

Effective field theory of Berry Landau Fermi liquid from the coadjoint orbit method

Xiaoyang Huang^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics and Center for Theory of Quantum Matter,
University of Colorado, Boulder CO 80309, USA*

(Dated: December 5, 2023)

We follow [1] to construct an effective field theory for an interacting Fermi liquid with nonzero Berry curvature at zero temperature, called the Berry Landau Fermi liquid (Berry LFL). Like LFL, dynamics of Berry LFL are still governed by incompressible evolutions of the distribution function on the coadjoint orbit of the group of a modified canonical transformation. We compute both linear and nonlinear electrical responses using the Kubo formula, and identify those underexplored magnetization-current contributions to the static ($\omega/q \rightarrow 0$) Hall conductivity. We determine the anomalous Hall effect caused by the Landau parameter that breaks parity and time-reversal symmetry. The (non)linear anomalous Hall effect in the free fermion limit is confirmed using the kinetic theory.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A brief review of the coadjoint orbit method	2
3. Effective field theory of Berry Landau Fermi liquid	4
3.1. Modified canonical transformation and the effective action	4
3.2. The covariant variables	5
3.3. Including Landau parameters	6
3.4. Current operators	7
4. Electrical responses	8
4.1. Linear conductivity	8
4.1.1. Drude conductivity	8
4.1.2. Hall conductivity	9
4.2. Second-order Hall conductivity	10
4.2.1. 2-point current correlation function	11
4.2.2. 3-point current correlation function	11
5. Outlook	14
Acknowledgements	15
A. Symplectic manifold of single-particle mechanics	15
B. Nonlinear response theory	16
C. Kinetic theory	17
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

Landau Fermi liquid (LFL) theory lays the main foundation of gapless interacting fermionic systems in modern condensed matter physics. Formulated by Landau in 1959 [2], LFL successfully describes interacting fermions as a

* xiaoyang.huang@colorado.edu

system consisting of quasi-particle excitations, which behaves just like free fermions. The interactions between quasi-particles are further captured phenomenologically by Landau parameters. Later, by means of the renormalization group, Shankar [3] and Polchinski [4] were able to conclude that the most important forward scattering interaction is marginal, thus will not destroy the LFL fixed point¹. Being an effective theory, however, LFL is limited to its equation-of-motion-based formalism, and what remains perplexing is the underlying symmetry that governs its dynamics. Progress has been made using the patch theory [5] or towards bosonizing the Fermi surface with a collection of 1d Luttinger liquid [6–8]. In the meantime, the idea of incompressible fluid in the phase space [9, 10] was further developed to be capable of constructing a local effective Lagrangian for the Fermi surface with a systematic expansion of irrelevant contributions to the LFL fixed point [1].

Berry curvature, originally discovered by Berry to describe geometric properties of parametrized adiabatic evolution [11], plays an important role in topological materials [12]. It leads to exotic electronic properties in noncentrosymmetric materials such as the anomalous Hall effect [13], and its nonlinear generalizations [14]. In 3 + 1D, other examples caused by the Berry curvature include chiral anomaly and chiral magnetic effect [15–18]; a canonical example is the Weyl semi-metal [19]. It was first realized by Haldane [20] that the nonquantized part of the anomalous Hall coefficient is a Fermi surface property, suggesting that a nonzero Berry curvature is an honest generalization of LFL. Later, a Keldysh formalism was developed to study interaction effects on Berry curvature [21], and the generalization of Boltzmann equation with the Berry curvature was further confirmed using a fermionic QFT [22]. However, most of the studies are based on the equation of motion, and a controlled field theory prescription is still lacking. While Ref. [15, 22] studied fermionic QFTs, they are too complicated as even matching the linear responses requires solving higher order loop diagrams. The goal of this work is to build an effective field theory for Berry LFL using the bosonization developed in Ref. [1], where the loop diagrams in fermionic QFT are captured by tree level diagrams in the bosonic action.

Electron dynamics in the presence of the electromagnetic fields and the Berry curvature are often described by coupling to background gauge fields. Therefore, Ref. [1] has proposed the phase space gauge field corresponding to the full canonical transformations. However, the free fermion action there does not contain momentum-space component of the gauge fields meaning no Berry curvature is coupled to the theory. Hence, the restriction to the Berry LFL becomes mysterious. In this paper, we take a different perspective on how to couple to the background gauge fields. We insist that the role played by the gauge field is to modify the symplectic manifold of single-particle mechanics, thus changes directly the group of canonical transformations.

The structure of this paper is as follows. We first give a brief review of the coadjoint orbit method in Section 2 following closely Ref. [1] (see also [23]). We then construct the effective action of Berry LFL using a modified canonical transformation in Section 3. We also discussed the corresponding covariant variables and the relation with the phase space gauge fields. In Section 4, we perform a detailed calculation of linear and nonlinear electrical responses of a parity-violating system, and stress the difference between the dc limit ($\omega/q \rightarrow \infty$) and the static limit ($\omega/q \rightarrow 0$). We conclude in Section 5 with an outlook of our theory. We supplement the main text with three appendices: Appendix A devotes to the symplectic manifold of single-particle mechanics; Appendix B contains the Kubo formula for nonlinear responses; Appendix C includes the perturbed kinetic theory calculation. Throughout this paper, we focus on $d = 2$, but generalization to higher dimensions is straightforward. We denote $\mu, \nu = t, x, y$ as the spacetime indices and $i, j = x, y$ as the spatial indices. We will also use $\xi^a = (x^i, p^j)$ to denote collectively the phase space variables.

2. A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE COADJOINT ORBIT METHOD

At zero temperature, the Fermi liquid governed by the collisionless Boltzmann equation evolves following the canonical transformations, i.e. Hamiltonian dynamics. Denote the Lie algebra of the canonical transformation as \mathfrak{g} . The Lie bracket in \mathfrak{g} is the Poisson bracket:

$$\{F, G\} = \nabla F \cdot \nabla_p G - \nabla_p F \cdot \nabla G, \quad (2.1)$$

for $F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \in \mathfrak{g}$. We call these functions the fields. The element of the Lie group \mathcal{G} of the canonical transformation is the exponentiation of the Lie algebra: $U = \exp F \in \mathcal{G}$. As an example, the (free fermion) Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) + V(\mathbf{x})$ is an element of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and its corresponding group element $\exp H$ transforms $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{p}')$ as a result of time evolution. The phase space distribution function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ is defined in the dual space of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}^* . It is defined as returning the average value of the element of the Lie algebra:

$$F[f] \equiv \langle f, F \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (2.2)$$

¹ We ignore the BCS instability for the purpose of this paper.

where $\int_x = \int d^d x$, $\int_p = \int d^d p / (2\pi)^d$. The adjoint action of \mathfrak{g} is defined through

$$\text{ad}_G F = \{F, G\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Then, the coadjoint action is defined by requiring $\langle \text{ad}_G^* f, F \rangle = \langle f, \text{ad}_G F \rangle^2$, which leads to

$$\text{ad}_G^* f = \{G, f\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Further, the adjoint/coadjoint action of group \mathcal{G} is given by $\text{Ad}_U F = U^{-1} F U$ and $\text{Ad}_U^* f = U f U^{-1}$, respectively³. That the adjoint/coadjoint action furnishes a representation follows from the fact that the Poisson bracket obeys Jacobi identity.

The Liouville's theorem states that the distribution function remains constant along trajectories in phase space. It results in the collisionless Boltzmann equation (see Appendix A)

$$\partial_t f - \text{ad}_H^* f = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

A formal solution to this equation is given by $f(t) = \text{Ad}_{U(t)}^* f_0 = U(t) f_0 U(t)^{-1}$ where $\partial_t U(t) = H(t) U(t)$ and $U(0) = 1$, and f_0 is some reference state. Therefore, the relevant space of states is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_0} = \{f | \exists U \in \mathcal{G} : f = \text{Ad}_U^* f_0\}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is the *coadjoint orbit* of \mathcal{G} . For LFL, the space of states consists of droplets in the momentum space of arbitrary shape but fixed volume, and this is precisely given by the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_{f_0} . For our purpose, we take the reference state f_0 to be a rotationally invariant connected Fermi surface

$$f_0(\mathbf{p}) = \Theta(p_F - |\mathbf{p}|). \quad (2.7)$$

We call the stabilizer subgroup $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$ whose elements V leave the distribution invariant $f = \text{Ad}_V^* f_0 = f_0$. The stabilizer subgroup has its own feature of describing a steady-state distribution. With (2.7) and (2.1), we see any momentum space function $V = \exp \alpha(\mathbf{p})$ belongs to \mathcal{H} ⁴. Therefore, the coadjoint orbit is the left coset space:

$$\mathcal{O}_{f_0} \cong \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.8)$$

The coadjoint orbit can be parametrized in the following way. We denote each group element $\exp(-\phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}))$, $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}$ by a perturbative field $\phi \ll 1$. Then, we quotient out the stabilizer element $\alpha = \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta, |\mathbf{p}| = p_F)$, $\text{ad}_\alpha^* f_0 = 0$, where θ parametrizes the Fermi surface. To the linear order, we arrive at

$$U = \exp(-\phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)) \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the bosonic field $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ lives on the Fermi surface only. Expanding around (2.7), the distribution function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f &= U f_0 U^{-1} = f_0 - \{\phi, f_0\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\phi, \{\phi, f_0\}\} + \dots \\ &= \Theta(p_F - |\mathbf{p}|) + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \delta(|\mathbf{p}| - p_F) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $n^i = p^i / |\mathbf{p}|$ is the unit vector normal to the Fermi surface, and in the following we will use $\mathbf{s} = \partial_\theta \mathbf{n}$ to denote the transverse direction.

The coadjoint orbit is a symplectic manifold according to the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau theorem [25]. To describe perturbative fluctuations around f_0 , the non-degenerate and closed symplectic 2-form can be taken to be exact leading to the effective action [1]:

$$S = S_{\text{WZW}} + S_H, \quad S_{\text{WZW}} = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} \partial_t U \rangle, \quad S_H = - \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} H U \rangle, \quad (2.11)$$

which includes the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) term S_{WZW} and the Hamiltonian S_H . The equation of motion obtained by varying $U \rightarrow U \exp \alpha$ agrees with (2.5).

² Our convention of adjoint/coadjoint action differs from [1]

³ We will stick to the matrix form of notation throughout this paper as it is helpful to take an analogy with quantum mechanics.

⁴ An interesting element is to take $\alpha(\mathbf{p}_F)$ on the Fermi surface, then with the identification $\alpha \sim \alpha + 2\pi$, the ‘‘gauge’’ symmetry is isomorphic to the $\text{LU}(1)$ group; this should be contrasted with the global $\text{LU}(1)$ symmetry discussed in Ref. [24].

3. EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY OF BERRY LANDAU FERMI LIQUID

In this section, we generalize the coadjoint orbit construction to include the Berry curvature.

3.1. Modified canonical transformation and the effective action

In the presence of the electromagnetic fields and the Berry curvature, the group of the canonical transformation changes. In particular, the Poisson bracket becomes [15, 16, 26, 27] (in $d = 2$)

$$\{F, G\} = \frac{1}{1 + B\Omega} (\nabla F \cdot \nabla_p G - \nabla_p F \cdot \nabla G - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i F \partial_j G + B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} F \partial_{p^j} G). \quad (3.1)$$

This Poisson bracket stems from a modified symplectic 2-form ω derived in Appendix A, which leads to a modified phase space volume

$$\sqrt{\omega} = 1 + B\Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

under which the Lie-algebra-valued inner product is defined as

$$\langle f, F \rangle = \int_{x,p} \sqrt{\omega} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}). \quad (3.3)$$

We then revise the formalism reviewed in Section 2. The adjoint and coadjoint action is now generated by the modified Poisson bracket satisfying

$$\langle f, \text{ad}_G F \rangle = \int_{x,p} \sqrt{\omega} \omega^{ab} f \partial_a F \partial_b G = \int_{x,p} \sqrt{\omega} \omega^{ab} \partial_a G \partial_b f F = \langle \text{ad}_G^* f, F \rangle, \quad (3.4)$$

where we used integration by part and $\partial_b(\sqrt{\omega} \omega^{ab}) = 0$, and $\omega^{ab} = (\omega^{-1})^{ab}$ denote collectively the Poisson bracket (3.1). To module out the stablizer group \mathcal{H} , let $\alpha = \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) - \phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^i \omega^{ap^i} \partial_a \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} &= \frac{n^i}{1 + B\Omega} [\partial_i \alpha + \epsilon^{ji} B \partial_{p^j} \alpha]|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = 0 \\ \implies \text{ad}_\alpha^* f_0 = \{\alpha, f_0\} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where we used $\partial_i \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = \partial_{\theta^i} \alpha|_{|\mathbf{p}|=p_F} = 0$ and the radial derivative vanishes due to the anti-symmetric tensor. Hence, $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}$, and we have the dynamical degree of freedom $\phi(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ live on the Fermi surface. In a word, the modified canonical transformation (3.1) will still describe the incompressible evolutions of the Fermi surface, and the effective action follows (2.11).

Consider free fermions with kinetic energy $\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})$. The linear-in- ϕ terms are still total derivatives, so the action starts from the quadratic order, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dt \langle \{\phi, f_0\}, \dot{\phi} + \{\phi, \varepsilon\} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{p_F}{2(2\pi)^2} \int_{t,x,\theta} \left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{B}{p_F} \partial_\theta \phi \right) \left(\dot{\phi} + \frac{v_F}{1 + B\Omega} \left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{B}{p_F} \partial_\theta \phi \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where $v_F = \partial \varepsilon_F / \partial p_F$. We find that the effect of Berry curvature at quadratic order is to rescale the Fermi velocity by $v_F \rightarrow v_F / (1 + B\Omega)$. In the absence of the magnetic field, (3.6) reduces to the quadratic action given in [1] leading to the 2-point correlation function

$$\langle \phi \phi' \rangle(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = i \frac{(2\pi)^2}{p_F} \frac{\delta(\theta - \theta')}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q} (\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})}. \quad (3.7)$$

This correlation function is enough for our purpose to calculate electrical responses in Section 4, but for generic magnetoelectric responses one needs to apply the full action (3.6).

The action (3.6) does not contain the electric fields. To incorporate it, we notice that the action (2.11) already lacks the manifest Lorentz invariance, so a natural choice is the length gauge $A_t = 0$. Therefore, the electric field

is given by $\mathbf{E}(t) = -\partial_t \mathbf{A}(t)$ and only depends on time but not phase space coordinates. Based on the equation of motion of the single particle (see Appendix A), we include the electric fields into the action by shifting

$$\partial_t \cdot \rightarrow \partial_t \cdot + \{\mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x}, \cdot\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Specifically, the action (2.11) will change to

$$S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1}[\partial_t + \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x} - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})]U \rangle. \quad (3.9)$$

Now, let us vary the coadjoint orbit $U \rightarrow \exp(\delta\alpha(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}))U$, and we obtain

$$\delta S = - \int dt \langle \partial_t f + \{f, -\mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x} + H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})\}, \delta\alpha \rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

which leads to the equation of motion

$$(1 + B\Omega)\partial_t f + E^i \partial_{p^i} f + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f E_j + \partial_i f \partial_{p^i} H - \partial_{p^i} f \partial_i H + B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p^i} f \partial_{p^j} H - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f \partial_j H = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

This is precisely the Boltzmann equation for non-interacting Berry LFL [12].

3.2. The covariant variables

For a single particle moving in the electromagnetic fields, the dynamics can be understood as happening on a phase space with covariant variables \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}$ satisfying the canonical Poisson bracket (2.1). Now, in the presence of the Berry curvature, we hope to find the corresponding covariant variables.

The Berry curvature can be regarded as the flux of a momentum space U(1) symmetry. By coupling to background gauge fields $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $\mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{p})$, we have

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla A_t - \partial_t \mathbf{A}, \quad B = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \Omega = -\nabla_p \times \mathbf{A}_p. \quad (3.12)$$

In the following, we work in the regime where $A_\mu = O(\epsilon)$ and $A_p^i = O(\epsilon_p)$ are perturbatively small $\epsilon, \epsilon_p \ll 1$, and we will keep leading orders up to $O(\epsilon\epsilon_p)$.

We postulate that the covariant variables for the Berry LFL is given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{A}_p(\mathbf{P}) + A^j \nabla_p A_p^j, \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{X}). \quad (3.13b)$$

In terms of the covariant variables, the symplectic manifold is changed. The unmodified symplectic 2-form corresponding to the Poisson bracket (2.1) is given by

$$\omega_{x^i p^j}^{\text{bare}} = -\omega_{p^i x^j}^{\text{bare}} = -\delta_{ij}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, the symplectic 2-form in terms of the covariant variables is given by

$$\omega_{ab}^A = (M^T)^c_a \omega_{cd}^{\text{bare}} M_b^d, \quad M_b^a = \frac{\partial(x, p)^a}{\partial(X, P)^b}, \quad (3.15)$$

which leads to,

$$\omega_{x^i p^j}^A = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \omega_{p^i p^j}^A = -\Omega \epsilon^{ij}, \quad \omega_{x^i x^j}^A = B \epsilon^{ij}, \quad (3.16)$$

where we ignored $O(\epsilon\epsilon_p)$ contributions to the off-diagonal matrix. (3.16) is consistent with the single-particle result in (A3), therefore, the group of canonical transformation is identical to that in Section 3.1. One can certainly generalize the covariant variables to higher orders, but a non-perturbative construction is unknown to our knowledge.

Consider the free fermion action

$$S = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1}[\partial_t + A_t - H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})]U \rangle, \quad (3.17)$$

whose equation of motion under the unmodified Poisson bracket (2.1) is given by

$$\partial_t f + \partial_i A_t \partial_{p^i} f + \partial_i f v_p^i + \partial_{p^i} f \partial_i H = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where $v_p = \partial_{\mathbf{p}} H$. Let us now replace the phase space variables by the covariant variables (3.13), and we have, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f &= \partial_t f_A - \partial_{p^i} f_A \partial_t A_i + \partial_{X^i} f_A \partial_{p^i} A_p^j \partial_t A_j - \partial_{X^i} f_A \partial_{p^j} A_p^i \partial_t A_j + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2) \\ &= \partial_t f_A - \partial_{p^i} f_A \partial_t A_i - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{X^i} f_A \partial_t A_j + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_A(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$. After some algebra, we arrive at the same equation as (3.11) by multiplying the phase space volume (3.2) and ignoring $O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2)$ terms.

Before moving on, let us comment on the relation between our covariant variables and the phase space gauge fields [1]. In the Appendix A of Ref. [1], they considered coupling to generic background gauge fields parametrized by $A_\mu(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ and $\mathbf{A}_p(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$. The way these gauge fields coupled to the action is by introducing a non-abelian gauge transformation, which is essentially the full canonical transformation, and the resulting covariant variables are linear in these gauge fields. However, their free fermion covariant action does not depend explicitly on \mathbf{A}_p meaning the theory does not couple to the Berry curvature; this also manifests in their Ward identities where the anomalous velocity does not show up. To see explicitly that the linear-in-gauge-field covariant variables cannot produce the Berry LFL, we expand the transformation matrix as

$$M_b^a = 1 + \eta M_{(1) b}^a, \quad (3.19)$$

where $\eta \sim O(\epsilon, \epsilon_p)$ keeps track of the order of gauge fields. The gauged symplectic 2-form is given by

$$\omega^A = M^T \cdot \omega^{\text{bare}} \cdot M = \omega^{\text{bare}} + \eta \left(\omega^{\text{bare}} \cdot M_{(1)} + M_{(1)}^T \cdot \omega^{\text{bare}} \right) + \eta^2 M_{(1)}^T \cdot \omega^{\text{bare}} \cdot M_{(1)}, \quad (3.20)$$

where the matrix multiplication follows (3.15). The symplectic formulation of single-particle mechanics requires

$$\omega^A = \omega^{\text{bare}} + dA, \quad (3.21)$$

where A is the phase space 1-form gauge field and $dA \sim O(\eta)$. Now, for a generic phase space gauge field, the $O(\eta^2)$ term in (3.20) will not vanish, thus (3.20) and (3.21) can not be satisfied simultaneously. It could be the case where we only care about the order $O(\eta)$ so that (3.20) and (3.21) can match, but the Berry LFL is not belonging to such a case – the nontrivial phase space volume only shows up at the order $B\Omega \sim O(\eta^2)$. Therefore, the transformation matrix (3.19) is not enough to generate the correct symplectic manifold for Berry LFL, and we need the covariant variables to be nonlinear in gauge fields ((3.13)). Now, if one wants to treat our covariant variables as coming from a (non-abelian) gauge symmetry as the subgroup of the canonical transformation, the nonlinear coupling between gauge fields in (3.13a) makes the structure of such gauge symmetry obscure. Therefore, even though we can not rule out the possibilities that there might exist a complex gauge symmetry the Berry LFL has, it is highly suggestive that the role played by the gauge fields is to modify the underlying symplectic manifold as described in Section 3.1, and the covariant variables are simply the consequence of treating the modified symplectic manifold as a change of variables.

3.3. Including Landau parameters

So far we have considered free fermions. Interactions between fermions can be accounted for by expanding the Hamiltonian in terms of Landau parameters. Generalizing (3.9) to include leading Landau parameters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\partial_t + \mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x}] U \rangle - \int dt \mathcal{H}[f], \\ \mathcal{H}[f] &= \int_{x,p} \sqrt{\omega} H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{x,p,p'} \sqrt{\omega\omega'} \left[\tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \omega^{p^i b} \partial_b f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}') + \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') (\partial_t f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}') + \{\mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}')\}) \right] f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $V_{\text{FS}} = \int_p f_0 = p_{\text{F}}^2/4\pi$ is the volume of the Fermi surface. In the above equation, we generalized the contribution of $\tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}$ to account for the modified symplectic manifold. The tilded Landau parameters indicate interactions between

the full distribution functions. This is equivalent to rearranging the expansions but also has the benefit of keeping track of interactions within the Fermi sea. The Landau parameters satisfy $\tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$, $\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$. Since the interaction only depends on the momentum transfer $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$, we further have $\partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \partial_{p'^i} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$ and $\partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = -\partial_{p'^i} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$. Notice that $\tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}$ breaks parity as the rotational symmetry in the momentum space is locked with the real space, and, moreover, $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$ breaks the time-reversal symmetry. It is convenient to regard the interactions as corrections to the kinetic energy, and we denote them as H^{int} . Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\text{int}} &= H^{\text{int},(2,0)} + H^{\text{int},(2,1)}, \\ H^{\text{int},(2,0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\mathbf{p}'} (1 + B\Omega') \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') f', \\ H^{\text{int},(2,1)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\mathbf{p}'} (1 + B\Omega') \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \partial_t f' + \tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \partial_i f' - \tilde{F}_i^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') B \epsilon^{ij} \partial_{p'^j} f' \\ &\quad + \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') (E_i \partial_{p'^i} f' + \Omega' \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i f' E_j), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where $\Omega' \equiv \Omega(\mathbf{p}')$, $f'_A \equiv f_A(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}')$. Thus, the resulting Boltzmann equation will be (3.11) with $H \rightarrow H + H^{\text{int}}$.

3.4. Current operators

When a gauged action is “minimally” coupled, the current operators can be obtained by varying the action with respect to the gauge fields and then turning them off. Suppose we have the free fermion covariant action

$$S_A = \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\partial_t + A_t - H(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P})] U \rangle, \quad (3.24)$$

where the covariant variables are defined in (3.13). We find

$$J_{\text{min}}^t(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{\delta S_A}{\delta A_t} |_{A_\mu=0} = \int_{\mathbf{p}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (3.25a)$$

$$J_{\text{min}}^i(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \frac{\delta S_A}{\delta A_i} |_{A_\mu=0} = \int_{\mathbf{p}} (v_p^i f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} H \partial_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})), \quad (3.25b)$$

where we ignored a divergence-free current – this was argued to be compatible with the stress-energy tensor in [15], and we are free to ignore it since the current is defined up to a divergence-free term; we will see later that it is consistent with the energy shift by the magnetization. By integrating (3.11) over the momentum space with the external fields turned off $E_i = B = 0$, one can check that (3.25) satisfies the charge conservation equation

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

However, (3.25) is not the full current operator. To see it, we realize that the Boltzmann equation (3.11) can already be written as the charge conservation equation (3.26) with the following kinetic current operators

$$J_{\text{kin}}^t(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{p}} (1 + B\Omega) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (3.27a)$$

$$J_{\text{kin}}^i(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbf{p}} (v_p^i f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + \Omega \epsilon^{ij} H \partial_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})), \quad (3.27b)$$

where we used the Maxwell equation $\partial_t B = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$. We see that (3.25) is not equal to (3.27) which is the full nonlinear current operator. Therefore, the action (3.9) can not be regarded as “minimally” coupled.

Interestingly, the difference between (3.25) and (3.27) can be obtained from a topological action. When both the parity and the time reversal are broken, we are allowed to have the Chern-Simons action

$$S_{\text{CS}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\epsilon^{abcde} A_a \partial_b A_c \partial_d A_e] U \rangle + O(\epsilon^3, \epsilon_p^2), \quad (3.28)$$

Since $S_{\text{CS}} \sim O(\epsilon^2 \epsilon_p)$, it will not contribute to the Boltzmann equation (3.11) to the leading order $O(\epsilon \epsilon_p)$. The antisymmetric tensor can be written as a product of real space and momentum space: $\epsilon^{abcde} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \epsilon^{p^i p^j}$, and since \mathbf{A}_p does not depend on real spacetime, (3.28) can be rewritten as

$$S_{\text{CS}} = \frac{1}{2} \int dt \langle f_0, U^{-1} [\Omega \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho] U \rangle + O(\epsilon^3, \epsilon_p^2). \quad (3.29)$$

The coefficient is chosen so that for topological insulators, it is quantized as $S_{\text{CS}} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_x \text{Ad}A, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ [28]. For Fermi liquids, however, the coefficient is nonquantized [20]. Varying (3.29) with respect to the gauge fields A_μ , we obtain

$$J_{\text{CS}}^t(\mathbf{x}) = \int_p B \Omega f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2), \quad (3.30a)$$

$$J_{\text{CS}}^i(\mathbf{x}) = \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2), \quad (3.30b)$$

and they are the different terms between (3.25) and (3.27). A priori, however, even with (3.30), $J_{\text{min}}^\mu \neq J_{\text{kin}}^\mu$ because the expansion of f in J_{kin}^μ involves the full modified Poisson bracket (3.1) but in J_{min}^μ , it has $B = 0$.

The interaction-induced current operator can be obtained by shifting $H \rightarrow H + H^{\text{int}}$ in (3.27). It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{\text{int}} &= - \int_p (H^{\text{int}} \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} H^{\text{int}} \partial_j f) \\ &= - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p,p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(1 + B\Omega') f' \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} f' \partial_j f] \\ &\quad + \tilde{F}_k^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(\partial_k f' - B\epsilon^{kl} \partial_{p^l} f') \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (\partial_k f' - B\epsilon^{kl} \partial_{p^l} f') \partial_j f] \\ &\quad + \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') [(1 + B\Omega') \partial_t f' + (E_k \partial_{p^k} f' + \Omega' \epsilon^{kl} \partial_k f' E_l)] \partial_{p^i} f - \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (\partial_t f' + E_k \partial_{p^k} f') \partial_j f. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

4. ELECTRICAL RESPONSES

In this section, we take free fermions as unperturbed system and treat Landau parameters and Berry curvature as perturbations. We consider translation invariant system, so $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})$ in the absence of external fields. The Kubo formula for electrical conductivity is derived in Appendix B. The linear and second-order Hall conductivity are confirmed using the kinetic theory in Appendix C.

4.1. Linear conductivity

Expanding (3.27) and (3.31) to the leading order in ϕ and derivatives, we find, in the absence of external fields,

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(1)} &= \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \left(v_{\text{F}} + \frac{1}{p_{\text{F}} \pi} \int_{\theta'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \varepsilon_{\text{F}} \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_j \phi \\ &\quad - \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{2(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \left(\frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_{\text{F}} - p') \right) \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_j \phi - \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\mu \phi + O(\partial^3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\int_\theta = \int d\theta$. Another current is given by expanding in terms of electric fields. Keeping the equilibrium distribution function, we have

$$J_i^{(0, \epsilon)} = \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \Theta(p_{\text{F}} - p) - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_{\text{F}}^2}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n'^j E_j + O(\partial^2), \quad (4.2)$$

where we count $E_i \sim O(\partial \epsilon)$.

4.1.1. Drude conductivity

The dissipative part of the linear conductivity comes from the first term in (4.1). Neglecting the Landau parameters, the normal current is given by

$$J_i^{(1),0} = \frac{p_{\text{F}}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_{\text{F}} n^i \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi. \quad (4.3)$$

The 2-point current correlation function is given by

$$\langle J_i^{(1),0}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle = i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} n^i n^j \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Assuming $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$, we have, according to the Kubo formula,

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{xx}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{(n^x)^2}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} = \text{Re } -i \frac{p_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} \frac{\omega}{v_F q} \left(1 - \frac{\omega/v_F q}{\sqrt{(\omega/v_F q)^2 - 1}} \right) = \begin{cases} 0, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{4\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{yy}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F v_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{(n^y)^2}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} = \text{Re } i \frac{p_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_F q} - \sqrt{(\omega/v_F q)^2 - 1} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_F}{2\pi} q^{-1}, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{4\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \quad (4.6)$$

Together, we obtain

$$\text{Re } \sigma(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \delta^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \begin{cases} \frac{p_F}{2\pi} q^{-1}, & \omega/q \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{p_F v_F}{2\pi} \pi \delta(\omega), & \omega/q \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}. \quad (4.7)$$

This conductivity is known as the Drude conductivity due to the Drude peak $\delta(\omega)$ in the dc limit $\omega/q \rightarrow \infty$. Taking the relaxation time approximation, $\omega \rightarrow \omega + i\tau^{-1}$, the Drude peak becomes $\pi\delta(\omega) \rightarrow \tau$, and the resulting dc conductivity agrees with the Drude formula $\text{Re } \sigma = n\tau/m$ with the density $n = p_F^2/2\pi$ and the mass $m = p_F/v_F$ given by the Fermi liquid theory. In the static limit $\omega/q \rightarrow 0$, however, the Drude conductivity (4.7) diverges as $\text{Re } \sigma \sim q^{-1}$ due to the fact that the transverse fluctuations on the Fermi surface do not cost energy. In fact, as shown above, only the yy -component (4.6), which is transverse to $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$, has such contributions. The Drude peak or q^{-1} divergence of the conductivity is a consequence of the translational symmetry, and it is straightforward to check that including the Landau parameters will not alter this universal behavior.

4.1.2. Hall conductivity

The Hall conductivity is one derivative order higher than the Drude conductivity. A nonzero Hall conductivity requires the time-reversal symmetry and the parity to be broken.

First, we can directly read off from (4.2) the conductivity as

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \frac{\langle J_i^{(0,\epsilon)} \rangle}{E_j} = \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \Theta(p_F - p) - \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n^j, \quad (4.8)$$

which is antisymmetric and independent of ω, \mathbf{q} . The first term in (4.8) corresponds to the well-known Hall conductivity, which has been argued to be a topological property of Fermi liquids [20]. The second term in (4.8) only appeared in [22] in the dc limit. Here, we pointed out that it contributes to the Hall conductivity in an equally important way as the Berry curvature, and is nonzero in both the dc and static limits. The resulting Hall conductivity is given by

$$\text{Re } \sigma_H(\omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \int_p \Omega \Theta(p_F - p) - \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n^j. \quad (4.9)$$

The term proportional to the Berry curvature obeys the Streda formula [29]. Specifically, using the density operator in (3.27), we have

$$\frac{\partial J^t}{\partial B} = \int_p \Omega \Theta(p_F - p) = \sigma_H, \quad (4.10)$$

which is the Streda formula that relates the charge density to the Hall conductivity. Interestingly, the current operators that lead to (4.10) are precisely those in (3.30) suggesting that the topological nature of the Streda formula comes from the Chern-Simons action (3.28) and (3.29). The second term in (4.9), however, does not apparently have an associated Streda formula. This can be seen from the unchanged density operator and the fact that the Landau

parameter $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$ does not alter the symplectic manifold. Similarly, we are not aware of a topological action associated with $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$.

The second-order-derivative terms in (4.1) will also contribute to the Hall conductivity. In fact, they contribute as magnetization currents [30], which can be written compactly as a divergence-free current

$$J_i^{(1),\text{mag}} = \int_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (4.11)$$

where M is the magnetization density given by

$$M(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon \Omega \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \Omega \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{4V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{\theta'} \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n^j \partial_\mu \phi. \quad (4.12)$$

Remarkably, the magnetization density cannot be written as a microscopic magnetic moment μ , i.e. $M\delta(p_F - p) \neq (f - f_0)\mu$, due to the last term in (4.12). The first term in (4.12) can be understood as a change of density of state due to the modified phase space volume (3.2) [26], therefore, the corresponding current in (4.1) is reasonable to be included.

The leading contributions to the Hall conductivity involves the correlations between $J_i^{(1),0}$ and $J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}$. According to (4.3), (4.11) and (4.12), we have the 2-point correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),0}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),\text{mag}}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle + \langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \varepsilon_F \Omega n^{[i} \epsilon^{j]k} q_k \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} - \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_F \left(\frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \right) \Omega n^{[i} \epsilon^{j]k} q_k \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} v_F \tilde{F}_\mu^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') n^i n'^j q_\mu \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where we denoted $q_\mu = (-\omega, q_i)$. Since the magnetization current only exists in an inhomogeneous background, its conductivity vanishes in the dc limit but not the static limit. (4.13) leads to a static Hall conductivity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_H^{\text{mag}} &\equiv \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \sigma_{ij}(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) \\ &= \frac{p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega - \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 v_F} \int_\theta \left(\frac{1}{V_{\text{FS}}} \int_{p'} \tilde{F}^{(2,0)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') \Theta(p_F - p') \right) \Omega \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{8\pi^3 v_F} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta, \theta'} \tilde{F}_k^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \epsilon_{ij} n^i n'^j \frac{q_k}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

If the Landau parameter is a function of $\theta - \theta'$ only, then, by a change of variable, the last term becomes zero at $\omega = 0$.

4.2. Second-order Hall conductivity

The second-order conductivity describes electrical responses in the following form

$$J_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) = \sigma_{ijk}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) E_j(\omega_1) E_k(\omega_2), \quad (4.15)$$

where the wavevector dependence is suppressed. By definition, the second-order conductivity is symmetric in the latter two indices: $\sigma_{ijk} = \sigma_{ikj}$. Since we are interested in the dc and static limit, it is convenient to take the harmonic response

$$\omega = \omega_1 = \omega_2, \quad \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{q}_2, \quad (4.16)$$

and then take $\omega \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$. For the second-order Hall conductivity, we can assume our system is time-reversal symmetric but still breaks the parity [14].

The current operators quadratic in ϕ are given by

$$J_i^{(2),0} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) n^i v_F p_F^{-1} [(s \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\theta \phi - \partial_\theta \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi] + v n^i (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p), \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$J_i^{(2),\text{mag}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} \partial_l [(s \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + s \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\theta \phi - \partial_\theta \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla s \cdot \nabla \phi] + \epsilon \Omega \epsilon^{il} \partial_l (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p). \quad (4.18)$$

In the above equations, we only keep the non-interacting part as the leading contribution. We find that (4.18) is still a magnetization current since it is divergence-free; however, further expansions with respect to Landau parameters in (4.1) will no longer be magnetization current. The second-order conductivity due to Landau parameters can be computed in the same way, but will not be the focus here. Further, by expanding (4.2), we also have

$$J_i^{(1,\epsilon)} = \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{p,\theta'} \partial_{p^i} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\mathbf{p}, \theta') \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \delta(p_F - p) n'^j E_j - \frac{1}{2V_{\text{FS}}} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta,p'} \partial_{p'^j} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \mathbf{p}') E^j \mathbf{n}' \cdot \nabla \phi \delta(p_F - p') n^i + O(\partial^3). \quad (4.19)$$

There is no current $J^{(0,\epsilon^2)}$ since the full expressions (3.27) and (3.31) are linear in electric fields.

4.2.1. 2-point current correlation function

Based on (4.19), we have the following 2-point current correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega n^j \epsilon^{ik} \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2) \\ & - i \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left(n^i (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^i}{p_F} \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^j n'^k \frac{v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2) \\ & - i \frac{1}{8\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left(n'^k (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s'^k}{p_F} \partial_{\theta'} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) n^i n'^j \frac{v_F \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n}' \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} E_k(\omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Symmetrizing over the latter two indices, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_{ijk}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) &\equiv \text{Re} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta E_k(\omega)} \langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) J_j^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle + \frac{\delta}{\delta E_j(\omega)} \langle J_i^{(1,\epsilon)}(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) J_k^{(1),0}(-\omega, -\mathbf{q}) \rangle \right) \right) \\ &= \pi \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega (n^j \epsilon^{ik} + n^k \epsilon^{ij}) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \\ & - \pi \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left(n^{[i} (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^{[i}}{p_F} \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) (n^j n'^{k]} + n^k n'^{j]}) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

The delta function in the above equation reflects the Drude physics of the second-order Hall conductivity: in the dc limit, (4.21) develops a Drude peak $\delta(\omega)$, and, in the static limit, it contains $\delta(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \sim q^{-1} \delta(\mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}})$ agreeing to the Drude conductivity (4.7). Under the relaxation time approximation, (4.21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_{ijk} &= \frac{p_F \tau}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega (n^j \epsilon^{ik} + n^k \epsilon^{ij}) \\ & - \frac{\tau}{4\pi^3} \int_{\theta,\theta'} \left(n^{[i} (\partial_{p_F} \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)})(\theta, \theta') + \frac{s^{[i}}{p_F} \partial_\theta \tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}(\theta, \theta') \right) (n^j n'^{k]} + n^k n'^{j]}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

While the first term in (4.22) agrees with the kinetic results [14], the second term is a new contribution to the second-order Hall conductivity. Moreover, both (4.21) and (4.22) describe dissipationless dynamics despite of containing the Drude physics because the Joule heating vanishes, $J_i E^i \sim \sigma_{ijk} E^i E^j E^k = 0$, due to antisymmetric σ_{ijk} .

4.2.2. 3-point current correlation function

Within this subsection, we take the following restriction: let $\mathbf{E} \propto \mathbf{q}$ and $B = 0$. This condition has been argued to fail to capture the transverse static Drude conductivity in Section 4.1.1, but it does capture the longitudinal conductivity



FIG. 1: The current 3-point correlation function at the tree level. Left: the triangle diagram; Right: the star diagram. The star diagram involves a vertex from the cubic action.

which is the main focus of this subsection. We will see that $B = 0$ offers much simplification in calculations. In particular, we can use the bare 2-point function (3.7) and the unmodified Poisson bracket (2.1), and there will be no magnetization induced energy shift in the kinetic theory (Appendix C) making the comparison with the diagram approach more clean and convenient. Hence, we are interested in the projected second-order conductivity

$$\sigma_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \equiv \sigma_{ijk}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) \hat{q}_1^j \hat{q}_2^k, \quad (4.23)$$

where $\hat{q} \equiv \mathbf{q}/q$. Using the Kubo formula, we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_2) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\omega_1} \partial_{\omega_2} \langle J_i^{\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \hat{q}_1^j J_j(-\omega_1) \hat{q}_2^k J_k(-\omega_2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2q_1 q_2} \langle J_i^{\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) J_t(-\omega_1) J_t(-\omega_2) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where we used the Ward identity $q^i J_i = \omega J_t$ and took the frequency to be small. The magnetic current in (4.24) guarantees that the response is dissipationless $\sigma_i E^i = 0$ justifying that it is the second-order Hall conductivity. With the above, we emphasize that our framework allows for analysis with $B \neq 0$ but computing its 3-point correlation function is more tedious.

The 3-point correlation function consists of two types of diagrams at the tree level as shown in Figure 1. The triangle diagram involves one $J_\mu^{(2)}$ and two $J_\mu^{(1)}$'s. The star diagram is obtained by inserting the cubic action into the correlation function of three $J_\mu^{(1)}$'s. Applying the unmodified Poisson bracket (2.1), the nonlinear cubic action is given by [1]

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(3)} &= S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)} + S_H^{(3)}, \\ S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{6} \int \frac{dt d^2 x d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi \left(\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \partial_\theta \dot{\phi} - \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \dot{\phi} \partial_\theta \phi \right) \\ S_H^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{6} \int \frac{dt d^2 x d\theta}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{p_F}{m^*} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

where we defined the mass

$$\frac{1}{m^*} \equiv \varepsilon'' + \frac{v_F}{2p_F}. \quad (4.26)$$

Expanding the zero-component of current to second order, we have

$$J_t^{(1)} = \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi, \quad (4.27a)$$

$$J_t^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_p \delta(p_F - p) p_F^{-1} \left[(\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 + \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \partial_\theta \phi - \partial_\theta \phi \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi \right] + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2 \partial_p \delta(p_F - p). \quad (4.27b)$$

We divide the diagrams into three parts:

a. *The $S_H^{(3)}$ piece.*

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle J_i^{(1), \text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle_{S_H^{(3)}} \\ &= -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \frac{\varepsilon_F \Omega}{m^*} \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)) (\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1) (\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

which leads to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \frac{\varepsilon_F \Omega}{m^*} \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}. \quad (4.29)$$

b. *The $S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)}$ piece.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1), \text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle_{S_{\text{WZW}}^{(3)}} \\ &= -i \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \\ & \times \left[\frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_2 + \omega_1) \right. \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 + \omega_2) \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \\ & + \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_{\theta} \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_2 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) \\ & + \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_2) \\ & \left. + \partial_{\theta} \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} (-\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_1) \right]. \quad (4.30) \end{aligned}$$

Upon integration by part, it leads to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{\varepsilon_F \omega}{2(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2 - (\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}. \quad (4.31)$$

c. *The $J_{\mu}^{(2)}$ piece.* Let us first consider the term proportional to $\partial_p \delta(p_F - p)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2), \text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \partial_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}, \quad (4.32) \end{aligned}$$

and, by permutation of the triangle diagram,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1), \text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(2)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= -i \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}, \quad (4.33) \end{aligned}$$

and $\langle J_i^{(1), \text{mag}} J_t^{(1)} J_t^{(2)} \rangle$ is obtained by changing $\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2$ in (4.33). Together, they lead to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{1}{q^2} \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \partial_p \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} - i \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_{\theta} \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} \quad (4.34)$$

Next, the term proportional to $(\mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla \phi)^2$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2), \text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}. \quad (4.35) \end{aligned}$$

Upon permutation of the triangle diagram, we obtain

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{3p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\theta} v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} q_l \frac{(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}. \quad (4.36)$$

Last, the term proportional to $\partial_\theta \phi$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(2),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \left[\frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \partial_\theta \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1) + \partial_\theta \frac{1}{\omega_1 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

and, by permutation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}}(\omega_1 + \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) J_t^{(2)}(-\omega_1, -\mathbf{q}_1) J_t^{(1)}(-\omega_2, -\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle \\ &= i \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_F \epsilon^{il} (q_1 + q_2)_l \left[\frac{\Omega \mathbf{s} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \partial_\theta \frac{1}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \partial_\theta \frac{\Omega}{\omega_1 + \omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

and $\langle J_i^{(1),\text{mag}} J_t^{(1)} J_t^{(2)} \rangle$ is obtained by changing $\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2$. Together, they lead to

$$\text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } i \frac{3p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \int_\theta v_F \epsilon^{il} q_l \left(\frac{\Omega \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_\theta \frac{1}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\theta \frac{\Omega}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \right). \quad (4.39)$$

Gathering the diagram results, we arrive at the total second-order Hall conductivity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) = \text{Re } & -i \frac{p_F \epsilon_F \epsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta \Omega \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3} \\ & -i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta (v_F \Omega + \partial_{p_F}(\epsilon_F \Omega)) \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2} \\ & +i \frac{\epsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta \Omega \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_\theta \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

which is consistent with the kinetic theory as shown in Appendix C. To compare with (4.22), we perform the relaxation time approximation to one of the poles $(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \rightarrow i\tau^{-1}$, and we have

$$\text{Re } \sigma_y(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) = \tau \frac{3p_F^2 \epsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 v_F} \int_\theta \Omega \cos \theta - \tau \frac{2p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta (\Omega + v_F^{-1} \partial_{p_F}(\epsilon_F \Omega)) \cos \theta + \tau \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos \theta} \quad (4.41)$$

where we took $\mathbf{q} = q\hat{x}$, so one interprets $\sigma_y = \sigma_{yxx}$. Now, if we assume time-reversal symmetry $\Omega(\theta + \pi) = -\Omega(\theta)$, (4.41) will be non-vanishing and finite provided that Ω is non-singular; Ω must contain a factor of $\cos \theta$ in order for the last term in (4.41) to be nonvanishing.

5. OUTLOOK

We have constructed an effective field theory for Fermi liquid with nonzero Berry curvature using the coadjoint orbit method. Various linear and nonlinear electrical responses are studied with the focus on the anomalous Hall effect. In the absence of parity, we point out that the Landau parameter $\tilde{F}_t^{(2,1)}$ will contribute to the linear and second-order Hall conductivity in both the dc and static limit. We further disclose the contributions to the static Hall conductivity from the magnetization current, and we find that the Kubo formula results perfectly match those derived by the kinetic theory.

It is clear from the derivation of the linear Hall conductivity that the part (4.14) from magnetization current will receive bosonic loop corrections, while the part (4.8) does not because there is no boson field in the latter current operators. This is a nonperturbative statement that the linear Hall conductivity in the dc limit is insensitive to quantum fluctuations, despite it receives corrections from Landau parameters. It is therefore interesting to see if coupling to critical bosons, which is a canonical way towards non-Fermi liquid [5], will not alter the robust linear Hall conductivity.

Our field theory offers a systematic approach to study various magnetoelectric responses in Berry LFL. Some future directions follow. One technique point is how to diagonalize the Gaussian action in (3.6). Working in the angular momentum basis, the Green's function satisfies a 1d hopping equation and can be solved with an appropriate ansatz

(see e.g. [31]). Meanwhile, we considered a clean Fermi liquid (despite of using the relaxation time approximation), but collisions in a parity-violating system can also trigger the anomalous Hall effect [13, 32]. Aside from the Hall effect, including the collision integral to the effective action is important to understand the relaxation of Fermi liquids. In particular, the relaxation of conserved quantities can be captured using the memory matrix formalism [33], but it is not clear how to apply it beyond the linear response regime.

One puzzling question is how to interpret the phase space gauge fields proposed in Ref. [1]. As we showed in Section 3.2, a particular set of covariant variables that is nonlinear in gauge fields is needed to obtain the correct group of canonical transformations for Berry LFL, but the gauge fields the covariant variables coupled to do not have a manifest gauge symmetry under the canonical transformation. In the meantime, a non-perturbative construction of the covariant variables is unknown. We leave this to the future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I acknowledge useful discussions with Yi-Hsien Du, Andrew Lucas and Dam Thanh Son. I especially thank Umang Mehta for a thorough explanation of the coadjoint orbit method. This work was supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative via Grants GBMF10279.

Appendix A: Symplectic manifold of single-particle mechanics

We follow the construction laid out by [34].

The action for a single particle moving under the Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ is given by

$$S = \int \lambda_a d\xi^a - H(\xi, t) dt, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where we denote collectively $\xi^a = (x^i, p^j)$. The first term $\lambda_a d\xi^a$ is known as the symplectic part, and in the absence of external fields, it is given by $\lambda_a d\xi^a = p^i dx^i$. In the presence of the electromagnetic fields and Berry curvature, the symplectic part changes to [15, 16, 26, 27]

$$\int \lambda_a d\xi^a = \int p^i dx^i + A_p^i dp^i + A^i dx^i \approx \int (p^i + A^i) d(x^i - A_p^i - A^j \partial_{p^i} A_p^j) + O(\epsilon^2, \epsilon_p^2). \quad (\text{A2})$$

Here, we understand that (x^i, p^j) in (A2) are the covariant variables that we postulate in (3.13). According to (A2), the symplectic 2-form, defined as $\omega_{ab} \equiv \partial_a \lambda_b - \partial_b \lambda_a$, becomes (in $d = 2$)

$$\omega_{x^i p^j} = -\omega_{p^i x^j} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad \omega_{x^i x^j} = B \epsilon^{ij}, \quad \omega_{p^i p^j} = -\Omega \epsilon^{ij}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

This modified symplectic 2-form is consistent with (3.16). The Poisson bracket is determined by the inverse of the symplectic 2-form, $\omega^{ab} = (\omega^{-1})_{ab}$, which leads to (3.1). Now, let us vary (A1) with respect to the coordinates:

$$\delta S = \int \delta \xi^a (\omega_{ab} d\xi^b - (\partial_a H + \partial_t \lambda_a) dt), \quad (\text{A4})$$

which leads to the equation of motion

$$\dot{\xi}^a = \omega^{ab} (\partial_b H + \partial_t \lambda_b) = \omega^{ab} \partial_b H + \omega^{ax^i} \partial_t A_i, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where we used the fact that only the real space U(1) gauge fields depend on time. Let us choose the length gauge so that $E_i(t) \equiv -\partial_t A_i(t)$ only depends on time. Then, we can rewrite (A5) as

$$\dot{\xi}^a = \{\xi^a, H\} + \{\mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x}, \xi^a\}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

This equation describes phase space trajectories. Now, according to the Liouville's theorem, the distribution function f satisfies the following equation [34]

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \partial_t f + \dot{\xi}^a \partial_a f = \partial_t f + \{\mathbf{E}(t) \cdot \mathbf{x}, f\} - \{H, f\} = 0, \quad (\text{A7})$$

which is the collisionless Boltzmann equation driven by an electric field. We can then include the electric field in the effective action by shifting ∂_t as in (3.8).

Appendix B: Nonlinear response theory

Consider a time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \lambda V(t), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $\lambda \ll 1$. We take $V(t < 0) = 0$ and $\rho(t \leq 0) = \rho_0 \equiv e^{-\beta H_0}/Z$, where for $T = 0$, ρ_0 is the ground state of H_0 . At $t > 0$, the expectation value of an operator O is given by

$$\langle O(t) \rangle = \text{tr}(\rho_0 U^\dagger(t) O U(t)), \quad (\text{B2})$$

where, using time-dependent perturbation theory,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t) &= \mathcal{T} \exp\left(-i \int_0^t dt' H(t')\right) \\ &= e^{-iH_0 t} - i\lambda \int_0^t dt' e^{-iH_0(t-t')} V(t') e^{-iH_0 t'} - \lambda^2 \int_0^t dt' \int_0^{t'} dt'' e^{-iH_0(t-t')} V(t') e^{-iH_0(t'-t'')} V(t'') e^{-iH_0 t''} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

Plugging in (B2) and using $[\rho_0, H_0] = 0$, we obtain

$$\langle O(t) \rangle = \langle O(t) \rangle_0 + i\lambda \int_0^t dt' \langle [V(t'), O(t-t')] \rangle_0 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t dt' dt'' \langle [V(t'), [\tilde{V}(t'', t'), O(t-t')]] \rangle_0 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3), \quad (\text{B4})$$

where $\tilde{V}(t'', t') = e^{iH_0(t''-t')} V(t'') e^{-iH_0(t''-t')}$. In most cases, we shall assume

$$\lambda V(t) = -h(t)Q, \quad (\text{B5})$$

where $h(t)$ is a time-dependent function (not an operator!) and Q is a time-independent operator. Then, the expectation value becomes

$$\langle O(t) \rangle - \langle O(t) \rangle_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' G_{OQ}^R(t-t') h(t') + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' dt'' G_{OQQ}^R(t-t', t-t'') h(t') h(t''), \quad (\text{B6})$$

where

$$G_{OQ}^R(t) = i \langle [O(t), Q] \rangle_0 \Theta(t), \quad (\text{B7a})$$

$$G_{OQQ}^R(t, t') = - \langle [[O(t), Q(t-t')], Q] \rangle_0 \Theta(t) \Theta(t'), \quad (\text{B7b})$$

are the retarded Green's functions.

To compute the conductivity, we apply a constant electric field. This amounts to

$$h(t) = A_i(t) = -tE_i, \quad Q = J_i. \quad (\text{B8})$$

Let us first derive the linear conductivity. Assuming $\langle J_i \rangle_0 = 0$, we have

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = - \int dt' t' G_{J_i J_i}^R(t-t') E_j. \quad (\text{B9})$$

Applying Fourier transformation twice and using $\int dt (-t) e^{i\omega t} = 2\pi i \delta'(\omega)$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i(t) \rangle &= iE_j \int d\omega \delta'(\omega) G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \\ &= -iE_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) |_{\omega=0} - tE_j G_{J_i J_j}^R(0). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B10})$$

The second term represents charge susceptibility and the first term gives the dc conductivity

$$\sigma_{\text{dc}, ij} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_{J_i J_j}^R(\omega) |_{\omega=0} \quad (\text{B11})$$

The derivation of the second-order nonlinear conductivity proceeds in a similar manner. We have

$$\langle J_i(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dt' dt'' G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(t-t', t-t'') t' t'' E_j E_k. \quad (\text{B12})$$

Applying Fourier transformations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_i(t) \rangle &= -\frac{E_j E_k}{2} \int d\omega d\omega' \delta'(\omega) \delta'(\omega') G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') e^{-i(\omega+\omega')t} \\ &= -\frac{E_j E_k}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') \Big|_{\omega=\omega'=0} - it \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, 0) + G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(0, \omega)) \Big|_{\omega=0} - t^2 G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(0, 0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B13})$$

The first term determines the dc second-order conductivity

$$\sigma_{\text{dc},ijk} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} G_{J_i J_j J_k}^R(\omega, \omega') \Big|_{\omega=\omega'=0} \quad (\text{B14})$$

Let us compute Green's functions in the frequency domain. Using $i\Theta(t) = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z+i\delta} e^{-izt}$, we have

$$G_{OQ}^R(\omega) = -\int \frac{dz}{2\pi} \frac{\langle [O(z), Q]_0 \rangle}{\omega - z + i\delta}, \quad (\text{B15a})$$

$$G_{OQQ}^R(\omega, \omega') = -\int \frac{dz dz'}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\langle [[O(z-z'), Q(z')], Q]_0 \rangle}{(\omega - z + i\delta)(z' + \omega' + i\delta)}. \quad (\text{B15b})$$

At $T = 0$, only the auto-correlation in the commutator will not be suppressed by $e^{-\beta\omega}$, therefore, we can write the Green's functions as

$$\text{Im } G_{OQ}^R(\omega) = \langle O(\omega) Q \rangle_0, \quad (\text{B16a})$$

$$\text{Re } G_{OQQ}^R(\omega, \omega') = -\langle O(\omega + \omega') Q(-\omega') Q \rangle_0, \quad (\text{B16b})$$

which is also known as the zero-temperature fluctuation-dissipation theorem [35]. Hence, the real part of dc conductivity is given by

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{\text{dc},ij} = \text{Re } \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \langle J_i(\omega) J_j(-\omega) \rangle \Big|_{\omega=0}, \quad (\text{B17a})$$

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{\text{dc},ijk} = \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega \partial \omega'} \langle J_i(\omega + \omega') J_j(-\omega) J_k(-\omega') \rangle \Big|_{\omega=\omega'=0}. \quad (\text{B17b})$$

Appendix C: Kinetic theory

In this section, we calculate the linear and nonlinear responses from the Boltzmann equation (3.11). We focus on free fermions for simplicity.

The non-interacting part of the magnetization current (4.11) corresponds to a microscopic magnetic moment that couples to the magnetic field giving corrections to the kinetic energy [15, 22]

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{p}) B \Omega. \quad (\text{C1})$$

Under this energy shift, the distribution function becomes

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \Theta(p_F(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B \Omega \delta(p_F(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) + O((B\Omega)^2). \quad (\text{C2})$$

Expanding around a spherical Fermi surface $p_F(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) = p_F + \delta p_F(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t)$, we have

$$\delta f \equiv \Theta(p_F(\mathbf{x}, \theta, t) - p) - \Theta(p_F - p) = \delta(p_F - p) \delta p_F + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) (\delta p_F)^2 + \dots, \quad (\text{C3})$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \Theta(p_F - p) + \delta f + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B \Omega \delta(p_F - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B \Omega \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) \delta p_F + \dots \quad (\text{C4})$$

Notice that the kinetic energy correction is separated from Fermi surface fluctuations in our definition [15]. Since the Hall conductivity is higher-order in derivatives in perturbative theory, we keep the gauge field $A_i = O(\epsilon)$ and the wavevector and frequency $\omega, q = O(\delta)$ as separate small parameters. Hence, the fluctuation can be expanded as

$$\delta p_F = \delta p_F^{(\epsilon)} + \delta p_F^{(\epsilon\delta)} + \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)} + \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2\delta)} + O(\delta^2, \epsilon^3). \quad (\text{C5})$$

The linear response requires solving $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon)}$ and $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon\delta)}$ from (3.11). At $O(\epsilon)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla) \delta p_F^{(\epsilon)} \delta(p_F - p) - E^k n^k \delta(p_F - p) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_F^{(\epsilon)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q}) n^k}{-i\omega + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C6})$$

At $O(\epsilon\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla) \left(\delta p_F^{(\epsilon\delta)} \delta(p_F - p) + \frac{\varepsilon}{v} B \Omega \delta(p_F - p) \right) - \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla (\varepsilon B \Omega) \delta(p_F - p) &= 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_F^{(\epsilon\delta)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{\varepsilon_F}{v_F} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} (iq_i) E^j(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{-i\omega + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

where we used the Maxwell equation $\partial_t B = -(\nabla \times \mathbf{E})_z$. The zeroth order current (4.2) involves equilibrium distribution function, so the resulting conductivity is unchanged from (4.8). The current from (4.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon\delta)}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) &= \int_p v_p^i \delta f^{(\epsilon\delta)} + \int_p \varepsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (iq_j) \delta f^{(\epsilon)} \\ &= \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta v_F n^i \frac{\varepsilon_F}{v_F} \frac{\epsilon^{jk} (iq_j) E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{-i\omega + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \Omega + \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \varepsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{ij} (iq_j) \frac{E^k(\omega, \mathbf{q}) n^k}{-i\omega + i v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \\ &= \frac{p_F \varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta (n^i \epsilon^{jk} - n^j \epsilon^{ik}) q_k \Omega \frac{E^j(\omega, \mathbf{q})}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

from which we extract the linear conductivity

$$\sigma^{ij}(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{p_F \varepsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta (n^i \epsilon^{jk} - n^j \epsilon^{ik}) q_k \Omega \frac{1}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}. \quad (\text{C9})$$

The conductivity (C9) is an antisymmetric rank-2 tensor and vanishes trivially in the dc limit $q = 0, \omega \rightarrow 0$. In the static limit, (C9) leads to a linear Hall conductivity

$$\sigma_H = \text{Re} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \sigma^{ij}(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0) = \frac{p_F^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega. \quad (\text{C10})$$

This agrees with the first term in (4.14).

The second-order response coming from (4.19) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon^2\delta)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) &= \int_p \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j \delta f^{(\epsilon)} \\ &= i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega \epsilon^{ij} E_j(\omega_1) \frac{n^k}{\omega_2 - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} E^k(\omega_2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C11})$$

Upon permuting $\omega_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2$ and using (4.16), the conductivity reads

$$\text{Re} \sigma_{ijk} = \pi \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \Omega (\epsilon^{ij} n^k + \epsilon^{ik} n^j) \delta(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \quad (\text{C12})$$

in agreement with (4.21).

The other second-order response requires solving $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)}$ and $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2\delta)}$ from (3.11). Since they correspond to the 3-point current correlation functions, we should take the same restriction as in 4.2.2 to compare them to the diagram

approach. The restriction is to set $B = 0$ and project the conductivity onto (4.23). Now, we don't need to compute $\delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2\delta)}$ which comes from B -dependent energy shift (C1). At $O(\epsilon^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_t + v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla) \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)} \delta(p_F - p) + \frac{E^k s^k}{p_F} \partial_\theta \delta f^{(\epsilon)} + \epsilon'' \delta p_F^{(\epsilon)} \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \delta f^{(\epsilon)} = 0, \\ \Rightarrow \delta p_F^{(\epsilon^2)}(\omega_1 + \omega_2) &= \frac{-1}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \left(\frac{E^k s^k}{p_F} \partial_\theta \frac{E^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} + \epsilon'' \frac{E^k n^k}{-i\omega_1 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{i\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 E^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C13})$$

We obtain the current operator as

$$\begin{aligned} J_i^{(\epsilon^2\delta)} &= \int_p \epsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j \delta f^{(\epsilon^2)} + \int_p \epsilon \Omega \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) (\delta p_F^{(\epsilon)})^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \frac{-v_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} E_1^k s^k \partial_\theta \frac{E_2^j n^j}{-i\omega_2 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2} \int_\theta \frac{-\epsilon_F \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l}{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2)} \epsilon'' \frac{E_1^k n^k}{-i\omega_1 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{i\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 E_2^l n^l}{-i\omega_2 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_p \partial_{p_F} \delta(p_F - p) \epsilon \Omega \epsilon^{il} i(q_1 + q_2)_l \frac{E_1^j n^j}{-i\omega_1 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_1} \frac{E_2^k n^k}{-i\omega_2 + iv_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}_2} + (\omega_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \leftrightarrow \omega_2, \mathbf{q}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } \sigma_i(2\omega, 2\mathbf{q}) &= \text{Re } \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta^2 J_i^{(\epsilon^2\delta)}}{\delta E_j \delta E_k} \hat{q}^j \hat{q}^k \\ &= \text{Re } i \frac{\epsilon_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta \Omega \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \partial_\theta \frac{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}}{\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q}} \\ &\quad - i \frac{p_F \epsilon_F \epsilon''}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta \Omega \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^3} \\ &\quad - i \frac{p_F}{(2\pi)^2 q^2} \epsilon^{il} q_l \int_\theta (v_F \Omega + \partial_{p_F}(\epsilon_F \Omega)) \frac{(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}{(\omega - v_F \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{q})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C15})$$

We find the expression precisely matches (4.40).

-
- [1] Luca V. Delacretaz, Yi-Hsien Du, Umang Mehta, and Dam Thanh Son, “Nonlinear bosonization of Fermi surfaces: The method of coadjoint orbits,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 033131 (2022), arXiv:2203.05004 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [2] LD Landau, “On the theory of the fermi liquid,” *Sov. Phys. JETP* **8**, 70 (1959).
- [3] R. Shankar, “Renormalization group approach to interacting fermions,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 129–192 (1994), arXiv:cond-mat/9307009.
- [4] Joseph Polchinski, “Effective field theory and the Fermi surface,” in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute (TASI 92): From Black Holes and Strings to Particles* (1992) pp. 0235–276, arXiv:hep-th/9210046.
- [5] Subir Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [6] A. H. Castro Neto and Eduardo Fradkin, “Bosonization of fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 10877–10892 (1994).
- [7] A. Houghton, H. J. Kwon, and J. B. Marston, “Multidimensional bosonization,” *Adv. Phys.* **49**, 141–228 (2000), arXiv:cond-mat/9810388.
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane, “Luttinger’s theorem and bosonization of the fermi surface,” (2005), arXiv:cond-mat/0505529 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [9] Sumit R. Das, Avinash Dhar, Gautam Mandal, and Spenta R. Wadia, “Bosonization of nonrelativistic fermions and W infinity algebra,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 71–84 (1992), arXiv:hep-th/9111021.
- [10] D. V. Khveshchenko, “Bosonization of current-current interactions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 16893–16898 (1994).
- [11] Michael Victor Berry, “Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes,” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **392**, 45–57 (1984).
- [12] Di Xiao, Ming-Che Chang, and Qian Niu, “Berry phase effects on electronic properties,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1959–2007 (2010).
- [13] Naoto Nagaosa, Jairo Sinova, Shigeki Onoda, A. H. MacDonald, and N. P. Ong, “Anomalous hall effect,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1539–1592 (2010).

- [14] Inti Sodemann and Liang Fu, “Quantum nonlinear hall effect induced by berry curvature dipole in time-reversal invariant materials,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 216806 (2015).
- [15] Dam Thanh Son and Naoki Yamamoto, “Berry Curvature, Triangle Anomalies, and the Chiral Magnetic Effect in Fermi Liquids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 181602 (2012), [arXiv:1203.2697](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.2697) [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [16] Dam Thanh Son and Naoki Yamamoto, “Kinetic theory with Berry curvature from quantum field theories,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 085016 (2013), [arXiv:1210.8158](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.8158) [hep-th].
- [17] D. T. Son and B. Z. Spivak, “Chiral anomaly and classical negative magnetoresistance of weyl metals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 104412 (2013).
- [18] M. A. Stephanov and Y. Yin, “Chiral Kinetic Theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 162001 (2012), [arXiv:1207.0747](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0747) [hep-th].
- [19] A.A. Burkov, “Weyl metals,” *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 359–378 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-033117-054129>.
- [20] F. D. M. Haldane, “Berry curvature on the fermi surface: Anomalous hall effect as a topological fermi-liquid property,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 206602 (2004).
- [21] Ryuichi Shindou and Leon Balents, “Gradient expansion approach to multiple-band fermi liquids,” *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 035110 (2008).
- [22] Jing-Yuan Chen and Dam Thanh Son, “Berry Fermi Liquid Theory,” *Annals Phys.* **377**, 345–386 (2017), [arXiv:1604.07857](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07857) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [23] Umang Mehta, *Postmodern Fermi Liquids*, Other thesis (2023), [arXiv:2307.02536](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.02536) [cond-mat.str-el].
- [24] Dominic V. Else, Ryan Thorngren, and T. Senthil, “Non-fermi liquids as ersatz fermi liquids: General constraints on compressible metals,” *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021005 (2021).
- [25] A. A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the Orbit Method* (American Mathematical Soc., 2004).
- [26] Di Xiao, Junren Shi, and Qian Niu, “Berry phase correction to electron density of states in solids,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 137204 (2005).
- [27] C. Duval, Z. Horvath, P. A. Horvathy, L. Martina, and P. Stichel, “Berry phase correction to electron density in solids and ‘exotic’ dynamics,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **20**, 373–378 (2006), [arXiv:cond-mat/0506051](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0506051).
- [28] Xiao-Liang Qi and Shou-Cheng Zhang, “Topological insulators and superconductors,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057–1110 (2011).
- [29] P Streda, “Theory of quantised hall conductivity in two dimensions,” *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **15**, L717 (1982).
- [30] N. R. Cooper, B. I. Halperin, and I. M. Ruzin, “Thermoelectric response of an interacting two-dimensional electron gas in a quantizing magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 2344–2359 (1997).
- [31] Yong Baek Kim, Patrick A. Lee, and Xiao-Gang Wen, “Quantum boltzmann equation of composite fermions interacting with a gauge field,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 17275–17292 (1995).
- [32] Z. Z. Du, Hai-Zhou Lu, and X. C. Xie, “Nonlinear hall effects,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **3**, 744–752 (2021).
- [33] Dieter Forster, *Hydrodynamic fluctuations, broken symmetry, and correlation functions* (CRC Press, 2018).
- [34] Jing-Yuan Chen, *Berry Phase Physics in Free and Interacting Fermionic Systems*, Other thesis (2016), [arXiv:1608.03568](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.03568) [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [35] R Kubo, “The fluctuation-dissipation theorem,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **29**, 255–284 (1966).