

# SOME NEW RESULTS ON PSEUDO $n$ -STRONG DRAZIN INVERSES IN RINGS

JIAN CUI, PETER DANCHEV, AND YUEDI ZENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a further study in-depth of the pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverses in an associative unital ring  $R$ . The characterizations of elements  $a, b \in R$  for which  $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$  are provided, and some new equivalent conditions on pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverses are obtained. In particular, we show that an element  $a \in R$  is pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin invertible if, and only if,  $a$  is  $p$ -Drazin invertible and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  if, and only if, there exists  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $ae \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $1 - (a+e)^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . We also consider pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverses with involution, and discuss the extended versions of Cline's formula and Jacobson's lemma of this new class of generalized inverses. Likewise, we define and explore the so-called *pseudo  $\pi$ -polar* rings and demonstrate their relationships with periodic rings and strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings, respectively.

## 1. Introduction and Motivation

Let  $R$  be an arbitrary associative ring with unity. The symbols  $U(R)$ ,  $J(R)$  and  $R^{\text{nil}}$  stand, respectively, for the set of all invertible elements, the Jacobson radical and the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ . We use  $M_n(R)$  to denote the  $n \times n$  matrix ring over  $R$ . For any  $a \in R$ , the commutant and double commutant of  $a$  are defined by  $\text{comm}(a) = \{x \in R : ax = xa\}$  and  $\text{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in R : xy = yx, \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(a)\}$ , respectively.

Recall that an element  $a \in R$  is said to be *Drazin invertible* if there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$xax = x, \quad x \in \text{comm}^2(a), \quad a - a^2x \in R^{\text{nil}}. \quad (*)$$

Herein, the element  $x$  is called a *Drazin inverse* of  $a$ , which is unique if it exists. It is well known that the condition " $x \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ " in  $(*)$  can be replaced with " $x \in \text{comm}(a)$ ". The notion of Drazin inverses was initially introduced by Drazin [10] in 1958, which plays important roles in matrix theory, Markov chains, partial differential equations, etc. (see [2, 5, 21]). Moreover, Drazin inverses are closely related to the well-known strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings in ring theory. In fact, a ring  $R$  is *strongly  $\pi$ -regular* (see [23]) if, for each  $a \in R$ , the relation  $a^n \in a^{n+1}R \cap Ra^{n+1}$  holds for some

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16U50; 16W10.

*Key words and phrases.* Drazin inverse; pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverse; pseudo Drazin inverse; *pns*-\*-Drazin inverse.

positive integer  $n$  depending on  $a$  (which ring is also called *polar* in the existing literature). It is well known that a ring  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular precisely when every element of  $R$  is Drazin invertible.

For a ring  $R$ , let us denote  $\sqrt{J(R)} = \{a \in R : a^k \in J(R) \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}$ . It is easy to see that both  $R^{\text{nil}}$  and  $J(R)$  are contained in  $\sqrt{J(R)}$ .

In 2012, Wang and Chen [24] introduced the notion of the pseudo Drazin inverse in rings. Indeed, an element  $x \in R$  is called *pseudo Drazin invertible* (or, for short, *p-Drazin invertible*) if there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$xax = x, x \in \text{comm}^2(a), a - a^2x \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

Any element  $x \in R$  satisfying the above conditions is also called a *pseudo Drazin inverse* (or, shortly, *p-Drazin inverse*) of  $a$ ; notice that the pseudo Drazin inverse is unique once it exists. Clearly, Drazin invertible elements are *p-Drazin invertible*. According to [24],  $a \in R$  is *p-Drazin invertible* exactly when  $a$  is a pseudo-polar element (i.e., there exists  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a+p \in U(R)$  and  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ ). For more interesting properties of *p-Drazin inverses*, one may refer to [11, 19, 25, 26] and the reference therewith.

In 2021, Mosić [20] introduced the concept of the pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverse which generalizes the concept of *p-Drazin inverses*. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An element  $a \in R$  is called *pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin invertible* (or, briefly, *pns-Drazin invertible*) if there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$xax = x, x \in \text{comm}^2(a), a^n - ax \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

where  $x$  is a *pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverse* (or, for short, *pns-Drazin inverse*) of  $a$ , which is unique when it exists, and denoted by  $a^{\textcircled{D}}$ . It was shown that any *pns-Drazin invertible* element is *p-Drazin invertible* (see, for instance, [20]).

Motivated by all of the mentioned above information, we continue in the present paper the investigation of *pns-Drazin inverses* in arbitrary (associative) rings. To that goal, our work is organized thus: in Section 2, the elements  $a, b \in R$  for which  $aa^{\textcircled{D}} = bb^{\textcircled{D}}$  are studied, and some equivalent characterizations are obtained. In particular, it is established that  $a \in R$  is *pns-Drazin invertible* if, and only if,  $a$  is *p-Drazin invertible* and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  if, and only if, there exists  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $1 - (a+p)^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Further, Section 3 is devoted to the exploration of *pns-Drazin inverses* with involution. Recall that a ring  $R$  is a *\*-ring* (see, e.g., [3]) if there exists a map  $*$  :  $R \rightarrow R$  such that

$$(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*, (xy)^* = y^*x^*, (x^*)^* = x$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . For a *\*-ring*  $R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we shall say that the element  $a \in R$  is *pns-\*-Drazin invertible* if  $a$  is *pns-Drazin invertible* and  $(aa^{\textcircled{D}})^* = aa^{\textcircled{D}}$ . On this vein, some properties of *pns-\*-Drazin inverses*

are discussed too. It is also proved that, for a  $*$ -ring  $R$  and elements  $a, b, c \in R$ , if  $aba = ba^2 = a^2c = aca$ , then  $ac$  (resp.,  $1 - ac$ ) is  $pns$ -\*Drazin invertible if, and only if, so is  $ba$  (resp.,  $1 - ba$ ) and, moreover, the related formulae are provided. In our final Section 4, we deal with some characterizing theorems pertaining to pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings, that are those rings whose elements are  $pns$ -Drazin invertible for various positive integers  $n$ , examining their basic properties and transversal with the classical sorts of periodic and strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings by showing that any pseudo  $\pi$ -polar ring  $R$  with  $\text{nil } J(R)$  is periodic and vice versa. Some other closely related assertions are proved as well.

## 2. $pns$ -Drazin inverses

The following easy lemma will be used freely in the context.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a, b \in R$  and  $ab = ba$ .*

- (1) *If  $a \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , then  $ab \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*
- (2) *If  $a, b \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , then  $a + b \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*
- (3) *If  $a \in \sqrt{J(R)}, b \in U(R)$ , then  $a + b \in U(R)$ .*
- (4)  *$a \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  if, and only if,  $au \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  for any  $u \in U(R) \cap \text{comm}(a)$  if, and only if,  $a^k \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  for any integer  $k > 1$ .*

The next lemma appeared as [20, Theorem 3.1].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The element  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible.*
  - (2) *There exists  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*
- In this case,  $e = aa^\circledast$  and  $a^\circledast = (1 + a^n - e)^{-1}a^{n-1}e$ .*

For the sake of convenience, we use the symbol  $R^\circledast$  to denote the set of all  $pns$ -Drazin invertible elements in a ring  $R$ . If  $a \in R^\circledast$ , we write  $a^\Pi = aa^\circledast$ . Then,  $a^\Pi = (a^\Pi)^2$ ,  $a^\circledast = (1 + a^n - a^\Pi)^{-1}a^{n-1}a^\Pi$  and  $a^\circledast a^\Pi = a^\Pi a^\circledast = a^\circledast$ .

As usual, for an element  $a \in R$ , we denote by  $r(a)$  the right annihilator of  $a$  in  $R$ . Our motivating of the following result is originated from [13, Theorem 6.1].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $a \in R^\circledast, b \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$b \in R^\circledast$  and  $a^\Pi = b^\Pi$ .*
- (2)  *$a^\Pi \in \text{comm}^2(b)$  and  $b^n - a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*
- (3)  *$a^\Pi \in \text{comm}^2(b)$ ,  $b(1 - a^\Pi) \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $(1 - b^n)a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*
- (4)  *$b \in R^\circledast, 1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b) \in U(R)$  and  $b^\circledast = (1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b))^{-1}a^\circledast$ .*
- (5)  *$b \in R^\circledast$  and  $b^\circledast - a^\circledast = a^\circledast(a - b)b^\circledast$ .*
- (6)  *$b \in R^\circledast, a^\Pi b^\Pi = b^\Pi a^\Pi$  and  $1 - (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^2 \in U(R)$ .*
- (7)  *$b \in R^\circledast, b^\circledast R \subseteq a^\circledast R$  and  $r(b^\circledast) \subseteq r(a^\circledast)$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). It follows immediately from Lemma 2.2.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). Note that  $ba^\Pi = a^\Pi b$  is implied by  $a^\Pi \in \text{comm}^2(b)$ . Therefore,

$$b^n - a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)} \Leftrightarrow (b^n - a^\Pi)(1 - a^\Pi) \in \sqrt{J(R)}, (b^n - a^\Pi)a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

and

$$(b^n - a^\Pi)(1 - a^\Pi) = b^n(1 - a^\Pi) \in \sqrt{J(R)} \Leftrightarrow b(1 - a^\Pi) \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

Furthermore,  $(b^n - a^\Pi)a^\Pi = (b^n - 1)a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)} \Leftrightarrow (1 - b^n)a^\Pi \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

This proves the equivalence between (2) and (3).

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). By (1),  $a^\Pi = b^\Pi$ . Observe that  $1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b) = (1 - a^\Pi) + a^\circledast b$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} [(1 - a^\Pi) + a^\circledast b][(1 - a^\Pi) + b^\circledast a] &= (1 - a^\Pi) + a^\circledast b(1 - a^\Pi) + (1 - a^\Pi)b^\circledast a + a^\circledast bb^\circledast a \\ &= 1 - a^\Pi + a^\circledast(1 - a^\Pi)b + (1 - b^\Pi)b^\circledast a + a^\circledast b^\Pi a \\ &= 1 - a^\Pi + a^\circledast a^\Pi a = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We can similarly deduce that  $[(1 - a^\Pi) + b^\circledast a][(1 - a^\Pi) + a^\circledast b] = 1$ . So  $1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b) = (1 - a^\Pi) + a^\circledast b \in U(R)$ . It follows that

$$(1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b))b^\circledast = (1 - a^\Pi + a^\circledast b)b^\circledast = (1 - b^\Pi)b^\circledast + a^\circledast bb^\circledast = a^\circledast b^\Pi = a^\circledast a^\Pi = a^\circledast,$$

which implies that  $b^\circledast = (1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b))^{-1}a^\circledast$ .

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5). By (4), we have  $a^\circledast = (1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b))b^\circledast$ . Thus,

$$b^\circledast - a^\circledast = b^\circledast - (1 - a^\Pi(1 - a^\circledast b))b^\circledast = (a^\Pi - a^\circledast b)b^\circledast = a^\circledast(a - b)b^\circledast.$$

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Since  $b^\circledast - a^\circledast = a^\circledast(a - b)b^\circledast$ , one has  $b^\circledast = a^\circledast[1 + (a - b)b^\circledast]$  and  $a^\circledast = [1 - a^\circledast(a - b)]b^\circledast$ . Then,  $(1 - a^\Pi)b^\circledast = (1 - a^\Pi)a^\circledast[1 + (a - b)b^\circledast] = 0$  and  $a^\circledast(1 - b^\Pi) = [1 - a^\circledast(a - b)]b^\circledast(1 - b^\Pi) = 0$ , it follows that  $0 = (1 - a^\Pi)b^\circledast b = (1 - a^\Pi)b^\Pi$  and  $0 = aa^\circledast(1 - b^\Pi) = a^\Pi(1 - b^\Pi)$ . Therefore,  $a^\Pi = a^\Pi b^\Pi = b^\Pi$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (6). It is clear.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). From  $a^\Pi b^\Pi = b^\Pi a^\Pi$ , we have  $(a^\Pi - b^\Pi) = (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^3$ . So  $1 - (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^2$  is an idempotent. By hypothesis,  $1 - (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^2 \in U(R)$ . Thus,  $1 - (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^2 = 1$ , which implies  $(a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^2 = 0$ , and hence  $a^\Pi - b^\Pi = (a^\Pi - b^\Pi)^3 = 0$ . So, the result follows.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (7). Since  $a^\Pi = b^\Pi$ , we obtain  $b^\circledast R = (b^\Pi b^\circledast)R = (a^\Pi b^\circledast)R = (a^\circledast ab^\circledast)R \subseteq a^\circledast R$ . Similarly,  $Ra^\circledast = R(a^\circledast a^\Pi) = R(a^\circledast b^\Pi) = R(a^\circledast b^\Pi b^\circledast) \subseteq Rb^\circledast$ , which forces that  $r(b^\circledast) = r(Rb^\circledast) \subseteq r(Ra^\circledast) = r(a^\circledast)$ .

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). One observes that  $b^\circledast = b^\circledast bb^\circledast$  and  $b^\circledast b = b^\Pi$ . Then,  $b^\circledast R = b^\circledast bR = b^\Pi R$  and  $Rb^\circledast = Rbb^\circledast = Rb^\Pi$ . We can prove similarly that  $a^\circledast R = a^\circledast aR = a^\Pi R$  and  $Ra^\circledast = Raa^\circledast = Ra^\Pi$ . Since  $b^\circledast R \subseteq a^\circledast R$ , we get  $b^\Pi R \subseteq a^\Pi R$ , which gives  $b^\Pi = a^\Pi b^\Pi$ . Note that  $r(b^\circledast) = r(Rb^\circledast) = r(Rb^\Pi) = (1 - b^\Pi)R$  and  $r(a^\circledast) = r(Ra^\circledast) = r(Ra^\Pi) = (1 - a^\Pi)R$ . Since  $r(b^\circledast) \subseteq r(a^\circledast)$ , we have  $(1 - b^\Pi)R \subseteq (1 - a^\Pi)R$ , which yields  $1 - b^\Pi = (1 - a^\Pi)(1 - b^\Pi)$ . Thus,  $a^\Pi = a^\Pi b^\Pi$  and, therefore,  $a^\Pi = b^\Pi$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we derive the following.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $a \in R$ ,  $e^2 = e \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible with  $a^\Pi = e$ .
- (2)  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $a(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $(1 - a^n)e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

*Proof.* Given any  $f^2 = f \in R$ , we can check that  $f \in R^\oplus$  and  $f^\oplus = f$ , whence  $f^\Pi = ff^\oplus = f$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $e^2 = e \in R$ , one sees that  $e \in R^\oplus$  with  $e^\Pi = e$ . So, we have  $a^\Pi = e^\Pi$ . In view of Theorem 2.3,  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $a(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $(1 - a^n)e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). As  $e = e^\Pi$ , it follows at once from Theorem 2.3 that  $a \in R^\oplus$  and  $a^\Pi = e^\Pi = e$ .  $\square$

By virtue of Corollary 2.4, we extract the following assertion.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,*

- (1)  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible with  $a^\Pi = 1$  if, and only if,  $a^n - 1 \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .
- (2)  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible with  $a^\Pi = 0$  if, and only if,  $a \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

Recall again that an element  $a \in R$  is  $p$ -Drazin invertible if there exists  $y \in R$  such that

$$yax = y, y \in \text{comm}^2(a) \text{ and } a - a^2y \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

where  $y$  is called a  $p$ -Drazin inverse of  $a$ .

The following result establishes the relationship between a  $pns$ -Drazin invertible element and a  $p$ -Drazin invertible element in a ring.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) The element  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible.
- (2) There exists a unique  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}(a)$  such that  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .
- (3) There exists a unique  $y \in R$  such that  $ya = ay$ ,  $y = yay$  and  $a^n - ay \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .
- (4)  $a$  is  $p$ -Drazin invertible and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Clearly,  $pns$ -Drazin invertible elements are  $p$ -Drazin invertible. In view of Lemma 2.2, there exists  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . As  $ae = ea$ , we have

$$a^{n+1} - ae = a(a^n - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

and

$$[a(1 - e)]^n = (a^n - e)(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

which implies  $a(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . It now follows that

$$a - a^{n+1} = a(1 - e) - (a^{n+1} - ae) \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

as wanted.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $a$  is  $p$ -Drazin invertible, with [24, Theorem 3.2] at hand there exists  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a + p \in U(R)$  and  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Write  $u = a + p$  and  $e = 1 - p$ . Then,  $ep = pe = 0$  and  $ae = ue = eu = ea$ . Since  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , it must be that

$$(a - a^{n+1})e = (u - u^{n+1})e \in \sqrt{J(R)},$$

which implies that  $(1 - u^n)e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , and so

$$(a^n - e)e = u^n e - e = (u^n - 1)e \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

As  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , we get  $(a^n - e)(1 - e) = (ap)^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Therefore,

$$a^n - e = (a^n - e)e + (a^n - e)(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

To show that the idempotent  $e$  above is unique, we assume that there exists  $f^2 = f \in \text{comm}(a)$  satisfying  $a^n - f \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Set  $a^n - f = b$ . Then,  $a^n f = (f + b)f = (2f - 1 + b)f$ , where  $2f - 1 + b \in R^{-1}$  as  $(2f - 1)^2 = 1$  and  $fb = bf$ . Thus,  $f = (2f - 1 + b)^{-1}a^n f$ . From  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ , one has  $(1 - e)f$  is an idempotent. However,

$$(1 - e)f = (1 - e)[(2f - 1 + b)^{-1}a^n f] = (a^n - e)(1 - e)(2f - 1 + b)^{-1}f \in \sqrt{J(R)}$$

since  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $e, f, a, b$  commute with one another. This proves that  $(1 - e)f = 0$ . Similarly, we can show that  $(1 - f)e = 0$ . Hence,  $e = fe = ef = f$ , as desired.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Assume that (2) holds. Put  $y = (1 + a^n - e)^{-1}a^{n-1}e$ . Then, one inspects that

$$ya = (1 + a^n - e)^{-1}a^n e = (1 + a^n - e)^{-1}(a^n + 1 - e)e = e = ay,$$

$yay = ye = y$  and  $a^n - ay = a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Suppose now that there exists another  $z \in R$  such that  $za = az$ ,  $z = zaz$  and  $a^n - az \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Let  $f = az$ . Then,  $f \in \text{comm}(a)$  and  $a^n - f = a^n - az \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . By hypothesis,  $e = f$ . So,  $az = za = ya = ay$ , which assures  $y = yay = zay = zaz = z$ , as expected.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This implication follows in a similar manner to that of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Using Lemma 2.2, it suffices to show that  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . For any  $x \in \text{comm}(a)$ , set  $p = e + ex(1 - e)$ . Evidently,  $p^2 = p$  and  $p \in \text{comm}(a)$ . Since  $a^n - e =: c \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , we obtain  $a^n = (1 - e) + (2e - 1 + c)$  and  $(a^n - e)^k \in J(R)$  for some integer  $k$ . It, therefore, follows that  $a^n e = (2e - 1 + c)e$  and  $a^{nk}(1 - e) = (a^n - e)^k(1 - e) \in J(R)$ . Write  $v = 2e - 1 + c$ . Then,  $v \in U(R)$  and  $e = v^{-1}a^n e$ . Since  $ax = xa$  and  $a^{nk}(1 - e) \in J(R)$ , we conclude that

$$ex(1 - e) = e^k x(1 - e) = v^{-k} a^{nk} ex(1 - e) = v^{-k} e x a^{nk} (1 - e) \in J(R),$$

which insures that

$$a^n - p = (a^n - e) - ex(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

By assumption,  $e = p$ . Thus, we obtain  $ex = exe$ . Similarly, we can obtain  $xe = exe$ . Therefore,  $ex = xe$ , and so  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ , as required.  $\square$

By the usage of [20, Theorem 3.1], [24, Theorem 3.2] and the proof of Theorem 2.6, we provide a transparent connection between a  $p$ -Drazin inverse and a  $pns$ -Drazin inverse in a ring as follows.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $a, y \in R$ ,  $e = ay$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $y \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $yay = y$  and  $a^n - ay \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  (i.e.,  $a \in R^\oplus$  with  $a^\oplus = y$ ).
- (2)  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .
- (3)  $y \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $yay = y$ ,  $a - a^2y \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .
- (4)  $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $a + (1 - e) \in U(R)$ ,  $a(1 - e) \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let  $F$  be a field and  $R = M_k(F)$ . Then,  $\sqrt{J(R)} = R^{\text{nil}}$ . One infers from [24, Theorem 2.1] that all matrices in  $R$  are  $p$ -Drazin invertible. But, a simple check shows that any matrix  $A \in R$  satisfying the relation  $A - A^{n+1} \notin R^{\text{nil}}$  is not  $pns$ -Drazin invertible, as needed.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The element  $a$  is  $pns$ -Drazin invertible.*
- (2) *There exists  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $1 - (a + p)^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $e = aa^\oplus$  and  $p = 1 - e$ . In virtue of Corollary 2.7, one has that  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $a + p \in U(R)$ ,  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  and  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Write  $u = a + p$ . By the classical Binomial Theorem, we may write

$$u - u^{n+1} = (a + p) - (a + p)^{n+1} = (a - a^{n+1}) - ap \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n C_{n+1}^i (ap)^{n-i} \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

Since  $u \in U(R)$ , it follows that  $1 - u^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). From  $1 - (a + p)^n \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , one has  $(a + p) - (a + p)^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , and  $a + p \in U(R)$  as  $(a + p)^n \in 1 + \sqrt{J(R)} \subseteq U(R)$ . Utilizing Corollary 2.7, we only need to show  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Similarly to the above proof, we can get

$$a - a^{n+1} = [(a + p) - (a + p)^{n+1}] + ap \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n C_{n+1}^i (ap)^{n-i} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$$

since  $ap \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Thus, (1) follows, as promised.  $\square$

### 3. *pns*-Drazin inverses with involution

This section focuses on the study of a class of *pns*-Drazin inverses in a  $*$ -ring. Recall once again that a ring  $R$  is a  $*$ -ring (or a ring with involution) if there is a map  $*$  :  $R \rightarrow R$  such that for all  $x, y \in R$ ,  $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ ,  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$  and  $(x^*)^* = x$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An element  $a \in R$  is called *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible if there exists  $x \in R$  such that

$$x \in \text{comm}^2(a), \quad xax = x, \quad (ax)^* = ax, \quad a^n - ax \in \sqrt{J(R)}.$$

In this case,  $x$  is called a *pns*- $*$ -Drazin inverse of  $a$ .

For a  $*$ -ring  $R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The *pns*- $*$ -Drazin inverse of  $a \in R$  will be denoted by  $a^\diamond$ ; and the set of all *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible elements of  $R$  is denoted by  $R^\diamond$ . Apparently,  $R^\diamond \subseteq R^\oplus$ .

**Remark 3.2.** It is clear that  $a \in R^\diamond$  if, and only if,  $a \in R^\oplus$  and  $(aa^\oplus)^* = aa^\oplus$ . So, by the uniqueness of the *pns*-Drazin inverse, one gets that the *pns*- $*$ -Drazin inverse is unique whenever it exists.

Recall that an element  $p$  of a  $*$ -ring  $R$  is a *projection* (cf. [3]) if  $p$  is a self-adjoint idempotent (i.e.,  $p^2 = p$  and  $p = p^*$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring,  $a \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following are equivalent:

- (1) The element  $a$  is *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible.
- (2) There exists a projection  $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a^n - p \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Clearly,  $a$  is *pns*-Drazin invertible. Write  $p = aa^\oplus$ . Employing Lemma 2.2, we have  $p^2 = p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $a^n - p \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . As  $(aa^\oplus)^* = aa^\oplus$ , we infer that  $p$  is a projection.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $x = (1 + a^n - p)^{-1}a^{n-1}p$ . Applying Lemma 2.2, we conclude that  $x$  is the *pns*-Drazin inverse of  $a$ . Notice that

$$ax = a^n(1 + a^n - p)^{-1}p = (a^n + 1 - p)(1 + a^n - p)^{-1}p = p.$$

But, since  $p$  is a projection, it follows that  $(ax)^* = ax$ . So,  $x$  is the *pns*- $*$ -Drazin inverse of  $a$ , as pursued.  $\square$

**Example 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(1) Elements of  $\sqrt{J(R)}$  are *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible. In particular, nilpotents and elements of  $J(R)$  are *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible.

(2) Idempotents of  $R$  are *pns*-Drazin invertible, and an idempotent  $e$  is *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible if, and only if,  $e$  is a projection.

*Proof.* (1) As 0 is a projection, the result follows directly from Proposition 3.3.

(2) Idempotents are obviously *pns*-Drazin invertible. Further, assume that  $e$  is *pns*- $*$ -Drazin invertible. Then, owing to Proposition 3.3, there exists a projection  $p \in \text{comm}^2(e)$  such that  $e^n - p = e - p \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .



However,  $ep = pe$  ensures  $(e - p)^3 = e - p$ . Thus,  $e = p$ . The converse is easy to be obtained.  $\square$

On the other vein, Cline [7] showed that, for any  $a, b$  of a ring  $R$ , the product  $ab$  is Drazin invertible if, and only if,  $ba$  is Drazin invertible and  $(ba)^D = b((ab)^D)^2a$ , where  $(ba)^D$  (resp.,  $(ab)^D$ ) is the Drazin inverse of  $ba$  (resp.,  $ab$ ). This equation is known as the Cline's formula. That formula was studied for various aspects of generalized inverses in e.g., [15, 18, 20, 24]; see also the bibliography therewith.

**Lemma 3.5.** [20, Corollary3.2] *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $aba = aca$ , then  $ac \in R^\oplus$  if, and only if,  $ba \in R^\oplus$ . In this case,  $(ac)^\oplus = a[(ba)^\oplus]^2c$  and  $(ba)^\oplus = b[(ac)^\oplus]^2a$ .*

The next construction reveals that the Cline's formula does *not* hold for  $pns$ -\*-Drazin inverses.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $R = M_2(S)$ , where  $S$  is a commutative ring. An involution  $*$  of  $R$  is defined by the transpose of matrices. It is clear that  $R$  is a  $*$ -ring. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R.$$

Then,  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  are both idempotents. Since  $AB$  is a projection of  $R$ , by Example 3.4(2) we deduce that  $AB$  is  $pns$ -\*-Drazin invertible. However,  $BA$  is not  $pns$ -\*-Drazin invertible, because it is not a projection.

We are now prepared to establish the following statement.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $aba = ba^2 = a^2c = aca$ , then  $ac \in R^\diamond$  if, and only if,  $ba \in R^\diamond$ . In this case,  $(ac)^\diamond = a[(ba)^\diamond]^2c$  and  $(ba)^\diamond = b[(ac)^\diamond]^2a$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). Let  $y = b[(ac)^\diamond]^2a$ . Exploiting Lemma 3.5, one derives that  $y(ba)y = y$ ,  $y \in \text{comm}^2(ba)$ , and  $(ba)^n - (ba)y \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Since  $a(ba) = (ba)a = a(ac) = (ac)a$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bay &= bab[(ac)^\diamond]^2a = bab \cdot ac[(ac)^\diamond]^3a = b(aca)c[(ac)^\diamond]^3a \\ &= b(ac)^\diamond a = ba(ac)^\diamond = ba \cdot ac[(ac)^\diamond]^2 \\ &= (aca)c[(ac)^\diamond]^2 = ac(ac)^\diamond. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(bay)^* = bay$ , and thus,  $y = ba^\diamond$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let  $z = a[(ba)^\diamond]^2c$ . According to Lemma 3.5, we have  $z(ac)z = z$ ,  $z \in \text{comm}^2(ac)$ , and  $(ac)^n - (ac)z \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . To show that  $ac \in R^\diamond$ , it suffices to prove  $(acz)^* = acz$ . As  $a(ba) = (ba)a = a(ac) = (ac)a$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} acz &= aba[(ba)^\circ]^2c = a(ba)^\circ c = (ba)^\circ ac = [(ba)^\circ]^2ba \cdot ac = \\ & [(ba)^\circ]^2b(aba) = (ba)^\circ ba. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $(acz)^* = acz$ , as required.  $\square$

Putting “ $b = c$ ” in Theorem 3.7, we yield the following result immediately.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $a, b \in R$ . If  $aba = ba^2 = a^2b$ , then  $ab \in R^\circ$  if, and only if,  $ba \in R^\circ$ .*

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b, c \in R$ . The standard Jacobson’s lemma states that if  $1 - ab \in U(R)$ , then  $1 - ba \in U(R)$  and  $(1 - ba)^{-1} = 1 + b(1 - ab)^{-1}a$ . However, Corach et al. [8] extended the classical Jacobson’s lemma to the case “ $aba = aca$ ”, and proved that if  $1 - ac \in U(R)$ , then  $1 - ba \in U(R)$ .

We now have the following statement for *pns*-Drazin inverses which somewhat improves the corresponding results from [14] and [22].

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $aba = aca$ , then  $\alpha = 1 - ba$  is *pns*-Drazin inverses if, and only if, so is  $\beta = 1 - ac$ . In this case,*

$$\alpha^\oplus = 1 - b\beta^\pi v(ac)^2a + b\beta\beta^\oplus a + b\beta^\oplus aba,$$

and

$$\beta^\oplus = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha\alpha^\oplus c + a\alpha^\oplus cac,$$

where  $\alpha^\pi = 1 - \alpha\alpha^\oplus$ ,  $\beta^\pi = 1 - \beta\beta^\oplus$ ,  $v = (1 - \beta\beta^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ac)^i)^{-1}$  and  $u = (1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ba)^i)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove only one direction, as the other direction can be showed in a similar manner. So, we suppose that  $\alpha = 1 - ba \in R^\oplus$ . Let  $\alpha^\pi = 1 - \alpha\alpha^\oplus$ . In accordance with Corollary 2.7,  $\alpha\alpha^\pi \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . So,  $t = 1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ba)^i \in U(R)$ . Let  $u = t^{-1}$  and  $x = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha\alpha^\oplus c + a\alpha^\oplus cac$ . Note that  $(ba)u = u(ba)$ . To show that  $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^\oplus$ , we next prove the following three things: (1)  $x \in \text{comm}^2(\beta)$ ; (2)  $x\beta x = x$ ; (3)  $\beta^n - x\beta \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ .

(1) Let  $y \in R$  with  $y\beta = \beta y$ . Then,  $ac$  commutes with  $y$ . So, we obtain

$$(1.1) \quad (bacya)\alpha = bacya - bacacya = \alpha(bacya),$$

which implies that  $bacya$  commutes with  $\alpha^\oplus$ ,  $\alpha^\pi$ ,  $ba$  and  $u$ . Notice that  $\alpha^\pi u^{-1} = \alpha^\pi - \alpha^\pi(1 - (ba)^3) = \alpha^\pi(ba)^3$ , and hence we have

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha^\pi = \alpha^\pi(ba)^3u.$$

By (1.1), we obtain

$$(1.3) \quad ya\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c = ya(ba)^2\alpha^\pi uc = a(bacya)\alpha^\pi uc = a\alpha^\pi u(bacya)c = a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2cy.$$

Multiplying (1.3) by  $ac$  on the right, we get  $ya\alpha^\pi c = a\alpha^\pi cy$  with the aid of (1.2), which yields

$$(1.4) \quad ya\alpha\alpha^\oplus c = a\alpha\alpha^\oplus cy.$$

It now follows that

$$(1.5) \quad yaba\alpha\alpha^\oplus cac = aca\alpha\alpha^\oplus cyac = aba\alpha\alpha^\oplus cacy$$

by multiplying  $ac$  on both sides of (1.4). Besides, in view of (1.1),

$$y(a(ba)^2\alpha^\oplus cac) = ya(ba)^3\alpha^\oplus c = a(bacya)ba\alpha^\oplus c = aba\alpha^\oplus (bacya)c = (a(ba)^2\alpha^\oplus cac)y,$$

which gives

$$(1.6) \quad y(aba(1-\alpha)\alpha^\oplus cac) = (aba(1-\alpha)\alpha^\oplus cac)y.$$

Combining (1.5) with (1.6), we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad yaba\alpha^\oplus cac = aba\alpha^\oplus cacy.$$

Now, multiplying (1.4) by  $ac$  on the right, we have  $ya\alpha\alpha^\oplus cac = a\alpha\alpha^\oplus cacy$ , and then  $ya(1-ba)\alpha^\oplus cac = a(1-ba)\alpha^\oplus cacy$ . By virtue of (1.7), we have

$$(1.8) \quad ya\alpha^\oplus cac = a\alpha^\oplus cacy.$$

According to (1.3) (1.4) and (1.8), we get  $yx = xy$ , which implies that  $x \in \text{comm}^2(\beta)$ .

(2) Taking  $y = ac$  in (1.8), one has that  $(a\alpha^\oplus cac)ac = ac(a\alpha^\oplus cac)$ . Then, we can calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} x\beta &= 1 - ac - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha^\pi c + a\alpha\alpha^\oplus c - a\alpha\alpha^\oplus cac + a\alpha^\oplus cac - a\alpha^\oplus cacac \\ &= 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + (-ac + a\alpha^\pi c + a(1 - a^\pi)c) + (-a\alpha\alpha^\oplus cac + a\alpha^\oplus cac) - a\alpha^\oplus cacac \\ &= 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + aba\alpha^\oplus cac - aca\alpha^\oplus cac \\ &= 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c. \end{aligned}$$

We, however, see that  $(a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c)^2 = a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c$  and  $\alpha^\pi\alpha^\oplus = 0$ . So,

$$x\beta x = (1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c)(1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha\alpha^\oplus c + a\alpha^\oplus cac) = x.$$

(3) Observe that

$$\alpha^n - \alpha\alpha^\oplus = (1 - ba)^n - 1 + 1 - \alpha\alpha^\oplus = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i (ba)^i + \alpha^\pi.$$

Since  $x\beta = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^n - x\beta &= (1 - ac)^n - 1 + a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c = \\ &= a(\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i (ca)^{i-1}c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one computes that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\beta^n - x\beta)^2 \\
&= a[\alpha^\pi + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^i][\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c] \\
&= a[\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c + \alpha^\pi \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ba)^i \alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ba)^i \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c] \\
&= a[\alpha^\pi + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ba)^i][\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c] \\
&= a(\alpha^n - \alpha\alpha^\ominus)[\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c].
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same procedure as that alluded to above, we have

$$(\beta^n - x\beta)^{m+1} = a(\alpha^n - \alpha\alpha^\ominus)^m [\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2 c + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i C_n^i(ca)^{i-1} c]$$

for any integer  $m > 1$ . As  $\alpha^n - \alpha\alpha^\ominus \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , one must get  $(\beta^n - x\beta)^k \in J(R)$  for some integer  $k$ , which implies that  $\beta^n - x\beta \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ , as required.  $\square$

The next comments are worthy of mentioning.

**Remark 3.10.** (1) Jacobson's lemma does not hold for  $pns$ -\*-Drazin inverses. To show that, let  $R$  be the  $*$ -ring as given in Example 3.6, and let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . Then,  $1 - AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $1 - BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly,  $1 - AB$  is a projection, and  $1 - BA$  is an idempotent but not a projection. Consulting with Example 3.4(2), we readily see that  $1 - AB$  is  $pns$ -\*-Drazin inverses, while  $1 - BA$  is not.

(2) An element  $a$  of a  $*$ -ring is  $pns$ -\*-Drazin invertible cannot imply that so is  $1 - a$ . For example, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  be the ring of integers modulo 6. The involution of  $R$  is defined by  $* = 1_R$ , where  $1_R$  is the identity endomorphism of  $R$ . It is clear that  $\sqrt{J(R)} = 0$  and  $0, 1$  are the only projections of  $R$ . For  $n = 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , due to Proposition 3.3,  $a = -1 \in R$  is  $pns$ -\*-Drazin invertible, whereas  $1 - a = 2 \in R$  is manifestly not.

We are now ready to prove the following assertion.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $a, b, c \in R$ . If  $aba = ba^2 = a^2c = aca$ , then  $\alpha = 1 - ba \in R^\diamond$  if, and only if,  $\beta = 1 - ac \in R^\diamond$ . In this case,*

$$\alpha^\diamond = 1 - b\beta^\pi v(ac)^2 a + b\beta\beta^\diamond a + b\beta^\diamond aba,$$

and

$$\beta^\diamond = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha\alpha^\diamond c + a\alpha^\diamond cac,$$

where  $\alpha^\pi = 1 - \alpha\alpha^\diamond$ ,  $\beta^\pi = 1 - \beta\beta^\diamond$ ,  $v = (1 - \beta\beta^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ac)^i)^{-1}$  and  $u = (1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ba)^i)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha = 1 - ba$  is  $pns$ -\*-Drazin invertible. Let

$$u = (1 - \alpha\alpha^\pi \sum_{i=0}^2 (ba)^i)^{-1}$$

and

$$x = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c + a\alpha\alpha^\diamond c + a\alpha^\diamond cac.$$

Consulting with Lemma 3.9, one concludes that  $x\beta x = x$ ,  $x \in \text{comm}^2(\beta)$  and  $\beta^n - \beta x \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . To show that  $\beta \in R^\diamond$ , it suffices to prove  $(\beta x)^* = \beta x$ . However, in view of the proof of Lemma 3.9, it must be that  $\alpha^\pi = \alpha^\pi (ba)^3 u$  and  $\beta x = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c$ . Since  $a \in \text{comm}(ba)$ , the element  $a$  commutes with both  $\alpha^\pi u$  and  $ba$ . By hypothesis, we obtain

$$\beta x = 1 - a\alpha^\pi u(ba)^2c = 1 - \alpha^\pi u(ba)^2ac = 1 - \alpha^\pi u(ba)^3 = 1 - \alpha^\pi = \alpha\alpha^\diamond.$$

Thus,  $(\beta x)^* = \beta x$ , as desired. The converse can be shown analogously.  $\square$

As an automatic consequence, we yield:

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ -ring and  $a, b \in R$ . If  $aba = ba^2 = a^2b$ , then  $\alpha = 1 - ba \in R^\diamond$  if, and only if,  $\beta = 1 - ab \in R^\diamond$ .*

#### 4. $pns$ -Drazin inverses for all $n \geq 1$

Here are focussing on the description of some crucial properties of rings for which every element has a  $pns$ -Drazin inverse (the integer  $n$  depending on the choice of the element). We shall say that a ring  $R$  is *pseudo  $\pi$ -polar*, provided all of its elements are  $pns$ -Drazin invertible for various naturals  $n$ ; or, equivalently, for each  $a \in R$ , there exists  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a^n - e \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Thus, this leads to the interesting fact that pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings are strongly clean in the sense that any of their elements is a sum of a unit and an idempotent which commute.

On the other side, it was considered in [9] those rings  $R$  in which every  $u \in U(R)$  satisfies the relation  $u^n - 1 \in R^{\text{nil}}$  for some  $n \geq 1$  (which are called  $\pi$ -UU rings). It was shown there that strongly  $\pi$ -regular  $\pi$ -UU rings are always periodic in the sense that, for each  $a \in R$ , there are two integers  $m > n \geq 1$  with  $a^m = a^n$ . It is long known that  $J(R)$  is nil whenever  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. However, this implication is still unproved for  $\pi$ -UU rings.

And so, our first goal here is to find a suitable connection between strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings,  $\pi$ -UU rings and pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings. That is, as aforementioned, it is principally known that both strongly  $\pi$ -regular and pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings are strongly clean. In this vein, with the results established above at hand, it is not too hard to prove that pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings  $R$  with  $\text{nil } J(R)$  are always periodic, and vice versa.

Concretely, the following is fulfilled.

**Theorem 4.1.** *A ring  $R$  is periodic if, and only if,  $R$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar and  $J(R)$  is nil.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $R$  is periodic. Then,  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. In view of [24, Theorem 2.1],  $R$  is pseudo-polar and  $J(R)$  is nil. Furthermore, let  $u \in U(R)$ . Then, there exist integers  $k > l \geq 1$  such that  $u^k = u^l$ . So,  $1 - u^{k-l} = 0 \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Hence,  $R$  is pseudo- $\pi$ -polar by exploiting Theorem 2.6, as claimed.

Conversely, letting  $a \in R$ , in view of Theorem 2.6 we write  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $J(R)$  is nil, we get  $\sqrt{J(R)} = R^{\text{nil}}$ . Thus,  $a - a^{n+1}$  is nilpotent, i.e.,

$$(a - a^{n+1})^l = a^l(1 - a^n)^l = 0$$

for some positive integer  $l$ . This yields that  $a^l = a^{l+1}f(a)$  for some polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Finally, by virtue of [1, Theorem 2.1], we conclude that  $R$  is a periodic ring, as asserted.  $\square$

Recall that an element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is said to be *quasi-nilpotent* [12] if  $1 - ax \in U(R)$  for all  $x \in \text{comm}(a)$ . The set of all quasi-nilpotent elements of  $R$  is denoted by  $R^{\text{qnil}}$ . We can infer from [24, Example 4.2] that  $\sqrt{J(R)}$  is properly contained in  $R^{\text{qnil}}$ .

Nevertheless, the following is true.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $R$  is a pseudo  $\pi$ -polar ring, then  $\sqrt{J(R)} = R^{\text{qnil}}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $R^{\text{qnil}} \subseteq \sqrt{J(R)}$ . To that purpose, let  $q \in R^{\text{qnil}}$ . Then, there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $q - q^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Write  $u = 1 - q^n$ . Since  $q \in R^{\text{qnil}}$ , we get  $u \in U(R)$  and  $uq = qu \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . This implies  $q = (qu)u^{-1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{J(R)} = R^{\text{qnil}}$ , as required.  $\square$

Thereby, combining the results quoted above, one verifies that the following relationships are valid:

$$\text{strongly } \pi\text{-regular} + \text{pseudo } \pi\text{-polar} \iff \text{periodic} \iff \text{strongly } \pi\text{-regular} + \pi\text{-UU}.$$

Taking into account the discussion alluded to above, a question which automatically arises is what can be said about pseudo  $\pi$ -polar  $\pi$ -UU rings, i.e., are they strongly  $\pi$ -regular and thus periodic? We note that there is a pseudo  $\pi$ -polar ring which is *not*  $\pi$ -UU. Indeed, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$

be the localization of the ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  at the prime ideal  $(p)$ , where  $p$  is a prime integer. In virtue of [24, Example 2.10],  $R$  is pseudo-polar. Observe that  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Furthermore, for each  $u \in U(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ , one has that  $\bar{u}^{p-1} = \bar{1} \in R/J(R)$ . Thus,  $1 - u^{p-1} \in J(R)$ . Utilizing Theorem 2.6,  $R$  is a pseudo- $\pi$ -polar ring, but manifestly it is *not*  $\pi$ -UU.

Specifically, we pose the following which, hopefully, makes some sense:

**Conjecture 4.3.** Pseudo  $\pi$ -polar  $\pi$ -UU rings are strongly  $\pi$ -regular, and hence periodic.

We just notice that, if  $J(R)$  is nil, this will imply a positive resolution of above problem.

We continue our considerations with some matrix results like these in the sequel. We first need the following technicality.

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $R$  is a pseudo- $\pi$ -polar ring, then so is the corner subring  $eRe$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in eRe$ . One inspects that Theorem 2.6 allows us to derive that  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(R)}$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . So, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $(a - a^{n+1})^k \in J(R)$ . Observe also that  $(a - a^{n+1})^k \in eRe$ . Then,

$$(a - a^{n+1})^k \in J(R) \cap eRe = J(eRe),$$

that is,  $a - a^{n+1} \in \sqrt{J(eRe)}$ . Furthermore, as  $R$  is pseudo-polar, in accordance with [24, Corollary 2.10] we conclude that  $eRe$  is pseudo-polar too. Thus, the result follows now immediately.  $\square$

All local rings are known to be pseudo-polar (see cf. [24]). But, interestingly, there exist local rings which are *not* pseudo  $\pi$ -polar, e.g., such as the rational number field  $\mathbb{Q}$  and any field that contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is easy to see that a local ring  $R$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar if, and only if, for each  $u \in U(R)$ ,  $u^n \in 1 + J(R)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . For a convenience in the exposition, we call a local ring  $R$  *special* if, for every  $u \in U(R)$ ,  $u^n \in 1 + J(R)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . For example, the considered above  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  is a special local ring.

For a ring  $R$ , the  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix ring over  $R$  is denoted by  $T_n(R)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a special local ring.
- (2)  $T_n(R)$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar for any integer  $n \geq 1$ .
- (3)  $T_n(R)$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar for some integer  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $R$  is a commutative local ring, by using [24, Theorem 2.13]  $T_n(R)$  is pseudo-polar. One verifies that, in view of Theorem 2.6, we only need to show that, for every  $V = (v_{ij}) \in U(T_n(R))$ , there exists integer  $k \geq 1$  such that  $I - U^k \in J(T_n(R))$ . In fact,  $V \in U(T_n(R))$

if, and only if,  $v_{ii} \in U(R)$  for each  $i$ . As  $R$  is a special local ring, we check that  $1 - v_{ii}^{k_i} \in J(R)$ , where  $k_i \geq 1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Put  $k = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_n$ . Thus,

$$1 - v_{ii}^k = (1 - v_{ii}^{k_i})c \in J(R)$$

for some  $c \in R$ . It follows immediately that  $I - U^k \in J(T_n(R))$ , as needed. So,  $T_n(R)$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar, as promised.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Taking into account Proposition 4.4,  $R$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar, and the rest is quite obvious.  $\square$

It can be inferred from [6] that matrix rings over a special local ring need *not* be strongly clean. So, in particular, matrix rings over pseudo  $\pi$ -polar rings are *not* always pseudo  $\pi$ -polar.

It was established in [9] that if  $R$  is a ring such that  $a^m = a$  for all  $a \in R$  and a fixed integer  $m > 1$ , then, for any positive integer  $n$ , the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$  is periodic. We now expand this to the following affirmation.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $a - a^m \in R^{\text{nil}}$  for each  $a \in R$  and a fixed integer  $m > 1$ , then  $M_n(R)$  is pseudo  $\pi$ -polar for any  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* In view of [16],  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and every element  $a$  from  $\overline{R} = R/J(R)$  satisfies the identity  $\overline{a}^m = \overline{a}$ . Since  $R$  is a commutative strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring, it follows from [4] that  $M_n(R)$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Bearing in mind [24, Theorem 2.1], one concludes that  $M_n(R)$  is pseudo-polar.

Now, we finish the proof by showing that, for every  $V \in U(M_n(R))$ , the relation  $1 - V^k \in J(M_n(R))$  holds for some integer  $k$  or, in an equivalent manner, that, for each element

$$\overline{V} \in U(M_n(\overline{R})) = U(M_n(R)/J(M_n(R))),$$

the equality  $\overline{V}^k = \overline{1}$  holds for some integer  $k$ . So, with no harm in generality, we may assume that  $J(R) = 0$ . Hence,  $a = a^m$  for all  $a \in R$ . By the arguments of [17, p. 197],  $R$  is a subdirect product of its left primitive homomorphic images  $R_i$  such that each  $R_i$  is a field satisfying  $a_i^m = a_i$  for all  $a_i \in R_i$  and  $i \in \Lambda$ . Therefore,  $|R_i| \leq m$  for all  $R_i$ , and thus it must be that  $|M_n(R_i)| \leq m^{n^2}$ . Set  $k = m^{n^2}!$ . Then, for any  $U_i \in U(M_n(R_i))$ , we can get that  $U_i^k = 1$ . Observing that  $M_n(R)$  can be viewed as a unitary subring of  $M_n(\prod_{i \in \Lambda} R_i) \cong \prod_{i \in \Lambda} M_n(R_i)$ . Let  $V = (U_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$  be a unit of  $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} M_n(R_i)$ . Consequently, for each  $i$ ,  $U_i$  is a unit of  $M_n(R_i)$ . From the equality  $U_i^k = 1$ , we obtain that  $V^k = 1$ , as required.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:



The research of the first-named and third-named authors was supported by the Key Laboratory of Financial Mathematics of Fujian Province University (Putian University) (No. JR202203), Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. 2008085MA06) and the Key project of Anhui Education Committee (No. gxyqZD2019009). The research of the second-named author was supported by the projects Junta de Andalucía under Grant (FQM 264) and TÜBİTAK under Grant (BİDEB 2221).

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. E. Bell, *On commutativity of periodic rings and near-rings*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **36** (1980), 293–302.
- [2] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: theory and applications*, 2nd edn. New York, Springer, 2003.
- [3] S. K. Berberian, *Baer \*-rings*, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 195, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.
- [4] G. Borooah, A. J. Diesl and T. Dorsey, *Strongly clean matrix rings over commutative local rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 281–296.
- [5] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverse of Linear Transformations*, New York: Dover Publications, Inc, 1991.
- [6] J. Chen, X. Yang and Y. Zhou, *On strongly clean matrix and triangular matrix rings*, Commun. Algebra **34** (2006), 3659–3674.
- [7] R. E. Cline, *An application of representation for the generalized inverse of a matrix*, MRC Technical Report, **592**, 1965.
- [8] G. Corach, B. P. Duggal and R. E. Harte, *Extensions of Jacobson’s lemma*, Commun. Algebra **41** (2013), 520–531.
- [9] J. Cui and P. Danchev, *Some new characterizations of periodic rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **19**(12) (2020).
- [10] M. P. Drazin, *Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroup*, Amer. Math. Monthly **65** (1958), 506–514.
- [11] M. P. Drazin, *Generalized inverses: uniqueness proofs and three new classes*, Linear Algebra Appl. **449** (2014), 402–416.
- [12] R. E. Harte, *On quasinilpotents in rings*, Panam. Math. J. **1** (1991), 10–16.
- [13] J. J. Koliha and P. Patricio, *Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **2** (2002), 137–152.
- [14] T. Y. Lam and P. P. Nielsen, *Jacobson’s lemma for Drazin inverses*, Contemp. Math. **609** (2014), 185–196.
- [15] H. Lian and Q. Zeng, *An extension of Cline’s formula for a generalized Drazin inverse*, Turkish J. Math. **40** (2016), 161–165.
- [16] M. T. Koşan, T. Yildirim and Y. Zhou, *Rings with  $x^n - x$  nilpotent*, J. Algebra Appl. **19**(4) (2020).
- [17] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **131**, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York (2001).
- [18] Y. Liao, J. Chen and J. Cui, *Cline’s formula for the generalized Drazin inverse*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **37**(1) (2014), 37–42.
- [19] D. Mosić, *On Jacobson’s lemma and Cline’s formula for Drazin inverses*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **61**(2) (2020), 267–276.
- [20] D. Mosić, *The generalized and pseudo  $n$ -strong Drazin inverse in rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **69**(2) (2021), 361–375.

- [21] M. Z. Nashed and Y. Zhao, *The Drazin inverse for singular evolution equations and partial differential equations*, World Sci. Ser. Appl. Anal. **1** (1992), 441–456.
- [22] Y. Ren and J. Lining, *Jacobson’s lemma for the generalized  $n$ -strong Drazin inverses in rings and in operator algebras*, Glasnik Mat. **57(77)** (2022), 1–15. DOI: 10.3336/gm.57.1.01
- [23] A. Tuganbaev, *Rings Close to Regular*, Mathematics and its Applications, **545** Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [24] Z. Wang and J. Chen, *Pseudo Drazin inverses in associative rings and Banach algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **437(6)** (2012), 1332–1345.
- [25] H. Zhu, J. Chen and P. Patrício, *Representations for the pseudo Drazin inverse of elements in a Banach algebra*, Taiwanese J. Math. **19(2)** (2015), 349–362.
- [26] H. Zou and J. Chen, *On the pseudo Drazin inverse of the sum of two elements in a Banach algebra*, Filomat **31(7)** (2017), 201–222.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHU, ANHUI 241002, CHINA

*Email address:* cui368@ahnu.edu.cn

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, "ACAD. G. BONCHEV" STR., BL. 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA

*Email address:* danchev@math.bas.bg; pvdanchev@yahoo.com

KEY LABORATORY OF FINANCIAL MATHEMATICS (PUTIAN UNIVERSITY), FUJIAN PROVINCE UNIVERSITY, PUTIAN, FUJIAN, CHINA

*Email address:* 155221109@qq.com