

Indefinite causal order for quantum phase estimation with Pauli noise

François CHAPEAU-BLONDEAU,

Laboratoire Angevin de Recherche en Ingénierie des Systèmes (LARIS),
Université d'Angers, 62 avenue Notre Dame du Lac, 49000 Angers, France.

December 6, 2023

Abstract

This letter further explores the recent scheme of switched quantum channels with indefinite causal order applied to the reference metrological task of quantum phase estimation in the presence of noise. We especially extend the explorations, previously reported with depolarizing noise and thermal noise, to the class of Pauli noises, important to the qubit and not previously addressed. Nonstandard capabilities, not accessible with standard quantum phase estimation, are exhibited and analyzed, with significant properties that are specific to the Pauli noises, while other properties are found in common with the depolarizing noise or the thermal noise. The results show that the presence and the type of quantum noise are both crucial to the determination of the non-standard capabilities from the switched channel with indefinite causal order, with a constructive action of noise reminiscent of stochastic resonance phenomena. The study contributes to a more comprehensive and systematic characterization of the roles and specificities of quantum noise in the operation of the novel devices of switched quantum channels with indefinite causal order.

1 Introduction

A quantum signal placed in a coherent superposition can be used to switch between two possible causal orders for cascading two quantum processes or channels. This realizes a switched composite channel presenting simultaneously the two possible causal orders of the two cascaded quantum processes, or exhibiting indefinite causal order. The scheme is illustrated in Fig. 1. Such quantum superposition with indefinite causal order of quantum channels, recently introduced in [1, 2] and experimentally explored in [3, 4, 5], in addition to the fundamental issues it raises concerning the nature of physical causality, is progressively recognized for its potential to quantum information processing. The scheme has first been shown exploitable to enhance the information capacity of noisy quantum channels [6, 7], and more recently for enhanced performance in noisy quantum metrology [8, 9]. For further exploration in quantum metrology, here we investigate the reference task of quantum phase estimation in the presence of noise, when the quantum process upon which the phase is to be estimated, is duplicated and inserted in a switched quantum channel with indefinite causal order under the control of a qubit signal. Several nonstandard properties relevant to estimation arise, that are not accessible with standard quantum phase estimation. Such properties were first reported in [8, 9] with some specific qubit noise models, namely depolarizing noise in [8] and thermal noise in [9]. Here,

Preprint of a paper published by *Fluctuation and Noise Letters*, vol. 22, 2350036, pp. 1–9 (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219477523500360>

we extend the exploration and analysis to other noise models important to the qubit, under the form of Pauli noises, not explored in [8, 9]. The present report discloses new results not contained in [8, 9], and it shows the importance of the noise, its level and properties, for obtaining coherent superposition of causal orders and their non-standard capabilities relevant to phase estimation, with significant properties specific to the Pauli noises.

We consider the generic metrological scenario [10] where a probe qubit with density operator $\rho = (\mathbb{I}_2 + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})/2$ in standard Bloch representation [11], is transformed by the unitary operator $U_\xi = \exp(-i\xi\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}/2)$, and subsequently affected by a quantum noise $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$, so as to implement the overall quantum process or channel $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho) = \mathcal{N}(U_\xi\rho U_\xi^\dagger)$. The noisy probe qubit in state $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ is to be used to estimate the phase ξ of the unitary U_ξ .

Standard phase estimation [10] from the noisy probe state $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$, for good efficiency, relies on specific properties. (i) The input probe ρ must be a pure state with a Bloch vector of unit norm $\|\vec{r}\| = 1$, and with in \mathbb{R}^3 an orientation \vec{r} orthogonal to the unit vector \vec{n} characterizing the unitary U_ξ . (ii) The output probe qubit must be measured in directions matched to both the orientations of the unitary \vec{n} and of the output density operator $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ (which is typically dependent on the unknown phase ξ). (iii) The estimation efficiency usually degrades monotonically as the level of the quantum noise $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ increases. By contrast, nonstandard estimation when the process $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot)$ is inserted in a switched quantum channel with indefinite causal order, is not limited in the same way by these properties, as we are going to see.

2 Switched channel with indefinite causal order

In the switched quantum channel of Fig. 1, we consider the situation where each quantum process (1) and (2) is the process $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho) = \mathcal{N}(U_\xi\rho U_\xi^\dagger)$ realized by the same unitary U_ξ affected by an independent realization of the noise $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$. One copy of $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot)$ for process (1) and one copy of $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot)$ for process (2) are assembled to form the switched quantum channel of Fig. 1, under the control of a qubit signal in a state $|\psi_c\rangle$.




Figure 1: Switched quantum channel where, for transmitting the probe qubit ρ , two quantum processes (1) and (2) can be cascaded either in the causal order (1)-(2) (solid path) or in the causal order (2)-(1) (dashed path), according to the state, respectively $|\psi_c\rangle = |0_c\rangle$ or $|\psi_c\rangle = |1_c\rangle$, of a control qubit. When the control qubit is placed in a superposed state $|\psi_c\rangle$ as in Eq. (1), a coherent superposition of the two causal orders (1)-(2) and (2)-(1) is realized and experienced by the probe qubit ρ in its transmission. In the present study, each process (1) and (2) is realized by a copy of the process $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot) = \mathcal{N}(U_\xi \cdot U_\xi^\dagger)$.

With a control signal $|\psi_c\rangle$ in the basis state $|\psi_c\rangle = |0_c\rangle$ or $|\psi_c\rangle = |1_c\rangle$, a single causal order is realized in Fig. 1, with the switched channel implementing on the input probe ρ the standard cascade $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$. Estimation of the phase ξ from the state $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$, although the phase experienced by the probe is amplified to 2ξ and altered twice by the noise process $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$, is in essence no different from standard estimation with the same limiting properties as mentioned in the Introduction.

Novel nonstandard properties arise when the control qubit is placed in a superposed state

$$|\psi_c\rangle = \sqrt{p_c}|0_c\rangle + \sqrt{1-p_c}|1_c\rangle, \quad (1)$$

with a probability $p_c \neq 0, 1$. This realizes in Fig. 1 a coherent superposition of two possible causal orders of the cascaded processes, or an indefinite causal order, experienced by the probe qubit across the noisy processes $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot)$.

With the control density operator $\rho_c = |\psi_c\rangle\langle\psi_c|$, Ref. [8] works out a general characterization of the action of the switched quantum channel of Fig. 1, which is found to realize the bipartite transformation of the probe-control qubit pair described by the superoperator

$$\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c) = \mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho) \otimes [p_c|0_c\rangle\langle 0_c| + (1-p_c)|1_c\rangle\langle 1_c|] + \mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho) \otimes \sqrt{(1-p_c)p_c}(|0_c\rangle\langle 1_c| + |1_c\rangle\langle 0_c|). \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (2), the superoperator $\mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho) \equiv \mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ represents the density operator produced by the standard cascade alone. Meanwhile, $\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho)$ is a superoperator conveying the effect of indefinite causal order in the switched channel of Fig. 1, and acting only at $p_c \neq 0, 1$, as visible from Eq. (2). It is defined [8] as $\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} K_j K_k \rho K_j^\dagger K_k^\dagger$ where the K_j 's are the Kraus operators of the process $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot) = \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U}_\xi \cdot \mathcal{U}_\xi^\dagger)$ determined by the quantum noise $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ and the unitary \mathcal{U}_ξ .

To apply the joint state $\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c)$ of Eq. (2) in a task of quantum phase estimation in the presence of noise, for the noise process $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$, Ref. [8] considered the highly symmetric, isotropic, depolarizing noise model [11], while Ref. [9] studied a less symmetric qubit thermal noise model [12], and Refs. [8, 9] both reported nonstandard properties for phase estimation from the switched quantum channel. Here we extend the exploration to other noise models, not explored in [8, 9], and important to the qubit, consisting in the class of Pauli noises, that for instance includes the bit-flip and the phase-flip noises.

A property with a strong nonstandard character afforded by the switched channel, and on which we concentrate in the sequel, is that phase estimation can be performed by measuring the control qubit alone. The control qubit in the switched channel of Fig. 1 never directly interacts with the unitary \mathcal{U}_ξ under estimation. It is the probe qubit alone that interacts with \mathcal{U}_ξ . Nevertheless, the type of coupling taking place in the switched channel transfers information about the phase ξ to the control qubit. This can be seen from the bipartite state $\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c)$ of Eq. (2), via partial tracing over the probe, when the control qubit alone is to be used for estimation, to give $\rho^{\text{con}} = \text{tr}_{\text{probe}}[\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c)]$. From Eq. (2), this leads to the reduced state of the control qubit

$$\rho^{\text{con}} = p_c|0_c\rangle\langle 0_c| + (1-p_c)|1_c\rangle\langle 1_c| + Q_c(\xi) \sqrt{(1-p_c)p_c}(|0_c\rangle\langle 1_c| + |1_c\rangle\langle 0_c|), \quad (3)$$

with $Q_c(\xi) = \text{tr}[\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho)]$. By contrast, if the probe qubit alone were to be used for estimation, partial tracing over the control in Eq. (2) would give for the probe the reduced state $\text{tr}_{\text{control}}[\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c)] = \mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho)$, that is nothing else than a probing of the standard cascade $\mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho) \equiv \mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$. When the control qubit is not exploited at the output, the switched channel reduces to the standard cascade $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$.

Proceeding with the possibility of exploiting the control qubit alone for phase estimation, to explicitly evaluate the factor $Q_c(\xi) = \text{tr}[\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho)]$ for the control signal of Eq. (3), we specify, as announced, to the Pauli noises for $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$, implementing on a generic state ρ the nonunitary transformation

$$\rho \mapsto \mathcal{N}(\rho) = (1-p)\rho + p\sigma_\ell\rho\sigma_\ell^\dagger. \quad (4)$$

The subscript $\ell = x, y, z$ identifies one of the three Pauli operators σ_ℓ . In this way, the quantum noise in Eq. (4) applies with a probability p the Pauli operator σ_ℓ to alter the qubit state. When $\ell = x$, the noise applies σ_x and is a bit-flip noise; when $\ell = z$, it is a phase-flip noise; and when $\ell = y$, a

bit-phase-flip noise [11]. This determines the two Kraus operators $K_1 = \sqrt{1-p}U_\xi$ and $K_2 = \sqrt{p}\sigma_\ell U_\xi$ for $\mathcal{E}_\xi(\cdot)$ and then yields

$$Q_c(\xi) = 1 - 2(1 - n_\ell^2)(1 - p)p[1 - \cos(\xi)] , \quad (5)$$

where n_ℓ is the component of the unitary axis \vec{n} in the direction ℓ of \mathbb{R}^3 selected by the Pauli noise. Via $Q_c(\xi)$ we obtain an explicit dependence on the phase ξ of the reduced state ρ^{con} of the control qubit, which can then be measured for estimating ξ .

To evaluate the performance, a meaningful and standard criterion is the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ contained in the state ρ^{con} about the phase ξ , which quantifies the overall best estimation efficiency [13, 10]. It is obtained as

$$F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi) = 4(1 - p_c)p_c \frac{[\partial_\xi Q_c(\xi)]^2}{1 - Q_c^2(\xi)} . \quad (6)$$

It then follows that $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ in Eq. (6) is maximized at $p_c = 1/2$, when the two causal orders superposed in Fig. 1 have equal weight, to give the maximum

$$F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi) = \frac{[2(1 - n_\ell^2)(1 - p)p \sin(\xi)]^2}{1 - \{1 - 2(1 - n_\ell^2)(1 - p)p[1 - \cos(\xi)]\}^2} . \quad (7)$$

Another remarkable property, not always granted with standard phase estimation [10], is that there exists a fixed, phase-independent, optimal measurement of the control qubit, by means of a projective von Neumann measurement in the Hadamard orthonormal basis $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$. The two possible measurement outcomes have probabilities

$$P_\pm^{\text{con}} = \langle \pm | \rho^{\text{con}} | \pm \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{(1 - p_c)p_c} Q_c(\xi) , \quad (8)$$

associated with the classical Fisher information

$$F_c^{\text{con}}(\xi) = \frac{(\partial_\xi P_+^{\text{con}})^2}{(1 - P_+^{\text{con}})P_+^{\text{con}}} , \quad (9)$$

which at the optimum $p_c = 1/2$ gets maximized at the level of $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ of Eq. (7), establishing in this way the optimality of the measurement.

For illustration of typical nonstandard properties that can be obtained for estimation from the switched channel of Fig. 1, we consider a unitary U_ξ with axis $\vec{n} = [0, 1, 0]^\top = \vec{e}_y$ and phase $\xi = \pi/5$. The input probe is chosen with a Bloch vector \vec{r} in the plane (Ox, Oz) orthogonal to the unitary axis \vec{n} in \mathbb{R}^3 , which stands as a necessary condition of optimality for the phase estimation [10]. We take (illustratively) $\vec{r} = [0, 0, r]^\top = r\vec{e}_z$. The Pauli quantum noise $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ in Eq. (4) is taken as a bit-flip noise $\ell = x$. A phase-flip noise with $\ell = z$ would lead in Eq. (7) to a same efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ for estimating the phase ξ , with a same $n_\ell = 0$. Figure 2 shows the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ from Eq. (7) accessible by measuring the control qubit of the switched channel of Fig. 1, along with a comparison with the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ for the standard cascade $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ as deduced from [10].

3 Discussion

We emphasize that for the standard cascade compared in Fig. 2, there may not be [10] a phase-independent measurement to reach the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$, while this is always




Figure 2: As a function of the probability p quantifying the level of the Pauli noise in Eq. (4), the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ from Eq. (7) (solid line) accessible by measuring the control qubit of the switched channel of Fig. 1. The 5 curves in dashed lines show the quantum Fisher information $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ for the standard cascade $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ with an input probe signal with (in decreasing order from the top to the bottom curve) $\|\vec{r}\| = r = 1, 0.8, 0.6, 0.4$ and $r = 0.2$.

achieved for $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ by measuring the control qubit in the fixed basis $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$. A significant non-standard property for estimation illustrated in Fig. 2, is that the efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ in Eq. (7) of the control qubit, is unaffected by the input probe \vec{r} , and the same efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ is preserved as the input probe degrades or depolarizes as $\|\vec{r}\| \rightarrow 0$ or tends to align with the unitary axis \vec{n} . In such conditions, estimation from the standard cascade $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$ has an efficiency $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ following from [10] that diminishes and goes to zero, as visible in Fig. 2. Also in Fig. 2, at small noise level p and with a pure input probe $\|\vec{r}\| \rightarrow 1$, the standard cascade displays an efficiency $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ superior to that of the control qubit $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$, yet with $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ that monotonically degrades as the noise level p increases. By contrast, the efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ of the control qubit experiences a nonmonotonic evolution as the noise level p increases, culminating at a maximum for an intermediate noise level. The quantum noise is not univocally detrimental, as it serves, in the switched channel, to couple the control qubit to the phase ξ . With no noise at $p = 0$, the two superposed processes in Fig. 1 are two identical indistinguishable unitaries U_ξ , and the switched channel with $\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho) = \mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho)$ reduces to the standard cascade $\mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$, governed by $\mathcal{S}(\rho \otimes \rho_c) = \mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho) \otimes \rho_c$ in Eq. (2), and no coupling of the control qubit to the phase ξ occurs. The noise is necessary to implement a superposition of two distinct causal orders in Fig. 1, inducing a coupling expressed by Eq. (2) of the control qubit to the unitary U_ξ and its phase ξ . As a result, at large noise level p in Fig. 2, the control qubit of the switched channel has an efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ always larger than $F_q^{\text{cas}}(\xi; r)$ of the standard cascade. A maximum efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ of the control qubit is obtained at the intermediate noise level $p = 1/2$ in Fig. 2. At the other extreme, when the noise level $p \rightarrow 1$, the two superposed processes in Fig. 1 again tend to two identical indistinguishable unitaries $\sigma_t U_\xi$, and no coherent superposition of two distinct causal orders occurs; no coupling of the control qubit with the unitary U_ξ takes place in Eqs. (3) and (5), and a vanishing efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ follows in Eq. (7). This type of constructive nonmonotonic action from the noise is reminiscent of a phenomenon of stochastic resonance or noise-enhanced processing, which has been reported and analyzed under many forms in the classical domain [14, 15, 16, 17], and more recently in the quantum domain [18, 19, 20]. Here, in the quantum domain, in a comparable way, the switched channel benefits from a constructive implication of quantum noise or decoherence,

which becomes favorable to the estimation efficiency, as also observed in other situations in [20, 21].

We observe that the limiting properties (i)–(iii) of standard phase estimation mentioned in the Introduction, do not affect in the same way estimation from the switched channel, that often offers distinctive complementary capabilities. The results here extend to Pauli noises the demonstration of nonstandard capabilities useful to phase estimation by exploiting the control qubit of the switched channel, with also specific properties not present with the other noise models previously examined in this context [8, 9]. By comparison, the isotropic depolarizing noise in [8], with the highest symmetry, leads to an efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ of the control qubit for phase estimation, that is independent of both the input probe \vec{r} and of the axis \vec{n} of the unitary. With the thermal noise in [9], the efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ does depend on the input probe \vec{r} , but is independent of the unitary axis \vec{n} . Conversely with Pauli noises here, we find that the efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ is independent of the input probe \vec{r} , but it depends on the unitary axis \vec{n} via its component n_ℓ in the direction ℓ selected by the Pauli noise, as shown by Eq. (7). In particular, configurations with $n_\ell = \pm 1$ identify a unitary axis \vec{n} aligned with the direction ℓ set by the Pauli noise, and this cancels the dependence with the phase ξ of the coupling $Q_c(\xi)$ in Eq. (5) and thus sets to zero the Fisher information $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ in Eq. (7). In such configurations with $n_\ell = \pm 1$, the unitary operator reduces to $U_\xi = \exp(\pm i\xi\sigma_\ell/2)$ and comes to commute with the Kraus operator $\sqrt{p}\sigma_\ell$ of the Pauli noise. The Kraus operators $\{K_1 = \sqrt{1-p}U_\xi, K_2 = \sqrt{p}\sigma_\ell U_\xi\}$ defining each superposed process (1) and (2) in Fig. 1 then all commute. With commuting Kraus operators, the superoperator $\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} K_j K_k \rho K_j^\dagger K_k^\dagger$ intervening in Eq. (2) to determine the superposition of causal orders in the switched channel, comes to coincide with $\mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho)$. As we have seen, when $\mathcal{S}_{01}(\rho) = \mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho)$ the switched channel is no different from the standard cascade $\mathcal{S}_{00}(\rho) \equiv \mathcal{E}_\xi \circ \mathcal{E}_\xi(\rho)$, no superposition of two distinct causal orders takes place in Fig. 1, and no coupling of the control qubit to the unitary U_ξ occurs. The importance of non-commuting Kraus operators for obtaining coherent superposition of causal orders was recognized early in [3, 6]. By contrast, with the depolarizing noise of [8] and the thermal noise of [9], no configurations exist where the Kraus operators all commute, which makes a notable difference for the switched channel. These behaviors reinforce the notion that the noise, via its Kraus operators, is essential to determine the conditions of coupling of the control qubit to the unitary U_ξ . Consistently, as the noise properties significantly vary, when moving from the depolarizing noise in [8] and thermal noise in [9] to the Pauli noises here, significant properties of the switched channel are modified, as noted above. Some properties are observed in common with the noise models of [8, 9] and the Pauli noises here, especially that the performance of the control qubit for phase estimation depends nonmonotonically on the level of noise and that a nonzero optimal level of noise maximizes the efficiency. By contrast, other properties like the dependence or independence of the efficiency $F_q^{\text{con}}(\xi)$ on the unitary axis \vec{n} and input probe \vec{r} are specific and change according to the noise model. In this respect, the present study contributes to a more comprehensive and systematic characterization of the roles and specificities of quantum noise in the operation of the novel devices of switched quantum channels with indefinite causal order.

In the switched channel, from a control qubit that does not directly interact with the unitary U_ξ , estimation of the phase ξ can be achieved, even with an input probe fully depolarized when $\|\vec{r}\| = 0$ or aligned with the unitary axis when $\vec{r} \parallel \vec{n}$, while this is generally not possible with standard estimation as discussed in the Introduction. Ancilla qubits that do not actively interact with the process under estimation can also be exploited by standard estimation, but they always need to be jointly measured with the active probing qubits to be of some utility for estimation [13], while here the control qubit can be measured alone for estimation.

Other properties of switched quantum channels with indefinite causal order can be investigated. For instance here the contribution of jointly measuring the probe-control qubit pair at the output can be examined based on Eq. (2), and it will usually combine the more standard properties from the probe qubit with the nonstandard properties contributed by the control qubit. Beyond, many aspects of

quantum superpositions with indefinite causal order remain to be explored, for quantum information processing, quantum technologies and quantum engineering [22].

References

- [1] O. Oreshkov, F. Costa and Č. Brukner, “Quantum correlations with no causal order”, *Nature Communications* **3** (2012) 1092,1–8.
- [2] G. Chiribella, G. M. D’Ariano, P. Perinotti and B. Valiron, “Quantum computations without definite causal structure”, *Physical Review A* **88** (2013) 022318,1–15.
- [3] L. M. Procopio, A. Moqanaki, M. Araújo, F. Costa, I. A. Calafell, E. G. Dowd, D. R. Hamel, L. A. Rozema, Č. Brukner and P. Walther, “Experimental superposition of orders of quantum gates”, *Nature Communications* **6** (2015) 7913,1–6.
- [4] K. Goswami, C. Giarmatzi, M. Kewming, F. Costa, C. Branciard, J. Romero and A. G. White, “Indefinite causal order in a quantum switch”, *Physical Review Letters* **121** (2018) 090503,1–5.
- [5] Y. Guo, X.-M. Hu, Z.-B. Hou, H. Cao, J.-M. Cui, B.-H. Liu, Y.-F. Huang, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo and G. Chiribella, “Experimental transmission of quantum information using a superposition of causal orders”, *Physical Review Letters* **124** (2020) 030502,1–6.
- [6] D. Ebler, S. Salek and G. Chiribella, “Enhanced communication with the assistance of indefinite causal order”, *Physical Review Letters* **120** (2018) 120502,1–5.
- [7] L. M. Procopio, F. Delgado, M. Enríquez, N. Belabas and J. A. Levenson, “Communication enhancement through quantum coherent control of N channels in an indefinite causal-order scenario”, *Entropy* **21** (2019) 1012,1–19.
- [8] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Noisy quantum metrology with the assistance of indefinite causal order”, *Physical Review A* **103** (2021) 032615,1–18.
- [9] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Indefinite causal order for quantum metrology with quantum thermal noise”, *Physics Letters A* **447** (2022) 128300,1–10.
- [10] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Optimizing qubit phase estimation”, *Physical Review A* **94** (2016) 022334,1–14.
- [11] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [12] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Modeling and simulation of a quantum thermal noise on the qubit”, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **21** (2022) 2250060,1–17.
- [13] R. Demkowicz-Dobrzański and L. Maccone, “Using entanglement against noise in quantum metrology”, *Physical Review Letters* **113** (2014) 250801,1–4.
- [14] X. Godivier and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Noise-enhanced transmission of spike trains in the neuron”, *Europhysics Letters* **35** (1996) 473–477.
- [15] L. B. Kish, G. P. Harmer and D. Abbott, “Information transfer rate of neurons: Stochastic resonance of Shannon’s information channel capacity”, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **1** (2001) L13–L19.

- [16] F. Chapeau-Blondeau and D. Rousseau, “Noise improvements in stochastic resonance: From signal amplification to optimal detection”, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **2** (2002) L221–L233.
- [17] M. D. McDonnell, N. G. Stocks, C. E. M. Pearce and D. Abbott, *Stochastic Resonance: From Suprathreshold Stochastic Resonance to Stochastic Signal Quantization* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- [18] G. Bowen and S. Mancini, “Stochastic resonance effects in quantum channels”, *Physics Letters A* **352** (2006) 272–275.
- [19] M. M. Wilde and B. Kosko, “Quantum forbidden-interval theorems for stochastic resonance”, *Journal of Physics A* **42** (2009) 465309,1–23.
- [20] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Qubit state estimation and enhancement by quantum thermal noise”, *Electronics Letters* **51** (2015) 1673–1675.
- [21] N. Gillard, E. Belin and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, “Stochastic resonance with unital quantum noise”, *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* **18** (2019) 1950015,1–15.
- [22] X. Nie, X. Zhu, K. Huang, K. Tang, X. Long, Z. Lin, Y. Tian, C. Qiu, C. Xi, X. Yang, J. Li, Y. Dong, T. Xin and D. Lu, “Experimental realization of a quantum refrigerator driven by indefinite causal orders”, *Physical Review Letters* **129** (2022) 100603,1–6.