

$T\bar{T}$ deformation on non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model

Chenhao Zhang^a and Wenhe Cai^{*a}

^aDepartment of Physics, Shanghai University, Shanghai, 200444, China

Abstract

We investigate $T\bar{T}$ deformation on non-Hermitian coupled Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model and the holographic picture. The relationship between ground state and thermofield double state is preserved under the $T\bar{T}$ deformation. We prove that $T\bar{T}$ deformed theory provides a reparameterization in large N limit. We numerically calculate the deformation effects on the correlation function in imaginary time and the free energy. The thermodynamic phase structures show the equivalence of the wormhole-black hole picture between non-Hermitian model and Hermitian model still holds under $T\bar{T}$ deformation. The correlation function in Lorentz time, revival dynamic and the deformed holography are also evaluated.

arXiv:2312.03433v2 [hep-th] 5 Jun 2024

*whcai@shu.edu.cn

I. Introduction

SYK model consists of Majorana fermions with Gaussian random coupling [1, 2, 3, 4]. It can be numerically solved in large N limit. There is a low-energy emergent conformal symmetry [5]. This model is dual to Nearly-AdS(NAdS) black hole. An eternal traversable wormhole is constructed by Maldacena and Qi [6], which is identical to two coupled SYK model.

Parts of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians preserve the (PT) symmetry with real spectra [7, 8], systems with non-Hermiticity are gaining attention. Conditions of PT symmetry is weaker than Hermiticity, the investigation on non-Hermitian systems expand the study of quantum mechanics with unusual physical properties. For example, Ising approximation well describes the strong coupling limit for a non-Hermitian system, and the study of double scaling limit has been discussed[9]. And the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian also exhibits bound states and binding energy with interesting properties in weak coupling limit[10]. Single-side random SYK coupling is also redefined as non-Hermiticity, and the spectrum is complex[11].

However, the interaction term between left and right side plays an important role in the eternal traversable wormhole. Therefore, we can directly incorporate a non-Hermitian bootstrap into the interaction term[12], and this model has a real spectrum. The non-Hermiticity in SYK model and interaction term does not violate thermal structure or zero energy condition. Additionally, it preserves causality of travelable wormholes between boundaries. It provide thermodynamic structure equivalent to a Hermitian two coupled model. The $T\bar{T}$ deformation on original un-coupled SYK₄ and SYK₂ model has been studied [13, 14]. $T\bar{T}$ deformation effectively couples two local SYK₄ systems.

$T\bar{T}$ deformation[15, 16, 17] is an irrelevant deformation from IR to UV, with well-defined IR behaviors and a controllable UV limit. In two-dimensional theory, we can construct a composite operator $T\bar{T}$ via its energy-momentum tensor, and further obtain the Laplace relation $L(\lambda)$ with an additional deformation parameter λ . A deformation theory is defined with $T\bar{T}$ operator. The flow equation is

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}^\lambda}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{mn} T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda T_{mn}^\lambda \quad (1)$$

$T\bar{T}$ deformation can be extended to one dimensional theory while $T\bar{T}$ flow equations are diagonalized to 1d energy scalar [14]. This property provides an application to $T\bar{T}$ deformed AdS₂/CFT₁ [18, 19]. And some additional physical quantities can be studied [20, 21, 22]. The $T\bar{T}$ deformation breaks conformal fields but not complete holographic duality theory[23, 24, 25, 26]. This non-local flow equation which is similar to effective bosonic string [27, 28]. And it also induces correlation between different quantum systems.

$T\bar{T}$ deformation also preserves integrability and maximal entanglement [29, 30]. $T\bar{T}$ operator is also a universal well defined operator in both IR and UV. The original integrability and holography stay manifest under deformation [31, 32, 33]. Moreover, the $T\bar{T}$ deformation on AdS/CFT could also be explained in several perspectives [34, 35, 36, 37].

In this work, the first motivation is that the $T\bar{T}$ deformation provides us a new kinds of physic. Specially, the $T\bar{T}$ deformed SYK and the black holes in $NAdS$ spacetime have abundant interesting features. It is natural to further investigates the $T\bar{T}$ deformation on coupled SYK model. It would be useful to investigate the deformed phase structures and the holography wormhole beyond common NAdS/NCFT.

The second motivation arises with non-Hermiticity. The non-Hermitian coupled SYK model is defined pseudo-Hermitian, and this non-Hermiticity is not obviously distinguishable. We expect $T\bar{T}$ deformation on these theories could be influenced by non-Hermiticity, both dynamically and thermally. And we will discuss whether the thermal structure of Hermitian and non-Hermitian coupled SYK is distinguished under $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

The main goal in this paper is to investigate whether the wormhole-black hole picture is robust not only in Hermitian two coupled SYK model, but also in a non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model under $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

The paper is organised as follows. In section II, we propose the $T\bar{T}$ deformed coupled SYK models in Hermitian and non-Hermitian cases. In section III, we start with Thermofield double state under $T\bar{T}$ deformation. Then we match the overlap between the corresponding TFD and interaction model to evaluate the transmission property of wormhole before or after $T\bar{T}$ deformation. In section IV, we obtain the effective solution for large N limit action. Then we evaluate the Green's function in imaginary time and thermal phase structure. In section V, we study revival dynamics of coupled cSYK model. The correlation functions in Lorentz time of black hole and wormhole situation have been obtained numerically. In section VI, we perform a $T\bar{T}$ deformation on NAdS spacetime and its reparameterized Schwarzian action to investigate the effects of $T\bar{T}$ deformation on holography. Section VII is the conclusion and discussion.

II. The model

We consider a non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model [12]:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0 &= - \sum_{ijkl}^N J_{ijkl} \sum_{A=R,L} (2C_i^{A\dagger} C_j^{A\dagger} C_k^A C_l^A + 4C_i^{A\dagger} C_j^A C_k^{A\dagger} C_l^A) + H_{int}, \\
H_{int} &= \sum_i \left(-\kappa C_i^{L\dagger} C_i^L - \kappa C_i^{R\dagger} C_i^R + i\mu e^{-2\alpha} C_i^{L\dagger} C_i^R - i\mu e^{2\alpha} C_i^{R\dagger} C_i^L \right). \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

with real κ, μ, α . Here H_L and H_R refers to the single side SYK model with complex fermions [38, 42]. The model is pseudo-Hermitian and reduces to pseudo-complex SYK model when $\alpha = 0$, and exhibits a conservation charge $Q = \sum_i \left(C_i^\dagger C_i - \frac{1}{2} \right)$. The free energy is not influenced by non-Hermiticity[12]. The fermions and random coupling J satisfies

$$J_{ijkl} = -J_{jikl} = -J_{ijlk} = J_{klij}, \quad \langle J_{ijkl} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle J_{ijkl}^2 \rangle = \frac{J^2}{8N^3},$$

$$\psi_{2i-1}^L = e^\alpha C_i^L + e^{-\alpha} C_i^{L\dagger}, \psi_{2i-1}^R = e^{-\alpha} C_i^R + e^\alpha C_i^{R\dagger}, \quad (3)$$

where Dirac fermions C_i^\dagger and C_i are generated from Majorana fermions ψ_i in MQ model[5], with non-Hermitian self-similarity transformation in (3). For simplicity, components $\psi_{2i}^L = ie^\alpha C_i^L - ie^{-\alpha} C_i^{L\dagger}$ and $\psi_{2i}^R = ie^{-\alpha} C_i^R - ie^\alpha C_i^{R\dagger}$ are ignored under statistical symmetry in [12] when we consider creation and annihilation operators. Since $T\bar{T}$ deformation is triggered by 2d energy-momentum tensor, we can consider a $T\bar{T}$ operator by energy-momentum tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(\lambda+\delta\lambda)} &= \mathcal{L}^{(\lambda)} - \delta\lambda T\bar{T}^{(\lambda)}, \\ \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{(\lambda)}}{d\lambda} &= \det T_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Moreover, $T\bar{T}$ deformation is still well defined in 1d theory, since the tensor operator and flow equation are diagonalized by $T = H$, and we have

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = \int d\tau \frac{T^2}{1/2 - 2\lambda T}. \quad (5)$$

This equation could be solved in a simple Nambu-Goto form[15]

$$H(\lambda) = f(H_0, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda(H_0 - E_0)} \right). \quad (6)$$

$T\bar{T}$ operator T is equivalent to the Hamiltonian. In thermodynamics, $T\bar{T}$ deformation is equal to a shifting on Hamiltonian with non-local effect. Parameter E_0 is an arbitrary constant mathematically, which could be determined by a physical fixed point. And we will see that parameter E_0 could be set as 0 in large N effective action in section IV.

To employ the disorder-averaged Nambu-Goto action statistically, we can apply a trick to linearize the Hamiltonian by introducing fermions ψ_{2i-1} and auxiliary field ζ [19, 13]

$$S_E(H_0, \lambda, \zeta) = \int d\tau \left(\sum_i \sum_{A=L,R} \psi_{2i-1}^A \partial_\tau \psi_{2i-1}^A - \frac{\zeta}{8\lambda} (1 - \zeta^{-1})^2 + \zeta H_0 - \zeta E_0 \right), \quad (7)$$

with the constraint

$$H(\lambda) + H_0 - E_0 - 2\lambda H(\lambda)^2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

$T\bar{T}$ deformation on non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_E(\lambda, \zeta) &= \int d\tau \left(\sum_{A=R,L} \sum_i \psi_{2i-1}^A \partial_\tau \psi_{2i-1}^A - \frac{\zeta}{8\lambda} (1 - \zeta^{-1})^2 - \zeta E_0 \right) - \frac{36}{4} J^2 N \int d\tau d\tau' \sum_{A,B=R,L} \zeta(\tau) \zeta(\tau') \\ &G_{AB}^2(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}^2(\tau', \tau) + N \int d\tau d\tau' \zeta(\tau) \zeta(\tau') (i\mu e^{2\alpha} G_{LR}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau' - \tau) - i\mu e^{-2\alpha} G_{RL}(\tau', \tau) \\ &\delta(\tau - \tau')) - N \int d\tau d\tau' \zeta(\tau) \zeta(\tau') (\kappa G_{LL}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau' - \tau) + \kappa G_{RR}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau' - \tau)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The Hamiltonian is written with Green's function

$$G_{AB}(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \psi_n^l | \mathcal{T} C_i^{A\dagger}(\tau) C_i^B(\tau') | \psi_n^r \rangle. \quad (10)$$

In non-Hermitian systems with Hamiltonian H_{nH} , we have defined bra $\langle \psi_n^l |$ and ket $|\psi_n^r \rangle$ as biorthogonal eigenstates [39, 40], which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} H_{nH} |\psi_n^r \rangle &= E_n |\psi_n^r \rangle, \quad H_{nH}^\dagger |\psi_n^l \rangle = E_n^* |\psi_n^l \rangle \\ \sum_i |\psi_i^l \rangle \langle \psi_i^r | &= I, \quad \langle \psi_m^l | \psi_n^r \rangle = \delta_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The auxiliary field ζ is a solution to Eq. (9)

$$\zeta^{-2} = 1 + a + 8\lambda H_0. \quad (12)$$

where $a = 8\lambda E_0$. The ζ should be consistent with time translation symmetry and time dependence has been integrated out. The third term denotes the bare Hamiltonian H_0 . We introduce effective deformation parameter b , which is proportional to the bare deformation parameter λ , to absorb the additional constant in integrals and Fourier transformation. With

$$\begin{aligned} 8\lambda H_0 &= -\frac{36}{4} (8\lambda) J^2 N \int d\tau d\tau' \sum_{A,B=R,L} G_{AB}^2(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}^2(\tau', \tau) + 8\lambda N \int d\tau d\tau' (i\mu e^{2\alpha} G_{LR}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau; -\tau) \\ &\quad - i\mu e^{-2\alpha} G_{RL}(\tau', \tau) \delta(\tau - \tau')) - 8\lambda N \int d\tau d\tau' (\kappa G_{LL}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau' - \tau) + \kappa G_{RR}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau' - \tau)) . \\ &= bT \sum_{A,B=R,L} \sum_{\omega_n} (G_{AB}^2(i\omega_n) G_{BA}^2(i\omega_n) - \frac{1}{9} i\mu (-e^{2\alpha} G_{LR}(i\omega_n) + e^{-2\alpha} G_{RL}(i\omega_n)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{9} \kappa (G_{LL}(i\omega_n) + G_{RR}(i\omega_n))) . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In eq(4), $T\bar{T}$ deformation also provides additional entanglement with chaotic behaviors[14, 19]. According to statistical definition of $T\bar{T}$ operator, energy-momentum flow should converge to 0 without vertical excitation in low temperature limit. For example, the Hamiltonian in eq(13) could also be statically approximated by 0 when $T \rightarrow 0$. The $T\bar{T}$ deformation can be equally transformed into a constant shift of ground state energy for the additional arbitrary parameter in Nambu-Goto solution. For simplicity this constant can be set to 0 without breaking any physical observation. And it means an arbitrary deformation fix point has been moved into low temperature limit. When we consider a non-Hermitian model, $T\bar{T}$ deformation expands the flow equation into complex plane. Since coupled SYK model (2) is pseudo-Hermitian, the non-Hermitian $T\bar{T}$ deformation[41, 42] reduces to a Hermitian version.

III. Thermofield double state

Thermofield double(TFD) state successfully represents some indispensable structures of quantum wormhole. It enables an information transformation ability between two identical SYK models. Then it is natural to ask whether these features are influenced by $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

Since the thermal deformation could be represented by mapping the Hamiltonian in a certain way, the $T\bar{T}$ deformed thermofield double states also generate from the undeformed one

$$|TFD_\lambda(\beta)\rangle = \exp(-\beta f(H_L + H_R, \lambda)/2) |TFD_0(0)\rangle. \quad (14)$$

Here $\beta = 1/T$. The definition of TFD state is irrelevant to the coupled part, so $T\bar{T}$ deformed TFD should be performed independently. TFD state is a special quantum algebraic system, which is related to thermal structure and Hamiltonian in left and right copy Hilbert spaces

$$|TFD_0(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \sum_q \sum_{n_q} |n_q\rangle_L \otimes \left(e^{\frac{-i\eta\pi\Gamma}{4}} e^{iq(\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi)} \right) P |n_q\rangle_R, \quad (15)$$

where q represents eigenvalues of Fermionic charge in complex variable. And $\sum_{n_q} |n_q\rangle$ are set of states with charge q . The left and right conjugate Hilbert space in TFD should satisfy fermion parity condition

$$\Gamma = (-1)^{q+\frac{N}{2}}, \quad P^{-1}C_i P = \eta C_i^\dagger, \quad (16)$$

and original TFD_0 state is maximally entangled. The fermionic partial $\eta = \pm 1$. Operator P is introduced to represent the parity conjugate on left and right sides, which brings a special fermion symmetry in TFD Hilbert space. ϕ is an additional arbitrary phase-evolving degree of freedom between two SYK models which is related to the conserved charge of cSYK model.

When we set coupling μ close to infinite, equations in strong coupling limit are obtained. Left or right SYK Hamiltonian have been ignored and deformed Hamiltonian of entire system turns out to be

$$f(H) = f(H_{int}). \quad (17)$$

Diagonal element of $TFD_{\beta=0}$ has been introduced in undeformed system

$$\begin{aligned} \langle TFD_{\beta=0} | H_{int} | TFD_{\beta=0} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{qq'} \sum_{mn} \langle \bar{n}_{-q'} |_R \otimes \langle n_{q'} |_L \left(i\mu \sum_i \left(e^{-2\alpha} C_i^{L\dagger} C_i^R - e^{2\alpha} C_i^{R\dagger} C_i^L \right) \right) |m_q\rangle_L \otimes |\bar{m}_{-q}\rangle_R \\ &= \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{qq'} \sum_{nm} \sum_i \left(i\mu e^{i\pi(q+\frac{N}{2})} e^{-2\alpha} \langle n_{q'} | C_i^{L\dagger} |m_q\rangle_L \langle \bar{n}_{-q'} | C_i^R | \bar{m}_{-q} \rangle_R \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i\mu e^{i\pi(q'+\frac{N}{2})} e^{2\alpha} \langle \bar{n}_{-q'} | C_i^{R\dagger} | \bar{m}_{-q} \rangle_R \langle n_{q'} | C_i^L |m_q\rangle_L \right) \\ &= \sum_{qq'} \sum_{nm} \sum_i \left(i\mu e^{2\alpha} - i\mu e^{-2\alpha} \right) e^{-i\phi} / 2^N \langle n_{q'} | C_i^{L\dagger} |m_q\rangle_L \langle m_q | C_i^L |n_{q'}\rangle_L \\ &= -\mu (e^{-2\alpha} + e^{2\alpha}) / 2^N \sum_q \sum_n \sum_i \langle n_{q'} | C_i^{L\dagger} C_i^L |n_q\rangle_L = -\frac{\mu N}{2} (e^{-2\alpha} + e^{2\alpha}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here the matrix elements in Hilbert space are generated by operators-represented Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \bar{n}_{-q'} | C_i^R | \bar{m}'_q \rangle_R &= -e^{i\pi(q+\frac{N}{2})} e^{-i\phi} \langle m_q | C_i^L | n_{q'} \rangle_L, \\ \langle \bar{n}_{-q'} | C_i^{R\dagger} | \bar{m}'_q \rangle_R &= -e^{i\pi(q'+\frac{N}{2})} e^{-i\phi} \langle m_q | C_i^{L\dagger} | n_{q'} \rangle_L.\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

It is natural to define the $T\bar{T}$ deformation has no influence on zero-temperature theory. Similarly, we involve the deformed disorder average in the strong coupling limit. $T\bar{T}$ deformation can be computed by the mapping Hamiltonian with the deformed Nambu-Goto form (6)

$$\langle f(H) \rangle = \langle f(H_{int}) \rangle = f(\langle H_{int} \rangle). \quad (20)$$

Likewise, we can also use the same process in weak coupling limit by ignoring the interaction term, a reduced Hamiltonian is obtained as

$$f(H) = f(H_L + H_R). \quad (21)$$

Similar to strong coupling limit, a finite deformation seems to be less important when β approaches infinity

$$\langle TFD_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} | H | TFD_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \langle \bar{0} |_{R,i} \langle 0 |_{L,i} \sum_{ijkl} J_{ijkl} \sum_{a=L,R} 2C_i^{A\dagger} C_j^{A\dagger} C_k^A C_l^A + 4C_i^{A\dagger} C_j^A C_k^{A\dagger} C_l^A | 0 \rangle_{L,i} | \bar{0} \rangle_{R,i}, \quad (22)$$

where $|0\rangle$ and $|\bar{0}\rangle$ are vacuum states in partial Hilbert spaces. Obviously, this result is independent of interaction coupling μ and $TFD_{\beta=\infty}$ vacuum is and SYK eigenstate.

However, this result on overlap is no longer fixed in a more general β condition. Numerically, we obtain an approximate overlap $\langle TFD_\lambda(\beta) | G \rangle$ between arbitrary parameter β and the ground states with the best-fixing coupling constant μ . The ground states with a non-Hermitian bootstrap on interaction term are proposed as

$$|G\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+e^{4\alpha}}} (|1\rangle_{L,i} |0\rangle_{R,i} \pm ie^{2\alpha} |0\rangle_{L,i} |1\rangle_{R,i}), \quad (23)$$

here we define the excited states $|1\rangle_{A,i} = C_i^{A\dagger} |0\rangle_{A,i}$

Overlap between the ground state of H_{int} and TFD has an important role in exploring the entanglement property and transformation between two Cartesian product Hilbert space. Since we simply introduce the non-Hermiticity into operators(2) without changing the Hilbert space or overlap. We can also consider the $T\bar{T}$ deformed non-Hermitian overlap between the deformed TFD state, which is generated with thermally deformed copied Hamiltonian and its best-fixing valid deformed ground states

$$\langle TFD_\lambda(\beta) | G \rangle = \langle TFD_0(\beta) | \frac{\sqrt{Z_0(\beta)}}{\sqrt{Z_\lambda(\beta)}} \exp(-\beta(H_L + H_R - f(H_L + H_R, \lambda)) / 2) | G \rangle. \quad (24)$$

Conventionally, ground states in overlap calculation could be generated by a simple infinite evolution, while the Hamiltonian has been substituted by a deformed one

$$|G(\tau)\rangle = \exp(-\tau(H_L + H_R + H_{int}))|G\rangle. \quad (25)$$

Since the TFD states are independent of the interaction μ , we can simply choose another ground state with a different coupling μ to remove this effect

$$\begin{aligned} \langle TFD_\lambda(\beta)|G\rangle &= \langle TFD_0(\beta)|\frac{\sqrt{Z_0(\beta)}}{\sqrt{Z_\lambda(\beta)}}\exp(-\beta(H_L + H_R - f(H_L + H_R, \lambda))/2 - \tau(H_L + H_R + H_{int}))|0\rangle \\ &= \langle TFD_0(\beta)|G'\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Coefficient $\sqrt{Z_\lambda(\beta)}$ is introduced to normal the partition function Z . Because the overlap equations have two degrees of freedom, and the evolution of TFD states includes a finite deformation function f and a decoupled SYK Hamiltonian H , while the ground states includes the interaction coupling μ . In principle, we can easily absorb TFD deformation by shifting the parameter μ without altering physical effects. And the deformed TFD is equal to the undeformed theory with different coupling without causing any additional divergence.

IV. Thermal phase structure

In this section, we first consider the effective action of the non-Hermitian coupled SYK model under the $T\bar{T}$ deformation in the large N limit

$$\frac{S_E}{N} = -\log \det(\sigma_{AB} - \Sigma_{AB}) - \int d\tau d\tau' \sum_{AB} [\Sigma_{AB}(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}(\tau', \tau) + 9J^2 G_{AB}^2(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}^2(\tau', \tau)], \quad (27)$$

$$\sigma_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_\tau - \kappa' & i\mu'e^{-2\alpha} \\ -i\mu'e^{2\alpha} & \partial_\tau - \kappa' \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{AB}(\tau, \tau') = -36J^2 G_{AB}^2(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}(\tau', \tau)$$

where $J^2 = J^2\zeta(\tau)\zeta(\tau')$, $\mu' = \mu\zeta(\tau)\zeta(\tau')$, $\kappa' = \kappa\zeta(\tau)\zeta(\tau')$. Because the auxiliary field ζ is dimensionless, the $T\bar{T}$ deformed model in thermal limit behaves exactly like a shifting coupling constant. We can rescale J^2, κ, μ by $\zeta(\tau)\zeta(\tau')$. After Fourier transformation, the auxiliary field ζ in Eq. 12 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-2} = 1 + bT \sum_{\omega_n} \left(\sum_{AB} G_{AB}^2(i\omega_n) G_{BA}^2(i\omega_n) - \frac{1}{9}i\mu(-e^{2\alpha}G_{LR}(i\omega_n) + e^{-2\alpha}G_{RL}(i\omega_n)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{9}\kappa(G_{LL}(i\omega_n) + G_{RR}(i\omega_n)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The saddle point equations with Fourier transformation is derived from Eq. (27)

$$G_{AB}(i\omega_n, \alpha) = \frac{(-i\omega_n - \kappa')\delta_{AB} - \Sigma_{BA}(i\omega_n, \alpha) - i\mu'e^{-2\alpha}\delta_{AL}\delta_{BR} + i\mu'e^{2\alpha}\delta_{AR}\delta_{BL}}{(-i\omega_n - \kappa' - \Sigma_{LL})(-i\omega_n - \kappa' - \Sigma_{RR}) - (i\mu'e^{-2\alpha} - \Sigma_{LR})(-i\mu'e^{2\alpha} - \Sigma_{RL})}, \quad (29)$$

with Matsubara frequency $\omega_n = 2\pi(n + \frac{1}{2})/\beta$. The symmetry $G_{LL}(i\omega_n, \alpha) = G_{RR}(i\omega_n, \alpha)$, $G_{LR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) = -G_{RL}(i\omega_n, -\alpha)$ and $\Sigma_{LR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) = -\Sigma_{RL}(i\omega_n, -\alpha)$ are preserved under $T\bar{T}$ deformation.

Since the zero temperature theory produces no excitation on energy-momentum flow, constant a could be set as 0. The Hamiltonian term H_0 is negative in thermal limit(6) and the square root has real eigenvalue with $0 < \zeta < 1$. Note that the integral of function ζ is a constant in calculation and deformation parameter λ is dimensionless. We can reduce these dimensionless parameters and seek how the model evolves with the new parameter b . Previous work has proved that cSYK and MQ model have similar effects in thermal structure[43, 44]. And we can focus more on how the thermodynamics change under deformation, instead of how the deformation depends on different parameters. In order to facilitate our calculation, we use the previous definition by mapping the parameter b to the previous one. Symmetry between left and right models has been broken by non-Hermitian coupling[12]. As shown in Fig. 1, the decay rate of Green's function is shifted by $T\bar{T}$ deformation. In Fig.1(a), we consider $T\bar{T}$ deformation on Hermitian Green's function, the maximal correlation becomes larger when we introduce $T\bar{T}$ deformation. And in Fig.1(b), we involve positive non-Hermitian parameter α , which will strengthen the G_{21} component while weaken G_{12} . According to $T\bar{T}$ deformation, the J and μ reparameterization converges to 0 when b is large enough, and the model should return to free fermions. Since non-Hermitian coupling breaks the symmetry between left and right model, off-diagonal function G_{21} will dominate when $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$ [12]. And $T\bar{T}$ deformation will not break this behavior due to the relationship in (2) is unbroken. Thermally, $T\bar{T}$ deformation provides the deformed Hamiltonian (6), indicating the projection of parameters J, μ, κ in(9), which builds additional correlation within and between left and right SYK model with non-local effects.

Substituting the saddle point solutions into the action, we obtain the free energy, as shown in Fig. 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F}{N} &= -T \frac{\log Z}{N} = T \frac{S_{eff}}{N} \\
&= -T \left[2 \log 2 + \sum_{\omega_n} \log \frac{(-i\omega_n - \kappa' - \Sigma_{LL})(-i\omega_n - \kappa' - \Sigma_{RR}) - (i\mu'e^{-2\alpha} - \Sigma_{LR})(-i\mu'e^{2\alpha} - \Sigma_{RL})}{(i\omega_n)^2} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\omega_n} \left(\frac{3}{4} \Sigma_{LL}(i\omega_n, \alpha) G_{LL}(i\omega_n, \alpha) + \frac{3}{4} \Sigma_{RR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) G_{RR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{3}{4} \Sigma_{LR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) G_{RL}(i\omega_n, \alpha) + \frac{3}{4} \Sigma_{RL}(i\omega_n, \alpha) G_{LR}(i\omega_n, \alpha) \right) \right]. \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to plot the free energy, we decrease the temperature first, and then increase the temperature back to the high temperature. In Fig. 2(a), we consider the model with $T\bar{T}$ deformed interaction coupling $\mu = 0.3$, and In Fig. 2(b), the interaction coupling is now moving close to phase transition end with $\mu = 0.7$. The Hamiltonian is pseudo-Hermitian, and the




Figure 1: (a) Un-deformed $b = 0$ and deformed $b = 4$ Green's function in Hermitian case $\alpha = 0$ with fixed $J = 1, \mu = 0.3, T = 0.01, \kappa = 0$. (b) Un-deformed $b = 0$ and deformed $b = 4$ Green's function in Hermitian case $\alpha = 0.3$ with fixed $J = 1, \mu = 0.3, T = 0.01, \kappa = 0$.





Figure 2: (a) Un-deformed $b = 0$ and $T\bar{T}$ deformation $b = 0.1$ on the free energy as a function of T with $J = 1, \mu = 0.3, \kappa = 0$ in Hermitian case $\alpha = 0$ and non-Hermitian case $\alpha = 1$. (b) Un-deformed $b = 0$ and $T\bar{T}$ deformation $b = 0.1$ on the free energy as a function of T with $J = 1, \mu = 0.7, \kappa = 0$ in Hermitian case $\alpha = 0$ and non-Hermitian case $\alpha = 1$.

free energy is not influenced by non Hermitian parameter α [12] as shown in Fig. 2. After $T\bar{T}$ deformation, the free energy in wormhole phase will increase, and the black hole entropy also increases due to the larger slope. And this is consistent with Nambu-Goto Hamiltonian and the



(a)

Figure 3: (a) Un-deform $b = 0$ and $T\bar{T}$ deformation $b = 0.1$ on phase diagram with $J = 1, \kappa = 0$. The coexist regions are surrounded and the tealGreen's line is un-deformed thermodynamic transition temperature and the black line is deformed thermodynamic transition temperature

irrelevant property of $T\bar{T}$ deformation. The non-Hermitian parameter takes the phase structure closer to the second-order critical point, and the $T\bar{T}$ deformation makes the phase transition more distinguishable as shown in Fig. 2(b). And the deformed theory also moves over the second-order critical point as parameter μ increases. The effective parameter J, μ and κ in (9) decrease as b increases, which is corresponding to a larger entropy and gapless two larger black hole and the interaction μ for wormhole phase should decrease. And we will discuss this holography picture in section VI.

The phase diagram with dependence on μ and T is numerically plotted in Fig. 3. At small T and large μ , the coupled system is holographically dual to an eternal traversable wormhole. At large T and small μ , the system reduces to the gapless two black hole phase. The first-order Hawking-Page-like phase transition ends at a second-order critical point. Our results show that $T\bar{T}$ deformation decreases the range of coexistence region between wormhole phase and black hole phase due to reparameterized J . And it shifts the transition critical points in both Hermitian and non-Hermitian case from $(T_c=0.269, \mu_c=0.728, b=0)$ to $(T_c=0.246, \mu_c=0.725, b=0.1)$. As the deformation parameter $\lambda > 0$, interaction μ also shifts to a small value in [12]. The thermodynamic transition temperatures are gradually approach wormhole saddle point when effective deformation parameter b increases, the free energy saddle point of black hole phase becomes

larger than undeformed theory. And non-Hermitian parameter does not change the effects of $T\bar{T}$ deformation. We have proved that the wormhole-black hole thermodynamic structure is robust not only in a Hermitian two coupled SYK model, but also in a non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model under the $T\bar{T}$ deformation. This result is predictable with similar Hamiltonian Eq. (9) as reparametrization with $0 < \zeta < 1$.

V. Revival dynamic

In this section, we investigate the dynamical properties and causality. Revival dynamic could be obtained from Lorentzian-time Green's function in a Euclidean Keldysh time contour [45, 46]. For this pseudo-Hermitian model, it is also convenient to preform analytically extended to the Lorentzian time via Wick rotation and leads to a Wightman correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) &= -iG_{AB}(it_1^-, it_2^+) = -i \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} \langle \psi_A(\epsilon + it_1) \psi_B(-\epsilon + it_2) \rangle, \\ G_{AB}^<(t_1, t_2) &= -G_{BA}^>(t_2, t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Retarded and Advanced Green's function are also important in real time calculation

$$\begin{aligned} G_{AB}^R(t_1, t_2) &= \theta(t_1 - t_2) (G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) - G_{AB}^<(t_1, t_2)), \\ G_{AB}^A(t_1, t_2) &= \theta(t_2 - t_1) (G_{AB}^<(t_1, t_2) - G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2)), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $\tau \rightarrow it$. The Schwinger-Dyson equation in Lorentzian time is constructed from real time correlation function and its retarded or advanced components is

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_{t_1} G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) &= \mu_{AC} G_{CB}^>(t_1, t_2) + \int dt (\Sigma_{AC}^R(t_1, t) G_{CB}^>(t, t_2) + \Sigma_{AC}^>(t_1, t) G_{CB}^A(t, t_2)), \\ i\partial_{t_1} G_{AB}^R(t_1, t_2) - \mu_{AC} G_{CB}^R(t_1, t_2) - \int dt (\Sigma_{AC}^R(t_1, t) G_{CB}^R(t, t_2) + \Sigma_{AC}^A(t_1, t) G_{CB}^A(t, t_2)) &= \delta_{AB} \delta(t_1 - t_2), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with self energy term

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) &= 36J^2 G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) G_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) G_{BA}^>(t_2, t_1), \\ \Sigma_{AB}^R(t_1, t_2) &= \theta(t_1 - t_2) (\Sigma_{AB}^>(t_1, t_2) + \Sigma_{BA}^>(t_2, t_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The Fourier transformation equation reduces to

$$G_{AB}^R(\omega) = \frac{(\omega - \kappa) \delta_{AB} - \Sigma_{BA}^R(\omega) - i\mu e^{-2\alpha} \delta_{aL} \delta_{bR} + i\mu e^{2\alpha} \delta_{aR} \delta_{bL}}{(\omega - \kappa - \Sigma_{LL})(\omega - \kappa - \Sigma_{RR}) - (i\mu e^{-2\alpha} - \Sigma_{LR})(-i\mu e^{2\alpha} - \Sigma_{RL})}. \quad (35)$$

In Lorentz time form, $\omega_n \rightarrow i\omega$. The additional exponential divergence could be generated from Fourier transformation with real hyperbolic terms. We introduce the thermal decay rate, and its time strip by frequency partition function with statistical temperature T is

$$G_{AB}^>(\omega) = \frac{G_{AB}^R(\omega) - (G_{BA}^R(\omega))^*}{1 + \exp(-\beta\omega)}. \quad (36)$$

In Hermitian system, Lorentz-time Green's function could be reduced to pure real or pure imaginary

$$\begin{aligned} G_{LL}^>(\omega) &= \frac{2i\text{Im}(G_{LL}^R(\omega))}{1 + \exp(-\beta\omega)}, \\ G_{LR}^>(\omega) &= \frac{2\text{Re}(G_{LR}^R(\omega))}{1 + \exp(-\beta\omega)}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$T\bar{T}$ deformation in Lorentz time could be performed exactly in the same way in Euclidean situation, except for switching original Euclidean representation to the Lorentzian one. From the $T\bar{T}$ flow in Lorentz-time action with corresponding Schwinger-Dyson(SD) equations and correlation function, the auxiliary field we have introduced before in Euclidean space time turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{S_{total}}{N} &= i\frac{S_L + S_R + S_{int}}{N} = \log \det(\omega\delta_{AB} - \Sigma_{AB}(\omega)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau d\tau' \sum_{AB} [\Sigma_{AB}(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}(\tau', \tau) - 9J'^2 G_{AB}^2(\tau, \tau') G_{BA}^2(\tau', \tau)] \\ &\quad + \frac{i\mu'}{2} \int d\tau d\tau' [G_{LR}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau - \tau') - G_{RL}(\tau', \tau) \delta(\tau' - \tau)] \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa'}{2} \int d\tau d\tau' [G_{LL}(\tau, \tau') \delta(\tau - \tau') + G_{RR}(\tau', \tau) \delta(\tau' - \tau)]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

In order to reduce additional elements with Euclidean symbol, it is it is natural to transform the function along another Keldysh contour into Lorentzian

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^> - \Sigma^R, \quad G = G^A + G^>. \quad (39)$$

Auxiliary parameter ζ should be switched to real time patch through the real time SD equation

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-2} &= 1 + b \int dt \left(\sum_{AB} G_{AB}^2(t) G_{BA}^2(-t) + \mu (-e^{2\alpha} G_{LR}(t) \delta(-t) + e^{-2\alpha} G_{RL}(t) \delta(-t)) \right) \\ &\quad + i\kappa (G_{LL}(t) \delta(-t) + G_{RR}(t) \delta(-t)). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

After involving the contoured function, ζ s are now written in the real time correlation and its advanced component

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-2} &= 1 + b \int dt \left(\sum_{AB} (G_{AB}^A(t) + G_{AB}^>(t))^2 (G_{BA}^A(-t) + G_{BA}^>(-t))^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \mu (-e^{2\alpha} (G_{LR}^A(t) + G_{LR}^>(t)) \delta(-t) + e^{-2\alpha} (G_{RL}^A(t) + G_{RL}^>(t)) \delta(-t)) \\ &\quad \left. - i\kappa ((G_{LL}^A(t) + G_{LL}^>(t)) \delta(-t) + (G_{RR}^A(t) + G_{RR}^>(t)) \delta(-t)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

According to transmission symmetry between retarded and advanced correlation, we simply shift the expression into retarded form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-2} = & 1 + b \int dt \left(\sum_{AB} (-G_{AB}^R(-t) + G_{AB}^>(t))^2 (-G_{BA}^R(t) + G_{BA}^>(-t))^2 \right. \\ & + \mu \left(-e^{2\alpha} (-G_{LR}^R(-t) + G_{LR}^>(t)) \delta(-t) + e^{-2\alpha} (-G_{RL}^R(-t) + G_{RL}^>(t)) \delta(-t) \right) \\ & \left. - i\kappa \left((-G_{LL}^R(-t) + G_{LL}^>(t)) \delta(-t) + (-G_{RR}^R(-t) + G_{RR}^>(t)) \delta(-t) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

We used to utilize Fourier transformation to detour infinite proper time integral. However, Matsubara Fermion frequencies in usual many-body quantum system recover a divergent result in Lorentz-time equations. For Numerical need, a real-time cut off could be performed without violating the limited causality in both frequency space and Lorentz time space. performing a long-time cut off would be a good attempt for numerical approximation method [47]. The discrete numerical time scales are now given by

$$t_m = mt_{\max}, m = (-\Lambda, -\Lambda + 1, \dots, \Lambda - 1) / \Lambda. \quad (43)$$

The conjugated discrete frequency is

$$\omega_n = \pi n / t_{\max}, n = (-\Lambda, -\Lambda + 1, \dots, \Lambda - 1). \quad (44)$$

It is natural to assume that the real-time correlation function should decay to 0 in long time limit, and sector $t > t_{\max}$ has been dropped. We have ignored the IR and UV behaviors. After discrete Fourier transformation, we obtain ζ with frequency

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-2} = & 1 + b / (2t_{\max}) \sum_{\omega_n} \left[\sum_{AB} (-G_{AB}^R(-\omega_n) + G_{AB}^>(\omega_n))^2 (-G_{BA}^R(-\omega_n) + G_{BA}^>(\omega_n))^2 \right. \\ & + \mu \left(-e^{2\alpha} (-G_{LR}^R(\omega_n) + G_{LR}^>(\omega_n)) + e^{-2\alpha} (-G_{RL}^R(\omega_n) + G_{RL}^>(\omega_n)) \right) \\ & \left. - i\kappa \left((-G_{LL}^R(\omega_n) + G_{LL}^>(\omega_n)) + (-G_{RR}^R(\omega_n) + G_{RR}^>(\omega_n)) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Terms with $\omega \gg 1/t_{\max}$ are insignificant in the equation. Notice that the temperature T dependent ζ in Euclidean time is somehow replaced by inverse maximal Lorentzian cut off $1/2t_{\max}$. The temperature now appears in statistical real time decay spectral. However, in this approximate theory, the numerical relation $1/2t_{\max}$ is not arbitrary, since the time cut off $1/2t_{\max}$ and inverse temperature β should match each other. We numerically calculate the real time propagator in Fig. 4. And it is significant to choose the critical transition point between wormhole phase and two-black hole phase. More explicitly, we choose the maximal transmission amplitude $|2G_{LR}| \approx 1$. The $T\bar{T}$ deformation shifts the thermal phase structure as we calculated in the previous section. The result of wormhole-like solution at transition point makes the oscillation vanish and gradually transforms into black hole after utilizing the $T\bar{T}$ deformation.




Figure 4: Revival dynamic in wormhole-like critical point with $J = 1/3, \mu = 0.3, T = 0.131, \lambda = 200000$ (a) Real time propagator with Hermitian $\alpha = 0, t_{max} = 140000, b = 0$. (b) Real time propagator with Hermitian $\alpha = 0, t_{max} = 160000, b = 0.001$. (c) Real time propagator with non-Hermitian $\alpha = 0.01, t_{max} = 140000, b = 0$. (d) Real time propagator with non-Hermitian $\alpha = 0.01, t_{max} = 160000, b = 0.001$.

Notice that the wormhole revival function with $\mu = 0.3$ greatly enhances the G_{LR} component and replace the role of G_{LL} does when $\mu = 0.1$ or smaller. We shall focus on the dynamical decays for interaction parts. In fig. 4 (b) and (d), the deformation will shift the phase diagram from original position, and the temperature here is no longer at transition point and the density is not convergent. In real time non-Hermitian case, the symmetry between the propagator of L-R and R-L is broken. In fig. 4 (c) and (d), the $T\bar{T}$ deformation $b=0.001$ will slightly decrease

the oscillation. And this result agrees with phase structure in Section IV. When parameter b is sufficiently large, the model reduces to weak coupling limit, which vanishes the oscillation in real time function. Non-Hermitian parameter $\alpha = 0.01$ slightly enhance real component of G_{RL} in advanced time $t < 0$ and weaken it in retarded time $t > 0$, while the G_{LR} component is completely opposite. In black hole phase, the numerical limit t_{max} increases and it is numerically equal to decreasing the temperature to a wormhole oscillation solution.

VI. Deformed $NAdS_2$ spacetime and holography

In this section, we will investigate the $T\bar{T}$ deformation on $NAdS_2$ spacetime. It is natural to consider a 2d gravitational $T\bar{T}$ deformation in bulk theory[18]. However, it seems more convenient to process with 1d quadratic stress tensor.

We suppose $NAdS_2$ geometry with Einstein gravity, which can be described as JT gravity [48, 49].

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\phi'_0}{2} \left[\int \sqrt{g} \mathcal{R} + 2 \int_{Bdy} \sqrt{g^0} \mathcal{K} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\int \phi' \sqrt{g} (\mathcal{R} + 2) + 2 \int_{Bdy} \phi'_b \sqrt{g^0} \mathcal{K} \right] + S_{matter} \quad (46) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\int \sqrt{g} (\phi_0 \mathcal{R} + \phi) + 2 \int_{Bdy} \sqrt{g^0} \phi_b \mathcal{K} \right] + S_{matter}, \end{aligned}$$

with a constraint on boundary dilatons [47, 50] $\phi'_{Bdy} = \phi'_b$. The first and second parts in (46) denote gravitational action on NAdS spacetime with curvatures. The third term contains a possible additional matter field and the interaction term in coupled SYK model. $T\bar{T}$ deformation in AdS has been well proved as a boundary cutoff in [51], and we can follow the technique[18] and expand it into NAdS with additional boundary dilatons. Holographic $T\bar{T}$ deformation in AdS spacetime has been proved to be a rigid radial cutoff with finite surface. However, this physical interpretation does not coexist with any additional matter field, because the cut-off metric does not contain a $T\bar{T}$ flow equation on the sphere. For simplicity, we have introduced a modification into dilaton terms with diagonal gauge metric

$$ds^2 = N^2(r) d\tau^2 + \frac{dr^2}{N^2(r)}. \quad (47)$$

Here AdS metric element N^2 could be written as

$$N^2(r) = f(\phi_0) \left(1 - \frac{2M}{\phi_r(r) f(\phi_0)} \right), \quad (48)$$

$$\phi_0(r) = r \phi_r(r). \quad (49)$$

$f(\phi_0)$ depends on variable coordinate ϕ_r and bulk integral of ϕ , and M is a constant with dimension of mass. Function f is written as

$$f(\phi_0) = \frac{2}{\phi_r^2} \int_{\phi_0} \phi(x) dx. \quad (50)$$

If we consider a finite Dirichlet radial cutoff, the quasilocal bulk energy solution is given by

$$E_{bulk} = \phi_r r_c \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_c} \sqrt{f(\phi_0(r_c)) - 2M/\phi_r} \right), \quad (51)$$

which is familiar to Nambu-Goto-like Hamiltonian. We may expect a $T\bar{T}$ deformation formalism. We now seek a method to perform the $T\bar{T}$ deformation equation with

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \lambda} = \int d\tau \frac{T^2}{1/2 - 2\lambda T}. \quad (52)$$

In order to find the $T\bar{T}$ operator with energy-momentum flow, we can define a Brown-York stress scalar with renormalization. 2d $T\bar{T}$ flow is obtained by variation of metric g^0 and bulk EFT dilaton ϕ_0 . And the metric and stress tensor are passively transformed. The boundary interaction term is added to neutral Maldacena and Qi's (MQ) model [6] with non-Hermitian interaction[12]

$$S_{int} = g \sum_i \int du (e^{-2\alpha} O_L^{i\dagger}(u) O_R^i(u) - e^{2\alpha} O_R^{i\dagger}(u) O_L^i(u)). \quad (53)$$

The semi-classical interaction operators O are set with conformal dimension of Δ . The constant g marks the stress with conformal limit. We define

$$g_{\tau\tau}^0 = r_c^2 \gamma_{\tau\tau}, T^{\tau\tau} = r_c \tilde{T}^{\tau\tau} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g^0}} \frac{\delta S_{total}}{\delta g^0} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{g^0}} \frac{\delta(2S + S_{int})}{\delta g^0}, T^\phi = r_c^{-2} \tilde{T}^\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^0}} \frac{\delta S_{total}}{\delta \phi_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g^0}} \frac{\delta(2S + S_{int})}{\delta \phi_0}. \quad (54)$$

Additional matter field in (45) would break the symmetry of flow equation. However the interaction term in coupled SYK model[6] only includes boundary time dependence. And in the finite radius of bulks, we have

$$\frac{\partial S_{matter}}{\partial T^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{\partial S_{int}}{\partial T^{\mu\nu}} = 0, \quad (55)$$

which means the $T\bar{T}$ deformation on $NAdS_2$ with boundary interaction will be a global effect at finite coordinates μ and ν . And this condition does not contradict the $T\bar{T}$ equation (6). We can involve the boundary conditions of $NAdS_2$ into tensors without changing the equations. According to the definition of $T\bar{T}$ deformation, the $NAdS_2$ flow equation could be performed with a similar $T\bar{T}$ operator.

The flow equation of effective action with on-shell Hamiltonian constraint can be transformed into pure JT gravity globally at finite, and the boundary interaction under $T\bar{T}$ deformation will not cause a non-trivial local effect in spacetime. And we have

$$r_c \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} S_{EFT} = \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\frac{-\tilde{T}^\tau + \phi_r^2 r_c^4 + \phi_r r_c^3 \phi(r_c \phi_r)}{\phi_r r_c^2 - \tilde{T}^\tau} \right). \quad (56)$$

Notice that the flow equation now exactly has the same mathematical form as $T\bar{T}$ deformation. By comparing formulas we have mentioned, we obtain the deformation parameter λ in $NAdS_2$ spacetime

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{4\phi_r r_c^2} \Big|_{r_c=finite} \quad (57)$$

We are more concerned with bulks, and it is natural to remove the neighborhood of the boundaries. Thus we can write the flow equation with boundary cut off in $T\bar{T}$ deformation form

$$\frac{\partial S_{EFT}}{\partial \lambda} = \int d\tau \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\frac{T - \left(1 + (r_c \phi_r)^{-1} \phi(r_c \phi_r)\right) / (16\lambda^2)}{1/2 - 2\lambda T} \right). \quad (58)$$

Here we have set the diagonal $T\bar{T}$ stress tensor with

$$T = \tilde{T}_\tau^\tau. \quad (59)$$

The deformation equation could also be written with Hamiltonian

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{E^2 - \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{4\phi_r \lambda}}{\phi_r} \phi\left(\frac{\phi_r}{\sqrt{4\phi_r \lambda}}\right)\right) / (16\lambda^2)}{1/2 - 2\lambda E}, \quad (60)$$

which may generates a simple Nambu-Goto solution

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(1 - \sqrt{4\phi_r \lambda} f\left(\frac{\phi_r}{\sqrt{4\phi_r \lambda}}\right) - 8\lambda E_0 \right). \quad (61)$$

And when we focus on the cutoff space, additional parts outside the cutoff sphere will be indistinguishable from NAdS boundary term. So we have proved that the $T\bar{T}$ deformation of $NAdS_2$ bulk metric with boundary interaction equal to a finite radial Dirichlet boundary cutoff. However, this does not mean to abandon the geometry between deformed radial boundary and original $NAdS_2$ boundary which becomes indistinguishable. We focus on the dynamics and energy-momentum flow on radial Dirichlet sphere which is exactly equal to the $T\bar{T}$ deformation. And we may find a similar flow equation as $T\bar{T}$ deformation in NAdS spacetime as dual coupled SYK does. Since the interaction term is irrelevant to metric tensor g , this additional term does not break the physical interpretation of $T\bar{T}$ deformation. One notable thing is that the interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} definitely plays a role in the $T\bar{T}$ flow, but globally. The interaction has already modified the natural boundary and the $T\bar{T}$ deformation on these modified boundaries are still equal to a radial cutoff.

The bulk theory reduces to Schwarzian form by a time reparametrization and leads to symmetry breaking. We would like to involve $T\bar{T}$ deformation to effective Schwarzian theory without breaking holographic duality. We can introduce

$$S_E = -C \int d\tau (Sch(h, \tau) + W(h')), \quad (62)$$

where C is a physical coefficient. And it can be defined as a dilaton reparametrization on boundary $\phi'_{Bdy} = \phi'_b = \frac{\bar{\phi}_r}{\epsilon}$ and $ds|_{Bdy} = \frac{d\tau}{\epsilon}$ in (46). Taking ϵ to be infinitesimal, we have $C = \bar{\phi}_r$. In dual SYK effective theory, C could also be some quantum constant which depends on the four point correlation function $C = N\alpha_S$. In order to fix the low energy effective action

in [6], we introduce an arbitrary $W(h')$ function of h' as second term. Taking $W(h')$ to zero, the theory reduce to pure Schwarzian derivative

$$Sch(h, \tau) = \left(\frac{h''}{h'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h''}{h'}\right)^2. \quad (63)$$

One can consider time reparametrization of a radial cutoff metric, but it seems more convenient to deform Schwarzian action directly. We can also extend the technique of $T\bar{T}$ deformed Schwarzian action in [18] to effective action of MQ model. The first step toward $T\bar{T}$ deformation is to find the energy-momentum tensor and establish its flow equation, and we can pick a canonical coordinates with Ostrogradsky formalism[52]

$$q_1 = h, \quad q_2 = h' = e^\varphi, \quad (64)$$

with canonical momentums

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial h'} - \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial h''} \right) = C \left(\frac{h''^2}{h'^3} - \frac{h'''}{h'^2} - w(h') \right), \\ p_2 &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial h''} = C \frac{h''}{h'^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

with $w(h') = \partial W(h') / \partial h'$. The Hamiltonian is invariant under coordinate transformation

$$H = \frac{p_2^2 q_2^2}{2C} + \frac{C}{2} q_2^2 + p_1 q_2. \quad (66)$$

Note that the $T\bar{T}$ deformation could be projected via Hamiltonian form

$$H(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda H_0} \right). \quad (67)$$

We obtain the deformed Lagrangian by Legendre transformation with coordinates $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau, q_2 \rightarrow iq_2$

$$L_E(\lambda) = -\frac{(h' - e^\varphi)}{8\lambda h' e^\varphi} + \frac{C}{2} \frac{e^\varphi}{h'} (\varphi'^2 - h' f(h')). \quad (68)$$

First we perform a deformation to low energy effective SYK theory, which has an $SL(2)$ boundary reparametrization symmetry and a pure Schwarzian derivative without additional function $W(h')$

$$S = -C \int du \{t_P(u), u\}. \quad (69)$$

t_P is a possible reparameterization of u . And we can apply a simple Lorentzian solution

$$S_E = -C \int d\tau \left\{ \tanh \frac{h(\tau)}{2}, \tau \right\}. \quad (70)$$

Corresponding deformed Lagrangian are parametrized from boundary

$$L_E(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} - e^\varphi \right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} e^\varphi} - \frac{C}{2} \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2}} (\varphi'^2), \quad (71)$$

After involving constant C , we have the holographic effective action

$$L_E(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} - e^\varphi\right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} e^\varphi} - \frac{\bar{\phi}_r}{2} \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2}} (\varphi'^2), \quad (72)$$

which can be written as

$$L_E(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} - e^\varphi\right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2} e^\varphi} - \frac{N\alpha_S}{2} \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh \frac{h(\tau)'}{2}} (\varphi'^2). \quad (73)$$

The conformal transformation with additional complex U(1) symmetry is given [53, 54]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_{AB}(\tau, \tau') &= [h'_A(\tau) h'_B(\tau')]^\Delta G_{AB}(h_A(\tau), h_B(\tau')) e^{i(\varphi_A(\tau) - \varphi_B(\tau'))}, \\ \tilde{\Sigma}_{AB}(\tau, \tau') &= [h'_A(\tau) h'_B(\tau')]^{1-\Delta} G_{AB}(h_A(\tau), h_B(\tau')) e^{i(\varphi_A(\tau) - \varphi_B(\tau'))}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Left and right Schwarzian actions have an U(1) anomalous term to preserve transformation symmetry

$$S = -N\alpha_S \int d\tau \left\{ \tanh \frac{h(\tau)}{2}, \tau \right\} + \frac{NK}{2} \int d\tau (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'(\tau))^2, \quad (75)$$

where parameter ε is generated by U(1) charge with generator φ and α_S is determined by four-point and higher order calculations. K appears to be coefficient of compressibility. The general reparametrized Schwarzian action nearly performs $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{U}(1)$ Virasoro-Kac-Moody symmetry.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta h_L &= \epsilon^0 + \epsilon^+ e^{ih_L} + \epsilon^- e^{-ih_L}, \\ \delta h_R &= \epsilon^0 - \epsilon^+ e^{ih_R} - \epsilon^- e^{-ih_R}, \\ \delta \varphi &= -i\varepsilon \delta h + \epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

with infinitesimal displacements ϵ^0 , ϵ^+ and ϵ^- . And the corresponding function $w(h')$ in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{U}(1)$ Schwarzian theory

$$w(h') = \frac{1}{C} \frac{\partial W(h')}{\partial h'} = \frac{i\varepsilon NK}{C} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'(\tau)). \quad (77)$$

This result leads to the deformed Lagrangian

$$L_{E,A}(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh \frac{h_A(\tau)'}{2} - e^\varphi\right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh \frac{h_A(\tau)'}{2} e^\varphi} - \frac{N\alpha_S}{2} \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh \frac{h_A(\tau)'}{2}} \left(\varphi'^2 - \tanh \frac{h_A(\tau)'}{2} \left(\frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) \right) \right), \quad (78)$$

and the coupling part of effective action of two SYK models is given as

$$S_{int} = \frac{\mu}{2} \int d\tau \left[\frac{B h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau)}{\cosh^2 \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \right]^\Delta. \quad (79)$$

B denotes a reparametrization in low energy correlation function with [6]. Since we $T\bar{T}$ deform the total action with decoupled models and their interactions, these are described by Schwarzian derivative and reparameterized interaction operators

$$S_{total} = 2S_A + S_{int}, \quad (80)$$

Parameter A refers to L and R models. The corresponding function w becomes

$$w_A(h') = \frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) + \frac{\Delta B^\Delta \mu}{2N\alpha_S \cosh^{2\Delta} \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \left[h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau) \right]^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (81)$$

and we obtain the corresponding Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E,A}(\lambda) = & -\frac{\left(\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} - e^\varphi \right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} e^\varphi} - N\alpha_S \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\varphi'^2 - \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} \left(\frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) + \frac{\Delta B^\Delta \mu}{2N\alpha_S \cosh^{2\Delta} \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \left[h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau) \right]^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

We can also incorporate the additional U(1) parameter φ and non-Hermitian parameter α into the interaction term

$$\begin{aligned} S_{int} = & \frac{\mu}{2} \int d\tau \left[\frac{B h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau)}{\cosh^2 \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \right]^\Delta \cosh(\varepsilon h_L(\tau) - \varepsilon h_R(\tau)) \\ & \times \left[\exp(i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) - 2\alpha) + \exp(-i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) + 2\alpha) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

For function w , this variation is equal to the addition of an external structure

$$\begin{aligned} w_A(h') = & \frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) + \frac{\Delta B^\Delta \mu}{2N\alpha_S \cosh^{2\Delta} \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \left[h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau) \right]^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\cosh(\varepsilon h_L(\tau) - \varepsilon h_R(\tau)) \times \left[\exp(i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) - 2\alpha) + \exp(-i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) + 2\alpha) \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

corresponding Lagrangian also includes the external exponent

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E,A}(\lambda) = & -\frac{\left(\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} - e^\varphi \right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} e^\varphi} - N\alpha_S \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2}} \\ & \times \left(\varphi'^2 - \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} \left(\frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) + \frac{\Delta B^\Delta \mu}{2N\alpha_S \cosh^{2\Delta} \left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2} \right)} \left[h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau) \right]^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ & \times \left(\cosh(\varepsilon h_L(\tau) - \varepsilon h_R(\tau)) \times \left[\exp(i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) - 2\alpha) + \exp(-i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) + 2\alpha) \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Now we switch to gravitational Schwarzian action with non-Hermitian boundary interaction, which leads to a renormalized effective action

$$S_{int} = \frac{gN}{2^{2\Delta}} \int d\tau \left[\frac{h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau)}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2}\right)} \right]^\Delta \cosh(\varepsilon h_L(\tau) - \varepsilon h_R(\tau)) \times [\exp(i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) - 2\alpha) + \exp(-i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) + 2\alpha)]. \quad (86)$$

And gravitational Lagrangian is given as

$$L_{E,A}(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} - e^\varphi\right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} e^\varphi} - \bar{\phi}_r \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2}} \times \left(\varphi'^2 - \tanh' \frac{h_A(\tau)}{2} \left(\frac{i\varepsilon \tilde{K}}{\bar{\phi}_r} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'_A(\tau)) + \frac{gN\Delta}{2^{2\Delta} \bar{\phi}_r \cosh^{2\Delta}\left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2}\right)} [h'_L(\tau) h'_R(\tau)]^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \times (\cosh(\varepsilon h_L(\tau) - \varepsilon h_R(\tau)) \times [\exp(i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) - 2\alpha) + \exp(-i(\varphi_L - \varphi_R) + 2\alpha)]). \quad (87)$$

The deformation is relevant to mathematical formalisms h and h' , but $T\bar{T}$ deformation does not affect its physical coefficients C , $T\bar{T}$ deformation on effective action would not break holography duality

$$\bar{\phi}_r = N\alpha_S, \quad \frac{\mu B^\Delta}{2} = \frac{gN}{2^{2\Delta}}. \quad (88)$$

In $T\bar{T}$ deformed Lagrangian (85), the $SL(2)$ symmetry has been broken. However, the reparametrization symmetric $U(1)$ relation between h' and φ is preserved.

We can also simplify the total action with symmetry $h_L = h_R = h(\tau)$ and $\varphi_L = \varphi_R - 2i\alpha = \varphi(\tau)$

$$L_E(\lambda) = -\frac{\left(\tanh' \frac{h(\tau)}{2} - e^\varphi\right)^2}{8\lambda \tanh' \frac{h(\tau)}{2} e^\varphi} - N\alpha_S \frac{e^\varphi}{\tanh' \frac{h(\tau)}{2}} \times \left(\varphi'^2 - \tanh' \frac{h(\tau)}{2} \left(\frac{i\varepsilon K}{\alpha_S} (\varphi'(\tau) + i\varepsilon h'(\tau)) + \frac{\Delta\mu}{2^{2\Delta-1} \alpha_S \cosh^{2\Delta}\left(\frac{h_L(\tau) - h_R(\tau)}{2}\right)} [h'(\tau)]^{2\Delta-1} \right) \right), \quad (89)$$

where we have introduced the approximation $B^\Delta = N$. The first term in the Lagrangian emerges from multiplier and denotes the flow equations, while the second term enforces the physical behaviors back to Hermitian undeformed theory as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Since the coefficient C with N dependence has no impact on first term with deformation λ , the $T\bar{T}$ deformation in low energy limit vanishes when N is sufficiently large. We also note that the particle action in non-relativistic limit should return to the undeformed form

$$\frac{S}{N} = \int d\tau \left[\varphi'^2 - e^{2\varphi} + \mu e^{\varphi/2} \right], \quad (90)$$

with constraint $\varphi = \log h'$.

VII. Conclusion

In this work, we have investigated a $T\bar{T}$ deformation on both Hermitian and non-Hermitian two coupled SYK model.

We elaborated the coupled SYK on deformed entanglement property with thermal field double and possible overlap between ground state and TFD, and it is not broken under $T\bar{T}$ deformation. In thermal limit, additional correlations were established as wormhole. We found that the deformation of large N theory could be reparametrized and shows the same result as a shift of the model coupling constants J , μ and κ , as shown in Figs. 1,2 and 3. The Hawking-Page-like phase transition shifts towards gapless black hole and requires less coupling for traversable wormhole. The non-Hermiticity only appears in interaction terms with μ , and breaks the symmetry between left and right models. It also leads to an increasing effect on free energy in wormhole phase. The free energy under deformation also undergoes a shift in the black hole phase entropy. $T\bar{T}$ deformation on phase structure is not influenced by non-Hermiticity. Additionally, we investigate the Lorentzian-time Green's function in critical points between black hole and wormhole phase. The wormhole solutions represented by real time Green's function gradually transforms into black hole as the deformation parameter increases.

We have considered $NAdS_2$ spacetime with both Hermitian and non-Hermitian interaction operators, which only act on boundaries. And non-Hermitian effects break the symmetry of entanglement wormhole between left and right copies. These time-dependent boundary interactions have no dependence on finite-radial spacetime, and the form of corresponding $T\bar{T}$ flows in spacetime will not change. Energy-momentum flow and its trigger, $T\bar{T}$ operator on cutoff radial sphere should be in the same form as $T\bar{T}$ deformation. In low energy limit, we obtain the deformed effective Schwarzian form for both dilaton geometry on gravity side and correlation function in quantum model and consider its non-relativistic limit.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sizheng Cao and Song He for valuable suggestions. This work is supported by NSFC China(Grants No.12275166, No.11805117 and No.11875184)

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, and D. Stanford, "Remarks on the sachdev-ye-kitaev model" Physical Review D 94.10 (2016): 106002.

- [2] S. Sachdev and J.W. Ye, “apless spin-fluid ground state in a random quantum Heisenberg magnet”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 3339342 (1993).
- [3] S. Sachdev, “ekenstein-hawking entropy and strange metals”, *Phys. Rev. X* 5, 041025 (2015).
- [4] A. Kitaev, “simple model of quantum holography”, (2015), KITP Strings Seminar and Entanglement Program.
- [5] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two-dimensional nearly anti-de Sitter space” *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* 2016.12 (2016): 12C104.
- [6] J. Maldacena, and X.L. Qi, “Eternal traversable wormhole”, arXiv:1804.00491 (2018).
- [7] C. M. Bender ”Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.” *Reports on Progress in Physics* 70.6 (2007): 947.
- [8] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher. ”Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having P T symmetry.” *Physical review letters* 80.24 (1998): 5243.
- [9] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, H. F. Jones, P. N. Meisinger,. ”Double-scaling limit of a broken symmetry quantum field theory in dimensions $D \geq 2$.” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 42.5 (2001): 1960-1973.
- [10] C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, H. F. Jones, P. N. Meisinger, M. S . Simsek ”Bound states of non-Hermitian quantum field theories.” *Physics Letters A* 291.4-5 (2001): 197-202
- [11] A.M. García-García, and V. Godet. ”Euclidean wormhole in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model.” *Physical Review D* 103.4 (2021): 046014.
- [12] W. Cai, S. Cao, X.H. Ge, M. Matsumoto and S. Sin, “Non-Hermitian quantum system generated from two coupled Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models” *Physical Review D* 106.10 (2022): 106010.
- [13] S, He, and Z.Y. Xian, “ $T\bar{T}$ deformation on multiquantum mechanics and regenesis”, *Physical Review D* 106.4 (2022): 046002.
- [14] S. He, P. Hang, C. Lau, Z.Y. Xian, L. Zhao, “Quantum chaos, scrambling and operator growth in $T\bar{T}$ deformed SYK models”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2022.12 (2022): 1-32.
- [15] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, “On space of integrable quantum field theories”, *Nucl. Phys. B* 915 (2017) 36383 [1608.05499].

- [16] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Expectation value of composite field $T\bar{T}$ in two-dimensional quantum field theory”, arXiv preprint hep-th/0401146 (2004).
- [17] A. Cavagli, Stefano Negro, Istv’an M. Sz’ecs’enyi and Roberto Tateo, “ $T\bar{T}$ -deformed 2D quantum field theories”, Journal of High Energy Physics 2016.10 (2016): 1-28.
- [18] D. J. Gross, J. Kruthoff, A. Rolph, E. Shaghoulian, “ $T\bar{T}$ in AdS_2 and quantum mechanics”, Physical Review D 101.2 (2020): 026011.
- [19] D. J. Gross, J. Kruthoff, A. Rolph, E. Shaghoulian, “Hamiltonian deformations in quantum mechanics, $T\bar{T}$ and SYK” arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.06132 (2019).
- [20] L. Castillejo, R. H. Dalitz and F. J. Dyson, “Low scattering equation for the charged and neutral scalar theories”, Phys. Rev. 101 (1956) 453-458.
- [21] S. Dubovsky, R. Flauger and V. Gorbenko, “Solving the Simplest Theory of Quantum Gravity”, JHEP 09 (2012) 133 [1205.6805]
- [22] R. Conti, L. Iannella, S. Negro and R. Tateo, “Generalised Born-Infeld models, Lax operators and the $T\bar{T}$ perturbation”, JHEP 11 (2018) 007 [1806.11515].
- [23] R. Conti, S. Negro and R. Tateo, “The $T\bar{T}$ perturbation and its geometric interpretation”, JHEP 02 (2019) 085 [1809.09593].
- [24] G. Hernandez-Chifflet, S. Negro and A. Sfondrini, “Flow Equations for Generalized $T\bar{T}$ Deformations”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020), no. 20 200601 [1911.12233].
- [25] O. Aharony, S. Datta, A. Giveon, Y. Jiang and D. Kutasov, “Modular invariance and uniqueness of $T\bar{T}$ deformed CFT”, JHEP 01 (2019) 086 [1808.02492].
- [26] L. Susskind, “Entanglement and chaos in de Sitter holography: an SYK example”, arxiv preprint arXiv:2109.14104 (2021).
- [27] G. Bonelli, N. Doroud, and M. Zhu, “ $T\bar{T}$ -deformations in closed form”, Journal of High Energy Physics 2018.6 (2018): 1-17.
- [28] O. Aharony, and Z. Komargodski, “The effective theory of long strings”, Journal of High Energy Physics 2013.5 (2013): 1-26.
- [29] M. Taylor, “TT deformations in general dimensions”, arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.10287 (2018).
- [30] R. Conti, S. Negro and R. Tateo, “Conserved currents and $T\bar{T}$ s irrelevant deformations of 2D integrable field theories”, JHEP 11 (2019) 120 [1904.09141].

- [31] A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Expectation value of composite field T anti- T in two-dimensional quantum field theory”, hep-th/0401146.
- [32] L. McGough, M. Mezei and H. Verlinde, “Moving the CFT into the bulk with $T\bar{T}$ ”, JHEP 04 (2018) 010 [1611.03470].
- [33] T. Hartman, J. Kruthoff, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, “Holography at finite cutoff with a T^2 deformation”, JHEP 03 (2019) 004 [1807.11401].
- [34] P. Caputa, S. Datta and V. Shyam, “Sphere partition functions & cut-off AdS”, JHEP 05 (2019) 112 [1902.10893].
- [35] V. Shyam, “Background independent holographic dual to $T\bar{T}$ deformed CFT with large central charge in 2 dimensions”, JHEP 10 (2017) 108 [1707.08118].
- [36] S. Dubovsky, V. Gorbenko and G. Hernandez-Chifflet, “ $T\bar{T}$ partition function from topological gravity”, JHEP 09 (2018) 158 [1805.07386].
- [37] D. Das, S. Sridip, and A. Sarkar, “Wormholes and half wormholes under irrelevant deformation”, Physical Review D 106.6 (2022): 066014.
- [38] S. Sahoo, E. Lantagne-Hurtubise, S. Plugge, M. Franz, “Traversable wormhole and Hawking-Page transition in coupled complex SYK models”, Physical Review Research 2.4 (2020): 043049.
- [39] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermiticity versus PT-symmetry III: Equivalence of pseudo-Hermiticity and the presence of antilinear symmetries, J. Math. Phys.(N.Y.)43,3944(2002).
- [40] A. Matzkin, Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics: The case of bound state scattering theory, J. Phys. A 39, 10859 (2006).
- [41] A. S. Matsoukas-Roubeas, F. Roccati, J. Cornelius, Z. Xu, A. Chenu and A. del Campo, “Non-Hermitian Hamiltonian deformations in quantum mechanics”, Journal of High Energy Physics 2023.1 (2023): 1-31.
- [42] J. Cornelius, Z. Xu, A. Saxena, A. Chenu and A. del Campo. “Spectral filtering induced by non-hermitian evolution with balanced gain and loss: Enhancing quantum chaos”, Physical Review Letters 128.19 (2022): 190402.
- [43] T. Nosaka, and T. Numasawa, “Chaos exponents of SYK traversable wormholes”, Journal of High Energy Physics 2021.2 (2021): 1-44
- [44] S. Cao, Y.C. Rui, and X.H. Ge, “Thermodynamic phase structure of complex Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and charged black hole in deformed JT gravity”, arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.16270 (2021).

- [45] S. Plugge, E. Lantagne-Hurtubise, and M. Franz, “Revival dynamics in a traversable wormhole”, *Physical review letters* 124.22 (2020): 221601.
- [46] P. Kakashvili and C. J. Bolech, ”Time-loop formalism for irreversible quantum problems: Steady-state transport in junctions with asymmetric dynamics.” *Physical Review B* 78.3 (2008): 033103.
- [47] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS2 backreaction and holography”, *JHEP* 11 (2015) 014.
- [48] R. Jackiw, “Lower Dimensional Gravity”, *Nucl. Phys.* B252 (1985) 34356.
- [49] C. Teitelboim, “Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions”, *Phys. Lett.* B126 (1983) 415.
- [50] J. Maldacena, and D. Stanford. and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space”, *PTEP* 2016 no. 12, (2016) 12C104.
- [51] T. Hartman, J. Kruthoff, E. Shaghoulian, A.Tajdini, “Holography at finite cutoff with a T2 deformation”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2019.3 (2019): 1-29.
- [52] C. De Rham, and A. Matas, “Ostrogradsky in theories with multiple fields”, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* 2016.06 (2016): 041.
- [53] W. Cai, X.H. Ge, and G.H. Yang, “Diffusion in higher dimensional SYK model with complex fermions”, *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2018.1 (2018).
- [54] R. A. Davison, W. Fu, A. Georges, Y. Gu, K. Jensen and S. Sachdev, “Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography”, *Physical Review B* 95.15 (2017): 155131.