

# EQUATIONS DRIVEN BY FAST-OSCILLATING FUNCTIONS OF A WIENER PROCESS

Tanner M. Reese, Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721

Jan Wehr, Department of Mathematics and Program in Applied Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721

## Abstract

We study systems of differential equations driven by functions of a single Wiener process. In the limit of fast oscillations, we show that the solution process converges in law to the process defined by an SDE system driven by several independent Wiener processes. Drift terms appearing in the limiting equations can be interpreted as Stratonovich corrections. The problem has been motivated by experimental work and provides a rigorous treatment of equations arising from it.

## Introduction

Suppose  $b : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and for each  $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ , we have  $v_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\phi_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We will be considering the system of random differential equations of the form

$$\frac{dX_t}{dt} = b\left(X_t, \frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m v_\alpha(X_t) \phi_\alpha\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) \quad (1)$$

The above can also be written as the system of stochastic differential equations (SDE)

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= b(X_t, \theta_t) dt + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m v_\alpha(X_t) \phi_\alpha(\theta_t) dt \\ d\theta_t &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} dW_t \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here,  $X_t = (X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$  is a stochastic process with values in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W_t$  denotes a standard 1-dimensional Wiener process. The detailed assumptions on the functions  $b$ ,  $v_\alpha$  and  $\phi_\alpha$  will be made in the statement of Theorem 1. We will study the distributional limit of the solutions of the system (1) as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . More precisely, we will derive a system of stochastic differential equations (SDE) whose solution will have this limiting distribution. Let us stress that while  $W_t$  is the only source of randomness in the original equations, the limiting system will have  $m$  independent noise sources (where  $m$  is the number of the functions  $\phi_\alpha$  entering (1)). Our main result can thus be viewed as a regularization of an SDE system driven by  $m$  independent Wiener processes, using appropriately scaled functions of a single Wiener process.

The motivation for the presented results comes from a particular case, encountered in a joint work of the second author with an experimental group [5]. We discuss the relevant equations in Corollary 4.

## The main result

Our main result is quite general. The corollaries and examples that follow specialize it to less general but more tangible cases, including the original motivation.

We will use the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $\phi$  be a continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic function with

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(\theta) d\theta = 0$$

Then, there exist  $2\pi$ -periodic functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  such that  $\partial_\theta \Phi = \phi$  and  $\partial_\theta^2 \Psi = \phi$ .

**Theorem 1:** Consider the system (1) in which:

- $b(x, \theta)$  is continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $\theta$ , satisfying a global Lipschitz condition in  $x$  and bounded by  $C_1 + C_2|x|$ .
- $v_\alpha(x)$  satisfy a global Lipschitz condition and are bounded by  $C_1 + C_2|x|$ .
- $\phi_\alpha(\theta)$  are continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $\theta$  with

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_\alpha(\theta) d\theta = 0$$

Lemma 1 implies that there exist  $\Phi_\alpha$  such that  $\partial_\theta \Phi_\alpha = \phi_\alpha$ . Let

$$c_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_\alpha(\theta) \Phi_\beta(\theta) d\theta, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, m$$

be the Gram matrix of scalar products of the functions  $\Phi_\alpha$  in  $L_2[0, 2\pi]$  (with the normalized Lebesgue measure). We will say  $C = (c_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m$  is non-singular and so positive definite and define  $S = (s_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m := \sqrt{C}$ . Given any initial condition  $x_0$  and setting  $\theta_0 = 0$  for convenience, our assumptions on the coefficients imply existence of a unique solution to (2), defined for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $Y^{(\epsilon)} = (X^{(\epsilon)}, \Theta^{(\epsilon)})$  be the Markov process solving (2). Then as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $X^{(\epsilon)}$  weakly converge to the solution of the SDE

$$dX_t = \tilde{b}(X_t)dt + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^m [\nabla_{\tilde{v}_\gamma} \tilde{v}_\gamma(X_t)dt + \tilde{v}_\gamma(X_t)dW_\gamma(t)] \quad (3)$$

where  $\nabla_v u$  is the directional derivative of the vector field  $u$  in the direction of  $v$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} b(x, \theta) d\theta \\ \tilde{v}_\gamma(x) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^m s_{\gamma\alpha} \tilde{v}_\alpha(x) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** The present proof is inspired by the proof of Theorem 12.2.4 in [2]. Since only  $2\pi$ -periodic functions of  $\theta_t$  are considered, we can regard the state space of  $Y^{(\epsilon)}$  as  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^1$ . It is a Feller-Dynkin process in the sense of [6]. Let  $T^{(\epsilon)}$  denote the corresponding semigroup in the Banach space  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^1)$  of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^1$  converging to 0 at infinity. We use  $A^{(\epsilon)}$  to denote the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $T^{(\epsilon)}$ . Its domain  $D(A^{(\epsilon)})$  contains  $C^\infty$  functions of compact support in  $x$  (periodic in  $\theta$ ). For  $f \in D$ , we will use  $\nabla f = (\partial_{x_1} f, \dots, \partial_{x_n} f)$ . It follows from the Itô formula, that on these functions  $A^{(\epsilon)}$  acts as

$$(A^{(\epsilon)} f)(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \partial_\theta^2 f + b(x, \theta) \cdot \nabla f + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \phi_\alpha(\theta) v_\alpha(x) \cdot \nabla f$$

which can be written as

$$A^{(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} A_{-2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} A_{-1} + A_0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-2} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta^2 \\ A_{-1} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \phi_\alpha(\theta) v_\alpha(x) \cdot \nabla \\ A_0 &= b(x, \theta) \cdot \nabla \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T$  denote the semigroup corresponding to equation (3) and  $A$  be its infinitesimal generator.  $A$  is an (unbounded) operator in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The subspace  $D$  consisting of  $C^\infty$  functions converging to 0 at infinity together with the first and second derivatives, is a core for  $A$ . This follows from Proposition 3.3 of [2] (in which one can take  $D_0$  to be the space of  $C^\infty$  functions of compact support). We will prove that for any  $f \in D$ , there exist functions  $f^\epsilon \in D(A^{(\epsilon)})$  such that  $f^\epsilon \rightarrow f$  and  $A^{(\epsilon)}f^\epsilon \rightarrow Af$ . Theorem 6.1 in [2] will then imply that for any  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $T_t^{(\epsilon)}f$  converges to  $T_t f$  uniformly in  $t$  on any bounded interval. Because  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a subspace of  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^1)$ , the action of  $T_t^{(\epsilon)}$  on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is well-defined.

Given  $f \in C$ , we will construct  $f^{(\epsilon)}$  of the form

$$f^{(\epsilon)} = f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2$$

with  $f_0 = f$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(\epsilon)}f^{(\epsilon)} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}A_{-2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}(A_{-1} + A_0)(f_0 + \epsilon f_1 + \epsilon^2 f_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}A_{-2}f_0 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}(A_{-2}f_1 + A_{-1}f_0) + (A_{-2}f_2 + A_{-1}f_1 + A_0f_0) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We want this expression to converge as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  to a function (of  $x$ ) independent of  $\theta$ . The term  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}A_{-2}f_0$  equals 0, since  $f_0 = f$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . To make the  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$  term vanish, we want to choose  $f_1$  so that

$$A_{-2}f_1 + A_{-1}f_0 = 0$$

This is satisfied by

$$f_1(x, \theta) = -2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \Psi_\alpha(\theta) v_\alpha(x) \cdot \nabla = -2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \Psi_\alpha(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^n v_{i\alpha}(x) \partial_{x_i} f(x)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $v_\alpha = (v_{1\alpha}, \dots, v_{n\alpha})$ . With this choice of  $f_1$ , the  $O(1)$  term in (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{-2}f_2 + A_{-1}f_1 + A_0f_0 = \\ &A_{-2}f_2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m \phi_\alpha(\theta) \Psi_\beta(\theta) \left\{ \sum_{i, j=1}^n v_{i\alpha}(x) \left[ \partial_{x_i} v_{j\beta}(x) \partial_{x_j} f(x) + v_{j\beta}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 f(x) \right] \right\} + b(x, \theta) \cdot \nabla f \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the functions

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \sum_{i, j=1}^n v_{i\alpha}(x) \left[ \partial_{x_i} v_{j\beta}(x) \partial_{x_j} f(x) + v_{j\beta}(x) \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 f(x) \right]$$

we thus have

$$A_{-2}f_2 + A_{-1}f_1 + A_0f_0 = A_{-2}f_2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m \phi_\alpha(\theta) \Psi_\beta(\theta) g_{\alpha\beta}(x) + b(x, \theta) \cdot \nabla f \quad (16)$$

We want to choose  $f_2$  to make this expression independent of  $\theta$ . To get rid of the  $\theta$ -dependence in the second term in the above expression, first note that since  $\partial_\theta^2 \Psi = \partial_\theta \Phi = \phi$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi_\alpha(\theta) \Psi_\beta(\theta) d\theta = - \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_\alpha(\theta) \Phi_\beta(\theta) d\theta = -2\pi c_{\alpha\beta}$$

There exist periodic functions  $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$  such that  $\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 \chi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_\alpha \Psi_\beta + c_{\alpha\beta}$ . We now define

$$h_2(x, \theta) = 4 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m \chi_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) g_{\alpha\beta}(x)$$

and obtain

$$A_{-2}h_2 = 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \phi_\alpha \Psi_\beta g_{\alpha,\beta} + 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m c_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}$$

To eliminate the  $\theta$ -dependence in the third term of (16), we introduce the functions

$$\tilde{b}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} b(x, \theta) d\theta$$

Lemma 1 implies that there exist periodic functions  $B_i(x, \theta)$  such that

$$\partial_\theta^2 B_i(x, \theta) = b(x, \theta) - \tilde{b}(x)$$

Then define  $k_2(x, \theta) = -B(x, \theta) \cdot \nabla f$  so that

$$A_{-2}k_2 = -b \cdot \nabla f + \tilde{b} \cdot \nabla f$$

Further for  $\gamma = 1, \dots, m$ , we define  $\tilde{v}_\gamma(x) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m s_{\gamma\alpha} v_\alpha(x)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m c_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^m s_{\alpha\gamma} s_{\gamma\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma=1}^m \sum_{i,j=1}^n (s_{\gamma\alpha} v_{i\alpha}(x)) [\partial_{x_i} (s_{\gamma\beta} v_{j\beta}(x)) \partial_{x_j} f(x) + (s_{\gamma\beta} v_{j\beta}(x)) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f(x)] \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{v}_{i\gamma}(x) [\partial_{x_i} \tilde{v}_{j\gamma}(x) \partial_{x_j} f(x) + \tilde{v}_{j\gamma}(x) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Notice that we can rewrite the first term of (23) as

$$\sum_{\gamma=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} f(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{v}_{i\gamma}(x) \partial_{x_i} \tilde{v}_{j\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j} f(x) [\tilde{v}_\gamma(x) \cdot \nabla \tilde{v}_{j\gamma}] = \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \nabla_{\tilde{v}_\gamma} \tilde{v}_\gamma(x) \cdot \nabla f(x)$$

Finally, defining  $f_2 = h_2 + k_2$ , we obtain the desired  $\theta$ -independent expression

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-2}f_2 + A_{-1}f_1 + A_0f_0 &= 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m c_{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \left[ \nabla_{\tilde{v}_\gamma} \tilde{v}_\gamma(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{v}_{i\gamma}(x) \tilde{v}_{j\gamma}(x) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f(x) \right] + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla f \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A^{(\epsilon)} f^{(\epsilon)} = 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \left[ \nabla_{\tilde{v}_\gamma} \tilde{v}_\gamma(x) \cdot \nabla f(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{v}_{i\gamma}(x) \tilde{v}_{j\gamma}(x) \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} f(x) \right] + \tilde{b}(x) \cdot \nabla f \quad (25)$$

which motivates defining the infinitesimal operator of the limiting semigroup  $A$  as the right-hand side of (25). It follows from the Riesz-Markov theorem [6] that the law of the corresponding Markov process is uniquely determined by the limiting semigroup. It can be presented as the law of the solution process of the SDE system

$$dX_t = a(X_t)dt + \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \sigma_\gamma(X_t) dW_\gamma(t) \quad (27)$$

where  $W_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma = 1, \dots, n$  are independent Wiener processes. The drift and noise coefficients can be read off from the formula (25) for the generator. Namely, for the drift coefficients we obtain

$$a(x) = \tilde{b}(x) + 2 \sum_{\gamma=1}^m \nabla_{\tilde{v}_\gamma} \tilde{v}_\gamma(x) \quad (28)$$

To obtain the noise coefficients, note that the coefficient of  $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}$  in (25) is  $2\tilde{v}_{i\gamma}(x)\tilde{v}_{j\gamma}(x)$  so

$$\sigma_\gamma(x) = 2\tilde{v}_\gamma(x) = 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^m s_{\gamma\alpha} v_\alpha(x) \quad (29)$$

We have shown that for any  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbf{S}^1)$ ,  $T_t^{(\epsilon)} f$  converges to  $T_t f$  uniformly in  $t$  on bounded intervals. Thus,  $X^{(\epsilon)}$  will converge weakly to  $X$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1:** As a first application of Theorem 1, consider the special case, in which  $b_i = 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $m = n$  and  $v_{i\alpha} = \delta_{i\alpha}$  is independent of  $x$ . The system (1) becomes

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \phi_i \left( \frac{W_t}{\epsilon} \right)$$

i.e.

$$x_i(t) = x_i^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \phi_i \left( \frac{W_s}{\epsilon} \right) ds$$

Theorem 1 implies that the  $n$ -dimensional process  $x_t = (x_1^{(0)}(t), \dots, x_n^{(0)}(t))$  converges in law to

$$x^{(0)} + 2SW_t$$

i.e. to a linear transformation of the  $n$ -dimensional Wiener process  $W$ . In particular, if  $x^{(0)} = 0$  and the matrix  $C$  is a multiple of identity

$$c_{\alpha\beta} = c\delta_{\alpha\beta} > 0$$

we obtain in the limit the process  $2\sqrt{c}W$  (recall that  $S$  is the positive square root of  $C$ ). The  $n$ -dimensional Wiener process can thus be obtained as a limit (in law) of functions of a single 1-dimensional Wiener process.

**Corollary 2:** Corollary 1 can be reformulated, using Wiener scaling as follows (we put  $x^{(0)} = 0$ ): the  $n$ -dimensional process with components

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \int_0^{Lt} \phi_i(W_s) ds$$

converges in law to a linear transformation of the  $n$ -dimensional Wiener process. This version of Corollary 1 is a particular case of a known theorem about additive functionals of Markov processes [4].

**Corollary 3:** Consider the special case of Corollary 1, in which  $n = 2$ ,  $\phi_1(\theta) = \cos \theta$ , and  $\phi_2(\theta) = \sin \theta$ . Since in this case the matrix  $C$  equals  $cI$  with  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows that the process

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \cos \left( \frac{W_s}{\epsilon} \right), \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^t \sin \left( \frac{W_s}{\epsilon} \right) \right)$$

converges in law to the two-dimensional Wiener process. This was noted in [1].

**Corollary 4:** An application to the motion of a phototactic robot in a varying light field.

Consider a robot moving in the plane, adapting its speed to the local amount of light it senses and randomly changing the direction of its motion, Taking into account the sensorial delay, the approximate equations of robot's motion were derived in [5] in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= -ku(x)\partial_{x_1}u(x)\cos^2\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) - ku(x)\partial_{x_2}u(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}u(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= -ku(x)\partial_{x_1}u(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) - ku(x)\partial_{x_2}u(x)\sin^2\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}u(x)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Here  $u$  is the speed function. Theorem 1 applies, giving in the  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit the SDE

$$\begin{aligned}dx_1(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}ku(x)\partial_{x_1}u(x)dt + u(x)\partial_{x_1}u(x)dt + \sqrt{2}u(x)dW^{(1)}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}ku(x)\partial_{x_2}u(x)dt + u(x)\partial_{x_2}u(x)dt + \sqrt{2}u(x)dW^{(2)}(t)\end{aligned}$$

The first terms on the right-hand sides of these equations result from averaging  $\cos^2\theta$  and  $\sin^2\theta$  respectively—a particular case of  $\tilde{b}_i(x)$  in the expression for  $a(x)$  (28). The second terms correspond to the second terms in this formula and can be thought of as Stratonovich corrections, as explained in the Remark 1 below. These equations were obtained in [5] using the (nonrigorous) multiscale expansion method. It was instrumental in calculating the stationary state of the system which enabled the authors to compare the theory to the experimental results.

**Corollary 5:** An application to Motility-Induced Phase Separation (MIPS)

Random changes of direction by bacteria may lead to spontaneous formation of higher density regions, called MIPS To model this phenomenon, one may use the system of equations [7]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= \kappa\partial_{x_1}w(x)\cos^2\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \kappa\partial_{x_2}w(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}w(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} &= \kappa\partial_{x_1}w(x)\cos\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \kappa\partial_{x_2}w(x)\sin^2\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon}w(x)\sin\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Again, Theorem 1 applies directly, giving in the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  the SDE system

$$\begin{aligned}dx_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\kappa\partial_{x_1}w(x) + w(x)\partial_{x_1}w(x) + \sqrt{2}w(x)dW^{(1)}(t) \\ dx_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\kappa\partial_{x_2}w(x) + w(x)\partial_{x_2}w(x) + \sqrt{2}w(x)dW^{(2)}(t)\end{aligned}$$

As in the phototactic robot example above, the stationary state of the above system can be calculated explicitly, allowing comparison of the theory and experiment.

**Remark 1:** relation to theorems about regularization of SDE.

Given a general SDE of the form (27), it is natural to replace the Wiener processes  $W_\alpha$  by smoother (finite variation) processes  $W_\alpha^{(\epsilon)}$ , converging to  $W_\alpha$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . If these processes are defined on the same probability space as  $W_\alpha$  and their convergence is strong enough, it is known that the solutions of the regularized equations

$$dx_i(t) = \tilde{b}_i(x_t)dt + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sigma_{i\alpha}(x_t)dW_\alpha^{(\epsilon)}(t)$$

converge to the solutions of the corresponding Stratonovich equation

$$dx_i(t) = \tilde{b}_i(x_t)dt + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \partial_{x_j} \sigma_{i\alpha}(x_t) \sigma_{i\alpha}(x_t) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \sigma_{i\alpha}(x_t) dW_\alpha(t)$$

[3]. A straightforward calculation shows that if the noise coefficients  $\sigma_{i\alpha}$  are given by the expression (29), the drift in the above equation is identical to  $a$  in (28). Theorem 1 can thus be interpreted as a result of a similar nature, in which the linear combinations of the processes  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}\phi_\alpha\left(\frac{W_t}{\epsilon}\right)$  regularize the Wiener noises in (3). Note however, that in our case the regularized processes, which are functions of a single Wiener process  $W$ , converge to  $W_\alpha$  only in distribution (see Corollary 1). Thus, Theorem 1 is not a consequence of Wong-Zakai or related theorems about regularization of SDE (see the discussion in [3]).

**Acknowledgements:** J. W. thanks D. Bernard for the reference to [1] which provided the insight, crucial for the present paper. Collaboration with G. Volpe led to an earlier analysis of light-sensitive robots, made rigorous here. Corollary 5 is a rigorous version of the results obtained in a discussion with J. Stenhammar and G Volpe. Discussions with M. Latifi-Jebelli and with J. Birrell are gratefully acknowledged. The research presented here was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1911358 and by the Simons Foundation Fellowship 23539.

### References

1. M. Bauer, D. Bernard, T. Jin: Stochastic dissipative quantum spin chains (I) : Quantum fluctuating discrete hydrodynamics. *SciPost Phys.* 3, 033, doi: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.3.5.033 (2017)
2. S. Ethier, T. Kurtz: *Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence.* Wiley (2005)
3. I. Karatzas, S. Shreve: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus.* Springer (1991)
4. T. Komorowski, C. Landim, S. Olla: *Fluctuations in Markov Processes.* Springer (2012)
5. M. Mijalkov, A. McDaniel, J. Wehr, G. Volpe: Engineering sensorial delay to control phototaxis and emergent collective behaviors. *Phys. Rev. X* 6, 011008 (2016)
6. L.C.G. Rogers, D. Williams: *Diffusions, Markov Processes, and Martingales: Volume 1, Foundations.* Cambridge (2000)
7. J. Stenhammar: An Introduction to Motility-Induced Phase Separation. arXiv:2112.05024v1, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.05024> (2021)