space of complex surfaces of general type (the analogue to the Deligne-Mumford compactification of the moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$ ) with only Wahl singularities produce minimal exotic 4-manifolds.

The idea of the proof is to give a symplectic structure on $\widehat{W}$ via the embedding of $W$ into a projective space defined by a very ample line bundle of the form $\sigma^{*}\left(N K_{W^{\prime}}\right)-D$ where $N \gg 0$ and $D$ is an effective sum of curves in the Wahl chains contracted by $\sigma$. The symplectic form will be then in the class of $N K_{W^{\prime}}$. Thus the ampleness of $K_{W^{\prime}}$ rules out the existence of $(-1) 2$-spheres in $\widehat{W}$. See the proof of [23, Theorem 2.3] for details.

We now return to our particular construction. Recall that we considered the following pair of chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ of equivariant curves in $W=\mathbb{C P}^{2} \# 22 \overline{\mathbb{C P}^{2}}$. We include the intersection numbers and also the discrepancies to be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
\mathcal{C}_{1} & : & B & C & -A-E_{6} & F_{1} & -E_{9} & - & L & -E_{19} & -E_{20} \\
\text { self-int } & : & -4 & -3 & -3 & -2 & -6 & -3 & -3 & -2 & -2 \\
\text { discr } & : & -\frac{47}{65} & -\frac{58}{65} & -\frac{62}{65} & -\frac{63}{65} & -\frac{64}{65} & -\frac{61}{65} & -\frac{54}{65} & -\frac{36}{65} & -\frac{18}{65},
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{rcccccccccccc}
\mathcal{C}_{2} & : & Y & E_{5} & - & Z & H & -E_{1} & -X & -E_{3} & - & E_{4} & - \\
E_{13} \\
\text { self-int } & : & -3 & -3 & -4 & -5 & -3 & -2 & -3 & -3 & -2 \\
\text { discr } & : & -\frac{49}{79} & -\frac{68}{79} & -\frac{76}{79} & -\frac{78}{79} & -\frac{77}{79} & -\frac{74}{79} & -\frac{71}{79} & -\frac{60}{79} & -\frac{30}{79} .
\end{array}
$$

We will prove here the following:
Theorem 5.2. The symplectic 4-manifold $\widehat{W}$ obtained by rationally blowing down the two disjoint Wahl chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ is minimal.
Proof. We have shown already in Section 2 that $\widehat{W}$ is simply connected. Here we will apply Theorem 5.1, and so we only need to check that $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample. To verify ampleness, by the Nakai-Moishezon criterion (see e.g. [13. Theorem 1.37]), we need to prove that $K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot \Gamma>0$ for every irreducible curve $\Gamma$ in $W^{\prime}$. (We already know that $K_{W^{\prime}}^{2}=5>0$.) We remark that $W^{\prime}$ is a projective surface with two Wahl singularities $\frac{1}{65^{2}}(1,65 \cdot 18-1)$ for $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\frac{1}{79^{2}}(1,79 \cdot 30-1)$ for $\mathcal{C}_{2}$. Let $\sigma: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ be the contraction as above. In what follows, we will use numerical equivalence $\equiv$ for divisors, as we only need to check intersection numbers.

Let $S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ be the elliptic surface found in Section 2 to construct $W$. First, we know that $-K_{S}$ is linearly equivalent to a fiber, and so we have

$$
K_{S} \equiv-\frac{1}{3}\left(X+E_{1}+Y+E_{5}+Z+E_{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3}(A+B+C)-\frac{1}{3} F_{1} .
$$

Using the pull-back formula for the canonical class after a blow-up, we obtain (using the previous equation) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{W} \equiv & -\frac{1}{3}\left(X+E_{1}+Y+E_{5}+Z+E_{3}+A+B+C+F_{1}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left(E_{16}+E_{15}+E_{17}+E_{12}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\left(E_{11}+E_{10}+E_{18}+E_{22}\right) \\
& +E_{19}+2 E_{20}+3 E_{21}+\frac{2}{3} E_{13}+\frac{4}{3} E_{14} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of discrepancy of exceptional divisors, we have the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \equiv & K_{W}+\frac{47}{65} B+\frac{58}{65} C+\frac{62}{65} A+\frac{63}{65} E_{6}+\frac{64}{65} F_{1}+\frac{61}{65} E_{9}+\frac{54}{65} L \\
& +\frac{36}{65} E_{19}+\frac{18}{65} E_{20}+\frac{49}{79} Y+\frac{68}{79} E_{5}+\frac{76}{79} Z+\frac{78}{79} H+\frac{77}{79} E_{1} \\
& +\frac{74}{79} X+\frac{71}{79} E_{3}+\frac{60}{79} E_{4}+\frac{30}{79} E_{13} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by plugging in $K_{W}$ in the last formula, we obtain that $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$ can be written as a sum of curves with positive rational coefficients (i.e. it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-effective divisor). We fix this representative of $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$, and we refer to these curves as the support of $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$. To check that $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample, suppose $\Gamma \subseteq W^{\prime}$ is a curve such that $K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot \Gamma \leq 0$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be its strict transform in $W$. Then

$$
K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot \Gamma=\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot \sigma^{*} \Gamma=\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot \tilde{\Gamma} .
$$

Since $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Q}$-effective divisor, any curve not included in its support must intersect $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$ non-negatively. Moreover, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a strict transform of a curve in $W^{\prime}$, and so it is not contracted by $\sigma$. Thus $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is either one of the non-contractible curves in the support of $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$, or it does not intersect this support at all.

In the first case, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be one of the following curves: $E_{11}, E_{16}, E_{15}, E_{10}$, $E_{17}, E_{12}, E_{18}, E_{22}, E_{21}$ or $E_{14}$. Since all of them are ( -1 )-curves in $W$, their intersection with $K_{W}$ is -1 , and so we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{11}=-1+\frac{76}{79}+\frac{71}{79}>0, & \sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{16}=-1+\frac{76}{79}+\frac{61}{65}>0, \\
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{15}=-1+\frac{68}{79}+\frac{63}{65}>0, & \sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{10}=-1+\frac{49}{79}+\frac{77}{79}>0, \\
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{17}=-1+\frac{58}{65}+\frac{61}{65}>0, & \sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{12}=-1+\frac{47}{65}+\frac{60}{79}>0, \\
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{18}=-1+\frac{47}{65}+\frac{62}{65}>0, & \sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{22}=-1+\frac{64}{65}+\frac{64}{65}>0, \\
\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{21}=-1+\frac{18}{65}+\frac{78}{79}>0, & \sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}} \cdot E_{14}=-1+\frac{30}{79}+\frac{64}{65}>0 .
\end{array}
$$

This leaves with the case that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ does not intersect the support of $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$. But this is impossible as this support contains $X, E_{1}, E_{10}, Y, E_{5}, E_{15}, Z$, $E_{16}, E_{11}, E_{3}$, and these curves form a fiber of the induced elliptic fibration $W \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$. But then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ must be a component of some other singular fiber, and the only possibility would be $Q$ (see Figure 2 ), whose intersection with $\sigma^{*} K_{W^{\prime}}$ is not zero. Therefore such $\tilde{\Gamma}$ does not exist, and so $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample.

Remark 5.3. As $K_{W^{\prime}}$ is ample, the surface $W^{\prime}$ lives in the Kollár-Shepherd-Barron-Alexeev moduli space of surfaces with $K^{2}=5$ and $p_{g}=q=$ 0 . The local-to-global obstruction for complex deformations of $W^{\prime}$ is in $H^{2}\left(W^{\prime}, T_{W^{\prime}}\right)$, and by [23, §4] one can compute that $H^{2}\left(W^{\prime}, T_{W^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (almost the same proof as for [23, Proposition 5.4]). Therefore it is not trivial to decide whether there is a complex $\left(\mathbb{Q}\right.$-Gorenstein) smoothing of $W^{\prime}$ or not. If so, then this smoothing would produce simply connected surfaces of general type with $K^{2}=5$ and $p_{g}=0$. There are no such examples in the literature, this is an open problem. We also have $H^{1}\left(W^{\prime}, T_{W^{\prime}}\right)=0$ by [23, Theorem 4.1], and so $W^{\prime}$ is equisingularly rigid.
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