

Spin interaction of non-relativistic neutrons with an ultrashort laser pulse

Peter Christian Aichelburg
*Fakultät für Physik, Universität Wien**

Christian Spreitzer
Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien & Pädagogische Hochschule Niederösterreich.†

The non-relativistic Pauli equation is used to study the interaction of slow neutrons with a short magnetic pulse. In the extreme limit, the pulse is acting on the magnetic moment of the neutron only at one instant of time. We obtain the scattering amplitude by deriving the junction conditions for the Pauli wave function across the pulse. Explicit expressions are given for a beam of polarized plane wave neutrons subjected to a pulse of spatially constant magnetic field strength. Assuming that the magnetic field is generated by an ultrashort laser pulse, we provide crude numerical estimates.

I. INTRODUCTION

The technique of generating ultrashort laser pulses offers many new applications in science and technology. Lasers with femto- or even attosecond pulses are able to produce considerable intensities reaching up to 10^{22} W/cm² [6, 9]. Such pulses allow to boost charged elementary particle to high energies, see e.g. Chou et al. [3], and may also be used to generate intense γ -rays and neutron beams via matter interaction [7]. In this note we focus on the direct interaction of neutrons with an ultrashort magnetic pulse. Assuming that the neutrons are non-relativistic, the interaction is described by the Pauli equation. The equation was first put forward by W. Pauli [8] to generalize the Schrödinger equation in order to study the interaction of charged spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles with external electromagnetic fields, but may equally describe the dynamics of neutral particles carrying a magnetic moment.¹ Neutrons are uncharged and thus experience no Lorentz force, hence the magnetic pulse only acts on their magnetic moment. We model the physical pulse as the mathematical limit to act only at a single instant of time, i.e. as being proportional to $\delta(t)$. This implies that before and after the interaction with the pulse, the neutrons satisfy the free Pauli equation and therefore the problem is to find solutions across the pulse. The δ -pulse leads to mathematically ill-defined expressions, but these obstacles can be overcome by making use of the so called Colombeau theory of generalized functions [4, 5]. We will not expand on this here but merely employ the result for our special case under consideration. With this we are able to derive the matching conditions between the solution before and after the pulse. For simplicity we specialize to plane wave neutrons. If the magnetic pulse is homogeneous, then the momentum of the wave remains unchanged, as expected, and only the spin orientation is

affected by the interaction. We explicitly derive the spin-flip probability as well as the spin expectation values for a beam of polarized neutrons. Finally we estimate the numerical outcome by making use of technical data for high intensity ultrashort pulse lasers.

II. THE PAULI EQUATION FOR A δ -LIKE COUPLING TERM

The Pauli equation for neutrons is given by

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, \vec{x}) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + \mu \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}(t, \vec{x}) \right) \psi(t, \vec{x}) \quad (1)$$

where ψ is a two-component wave function accounting for the particle's spin, $\vec{B}(t, \vec{x})$ is the magnetic field strength, μ represents the absolute value of the magnetic moment of the neutron ($\mu = 0.966\,236\,45(24) \cdot 10^{-26}$ J T⁻¹) and the sign takes care that the orientation is opposite to the spin. We model the magnetic pulse as a singular event in time and set

$$\vec{B}(t, \vec{x}) = \tau \delta(t) \vec{B}(\vec{x}). \quad (2)$$

At this stage, $\tau > 0$ is a not-yet specified constant introduced for dimensional reasons. It has the dimension of time, since $\delta(t)$ has the dimension of an inverse time. Later, when it comes to obtaining numerical estimates, we will assign its value. Using (2) in (1) yields

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t, \vec{x}) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + \mu \tau \delta(t) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{x}) \right) \psi(t, \vec{x}). \quad (3)$$

Since for $t \neq 0$, equation (3) is identical to the free Schrödinger equation, we write the wave function as the sum of a free evolution before and after the pulse and try to find the transition conditions through the pulse. Accordingly we write the wave function as

$$\psi(t, \vec{x}) = \Theta_+(t) \psi_+(t, \vec{x}) + \Theta_-(t) \psi_-(t, \vec{x}) \quad (4)$$

* peter.christian.aichelburg@univie.ac.at

† christian.spreitzer@univie.ac.at

¹ The Pauli equation can be derived as the non-relativistic limit of the relativistic Dirac equation which, in contrast to the Pauli equation, also models particles with high kinetic energy.

with Θ_+ representing the Heaviside step function and $\Theta_- := 1 - \Theta_+$. Using (4) in (3), we obtain

$$\Theta_+(t) \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} \Delta \right) \psi_+ + \Theta_-(t) \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} \Delta \right) \psi_- + i \delta(t) (\psi_+ - \psi_-) - \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \delta(t) (\Theta_+(t) \psi_+ + \Theta_-(t) \psi_-) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where we have omitted the coordinate dependence of ψ and \vec{B} and set $\hbar = \tau = \mu = 1$. Requiring that both ψ_+ and ψ_- satisfy the free equation, the terms in the first line of (4) vanish.

The interaction term contains mathematically ill-defined expressions of the form $\Theta_+ \delta$. However, the theory of Colombeau generalized functions provides both a rigorous mathematical formalism to handle products of arbitrary distributions and also the application-oriented concept of association for calculations on the distributional level without making use of the full theory.² All we need to know here is that the product $\Theta_+ \delta$ (which can be defined in a precise way as a Colombeau generalized function) is associated to $a\delta$, where a is a yet undetermined constant, i.e. $\Theta_+ \delta = a\delta$ in the sense of association. Employing this relation in equation (5), we obtain

$$\delta(t) \left((\mathbb{I} + i a \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) \psi_+ - (\mathbb{I} - i (1-a) \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) \psi_- \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where \mathbb{I} is the unity matrix and the term in parentheses has to be evaluated at $t = 0$. Following Balasin [1] and Balasin and Aichelburg [2], we require that the Pauli (Schrödinger) probability density and current satisfy the continuity equation $\dot{\rho} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 0$. It is easy to see that $\rho = \psi^\dagger \psi$ is conserved across the pulse, yielding the additional condition³

$$\delta(t) \left(\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ - \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- \right) = 0$$

which together with (6) leads to

$$\frac{1 + (1 - 2a(1-a)) |\vec{B}(0, \vec{x})|^2 + a^2(1-a)^2 |\vec{B}(0, \vec{x})|^4}{(1 + a^2 |\vec{B}(0, \vec{x})|^2)^2} = 1,$$

implying that $a = \frac{1}{2}$. With this, condition (6) becomes

$$(\mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{x})) \Phi_+(\vec{x}) = (\mathbb{I} - \frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{x})) \Phi_-(\vec{x}) \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi_-(\vec{x}) := \psi_-(0, \vec{x})$ and $\Phi_+(\vec{x}) := \psi_+(0, \vec{x})$. Noticing that $(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B})^2 = |\vec{B}|^2$, it is easy to verify that

$$(\mathbb{I} + \frac{i}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B})^{-1} = \frac{\mathbb{I} - i \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{1 + \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2}$$

and applying this inverse matrix to equation (7), we end up with the matching condition

$$\Phi_+ = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2) \mathbb{I} - i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{1 + \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2} \Phi_-. \quad (8)$$

Thus the pulse transforms the incoming data $\Phi_-(\vec{x}) \equiv \psi_-(0, \vec{x})$ into outgoing data $\Phi_+(\vec{x}) \equiv \psi_+(0, \vec{x})$. In order to obtain the solution after the interaction, one has to solve the free Pauli equation with initial data $\Phi_+(\vec{x}) \equiv \psi_+(0, \vec{x})$.

For the free Pauli (i.e. Schrödinger-) equation, a plane wave solution with particle momentum \vec{p} is given by

$$\psi_{\vec{p}}(t, \vec{x}) = \chi e^{-i \left(\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} t - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} \right)} \quad (9)$$

where the Pauli spinor χ corresponds to the polarization of the neutrons and can be chosen arbitrarily.

If we start with a plane wave solution (9) and consider a homogeneous magnetic field $\vec{B} = \text{const.}$ (i.e. $\vec{B}(t) = \tau \delta(t) \vec{B}$), then the transition condition (8) reduces to

$$\psi_+(0, \vec{x}) = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2) \mathbb{I} - i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{1 + \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2} \chi_- e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}, \quad (10)$$

where χ_- is the initial polarization of the neutrons. The initial condition (10) implies that

$$\psi_+(t, \vec{x}) = \chi_+ e^{-i \left(\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} t - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} \right)}$$

where

$$\chi_+ = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2) \mathbb{I} - i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}}{1 + \frac{1}{4} |\vec{B}|^2} \chi_- \quad (11)$$

is the final polarization of the neutron.

The particle momentum \vec{p} remains unchanged since a homogeneous magnetic pulse will only affect the spin of the neutrons. Evoking the classical analog, a homogeneous magnetic field does not exert a resulting force on a magnetic dipole whose magnetic moment is not aligned with the magnetic field, but a torque.

As a simple example we consider the initial neutron beam to be polarized in z -direction, i.e. $\chi_- = \chi^\uparrow$, where $\chi^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are eigenvectors of the Pauli spin matrix σ_z , and the magnetic field to be orthogonal to the spin polarization, i.e. to lie in the xy -plane. Then, setting $\vec{B} = (B_x, B_y, 0)^T = \text{const.}$ and calculating χ_+ according to (11) yields

$$\chi_+ = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{4} B^2}{1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2} \chi^\uparrow + \frac{B_y - i B_x}{1 + \frac{1}{4} B^2} \chi^\downarrow \quad (12)$$

² Two generalized functions $[(f_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]}]$ and $[(g_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0,1]}]$, these are (equivalence classes of) families of smooth functions, are associated to each other, if they are equal in the distributional limit, meaning that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_\varepsilon(t) - g_\varepsilon(t)) \varphi(t) dt = 0$ for all functions φ from a certain test function space.

³ In a non-distributional setting, a solution of the Pauli equation automatically satisfies the continuity equation. In our case however, it has to be imposed separately, since, in general, equality in the sense of association is broken in non-linear operations.

where $B^2 = B_x^2 + B_y^2$. From expression (12) one obtains the “spin-flip” probability

$$|\langle \chi^\downarrow | \chi_+ \rangle|^2 = \frac{B^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{4}B^2)^2}.$$

III. EXPECTATION VALUES AND NUMERICAL ESTIMATES

In order to obtain numerical estimates, we have to uncover the constants \hbar , μ and τ . This amounts to replacing $B_{(j)}$ everywhere by $\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B_{(j)}$, where τ was introduced in (2) and μ is the absolute value of the magnetic moment of the neutron. As mentioned in the introduction, one way to produce a short intense magnetic pulse is to use an ultrashort pulse laser, since the electric field does not interact with a neutron (at least in the non-relativistic regime). Although we have assumed that the neutrons interact only at one instant with the pulse, even the shortest laser pulse is of finite duration. We thus identify τ with the duration of the pulse and take B_j to be the effective magnetic field strength in direction j , which we define as the average of the magnetic field strength over the period τ , i.e.

$$B_j = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \mathcal{B}_j(t) dt.$$

We will calculate expectation values for the spin operators $S_j := \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_j$. interaction. If the incoming neutron beam is initially polarized along the z -axis, i.e. $\langle \chi_- | S_z | \chi_- \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}$, then the expectation value after the interaction with the magnetic pulse is obtained from (12),

$$\langle S_z \rangle_{\chi_+} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B)^2)^2 - (\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B)^2}{(1 + \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B)^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

Assuming that the magnetic field of the pulse is oriented in x -direction, i.e.

$$B_y = B_z = 0, \quad B_x = B,$$

condition (12) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_x \rangle_{\chi_+} &= 0, \\ \langle S_y \rangle_{\chi_+} &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{2\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B(1 - \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B)^2)}{(1 + \frac{1}{4}(\frac{\mu}{\hbar}\tau B)^2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with the classical analog, since in this situation the torque $\vec{M} = \vec{\mu} \times \vec{B}$ would point in negative y -direction and thus the Bloch vector $(\langle S_x \rangle, \langle S_y \rangle, \langle S_z \rangle)^T$, initially pointing in z -direction, should rotate in negative y -direction. Plots of the expectation values $\langle S_y \rangle_{\chi_+}$ and $\langle S_z \rangle_{\chi_+}$ are shown in Figure 1. For weak fields we can approximate $\langle S_y \rangle_{\chi_+}$ by

$$\langle S_y \rangle_{\chi_+} \approx \frac{\hbar}{2} \left(-\frac{\mu B_x}{\hbar/2} \right) \tau = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \omega_L \tau,$$




FIG. 1. Spin expectation values after the interaction.

where $\omega_L > 0$ is the Larmor frequency, corresponding to the classical analog of a magnetic moment precessing with an angular frequency ω_L in the magnetic field of the pulse.

The shortest laser pulses that can be produced today are not those with the highest intensity. However, laser pulses with a duration $\tau \approx 20$ fs and a peak intensity $I \approx 5.5 \cdot 10^{22}$ W/cm² have been achieved with the J-KAREN-P laser at KPSI (Japan), see Yoon et al. [9]. By means of the Poynting vector, this corresponds to a peak magnetic field strength in the order of

$$B \approx \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 I}{c}} \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ T}.$$

However, the average value of the magnetic field strength over the volume of the pulse is much smaller. Assuming that the pulse has a total energy $E = 5$ J, a cross-sectional area $A = 2$ (μm)² and a duration $\tau = 20$ fs, a crude estimate of the relevant characteristic quantity in terms of the quadratic mean of the magnetic field strength gives

$$\frac{\mu\tau}{\hbar} B \approx \frac{\mu\tau}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 E}{2c\tau A}} \approx 0.3$$

leading to rough estimates for the achievable order of magnitude of the spin-flip probability

$$|\langle \chi^\downarrow | \chi_+ \rangle|^2 \approx 0.09$$

and the expectation values

$$\langle S_z \rangle_{\chi_+} \approx 0.83 \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad \langle S_y \rangle_{\chi_+} \approx -0.56 \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

Given that the assumed conditions can be accomplished experimentally, our analysis shows that the effect is in principle measurable.

IV. RELATIVISTIC CORRECTIONS AND OUTLOOK

The Pauli equation is restricted to describe non-relativistic particles with spin. So one may ask whether our results would differ substantially by taking into account relativistic effects. By the following argument it is possible to estimate the first order corrections in v/c . The above derivation assumes the neutrons to be moving with non-relativistic velocities as viewed by an observer in the lab system. The analysis shows that the momentum of the neutrons not only remains constant through the pulse, but also does not influence the spin interaction. All that matters is the relative orientation of the spin to the magnetic field of the pulse. This is not so in the relativistic case where in addition, the direction of the velocity with respect to the orientation of the spin and the propagation direction of the pulse becomes relevant. For example, assuming that the neutron's velocity is or-

thogonal to the spin orientation, then the electric field of the pulse will lead to an extra magnetic field strength acting in the neutron's rest frame. This term is of order $(v/c)\frac{\mu\tau}{\hbar}E = \frac{\mu\tau}{\hbar}vB$ and will enter linearly in the expectation values.

A full relativistic treatment would require a formulation in terms of the Dirac equation. In a further work we intend to give a detailed relativistic analysis for neutrons interacting with an electromagnetic pulse. This would then also allow to discuss the interaction of relativistic neutrons with extremely short and intensive lasers.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

-
- [1] Balasin, H., 1997. Geodesics for impulsive gravitational waves and the multiplication of distributions. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 14, 455–462.
 - [2] Balasin, H., Aichelburg, P.C., 2018. Scattering of classical and quantum particles by impulsive fields. *Classical Quantum Gravity* 35, 095013, 13.
 - [3] Chou, H.G.J., Grassi, A., Glenzer, S.H., Fiuza, F., 2022. Radiation pressure acceleration of high-quality ion beams using ultrashort laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 4, L022056.
 - [4] Colombeau, J.F., 1985. Elementary introduction to new generalized functions. volume 113 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam. *Notes on Pure Mathematics*, 103.
 - [5] Colombeau, J.F., 1992. Multiplication of distributions. volume 1532 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
 - [6] Gaumnitz, T., Jain, A., Pertot, Y., Huppert, M., Jordan, I., Ardana-Lamas, F., Wörner, H.J., 2017. Streaking of 43-attosecond soft-x-ray pulses generated by a passively cep-stable mid-infrared driver. *Opt. Express* 25, 27506–27518.
 - [7] Günther, M.M., Rosmej, O.N., Tavana, P., Gyrdymov, M., Skobliakov, A., Kantsyrev, A., Zähler, S., Borisenko, N.G., Pukhov, A., Andreev, N.E., 2022. Forward-looking insights in laser-generated ultra-intense γ -ray and neutron sources for nuclear application and science. *Nature Communications* 13.
 - [8] Pauli, W., 1927. Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons. *Z. Physik* 43, 601–623.
 - [9] Yoon, J.W., Jeon, C., Shin, J., Lee, S.K., Lee, H.W., Choi, I.W., Kim, H.T., Sung, J.H., Nam, C.H., 2019. Achieving the laser intensity of $5.5 \cdot 10^{22}$ W/cm² with a wavefront-corrected multi-pw laser. *Opt. Express* 27, 20412–20420.