

# Effective field theory of Berry Landau Fermi liquid from the coadjoint orbit method

Xiaoyang Huang<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Center for Theory of Quantum Matter,  
University of Colorado, Boulder CO 80309, USA*

(Dated: February 7, 2024)

We construct an effective field theory for an interacting Fermi liquid with nonzero Berry curvature at zero temperature, called the Berry Landau Fermi liquid. We start with the extended phase space formalism to include the physical time into the configuration space. In this way, the time dependence of the background gauge fields can be incorporated as a modification to the symplectic structure. Upon restricting to the physical hypersurface, the effective action that lives on the coadjoint orbit becomes the minus free energy on the extended phase space. We also derive a perturbative action using the canonical variables. For applications, we compute both linear and nonlinear electrical responses using the Kubo formula, and identify those underexplored magnetization-current contributions to the static ( $\omega/q \rightarrow 0$ ) Hall conductivity. We determine the anomalous Hall effect caused by the Landau parameter that breaks parity and time-reversal symmetry. The (non)linear anomalous Hall effect in the free fermion limit is confirmed using the kinetic theory.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. A brief review of the coadjoint orbit method	3
3. Effective field theory of Berry Landau Fermi liquid	4
3.1. Extended phase space, modified canonical transformation and the effective action	4
3.2. Canonical variables	6
3.3. Including Landau parameters	7
3.4. Current operators	8
4. Electrical responses	9
4.1. Linear conductivity	9
4.1.1. Drude conductivity	10
4.1.2. Hall conductivity	10
4.2. Second-order Hall conductivity	12
4.2.1. 2-point current correlation function	12
4.2.2. 3-point current correlation function	13
5. Outlook	16
Acknowledgements	16
A. Single-particle symplectic mechanics	16
B. Nonlinear response theory	17
C. Kinetic theory	19
References	21

---

\* [xiaoyang.huang@colorado.edu](mailto:xiaoyang.huang@colorado.edu)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Landau Fermi liquid (LFL) theory lays the main foundation of gapless interacting fermionic systems in modern condensed matter physics. Formulated by Landau in 1959 [1], LFL successfully describes interacting fermions as a system consisting of quasi-particle excitations, which behaves just like free fermions. The interactions between quasi-particles are further captured phenomenologically by Landau parameters. Later, by means of the renormalization group, Shankar [2] and Polchinski [3] were able to conclude that the most important forward scattering interaction is marginal, thus will not destroy the LFL fixed point<sup>1</sup>. Being an effective theory, however, LFL is limited to its equation-of-motion-based formalism, and what remains perplexing is the underlying symmetry that governs its dynamics. Progress has been made using the patch theory [4] or towards bosonizing the Fermi surface with a collection of 1d Luttinger liquid [5–7]. In the meantime, the idea of incompressible fluid in the phase space [8–10] was further developed to be capable of constructing a local effective Lagrangian for the Fermi surface with a systematic expansion of irrelevant contributions to the LFL fixed point [11].

Berry curvature, originally discovered by Berry to describe geometric properties of parametrized adiabatic evolution [12], plays an important role in topological materials [13]. It leads to exotic electronic properties in noncentrosymmetric materials such as the anomalous Hall effect [14], and its nonlinear generalizations [15]. In 3 + 1D, other examples caused by the Berry curvature include chiral anomaly and chiral magnetic effect [16–19]; a canonical example is the Weyl semi-metal [20]. It was first realized by Haldane [21] that the nonquantized part of the anomalous Hall coefficient is a Fermi surface property, suggesting that a nonzero Berry curvature is an honest generalization of LFL. Later, a Keldysh formalism was developed to study interaction effects on Berry curvature [22], and the generalization of Boltzmann equation with the Berry curvature was further confirmed using a fermionic QFT [23]. However, most of the studies are based on the equation of motion, and a controlled field theory prescription is still lacking. While Ref. [16, 23] studied fermionic QFTs, they are too complicated as even matching the linear responses requires solving higher order loop diagrams. The goal of this work is to build an effective field theory for Berry LFL using the bosonization developed in Ref. [11], where the loop diagrams in fermionic QFT are captured by tree level diagrams in the bosonic action.

The effects of Berry curvature are often studied through semiclassical electron dynamics [13]: by including the geometric phase in both the real and momentum space into the single-particle action, we obtain a modified symplectic structure. However, as we show in Appendix A, the effective action on the coadjoint orbit of the modified canonical transformation does not produce the correct Boltzmann equation. The reason is simple – the modified symplectic form is *time-dependent* coming from the electromagnetic fields whose evolution will be mixed with the canonical transformation. To separate out the background gauge fields from the dynamics of the Fermi liquid, we construct two approaches. First, we apply the extended phase space formalism [24] to include the physical time into the configuration space. The total phase space consists of spacetime coordinates and energy and momentum. Hence, the time dependence of the 1-form  $U(1)$  gauge field is now incorporated into the modified symplectic structure. Second, thanks to the Darboux’s theorem, there is always a set of canonical variables on a local neighborhood of the symplectic manifold that satisfy the canonical Poisson bracket. Since the canonical Poisson bracket is time-independent, we can safely apply the coadjoint orbit theory in Ref. [11] but with the price that the Hamiltonian now depends on the non-canonical variables. However, the canonical variables can only be found *perturbatively*, while the extended phase space formalism turns out to be *exact*. We will thus focus on the first approach since it gives a non-perturbative action.

The structure of this paper is as follows. We first give a brief review of the coadjoint orbit method in Section 2 following closely Ref. [11] (see also [25]). We then construct the effective action of Berry LFL using the extended phase space formalism in Section 3. We also discussed the canonical variables and the perturbative action. In Section 4, we perform a detailed calculation of linear and nonlinear electrical responses of a parity-violating system, and stress the difference between the dc limit ( $\omega/q \rightarrow \infty$ ) and the static limit ( $\omega/q \rightarrow 0$ ). We conclude in Section 5 with an outlook of our theory. We supplement the main text with three appendices: Appendix A devotes to the symplectic mechanics in an ordinary phase space; Appendix B contains the Kubo formula for nonlinear responses; Appendix C includes the perturbed kinetic theory calculation. Throughout this paper, we focus on  $d = 2$ , but generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward. We denote  $\mu, \nu = t, x, y$  as the spacetime indices and  $i, j = x, y$  as the spatial indices. We will also use  $\xi^a$  to denote collectively the phase space variables.

---

<sup>1</sup> We ignore the BCS instability for the purpose of this paper.

## 2. A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE COADJOINT ORBIT METHOD

At zero temperature, the Fermi liquid governed by the collisionless Boltzmann equation evolves following the canonical transformations, i.e. Hamiltonian dynamics. Denote the Lie algebra of the canonical transformation as  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Lie bracket in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Poisson bracket:

$$\{F, G\} = \nabla F \cdot \nabla_p G - \nabla_p F \cdot \nabla G, \quad (2.1)$$

for  $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathfrak{g}$ . We call these functions the fields. The element of the Lie group  $\mathcal{G}$  of the canonical transformation is the exponentiation of the Lie algebra:  $U = \exp F \in \mathcal{G}$ . As an example, the (free fermion) Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) + V(\mathbf{x})$  is an element of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and its corresponding group element  $\exp H$  transforms  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{p}')$  as a result of time evolution. The phase space distribution function  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$  is defined in the dual space of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . It is defined as returning the average value of the element of the Lie algebra:

$$F[f] \equiv \langle f, F \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\int_{\mathbf{x}} = \int d^d x$ ,  $\int_{\mathbf{p}} = \int d^d p / (2\pi)^d$ . The adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined through

$$\text{ad}_G F = \{G, F\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, the coadjoint action is defined by requiring  $\langle \text{ad}_G^* f, F \rangle = -\langle f, \text{ad}_G F \rangle$ , which leads to

$$\text{ad}_G^* f = \{G, f\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Further, the adjoint/coadjoint action of group  $\mathcal{G}$  is given by  $\text{Ad}_U F = U F U^{-1}$  and  $\text{Ad}_U^* f = U f U^{-1}$ , respectively<sup>2</sup>. That the adjoint/coadjoint action furnishes a representation follows from the fact that the Poisson bracket obeys Jacobi identity.

The Liouville's theorem states that the distribution function remains constant along trajectories in phase space. It results in the collisionless Boltzmann equation (see Appendix A)

$$\partial_t f - \text{ad}_H^* f = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

A formal solution to this equation is given by  $f(t) = \text{Ad}_{U(t)}^* f_0 = U^*(t) f_0 U(t)^{-1}$  where  $\partial_t U(t) = H U(t)$  and  $U(0) = 1$ , and  $f_0$  is some reference state. Therefore, the relevant space of states is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_0} = \{f | \exists U \in \mathcal{G} : f = \text{Ad}_U^* f_0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is the *coadjoint orbit* of  $\mathcal{G}$ . For LFL, the space of states consists of droplets in the momentum space of arbitrary shape but fixed volume, and this is precisely given by the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{f_0}$ . For our purpose, we take the reference state  $f_0$  to be a rotationally invariant connected Fermi surface

$$f_0(\mathbf{p}) = \Theta(p_F - |\mathbf{p}|). \quad (2.7)$$

We call the stabilizer subgroup  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$  whose elements  $V$  leave the distribution invariant  $f = \text{Ad}_V^* f_0 = f_0$ . The stabilizer subgroup has its own feature of describing a steady-state distribution. With (2.7) and (2.1), we see any momentum space function  $V = \exp \alpha(\mathbf{p})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$ <sup>3</sup>. Therefore, the coadjoint orbit is the left coset space:

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_0} \cong \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.8)$$

The coadjoint orbit can be parametrized in the following way. We denote each group element  $\exp(-\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}))$ ,  $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}$  by a perturbative field  $\phi \ll 1$ . Then, we quotient out the stabilizer element  $\alpha = \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta, |\mathbf{p}| = p_F)$ ,  $\text{ad}_\alpha^* f_0 = 0$ , where  $\theta$  parametrizes the Fermi surface. To the linear order, we arrive at

$$U = \exp(-\phi(t, \mathbf{x}, \theta)) \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}, \quad (2.9)$$

<sup>2</sup> We will stick to the matrix form of notation throughout this paper as it is helpful to take an analogy with quantum mechanics.

<sup>3</sup> An interesting element is to take  $\alpha(\mathbf{p}_F)$  on the Fermi surface, then with the identification  $\alpha \sim \alpha + 2\pi$ , it becomes a ‘‘gauge’’  $\text{LU}(1)$  symmetry associated with the coset space, i.e. the coadjoint orbit. This should be contrasted with the global  $\text{LU}(1)$  symmetry discussed in Ref. [26] because that requires the IR distribution function to satisfy  $\text{Ad}_V^* f_{\text{IR}} = f_{\text{IR}}$ .

where the bosonic field  $\phi(t, \mathbf{x}, \theta)$  lives on the Fermi surface only. Expanding around (2.7), the distribution function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f &= U f_0 U^{-1} = f_0 - \{\phi, f_0\} + \frac{1}{2}\{\phi, \{\phi, f_0\}\} + \dots \\ &= \Theta(p_F - |\mathbf{p}|) + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \delta(|\mathbf{p}| - p_F) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $n^i = p^i/|\mathbf{p}|$  is the unit vector normal to the Fermi surface, and in the following we will use  $\mathbf{s} = \partial_\theta \mathbf{n}$  to denote the transverse direction.

The coadjoint orbit is a symplectic manifold according to the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau theorem [27]. To describe perturbative fluctuations around  $f_0$ , the non-degenerate and closed symplectic 2-form can be taken to be exact leading to the effective action [11]:

$$S = S_{\text{WZW}} + S_H, \quad S_{\text{WZW}} = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} \partial_t U \rangle, \quad S_H = - \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) U \rangle, \quad (2.11)$$

which includes the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) term  $S_{\text{WZW}}$  and the Hamiltonian  $S_H$ .

### 3. EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY OF BERRY LANDAU FERMI LIQUID

In this section, we generalize the coadjoint orbit method to include both the electromagnetic fields and the Berry curvature. In Section 3.1, we apply the extended phase space method to get the exact action, while, in Section 3.2, we use canonical variables to construct an action that is perturbative in the background gauge fields.

#### 3.1. Extended phase space, modified canonical transformation and the effective action

Consider extending the configuration space from  $M$  to  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  to include the physical time  $t$ , where here  $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ . The extended phase space is given by the cotangent bundle  $T^*(M \times \mathbb{R}) = T^*M \times \mathbb{R}^2$  with the coordinates  $(x^i, p_i, t, E)$ , where  $E$  is the energy conjugate to  $t$ . We introduce another real variable  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  to parametrize the trajectories in the extended phase space. The single-particle action is given by

$$S = \int \tilde{\lambda}_a d\tilde{\xi}^a - h ds, \quad (3.1)$$

where the tilde variables run over the extended phase space. The extended Hamiltonian  $h$  takes the form of

$$h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t, E) = H(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + E, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $H$  is the physical Hamiltonian. Let us choose the symplectic part to be

$$\int \tilde{\lambda}_a d\tilde{\xi}^a = \int p^i dx^i + A_p^i(\mathbf{p}) dp^i + A_i(t, \mathbf{x}) dx^i + A_t(t, \mathbf{x}) dt + E dt, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{p})$  are the U(1) gauge fields and Berry connection, respectively, and their fluxes are given by

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla A_t - \partial_t \mathbf{A}, \quad B = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \Omega = -\nabla_p \times \mathbf{A}_p. \quad (3.4)$$

The corresponding symplectic form, defined as  $\tilde{\omega}_{ab} \equiv \partial_a \lambda_b - \partial_b \lambda_a$ , is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}_{x^i p^j} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{x^i x^j} = B(t, \mathbf{x}) \epsilon^{ij}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{p^i p^j} = -\Omega(\mathbf{p}) \epsilon^{ij}, \quad \tilde{\omega}_{x^i t} = E_i(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \tilde{\omega}_{Et} = 1, \quad (3.5)$$

with a modified phase space volume  $\sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}} = 1 + B(t, \mathbf{x}) \Omega(\mathbf{p})$ . The Poisson bracket is determined by the inverse of the symplectic form,  $\tilde{\omega}^{ab} = (\tilde{\omega}^{-1})_{ab}$ , and it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_{\text{ex}} &= \frac{1}{1 + B\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p^i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^i} - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j} + B \epsilon^{ij} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p^j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial G}{\partial E} + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x^j} + E_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial p^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial E} - E_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p^i} \right) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial E} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial E} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By varying the action (3.1) with respect to  $\tilde{\xi}^a$ , we obtain the (single-particle) equation of motion  $d\tilde{\xi}^a/ds = \{\tilde{\xi}^a, h\}_{\text{ex}} = \tilde{\omega}^{ab}\partial_b h$ .

The physical space of states is unchanged upon extending the phase space, hence, additional constraints must be imposed. Since  $h$  does not depend on  $s$  explicitly, we can restrict dynamics to the hypersurface  $h = 0$ , or equivalently  $E = -H(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ . Putting it in another way, the canonical transformations only act on the hypersurface instead of the extended phase space<sup>4</sup>. Along the hypersurface, the Liouville's equation is trivial  $\partial_s f - \text{Ad}_h^* f = \partial_s f = 0$ , hence we can take all the dynamical variables to be independent of  $s$ . Given the reference state (2.7), the coadjoint orbit is now parametrized by

$$U = \exp(-\phi(t, \mathbf{x}, \theta, E = -H(t, \mathbf{x}, \theta, p = p_F))) \equiv \exp(-\tilde{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}, \theta)). \quad (3.7)$$

This can be seen by taking  $\alpha = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^i \tilde{\omega}^{ap^i} \partial_a \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} &= \frac{n^i}{1+B\Omega} [\partial_i \alpha + \epsilon^{ji} B \partial_{p^j} \alpha]|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = 0 \\ \implies \text{ad}_\alpha^* f_0 &= \{\alpha, f_0\}_{\text{ex}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we used  $\partial_i \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = \partial_{\theta^i} \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = 0$  and the radial derivative vanishes due to the anti-symmetric tensor, hence,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$ . For simplicity, we will omit the tilde on  $\phi$  in the following.

For a generic evolution on the coadjoint orbit of the extended phase space, the effective action will be  $S = \int ds \langle f_0, U^{-1}(\partial_s - h)U \rangle_{\text{ex}}$  with  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{ex}}$  a natural pairing on the extended phase space. However, the physical dynamics are more restricted than this coadjoint orbit as we described above. Specifically, we should discard the WZW term  $\sim U^{-1} \partial_s U$  as no physical degrees of freedom will depend on  $s$ . We should also restrict ourselves to the physical hypersurface which is in one-to-one correspondence to the real Hamiltonian. Let us denote the inner product in the original phase space as

$$\langle f, F \rangle = \int \frac{d^2 x d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where we have assumed that the symplectic form will not depend explicitly on  $E$ . Then, the effective action becomes

$$S = - \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} X_h^a \partial_a U \rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $X_h^a \equiv \tilde{\omega}^{ba} \partial_b h$  is the Hamiltonian vector field for  $h$ , and  $f_0$  is given by (2.7). Several remarks follow. First, within the space of the inner product (3.9), we have  $U^{-1} X_h^a \partial_a U \sim U^{-1} h U$ . But since  $h$  depends on  $E$  that is beyond this space, we should only allow for its Hamiltonian flow but not its expectation values  $\sim \int dt \langle h, f \rangle$ ; this is amount to choosing the physical time direction as the causal structure on the physical hypersurface. Second, the action can be interpreted as the minus ‘‘free energy’’ generated by the extended Poisson bracket. Third, this action reduces to (2.11) in the absence of the background gauge fields as follows: the action generated by the vector field  $X_h^t$  becomes the original WZW term, and those generated by  $X_h^{a \neq t}$  becomes the Hamiltonian part. We notice that  $h = 0$  is the ‘‘on-shell’’ condition for the equation of motion and should not trivialize the action. Let us vary the action with respect to  $U \rightarrow \exp(\delta\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}))U$ , and we find

$$\{f, h\}_{\text{ex}} = \partial_t f + \frac{1}{1+B\Omega} (E^i \partial_{p^i} f + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f E_j + \partial_i f \partial_{p^i} H - \partial_{p^i} f \partial_i H + B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} f \partial_{p^j} H - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j H) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

which is precisely the Boltzmann equation in [13].

In terms of the bosonic field  $\phi$ , the action can be expanded as follows. Let us take the non-interacting kinetic energy  $H = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})$ , so

$$\{h, \phi\}_{\text{ex}} = -\partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{1+B\Omega} (\Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_i \partial_j \phi - E_i \partial_{p^i} \phi - v_i \partial_i \phi + B \epsilon^{ij} v_i \partial_{p^j} \phi), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $v_i = \partial \varepsilon / \partial p^i$ . Thus, the linear order action becomes

$$S^{(1)} = - \int dt \langle f_0, \{h, -\phi\}_{\text{ex}} \rangle$$

---

<sup>4</sup> This should be contrasted with the formalism in [28], where the generalized canonical transformation acts on the generalized phase space.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{t,x,p} f_0 \left( -(1 + B\Omega) \partial_t \phi + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_i \partial_j \phi - E_i \partial_{p^i} \phi \right) \\
&= -\frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{t,x,\theta} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E} \phi,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where we have ignored total derivatives, and in the last step we used the Maxwell relation  $\partial_t B = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$  and the identity  $\partial_{p^i} f_0 = -n^i \delta(p - p_F)$ . The leading expansion of the distribution function becomes

$$\{f_0, \phi\}_{\text{ex}} = \frac{n^i}{1 + B\Omega} (\partial_i \phi - B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^j} \phi) \delta(p - p_F). \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, the quadratic action becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \langle \{ \phi, f_0 \}_{\text{ex}}, \{ h, \phi \}_{\text{ex}} \rangle \\
&= -\frac{p_F}{2(2\pi)^2} \int_{t,x,\theta} \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{B}{p_F} \partial_\theta \phi \right) \left( \partial_t \phi - \frac{1}{1 + B\Omega} \left( \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_i \partial_j \phi - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{B v_F - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{s}}{p_F} \partial_\theta \phi \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

### 3.2. Canonical variables

In a neighborhood of each point of a symplectic manifold, there always exists a set of canonical phase space variables that satisfy the canonical Poisson bracket (2.1) thanks to the Darboux's theorem. For example, particles moving in the electromagnetic fields can be formulated under the canonical variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}$ , where the shift of momentum is the Peierls substitution. In the presence of both the U(1) gauge field  $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$  and the Berry connection  $\mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{p})$ , the exact form of canonical variables is unknown. However, it is possible to work in the regime where  $A_\mu = O(\epsilon)$  and  $A_p^i = O(\epsilon_p)$  are perturbatively small  $\epsilon, \epsilon_p \ll 1$ , and only keep leading orders up to  $O(\epsilon\epsilon_p)$ . Within this subsection, we work in the phase space  $T^*M = \mathbb{R}^4$ .

Based on the single-particle symplectic mechanics in Appendix A, we have the canonical variables given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{p}) - A^j \nabla_p A_p^j, \tag{3.16a}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3.16b}$$

To see they give rise to the correct symplectic structure, we calculate the transformed symplectic form

$$\omega_{ab}^{\text{non-can}} = (M^T)^c_a \omega_{cd}^{\text{can}} M^d_b, \quad M^a_b = \frac{\partial(X, P)^a}{\partial(x, p)^b}, \tag{3.17}$$

with  $\omega_{x^i p^j}^{\text{can}} = -\delta_{ij}$ , and we find, to the leading order in  $O(\epsilon\epsilon_p)$ ,

$$\omega_{x^i p^j}^{\text{non-can}} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \omega_{p^i p^j}^{\text{non-can}} = -\Omega \epsilon^{ij}, \quad \omega_{x^i x^j}^{\text{non-can}} = B \epsilon^{ij}, \tag{3.18}$$

which agrees with (A3).

Consider the action (2.11) with a kinetic energy  $H = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) - A_t(t, \mathbf{x})$ :

$$S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\partial_t + A_t(t, \mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})] U \rangle, \tag{3.19}$$

where the canonical transformation is given with respect to the canonical variables (3.16). We can still use the canonical Poisson bracket (2.1), but with the price that the functions in the action (3.19) now depend on the non-canonical variables:

$$x^i = X^i + A_p^i(\mathbf{P}) - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} A_j, \tag{3.20a}$$

$$p^i = P^i - A^i(\mathbf{X}) - \partial_k A^i A_p^k. \tag{3.20b}$$

Upon varying the action, we obtain the equation of motion as

$$\partial_t f + \partial_i A_t \partial_{p^i} f - \partial_j A_t \partial_{p^i} A_p^j \partial_i f + v^i \partial_i f + v^j \partial_i A^j \partial_{p^i} f - v^j \partial_k A^j \partial_{p^i} A_p^k \partial_i f = 0, \tag{3.21}$$

where  $f = f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ ,  $v^i = \partial\varepsilon/\partial p^i$ , and all the derivatives are canonical variables. Next, we expand  $f$  in terms of the canonical variables using (3.20), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t f &= \partial_t f_A - \partial_{p^i} f_A \partial_t A^i - \partial_i f_A \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_t A_j - \partial_{p^i} f_A \partial_k \partial_t A^i A_p^k, \\ \partial_i A_t \partial_{p^i} f &= \partial_i A_t \partial_{p^i} f_A + \partial_i A_t \partial_j f_A \partial_{p^i} A_p^j, \\ v^i \partial_i f &= v^i \partial_i f_A - v^i \partial_{p^j} f_A \partial_i A^j - v^i \partial_j f_A \Omega \epsilon^{jk} \partial_i A_k - v^i \partial_{p^j} f_A \partial_k \partial_i A^j A_p^k, \\ v^j \partial_i A^j \partial_{p^i} f &= v^j \partial_i A^j \partial_{p^i} f_A + v^j \partial_i A^j \partial_{p^k} f_A \partial_i A_p^k,\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

where  $f_A(t, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ . Gathering above, we arrive at the final equation of motion

$$\partial_t f_A + E_i \partial_{p^i} f_A + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f_A E_j + (1 - B\Omega) v^i \partial_i f_A + B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} f_A v^j = 0,\tag{3.23}$$

where we have neglected the term proportional to  $(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}) A A_p$  since  $(\omega - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \sim O(\epsilon, \epsilon_p)$ . We see that (3.23) agrees with (3.11) to the leading order in  $O(\epsilon \epsilon_p)$ .

Before moving on, let us comment on the relation between our canonical variables and the ‘‘covariant’’ variables in [11]. In the Appendix A of Ref. [11], they considered coupling to generic background gauge fields parametrized by  $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$  and  $\mathbf{A}_p(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ . The way these gauge fields coupled to the action is by introducing a non-abelian gauge transformation, which is essentially the full canonical transformation, and the resulting covariant variables are linear in these gauge fields:  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}_p$ ,  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}$ . However, their free fermion covariant action does not depend explicitly on  $\mathbf{A}_p$  meaning the theory will not couple to the Berry curvature; this also manifests in their Ward identities where the anomalous velocity does not show up. To see explicitly that the linear-in-gauge-field covariant variables cannot produce the Berry LFL, we expand the transformation matrix as

$$M_b^a = 1 + \eta M_{(1)}^a{}_b,\tag{3.24}$$

where  $\eta \sim O(\epsilon, \epsilon_p)$  keeps track of the order of gauge fields. The gauged symplectic 2-form is given by

$$\omega^{\text{non-can}} = M^T \cdot \omega^{\text{can}} \cdot M = \omega^{\text{can}} + \eta \left( \omega^{\text{can}} \cdot M_{(1)} + M_{(1)}^T \cdot \omega^{\text{can}} \right) + \eta^2 M_{(1)}^T \cdot \omega^{\text{can}} \cdot M_{(1)},\tag{3.25}$$

where the matrix multiplication follows (3.17). For a generic phase space gauge field, the  $O(\eta^2)$  term in (3.25) will not vanish. However, we know that  $\omega^{\text{non-can}} - \omega^{\text{can}} = dA \sim O(\eta)$ , so there is a contradiction. It could be the case where we only care about the order  $O(\eta)$ , but the Berry LFL is not the case – the nontrivial phase space volume only shows up at the order  $B\Omega \sim O(\eta^2)$ . Therefore, the transformation matrix (3.24) is not enough to generate the correct symplectic manifold for Berry LFL, and we need the covariant variables to be nonlinear in gauge fields ((3.16)).

Now, if one wants to treat the canonical variables as coming from a (non-abelian) gauge symmetry as the subgroup of the canonical transformation, the nonlinear coupling between gauge fields in (3.16a) makes the structure of such gauge symmetry obscure. Therefore, even though we can not rule out the possibilities that there might exist a complex gauge symmetry the Berry LFL has, it is highly suggestive that the role played by the gauge fields is to modify the underlying symplectic structure.

### 3.3. Including Landau parameters

So far we have considered free fermions. Interactions between fermions can be accounted for by expanding the Hamiltonian in terms of Landau parameters. Generalizing (3.10) to include leading Landau parameters, we have

$$\begin{aligned}S &= - \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} X_h^a \partial_a U \rangle, \quad h' = h + H^{\text{int}} = h + H^{\text{int},(2,0)} + H^{\text{int},(2,1)}, \\ H^{\text{int},(2,0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\mathbf{p}'} (1 + B\Omega') \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') f', \\ H^{\text{int},(2,1)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\mathbf{p}'} (1 + B\Omega') \left( \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \tilde{\omega}^{aE} \partial_a f' + \tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \tilde{\omega}^{ap^i} \partial_a f' \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\mathbf{p}'} F_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \left( (1 + B\Omega') \partial_t f' - \Omega' \epsilon^{ij} E_i \partial_j f' + E_i \partial_{p^i} f' \right) + \tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \left( \partial_i f' - B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^j} f' \right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$



where  $V_{\text{FS}} = \int_p f_0 = p_{\text{F}}^2/4\pi$  is the volume of the Fermi surface, and  $\Omega' \equiv \Omega(\mathbf{p}')$ ,  $f' \equiv f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}')$ . The tilded Landau parameters indicate interactions between the full distribution functions, which is equivalent to rearranging the expansions but also has the benefit of keeping track of interactions within the Fermi sea. The Landau parameters satisfy  $\tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ ,  $\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ . Since the interaction only depends on the momentum transfer  $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$ , we further have  $\partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \partial_{p'^i} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$  and  $\partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\partial_{p'^i} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ . Notice that  $\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}$  breaks parity as the rotational symmetry in the momentum space is locked with the real space, and, moreover,  $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$  breaks the time-reversal symmetry. In the above equation, we have generalized the Landau parameters to account for the modified symplectic manifold. For example, we have  $\int_{t,x,p,p'} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)} \tilde{\omega}^{aE} \partial_a f' f = -\int_{t,x,p,p'} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}} \sqrt{\det \tilde{\omega}'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)} f' \tilde{\omega}^{aE} \partial_a f$  from the integration by part which is consistent with a change of variables.

Let us now work out the action in the presence of the Landau parameters for the bosonic field  $\phi$ . We turn off the magnetic field and the Berry curvature  $B = \Omega = 0$  for simplicity. The linear action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{int}(1)} &= -2 \int dt \langle \{f_0, \phi\}_{\text{ex}}, H^{\text{int}} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{t,x,\theta} n^i \partial_i \phi \frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \left( \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') f_0(\mathbf{p}') - \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{E} \delta(p' - p_{\text{F}}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{t,x,\theta,\theta'} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{E}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The quadratic action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\text{int}(2)} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{t,x,\theta,\theta'} \left( \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \theta') \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla (\phi' + \phi) + \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \left( \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \partial_\mu \phi' \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi' \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \phi') \right) \right. \\ &\quad - E^i \left( \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \phi' (\partial_{p'_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n'_i + p_{\text{F}}^{-1} s'_i \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}) + \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n'_i (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_k \phi p_{\text{F}}^{-1} s^k \partial_\theta \phi - p_{\text{F}}^{-1} s^k \partial_\theta (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi) \partial_k \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \partial_{p_{\text{F}}} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n'_i \mathbf{n} \cdot \phi \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \phi \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

We can see that even if we start with interactions over the Fermi sea, the bosonic action still depends only on the Fermi surface.

### 3.4. Current operators

When the U(1) gauge fields are contained in the underlying symplectic structure, the way they couple to the U(1) currents becomes complex. For example, in terms of infinitesimal  $\delta A_t$ , the variation of the action (3.10) is given by  $\delta S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} (1 + B\Omega)^{-1} (\partial_i \delta A_t \partial_{p^i} - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i \delta A_t \partial_j) U \rangle$ . Observe that  $\delta A_t$  only talks to the original phase space  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , so we can use the symplectic form from Appendix A to rewrite the action as  $\delta S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} \delta A_t U \rangle = \int_{t,x,p} \sqrt{\det \omega} f \delta A_t$ . Then, by variation of  $\delta A_t$ , we obtain the charge density in (3.29a). To obtain the other components of the current, we need to vary the action with respect to  $\delta A_i$ , which involves varying the symplectic form<sup>5</sup>. Instead of doing so, we resort to a more convenient avenue to obtain the U(1) currents. Observe that the Boltzmann equation (3.11) can be identified as the charge conservation equation  $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$  with

$$J^t(\mathbf{x}) = \int_p (1 + B\Omega) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (3.29a)$$

$$J^i(\mathbf{x}) = \int_p (\partial_{p^i} H f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} H \partial_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})), \quad (3.29b)$$

where we used the Maxwell equation  $\partial_t B = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ . Hence, (3.29) are the current operators for a Berry LFL. The above current is compatible with the stress-energy tensor in [16], and we are free to ignore further divergence-free terms since only the divergence part enters the charge conservation; we will see later that this current is consistent

<sup>5</sup> Using variation to obtain the current operator has some ambiguities as we will see in Section 4.



with the energy shift by the magnetization. Moreover, the interaction-induced current operator can be obtained by shifting  $H \rightarrow H + H^{\text{int}}$  in (3.29). It is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
J_i^{\text{int}} &= - \int_p (H^{\text{int}} \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} H^{\text{int}} \partial_j f) \\
&\approx - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p,p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(1 + B\Omega') f' \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} f' \partial_j f] \\
&\quad + \tilde{F}_k^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(\partial_k f' - B \epsilon^{kl} \partial_{p'^l} f') \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (\partial_k f' - B \epsilon^{kl} \partial_{p'^l} f') \partial_j f] \\
&\quad + \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(1 + B\Omega') \partial_t f' + (E_k \partial_{p'^k} f' + \Omega' \epsilon^{kl} \partial_k f' E_l)] \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (\partial_t f' + E_k \partial_{p'^k} f') \partial_j f]. \quad (3.30)
\end{aligned}$$

The equilibrium Hamiltonian-independent currents  $J^t \sim \int_p B\Omega f_0$  and  $J^i \sim \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j f_0$  have been argued to come from a Chern-Simons theory in the phase space [29]<sup>6</sup>. However, it is not clear how to define such a topological action for a gapless Fermi liquid out of equilibrium  $f \neq f_0$ . For example, if we attempt to write down  $S_{\text{CS}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\epsilon^{abcde} A_a \partial_b A_c \partial_d A_e] U \rangle$  for  $A_a = (A_\mu, \mathbf{A}_p)$  and canonical phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ , the action is not gauge invariant under the transformations  $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \lambda(t, \mathbf{x})$  and  $\delta \mathbf{A}_p = \nabla_p \lambda(\mathbf{p})$  because the coadjoint orbit element  $U$  has non-trivial  $\partial_\mu$  and  $\nabla_p$  dependence. The lack of the topological action suggests many additional albeit non-universal contributions to the response of the Fermi liquid as we will see below.

#### 4. ELECTRICAL RESPONSES

In this section, we take free fermion kinetic energy  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})$  and keep Landau parameters and Berry curvature to the leading order. As is clear from the derivation of the Kubo formula in Appendix B, the correlation functions we need only involves the unperturbed action before coupling to the electromagnetic field. Therefore, the quadratic action (3.15) reduces to the one studied in [11] with the 2-point correlation function given by

$$\langle \phi \phi' \rangle(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = i \frac{(2\pi)^2}{p_F} \frac{\delta(\theta - \theta')}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} (\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since part of the current operator would depend on the external electric field linearly, they will contribute to the  $n$ -th order conductivity through  $n$ -point correlation function, and, in particular, their VEVs imply an equilibrium current.

##### 4.1. Linear conductivity

Expanding (3.29) and (3.30) to the leading order in  $\phi$  and derivatives, we find, in the absence of external fields,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_i^{(1)} &= \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \left( v_F + \frac{1}{p_F \pi} \int_{\theta'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_j \phi \\
&\quad - \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \left( \frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \right) \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_j \phi - \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\mu \phi + O(\partial^3), \quad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\int_\theta = \int d\theta$ . Another current is given by expanding in terms of electric fields. Keeping the equilibrium distribution function, we have

$$J_i^{(0,\epsilon)} = \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \Theta(p_F - p) - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_F^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n'^j E_j + O(\partial^2), \quad (4.3)$$

where we count  $E_i \sim O(\partial\epsilon)$ .

---

<sup>6</sup> Many recent works [26, 30–35] have formulated the similar phase space Chern-Simons theory with the focus on the 't Hooft anomaly of a Fermi liquid without Berry curvature.

#### 4.1.1. Drude conductivity

The dissipative part of the linear conductivity comes from the first term in (4.2). Neglecting the Landau parameters, the normal current is given by

$$J_i^{(1),0} = \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi. \quad (4.4)$$

The 2-point current correlation function is given by

$$\langle J_i^{(1),0}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle = i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} n^i n^j \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Assuming  $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$ , we have, according to the Kubo formula,

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{xx}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{(n^x)^2}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} = \text{Re } -i \frac{p_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} \frac{\omega}{v_F q} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega/v_F q}{\sqrt{(\omega/v_F q)^2 - 1}} \right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{4\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{yy}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{(n^y)^2}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{\omega}{v_F q} - \sqrt{(\omega/v_F q)^2 - 1} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_F}{2\pi} q^{-1}, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{4\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \quad (4.7)$$

Together, we obtain

$$\text{Re } \sigma(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \delta^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_F}{2\pi} q^{-1}, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{2\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \quad (4.8)$$

This conductivity is known as the Drude conductivity due to the Drude peak  $\delta(\omega)$  in the dc limit  $\omega/q \rightarrow \infty$ . Taking the relaxation time approximation,  $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\tau^{-1}$ , the Drude peak becomes  $\pi\delta(\omega) \rightarrow \tau$ , and the resulting dc conductivity agrees with the Drude formula  $\text{Re } \sigma = n\tau/m$  with the density  $n = p_F^2/2\pi$  and the mass  $m = p_F/v_F$  given by the Fermi liquid theory. In the static limit  $\omega/q \rightarrow 0$ , however, the Drude conductivity (4.8) diverges as  $\text{Re } \sigma \sim q^{-1}$  due to the fact that the transverse fluctuations on the Fermi surface do not cost energy. In fact, as shown above, only the  $yy$ -component (4.7), which is transverse to  $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$ , has such contributions. The Drude peak or  $q^{-1}$  divergence of the conductivity is a consequence of the translational symmetry, and it is straightforward to check that including the Landau parameters will not alter this universal behavior.

Let us comment on the issues related to the current operator obtained from the variation approach. According to the linear action (3.13), the leading order current from variation of  $A_i$  reads  $J_i^{\text{dyn}(1)} = -\frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} n^i \partial_t \phi$  which vanishes in the dc limit  $\omega = 0$ . If we repeat the above calculation of the Drude conductivity, we would have  $\langle J_i^{\text{dyn}(1)} J_j^{\text{dyn}(1)} \rangle \sim \int_{\theta} n^i n^j \frac{\omega^2}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} (\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})}$  which always has a singularity at  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} = 0$ . This ambiguity is essentially due to the fact that  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi$  is the momentum conjugate of  $\phi$  itself, so if we perform a Legendre transformation to the coadjoint orbit action, we would cure this ambiguity with the pole being  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} (\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \rightarrow v_F^{-1} \omega (\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})$  [36].

#### 4.1.2. Hall conductivity

The Hall conductivity is one derivative order higher than the Drude conductivity. A nonzero Hall conductivity requires the time-reversal symmetry and the parity to be broken.

First, we can directly read off from (4.3) the conductivity as

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \frac{\langle J_i^{(0,\epsilon)} \rangle}{E_j} = \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \Theta(p_F - p) - \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n'^j, \quad (4.9)$$

which is antisymmetric and independent of  $\omega, \mathbf{q}$ . The first term in (4.9) corresponds to the well-known Hall conductivity, which has been argued to be a topological property of Fermi liquids [21]. The second term in (4.9) only appeared

in [23] in the dc limit. Here, we pointed out that it contributes to the Hall conductivity in an equally important way as the Berry curvature, and is nonzero in both the dc and static limits. The resulting Hall conductivity is given by

$$\text{Re } \sigma_H(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \int_p \Omega \Theta(p_F - p) - \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j. \quad (4.10)$$

The term proportional to the Berry curvature obeys the Streda formula:  $\partial J^t / \partial B = \int_p \Omega \Theta(p_F - p)$  which relates the charge density (3.29) to the Hall conductivity. The Streda formula can also be understood as a consequence of the phase space Chern-Simons theory [29], which suggests the response is universal. The second term in (4.10), however, corresponds to non-universal contributions to the Hall conductivity. To support it, the charge density acquires a correction to the leading order of  $O(\epsilon)$  as

$$\delta J^t = -\frac{B}{16\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j, \quad (4.11)$$

as can be read off from the charge conservation equation and the current (4.3). The physics of the correction (4.11) is that the chemical potential will shift in response to the Landau parameter, and so does the Fermi momentum, which results in the shift of the distribution function  $\delta f_0 = \Theta(p_F + \delta p_F - p) - \Theta(p_F - p) \approx \delta p_F \delta(p_F - p)$  where the value of  $\delta p_F$  can be read from (4.11). Combining above, we find a generalized Streda formula:  $\partial(J^t + \delta J^t) / \partial B = \sigma_H$ .

The second-order-derivative terms in (4.2) will also contribute to the Hall conductivity. In fact, they contribute as magnetization currents [37], which can be written compactly as a divergence-free current

$$J_i^{(1), \text{mag}} = \int_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (4.12)$$

where  $M$  is the magnetization density given by

$$M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon \Omega \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \Omega \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\theta'} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j \partial_\mu \phi. \quad (4.13)$$

Remarkably, due to the last term in (4.13), the magnetization density cannot be written as a microscopic magnetic moment  $\mu$ , i.e.  $M \delta(p_F - p) \neq (f - f_0) \mu$ . We see that since the first term in (4.13) can be understood as a change of density of state due to the modified phase space volume [38], it is reasonable to include the corresponding current in (4.2).

The leading contributions to the Hall conductivity involves the correlations between  $J_i^{(1),0}$  and  $J_i^{(1), \text{mag}}$ . According to (4.4), (4.12) and (4.13), we have the 2-point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),0}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1), \text{mag}}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle + \langle J_i^{(1), \text{mag}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \varepsilon_F \Omega n^{[i} \epsilon^{j]k} q_k \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} - \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_F \left( \frac{2}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \right) \Omega n^{[i} \epsilon^{j]k} q_k \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} v_F \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n'^j q_\mu \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where we denoted  $q_\mu = (-\omega, q_i)$ . Since the magnetization current only exists in an inhomogeneous background, its conductivity will vanish in the dc limit but not the static limit. (4.14) leads to a static Hall conductivity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_H^{\text{mag}} &\equiv \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \frac{p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega - \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 v_F} \int_\theta \left( \frac{2}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \right) \Omega \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_k^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_k^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j \frac{q_k}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

If the Landau parameter is a function of  $\theta - \theta'$  only, which is the case of a rotationally invariant Fermi liquid, then, by a change of variable, the last term becomes zero at  $\omega = 0$ .

## 4.2. Second-order Hall conductivity

The second-order conductivity describes electrical responses in the following form

$$J_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \sigma_{ijk}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) E_j(\omega_1) E_k(\omega_2), \quad (4.16)$$

where the wavevector dependence is suppressed. By definition, the second-order conductivity is symmetric in the latter two indices:  $\sigma_{ijk} = \sigma_{ikj}$ . Since we are interested in the dc and static limit, it is convenient to take the harmonic response

$$\omega = \omega_1 = \omega_2, \quad \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{q}_2, \quad (4.17)$$

and then take  $\omega \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ . For the second-order Hall conductivity, we can assume our system is time-reversal symmetric but still breaks the parity [15].

The current operators quadratic in  $\phi$  are given by, in the non-interacting limit,

$$J_i^{(2),0} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) n^i v_F p_F^{-1} [(s \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + s \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\theta \phi - \partial_\theta \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla s \cdot \nabla \phi] + v n^i (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p), \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$J_i^{(2),\text{mag}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} \partial_l [(s \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + s \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\theta \phi - \partial_\theta \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla s \cdot \nabla \phi] + \epsilon \Omega \epsilon^{il} \partial_l (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p). \quad (4.19)$$

We find that (4.19) is still a magnetization current since it is divergence-free. Further, by expanding (4.3), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(1,\epsilon)} &= \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{p,\theta'} \partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \theta') \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \delta(p_F - p) n'^j E_j \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta,p'} \partial_{p'^j} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') E^j \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \phi' \delta(p_F - p') n^i + O(\partial^3). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

There is no current  $J^{(0,\epsilon^2)}$  since the full expressions (3.29) and (3.30) are linear in electric fields.

### 4.2.1. 2-point current correlation function

Based on (4.20), we have the following 2-point current correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega n^j \epsilon^{ik} \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2) \\ &\quad - i \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left( n^i (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^i}{p_F} \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^j n'^k \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2) \\ &\quad - i \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left( n'^k (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s'^k}{p_F} \partial_{\theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^i n'^j \frac{v_F \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Symmetrizing over the latter two indices, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_{ijk}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) &\equiv \text{Re } \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta E_k(\omega)} \langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle + \frac{\delta}{\delta E_j(\omega)} \langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) J_k^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle \right) \\ &= \pi \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega (n^j \epsilon^{ik} + n^k \epsilon^{ij}) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \\ &\quad - \pi \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left( n^{[i} (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^{i]}{p_F} \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) (n^j n'^k + n^k n'^j) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$



FIG. 1: The current 3-point correlation function at the tree level. Left: the triangle diagram; Right: the star diagram. The star diagram involves a vertex from the cubic action.

The delta function in the above equation reflects the Drude physics of the second-order Hall conductivity: in the dc limit, (4.22) develops a Drude peak  $\delta(\omega)$ , and, in the static limit, it contains  $\delta(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \sim q^{-1} \delta(\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}})$  agreeing to the Drude conductivity (4.8). Under the relaxation time approximation, (4.22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_{ijk} &= \frac{p_F \tau}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega(n^j \epsilon^{ik} + n^k \epsilon^{ij}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\tau}{2\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \left( n^{[i} (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^{[i}}{p_F} \partial_{\theta} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) (n^j n'^{k]} + n^k n'^{j]}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

While the first term in (4.23) agrees with the kinetic results [15], the second term is a new contribution to the second-order Hall conductivity. Moreover, both (4.22) and (4.23) describe dissipationless dynamics despite of containing the Drude physics because the Joule heating vanishes,  $J_i E^i \sim \sigma_{ijk} E^i E^j E^k = 0$ , due to antisymmetric  $\sigma_{ijk}$ .

#### 4.2.2. 3-point current correlation function

Within this subsection, we take the following restriction: let  $\mathbf{E} \propto \mathbf{q}$  and  $B = 0$ . This condition has been argued to fail to capture the transverse static Drude conductivity in Section 4.1.1, but it does capture the longitudinal conductivity which is the main focus of this subsection. We will see that  $B = 0$  offers much simplification in calculations. In particular, we can use the bare 2-point function (4.1) and the unmodified Poisson bracket (2.1), and there will be no magnetization induced energy shift in the kinetic theory (Appendix C) making the comparison with the diagram approach more clean and convenient. Hence, we are interested in the projected second-order conductivity

$$\sigma_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \equiv \sigma_{ijk}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \hat{q}_1^j \hat{q}_2^k, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $\hat{q} \equiv \mathbf{q}/q$ . Using the Kubo formula, we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\omega_1} \partial_{\omega_2} \langle J_i^{\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \hat{q}_1^j J_j(-\omega_1) \hat{q}_2^j J_j(-\omega_2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2q_1 q_2} \langle J_i^{\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) J_t(-\omega_1) J_t(-\omega_2) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where we used the Ward identity  $q^i J_i = \omega J_t$  and took the frequency to be small. The magnetic current in (4.25) guarantees that the response is dissipationless  $\sigma_i E^i = 0$  justifying that it is the second-order Hall conductivity. With the above, we emphasize that our framework allows for analysis with  $B \neq 0$  but computing its 3-point correlation function is more tedious.

The 3-point correlation function consists of two types of diagrams at the tree level as shown in Figure 1. The triangle diagram involves one  $J_{\mu}^{(2)}$  and two  $J_{\mu}^{(1)}$ 's. The star diagram is obtained by inserting the cubic action into the correlation function of three  $J_{\mu}^{(1)}$ 's. The unperturbed cubic action is given by [11]

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(3)} &= S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)} + S_H^{(3)}, \\ S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{6} \int \frac{dt d^2 x d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \left( \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \partial_{\theta} \dot{\phi} - \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \dot{\phi} \partial_{\theta} \phi \right) \\ S_H^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{6} \int \frac{dt d^2 x d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{p_F}{m^*} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where we defined the mass

$$\frac{1}{m^*} \equiv \varepsilon'' + \frac{v_F}{2p_F}. \quad (4.27)$$

Expanding the zero-component of current to second order, we have

$$J_t^{(1)} = \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi, \quad (4.28a)$$

$$J_t^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) p_F^{-1} [(\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_{\theta} \phi - \partial_{\theta} \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi] + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p). \quad (4.28b)$$

We divide the diagrams into three parts:

a. *The  $S_H^{(3)}$  piece.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle_{S_H^{(3)}} \\ &= -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{\varepsilon_F \Omega}{m^*} \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)) (\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1) (\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

which leads to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re} \quad -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{\varepsilon_F \Omega}{m^*} \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}. \quad (4.30)$$

b. *The  $S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)}$  piece.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle_{S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)}} \\ &= -i \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \\ & \times \left[ \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_2 + \omega_1) \right. \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 + \omega_2) \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \\ & + \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_2) \\ & \left. + \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_1) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Upon integration by part, it leads to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re} \quad i \frac{\varepsilon_F \omega}{2(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2 - (\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}. \quad (4.32)$$

c. *The  $J_{\mu}^{(2)}$  piece.* Let us first consider the term proportional to  $\partial_p \delta(p_F - p)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \partial_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

and, by permutation of the triangle diagram,

$$\langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(2)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle$$

$$= -i \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}, \quad (4.34)$$

and  $\langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}} J_t^{(1)} J_t^{(2)} \rangle$  is obtained by changing  $\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2$  in (4.34). Together, they lead to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{1}{q^2} \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \partial_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} - i \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} \quad (4.35)$$

Next, the term proportional to  $(\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Upon permutation of the triangle diagram, we obtain

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{3p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}. \quad (4.37)$$

Last, the term proportional to  $\partial_{\theta} \phi$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \left[ \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1) + \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

and, by permutation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(2)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \left[ \frac{\Omega \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

and  $\langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}} J_t^{(1)} J_t^{(2)} \rangle$  is obtained by changing  $\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2$ . Together, they lead to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{3p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \epsilon^{il} q_l \left( \frac{\Omega \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \right). \quad (4.40)$$

Gathering the diagram results, we arrive at the total second-order Hall conductivity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) &= \text{Re } -i \frac{p_F \varepsilon_F \varepsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} \Omega \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3} \\ & \quad - i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} (v_F \Omega + \partial_{p_F}(\varepsilon_F \Omega)) \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} \\ & \quad + i \frac{\varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} \Omega \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_{\theta} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

which is consistent with the kinetic theory as shown in Appendix C. To compare with (4.23), we perform the relaxation time approximation to one of the poles  $(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \rightarrow i\tau^{-1}$ , and we have

$$\text{Re } \sigma_y(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) = \tau \frac{3p_F^2 \varepsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 v_F} \int_{\theta} \Omega \cos \theta - \tau \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} (\Omega + v_F^{-1} \partial_{p_F}(\varepsilon_F \Omega)) \cos \theta + \tau \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos \theta} \quad (4.42)$$

where we took  $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$ , so one interprets  $\sigma_y = \sigma_{yx}$ . Now, if we assume time-reversal symmetry  $\Omega(\theta + \pi) = -\Omega(\theta)$ , (4.42) will be non-vanishing and finite provided that  $\Omega$  is non-singular;  $\Omega$  must contain a factor of  $\cos \theta$  in order for the last term in (4.42) to be nonvanishing.



## 5. OUTLOOK

We have constructed an effective field theory for Fermi liquid with nonzero Berry curvature. Using the extended phase space formalism, we are able to find an exact action that is essentially the minus free energy and that incorporates the time-dependence of the symplectic form into the extended symplectic structure. The extended phase space also allows for a more general set of Landau parameters to describe interactions that are spacetime dependent; in particular, we point out that the Landau parameter  $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$  will contribute to the linear and second-order Hall conductivity in both the dc and static limit. We further disclose the contributions to the static Hall conductivity from the magnetization current, and we find that the Kubo formula perfectly match those derived by the kinetic theory.

Our field theory offers a systematic approach to study various magnetoelectric responses in Berry LFL. Some future directions follow. One technique point is how to diagonalize the Gaussian action in (3.15). Working in the angular momentum basis, the Green's function satisfies a 1d hopping equation and can be solved with an appropriate ansatz (see e.g. [39]). Meanwhile, we considered a clean Fermi liquid (despite of using the relaxation time approximation), but collisions in a parity-violating system can also trigger the anomalous Hall effect [14, 40]. Including the collision integral to the effective action is also important to understand the relaxation of Fermi liquids. For example, the relaxation of conserved quantities can be captured using the memory matrix formalism [41], but it is not clear how to apply it beyond the linear response regime.

Recent experiment on time-reversal symmetric WTe<sub>2</sub> [42] has observed the nonlinear Hall conductivity. However, when the chemical potential is tuned within the band, the experimental result does not match perfectly with the prediction from Ref.[15], which only contains the Berry curvature effect. In the meantime, it was reported that WTe<sub>2</sub> showed features of hydrodynamic electron flow at low temperature [43, 44], so there exists a strong electron-electron interaction. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect an important role played by interactions in the nonlinear Hall conductivity. Further, it will already be interesting to break the time-reversal symmetry and measure the linear Hall conductivity to see the deviation from the Berry curvature prediction. A spatially resolved transport experiment will be useful to determine the magnetization current induced Hall conductivities.

The starting point of our effective action is the symmetry group of canonical transformations. However, this is the classical limit ( $q \ll p_F$ ) of the full quantum phase space algebra whose multiplication is given by the Moyal product [11]. In particular, we have Moyal bracket  $\sim$  Poisson bracket  $+ O((q/p_F)^2)$ . Meanwhile, the Berry curvature enters the Poisson bracket at  $O((q/p_F)^2)$  as there are two spatial derivatives. Therefore, it is interesting to see how the Berry curvature will arise in the Moyal bracket by starting from a fermionic field theory possibly generalizing Ref. [45] to multi-band systems.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge useful discussions with Yi-Hsien Du, Andrew Lucas and Dam Thanh Son. I especially thank Umang Mehta for a thorough explanation of the coadjoint orbit method. This work was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative via Grants GBMF10279.

## Appendix A: Single-particle symplectic mechanics

The action for a single particle moving under the Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  is given by [46]

$$S = \int \lambda_a d\xi^a - H(\xi, t) dt, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where we denote collectively  $\xi^a = (x^i, p^j)$ . The first term  $\lambda_a d\xi^a$  is known as the symplectic part, and in the absence of external fields, it is given by  $\lambda_a d\xi^a = p^i dx^i$ . In the presence of the electromagnetic fields and Berry curvature, the symplectic part changes to [16, 17, 38, 47]

$$\int \lambda_a d\xi^a = \int p^i dx^i + A_p^i(\mathbf{p}) dp^i + A^i(t, \mathbf{x}) dx^i \approx \int (p^i + A^i) d(x^i - A_p^i - A^j \partial_{p^i} A_p^j) + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2). \quad (\text{A2})$$

In the second equality, we approximate the symplectic part to a pair of canonical variables to the leading order in the background fields, and this is possible in a local neighborhood thanks to the Darboux's theorem. Using this set of canonical variables, we have constructed the effective action in Section 3.2 that reproduces the correct Boltzmann

equation perturbatively. However, we wish to find a non-perturbative action. The symplectic form corresponding to (A2) is given by (in  $d = 2$ )

$$\omega_{x^i p^j} = -\omega_{p^i x^j} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \omega_{x^i x^j} = B(t, \mathbf{x})\epsilon^{ij}, \quad \omega_{p^i p^j} = -\Omega(\mathbf{p})\epsilon^{ij}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

with a modified phase space volume  $\sqrt{\det \omega} = 1 + B(t, \mathbf{x})\Omega(\mathbf{p})$  giving rise to the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \frac{1}{1 + B(t, \mathbf{x})\Omega(\mathbf{p})} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla_p G - \nabla_p F \cdot \nabla G - \Omega(\mathbf{p})\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i F \partial_j G + B(t, \mathbf{x})\epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} F \partial_{p^j} G). \quad (\text{A4})$$

As is evident, the coadjoint orbit action will take the same form as in (2.11):

$$S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1}[\partial_t - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})]U \rangle, \quad (\text{A5})$$

but with the Poisson bracket given in (A4) and  $\langle f, F \rangle = \int_{x,p} \sqrt{\det \omega} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ . However, by varying  $U$ , the equation of motion is given by

$$(1 + B\Omega)\partial_t f + \Omega\partial_t Bf + \partial_i f \partial_{p^i} H - \partial_{p^i} f \partial_i H + B\epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} f \partial_{p^j} H - \Omega\epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j H = 0, \quad (\text{A6})$$

which does not agree with the Boltzmann equation in Ref.[13] due to the term proportional to  $\partial_t B$ . Is it possible to cancel this term through the Maxwell relation  $\partial_t B = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ ? To this end, we must introduce the electric field into the action through  $S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1}[\partial_t + x^i - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})]U E_{0,i}(t, \mathbf{x}) \rangle$  and, at the same time, demand  $\mathbf{E} = U \mathbf{E}_0 U^{-1}$ . Obviously, this cannot be correct since the Maxwell equation is not invariant under canonical transformations. Therefore, we conclude that the action (A5) cannot describe the Berry LFL in a time-dependent magnetic field<sup>7</sup>.

## Appendix B: Nonlinear response theory

Consider a time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V(t), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\lambda \ll 1$ . We take  $V(t < 0) = 0$  and  $\rho(t \leq 0) = \rho_0 \equiv e^{-\beta H_0}/Z$ , where for  $T = 0$ ,  $\rho_0$  is the ground state of  $H_0$ . At  $t > 0$ , the expectation value of an operator  $O$  is given by

$$\langle O(t) \rangle = \text{tr} (\rho_0 U^\dagger(t) O U(t)), \quad (\text{B2})$$

where, using time-dependent perturbation theory,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &= \mathcal{T} \exp \left( -i \int_0^t dt' H(t') \right) \\ &= e^{-iH_0 t} - i\lambda \int_0^t dt' e^{-iH_0(t-t')} V(t') e^{-iH_0 t'} - \lambda^2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{-iH_0(t-t')} V(t') e^{-iH_0(t'-t'')} V(t'') e^{-iH_0 t''} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Plugging in (B2) and using  $[\rho_0, H_0] = 0$ , we obtain

$$\langle O(t) \rangle = \langle O(t) \rangle_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t dt' \langle [V(t'), O(t-t')] \rangle_0 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t dt' dt'' \langle [V(t'), [\tilde{V}(t'', t'), O(t-t')]] \rangle_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3), \quad (\text{B4})$$

where  $\tilde{V}(t'', t') = e^{iH_0(t''-t')} V(t'') e^{-iH_0(t''-t')}$ . In most cases, we shall assume

$$\lambda V(t) = -h(t)Q, \quad (\text{B5})$$

<sup>7</sup> When  $B = B(\mathbf{x})$  and  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(t)$ , we are able to construct an action  $S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1}[\partial_t + \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x} - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})]U \rangle$  that gives rise to the correct Boltzmann equation.

where  $h(t)$  is a time-dependent function (not an operator!) and  $Q$  is a time-independent operator. Then, the expectation value becomes

$$\langle O(t) \rangle - \langle O(t) \rangle_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' G_{OQ}^R(t-t')h(t') + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' dt'' G_{OQQ}^R(t-t', t-t'')h(t')h(t''), \quad (\text{B6})$$

where

$$G_{OQ}^R(t) = i\langle [O(t), Q] \rangle_0 \Theta(t), \quad (\text{B7a})$$

$$G_{OQQ}^R(t, t') = -\langle [[O(t), Q(t-t')], Q] \rangle_0 \Theta(t)\Theta(t'), \quad (\text{B7b})$$

are the retarded Green's functions.

To compute the conductivity, we apply a constant electric field. This amounts to

$$h(t) = A_i(t) = -tE_i, \quad Q = J_i. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Let us first derive the linear conductivity. Assuming  $\langle J_i \rangle_0 = 0$ , we have

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = - \int dt' t' G_{J_i J_j}^R(t-t') E_j. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Applying Fourier transformation twice and using  $\int dt (-t) e^{i\omega t} = 2\pi i \delta'(\omega)$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i(t) \rangle &= iE_j \int d\omega \delta'(\omega) G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -iE_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) |_{\omega=0} - tE_j G_{J_i J_j}^R(0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

The second term represents charge susceptibility and the first term gives the dc conductivity

$$\sigma_{\text{dc}, ij} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) |_{\omega=0} \quad (\text{B11})$$

The derivation of the second-order nonlinear conductivity proceeds in a similar manner. We have

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dt' dt'' G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(t-t', t-t'') t' t'' E_j E_k. \quad (\text{B12})$$

Applying Fourier transformations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i(t) \rangle &= -\frac{E_j E_k}{2} \int d\omega d\omega' \delta'(\omega) \delta'(\omega') G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} \\ &= -\frac{E_j E_k}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') |_{\omega=\omega'=0} - it \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, 0) + G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(0, \omega)) |_{\omega=0} - t^2 G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(0, 0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B13})$$

The first term determines the dc second-order conductivity

$$\sigma_{\text{dc}, ijk} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') |_{\omega=\omega'=0} \quad (\text{B14})$$

Let us compute Green's functions in the frequency domain. Using  $i\Theta(t) = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z+i\delta} e^{-izt}$ , we have

$$G_{OQ}^R(\omega) = - \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} \frac{\langle [O(z), Q] \rangle_0}{\omega - z + i\delta}, \quad (\text{B15a})$$

$$G_{OQQ}^R(\omega, \omega') = - \int \frac{dz dz'}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\langle [[O(z-z'), Q(z')], Q] \rangle_0}{(\omega - z + i\delta)(z' + \omega' + i\delta)}. \quad (\text{B15b})$$

At  $T = 0$ , only the auto-correlation in the commutator will not be suppressed by  $e^{-\beta\omega}$ , therefore, we can write the Green's functions as

$$\text{Im } G_{OQ}^R(\omega) = \langle O(\omega) Q \rangle_0, \quad (\text{B16a})$$

$$\text{Re } G_{OQQ}^R(\omega, \omega') = -\langle O(\omega + \omega')Q(-\omega')Q \rangle_0, \quad (\text{B16b})$$

which is also known as the zero-temperature fluctuation-dissipation theorem [48]. Hence, the real part of dc conductivity is given by

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{\text{dc},ij} = \text{Re } \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \langle J_i(\omega)J_j(-\omega) \rangle|_{\omega=0}, \quad (\text{B17a})$$

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{\text{dc},ijk} = \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} \langle J_i(\omega + \omega')J_j(-\omega)J_k(-\omega') \rangle|_{\omega=\omega'=0}. \quad (\text{B17b})$$

### Appendix C: Kinetic theory

In this section, we calculate the linear and nonlinear responses from the Boltzmann equation (3.11). We focus on free fermions for simplicity.

The non-interacting part of the magnetization current (4.12) corresponds to a microscopic magnetic moment that couples to the magnetic field giving corrections to the kinetic energy [16, 23]

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})B\Omega. \quad (\text{C1})$$

Under this energy shift, the distribution function becomes

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \Theta(p_{\text{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B\Omega \delta(p_{\text{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) + O((B\Omega)^2). \quad (\text{C2})$$

Expanding around a spherical Fermi surface  $p_{\text{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) = p_{\text{F}} + \delta p_{\text{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$ , we have

$$\delta f \equiv \Theta(p_{\text{F}}(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) - \Theta(p_{\text{F}} - p) = \delta(p_{\text{F}} - p)\delta p_{\text{F}} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{p_{\text{F}}}\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p)(\delta p_{\text{F}})^2 + \dots, \quad (\text{C3})$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \Theta(p_{\text{F}} - p) + \delta f + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B\Omega \delta(p_{\text{F}} - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B\Omega \partial_{p_{\text{F}}}\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p)\delta p_{\text{F}} + \dots \quad (\text{C4})$$

Notice that the kinetic energy correction is separated from Fermi surface fluctuations in our definition [16]. Since the Hall conductivity is higher-order in derivatives in perturbative theory, we keep the gauge field  $A_i = O(\epsilon)$  and the wavevector and frequency  $\omega, q = O(\delta)$  as separate small parameters. Hence, the fluctuation can be expanded as

$$\delta p_{\text{F}} = \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon)} + \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon\delta)} + \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon^2)} + \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon^2\delta)} + O(\delta^2, \epsilon^3). \quad (\text{C5})$$

The linear response requires solving  $\delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon)}$  and  $\delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon\delta)}$  from (3.11). At  $O(\epsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon)}\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p) - E^k n^k \delta(p_{\text{F}} - p) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q})n^k}{-i\omega + iv_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

At  $O(\epsilon\delta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\left(\delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon\delta)}\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v}B\Omega\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p)\right) - \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla(\varepsilon B\Omega)\delta(p_{\text{F}} - p) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_{\text{F}}^{(\epsilon\delta)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{F}}}{v_{\text{F}}}\frac{\epsilon^{ij}(\mathbf{q})E^j(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{-i\omega + iv_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

where we used the Maxwell equation  $\partial_t B = -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E})_z$ . The zeroth order current (4.3) involves equilibrium distribution function, so the resulting conductivity is unchanged from (4.9). The current from (4.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon\delta)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \int_{\mathbf{p}} v_{\text{p}}^i \delta f^{(\epsilon\delta)} + \int_{\mathbf{p}} \varepsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij}(\mathbf{q}) \delta f^{(\epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_{\text{F}} n^i \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{F}}}{v_{\text{F}}} \frac{\epsilon^{jk}(\mathbf{q})E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{-i\omega + iv_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \Omega + \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\text{F}} \Omega \epsilon^{ij}(\mathbf{q}) \frac{E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q})n^k}{-i\omega + iv_{\text{F}}\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{p_F \varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} (n^i \epsilon^{jk} - n^j \epsilon^{ik}) q_k \Omega \frac{E^j(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \quad (\text{C8})$$

from which we extract the linear conductivity

$$\sigma^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{p_F \varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} (n^i \epsilon^{jk} - n^j \epsilon^{ik}) q_k \Omega \frac{1}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

The conductivity (C9) is an antisymmetric rank-2 tensor and vanishes trivially in the dc limit  $q = 0, \omega \rightarrow 0$ . In the static limit, (C9) leads to a linear Hall conductivity

$$\sigma_H = \text{Re} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \sigma^{ij}(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) = \frac{p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega. \quad (\text{C10})$$

This agrees with the first term in (4.15).

The second-order response coming from (4.20) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon^2 \delta)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) &= \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \delta f^{(\epsilon)} \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j(\omega_1) \frac{n^k}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} E^k(\omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C11})$$

Upon permuting  $\omega_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2$  and using (4.17), the conductivity reads

$$\text{Re} \sigma_{ijk} = \pi \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega (\epsilon^{ij} n^k + \epsilon^{ik} n^j) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \quad (\text{C12})$$

in agreement with (4.22).

The other second-order response requires solving  $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)}$  and  $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2 \delta)}$  from (3.11). Since they correspond to the 3-point current correlation functions, we should take the same restriction as in 4.2.2 to compare them to the diagram approach. The restriction is to set  $B = 0$  and project the conductivity onto (4.24). Now, we don't need to compute  $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2 \delta)}$  which comes from  $B$ -dependent energy shift (C1). At  $O(\epsilon^2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla) \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)} \delta(p_F - p) + \frac{E^k s^k}{p_F} \partial_{\theta} \delta f^{(\epsilon)} + \varepsilon'' \delta p_F^{(\epsilon)} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \delta f^{(\epsilon)} &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) &= \frac{-1}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \left( \frac{E^k s^k}{p_F} \partial_{\theta} \frac{E^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} + \varepsilon'' \frac{E^k n^k}{-i\omega_1 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{i \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 E^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C13})$$

We obtain the current operator as

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon^2 \delta)} &= \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j \delta f^{(\epsilon^2)} + \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) (\delta p_F^{(\epsilon)})^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{-v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} E_1^k s^k \partial_{\theta} \frac{E_2^j n^j}{-i\omega_2 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{-\varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \varepsilon'' \frac{E_1^k n^k}{-i\omega_1 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{i \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 E_2^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_p \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) \varepsilon \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{E_1^j n^j}{-i\omega_1 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{E_2^k n^k}{-i\omega_2 + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} + (\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) &= \text{Re} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 J_i^{(\epsilon^2 \delta)}}{\delta E_j \delta E_k} \hat{q}^j \hat{q}^k \\ &= \text{Re} i \frac{\varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} \Omega \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_{\theta} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i \frac{p_F \epsilon_F \epsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} \Omega \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3} \\
& -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_{\theta} (v_F \Omega + \partial_{p_F}(\epsilon_F \Omega)) \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{C15}$$

We find the expression precisely matches (4.41).

- 
- [1] LD Landau, “On the theory of the fermi liquid,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **8**, 70 (1959).
- [2] R. Shankar, “Renormalization group approach to interacting fermions,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 129–192 (1994), [arXiv:cond-mat/9307009](#).
- [3] Joseph Polchinski, “Effective field theory and the Fermi surface,” in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute (TASI 92): From Black Holes and Strings to Particles* (1992) pp. 0235–276, [arXiv:hep-th/9210046](#).
- [4] Subir Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [5] A. H. Castro Neto and Eduardo Fradkin, “Bosonization of fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 10877–10892 (1994).
- [6] A. Houghton, H. J. Kwon, and J. B. Marston, “Multidimensional bosonization,” *Adv. Phys.* **49**, 141–228 (2000), [arXiv:cond-mat/9810388](#).
- [7] F. D. M. Haldane, “Luttinger’s theorem and bosonization of the fermi surface,” (2005), [arXiv:cond-mat/0505529 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [8] Sumit R. Das, Avinash Dhar, Gautam Mandal, and Spenta R. Wadia, “Bosonization of nonrelativistic fermions and W infinity algebra,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 71–84 (1992), [arXiv:hep-th/9111021](#).
- [9] D. V. Khveshchenko, “Bosonization of current-current interactions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 16893–16898 (1994).
- [10] D. V. Khveshchenko, “Geometrical approach to bosonization of  $d-1$  dimensional (non)-fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 4833–4841 (1995).
- [11] Luca V. Delacretaz, Yi-Hsien Du, Umang Mehta, and Dam Thanh Son, “Nonlinear bosonization of Fermi surfaces: The method of coadjoint orbits,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 033131 (2022), [arXiv:2203.05004 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [12] Michael Victor Berry, “Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392**, 45–57 (1984).
- [13] Di Xiao, Ming-Che Chang, and Qian Niu, “Berry phase effects on electronic properties,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1959–2007 (2010).
- [14] Naoto Nagaosa, Jairo Sinova, Shigeki Onoda, A. H. MacDonald, and N. P. Ong, “Anomalous hall effect,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1539–1592 (2010).
- [15] Inti Sodemann and Liang Fu, “Quantum nonlinear hall effect induced by berry curvature dipole in time-reversal invariant materials,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 216806 (2015).
- [16] Dam Thanh Son and Naoki Yamamoto, “Berry Curvature, Triangle Anomalies, and the Chiral Magnetic Effect in Fermi Liquids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 181602 (2012), [arXiv:1203.2697 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
- [17] Dam Thanh Son and Naoki Yamamoto, “Kinetic theory with Berry curvature from quantum field theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 085016 (2013), [arXiv:1210.8158 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] D. T. Son and B. Z. Spivak, “Chiral anomaly and classical negative magnetoresistance of weyl metals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 104412 (2013).
- [19] M. A. Stephanov and Y. Yin, “Chiral Kinetic Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 162001 (2012), [arXiv:1207.0747 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] A.A. Burkov, “Weyl metals,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 359–378 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-033117-054129>.
- [21] F. D. M. Haldane, “Berry curvature on the fermi surface: Anomalous hall effect as a topological fermi-liquid property,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 206602 (2004).
- [22] Ryuichi Shindou and Leon Balents, “Gradient expansion approach to multiple-band fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 035110 (2008).
- [23] Jing-Yuan Chen and Dam Thanh Son, “Berry Fermi Liquid Theory,” *Annals Phys.* **377**, 345–386 (2017), [arXiv:1604.07857 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [24] Walter Thirring, *Classical Mathematical Physics* (Springer Science and Business Media, 2013).
- [25] Umang Mehta, *Postmodern Fermi Liquids*, Other thesis (2023), [arXiv:2307.02536 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [26] Dominic V. Else, Ryan Thorngren, and T. Senthil, “Non-fermi liquids as ersatz fermi liquids: General constraints on compressible metals,” *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021005 (2021).
- [27] A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the Orbit Method* (American Mathematical Soc., 2004).
- [28] SangEun Han, Félix Desrochers, and Yong Baek Kim, “Bosonization of Non-Fermi Liquids,” (2023), [arXiv:2306.14955 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [29] Daniel Bulmash, Pavan Hosur, Shou-Cheng Zhang, and Xiao-Liang Qi, “Unified topological response theory for gapped and gapless free fermions,” *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 021018 (2015).
- [30] Dominic V. Else and T. Senthil, “Strange metals as ersatz fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 086601 (2021).
- [31] Xiao-Gang Wen, “Low-energy effective field theories of fermion liquids and the mixed  $u(1) \times \sphericalangle^d$  anomaly,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 165126 (2021).

- [32] Ruochen Ma and Chong Wang, “Emergent anomaly of Fermi surfaces: a simple derivation from Weyl fermions,” (2021), [arXiv:2110.09492 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [33] Chong Wang, Alexander Hickey, Xuzhe Ying, and A. A. Burkov, “Emergent anomalies and generalized luttinger theorems in metals and semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 235113 (2021).
- [34] Da-Chuan Lu, Juven Wang, and Yi-Zhuang You, “Definition and classification of Fermi surface anomalies,” *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 045123 (2024), [arXiv:2302.12731 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [35] Dominic V. Else, “Holographic models of non-Fermi liquid metals revisited: An effective field theory approach,” *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 035163 (2024), [arXiv:2307.02526 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [36] X. Huang, U. Mehta, A. Lucas, and M. Qi, (to appear).
- [37] N. R. Cooper, B. I. Halperin, and I. M. Ruzin, “Thermoelectric response of an interacting two-dimensional electron gas in a quantizing magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 2344–2359 (1997).
- [38] Di Xiao, Junren Shi, and Qian Niu, “Berry phase correction to electron density of states in solids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 137204 (2005).
- [39] Yong Baek Kim, Patrick A. Lee, and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Quantum boltzmann equation of composite fermions interacting with a gauge field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 17275–17292 (1995).
- [40] Z. Z. Du, Hai-Zhou Lu, and X. C. Xie, “Nonlinear hall effects,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **3**, 744–752 (2021).
- [41] Dieter Forster, *Hydrodynamic fluctuations, broken symmetry, and correlation functions* (CRC Press, 2018).
- [42] Qiong Ma, Su-Yang Xu, Huitao Shen, David MacNeill, Valla Fatemi, Tay-Rong Chang, Andrés M. Mier Valdivia, Sanfeng Wu, Zongzheng Du, Chuang-Han Hsu, Shiang Fang, Quinn D. Gibson, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Robert J. Cava, Efthimios Kaxiras, Hai-Zhou Lu, Hsin Lin, Liang Fu, Nuh Gedik, and Pablo Jarillo-Herrero, “Observation of the nonlinear hall effect under time-reversal-symmetric conditions,” *Nature* **565**, 337–342 (2019).
- [43] Johannes Gooth et al., “Thermal and electrical signatures of a hydrodynamic electron fluid in tungsten diphosphide,” *Nature Communications* **9** (2018), [10.1038/s41467-018-06688-y](#).
- [44] Uri Vool, Assaf Hamo, Georgios Varnavides, Yaxian Wang, Tony X. Zhou, Nitesh Kumar, Yuliya Dovzhenko, Ziwei Qiu, Christina A. C. Garcia, Andrew T. Pierce, Johannes Gooth, Polina Anikeeva, Claudia Felser, Prineha Narang, and Amir Yacoby, “Imaging phonon-mediated hydrodynamic flow in wte2,” *Nature Physics* **17**, 1216–1220 (2021).
- [45] Takamori Park and Leon Balents, “An exact method for bosonizing the fermi surface in arbitrary dimensions,” (2024), [arXiv:2310.04636 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [46] Jing-Yuan Chen, *Berry Phase Physics in Free and Interacting Fermionic Systems*, Other thesis (2016), [arXiv:1608.03568 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
- [47] C. Duval, Z. Horvath, P. A. Horvathy, L. Martina, and P. Stichel, “Berry phase correction to electron density in solids and ‘exotic’ dynamics,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **20**, 373–378 (2006), [arXiv:cond-mat/0506051](#).
- [48] R Kubo, “The fluctuation-dissipation theorem,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **29**, 255–284 (1966).