Comments for "Comments for Fractional Liu uncertain differential equation and its application to finance"

Alireza Najafi^a, Rahman Taleghani^a

^aDepartment of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Guilan, Rasht, 41938-1914, Guilan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Fractional derivative of order a Integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ Fractional uncertain differential equa-

In this article, we demonstrate that the claim made by Xiaoyan Li and Ni Sun [1] regarding the incorrectness of Theorem 7 in the paper [2] is wrong, and show that this Theorem is based on the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ and the fractional derivative of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$).

1. Introduction

tion

In 2005, Guy Jumarie studied on the fractional Brownian motion and proposed a representation of fractional Brownian motion as an integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$. To do this, he used the fractional derivative of order α concepts [3]. After that, he discussed about the lagrange analytical mechanics and used the fractional derivative and the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ and proposed an extension of the Lagrange analytical mechanics to deal with dynamics of fractal nature [4]. In 2010, Lv Longjin et al. studied about the connection between fractional derivative and fractional Brownian motion and used the Jumarie's idea and presented an application of fractional derivatives in stochastic models driven by fractional Brownian motion [5]. In recent years, researchers used the Jumarie's idea to find the option price PDE under the fractional and mixed fractional financial models [6, 7, 8, 9].

In paper [2], we used the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ to show that the fractional uncertain differential equation has a unique solution. Xiaoyan Li and Ni Sun in [1] by using the wrong definition of the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ proved that the Jumarie's idea and therefore Theorem 7 that is presented in [2] is wrong. Here, we study the Jumarie's idea and a part of the Theorem 7 that we used the idea.

2. Main result

Here, we present the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ which is introduced by Guy Jumarie and after that we mention some examples to better understand this definition. In 2005, Guy Jumarie created a bridge between the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ and the fractional integral as follows :

Definition 1. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then its integral w.r.t $(dt)^{\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is defined as follows:

$$\int_0^t f(\tau)(d\tau)^\alpha = \alpha \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau \tag{1}$$

This definition is based on the fractional derivative of order α for $0 < \alpha \le 1$ [3]. Also, to better understand this definition, he gave some examples as follows [3, 4]:

*Corresponding author

ORCID(s):

Example 1. (i)

Let
$$f(\tau) = 1$$
, then

$$\int_0^t (d\tau)^\alpha = t^\alpha \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1.$$
(2)

(ii) Let
$$f(\tau) = \tau^{\gamma}$$
, then

$$\int_0^t \tau^{\gamma} (d\tau)^{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\,\Gamma(\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\gamma+1)} t^{\alpha+\gamma}, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1.$$
(3)

Let f(t) be the Dirac delta generalized function $\delta(t)$, (iii) then

$$\int_0^t \delta(\tau) (d\tau)^{\alpha} = \alpha t^{\alpha - 1}, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1.$$
(4)

It should be noted that this definition is based on fractional calculations and it cannot be expressed as a Riemann sum like the Riemann integral or Riemann Stieltjes Integral.

In paper [2], we studied the fractional uncertain differential equation and proved that the equation has a unique solution. In fact, let (Γ, \mathcal{M}) be an uncertain space and $\{X_t\}_{t>0}$ satisfies in

$$dX_t = g(t, X_t)dt + h(t, X_t)dF_t^{\alpha},$$
(5)

where $\{F_t^{\alpha}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the fractional Liu process and the coefficients g(t, x) and h(t, x) satisfy the linear growth and Lipschitz conditions. Then the Eq (5) has a unique solution. Here we present a part of the proof of theorem 7. Assume that $X_t^{(0)} = X_0$, and

$$X_{t}^{(n)} = X_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} g\left(s, X_{s}^{(n-1)}\right) ds + \int_{0}^{t} h\left(s, X_{s}^{(n-1)}\right) dF_{s}^{\alpha}$$
(6)

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and suppose

$$D_t^{(n)}(\gamma) = \max_{0 < s < t} |X_s^{(n+1)}(\gamma) - X_s^{(n)}(\gamma)|,$$
(7)

for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It follows from the linear growth and Lipschitz conditions and the fractional Liu process property, we have

$$D_{t}^{(0)}(\gamma) = \max_{0 < s < t} \left| \int_{0}^{s} g(u, X_{0}) du + \int_{0}^{s} h(u, X_{0}) dF_{u}^{\alpha} \right|$$

$$< \int_{0}^{t} |g(u, X_{0})| du + \frac{2K(\gamma)}{1 - \alpha} \int_{0}^{t} |h(u, X_{0})| (du)^{1 - \alpha}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} |g(u, X_{0})| du$$

$$+ \frac{2K(\gamma)}{1 - \alpha} (1 - \alpha) \int_{0}^{t} (t - u)^{-\alpha} |h(u, X_{0})| du$$

$$< L(1 + |X_{0}|) \left(t + \frac{2t^{1 - \alpha}K(\gamma)}{1 - \alpha} \right), \qquad (8)$$

In this relation, we used Definition 1 and deduced that

$$\frac{2K(\gamma)}{1-\alpha} \int_0^t |h(u, X_0)| (du)^{1-\alpha} = \frac{2K(\gamma)}{1-\alpha} (1-\alpha) \int_0^t (t-u)^{-\alpha} |h(u, X_0)| du,$$
(9)

where *h* is a continuous function.

In [1], researchers used the Riemann sum and showed that the equation (1) and thus the equation (9) are wrong. In fact, they used the Riemann sum concepts and considered the integral with respect to $(dt)^{\alpha}$ as follows

$$\int_0^t f(s)(ds)^{\alpha} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)(\Delta s_i)^{\alpha},$$
 (10)

where $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = t$. Then for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, t = 1, and f(s) = 1 for any $s \in [0, 1]$, they concluded

$$\int_0^1 (ds)^\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta s_i)^\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^\alpha \longrightarrow \infty$$
(11)

and $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n = 1$. Therefore, the $\int_0^1 (ds)^{\alpha}$ is diverge, and thus the definition 1 is meaningless.

Based on this argument, they concluded that Theorem 7 in [2] is wrong and discussed another equation and considered it as a replacement for this equation.

But according to the definition 1, we have

$$\int_0^1 (ds)^{\alpha} = \alpha \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds = 1.$$
 (12)

References

- Li, X., & Sun, N. (2023). Comments for "Fractional Liu uncertain differential equation and its application to finance". Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 175, 113293.
- [2] Najafi, A., & Taleghani, R. (2022). Fractional Liu uncertain differential equation and its application to finance. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 165, 112875.
- [3] Jumarie, G. (2005). On the solution of the stochastic differential equation of exponential growth driven by fractional Brownian motion. Applied Mathematics Letters, 18(7), 817-826.
- [4] Jumarie, G. (2007). Lagrangian mechanics of fractional order, Hamilton–Jacobi fractional PDE and Taylor's series of nondifferentiable functions. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 32(3), 969-987.

- [5] Longjin, L., Ren, F. Y., & Qiu, W. Y. (2010). The application of fractional derivatives in stochastic models driven by fractional Brownian motion. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 389(21), 4809-4818.
- [6] Jumarie, G. (2008). Stock exchange fractional dynamics defined as fractional exponential growth driven by (usual) Gaussian white noise. Application to fractional Black–Scholes equations. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 42(1), 271-287.
- [7] Guo, Z., & Yuan, H. (2014). Pricing European option under the time-changed mixed Brownian-fractional Brownian model. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 406, 73-79.
- [8] Murwaningtyas, C. E., Kartiko, S. H., Gunardi, H. P. S., & Suryawan, H. P. (2020). Finite Difference Method for Pricing of Indonesian Option under a Mixed Fractional Brownian Motion. Mathematics and Statistics, 8(5), 610-619.
- [9] Najafi, A., & Mehrdoust, F. (2023). Conditional expectation strategy under the long memory Heston stochastic volatility model. Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation, 1-21.