

# LIPSCHITZ-CONTINUITY OF TIME CONSTANT IN GENERALIZED FIRST-PASSAGE PERCOLATION

VAN HAO CAN, SHUTA NAKAJIMA, AND VAN QUYET NGUYEN

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we consider a generalized First-passage percolation model, where each edge in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is independently assigned an infinite weight with probability  $1 - p$ , and a random finite weight otherwise. The existence and positivity of the time constant have been established in [CT16]. Recently, using sophisticated multi-scale renormalizations, Cerf and Dembin [CD22] proved that the time constant of chemical distance in super-critical percolation is Lipschitz continuous. In this work, we propose a different approach leveraging lattice animal theory and a simple one-step renormalization with the aid of Russo's formula, to show the Lipschitz continuity of the time constant in generalized First-passage percolation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Model and main results.** First-passage percolation (FPP), which was introduced by Hammersley and Welsh in the 1960s, serves as a prototype for models of random growth or infection models. Let  $d \geq 2$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  represent the  $d$ -dimensional integer lattice, where the edge set  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  consists of pairs of nearest neighbors in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . To each edge  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , we assign a random variable  $\omega_e$  with values in  $[0, \infty)$ , assuming that the family  $(\omega_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is independent and identically distributed. The random variable  $\omega_e$  can be interpreted as the time needed for the infection to cross the edge  $e$ . We define a random pseudo-metric  $T$ : for any pair of vertices  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $T(x, y)$  is the shortest time to go from  $x$  to  $y$ . The main object of FPP is to know how the infection grows in the lattice, or equivalently how is the asymptotic behavior of the passage time  $T(0, x)$  as  $\|x\|_\infty$  tends to infinity. There has been a great and consistent interest of mathematicians for more than sixty years to answer this question, see, for instance, [ADH17] and references therein. While most studies focus on the case of finite edge weight, i.e.,  $\omega_e$  takes a value in  $[0, \infty)$ , recently there have been several results on the behavior of generalized models allowing the infinite value, see e.g., [GM04, CT16]. The emergence of infinite weight can explain the situation that some edges in the lattice are not available for the spread of infection.

In this paper, we consider a generalized FPP that is mixed from the Bernoulli percolation and classical FPP. More precisely, given  $F$  a distribution supported on  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $p \in [0, 1]$ , we define a new distribution  $F_p$  by

$$F_p := pF + (1 - p)\delta_\infty.$$

Let  $\tau := (\tau_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  be a family of edge-weights with the same distribution  $F_p$ , interpreted as the time to pass each edge in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . The usual first passage time  $T(x, y)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  is defined by

$$T(x, y) := \inf_{\gamma: x \rightarrow y} T(\gamma) := \inf_{\gamma: x \rightarrow y} \sum_{e \in \gamma} \tau_e,$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We impose the following constraint on  $p$  and  $F$ :

$$(1.1) \quad p > p_c(d) > F(0),$$

where  $p_c(d)$  is the critical parameter of Bernoulli percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . The condition  $p > p_c(d)$  guarantees the unique infinite cluster composed of finite weight edges, while the assumption  $F(0) < p_c(d)$  rules out the possibility of having an infinite cluster with zero weight. Since the passage time  $T(x, y)$  may take the infinite value (when  $x$  and  $y$  are not connected by a path of finite weight edges), we consider a modification as follows. Let  $\mathcal{C}_p$  denote the unique infinite cluster of edges with finite weights. Given points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the regularized passage time as

$$\tilde{T}(x, y) := T([x]_p, [y]_p),$$

where  $[x]_p$  denotes the  $d_1$ -closest point to  $x$  in  $\mathcal{C}_p$  with a deterministic rule breaking ties. Traditionally, the main object of interest in generalized FPP is the asymptotic behavior of  $\tilde{T}$ . Particularly, the weak law of large numbers was obtained in [GM90, CT16]: there exists a constant  $\mu_p \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{T}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)}{n} = \mu_p \quad \text{in probability,}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_1$  is the first unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, Garet and Marchand [GM04, Remark 1] proved that if  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_e^{2+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}] < \infty$  with some  $\delta > 0$ , then the convergence in (1.2) holds true almost surely and in  $L_1$ . Our first result is the strong law of large numbers for the regularized first passage time assuming solely the finiteness of first moment of  $\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}$ . We prove it in Appendix C.<sup>1</sup>

**Theorem 1.1. (SLLN)** *If  $p > p_c(d)$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}] < \infty$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{T}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)}{n} = \mu_p \quad \text{a.s. and in } L_1.$$

The continuity and regularity of the time constant of First-passage percolation and chemical distance in super-critical percolation have been subjects of investigation since the 1980s. The continuity has been explored in works [Cox80, CK81, GMPT17], while the regularity has been addressed in [Dem21, CD22, KT22].<sup>2</sup> In particular, Cerf and Dembin [CD22] have established the Lipschitz continuity of the time constant for the chemical distance, i.e.,  $F = \delta_1$ . Going further, the authors also claim a quantitative estimate of difference of time constants for two distributions (that includes Theorem 1.2 below), though they do not give detailed proof.

In this context, we present our main result as follows:

**Theorem 1.2. (Lipschitz continuity)** *For all  $p_0 > p_c(d)$ , there exists a constant  $C = C(d, p_0, F) > 0$  such that for all  $p, q$  in the interval  $[p_0, 1]$ ,*

$$|\mu_p - \mu_q| \leq C|p - q|.$$

Notably, the time constant can be expressed as the limit of a truncated passage time defined below, which implies that the moment condition on weight is not necessary for this theorem.

**1.2. Outline of the proof.** The proofs in [CD22] utilizes a sophisticated multi-scale renormalization technique. However, in our paper, we propose an alternative approach that employs lattice animal theory combined with a straightforward one-step renormalization process. Let us explain the outline of the proof here.

Let  $M := M_n := (\log n)^3$  and  $K := K_n := n^2$ . We denote by  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(x, y)$  the first passage time between  $x$  and  $y$  associated with the truncated weights  $(\tau_e^M)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  using only paths inside  $\Lambda_K$ , where  $\tau_e^M := \tau_e \wedge M$ . Then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is decomposed into two steps:

**Step 1 (Time constant as the limit of truncated passage time):** We aim to show

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[ T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right]}{n} = \mu_p.$$

The proof goes as follows. Let  $\lambda$  be a large positive constant and  $q := \mathbb{P}(\tau_e \leq \lambda)$ , see Appendix B.1 for the choice of  $\lambda$ . We consider the percolation of  $q$ -open edges consisting of  $\{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d) : \tau_e \leq \lambda\}$  and use similar notations, such as  $\mathcal{C}_q$  and  $[x]_q$ , for this percolation. Note that  $\mathcal{C}_q \subset \mathcal{C}_p$ , and the vertices in  $\mathcal{C}_q$  can be connected to each other along paths whose weights are at most  $\lambda$ . According to [GMPT17, Lemma 2.11], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)}{n} = \mu_p \quad \text{a.s. and in } L_1.$$

In this step, we further aim to show

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right| \right] = \mathcal{O}(\lambda M).$$

To prove this estimate, we introduce the notation of **effective radius**  $(R_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  in Section 2.2. Roughly speaking, given an edge  $e$  belonging to a geodesic of the truncated passage times,  $R_e$  measures the length of  $q$ -open path for bypassing  $e$ . Under the event that  $\{R_e \leq (\log n)^{5/2}, \forall e \in [-n^2, n^2]^d\}$  which occurs with overwhelming probability, we show that  $|T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| = \mathcal{O}(\lambda M)$ . In particular, we have (1.3). We refer to Section 3.2 for the details.

**Step 2 (Linear bound via Russo's formula):** Let  $T_{M, \pm, e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$  be the first passage time when the weight of the edge  $e$  is set to  $M$  for  $+$  and  $0$  for  $-$ , respectively. We take  $\gamma$  to be a geodesic of  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , and we

<sup>1</sup>Although the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on classical Kingman's sub-additive ergodic theorem and is quite simple, we could not find any reference for it.

<sup>2</sup>Note that in [KT22], a distribution defined as  $F_p = p\delta_0 + (1-p)\delta_1$  was considered, and explicit bounds for the Lipschitz constants were obtained.

define  $\Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) := T_{M,+e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_{M,-e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ . We aim to show

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \frac{d\mathbb{E} \left[ T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right]}{dp} \right| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} \Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right] \leq \mathcal{O}(1) \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} R_e \right] \leq \mathcal{O}(n).$$

The first inequality follows from a standard application of Russo's formula. The second inequality simply follows from the construction of effective radius appearing above. The proof of the last inequality in (1.4) uses properties of effective radius, i.e., a local dependence and a good probability decay, and lattice animal theory.

The effective radius along with the utilization of lattice animal theory is proved to be robust in estimating the effect of flipping edge in percolation. In fact, we can use these ingredients to establish the sub-diffusive concentration of chemical distance in Bernoulli supercritical percolation as in [CN24]. Nevertheless, the effective radius in [CN24] is defined in a slightly more complex manner to enable the construction of a bypass for an edge along a mixed path composed of several open paths and geodesics. Furthermore, it also satisfies a more robust large deviation estimate compared to Proposition 2.4.

**1.3. Notation.** We summarize some notation frequently used throughout the paper.

- *Integer interval.* We define  $[a] := [1, a] \cap \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $a \geq 1$ .
- *Box and its boundary.* For every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $t > 0$ , we define  $\Lambda_t(x) := x + [-t, t]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  the box with center  $x$  and radius  $t$ . For simplicity, we write  $\Lambda_t := \Lambda_t(0)$ . We define the boundary of  $\Lambda_t(x)$  as  $\partial\Lambda_t(x) := \Lambda_t(x) \setminus \Lambda_{t-1}(x)$ .
- *Edge set.* Given a set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  the set of edges both of whose endpoints belong to  $A$ .
- *Set distance.* For  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we consider several kinds of distance between  $X$  and  $Y$  as

$$d_\star(X, Y) := \min\{\|x - y\|_\star : x \in X, y \in Y\}, \quad \star \in \{1, 2, \infty\}.$$

- *Path and open path.* We say that a sequence  $\gamma = (v_0, \dots, v_n)$  is a **path** if  $|v_i - v_{i-1}|_1 = 1$  and  $v_i \neq v_j$  for all  $i \neq j \in [n]$ . Given  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  denote the set of all paths inside  $A$ . Given a Bernoulli percolation on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  with parameter  $p$ , we say that a path is  **$p$ -open** if all of its edges are open. An **open cluster** is a maximal connected component in the percolation. An open cluster  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a  $q$ -crossing in  $\Lambda$  if in each direction there is an open path in  $\mathcal{C}$  connecting the two opposite faces of  $\Lambda$ . In that case, we write  $q$ -crossing cluster  $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda$ .

- *Geodesic and truncated passage time :* Let  $T$  be the first passage time associated with weights  $(\omega_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ . Given  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , a path  $\gamma$  between  $x$  and  $y$  is termed a **geodesic** of  $T$  if its passage time matches  $T(x, y)$ , i.e.,  $T(\gamma) := \sum_{e \in \gamma} \omega_e = T(x, y)$ . Given  $H > 0$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we define the **truncated passage time**, denoted by  $T_H^A$ , as the first passage time associated with the truncated weights  $(\omega_e \wedge H)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(A)}$  using only paths inside  $A$ . When  $A = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we write  $T_H := T_H^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ .

**1.4. Organization.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the main ingredients of proof including Russo's formula, effective radius, and lattice animal theory. In Section 3, we prove Step 1 and Step 2 using the elements prepared in Section 2. In the Appendix, we prove the strong law of large numbers of the passage time (Theorem 1.1), Russo's formula and properties of effective radius.

## 2. MAIN INGREDIENTS OF PROOF

In this section, we introduce three main elements in proving the Lipschitz continuity. The first result is Russo's type formula (Lemma 2.1). The second result considers the effects of resampling an edge (Propositions 2.4 and 2.5), and the third result provides an upper bound on the total cost of resampling along a random path using the lattice animal theory (Corollary 2.8). Although they have been already investigated in previous research, we provide the proofs of these results in Appendix for the completeness of the paper.

**2.1. Russo's type formula.** Let  $L \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$ . Let  $\nu$  be a random variable with the distribution  $G$  supported in  $[0, L]$ . For  $p \in (p_c(d), 1)$ , we define the distribution  $G_p$  on  $[0, L]$  by

$$G_p := pG + (1-p)\delta_L,$$

where  $\delta_L$  stands for the Dirac delta distribution at  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $E$  be a finite set,  $\xi = (\xi_e)_{e \in E}$  i.i.d. random variables with the common distribution  $G_p$ , and  $X : [0, L]^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that  $X(\xi)$  is integrable. Suppose that  $\xi^{+,e}$  and  $\xi^e$  are obtained from  $\xi$  by*

replacing  $\xi_e$  with  $L$  and with  $\nu$  respectively, where  $\nu$  is an independent random variable with distribution  $G$ . Then, we have

$$\frac{d\mathbb{E}[X(\xi)]}{dp} = \sum_{e \in E} (\mathbb{E}[X(\xi^e)] - \mathbb{E}[X(\xi^{+,e})]).$$

**2.2. The effect of resampling edges.** As we will see in the next section, using Russo's type formula (Lemma 2.1), the problem of Lipschitz continuity of time constant can be reduced to controlling the effect of resampling the edges along the geodesics. Given an edge  $e$ , we introduce the **effective radius**  $R_e$ , which measures the change of chemical distance when flipping the state of  $e$  from open to closed.

Given a coupling of Bernoulli percolation models for parameters  $p$ , a path  $\gamma$  is called  $p$ -open if all of its edges are open in the corresponding percolation with parameter  $p$ . We define the set of  $p$ -open paths in  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  by

$$\mathbb{O}_p(A) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(A) : \gamma \text{ is } p\text{-open}\}.$$

For  $A, B, U \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we define the **chemical distance**

$$D_p^U(A, B) := \inf\{|\gamma| : x \in A, y \in B, \gamma \text{ is a } p\text{-open path from } x \text{ to } y \text{ inside } U\}.$$

When  $U = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we simply write  $D_p$  for  $D_p^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ . Given  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$(2.1) \quad q := q(p, \lambda) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_e \leq \lambda) = pF([0, \lambda]).$$

Let  $\delta_0$  be a sufficiently small positive constant as in Lemma B.1 below. Given  $p_0 \in (p_c(d), 1]$ , we define  $q_0 := \frac{p_0 + p_c(d)}{2}$  and take  $\lambda = \lambda(p_0, F)$  sufficiently large such that  $F([0, \lambda]) \geq \max\left\{\frac{q_0}{p_0}, 1 - \delta_0\right\}$ , which implies

$$(2.2) \quad q_0 \leq q \leq p \leq q + \delta_0 \quad \forall p \in [p_0, 1].$$

We say that an edge  $e$  is  $q$ -open or  $p$ -open if  $\tau_e \leq \lambda$  or  $\tau_e < \infty$ , respectively. We call  $q$ -percolation and  $p$ -percolation the associated percolation models. Let  $\mathcal{C}_q$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p$  be the corresponding infinite clusters. We will see in Appendix B.1 that the condition (2.2) assures that a large cluster in  $\mathcal{C}_q$  and a long path in  $\mathcal{C}_p$  would intersect with high probability. Given an edge  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , we fix a rule to write  $e = (x_e, y_e)$  so that  $\|x_e\|_1 < \|y_e\|_1$ . For  $N \geq 1$ , and  $e = (x_e, y_e)$ , we define  $\Lambda_N(e) := \Lambda_N(x_e)$ , and an annulus

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{A}_N(e) := \Lambda_{3N}(e) \setminus \Lambda_N(e).$$

We say that  $\gamma$  is a crossing path of  $\mathbb{A}_N(e)$  if  $\gamma$  is a path inside  $\mathbb{A}_N(e)$  that joins  $\partial\Lambda_N(e)$  and  $\partial\Lambda_{3N}(e)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{A}_N(e))$  be the collection of all crossing paths of  $\mathbb{A}_N(e)$ . Given  $H > 0$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , recall that  $T_H^A$  is the first passage time associated with the truncated weights  $(\tau_e \wedge H)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  using only paths inside  $A$ . For  $u, v \in A$ , we define the set of geodesics of  $T_H^A(u, v)$  as

$$\mathbb{G}_H(u, v; A) := \{\gamma = (u, \dots, v) \in \mathcal{P}(A) : T_H(\gamma) = T_H^A(u, v)\}.$$

We also define

$$\mathbb{G}_H(A) := \bigcup_{u, v \in A} \mathbb{G}_H(u, v; A).$$

If  $A = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we simply write  $\mathbb{G}_H(x, y)$  for  $\mathbb{G}_H(x, y; \mathbb{Z}^d)$  and write  $\mathbb{G}_H$  for  $\mathbb{G}_H(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Given  $B \subset A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $H > 0$ , if  $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_H(A)$  and  $\pi$  is a sub-path of  $\gamma$  such that  $\pi \subset B$ , then  $\pi \in \mathbb{G}_H(B)$ . We note that  $\mathbb{G}_H(A)$  is measurable with respect to the weights of edges inside  $A$ .

Let  $C_* \geq 3$  be a constant. For each  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , we define the  $q$ -**effective radius** of  $e$  (see Figure 1 for illustration) as

$$R_e := R_e(C_*, H) := \inf \left\{ N \geq 3 : \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e)) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{A}_N(e)), D_q^{\mathbb{A}_N(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq C_*N \right\}.$$

**Remark 2.3.** By the definition of effective radius and Remark 2.2, for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $t \geq 1$  the event  $\{R_e = t\}$  depends solely on the states of edges within the box  $\Lambda_{C_*t}(e)$ .

We fix  $\lambda = \lambda(p_0, F)$ ,  $q = q(p, \lambda)$  as in (2.2) throughout the paper. The following proposition gives a large deviation estimate for effective radii.

**Proposition 2.4.** <sup>3</sup> Let  $p_0 \in (p_c(d), 1]$ . There exist  $C_* \geq 3$  and  $c \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $d, p_0$  such that for all  $p \in [p_0, 1]$  and  $H > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(R_e \geq t) \leq c^{-1} \exp(-c\sqrt{t}) \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d), \quad \forall t \in [cH^2].$$

<sup>3</sup>A stronger (exponential) bound for Proposition 2.4 is obtained in [CN24, Section 2], though the present estimate is sufficient for our current purpose.




FIGURE 1. Illustration of the event inside the definition of  $R_e$ : the blue lines represent the crossing paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  of  $A_N(e)$ ; the red line represents a geodesic of  $D_q^{A_N(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ .

We fix  $C_*$  as in Proposition 2.4. Given an edge in a geodesic, the following proposition allows us to build upon this geodesic a bypass to avoid this edge.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{G}_H(x, y)$  be a geodesic of  $\mathbb{T}_H(x, y)$ . Suppose that  $e \in \gamma$  is an edge satisfying  $x, y \notin \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)$ . Then there exists another path  $\eta_e$  between  $x$  and  $y$  such that:*

- (a)  $\eta_e \cap \Lambda_{R_e-1}(e) = \emptyset$  and  $\eta_e \setminus \gamma$  consists only of  $q$ -open edges;
- (b)  $|\eta_e \setminus \gamma| \leq C_* R_e$ .

The proofs are postponed until Appendix since they are standard in percolation theory.

**2.3. Lattice animals of dependent weight.** To manage the cumulative cost of edge resampling, we aim to estimate the sum of effective radii along a random path. While these effective radii are not mutually independent, their interdependence is relatively local (Remark 2.3). We utilize lattice animal theory to provide an upper bound for the sum of these radii. We first revisit a result that controls the total weight of paths in dependent environments in [CN24] using the theory of greedy lattice animals.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_L$  denote the set of all paths  $\gamma$  starting at 0 and satisfying  $|\gamma| \leq L$ . Given  $A > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , a collection of Bernoulli random variables  $(I_{e,N})_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is called  $AN$ -dependent if for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , the variable  $I_{e,N}$  is independent of the random variables  $(I_{e',N})_{e' \notin \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{AN}(e))}$ . For any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L$ , we define

$$\Gamma(\gamma) := \sum_{e \in \gamma} I_{e,N}, \quad \Gamma_{L,N} := \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \Gamma(\gamma).$$

**Lemma 2.6.** [CN19, Lemma 2.6] *Let  $A > 0$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a collection of  $AN$ -dependent Bernoulli random variables  $(I_{e,N})_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ . There exists a positive constant  $C$  depending on  $A, d$  such that for all  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_{L,N}] \leq CLN^d q_N^{1/d}, \quad \text{where } q_N := \sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \mathbb{E}[I_{e,N}].$$

*Proof.* We give a simplified proof here. Given  $A \in \mathbb{N}$ , let us consider a decomposition  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{(2dAN)^d} E_i$  such that for each  $E_i$ ,  $d_\infty(\{x, y\}, \{x', y'\}) \geq 2AN$  for all  $e = (x, y) \neq e' = (x', y') \in E_i$  (see [CN19, Lemma 2.6] for a concrete example). This implies that for all  $1 \leq i \leq (2dAN)^d$ , the random variables  $(I_{e,N})_{e \in E_i}$  are independent. Let  $(\bar{I}_{e,N})_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  be i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean  $q_N$ . Notice that the family of random variables  $(I_{e,N})_{e \in E_i}$  is stochastically dominated by  $(\bar{I}_{e,N})_{e \in E_i}$ , since  $\mathbb{E}[I_{e,N}] \leq q_N$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Therefore, for any  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_{L,N}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{(2dAN)^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma \cap E_i} I_{e,N} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{(2dAN)^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma \cap E_i} \bar{I}_{e,N} \right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{(2dAN)^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma} \bar{I}_{e,N} \right].$$

Using [DHS15, Lemma 6.8],  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma} \bar{I}_{e,N} \right] \leq \mathcal{O}(Lq_N^{1/d})$ , which yields the claim.  $\square$

The following result controls the total weight of an arbitrary random path.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $A > 0$  and  $(X_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  be a family of non-negative random variables such that for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(2.4) \quad \text{the event } \{N-1 \leq X_e < N\} \text{ is independent of } (X_{e'})_{e' \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \Lambda_{AN}(e))}.$$

We define  $q_N := \sup_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \mathbb{P}(N-1 \leq X_e < N)$ . Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad B := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} (f_*(N))^2 N^d q_N^{1/d} < \infty, \quad \text{where } f_*(N) := \sup_{N-1 \leq x < N} f(x).$$

Then there exists  $C = C(A, B) > 0$  such that for all random paths  $\gamma$  starting from 0 in the same probability space as  $(X_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ , and  $L \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right] \leq CL + C \sum_{\ell \geq L} \ell (\mathbb{P}(|\gamma| = \ell))^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \mathbf{1}_{(|\gamma| < L)} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \mathbf{1}_{|\gamma| \geq L} \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right] + \sum_{\ell=L}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \mathbf{1}_{|\gamma|=\ell} \right] \\ &\leq \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_L} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{\ell=L}^{\infty} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\ell} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} (\mathbb{P}[|\gamma| = \ell])^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $m \geq L$ . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we know that  $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^2 \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \left( \sum_{e \in \gamma} f(X_e) \right)^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} |\gamma| \sum_{e \in \gamma} f^2(X_e) \right] \leq m \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f^2(X_e) \right].$$

Let  $I_{e,N} := \mathbf{1}_{N-1 \leq X_e < N}$ . We have

$$\sum_{e \in \gamma} f^2(X_e) = \sum_{e \in \gamma} \sum_{N \geq 1} f^2(X_e) I_{e,N} \leq \sum_{N \geq 1} (f_*(N))^2 \sum_{e \in \gamma} I_{e,N}.$$

Let  $\Gamma_{m,N} := \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} I_{e,N}$ . Therefore,

$$(2.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f^2(X_e) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{N \geq 1} (f_*(N))^2 \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} I_{e,N} \right] = \sum_{N \geq 1} (f_*(N))^2 \mathbb{E} [\Gamma_{m,N}].$$

By Lemma 2.6 with (2.4), for all  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_{m,N}] = \mathcal{O}(m) N^d q_N^{1/d}$ . Combined with (2.8), this yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \max_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_m} \sum_{e \in \gamma} f^2(X_e) \right] = \mathcal{O}(m) \sum_{N \geq 1} (f_*(N))^2 N^d q_N^{1/d} = \mathcal{O}(m),$$

by the assumption (2.5) on  $f$ . Finally, combining this with (2.6) and (2.7), we derive the claim.  $\square$

Applying Lemma 2.7 with  $X_e = R_e \mathbf{1}_{R_e \leq M}$ ,  $A = 2C_*$ , and  $f(x) = x$ , since the conditions (2.4) and (2.5) follow from Remark 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 respectively, we have the following:

**Corollary 2.8.** *For any  $C > 0$ , there exists  $C'$  such that the following holds. For all  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  and a random path  $\gamma$  starting from 0 satisfying  $\mathbb{P}(|\gamma| = \ell) \leq \ell^{-5}$  for all  $\ell \geq CL$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} R_e \mathbf{1}_{R_e \leq M} \right] \leq C' L.$$

## 3. LIPSCHITZ CONTINUITY OF THE TIME CONSTANT: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we shall apply the results of effective radius to the truncated passage time  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}$ . Recall that  $q_0 = \frac{p_0 + p_c(d)}{2} > p_c(d)$  and  $\lambda$  from Section 2, such that  $F([0, \lambda]) \geq \max\left\{\frac{q_0}{p_0}, 1 - \delta_0\right\}$ . This implies

$$\forall p \in [p_0, 1], \quad q = pF([0, \lambda]) \geq q_0, \quad q_0 \leq q \leq p \leq q + \delta_0,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is a positive constant as defined in Lemma B.1.

**3.1. Length of geodesics.** We recall some estimates on the sizes of holes and chemical distances.

**Lemma 3.1.** [Pis96, Theorem 2] *There exists  $c = c(q_0) \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $t \geq 1$ ,*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_t \cap \mathcal{C}_q = \emptyset) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_t \cap \mathcal{C}_{q_0} = \emptyset) \leq c^{-1} \exp(-ct^{d-1}).$$

Consequently, for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(\|x - [x]_q\|_\infty \geq t) \leq c^{-1} \exp(-ct^{d-1}).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** [AP96, (4.49)] *There exists  $\rho = \rho(q_0) \geq 1$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and all  $t \geq \rho\|x\|_\infty$ ,*

$$(3.3) \quad \max\{\mathbb{P}(D_q(0, x) \in [t, \infty)), \mathbb{P}(D_q([0]_q, [x]_q) \geq t)\} \leq \rho \exp(-t/\rho).$$

Accordingly, it is natural to expect  $T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)/n$  is close to  $T([0]_p, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_p)/n$ . In fact, it was shown in [GMPT17, Lemma 2.11] that for all  $p > p_c(d)$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)}{n} \quad \text{a.s. and in } L_1.$$

Next, we cite a result on the length of a geodesic in First-passage percolation.

**Lemma 3.3.** [Kes86, Proposition 5.8] *Assume that  $G$ , the edge weight distribution in generalized First-passage percolation, satisfies  $G(0) < p_c(d)$ . Then there exists  $c = c(G) \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{P}(\exists \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_*(0) : |\gamma| \geq \ell, T(\gamma) \leq c\ell) \leq \exp(-\ell/c),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_*(0)$  is the set of all paths starting at 0.

The following result gives large deviation estimates of the length of geodesics.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Recall that  $M = (\log n)^3$ ,  $K = n^2$ . Let  $p_0 > p_c(d)$ . There exists  $C_1 = C_1(p_0, F) > 0$  such that for all  $p \in [p_0, 1]$ ,  $\ell \geq C_1 n$  and  $x \in \Lambda_{2n}(0)$ , we have*

$$\max\{\mathbb{P}(\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{G}_M(0, x; \Lambda_K) : |\gamma| \geq \ell), \mathbb{P}(\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{G}_M(0, x) : |\gamma| \geq \ell)\} \leq C_1 \exp(-\ell/(C_1 M)).$$

*Proof.* We first claim that there exists  $C = C(q_0) > 0$  such that for all  $q \geq q_0$ , and  $\ell \geq n$  and  $x \in \Lambda_{2n}$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_q^c) \leq \exp(-\ell/(CM)), \quad \text{with } \mathcal{E}_q := \{\exists u \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}_q, \exists v \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_q : D_q(u, v) \leq C\ell\}.$$

By the monotone coupling, the function  $q \mapsto \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_q)$  is increasing. Hence, it suffices to show (3.6) with  $q_0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{\ell/M}(z) \cap \mathcal{C}_{q_0} = \emptyset) \leq e^{-\ell/(C'M)}$  for  $z \in \{0, x\}$  with some  $C' = C'(q_0) > 0$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, there exists  $C'' = C''(q_0) > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists u \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}_{q_0}, \exists v \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_{q_0} : D_{q_0}(u, v) > C''\ell) \leq \exp(-\ell/C''),$$

which yields (3.6). Let  $q := \mathbb{P}(\tau_e \leq \lambda)$ . On the event  $\mathcal{E}_q$ , there exist  $u \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}_q$  and  $v \in \Lambda_{\ell/M}(x) \cap \mathcal{C}_q$  such that  $D_q^{\Lambda_K}(u, v) \leq C\ell$ . Hence, if  $\ell \leq 4dnM$ , then since  $\ell \leq 4dnM = o(K)$ , one has  $D_q^{\Lambda_K}(u, v) = D_q(u, v)$ . Thus,

$$T_M^{\Lambda_K}(u, v) \leq \lambda D_q^{\Lambda_K}(u, v) = \lambda D_q(u, v) \leq C\lambda\ell.$$

Therefore, if  $\ell \leq 4dnM$  and  $\mathcal{E}_q$  occurs, for  $n$  large enough, then

$$(3.7) \quad T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, x) \leq T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, u) + T_M^{\Lambda_K}(u, v) + T_M^{\Lambda_K}(v, x) \leq 2d\ell + C\lambda\ell = (2d + C\lambda)\ell.$$

If  $\ell > 4dnM$ , then we have the same bound since  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, x) \leq 2dM|x|_\infty \leq 4dnM$ . Let  $C_1 := \frac{2d+C\lambda}{c}$  with  $c = c(F_1^1) \in (0, 1)$  as in Lemma 3.3. We write  $\mathbb{P}_G$  for the probability measure of First-passage percolation with weight distribution  $G$ . By (3.7), we get for all  $\ell \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{G}_M(0, x; \Lambda_K) : |\gamma| \geq C_1\ell, \mathcal{E}_q) &\leq \mathbb{P}(\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{G}_M(0, x; \Lambda_K) : |\gamma| \geq C_1\ell, T_M(\gamma) \leq (2d + C\lambda)\ell) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{F^M}(\exists \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_*(0); |\gamma| \geq C_1\ell, T(\gamma) \leq cC_1\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have the same bound for  $\mathbb{G}_M(0, x)$  instead of  $\mathbb{G}_M(0, x; \Lambda_K)$ . Since  $F_p^M$  stochastically dominates  $F_1^1$  for  $n$  large enough and  $F_1^1(0) = F(0) < p_c(d)$ , the right-hand side is bounded from above by

$$\mathbb{P}_{F_1^1}(\exists \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_*(0) : |\gamma| \geq C_1 \ell, T(\gamma) \leq cC_1 \ell) \leq \exp(-C_1 \ell/c),$$

thanks to Lemma 3.3. Combining this with (3.6), the result follows with  $\max\{C, C_1\}$  in place of  $C_1$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. Comparison of $T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)$ and $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *For all  $p \in [p_0, 1]$ , there exists  $A = A(d, p_0, F) > 0$  such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right| \right] \leq AM = A(\log n)^3.$$

Note that (1.3) follows by combining (3.4) and (3.8). The proof of (3.8) is divided into

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{E} [|T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)|] \leq A_1 M = A_1 (\log n)^3,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right| \right] \leq A_2 M = A_2 (\log n)^3,$$

for some positive constants  $A_1 = A_1(d, p_0, F)$  and  $A_2 = A_2(d, p_0)$ .

*Proof of (3.9).* Recall that  $q = pF([0, \lambda]) \leq p$  and an edge  $e$  is  $q$ -open if and only if  $\tau_e \leq \lambda$ . Thus,

$$(3.11) \quad \max \{T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q), T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)\} \leq \lambda D_q([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q).$$

Let  $\gamma_M$  be a geodesic of  $T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)$ , with some deterministic rules breaking ties. Define

$$\mathcal{E}_n := \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} \cap \mathcal{E}_n^{(2)} := \{\max\{\|0 - [0]_q\|_\infty, \|n\mathbf{e}_1 - [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q\|_\infty\} \leq M\} \cap \{\forall e \in \gamma_M, R_e \leq (\log n)^{5/2}\}.$$

Let  $C_1$  be a positive constant as in Lemma 3.4. Note that

$$(\mathcal{E}_n^{(2)})^c \cap \mathcal{E}_n^{(1)} \cap \{|\gamma_M| \leq C_1 n\} \subset \{\exists e \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2C_1 n}) : R_e \geq (\log n)^{5/2}\}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n^c) \leq 2\mathbb{P}(\|0 - [0]_q\|_\infty > M) + \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}; |\gamma_M| > C_1 n) + \mathbb{P}(\exists e \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2C_1 n}) : R_e \geq (\log n)^{5/2}).$$

By Lemma 3.1, there exists a positive constant  $c = c(q_0)$ , such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|0 - [0]_q\|_\infty > M) \leq \exp(-cM^{d-1}).$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n^{(1)}; |\gamma_M| > C_1 n) \leq C_1 (2n)^{2d} \exp(-n/M).$$

Finally, Proposition 2.4 yields

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists e \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2C_1 n}) : R_e \geq (\log n)^{5/2}) \leq C_2 n^d \exp(-(\log n)^{5/4}/C_2),$$

with some positive constant  $C_2 = C_2(d, p_0)$ . Putting things together, we have, with some positive constant  $C = C(d, p_0)$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_n^c) \leq C \exp(-(\log n)^{5/4}/C).$$

We next prove that on the event  $\mathcal{E}_n$ ,

$$(3.15) \quad \tau_e < M \text{ and } e \text{ is } p\text{-open, } \forall e \in \gamma_M \setminus \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2M}(0) \cup \Lambda_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1)).$$

Assume  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and  $e \in \gamma_M \setminus \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2M}(0) \cup \Lambda_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1))$ . If  $[0]_q \in \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)$ , then one has  $d_\infty(0, e) \leq d_\infty(0, [0]_q) + d_\infty([0]_q, e) \leq M + 3R_e < 2M - 1$ , which contradicts  $e \in \gamma_M \setminus \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{2M}(0) \cup \Lambda_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1))$ . Thus, we have  $[0]_q \notin \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)$ . Similarly, we have  $[n\mathbf{e}_1]_q \notin \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)$ . Applying Proposition 2.5 to  $\gamma = \gamma_M \in \mathbb{G}_M$ , we obtain a path  $\eta_e$  from  $[0]_q$  to  $[n\mathbf{e}_1]_q$  such that  $e'$  is  $q$ -open for all  $e' \in \eta_e \setminus \gamma_M$ , i.e.,  $\tau_e \leq \lambda$ ,  $|\eta_e \setminus \gamma_M| \leq C_* R_e$ , and  $e \notin \eta_e$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(\gamma_M) &\leq T_M(\eta_e) = T_M(\eta_e \cap \gamma_M) + T_M(\eta_e \setminus \gamma_M) \\ &\leq T_M(\gamma_M) - \tau_e^M + T_M(\eta_e \setminus \gamma_M) \leq T_M(\gamma_M) - \tau_e^M + C_* \lambda R_e, \end{aligned}$$

where recall that  $\tau_e^M = \tau_e \wedge M$ . This yields  $\tau_e^M \leq C_* \lambda R_e < M$ . Thus  $\tau_e < M$ , and  $e$  is  $p$ -open.

For all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , thanks to [Gri89, Theorem 7.68] and Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\exists q\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_{3N}(x)\} \cap \{\mathcal{C}_q \cap \Lambda_N(x) \neq \emptyset\}) \geq 1 - C \exp(-N/C),$$

for some  $C = C(q_0) > 0$ . Then by Lemma B.1,  $\mathcal{C}_q$  is the unique  $q$ -crossing cluster of  $\Lambda_{3N}(x)$  with probability at least  $1 - C \exp(-N/C)$ , for some  $C = C(d, p_0) > 0$ . Using Lemma B.1 again and Lemma 3.2, there exist  $C = C(d, p_0) > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.16) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}'_N(x)) \leq C \exp(-N/C),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}'_N(x) := \{\exists \eta \in \mathcal{O}_p(\Lambda_{3N}(x)) : \text{Diam}(\eta) \geq 3N/2, \eta \cap \mathcal{C}_q = \emptyset\} \cup \{\exists u, v \in \Lambda_{3N}(x) : D_q(u, v) \in [CN, \infty)\}.$$

Here, we remark that  $q$  has been chosen appropriately to apply Lemma B.1, see (2.2) and Appendix B.1. Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}_n^* := \mathcal{E}_n \cap \mathcal{E}'_{2M}(0)^c \cap \mathcal{E}'_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1)^c$  occurs. On the event  $\mathcal{E}_n^*$ ,  $\gamma_M$  crosses the annuli  $A_{2M}(0)$  and  $A_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1)$ . Hence, by (3.15), we find two vertices  $u \in \gamma_M \cap A_{2M}(0) \cap \mathcal{C}_q$  and  $v \in \gamma_M \cap A_{2M}(n\mathbf{e}_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_q$ , such that  $D_q([0]_q, u), D_q([n\mathbf{e}_1]_q, v) \leq 2CM$ , and  $T_M(u, v) = T(u, v)$ . Hence, we have

$$T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) \leq T([0]_q, u) + T(u, v) + T(v, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) \leq 4C\lambda M + T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q).$$

Combining this with  $T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) \leq T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)$ , we arrive at

$$(3.17) \quad |T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_n^*} \leq 4C\lambda M.$$

By (3.14) and (3.16), we have  $\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{E}_n^*)^c) \leq C \exp(-(\log n)^{5/4}/(4C))$ . By (3.11) and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [ |T([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q)| \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{E}_n^*)^c} ] &\leq 2\lambda \mathbb{E} [ D_q([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) \mathbf{1}_{(\mathcal{E}_n^*)^c} ] \\ &\leq 2\lambda (\mathbb{E} [ D_q^2([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) ])^{1/2} (\mathbb{P}((\mathcal{E}_n^*)^c))^{1/2} \\ &\leq 4\sqrt{C}\lambda \rho n \exp(-(\log n)^{5/4}/(8C)), \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Combining the last two displays, we obtain (3.9).  $\square$

*Proof of (3.10).* We have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ |T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} [ |T_M([0]_q, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) - T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| ] + \mathbb{E} \left[ |T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| \right].$$

By the triangular inequality, the translation invariance, and (3.2), the first term is bounded from above by

$$\mathbb{E} [ T_M(0, [0]_q) ] + \mathbb{E} [ T_M(n\mathbf{e}_1, [n\mathbf{e}_1]_q) ] \leq 2dM\mathbb{E} [ d_\infty(0, [0]_q) ] \leq CM,$$

for some  $C = C(d, q_0)$ . We now estimate the last term. We take a geodesic of  $T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , denoted by  $\gamma_M$ , with some deterministic rules breaking ties. If  $|\gamma_M| < n^2 = K$ , then  $T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) = T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ . Therefore, since  $|T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| \leq Mn$ , by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ |T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ |T_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)| \mathbf{1}_{|\gamma_M| \geq n^2} \right] \\ &\leq Mn\mathbb{P}(|\gamma_M| \geq n^2) \leq CMn \exp(-n^2/(CM)), \end{aligned}$$

with some  $C = C(p_0, F) > 0$ . This yields (3.10).  $\square$

### 3.3. Bound on the derivative of first passage time.

**Proposition 3.6.** *There exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, p_0, F)$  such that for all  $p \in [p_0, 1)$ ,*

$$\left| \frac{d\mathbb{E} [ T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) ]}{dp} \right| \leq Cn.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) := T_{M,+,e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - T_{M,-,e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , where  $T_{M,\pm,e}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$  is the first passage time when the weight of the edge  $e$  is set to  $M$  for  $+$  and 0 for  $-$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic of  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , with some deterministic rules breaking ties. Note that the family of i.i.d. truncated weights  $(\tau_e^M)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  has the same distribution as

$$G_p = p(F\mathbf{1}_{[0,M]} + F([M, \infty))\delta_M) + (1-p)\delta_M,$$

where recall that  $\tau_e^M = \tau_e \wedge M$ . Since  $\Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) = 0$  for all  $e \notin \gamma$  and  $T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$  is an increasing function of weights  $(\tau_e^M)_{e \in \Lambda_K}$ , applying Lemma 2.1 with  $L = M, E = \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_K), \xi = (\tau_e^M)_{e \in \Lambda_K}, X = T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , we have

$$(3.19) \quad \left| \frac{d\mathbb{E} [ T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) ]}{dp} \right| \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_K)} \Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} \Delta_e T_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right].$$

We give an upper bound for (3.19). Let  $(R_e)_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  and  $C_*$  be as in Proposition 2.4. We fix  $e \in \gamma$  and define  $\mathcal{U}_e := \{0, n\mathbf{e}_1 \notin \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)\}$ . Define also

$$\mathcal{W} := \{\forall \eta \in \mathbb{G}_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) : |\eta| < n^2\}.$$

Notice that if the event  $\mathcal{W}$  occurs then  $\mathbb{T}_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ , and so  $\gamma$  is a geodesic of  $\mathbb{T}_M(0, n\mathbf{e}_1)$ . Hence, on  $\mathcal{U}_e \cap \mathcal{W}$ , by Proposition 2.5, there exists a path  $\eta_e$  from 0 to  $n\mathbf{e}_1$  satisfying  $\eta_e \setminus \gamma$  consisting of edges with weights at most  $\lambda$  and  $|\eta_e \setminus \gamma| \leq C_* R_e$ . Thus, on  $\{R_e \leq M\} \cap \mathcal{U}_e \cap \mathcal{W}$ , one has the bound

$$\Delta_e \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbb{T}_{M,+}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) - \mathbb{T}_{M,-}^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \leq \lambda |\eta_e \setminus \gamma| \leq C_* \lambda R_e.$$

Otherwise, we use a trivial bound  $\Delta_e \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \leq M$ . We note that the event  $\{R_e \leq M\} \cap \mathcal{U}_e^c$  implies  $d_\infty(0, e) \wedge d_\infty(n\mathbf{e}_1, e) \leq 3M$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in \gamma} \Delta_e \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) &\leq C_* \lambda \sum_{e \in \gamma} R_e \mathbf{1}_{R_e \leq M} + M \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{d_\infty(0, e) \wedge d_\infty(n\mathbf{e}_1, e) \leq 3M} + M \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{R_e > M} + M |\gamma| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{W}^c} \\ (3.20) \quad &\leq C_* \lambda \sum_{e \in \gamma} R_e \mathbf{1}_{R_e \leq M} + 4dM(6M+1)^d + M \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{R_e > M} + M |\gamma| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{W}^c}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 3.4, for all  $\ell \geq Cn$  with  $n$  large enough,

$$(3.21) \quad \mathbb{P}(|\gamma| \geq \ell) \leq \exp(-\ell/(CM)) \leq \ell^{-5},$$

where  $C = C(p_0, F)$  is a positive constant. Therefore, using Corollary 2.8 with  $L = n$ ,

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} R_e \mathbf{1}_{R_e \leq M} \right] \leq C'n,$$

with some  $C' = C'(d, p_0, F) > 0$ . In addition, by using (3.21), Proposition 2.4 and  $M = (\log n)^3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{R_e > M} \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \gamma} \mathbf{1}_{R_e > M; |\gamma| \leq Cn} \right] + \mathbb{E} [|\gamma|; |\gamma| \geq Cn] \\ (3.23) \quad &\leq (2Cn+1)^d \mathbb{P}(\exists e \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_{Cn}) : R_e > M) + \sum_{\ell \geq Cn} \ell \mathbb{P}(|\gamma| = \ell) \leq C_1, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C_1 = C_1(p_0, F)$ . By Proposition 3.4,

$$(3.24) \quad \mathbb{E}[M|\gamma| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{W}^c}] \leq M(\mathbb{E}[|\gamma|^2])^{1/2} (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{W}^c))^{1/2} \leq C_2,$$

for some  $C_2 = C_2(p_0, F)$ . Combining (3.19), (3.20) and (3.22)–(3.24), we yield the desired result.  $\square$

**3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We write  $\mathbb{E}_u$  to emphasize that the considering parameter is  $u$ . By Proposition 3.5 and (3.4), and Proposition 3.6, there exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, p_0, F)$  such that for all  $p_1, p_2 \in [p_0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_{p_2} - \mu_{p_1}| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \mathbb{E}_{p_2} \left[ \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{p_1} \left[ \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right] \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \int_{p_1}^{p_2} \frac{d\mathbb{E}_u \left[ \mathbb{T}_M^{\Lambda_K}(0, n\mathbf{e}_1) \right]}{du} du \right| \leq C|p_2 - p_1|. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

#### APPENDIX A. RUSSO'S FORMULA: PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1

*Proof.* We enumerate  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ . For all vector  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ , let  $\xi^{\mathbf{p}} = (\xi_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}})_{i \in [n]}$  be a collection of independent random variables with the distributions  $(G_{p_i})_{i \in [n]}$ . Let  $(U_i)_{i=1}^n$  be i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$  and  $s = (s_{e_i})_{i=1}^n$  i.i.d. random variables taking values on  $[0, L]$  with the same distribution as  $\nu$ , which are independent from  $(U_i)$ . Let us define  $\omega^{\mathbf{p}} = (\omega_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}})_{i=1}^n$  by

$$(A.1) \quad \omega_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}} := \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i \leq p_i\}} s_{e_i} + \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i > p_i\}} L.$$

It is clear that  $\omega^{\mathbf{p}}$  has the same law as  $\xi^{\mathbf{p}}$ . Given  $i \in [n]$ , we consider  $\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}$  so that  $\omega^{\mathbf{p}} = (\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, \omega_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}})$  to emphasize  $i$  is the considering coordinate. Let  $\mathbf{e}_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $U_i \notin (p_i, p_i + \varepsilon]$ , then  $X(\omega^{\mathbf{p} + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i}) = X(\omega^{\mathbf{p}})$ . Otherwise,  $X(\omega^{\mathbf{p} + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i}) = X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, s_{e_i})$  and  $X(\omega^{\mathbf{p}}) = X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, L)$ . Therefore, by the independence of  $(U_i)_{i=1}^n$  and  $(s_{e_i})_{i=1}^n$ , defining  $f(\mathbf{p}) := \mathbb{E}[X(\xi^{\mathbf{p}})]$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{p} + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbb{E}[(X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, s_{e_i}) - X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, L)) \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i \in (p_i, p_i + \varepsilon]\}}] = \varepsilon(\mathbb{E}[X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, s_{e_i})] - \mathbb{E}[X(\widehat{\omega}_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}, L)]).$$

Let  $\xi^{\mathbf{p},i}$  and  $\xi^{\mathbf{p},+,i}$  be the configurations obtained from  $\xi^{\mathbf{p}}$  by replacing  $\xi_{e_i}^{\mathbf{p}}$  with  $s_{e_i}$  and with  $L$  respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{p} + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{p})}{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{E}[X(\xi^{\mathbf{p},i})] - \mathbb{E}[X(\xi^{\mathbf{p},+,i})].$$

Combining this with the chain rule,  $\frac{d\mathbb{E}[X]}{dp} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_i} \Big|_{p_1=\dots=p_n=p}$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

## APPENDIX B. EFFECT OF RESAMPLING: PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS 2.4 AND 2.5

For  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_N(m)$  denote the set of all boxes of side length  $m$  in  $\Lambda_N$ .

**B.1. Choice of  $\lambda$  and definition of a good box.** Given  $p_c(d) < s \leq r \leq 1$  and  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$A_{r,s,m,N} := \{\exists s\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_N, \exists \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_r(\Lambda_N) : \text{Diam}(\gamma) \geq m/2, \gamma \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset\}.$$

**Lemma B.1.** *For all  $p_0 > p_c(d)$ , there exist  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(p_0) > 0$ ,  $C = C(d, p_0) > 0$ , such that for all  $r \in [p_0, 1]$ ,  $s \in [r - \delta_0, r]$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\log N)^2 \leq m \leq N$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{r,s,m,N}) \leq C \exp(-m/C).$$

*Proof.* First, we consider the case  $m = N$ . For simplicity, we write  $A_{r,s,N}$  for  $A_{r,s,N,N}$ . Let  $q_0 := (p_0 + p_c(d))/2$ . By [Gri89, Lemma 7.104], for all  $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \geq q_0$ , we have

$$(B.1) \quad \mathbb{P}(\exists \text{ two } s\text{-open clusters } \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \subset \Lambda_N : \text{Diam}(\mathcal{C}_1), \text{Diam}(\mathcal{C}_2) \geq k, \mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2 = \emptyset) \leq CN^{2d} \exp(-k/C),$$

with some  $C = C(d, q_0) > 0$ .<sup>4</sup> Consequently,  $\mathbb{P}(A_{s,s,N}) \leq C \exp(-N/(2C^2))$ .

Moreover, by standard use of Russo's formula, e.g., [GMPT17, (3.4)], we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{r,s,N}) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_{s,s,N}) \exp(N \log(1 + (r - s)/r)).$$

Combining the last two displays, as long as  $s$  is close enough to  $r$ , we have the claim.

Next, we consider a general  $m$ . Using [Gri89, Theorem 7.68] and the assumption  $(\log N)^2 \leq m \leq N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists s\text{-crossing cluster } \subset \Lambda_N, \exists s\text{-crossing cluster } \subset \Lambda \text{ for all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_N(m)) \geq 1 - C \exp(-m/C),$$

with some  $C = C(d, p_0) > 0$ . It follows from this estimate and (B.1) that

$$(B.2) \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{s,m,N}) \geq 1 - C \exp(-m/C),$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending on  $d, p_0$  and

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,m,N} := \{\exists s\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_N \text{ that contains a } s\text{-crossing cluster in } \Lambda \text{ for all } \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_N(m)\}.$$

Remark that if the event  $A_{s,s,N}^c$  occurs, then there is at most one  $s$ -crossing cluster in  $\Lambda_N$ . Notice further that given a path  $\gamma$  with  $\text{Diam}(\gamma) \geq m/2$  in  $\Lambda_N$ , there exist  $x, y \in \gamma$  such that  $\|x - y\|_\infty \geq m/2$ . Let  $\gamma_{x,y}$  be the sub-path of  $\gamma$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , so there exists a sub-path  $\gamma' \subset \gamma_{x,y} \cap \Lambda_{m/2}(x)$  such that  $\text{Diam}(\gamma') \geq m/2$ . Thus, we can find  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_N(m)$  such that  $\Lambda$  contains  $\Lambda_{m/2}(x) \cap \Lambda_N$ , and so  $\gamma' \subset \Lambda$  with  $\text{Diam}(\gamma') \geq m/2$ . Therefore, if  $A_{r,s,m,N} \cap A_{s,s,N}^c \cap \mathcal{E}_{s,m,N}$  occurs, then there exists a box  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_N(m)$ , a  $r$ -open path  $\gamma' \in \mathcal{O}_r(\Lambda)$  with  $\text{Diam}(\gamma') \geq m/2$  and a  $s$ -crossing cluster  $\mathcal{C}' \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\gamma' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \emptyset$ . Hence, using the claim for  $A_{r,s,m}$  and  $(\log N)^2 \leq m \leq N$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{r,s,m,N} \cap A_{s,s,N}^c \cap \mathcal{E}_{s,m,N}) \leq |\mathcal{B}_N(m)| \mathbb{P}(A_{r,s,m}) \leq C \exp(-m/C),$$

with  $C = C(d, p_0)$  a positive constant. Combining all together gives the desired result.  $\square$

With  $\delta_0$  as in Lemma B.1, we recall that  $q_0 = \frac{p_0 + p_c(d)}{2}$  and  $\lambda = \lambda(p_0, F)$  defined in (2.2), such that

$$q = pF([0, \lambda]) \geq q_0, \quad q_0 \leq q \leq p \leq q + \delta_0, \quad \forall p \in [p_0, 1].$$

Hence, these parameters  $p$  and  $q$  satisfy the condition of Lemma B.1, allowing us to utilize this lemma in the following result.

**Lemma B.2.** *There exists  $C = C(d, p_0) \geq 3$  such that for all  $t \geq C$ ,  $H > 0$  and  $N \in [H^2/C]$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists q\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_N, \exists \pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_N) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{tN}) : \text{Diam}(\pi) \geq N/2, \pi \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset) \leq C \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C).$$

<sup>4</sup>Though [Gri89, Lemma 7.104] is only stated in  $d \geq 3$ , the result also holds for planar percolation by standard arguments.

*Proof.* Using Lemma B.1, there exists  $C_1 = C_1(d, p_0) > 0$  such that for all  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists q\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_N, \exists \pi \in \mathbb{O}_p(\Lambda_N) : \text{Diam}(\pi) \geq \sqrt{N}/2, \pi \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset) \leq C_1 \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C_1).$$

Hence, the result follows if there exists  $C_2 = C_2(q_0) > 0$  such that for all  $N \leq H^2/C_2$ ,

$$(B.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\forall \pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_N) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{tN}) \text{ with } \text{Diam}(\pi) \geq N/2, \exists \eta \subset \pi : \eta \in \mathbb{O}_p(\Lambda_N) \text{ and } \text{Diam}(\eta) \geq \sqrt{N}/2) \\ & \geq 1 - C_2 \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\text{cl}_p(\pi)$  denote the set of  $p$ -closed edges of  $\pi$ . Observe that if  $\text{Diam}(\pi) \geq N/2$  and  $|\text{cl}_p(\pi)| \leq \sqrt{N}/2$ , then  $\pi$  contains a  $p$ -open sub-path, say  $\eta$ , with  $\text{Diam}(\eta) \geq \sqrt{N}/2$ . Moreover, if  $\pi = (x, \dots, y) \in \mathbb{G}_H(x, y; \Lambda_{tN})$  satisfies  $|\text{cl}_p(\pi)| \geq \sqrt{N}/2$ , then  $\mathbb{T}_H^{\Lambda_{tN}}(x, y) = \mathbb{T}_H(\pi) \geq \sqrt{N}H/2$ . Hence, it suffices to show

$$(B.4) \quad \mathbb{P}(\exists x, y \in \Lambda_N : \mathbb{T}_H^{\Lambda_{tN}}(x, y) \geq \sqrt{N}H/2) \leq C_2 \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C_2),$$

with some  $C_2 = C_2(q_0) > 0$ . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, there exists  $C_3 = C_3(q_0) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_N) &\leq C_3 \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C_3), & \mathcal{A}_N &:= \{\exists x \in \Lambda_N : d_1(x, [x]_q) \geq \sqrt{N}/8\}, \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{B}_N) &\leq C_3 \exp(-N/C_3), & \mathcal{B}_N &:= \{\exists u, v \in \Lambda_{2N} \cap \mathcal{C}_q : D_q(u, v) \geq C_3 N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $x, y \in \Lambda_N$ , let  $\eta_x$  (resp.  $\eta_y$ ) be a path with the shortest length in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -lattice from  $x$  to  $[x]_q$  (resp. from  $y$  to  $[y]_q$ ), and  $\eta_{x,y}$  a geodesic of  $D_q([x]_q, [y]_q)$ . Construct a path from  $x$  to  $y$  by  $\eta := \eta_x \cup \eta_{x,y} \cup \eta_y$ . On the event,  $\mathcal{A}_N^c \cap \mathcal{B}_N^c$ , for all  $t \geq 2C_3$  and  $x, y \in \Lambda_N$ , since  $\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{2C_3N})$ ,

$$\mathbb{T}_H^{\Lambda_{tN}}(x, y) \leq \mathbb{T}_H(\eta_x) + \mathbb{T}_H(\eta_{x,y}) + \mathbb{T}_H(\eta_y) \leq H[d_1(x, [x]_q) + d_1(y, [y]_q)] + \lambda D_q([x]_q, [y]_q) < \sqrt{N}H/2,$$

provided that  $N \leq H^2/(8C_3\lambda)^2$ . Hence, (B.4) follows.  $\square$

Recall  $\Lambda_N(e) = \Lambda_{3N}(e) \setminus \Lambda_N(e)$ . Fix  $\rho$  and  $C(d, p_0)$  as in Lemma 3.2, B.2, respectively and set

$$(B.5) \quad N_\rho := \lfloor N/8\rho^2 \rfloor, \quad C_* := C(d, p_0) + (48\rho^2)^d.$$

**Definition B.3.** For each  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , we say that the box  $\Lambda_{3N}(e)$  is *q-good* if the following hold:

- (i) There exists a  $q$ -crossing cluster  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\Lambda_{3N}(e)$  that contains a crossing cluster in  $\Lambda$  for all  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{3N}(N_\rho)$ ,
- (ii) For all  $x, y \in \Lambda_N(e)$  with  $d_\infty(\{x, y\}, \partial\Lambda_N(e)) \geq N/2$  and  $d_\infty(x, y) \leq 2N_\rho$ , if  $D_q(x, y) < \infty$ , then  $D_q^{\Lambda_N(e)}(x, y) = D_q(x, y) \leq 4\rho N_\rho$ .
- (iii) If  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e))$  satisfies  $\text{Diam}(\pi) \geq N_\rho$ , then  $\pi \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma B.4.** There exists  $C = C(d, p_0) > 0$  such that for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $H > 0$ , and  $N \in [H^2/C]$

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e) \text{ is } q\text{-good}) \geq 1 - C \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Using (B.2), there exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, p_0)$ , such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e) \text{ does not satisfy (i)}) \leq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{q, N_\rho, 3N}^c) \leq C \exp(-N/C).$$

Observe that if  $\Lambda_{3N}(e)$  does not satisfy (ii), then there exist  $x, y \in \Lambda_N(e)$  such that  $d_\infty(\{x, y\}, \partial\Lambda_N(e)) \geq N/2$ ,  $d_\infty(x, y) \leq 2N_\rho$ ,  $D_q(x, y) \in [4\rho N_\rho, \infty)$ . Hence, thanks to the union bound and Lemma 3.2, there exists a positive constant  $C = C(d, p_0, \rho) > 16\rho^2$  such that

$$(B.6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e) \text{ does not satisfy (ii)}) \leq C|\Lambda_N(e)|^2 \exp(-N_\rho/C) \leq C \exp(-N/(C^2)).$$

Suppose now that  $\Lambda_{3N}(e)$  satisfies (i) but not (iii). Then, there exist  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e))$  and a  $q$ -crossing cluster  $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda_{3N}(e)$  such that  $\text{Diam}(\pi) \geq N_\rho$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  crosses all  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{3N}(N_\rho)$ , and  $\pi \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . Note that there exists a vertex  $x \in \Lambda_{3N}(e)$  and a sub-path  $\pi' \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{N_\rho/2}(x)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N_\rho/2}(x))$  of  $\pi$  such that  $\text{Diam}(\pi') \geq N_\rho/2$  and  $\pi' \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . Thus, by Lemma B.2, there exists  $C = C(d, p_0, \rho) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e) \text{ satisfies (i) but not (iii)}) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}\left( \begin{array}{l} \exists x \in \Lambda_{3N}(e), \exists q\text{-crossing cluster } \mathcal{C}' \subset \Lambda_{N_\rho/2}(x), \exists \pi' \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{N_\rho/2}(x)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N_\rho/2}(x)) : \\ \text{Diam}(\pi') \geq N_\rho/2, \pi' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \emptyset \end{array} \right) \\ & \leq CN^d \exp(-\sqrt{N}/C). \end{aligned}$$

Putting things together, we have the claim.  $\square$

**B.2. Proof of Proposition 2.4.** Recall  $\rho$ ,  $N_\rho$ , and  $C_*$  from Lemma 3.2 and (B.5). Let

$$\mathcal{V}_N(e) := \{\forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e)) \cap \mathcal{C}(A_N(e)), D_q^{A_N(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq C_*N\}.$$

Fix  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . By the definition of  $R_e$  and Lemma B.4, the result follows from

$$(B.7) \quad \{\Lambda_{3N}(e) \text{ is } q\text{-good}\} \subset \mathcal{V}_N(e).$$

To this end, we assume that  $\Lambda_{3N}(e)$  is  $q$ -good. Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e)) \cap \mathcal{C}(A_N(e))$ . For each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exists a connected path  $\pi_j \subset \gamma_j \cap \left\{ \Lambda_{2N+\frac{N_\rho}{2}}(e) \setminus \Lambda_{2N-\frac{N_\rho}{2}}(e) \right\}$  satisfying

$$\forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \quad \pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda_{3N}(e)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*N}(e)), \quad \text{diam}(\pi_j) \geq N_\rho, \quad d_\infty(\pi_j, \partial A_N(e)) \geq 3N/4.$$

Then, by Definition B.3 (iii), we have  $\pi_1 \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\pi_2 \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ , with  $\mathcal{C}$  the cluster crossing all sub-boxes of side-length  $N_\rho$  of  $\Lambda_{3N}$ . Therefore, there exist  $u, v \in A_N(e)$  such that  $u \in \pi_1 \cap \mathcal{C}$ ,  $v \in \pi_2 \cap \mathcal{C}$ , and  $d_\infty(\{u, v\}, \partial A_N(e)) \geq 3N/4$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a crossing cluster in  $\Lambda$  for all  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{3N}(N_\rho)$ , we find a sequence of vertices  $(x_i)_{i=0}^h \subset \mathcal{C}$  with  $h \leq (6N/N_\rho)^d = (48\rho^2)^d$  such that

$$x_0 = u, \quad x_h = v; \quad d_\infty(x_i, \partial A_N(e)) \geq N/2; \quad d_\infty(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq 2N_\rho \quad \forall i \in [h].$$

Remark further that  $D_q(x_{i-1}, x_i) < \infty$ , as  $(x_i)_{i=0}^h \subset \mathcal{C}$ . Hence, it follows from Definition B.3 (ii) that  $D_q^{A_N(e)}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq 4\rho N_\rho$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{V}_N(e)$  holds since

$$D_q^{A_N(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h D_q^{A_N(e)}(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq (6N/N_\rho)^d (4\rho N_\rho) \leq C_*N.$$

□

**B.3. Proof of Proposition 2.5.** Assume that  $\gamma = (x_i)_{i=1}^\ell \in \mathbb{G}_H$  is a path between  $x$  and  $y$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . If  $e \in \gamma$  and  $x, y \notin \Lambda_{3R_e}(e)$ , then  $\gamma$  crosses the annulus  $A_{R_e}(e)$  at least twice. The first and last sub-path of  $\gamma$  crossing  $A_{R_e}(e)$  are defined by  $\gamma_1 = (x_{i_-}, \dots, x_{i_+})$  and  $\gamma_2 = (x_{o_-}, \dots, x_{o_+})$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} i_+ &:= \min\{i \geq 1 : x_i \in \partial \Lambda_N\}, & i_- &:= \max\{i \leq i_+ : x_i \in \partial \Lambda_{3N}\}, \\ o_- &:= \max\{i \geq 1 : x_i \in \partial \Lambda_N\}, & o_+ &:= \min\{i \geq o_- : x_i \in \partial \Lambda_{3N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{G}_H$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset A_{R_e}(e) \subset \Lambda_{C_*R_e}(e)$ , which implies  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{C}(A_{R_e}(e)) \cap \mathbb{G}_H(\Lambda_{C_*R_e}(e))$ . By




FIGURE 2. Construction of  $\eta_e$ : the green lines represent the first and last crossing paths  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  of  $A_{R_e}(e)$ ; the red line represents the bypass  $\tilde{\eta}_e$  of  $e$ .

definition of  $R_e$ ,  $D_q^{A_{R_e}(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq C_*R_e$ . Let  $\tilde{\eta}_e$  be a geodesic of  $D_q^{A_{R_e}(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ . Then, it is a  $q$ -open path  $\tilde{\eta}_e$  such that  $|\tilde{\eta}_e| = D_q^{A_{R_e}(e)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \leq C_*R_e$ . For  $u, v \in \gamma$ , we write  $\gamma_{u,v}$  for the sub-path of  $\gamma$  from  $u$  to  $v$ . Let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be points where the path  $\tilde{\eta}_e$  intersects with  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , respectively. We define

$$\eta_e := \gamma_{x,z_1} \cup \tilde{\eta}_e \cup \gamma_{z_2,y}.$$

Notice that  $|\eta_e \setminus \gamma| = |\tilde{\eta}_e| \leq C_*R_e$ . Furthermore, since  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are first and last sub-path of  $\gamma$  crossing  $A_{R_e}(e)$ , one has  $\gamma_{x,z_1} \cap \Lambda_{R_e-1}(e) = \emptyset$  and  $\gamma_{z_2,y} \cap \Lambda_{R_e-1}(e) = \emptyset$ . In addition,  $\tilde{\eta}_e \cap \Lambda_{R_e-1}(e) = \emptyset$  since  $\tilde{\eta}_e \subset A_{R_e}(e)$ . Hence,  $\eta_e \cap \Lambda_{R_e-1}(e) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\eta_e$  is a desired path (see Figure 2). □

## APPENDIX C. THE STRONG CONVERGENCE TO TIME CONSTANT: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Theorem 1.1 directly follows from Kingman's sub-additive ergodic theorem, e.g., [ADH17, Theorem 2.2], assuming the following integrability of passage time recalling that  $\tilde{T}(x, y) := T([x]_p, [y]_p)$ .

**Lemma C.1.** *If  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}] < \infty$  and  $p > p_c(d)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[T([0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p)] < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $X := \inf\{m : D_p^{\Lambda^m}([0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p) < \infty\}$ . If  $X = k$ , then there exists a  $p$ -open path in  $\Lambda_k$  between  $[0]_p$  and  $[\mathbf{e}_1]_p$ , and thus  $\tilde{T}(0, \mathbf{e}_1) \leq \sum_{e \in \Lambda_k} \tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_k := \{X \geq k\} = \{D_p^{\Lambda^{k-1}}([0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p) = \infty\}$ . Hence,

$$(C.1) \quad \mathbb{E}[T([0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \Lambda_k} \tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty} \mathbf{1}_{X=k} \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{e \in \Lambda_k} \tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_k} \right].$$

Since the event  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is measurable with  $(\mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty})_{e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_k}] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}_k} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty} \mid \tau_e]] \leq \mathbb{E}(\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}) \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_k) / \mathbb{P}(\tau_e < \infty).$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, there exists a positive constant  $c$ , such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_k) \leq \mathbb{P}(\{[0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p\} \not\subset \Lambda_{ck}) + \mathbb{P}(\exists u, v \in \Lambda_{ck} : D_p(u, v) \in (k/2, \infty)) \leq c^{-1} \exp(-ck).$$

Combining this with (C.1) yields that

$$\mathbb{E}[T([0]_p, [\mathbf{e}_1]_p)] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k+1)^d (pc)^{-1} \exp(-ck) \mathbb{E}[\tau_e \mathbf{1}_{\tau_e < \infty}] < \infty.$$

□

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Barbara Dembin for helpful discussions and suggestions for references. V. H. Can is supported by the International Center for Research and Postgraduate Training in Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology under grant number ICRTM01\_2024.01. V. Q. Nguyen was funded by the Master, PhD Scholarship Programme of Vingroup Innovation Foundation (VINIF), code VINIF.2023.TS.096. S. Nakajima is supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22K20344.

## REFERENCES

- [ADH17] Antonio Auffinger, Michael Damron, and Jack Hanson. *50 years of first-passage percolation*, volume 68. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [AP96] Peter Antal and Agoston Pisztora. On the chemical distance for supercritical bernoulli percolation. *The Annals of Probability*, 24(2):1036–1048, 1996.
- [CD22] Raphaël Cerf and Barbara Dembin. The time constant for bernoulli percolation is lipschitz continuous strictly above  $p_c$ . *The Annals of Probability*, 50(5):1781–1812, 2022.
- [CK81] J Theodore Cox and Harry Kesten. On the continuity of the time constant of first-passage percolation. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 18(4):809–819, 1981.
- [CN19] Van Hao Can and Shuta Nakajima. First passage time of the frog model has a sublinear variance. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 24:1–27, 2019.
- [CN24] Van Hao Can and Van Quyet Nguyen. Subdiffusive concentration for the chemical distance in bernoulli percolation. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [Cox80] J Theodore Cox. The time constant of first-passage percolation on the square lattice. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 12(4):864–879, 1980.
- [CT16] Raphaël Cerf and Marie Thérét. Weak shape theorem in first passage percolation with infinite passage times. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques*, volume 52, pages 1351–1381. Institut Henri Poincaré, 2016.
- [Dem21] Barbara Dembin. Regularity of the time constant for a supercritical bernoulli percolation. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 25:109–132, 2021.
- [DHS15] Michael Damron, Jack Hanson, and Philippe Sosoe. Sublinear variance in first-passage percolation for general distributions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 163(1):223–258, 2015.
- [GM90] Geoffrey Richard Grimmett and John M Marstrand. The supercritical phase of percolation is well behaved. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 430(1879):439–457, 1990.
- [GM04] Olivier Garet and Régine Marchand. Asymptotic shape for the chemical distance and first-passage percolation on the infinite bernoulli cluster. *ESAIM: Probability and Statistics*, 8:169–199, 2004.
- [GMPT17] Olivier Garet, Régine Marchand, Eviatar B Procaccia, and Marie Thérét. Continuity of the time and isoperimetric constants in supercritical percolation. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 22:1–35, 2017.
- [Gri89] Geoffrey Grimmett. *Percolation*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [Kes86] Harry Kesten. Aspects of first passage percolation. In *École d'été de probabilités de Saint Flour XIV-1984*, pages 125–264. Springer, 1986.
- [KT22] Naoki Kubota and Masato Takei. Comparison of limit shapes for bernoulli first-passage percolation. *International Journal of Mathematics for Industry*, 14(01):2250005, 2022.

- [Pis96] Agoston Pisztora. Surface order large deviations for ising, potts and percolation models. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 104:427–466, 1996.

(V. H. CAN) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM.

*Email address:* `cvhao@math.ac.vn`

(S. NAKAJIMA) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, MEIJI UNIVERSITY, KANAGAWA 214-8571, JAPAN.

*Email address:* `njima@meiji.ac.jp`

(V. Q. NGUYEN) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, CAU GIAY, HANOI, VIETNAM.

*Email address:* `nvquyet@math.ac.vn`