

Phase Transitions, Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking, and Goldstone's Theorem*

Jürg Fröhlich[†]
 Institute for Theoretical Physics
 ETH Zurich
 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

December 4, 2023

Abstract

Some important rigorous results on phase transitions accompanied by the spontaneous breaking of symmetries in statistical mechanics and relativistic quantum field theory are reviewed. Basic ideas, mainly inspired by quantum field theory, underlying the proofs of some of these results are sketched. The Goldstone theorem is proven, and the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem concerning the absence of continuous symmetry breaking in one and two dimensions is recalled. Comments concerning rigorous results on the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition in the two-dimensional classical XY model are made.

Key words: Phase Transitions in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics, Reflection Positivity and Infrared Bounds, spontaneous breaking of (continuous) symmetries, Goldstone theorem, Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem, Kosterlitz-Thouless transition

Key Points:

- A heuristic introduction to the subject of spontaneous symmetry breaking, Goldstone's theorem and the Anderson-Higgs mechanism in the context of quantum field theory is given. The Wick rotation from real to imaginary time and the equivalence of real-time relativistic quantum field theory (RQFT) and imaginary-time Euclidean field theory (EFT) are recalled.
- The $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -Euclidean field theory of a real or complex scalar field ϕ in three dimensions is introduced. Its relevance for understanding various systems of statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics, e.g, Bose gases, is commented upon. It is shown that the broken-symmetry phase of the theory exhibits massless modes, in accordance with the Goldstone theorem.
- A general proof of the Goldstone theorem in the formalism of EFT is presented. The example of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory of a complex scalar field in three dimensions is considered in more detail. Bounds on critical exponents for the susceptibility of the theory and its correlation length, as phase transition points in zero magnetic field are approached, are reviewed.

*published in: Encyclopedia of Condensed Matter Physics, Second Edition, T. Chakraborty (ed.), Elsevier 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90800-9.00275-4>

[†]Email: juerg@phys.ethz.ch

- A summary of results on phase transitions accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking for classical and quantum (lattice) spin systems is presented. The role of “Reflection Positivity” and “Infrared Bounds” in the analysis of phase transitions is emphasized. For the classical XY model, the usefulness of a representation as a vortex gas for the analysis of the massless low-temperature phase and for the analysis of the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition is indicated.

1 Introduction to spontaneous symmetry breaking and the Higgs mechanism

This contribution is **not** an exhaustive review of the subject of spontaneous breaking of (continuous) symmetries or its absence, the Goldstone theorem, the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem, the Anderson-Higgs mechanism, etc. My modest goal is to provoke the readers’ interest in this subject, to draw attention to the fruitful intertwining between statistical mechanics and particle physics, in particular quantum field theory, and to draw some attention to the more mathematical literature on these matters. I will not state the relevant results in all detail, let alone describe proofs. For further information the readers are invited to consult the papers quoted in the text.

Phase transitions in systems of condensed matter have been studied ever since the 19th century, using the formalism of thermodynamics, by *Clausius*, *Clapeyron*, *Maxwell*, *Gibbs* and others. Their findings are well known and are taught in every introductory course on the theory of heat. Towards the end of the 19th and at the beginning of the 20th century, statistical mechanics was created by Maxwell, *Boltzmann*, Gibbs and *Einstein*. The first example of a phase transition accompanied by the breaking of a continuous symmetry, treated by using the methods of equilibrium statistical mechanics, was *Bose-Einstein condensation* exhibited by ideal Bose gases when the temperature is lowered at some fixed positive density. Further examples relevant for the description of liquid-gas transitions and anisotropic magnetism, mostly with discrete symmetries, were studied subsequently. A famous model in this context is the Ising model. The phenomena of superconductivity and ferromagnetism inspired many further efforts to understand phase transitions, spontaneous symmetry breaking and critical phenomena near continuous transitions. It is not the purpose of this contribution to provide a detailed overview on this field. General surveys of equilibrium statistical mechanics, including the theory of phase transitions, can be found in the monographs [Ruelle, 1969, Bratteli and Robinson, 1979-1981, Simon, 1993], which are written in the style of mathematical physics. Rich sources of results on continuous phase transitions, symmetry breaking and critical phenomena are [Domb et al., 1972-2001] and [Itzykson and Drouffe, 1989]. Phase transitions accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking and the Anderson-Higgs mechanism later came to play a fundamental role in particle physics; see [Weinberg, 1995-2000] and references given there. As already mentioned, a very attractive feature of this subject is that it is a great example of productive interactions between statistical and condensed matter physics, on one hand, and particle physics and quantum field theory, on the other hand.

I begin my tale by briefly recalling the heuristics of spontaneous symmetry breaking and the Anderson-Higgs mechanism in relativistic quantum field theory (RQFT). The simplest theory is one of a complex scalar field ϕ , which I write in polar coordinates

$$\phi(x) = e^{i\theta(x)} \rho(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{M}^4, \quad (1)$$

where $\theta(x) \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the azimuthal angular variable and $\rho(x) \equiv |\phi(x)| \in [0, \infty)$ is the radial variable, for every space-time point x in Minkowski space \mathbb{M}^4 . The symmetry group of the theory is

$U(1)$. In order to distinguish spontaneous symmetry breaking from the Anderson-Higgs mechanism, I couple ϕ to a $U(1)$ -gauge field/connection, A_μ , with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In studies of superconductivity this gauge field is the electromagnetic vector potential. A typical action functional for the fields ϕ and A_μ has the form

$$S(\phi, A_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \{ [\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu(x)] \bar{\phi}(x) \cdot [\partial^\mu - ieA^\mu(x)] \phi(x) - U(|\phi(x)|) \}, \quad (2)$$

where e is the gauge charge (i.e., twice the elementary electric charge, in the case of superconductivity), and $U(|\phi|)$ is the self-interaction potential for the scalar field, which is assumed to be invariant under the transformation $\phi \mapsto e^{i\theta_0} \phi$, where $e^{i\theta_0}$ belongs to the symmetry group $U(1)$. If ϕ is written in polar coordinates, $S(\phi, A_\mu)$ takes the form

$$S(\phi, A_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \{ \rho(x)^2 [\partial_\mu \theta(x) - eA_\mu(x)] \cdot [\partial^\mu \theta(x) - eA^\mu(x)] + \partial_\mu \rho(x) \cdot \partial^\mu \rho(x) - U(\rho(x)) \}. \quad (3)$$

I assume that the self-interaction potential $U(\rho)$ is non-negative and has the shape of a Mexican hat, with a sharp minimum at $\rho = R > 0$, (so that $\rho(x)^2 \approx R^2$).

One distinguishes the following two situations.

1. *Continuous symmetry breaking with Goldstone bosons:* We set $e = 0$, so that the gauge field is absent, and write $S(\phi)$ instead of $S(\phi, A_\mu)$. Then

$$S(\phi) \simeq S_1(\theta) + S_2(\rho), \quad \text{where} \quad (4)$$

$$S_1(\theta) = (R^2/2) \int d^4x \partial_\mu \theta \cdot \partial^\mu \theta,$$

$$S_2(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \{ \partial_\mu \rho \cdot \partial^\mu \rho - U(\rho) \}, \quad (5)$$

and the vacuum expectation value of ϕ in a pure vacuum state, $|\emptyset\rangle_{\theta_0} \equiv |\emptyset\rangle$ can be expected to be given by

$$\langle \emptyset | \phi | \emptyset \rangle \approx e^{i\theta_0} R \neq 0, \quad \text{for some } \theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi).$$

The fact that $\langle \emptyset | \phi | \emptyset \rangle \neq 0$ and the appearance of the angular parameter θ_0 are a signal of spontaneous symmetry breaking. Expression (4) shows that the modes described by the angular field θ are massless – these are the modes describing the Goldstone bosons that accompany spontaneous symmetry breaking –, while the fluctuations of the radial modes described by the field ρ around the minimum of U at $\rho = R$ may seem to be massive, assuming that the curvature of $U(\rho)$ at $\rho = R$ is positive. Actually, these modes are unstable and decay into pairs of Goldstone bosons. This can be understood by expanding the selfinteraction potential $U(|\phi|)$ around one of its minima and then noticing that the fluctuations of the radial field ρ couple to pairs of Goldstone bosons. There is a rigorous result confirming this claim (see [Dunlop and Newman, 1974]).

Note that, for a theory in *two* space-time dimensions, the form of the action functional (4) suggests that the fluctuations of the angular field θ diverge in the infrared, which implies that there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking. This is the content of the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg (-Coleman) theorem. Continuous symmetries can only be broken in space-times of dimension ≥ 3 .

2. *Anderson-Higgs mechanism*: The gauge charge e does *not* vanish, and A_μ is a dynamical gauge field, with a gauge-field action given by

$$S_0(A_\mu) = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} \cdot F^{\mu\nu}.$$

We may then set

$$\widehat{A}_\mu := A_\mu - e^{-1} \partial_\mu \theta.$$

Obviously, the vector potential \widehat{A}_μ is gauge-equivalent to A_μ . We observe that the angular field θ can be eliminated from the theory by a gauge transformation, and the action $S(\phi, A_\mu)$ becomes

$$S(\rho, A_\mu) \simeq \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \{ \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \widehat{F}^{\mu\nu} + 2e^2 R^2 \widehat{A}_\mu \widehat{A}^\mu \} + S_2(\rho),$$

where we have used that $\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}$ (by gauge invariance).

We observe that the gauge field \widehat{A} is **massive**, with a mass proportional to $(eR)^2$, and the radial modes described by ρ remain present and are massive; these modes are the ones that describe the Higgs bosons. (Actually, Higgs bosons are usually unstable particles – resonances – that decay into lighter particles, which the field ρ couples to.)

Spontaneous symmetry breaking has first been studied, quite a long time ago, for example in the theory of ferromagnetism [Weiss, 1907, Heisenberg, 1928] and, in particle physics, in the celebrated work of Nambu and Jona-Lasinio [Nambu and Jona-Lasinio, 1961]. The fact that the spontaneous breaking of a continuous symmetry is accompanied by the emergence of massless scalar or pseudo-scalar bosons is the content of the Goldstone theorem [Goldstone, 1961-1962].

The arguments leading up to the Higgs mechanism described in item 2 go back, originally, to work by Stückelberg [Stückelberg, 1938] and Anderson [Anderson, 1962]. In particle physics, they were studied by Englert and Brout; Higgs; Guralnik, Hagen and Kibble [Higgs et al., 1964]. The Higgs mechanism also works for *non-abelian gauge fields*, which is relevant for the Standard Model of Particle Physics. The renormalizability of non-abelian gauge fields coupled to Higgs scalars has first been analyzed in [’tHooft and Veltman, 1972], and in [Lee and Zinn-Justin, 1972].

If the scalar field ϕ is coupled to a dynamical gauge field A_μ then it does not make sense to speak of spontaneous symmetry breaking. The vacuum expectation value of ϕ vanishes unless a special gauge is chosen, in which case it can be given an arbitrary value that is irrelevant for the physical properties of the theory. A manifestly gauge-invariant formulation of the Higgs mechanism (not involving any artificial assumptions concerning the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs scalar ϕ) has been given in [Fröhlich et al., 1981].

The following remarks are limited to the mathematical theory of spontaneous symmetry breaking, the Goldstone theorem and the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem in the context of statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics. I do not pursue the study of the Higgs mechanism; but see, e.g., [Weinberg, 1995-2000].

If a Wick rotation to imaginary time is performed on an RQFT one obtains what is called a *Euclidean field theory* (EFT). It has been shown by *Osterwalder* and *Schrader* [Osterwalder and Schrader, 1975] (see also [Glaser, 1974]) that from the Euclidean Green functions, also called Schwinger functions, of an EFT satisfying “*Reflection Positivity*” (which is a manifestation of unitarity at imaginary time) one can reconstruct the vacuum expectation values of products of the relativistic (real-time) fields of a corresponding RQFT. EFT’s of scalar fields can be viewed as systems of statistical mechanics in a state of thermal equilibrium, as first understood by *Schwinger*, *Symanzik* and *Nelson*;

see [Simon, 1974, Glimm and Jaffe, 1981] and references given there. For classical and quantum lattice systems, Reflection Positivity expresses the self-adjointness of the transfer matrix of the system in question and gives rise to a variety of crucial bounds on numerous physical quantities. It plays a central role in many studies of phase transitions and symmetry breaking in EFT and in important models of classical and quantum lattice systems, as indicated below.

After a sketch of how the phase transition accompanied by continuous symmetry breaking is treated in a concrete example of EFT, namely $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in three dimensions (see Sect. 2), I will present a proof of the Goldstone theorem in the formalism of EFT (Sect. 3) and outline consequences for $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in three dimensions (Sect. 3.1). In Sect. 4, I focus attention on systems of classical and quantum statistical mechanics in states of thermal equilibrium, and I will summarize some important results for lattice theories; see also [Fröhlich, 1978] for more detailed surveys.

2 The phase transition in the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -Euclidean field theory in three dimensions

The analysis of the phase transition in $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in three dimensions presented in this section follows the original work reported in [Fröhlich et al., 1976].

Henceforth I will always assume that the Wick rotation to purely imaginary time has been made (see [Jost, 1965, Osterwalder and Schrader, 1975]), and I will thus only consider Euclidean field theories. Such theories are equivalent to classical Hamiltonian systems in a state of thermal equilibrium. In this interpretation the Euclidean action functional becomes the Hamilton functional of the classical system. I will focus my attention on $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory at imaginary time.

The Euclidean action functional of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory is given by

$$S_{\Lambda}(\phi) := \int_{\Lambda} d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + U(|\phi(x)|) + c.t. \right\}, \quad \text{with} \quad (6)$$

$$U(|\phi|) = \frac{\lambda}{4!} [|\phi|^2 - R^2]^2 - h\phi^1,$$

where $\phi = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^N)$ is a scalar field with $N = 1, 2, \dots$ real-valued components (equivalent to a complex scalar field, for $N = 2$), Λ is a cube in \mathbb{R}^3 with sides of length L and with periodic boundary conditions imposed at the boundary $\partial\Lambda$, “*c.t.*” stands for a logarithmically divergent mass counterterm, $\delta m^2(\lambda)|\phi|^2$ (with $\delta m^2(\lambda) \propto \lambda^2$), and a divergent (c-number) vacuum-energy counterterm, $\lambda > 0$ is a coupling constant (not renormalized in the three-dimensional theory), R^2 is a real parameter tuning the location of the minima of U in field space and thereby driving a phase transition accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking, and $h \geq 0$ plays the role of a small symmetry breaking “magnetic field” in the 1-direction in field space.

We note that, for $h = 0$, the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory with action functional as given in (6) has a global $O(N)$ symmetry (with $O(1) = \mathbb{Z}_2$). The theory is of obvious physical interest. For $N = 1$, it is expected to have the same critical properties as the three-dimensional Ising model, thus describing critical phenomena encountered in liquid-gas transitions. Next, we consider the theory of a complex scalar field equivalent to the theory of a field with $N = 2$ real scalar components.

- This theory turns out to describe the “mean-field limit” of translation-invariant Bose gases with repulsive two-body interactions of very short range (approaching δ -function interactions); see, e.g., [Fröhlich et al., 2020-2023]. The fact that $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory undergoes a phase transition accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking, the proof of which is sketched below, is an

indication that Bose gases with weak repulsive two-body interactions of short range exhibit Bose-Einstein condensation, as the temperature is lowered at some fixed positive density – a conjecture/claim whose mathematical proof has remained elusive, so far.

- The critical phenomena observed near the point in parameter space where $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory with $N = 2$ real scalar fields undergoes a continuous phase transition are expected to be identical to those observed for the classical XY-model (rotator) and to be relevant for understanding critical phenomena exhibited by superfluid Helium, to mention an example. Moreover, for $N = 3$, the theory is expected to describe critical phenomena observed in three-dimensional ferromagnets near their critical point. See [Domb et al., 1972-2001] and references given there.

In $d = 3$ dimensions, $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory with an action functional given by (6) is a superrenormalizable theory that can be constructed non-perturbatively by using functional integration. There are no field-strength- and coupling constant renormalizations. It makes perfect mathematical sense! The control of ultraviolet divergences of the theory was first accomplished in [Glimm and Jaffe, 1972], the infinite-volume limit, $L \rightarrow \infty$, was shown to exist in [Feldman and Osterwalder, 1974-1977, Park, 1977]; see also [Glimm and Jaffe, 1981] and [Brydges et al., 1983].

In the following we consider the theory in the infinite-volume (“thermodynamic”) limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, unless stated otherwise. If $\lambda = 0$ (and $L = \infty$) then the theory is determined by the free-field *Gaussian measure* of mean 0 and covariance $\delta^{ij}(-\Delta)^{-1}$ defined on a space of \mathbb{R}^N -valued tempered distributions, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\times N}$; (Δ is the three-dimensional Laplacian). The Euclidean one-point and two-point Green functions, i.e., the first and second moment of the free-field Gaussian measure, are given by

$$\langle \varphi^j(x) \rangle_0 \equiv 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varphi^i(x) \varphi^j(y) \rangle_0 = \frac{\delta^{ij}}{4\pi|x-y|}. \quad (7)$$

The two-point function, $\langle \varphi^i(x) \varphi^j(y) \rangle_0$, is given by the Green function of the Laplacian Δ , for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. In the following, $:\cdot):$ indicates standard Wick ordering with respect to the massless free field introduced in (7); for example,

$$:\varphi^i(x) \varphi^j(y): := \varphi^i(x) \varphi^j(y) - \frac{\delta^{ij}}{4\pi|x-y|}.$$

We re-write the contribution of the self-interaction potential $U(|\phi|)$ to the action functional S_Λ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} U_\Lambda(R^2, h; |\phi|) &:= \int_\Lambda d^3x \left[:U(|\phi(x)|): - \frac{\lambda R^4}{4!} \right] \\ &= \int_\Lambda d^3x \left\{ \lambda :|\phi(x)|^4: - \frac{\lambda R^2}{12} :|\phi(x)|^2: - h\varphi^1(x) \right\} \\ &= U_\Lambda(0, h; |\phi|) - \frac{\lambda R^2}{12} \int_\Lambda d^3x :|\phi(x)|^2:. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We then define the “partition function” of the theory by

$$Z_\Lambda(R^2, h) := Z_\Lambda(0, 0)^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_\Lambda d^3x |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + \frac{\lambda R^2}{6} :|\phi(x)|^2: + c.t.\right] e^{-U_\Lambda(0, h; |\phi|)}, \quad (9)$$

and the “free energy” as

$$F(R^2, h) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L^{-3} \ell_n Z_\Lambda(R^2, h). \quad (10)$$

The functional integral in (9) can be given a precise mathematical meaning (see [Glimm and Jaffe, 1981] and references given there). Expectation values with respect to the normalized functional measure appearing under the integral of the right side of (9) are denoted by $\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle_{R,h}$. The following properties of the function $F(R^2, h)$ are known.

I. $F(R^2, h)$ is a convex function of R^2 , with

$$\frac{\lambda}{12} \langle : |\phi(0)|^2 : \rangle_{R,h} = \frac{\partial F(R^2, h)}{\partial R^2} \nearrow \infty, \quad \text{as } R^2 \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (11)$$

II. For fields ϕ with $N=1, 2, 3$ components, the function $F(R^2, h)$ is analytic in h away from the imaginary axis. This is a consequence of a generalized Lee-Yang theorem; see [Lee and Yang, 1952, Simon and Griffiths, 1973, Dunlop and Newman, 1974].

These statements and the following analysis of the phase transition in $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in three dimensions are discussed in detail in [Fröhlich et al., 1976].

From (11) it follows that, given a finite constant $M^2 > 0$, there exists a constant $R_M^2 < \infty$ such that

$$\langle : |\phi(0)|^2 : \rangle_{R,h} \geq M^2 > 0, \quad \text{for } R^2 \geq R_M^2 \text{ and all } h \geq 0. \quad (12)$$

Next we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle : |\phi(0)|^2 : \rangle_{R,h} &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \langle \phi(y) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle_{R,h} - \frac{N}{4\pi|y|} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \langle \phi(y) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle_{R,h}^c + \langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R,h}^2 - \frac{N}{4\pi|y|} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here $\langle\langle\cdot\rangle\rangle_{R,h}^c$ stands for ‘‘connected (truncated) expectation values.’’ Since the ‘‘magnetic field’’ h only couples to φ^1 , we have that $\langle \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{R,h} = 0$, for $i = 2, \dots, N$, by symmetry, but that

$$\langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R,h} \geq 0, \quad \text{for } h \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

In fact, $\langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R,h}$ is an increasing function of h on the positive half-axis, as follows from the fact that the connected two-point function defines a positive quadratic form.

Next, we remind the readers of the so-called Källén-Lehmann representation of connected two-point functions (see, e.g., [Jost, 1965] and references given there), which says that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi^i(y) \cdot \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{R,h}^c &= \int_0^\infty d\mu^{(i)}(a^2) [-\Delta + a^2]^{-1}(y) \\ &\stackrel{d=3}{=} \int_0^\infty d\mu^{(i)}(a^2) \frac{e^{-a|y|}}{4\pi|y|}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $d\mu^{(i)} = d\mu^{(j)}$, for $i, j = 2, \dots, N$. In $d = 3$ dimensions, $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory is a canonical field theory (there is no field strength renormalization!). The real-time quantum fields satisfy equal-time canonical commutation relations; i.e.,

$$[\varphi^j(\vec{x}, t), \pi^k(\vec{y}, t)] = i\delta^{jk}\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad \text{where } \pi^k = \dot{\varphi}^k,$$

and these commutation relations imply that

$$\int_0^\infty d\mu^{(i)}(a^2) = 1, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

It then follows that

$$\langle \phi(y) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle_{R,h}^c - \frac{N}{4\pi|y|} \leq 0, \quad \forall y,$$

and, using (12) and (13), we conclude that

$$M^2 \leq \langle : |\phi(0)|^2 : \rangle_{R,h} \leq \langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R,h}^2, \quad (16)$$

for $R^2 \geq R_M^2$, uniformly in $h \geq 0$. Thus, for R^2 large enough, the theory predicts “spontaneous magnetization,” in the sense that

$$\lim_{h \searrow 0} \langle \varphi^1(o) \rangle_{R,h} =: M(R) > 0. \quad (17)$$

Spontaneous magnetization implies that the $O(N)$ -symmetry of the action functional of the theory at $h = 0$ – see (6) – is spontaneously broken. For one-component fields ($N = 1$), the existence of a phase transition accompanied by spontaneous breaking of the $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ - symmetry can also be proven in two dimensions; but the proof is more involved; (it is based on the so-called Peierls argument – see [Glimm et al., 1975] and references given there). For $N \geq 2$, spontaneous magnetization, as stated in (17), implies that the Fourier transform of the two-point function satisfies a lower bound

$$\langle \hat{\varphi}^i(k) \hat{\varphi}^i(-k) \rangle_{R,h=0} \geq \frac{\mathfrak{R}}{|k|^2}, \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, N, \quad (18)$$

for some positive constant $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{O}(M(R)^2)$, for small $M(R)$, which shows that, in two dimensions and for $N \geq 2$, $M(R)$ must vanish for arbitrary values of R^2 , and there is no spontaneous breaking of the $O(N)$ -symmetry, because $|k|^{-2}$ is not integrable at the origin ($k = 0$) in momentum space. The lower bound in (18) can be derived from the analysis in [Mermin and Wagner, 1966] and can also be extracted from the material in Sect. 3. For a more detailed analysis and further references see [Wreszinski, 1976], and vol. 2 of [Simon, 1993].

Next, I draw attention to the fact that, for $N \leq 3$, if the real part, $\text{Re}h$, of the magnetic field h does not vanish then the Green functions of the theory, such as $\langle \phi(x) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle_{R,h}$, are analytic functions of h , and the connected Green functions decay exponentially in the separation of the field insertion points; in particular $\langle \phi(x) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle_{R,h}^c$ decays exponentially in $|x|$ if $\text{Re}h \neq 0$. This implies that, for real $h \neq 0$, the physical mass of all modes described by the theory is strictly positive. For proofs see, e.g., [Fröhlich and Rodriguez, 2012] and references given there. But, for theories with fields of $N \geq 2$ components at $h = 0$, the Green functions have slow power-law decay if the spontaneous magnetization, $M(R)$, does not vanish. In particular, the susceptibility

$$\chi(R, h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x \langle \varphi^i(x) \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{R,h}, \quad \text{for } i \geq 2, \quad (19)$$

has the property that if the spontaneous magnetization $M(R) := \lim_{h \searrow 0} \langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R,h}$ is strictly positive then

$$\chi(R, h) \simeq \frac{M(R)}{h}, \quad \text{as } h \searrow 0. \quad (20)$$

Hence, if R^2 is chosen such that there is spontaneous magnetization, in the sense that $M(R) > 0$, then, at $h = 0+$, the two-point Green functions $\langle \varphi^i(x) \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{R,0+}$, $i \geq 2$, have non-integrable decay

in x , which shows that there must be massless modes in the theory. It may be appropriate to sketch the proof of (20). We consider a change of variables in the (φ^1, φ^2) -plane of field space, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\theta^1 &:= \cos\theta \varphi^1 + \sin\theta \varphi^2, \\ \varphi_\theta^2 &:= -\sin\theta \varphi^1 + \cos\theta \varphi^2.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

where θ is an x -independent angle. In the following, I drop reference to the parameter R (which will be kept fixed) from my notations and re-write the action functional, $S(\phi)$, of the theory introduced in (6) as

$$S(\phi) = \tilde{S}(\phi) - h \int d^3x \varphi^1(x),$$

where the functional $\tilde{S}(\phi)$ is manifestly $O(N)$ -invariant. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle \varphi^2(0) \rangle_h \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(h)} \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\tilde{S}(\phi) + h \int d^3x \varphi^1(x)} \varphi^2(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(h)} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_\theta e^{-\tilde{S}(\phi) + h \int d^3x \varphi_\theta^1(x)} \varphi_\theta^2(0)\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

identically in θ , where we have used that $\tilde{S}(\phi_\theta) = \tilde{S}(\phi)$, by $O(N)$ -symmetry. We note that

$$\mathcal{D}\phi_\theta = \mathcal{D}\phi,$$

because the Jacobian of the change of variables in (21) is unity. By taking the derivative in the variable θ and subsequently setting $\theta = 0$ the identity in (22) is seen to imply that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \langle \varphi^2(0) \rangle_h |_{\theta=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z(h)} \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\tilde{S}(\phi) + h \int d^3x \varphi^1(x)} [h \int d^3x \varphi^2(x)] \varphi^2(0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{Z(h)} \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\tilde{S}(\phi) + h \int d^3x \varphi^1(x)} \varphi^1(0) \\ &= h \chi(h) - \langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_h.\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

After re-introducing the parameter R in our notations we see that (23) and (17) imply that

$$\chi(R, h) = h^{-1} \langle \varphi^1(0) \rangle_{R, h} \geq \frac{M(R)}{h},$$

which yields (20). The arguments presented here have been worked out in [Spencer and Fröhlich, 2013].

3 Proof of the Goldstone Theorem for Euclidean Field Theories

The following analysis is inspired by a paper on the Goldstone theorem written by the late Kurt Symanzik [Symanzik, 1967].

In comparison with the previous section, we consider a somewhat more general set-up and consider an RQFT of a scalar field ϕ in $d \geq 3$ dimensions with a continuous internal symmetry described by a compact Lie group G whose Lie algebra is denoted by \mathfrak{g} . A symmetry transformation

generated by an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to a conserved Noether current $j_X^\mu(x)$, $x = (\vec{x}, t) \in \mathbb{M}^d$, of the theory; (see, e.g., [Buchholz et al., 1986] for a somewhat abstract treatment of Noether's theorem in RQFT). In the example of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory with an action functional as in (6), setting $h = 0$, the symmetry group is $G = O(N)$, and, for $X \equiv X_{ij} := E_{ij} - E_{ji}$, where E_{ij} is a matrix unit with a 1 in the position ij , for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, the corresponding conserved Noether current is given by

$$j_{X_{ij}}^\mu(x) = (\partial^\mu \varphi^i(x)) \varphi^j(x) - (\partial^\mu \varphi^j(x)) \varphi^i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{M}^d. \quad (24)$$

Returning to the general framework, the field ϕ of the theory is assumed to transform under a representation π of the symmetry group G , which we assume not to contain the trivial representation of G as a subrepresentation. A generator $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ therefore acts on field space in the representation $d\pi$ of \mathfrak{g} , i.e.,

$$d\pi(X) : \phi(x) \mapsto d\pi(X)\phi(x) \equiv \phi^X(x).$$

Since the currents j_X^μ are conserved, i.e., satisfy the continuity equation,

$$\partial_\mu j_X^\mu(x) = 0, \quad (25)$$

the charges

$$Q_X := \int_{t=\text{const.}} d^{d-1}x j_X^0(\vec{x}, t) \quad (26)$$

are conserved, and we have that

$$[Q_X, \phi(x)] = \phi^X(x). \quad (27)$$

We now assume that the theory has a vacuum state, denoted by $|\emptyset\rangle =: \Omega_{\phi_c}$, which is **not** invariant under the action of G on the state space of the theory, i.e, breaks the symmetry G spontaneously, with

$$\langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | \phi(x) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle = \phi_c \neq 0. \quad (28)$$

Let H_{ϕ_c} denote the subgroup of G that leaves ϕ_c invariant, and let \mathfrak{h}_{ϕ_c} denote its Lie algebra. Then

$$\phi_c^X \equiv d\pi(X)\phi_c = 0, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\phi_c}.$$

Since π does not contain the trivial representation of G as a subrepresentation, \mathfrak{h}_{ϕ_c} is a subspace of \mathfrak{g} of dimension smaller than the dimension of \mathfrak{g} . For a generator $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ that belongs to the complement, $\mathfrak{h}_{\phi_c}^\perp$, of \mathfrak{h}_{ϕ_c} , we then have that

$$\phi_c^Y := \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | \phi^Y(x) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle \neq 0. \quad (29)$$

Combining (27) with (29), we find that

$$\langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | [Q_Y, \phi(0)] | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle = \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | \phi^Y(0) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle = d\pi(Y)\phi_c \equiv \phi_c^Y, \quad (30)$$

for an arbitrary $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_{\phi_c}^\perp$. On the left side of (30) we re-write Q_Y using (26) and then use a standard formula involving the Hamiltonian $H \geq 0$ of the associated RQFT. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_c^Y &= \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | [Q_Y, \phi(0)] | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle \\ &= \int_{t=0} d^{d-1}x \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | [j_Y^0(\vec{x}, 0), \phi(0)] | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int d^{d-1}x \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | j_Y^0(\vec{x}, 0) e^{-\varepsilon H} \phi(0) - \phi(0) e^{-\varepsilon H} j_Y^0(\vec{x}, 0) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int d^{d-1}x \langle [j_Y^0(\vec{x}, \varepsilon) - j_Y^0(\vec{x}, -\varepsilon)] \phi(0) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where the integrand on the very right side of these equations is a Euclidean Green- or Schwinger function of the 0-component of the current j_Y^μ and the field ϕ (which, in the example of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in two or three dimensions, can be expressed by a functional integral). We define distributions $W_Y^\mu(x)$, $x = (\vec{x}, t) \in \mathbb{E}^d$, by

$$\begin{aligned} W_Y^\mu(x) &:= \langle j_Y^\mu(\vec{x}, t) \phi(0) \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | j_Y^\mu(\vec{x}, 0) e^{-tH} \phi(0) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle, & \text{for } t > 0, \\ \langle \Omega_{\phi_c} | \phi(0) e^{tH} j_Y^\mu(\vec{x}, 0) | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle, & \text{for } t < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where we have used that $e^{\pm tH} | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle = | \Omega_{\phi_c} \rangle$, for arbitrary t . Combining (30) through (32), we find that

$$\phi_c^Y = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{S_\varepsilon} d\sigma_\mu(x) W_Y^\mu(x) \neq 0, \quad (33)$$

where S_ε is the union of two oriented planes given by

$$S_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{t=\pm\varepsilon} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x = (\vec{x}, t), t = \text{const.}\},$$

and $d\sigma_\mu(\cdot)$ is the oriented surface measure on S_ε . Next, we use that j_Y^μ is a conserved current, i.e., that it satisfies the continuity equation (25) (with X replaced by Y), which implies that

$$\partial_\mu W_Y^\mu(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } x \neq 0. \quad (34)$$

This identity will permit us to apply Gauss' theorem in a useful way. We define two ‘‘half-balls’’, $B_{r,\varepsilon}^+$ and $B_{r,\varepsilon}^-$, in \mathbb{R}^d with oriented boundaries by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{r,\varepsilon}^+ &:= \{x = (\vec{x}, t) \mid |x| \leq r, t \geq \varepsilon > 0\}, \quad \text{with } |x| := \sqrt{x^2}, \\ B_{r,\varepsilon}^- &:= \{x = (\vec{x}, t) \mid |x| \leq r, t \leq -\varepsilon < 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since $0 \notin B_{r,\varepsilon}^+ \cup B_{r,\varepsilon}^-$, we can apply Gauss' theorem to $W_Y^\mu|_{B_{r,\varepsilon}^+ \cup B_{r,\varepsilon}^-}$, which then yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq \phi_c^Y &= \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left\{ \int_{S_\varepsilon} d\sigma_\mu(x) W_Y^\mu(x) + \sum_{\kappa=\pm} \underbrace{\int_{\partial B_{r,\varepsilon}^\kappa} d\sigma_\mu(x) W_Y^\mu(x)}_{=0, \text{ by (34) and Gauss' theorem}} \right\} \\ &= \int_{\partial B_r} d\sigma_\mu(x) W_Y^\mu(x), \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

for an arbitrary $r > 0$, where B_r is a ball in \mathbb{R}^d of radius r centered at the origin, $x = 0$; (the reader is invited to make a drawing to verify this). The second (last) equality in (36) follows by using Gauss' theorem again. Euclidean covariance of W_Y^μ implies that

$$W_Y^\mu(x) = x^\mu f(|x|),$$

for some rotation-invariant function f . It then follows from (36) that

$$W_Y^\mu(x) = \frac{\phi_c^Y}{s_{d-1}} \cdot \frac{x^\mu}{|x|^d}, \quad (37)$$

where s_{d-1} is the area of the $(d-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere in d dimensions. The definition of W_Y^μ in (32) and Eq. (37) show that the field ϕ and the charge density j_Y^0 couple the vacuum state, Ω_{ϕ_c} , of the theory to a massless scalar one-particle state, which describes a Goldstone boson. Apparently, there are at least as many distinct Goldstone bosons in this theory as there are linearly independent generators in the complement, $\mathfrak{h}_{\phi_c}^\perp$, of $\mathfrak{h}_{\phi_c} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, if $\phi_c \neq 0$ then the connected two-point function of the field ϕ has the form

$$\langle \phi(x) \cdot \phi(0) \rangle^c = \int_0^\infty d\mu(a^2) [-\Delta + a^2]^{-1}(x), \quad (38)$$

with

$$d\mu(a^2) = \Re \cdot \delta(a^2) da^2 + \text{“regular” at } a^2 = 0, \quad (39)$$

where \Re is the residue of a one-particle pole of zero mass.

Well, we have apparently just recovered a proof of the Goldstone theorem in the imaginary-time (Euclidean) formalism of quantum field theory; (see [Symanzik, 1967] for the original arguments).

In two dimensions, the residue \Re must vanish if the two-point function of the field ϕ is well defined. We thus conclude that ϕ_c must vanish, i.e., continuous symmetries cannot be broken in two dimensions. This is the content of the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg-Coleman theorem; see [Hohenberg, 1967, Mermin and Wagner, 1966, Coleman, 1973].

Interesting general results on spontaneous symmetry breaking, Goldstone bosons, and effective Lagrangians (also for non-relativistic systems of condensed matter) can be found in [Leutwyler, 1994-1997].

3.1 The example of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory

We conclude this section with some remarks on the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theories with fields ϕ of $N = 1, 2$ or 3 real components. For $N = 1$, the symmetry group is discrete, namely \mathbb{Z}_2 . The breaking of discrete groups does not give rise to Goldstone bosons and is possible not only in three but also in two dimensions, as shown in [Glimm et al., 1975], where a Peierls-type argument is used to prove the existence of a phase transition accompanied by the breaking of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry. For $N = 2$, the symmetry group is $U(1)$, and symmetry breaking is only possible in dimension $d \geq 3$. However, one expects that, in two dimensions, the theory exhibits a Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition [Kosterlitz and Thouless, 1973] from a massive to a massless phase, but without spontaneous symmetry breaking. The existence of this transition has been proven rigorously for the classical XY-model on the square lattice and the related Villain model, as well as for some other lattice models, such as the two-component Coulomb gas, in [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1981]; see also [Falco, 2012]. But it remains conjectural for the continuum $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory of a complex scalar field. For $N \geq 3$, many people expect that, in two dimensions, the theory is always massive, i.e., the lowest mass in the theory is strictly positive; see, e.g., [Polyakov, 1975].

We now turn to the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theories with $N \geq 2$ components in three dimensions. For these theories we have established the existence of a phase transition to a phase with a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the field ϕ in a vanishing magnetic field $h = 0+$ signaling spontaneous symmetry breaking. The analysis presented in the last section shows that if the $O(N)$ -symmetry is broken then there are $N - 1$ distinct Goldstone bosons.

The Lee-Yang theorem implies that, for $N \leq 3$, the connected Green functions of the theory are analytic in h and have exponential decay in the separation of their arguments, provided $\text{Re}h \neq 0$. As a corollary, it follows that, for real $h \neq 0$, the theory has a strictly positive mass gap $m(h)$, i.e., the masses of all excitations in the theory are bounded below by $m(h) > 0$.

The results in Sect. 2 yield information on some of the critical exponents of the theories with $N = 2, 3$. We return to Eq. (20), which says that the susceptibility $\chi(h)$ of the theory diverges like $\frac{1}{h}$, as $h \rightarrow 0$, provided the “spontaneous magnetization” $M(R)$ (see (17)) does not vanish. A related bound on the mass gap $m(h)$ is plausible, but remains conjectural. I briefly sketch it here. We have seen in (38) and (39) that if there is spontaneous symmetry breaking then the connected two-point Green function has a one-particle pole at zero mass, which, for the example of $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory, with $N \geq 2$ and at $h = 0+$, implies that

$$\langle \varphi^i(x) \cdot \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{h=0+} = \mathfrak{R} [-\Delta]^{-1}(x) + \int_0^\infty d\mu_{reg}(a^2) [-\Delta + a^2]^{-1}(x), \quad \text{for } i \geq 2, \quad (40)$$

where the residue \mathfrak{R} of the one-particle pole at zero mass is strictly positive in the symmetry-broken phase, and the measure $d\mu_{reg}(a^2)$ is regular at $a^2 = 0$. (It is assumed here that the parameter R^2 is large enough such that there is spontaneous symmetry breaking; but we do not display the R -dependence explicitly.) If $h > 0$ this representation takes the form

$$\langle \varphi^i(x) \cdot \phi^i(0) \rangle_h = \mathfrak{R}(h) [-\Delta + m^2(h)]^{-1}(x) + \int_{m^2(h)}^\infty d\tilde{\mu}(a^2) [-\Delta + a^2]^{-1}(x), \quad i \geq 2, \quad (41)$$

where the physical mass, i.e., the inverse correlation length, $m(h)$, is strictly positive, as follows from the Lee-Yang theorem, and $d\tilde{\mu}(a^2)$ is regular at $a^2 = m^2(h)$. It is plausible to expect that $\mathfrak{R}(h) \approx \mathfrak{R}$ is strictly positive, for $h > 0$ small enough, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{R}(h) \geq \mathfrak{R}_* > 0, \quad \text{for } h > 0 \text{ small enough.} \quad (42)$$

Using convergent expansions, this expectation is known to be true if h is real and $|h|$ is large enough. However, the strict positivity of $\mathfrak{R}(h)$ for small, but positive values of h is a conjecture that has not been proven, so far. Assuming that it holds true, we conclude from (41) and (42) that, for $i \geq 2$,

$$\chi(h) = \int d^3x \langle \varphi^i(x) \cdot \phi^i(0) \rangle_h \geq \frac{\mathfrak{R}_*}{m^2(h)}, \quad \text{with } \mathfrak{R}_* > 0. \quad (43)$$

Combining this lower bound with expression (20) for the susceptibility $\chi(h)$, we find that the mass gap $m(h)$ of the theory satisfies the lower bound (predicted by heuristic arguments)

$$m(h) \geq \text{const.} \sqrt{h}, \quad \text{as } h \searrow 0. \quad (44)$$

One can rigorously prove a related upper bound on $m(h)$ as follows. From the Källén-Lehmann representation,

$$\langle \varphi^i(y) \cdot \varphi^i(0) \rangle_{\rho, h}^c = \int_{m^2(h)}^\infty d\mu^{(i)}(a^2) [-\Delta + a^2]^{-1}(y), \quad i \geq 2,$$

see (15), the fact that $\int d\mu^{(i)}(a^2) = 1$, and (20) we derive that

$$\frac{M(R)}{h} \leq \chi(h) \leq \frac{1}{m(h)^2}$$

hence if there is spontaneous magnetization ($M(R) > 0$) then, for positive h ,

$$m(h) \leq \text{const.} \sqrt{h}, \quad \text{as } h \searrow 0. \quad (45)$$

It is evident that whatever is written here for $h > 0$ also holds for $h < 0$ (by replacing φ^1 by $-\varphi^1$).

Equations (20), (44) and (45) are results on critical exponents describing the behavior of the susceptibility and of the mass gap, as $h \searrow 0$, assuming that the spontaneous magnetization is strictly positive. It would be of considerable interest to understand the behavior of the spontaneous magnetization, $M(R)$, defined in (17), as the parameter R^2 approaches the phase-transition point, i.e., $R^2 \searrow R_c^2$, with $M(R) = 0$, for $R^2 < R_c^2$. One expects that $M(R) \searrow 0$ continuously, as $R^2 \searrow R_c^2$. But this has only been proven rigorously for the three-dimensional Ising model (corresponding to $N = 1$), with $M(R) \geq \mathcal{O}([R^2 - R_c^2]^{-1/2})$; see [Aizenman et al., 2015].

One may ask how, in zero magnetic field, $h = 0$, the susceptibility, $\chi(R, h = 0)$, and the mass gap, $m(R, h = 0)$, behave when $R^2 \nearrow R_c^2$. A correlation inequality for the connected four-point (Ursell) function due to Lebowitz [Lebowitz, 1974] implies that, for one-component real fields ($N = 1$), $\chi(R, 0)$ diverges at least as rapidly as $(R_c^2 - R^2)^{-1}$, and the mass gap $m(R, 0)$ tends to 0 continuously, as $R^2 \nearrow R_c^2$; see [Glimm and Jaffe, 1974, McBryan and Rosen, 1976]. (These results are also known to hold for complex scalar fields ($N = 2$), but not for $N \geq 3$.)

The reader may wonder why the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in four (or more) dimensions has not been considered so far. The reason is that if all ultraviolet cutoffs are removed this theory is expected to be equivalent to a (generalized) free field. Assuming that one regularizes the theory by putting it on a hypercubic lattice, this result has been proven for the theories in dimension $d > 4$, with fields of $N = 1$ or 2 components, in [Aizenman, 1982, Fröhlich, 1982]. In four dimensions, this result is only known for the scaling limit of the Ising model and for $\lambda\phi_4^4$ -theory of a one-component real scalar field, the very beautiful, subtle proof appearing in [Aizenman and Duminil-Copin, 2021]. Partial results for $\lambda|\phi|_4^4$ -theory of a complex scalar field in four dimensions have been reported in [Fröhlich, 1982]; but these results remain incomplete. For $N \geq 3$, there are no rigorous results known, yet.

These remarks motivate us to consider classical and quantum lattice systems, which do not have an ultraviolet (short-distance) problem. I will provide a guide to the literature in the next section, but won't discuss any details.

4 Phase transitions and symmetry breaking in classical and quantum lattice systems

For applications in statistical mechanics and condensed matter physics, classical and quantum lattice systems are usually more relevant than continuum field theories, although the latter tend to describe critical phenomena of lattice systems near continuous phase transitions.

We limit our review to sketching some results for classical or quantum spin systems on a lattice \mathbb{Z}^d , with $d = 2, 3, 4$. The Hamiltonian of such systems is given by

$$H \equiv H(\{\vec{S}\}) := - \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \{J_{\perp}(x-y)[S_x^1 \cdot S_y^1 + S_x^2 \cdot S_y^2] + J_{\parallel}(x-y)S_x^3 \cdot S_y^3\} - h \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} S_x^3, \quad (46)$$

where $J_{\perp}(x-y)$ and $J_{\parallel}(x-y)$ are exchange couplings (see [Heisenberg, 1928]), h is proportional to the strength of an external magnetic field in the 3-direction, and $\vec{S}_x = (S_x^1, S_x^2, S_x^3)$ is either a classical spin in \mathbb{R}^3 or a quantum spin with spin quantum number $s = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots$

- (i) If $J_{\parallel}(x-y) \gg |J_{\perp}(x-y)|$, $\forall x, y$, this Hamiltonian describes uniaxial magnetism. For classical spins or quantum spins with $s = \frac{1}{2}$, the model behaves like an Ising model with $J_{\perp} \equiv 0$. Phase transitions only occur when $h = 0$, in which case the model has a \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry. Rigorous

results for quantum spins can be found in [Ginibre, 1969, Kennedy, 1985] and references given there.

- (ii) If $J_{\perp}(x-y) \gg |J_{\parallel}(x-y)|$, $\forall x, y$, the model describes a classical or quantum XY (rotator) model, which has a continuous symmetry $U(1)$.
- (iii) If $J_{\perp} \equiv J_{\parallel} =: J$ the model describes an isotropic magnet and, for $h = 0$, has a continuous symmetry given by $SO(3)$ or $\widetilde{SO}(3) = SU(2)$.

Let us first consider the classical models. They have been studied in [Fröhlich et al., 1976] and, using totally different methods, in [Garban and Spencer, 2022]; and references given there. We briefly review the results and methods used in [Fröhlich et al., 1976], which are inspired by properties of RQFT's and EFT's, in particular by the Källen-Lehmann representation (15).

For classical spin systems, the number of components of the spin \vec{S} is irrelevant; we may replace (S^1, S^2) by (S^1, \dots, S^{N-1}) and S^3 by S^N , $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We begin by explaining what it means for exchange couplings J to be “reflection positive.” Let Π be a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d of co-dimension 1 that lies in between two lattice planes of \mathbb{Z}^d (viewed as a subset of \mathbb{R}^d), and let θ denote reflection at Π . Then the two sites $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\theta x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ lie symmetrically on two different sides of the hyperplane Π . We define \mathbb{Z}_+^d and \mathbb{Z}_-^d to be the two half-lattices on the two different sides of the hyperplane Π . Let $J(x-y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, be exchange couplings.

Definition: J is said to be “reflection positive” iff

$$\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} J(x - \theta y) z_x \bar{z}_y \geq 0, \quad (47)$$

for an arbitrary complex-valued function z on the half-lattice \mathbb{Z}_+^d . \square

The equilibrium state of a classical spin system with a Hamiltonian given by (46) at inverse temperature β is given by the formal probability measure

$$dP(\{\vec{S}\}) := \frac{1}{Z_{\beta}} e^{-\beta H(\{\vec{S}\})} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} d\rho(\vec{S}_x). \quad (48)$$

where $d\rho(\vec{S})$ is a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^N , for example

$$d\rho(\vec{S}) = \begin{cases} \exp(-\frac{\lambda}{4!} [|\vec{S}|^2 - R^2]^2) d\vec{S}, & \text{or} \\ \delta(|\vec{S}|^2 - R^2) d\vec{S}, \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

with $d\vec{S}$ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N , $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and Z_{β} the partition function, which is chosen such that $\int dP(\{\vec{S}\}) = 1$. Since we have not normalized the exchange couplings, we may henceforth set $\beta = 1$ and omit it. Expectations of products of components of spins with respect to the measure $dP(\{\vec{S}\})$ are denoted by $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_{J_{\perp}, J_{\parallel}, h} \equiv \langle (\cdot) \rangle$.

It turns out that, for classical spin systems with a Hamiltonian given by (46) and reflection-positive exchange couplings J_{\perp} and J_{\parallel} satisfying (47), there is an analogue of the Källen-Lehmann representation in the form of upper bounds, so-called Infrared Bounds, on the Fourier transforms of connected spin-spin correlation functions: for $k \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \langle \widehat{S}^i(k) \widehat{S}^i(-k) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{\widehat{J}_{\perp}(0) - \widehat{J}_{\perp}(k)}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ 0 < \langle \widehat{S}^N(k) \widehat{S}^N(-k) \rangle &\leq \frac{1}{\widehat{J}_{\parallel}(0) - \widehat{J}_{\parallel}(k)}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $\widehat{(\cdot)}$ denotes Fourier transformation, that is $\widehat{S}^i(k)$ is the Fourier transform of S_x^i , for $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $k \in B$, where B is the Brillouin zone (i.e., a d -dimensional torus, for the lattice \mathbb{Z}^d). At the origin, $k = 0$, in quasi-momentum space, these two-point functions may include δ -function contributions, $M_i^2 \delta_0(k) d^d k$, where the constants M_i^2 manifest long-range order (LRO), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. In an extremal equilibrium state, the absolute value of the spontaneous magnetization is then given by $\mathcal{M} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N M_i^2}$. The bounds in (50) have been established rigorously in [Fröhlich et al., 1976] and [Fröhlich et al., 1978].

We now show that, in $d \geq 3$ dimensions and for exchange couplings J_\perp and J_\parallel of short range, the quantity \mathcal{M} must be strictly positive, provided R is large enough. First we note that, for $d\rho$ as in (49),

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_B d^d k \langle \widehat{S}^i(k) \widehat{S}^i(-k) \rangle = \langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_0 \rangle = c \cdot R^2, \quad (51)$$

for some strictly positive constant c . (This is obvious for the choice $d\rho(\vec{S}) = \delta(|\vec{S}|^2 - R^2) d\vec{S}$, in which case $c = 1$.) But, by (50), the left side is bounded from above by

$$\langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_0 \rangle \leq \int_B d^d k \left[\frac{N-1}{\widehat{J}_\perp(0) - \widehat{J}_\perp(k)} + \frac{1}{\widehat{J}_\parallel(0) - \widehat{J}_\parallel(k)} \right] + \mathcal{M}^2. \quad (52)$$

If the exchange couplings J_\perp and J_\parallel are of short range then

$$[\widehat{J}_\alpha(0) - \widehat{J}_\alpha(k)]^{-1} \leq J_\alpha^{-1} |k|^{-2}, \quad (53)$$

for some positive constants J_α , with $\alpha = \perp$ or \parallel . Taking $J := \min(J_\perp, J_\parallel)$ we find that

$$c \cdot R^2 = \langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_0 \rangle \leq \frac{N}{J} I_d + \mathcal{M}^2. \quad (54)$$

where $I_d = \int_B \frac{d^d k}{k^2}$. Obviously, I_d diverges in $d = 1$ and 2 dimensions, in which case (54) is useless. But I_d is finite for $d \geq 3$, and it then follows that

$$\mathcal{M}^2 \geq c \cdot R^2 - \frac{N}{J} I_d > 0, \quad \text{for } R^2 \text{ big enough,}$$

i.e., there is spontaneous magnetization and symmetry breaking if R^2 is big enough.

For the Ising model ($N = 1$) and the classical XY model ($N = 2$), these results on the existence of phase transitions accompanied by symmetry breaking can be extended to a large class of not necessarily translation-invariant and not necessarily reflection-positive exchange couplings by using what one calls “correlation inequalities.” The relevant inequalities can be found in [Messager et al., 1978].

One expects that if $J_\parallel > J_\perp$ then the spontaneous magnetization is parallel to the N -axis (with $N = 3$ for the Hamiltonian in (46)). In this case, the discrete symmetry $S^N \rightarrow -S^N$ is broken; for results in this direction see [Kennedy, 1985] and references given there. But if $J_\perp > J_\parallel$ then the spontaneous magnetization is expected to lie in the plane $\{\vec{S} \mid S^N = 0\}$, and the continuous symmetry $O(N-1)$ of the Hamiltonian is broken, which, for $N \geq 3$, is accompanied by the emergence of $N-2$ Goldstone bosons. If $J_\parallel = J_\perp \equiv J$ then the model exhibits an $O(N)$ -symmetry, and the spontaneous magnetization can have an arbitrary direction in \mathbb{R}^N , which can be chosen

by introducing a small symmetry breaking term, $-\vec{h} \cdot \sum_x \vec{S}_x$, in the Hamiltonian and letting $|\vec{h}|$ tend to 0 eventually. All these predictions can be shown to hold rigorously for $N = 1, 2, 3$, under suitable assumptions on the parameters.

It may be appropriate to sketch a heuristic idea that originally inspired a stronger (exponential) version of the Infrared Bounds in (50), and which could then be extended to quantum lattice systems. We consider a canonical quantum field theory of a real scalar field φ on d space-time dimensions with a Hamiltonian, denoted by H , whose energy spectrum is bounded from below by 0. Such a theory (if it existed) would have the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\varphi}(\vec{x}, t) &= -i[H, \varphi(\vec{x}, t)] =: \pi(\vec{x}, t), \quad \text{with} \\ [\varphi(\vec{x}, t), \pi(\vec{y}, t)] &= i \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

where t denotes time and \vec{x} is a point in space. Let f and g be real-valued test functions on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} , and let

$$\varphi(f, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} d\vec{x} \varphi(\vec{x}, t) f(\vec{x}), \quad (56)$$

$$\pi(g, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} d\vec{x} \pi(\vec{x}, t) g(\vec{x}), \quad \text{with} \quad (57)$$

$$[\varphi(f, t), \pi(g, t)] = i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} d\vec{x} f(\vec{x}) g(\vec{x}) \equiv i \langle f, g \rangle. \quad (58)$$

Since φ is a real field, we may expect that, at all times t , $\varphi(f, t)$ is a selfadjoint operator on the Hilbert space, \mathcal{H} , of the theory. From our assumption that $H \geq 0$ it follows that $U H U^* \geq 0$, for an arbitrary unitary operator U on \mathcal{H} , and we then find that

$$0 \leq e^{i\varphi(f, t)} H e^{-i\varphi(f, t)} = H + \pi(f, t) + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2^2 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \quad (59)$$

where $\|f\|_2^2 := \langle f, f \rangle$. Using a Feynman-Kac formula, one can translate this bound to one that holds for a corresponding EFT at imaginary time. We denote the Euclidean field corresponding to the quantum field φ by ϕ , as before, and expectations with respect to the functional measure describing the EFT corresponding to the QFT are denoted by $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle$. For a real-valued test function h on \mathbb{R}^d , we define $\phi(h) := \int d^d x \phi(x) h(x)$. Then (59) implies that

$$\langle e^{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(h)} \rangle \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} \|h\|_2^2}.$$

By Euclidean invariance, it then follows that

$$\langle \exp[\nabla \phi(\underline{h})] \rangle \leq e^{\frac{1}{2} \|\underline{h}\|_2^2}, \quad (60)$$

where $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_d)$ is a d -tuple of test functions. This bound readily implies that, in momentum space and for $k \neq 0$,

$$\langle \widehat{\phi}(k) \widehat{\phi}(-k) \rangle \leq \frac{1}{|k|^2},$$

which is an Infrared Bound similar to (50) in the special case where $N = 1$ and where J_{\parallel} is a nearest-neighbor coupling of strength 1. Obviously, one can extend these arguments to canonical theories of N -component real fields, $\vec{\varphi} = (\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^N)$, $N \geq 2$. (Of course, the bound on $\langle \widehat{\phi}(k) \widehat{\phi}(-k) \rangle$ also follows from the Källén-Lehmann representation (15).)

For classical lattice spin systems with nearest-neighbor exchange couplings, bounds of the form of (60) have first been proven in [Fröhlich et al., 1976]. They were subsequently extended, *mutatis mutandis*, to classical models with general reflection-positive exchange coupling, including long-range couplings, in [Fröhlich et al., 1978] and to quantum spin systems in [Dyson et al., 1978].

A much more ambitious, more robust analysis of classical lattice spin systems with phase transitions accompanied by the spontaneous breaking of continuous $O(N)$ -symmetries has been undertaken in [Balaban, 1998], using mathematically controlled renormalization group methods. (See also [Bauerschmidt et al., 2021] for a somewhat related, but simpler analysis of a different model.)

A new, quite surprising and original approach to proving phase transitions accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking has been proposed in [Garban and Spencer, 2022]. The advantage of their method is that it also applies to classical models that are neither translation-invariant nor do they satisfy Reflection Positivity. The price to pay is that the models describe disordered systems and have random exchange couplings. (For the Ising- and the classical XY model, one can, however, use the correlation inequalities in [Messenger et al., 1978] to extend their results to the usual choice of exchange couplings; see [Garban and Spencer, 2022].)

It turns out that Infrared Bounds analogous to those in (50) – but for so-called Duhamel two-point correlations – can also be proven for quantum lattice spin systems satisfying Reflection Positivity, as discovered in [Dyson et al., 1978]. It turns out that, among the quantum Heisenberg models, only the anti-ferromagnets satisfy Reflection Positivity, but not the ferromagnets. For anti-ferromagnets, the Infrared Bounds can be used to establish phase transitions accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking and the emergence of Goldstone modes (magnons); see [Dyson et al., 1978]. More general results on phase transitions and spontaneous symmetry breaking for a large family of quantum lattice systems satisfying Reflection Positivity have been established in [Fröhlich et al., 1978, Albert et al., 2006]; see also [Fröhlich, 1978] for reviews. I cannot go into any details about these results. For the isotropic quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet on the complete graph, the existence of a phase transition accompanied by the spontaneous breaking of the $SU(2)$ -symmetry has been proven in [Björnberg et al., 2020], using exact calculations that do not rely on Reflection Positivity; but rigorous results concerning phase transitions in quantum Heisenberg ferromagnets with continuous internal symmetries on finite-dimensional lattices are not known, so far.

I conclude this review with a few comments on the Ising model and the classical XY model. By Kramers-Wannier duality, these models are equivalent to gases of “topological defects.” For the Ising model, these defects are domain walls (also called Peierls contours) separating domains with opposite spin orientation. For the XY model the defects are (co-dimension-2) vortices. It turns out that, in dimension $d \geq 2$ and at low temperatures, these defect gases are dilute. This feature enables one to analyze them by using mathematically controlled versions of energy-entropy arguments underpinned by “multi-scale analysis.” For the Ising model, this strategy is implemented in what is commonly called a “Peierls argument,” in honor of its inventor. For the XY model, the analysis is considerably more subtle, due to the presence of massless Goldstone modes at low temperatures. In particular, the analysis of the low-temperature phase of the two-dimensional classical XY model, viewed as a dilute gas of point vortices with long-range (Coulomb) interactions, is really rather involved. An interesting, fairly precise upper bound on spin-spin correlations in the two-dimensional XY model at low temperatures has been proven in [McBryan and Spencer, 1977]. Techniques (involving complex translations in the space of spin configurations) developed in this paper have provided some of the tools used in [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1981], where, using rather heavy multi-scale analysis, the existence of the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition for the two-dimensional classical

XY model and other related models has been established rigorously; see also [Falco, 2012]. New, simpler proofs have recently appeared in [Lammers, 2022] (and references given there).

One of the key results established in [McBryan and Spencer, 1977, Fröhlich and Spencer, 1981] is the following bound on the spin-spin correlation. For ferromagnetic exchange couplings $J > J_c$, where J_c is the coupling at which the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition occurs,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_x \rangle_{J,h=0} &\simeq \mathcal{O}(|x| + 1)^{-\{1/2\pi\varepsilon(J)\}}, \quad \text{with} \\ 0 < \varepsilon(J) &\rightarrow J, \quad \text{as } J \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Here $\vec{S}_x = (\cos \theta_x, \sin \theta_x)$, $\theta_x \in [0, 2\pi)$, is the planar spin variable of the classical XY model at site $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and the external magnetic field h vanishes, $h = 0$. The Lee-Yang theorem, which holds for the classical XY model, implies that the susceptibility

$$\chi(J, h) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_x \rangle_{J,h}$$

is finite if $h > 0$. By (61), $\chi(J, h)$ diverges, as $h \searrow 0$, provided J is large enough. The magnetization, $M(J, h)$, is non-zero, for $h > 0$, but approaches 0, as $h \searrow 0$, by the Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem [Hohenberg, 1967, Mermin and Wagner, 1966]. Using that

$$\chi(J, h) = \frac{M(J, h)}{h},$$

see (20), we conclude that, for J large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} M(J, h) &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{but } \frac{M(J, h)}{h} \text{ diverges, as } h \searrow 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \chi(J, h) &= \mathfrak{o}(h^{-1}), \quad \text{as } h \searrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

while, for $J < J_c$, where the spin-spin correlation decays exponentially, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, and the susceptibility remains finite, as $h \searrow 0$, we have that $M(J, h) \propto h$, as $h \searrow 0$.

For the three-dimensional classical XY model, the analysis is simpler, because, in $d = 3$, the vortices are one-dimensional objects and form loops. At high temperatures, these vortex loops condense; but, at low temperatures, they form a dilute gas. They have long-range interactions mediated by massless Goldstone modes. Somewhat surprisingly, these long-range interactions do not cause any major complications in the mathematical analysis of the low-temperature phase of the model. In fact, the vortex-loop representation has been used to rigorously prove that, at low enough temperatures, the three-dimensional classical XY model has spontaneous magnetization and that its connected spin-spin correlations decay very slowly, namely like r^{-1} , where r is the distance between the spin insertion points. It is easy to show that, at high temperatures, the spin-spin correlations decay exponentially fast. These findings establish again the existence of a phase transition accompanied by the spontaneous breaking of a $U(1)$ -symmetry and the emergence of Goldstone bosons; see [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1983]. Translation invariance of the Hamiltonian is not essential in this method.

Ideas somewhat related to those in [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1983] have earlier been used in [Guth, 1980] in an analysis of the deconfining transition in the four-dimensional $U(1)$ -lattice gauge theory; see also [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1982].

Some Conclusions and Open Problems:

I hope this short review convinces the reader that many non-trivial facts about phase transitions accompanied by spontaneous symmetry breaking and about Goldstone bosons of considerable interest in condensed matter and particle physics are now known in the form of mathematically precise results. Work on this subject has given rise to many important insights into properties of physical systems and to the development of numerous interesting techniques of analysis that can also be used in other contexts. Ideas from statistical and condensed matter physics have been fruitfully imported into particle physics and quantum field theory, and conversely. Without this cross-fertilization, many significant results would presumably not have been found, yet.

I expect that I have quoted sufficiently much of the relevant literature to enable the reader to access without effort the nitty-gritty of the topics discussed in this review. (I offer my apologies to all colleagues whose work I should have quoted, but did not.)

Despite all the progress that has been made during more than half a century, many important questions remain open, at least if one insists on adhering to the standards of mathematical physics. Among the most important such questions are the following ones.

1. Find a continuum model with a translation-invariant Hamiltonian for which one can prove that the ground-state and the low-temperature equilibrium states break translation invariance spontaneously and exhibit crystalline ordering.
2. Show that translation-invariant interacting Bose gases with physically realistic repulsive two-body interactions exhibit Bose-Einstein condensation as the temperature is lowered at a fixed, positive density.
3. Show that the isotropic quantum Heisenberg ferromagnet in $d \geq 3$ dimensions undergoes a phase transition accompanied by the spontaneous breaking of its $SU(2)$ -symmetry.
4. Show that the quantum-mechanical XY model and the $\lambda|\phi|^4$ -theory in two dimensions undergo a Kosterlitz-Thouless transition.
5. Prove rigorously that the classical Heisenberg model and the classical N -vector models, with $N > 3$, have a strictly finite correlation length at all positive temperatures.
6. Extend the results in [Aizenman et al., 2015, Aizenman and Duminil-Copin, 2021] concerning the magnetization in the three-dimensional Ising model, and the Gaussian nature of the scaling limit of the four-dimensional Ising- and $\lambda\phi^4$ -model ($N = 1$), respectively, to two-component models, in particular to the classical XY model.

Acknowledgements. This review could not have been written without my collaborations, mostly many years ago, with E. H. Lieb, B. Simon and T. Spencer. They have taught me very many important things about statistical mechanics and quantum field theory. My collaboration and my countless discussions, also in more recent times, with T. Spencer count among the highlights in my scientific life, and I am deeply grateful to him for his generosity and his friendship. I have profited from interactions and collaboration with numerous other colleagues, postdocs and PhD students, too many to list them all.

I thank T. Chakraborty for encouraging me to write this review and for his patience.

AIPP-Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

References

- [Ruelle, 1969] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics: Rigorous Results*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., Reading MA, 1969 (second printing 1974)
- [Bratteli and Robinson, 1979-1981] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, vol. 1, 1979, & vol. 2, 1981, Texts and Monographs in Physics, R. Balian et al. (eds.), Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg; (second edition 1997)
- [Simon, 1993] B. Simon, *The Statistical Mechanics of Lattice Gases*, vol. 1, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1993; vol. 2 in preparation.
- [Domb et al., 1972-2001] C. Domb and M. S. Green, *Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena*, volumes 1-6, Harcourt, Brace & World, San Diego, New York, 1972 - 1976;
C. Domb and J.L. Lebowitz, *Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena*, volumes 7-20, Harcourt, Brace & World, San Diego, New York, 1983-2001
- [Itzykson and Drouffe, 1989] C. Itzykson and J.-M. Drouffe, *Statistical field theory*, vol. I & II, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 1989
- [Weinberg, 1995-2000] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, volumes I-III, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 1995 - 2000
- [Dunlop and Newman, 1974] F. Dunlop and C. Newman, *Multicomponent Field Theories and Classical Rotators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **44**, 223-235 (1975)
- [Weiss, 1907] P. Weiss, *661 L'hypothèse du champ moléculaire et la propriété ferromagnétique*, Journ. de phys. (4) **6**, 661-690 (1907);
see also: H. Renker, *Magnetische Untersuchungen an Legierungen der Eisengruppe oberhalb des Curie-Punktes*, Promotionsarbeit ETH Zurich 1913 (Referent: Herr Prof. Dr. P. Weiss, Korreferent: Herr Prof. Dr. A. Einstein) – <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-000092025> – and references given there.
- [Heisenberg, 1928] W. Heisenberg, *Zur Theorie des Ferromagnetismus*, Zeitschr. Phys. **49**, 619-636 (1928)
- [Nambu and Jona-Lasinio, 1961] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. I*, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345-358 (1961)
Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, *Dynamical Model of Elementary Particles Based on an Analogy with Superconductivity. II*, Phys. Rev. **124**, 246-254 (1961)
- [Goldstone, 1961-1962] J. Goldstone, *Field Theories with "Superconductor" Solutions*, II Nuov Cimento **XIX**, 154-164 (1961)
J. Goldstone, A. Salam and S. Weinberg, *Broken Symmetries*, Phys. Rev. **127**, 965-970 (1962)
- [Stückelberg, 1938] E. C. G. Stückelberg, *Die Wechselwirkungs Kräfte in der Elektrodynamik und in der Feldtheorie der Kernkräfte* [*The interaction forces in electrodynamics and in the field theory of nuclear forces*] (I), Helv. Phys. Acta **11**, 225-244 (1938)
E. C. G. Stückelberg, *Die Wechselwirkungs Kräfte in der Elektrodynamik und in der Feldtheorie der Kernkräfte* (II), Helv. Phys. Acta **11**, 299-312 (1938)

- E. C. G. Stückelberg, *Die Wechselwirkungs Kräfte in der Elektrodynamik und in der Feldtheorie der Kernkräfte* (III), *Helv. Phys. Acta* **11**, 312-328 (1938)
- [Anderson, 1962] P. W. Anderson, *Plasmons, gauge invariance, and mass*, *Phys. Rev.* **130** (1), 439-442 (1962)
- [Higgs et al., 1964] F. Englert and R. Brout, *Broken symmetry and the mass of gauge vector mesons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (9), 321-323 (1964)
P. W. Higgs, *Broken symmetries and the masses of gauge bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (16), 508-509 (1964)
G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen and T. W. B. Kibble, *Global conservation laws and massless particles*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (20), 585-587 (1964)
- [’tHooft and Veltman, 1972] G. ’tHooft and M. Veltman, *Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **44** (1), 189-219 (1972)
- [Lee and Zinn-Justin, 1972] B. W. Lee and J. Zinn-Justin, *Spontaneously Broken Gauge Symmetries. I. Preliminaries*, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 3121-3137 (1972); *II. Perturbation Theory and Renormalization*, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 3137-3155 (1972); *III. Equivalence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **5**, 3155-3160 (1972)
- [Fröhlich et al., 1981] J. Fröhlich, G. Morchio and F. Strocchi, *Higgs phenomenon without symmetry breaking order parameter*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **190** (3), 553-582 (1981)
- [Osterwalder and Schrader, 1975] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, *Axioms for Euclidean Green’s functions II*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **42** (3), 281-305 (1975), (this paper is a sequel to a previous paper by the same authors from the year 1973 that contained a gap in the arguments)
- [Glaser, 1974] V. Glaser, *On the equivalence of the Euclidean and Wightman formulation of field theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **37** (4), 257-272 (1974)
- [Simon, 1974] B. Simon, *The $P(\phi)_2$ Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory*, Princeton Series in Physics, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1974
- [Glimm and Jaffe, 1981] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Quantum Physics – A Functional Integral Point of View*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981 (2nd edition 1987)
- [Fröhlich, 1978] J. Fröhlich, *The Pure Phases (Harmonic Functions) of Generalized Processes – or: Mathematical Physics of Phase Transitions and Symmetry Breaking*, *Bulletin of the AMS* **84**, 2, 165-193 (1978)
J. Fröhlich, *Phase Transitions and Continuous Symmetry Breaking*, Lecture Notes, Erwin-Schrödinger Institute, Vienna 2011; (available from the author on request)
- [Fröhlich et al., 1976] J. Fröhlich, B. Simon and T. Spencer, *Infrared Bounds, Phase Transitions and Continuous Symmetry Breaking*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **50**, 79-95 (1976)
- [Jost, 1965] R. Jost, *The General Theory of Quantized Fields*, Providence RI, AMS publ., 1965
- [Fröhlich et al., 2020-2023] J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles, B. Schlein and V. Sohinger, *A Path-Integral Analysis of Interacting Bose Gases and Loop Gases*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **180**, 810-831 (2020)
J. Fröhlich, A. Knowles, B. Schlein and V. Sohinger, *The Euclidean ϕ_2^4 theory as a limit of an interacting Bose gas*, arXiv:2201.07632v2 [math-ph] 9 Jan 2023

- [Glimm and Jaffe, 1972] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, *Positivity of the ϕ_3^4 Hamiltonian*, Fortschritte Phys. **21**, 327-376 (1973)
- [Feldman and Osterwalder, 1974-1977] J. Feldman and K. Osterwalder, *The Wightman axioms and the mass gap for weakly coupled ϕ_3^4 quantum field theories*, in: “Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics,” H. Araki (ed.) Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer-Verlag 1975
 J. Feldman and K. Osterwalder, *The Wightman axioms and the mass gap for weakly coupled ϕ_3^4 quantum field theories*, Ann. Physics (NY) **97**, 80-135 (1977)
- [Park, 1977] Y. M. Park, *Convergence of lattice approximation and infinite volume limit in the $(\lambda\phi^4 - \sigma\phi^2 - \mu\phi)_3$ field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 354-366 (1977)
- [Brydges et al., 1983] D. Brydges, J. Fröhlich and A. Sokal, *A New Proof of the Existence and Non-Triviality of the Continuum ϕ_2^4 and ϕ_3^4 Quantum Field Theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **91**, 141-186 (1983)
- [Lee and Yang, 1952] T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, *Statistical theory of equations of state and phase transitions II. Lattice gas and Ising model*, Phys. Rev. **87**, 410-419 (1952)
- [Simon and Griffiths, 1973] B. Simon and R. Griffiths, *The ϕ_2^4 -field theory as a classical Ising model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **33**, 145-164 (1973)
- [Glimm et al., 1975] J. Glimm, A. Jaffe and T. C. Spencer, *Phase transition for ϕ_2^4 quantum fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **45**, 203-216 (1975)
- [Fröhlich and Rodriguez, 2012] J. Fröhlich and P.-F. Rodriguez, *Some applications of the Lee-Yang theorem*, J. Math. Phys. **53**, 095218-1 - 095218-15 (2012)
 J. Fröhlich and P.-F. Rodriguez, *On Cluster Properties of Classical Ferromagnets in an External Magnetic Field*, J. Stat. Phys. **166**, 828-840 (2017)
- [Spencer and Fröhlich, 2013] T. Spencer and J. Fröhlich, *discussions and unpublished notes on the classical XY model*, IAS, Princeton, 2013-2014.
- [Symanzik, 1967] K. Symanzik, *Euclidean proof of the Goldstone theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **6**, 228-232 (1967)
- [Buchholz et al., 1986] D. Buchholz, S. Doplicher and R. Longo, *On Noether’s Theorem in Quantum Field Theory*, Ann. Phys. (NY) **170**, 1-17 (1986)
- [Hohenberg, 1967] P. Hohenberg, *Existence of Long-Range Order in One and Two Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. **158**, 383–386 (1967)
- [Mermin and Wagner, 1966] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, *Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One- or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133–1136 (1966);
 N. D. Mermin, *Absence of ordering in certain classical systems*, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 1061–1064 (1967)
- [Wreszinski, 1976] W. Wreszinski, *Goldstone’s theorem for quantum spin systems of finite range*, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 109–111 (1976)

- [Coleman, 1973] S. Coleman, *There are no Goldstone Bosons in Two Dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 259-264 (1973)
- [Leutwyler, 1994-1997] H. Leutwyler, *Non-relativistic effective Lagrangians*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6, 3033-3043 (1994)
H. Leutwyler, *Phonons as Goldstone bosons*, Helv. Phys. Acta **70**, 275-286 (1997)
- [Kosterlitz and Thouless, 1973] M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, *Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems*, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181-1203 (1973)
- [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1981] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer, *The Kosterlitz-Thouless transition in two-dimensional abelian spin systems and the Coulomb gas*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81**, 527-602 (1981)
- [Falco, 2012] P.-L. Falco, *Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition Line for the Two Dimensional Coulomb Gas*, Commun. Math. Phys. **312**, 559-609 (2012)
- [Polyakov, 1975] A. M. Polyakov, *Interaction of Goldstone Particles in Two Dimensions. Applications to Ferromagnets and Massive Yang-Mills Fields*, Phys. Lett. **59B**, 79-81 (1975)
- [Aizenman et al., 2015] M. Aizenman, H. Duminil-Copin and V. Sidoravicius, *Random currents and continuity of Ising model's spontaneous magnetization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **334**, 2, 719-742 (2015)
- [Lebowitz, 1974] J. L. Lebowitz, *GHS and other inequalities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **35**, 87-92 (1974)
- [Glimm and Jaffe, 1974] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe, φ_2^4 quantum field model in the single-phase region: Differentiability of the mass and bounds on critical exponents, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 536-539 (1974)
- [McBryan and Rosen, 1976] O. McBryan and J. Rosen, *Existence of the Critical Point in ϕ^4 Field Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **51**, 91-105 (1976)
- [Aizenman, 1982] M. Aizenman, *Geometric analysis of φ^4 fields and Ising models. I, II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **86**, 1, 1-48 (1982)
- [Fröhlich, 1982] J. Fröhlich, *On the triviality of $\lambda\varphi_d^4$ theories and the approach to the critical point in $d > 4$ dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. B **200**, 2, 281-296 (1982)
- [Aizenman and Duminil-Copin, 2021] *Marginal triviality of the scaling limits of critical 4D Ising and ϕ_4^4 models*, Ann. Math. **194**, 1, 163-235 (2021)
- [Ginibre, 1969] J. Ginibre, *Existence of Phase Transitions for Quantum Lattice Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **14**, 205-234 (1969)
- [Kennedy, 1985] T. Kennedy, *Long Range Order in the Anisotropic Quantum Ferromagnetic Heisenberg Model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **100**, 447-462 (1985)
- [Fröhlich et al., 1978] J. Fröhlich, R. Israel, E. H. Lieb and B. Simon, *Phase Transitions and Reflection Positivity. I. General Theory and Long Range Lattice Models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **62**, 1-34 (1978)
- [Dyson et al., 1978] F. Dyson, E. H. Lieb and B. Simon, *Phase transitions in quantum spin systems with isotropic and nonisotropic interactions*, J. Stat. Phys. **18**, 335-383 (1978)

- [Messenger et al., 1978] A. Messenger, S. Miracle-Sole and C. Pfister, *Correlation inequalities and uniqueness of the equilibrium state for the plane rotator ferromagnetic model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **58**, 1, 19–29 (1978)
- [Balaban, 1998] T. Balaban, *The large field renormalization operation for classical N -vector models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **198**, 3, 493–534 (1998)
- T. Balaban and M. O’Carroll, *Low temperature properties for correlation functions in classical N -vector spin models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **199**, 3, 493–520 (1999)
- [Bauerschmidt et al., 2021] R. Bauerschmidt, N. Crawford and T. Helmuth, *Percolation Transition for Random Forests in $d \geq 3$* , arXiv:2107.01878v2 [math.PR] 21 Dec 2021
- [Garban and Spencer, 2022] Chr. Garban and T. Spencer, *Continuous Symmetry Breaking along the Nishimori Line*, J. Math. Phys. **63**, 9, 093302 (2022)
- [Albert et al., 2006] C. Albert, L. Ferrari, J. Fröhlich and B. Schlein, *Magnetism and the Weiss Exchange Field – A Theoretical Analysis Motivated by Recent Experiments*, J. Stat. Phys. **125**, 1, 77–124 (2006)
- [Björnberg et al., 2020] J. E. Björnberg, J. Fröhlich and D. Ueltschi, *Quantum spins and random loops on the complete graph*, Commun. Math. Phys. **375**, 1629–1663 (2020)
- [McBryan and Spencer, 1977] O. A. McBryan and T. C. Spencer, *On the decay of correlations in $SO(n)$ -symmetric ferromagnets*, Commun. Math. Phys. **53**, 299–302 (1977)
- [Lammers, 2022] P. Lammers, *Height function delocalisation on cubic planar graphs*, Probability Theory and Related Fields **182**, 1, 531–550 (2022)
- P. Lammers, *Bijectioning the BKT transition*, arXiv:2301.06905v1 [math.PR] 17 Jan 2023
- [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1983] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer, *The Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition*, in: “Scaling and Self-Similarity in Physics,” J. Fröhlich (ed.), Progress in Physics, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, 1983
- [Guth, 1980] A. Guth, *Existence proof of a nonconfining phase in four-dimensional $U(1)$ lattice gauge theory*, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2291–2307 (1980)
- [Fröhlich and Spencer, 1982] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer, *Massless Phases and Symmetry Restoration in Abelian Gauge Theories and Spin System*, Commun. Math. Phys. **83**, 411–454 (1982)