

Parametric resonance of gravitational waves in general scalar-tensor theories

YI-FU CAI^{a,b,*}, GUILLEM DOMÈNECH^{c,†}, ALEXANDER GANZ^{c,d,‡}

JIE JIANG^{b,e,f,§}, CHUNSHAN LIN^{d,¶} and BO WANG^{a,b,**}

^a *Deep Space Exploration Laboratory/School of Physical Sciences,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

^b *Department of Astronomy, School of Astronomy and Space Science,
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

^c *Institute for Theoretical Physics, Leibniz University Hannover,
Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany.*

^d *Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Applied Computer Science,
Jagiellonian University, 30-348 Krakow, Poland*

^e *Center for Cosmological Constant Problem,
Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea and*

^f *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Republic of Korea*

Gravitational waves offer a potent mean to test the underlying theory of gravity. In general theories of gravity, such as scalar-tensor theories, one expects modifications in the friction term and the sound speed in the gravitational wave equation. In that case, rapid oscillations in such coefficients, e.g. due to an oscillating scalar field, may lead to narrow parametric resonances in the gravitational wave strain. We perform a general analysis of such possibility within DHOST theories. We use disformal transformations to find the theory space with larger resonances, within an effective field theory approach. We then apply our formalism to a non-minimally coupled ultra-light dark matter scalar field, assuming the presence of a primordial gravitational wave background, e.g., from inflation. We find that the resonant peaks in the spectral density may be detectable by forthcoming detectors such as LISA, Taiji, Einstein Telescope and Cosmic Explorer.

I. INTRODUCTION

While General Relativity (GR) has been extraordinarily successful as the prevailing theory of gravity and has thus far passed every experimental test on local scales, it remains crucial to explore how we can probe new physics beyond GR. It is plausible that departures of GR may be significant on cosmological scales and at the high energies of the early universe. And, Gravitational Waves (GWs) provide a new opportunity to test GR in such parameter range currently unexplored. This

* yifucai@ustc.edu.cn

† guillem.domenech@itp.uni-hannover.de

‡ alexander.ganz@itp.uni-hannover.de

§ jiejiang@pusan.ac.kr

¶ chunshan.lin@uj.edu.pl

** ymwangbo@ustc.edu.cn

is because, at linear order, gravitational waves barely interact with matter and traverse the universe relatively unchanged. Thus, GWs serve as an excellent probe for new physics at extremely high energies in the early universe, such as modifications to GR. In fact, as far as we know, the universe may be filled with a cosmic GW Background (GWB), just like it is permeated with electromagnetic waves. Interestingly, several pulsar-timing array detectors have reported hints of an isotropic GWB [1–5], which could well have a primordial origin [6].

One plausible component of the cosmic GWB are primordial GWs generated during inflation. For a review on GWs from inflation see Ref. [7] and references therein. See also Ref. [8] for an overview of GWs and the polarization of the cosmic microwave background. However, the GW spectral density predicted from the simplest models of inflation has an amplitude too low to be detected by GW interferometers. Significantly, a resonant heavy field can amplify GW on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) scale while maintaining scale invariance in the curvature perturbation [9]. Additionally, modifications to GR during inflation may give rise to a detectable blue-tilted GW spectrum, as investigated in relevant studies [10–12]. And, after inflation, modifications of GR could lead to a resonant amplification of GWs [13–16]. Such resonances occur, e.g., if modifications of GR introduce oscillatory modulations in the linear GW equation.

In this paper, our aim is to carry out a general analysis on how modifications of GR may enhance a primordial GW signal due to the presence of narrow resonances. While there is a vast array of modified gravity theories, we focus on quadratic Degenerate Higher Order Scalar Tensor (DHOST) theories [17–20], which provide a general framework for scalar-tensor theories without the presence of the Ostrogradski ghost [21, 22]. In general, in this class of models the GW equation may be modified either directly by altering the friction term, the propagation speed of the GWs and/or indirectly by changing the background evolution due to the modified Friedmann equations. Note that all modifications depend on the background evolution of the scalar field.

A logical source of oscillatory modulations of the GW equations are background oscillations of the scalar field, e.g., if such scalar field is the dark matter. Then, the oscillations of the scalar field at the bottom of the potential, may lead to a parametric resonance resulting in an exponential enhancement of the GW strain. Since GWs travel cosmological distances, even minor periodic fluctuations can leave a significant imprints on the GW spectrum. For example, in Ref. [15] it has been discussed how oscillations in the propagation speed of GWs amplify a primordial wave to a detectable level by upcoming or current gravitational wave detectors. The concept of sound speed resonance (SSR) encompasses various phenomenological manifestations, as elucidated in prior works [23–26]. Analogous investigations with a specific focus on induced oscillations atop the conventional Hubble evolution or modifications to the friction term have been conducted [16, 27]. This form of parametric resonance effect finds application within the context of early cosmological

scenarios, as explored in relevant studies [28–31].

As a new approach to parametric resonances, we reduce the functional freedom of DHOST theories by use of disformal transformations [32] to work in the “GW frame”, i.e. the DHOST frame in which the tensor modes propagate as in GR [33]. In this frame, parametric resonances originating from the oscillations in the friction or propagation speed can all be associated to oscillations in the scale factor of the GW frame, aiding us in identifying potential cancellation effects. For simplicity, we then take an Effective Field Theory (EFT) approach to DHOST and require that the modifications are subdominant, i.e. below the cut-off scale of the EFT. Focusing on the radiation dominated universe, we then show that:

- (1) parametric resonances are suppressed in modified gravity theory that contain an Einstein frame, i.e., they can be mapped to GR via a metric transformation, and,
- (2) resonances can be sufficiently strong to enhance the primordial GW to detectable levels if there is no such Einstein frame.

We also apply our formalism to an ultra-light scalar field non-minimally coupled to gravity. Ultralight scalar fields has been extensively studied in the literature as a potential candidate for Ultralight Dark Matter (ULDM) [34–37] (see Refs. [38, 39] for recent reviews). Recently, it has been shown that locally the ULDM can enhance the gravitational waves due to the oscillating gravitational potential [40]. We demonstrate that in case of a simple non-minimal coupling, primordial gravitational waves can be significantly amplified on cosmological scales such that they could be detected by LISA, Einstein Telescope or Cosmic Explorer.

This paper is organized as follows. In § II, we will recap the formulation of the DHOST theory and discuss how we can analyze these models in the GW frame by performing a disformal transformation. Further, we discuss the implications of the non-minimally coupled matter sector in the new gravitational wave frame. In § III we discuss how the parametric resonances in DHOST vary with the existence of an Einstein frame. Finally, in § IV, we apply our formalism to a specific example of an ultralight scalar field non-minimally coupled to gravity.

II. DHOST THEORY AND THE GW FRAME

We first briefly review the theory under study and then we present a convenient frame to study resonances in the GW equation. We work within the DHOST theory up to quadratic order as proposed in [17, 20]. The general action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\tilde{P}(\phi, \tilde{X}) + \tilde{Q}(\phi, \tilde{X}) \tilde{\square} \phi + \tilde{F}(\phi, \tilde{X}) \tilde{R} + \sum_i a_i(\phi, \tilde{X}) \tilde{L}_i \right] + S_m(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \chi) , \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tilde{X} = -\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \phi$ and \tilde{L}_i are defined as

$$\tilde{L}_1 = \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \phi, \quad \tilde{L}_2 = (\tilde{\square} \phi)^2, \quad \tilde{L}_3 = (\tilde{\square} \phi) \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \phi, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\tilde{L}_4 = \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \tilde{\nabla}_\rho \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi, \quad \tilde{L}_5 = (\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \phi)^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that out of the six free functions \tilde{F} and \tilde{a}_i , only three are independent. The others are constrained by the DHOST degeneracy conditions. In the following we focus on Class I of the quadratic DHOST models [20], which includes Horndeski and GR as a subclass and is not plagued by pathologies [41], where

$$\tilde{a}_1 = -\tilde{a}_2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\tilde{a}_4 = \frac{1}{8(\tilde{F} - \tilde{X}\tilde{a}_2)^2} \left[-16\tilde{X}^2\tilde{a}_2^3 + 4(3\tilde{F} + 16\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{X})\tilde{a}_2^2 + (-16\tilde{X}^2\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}} + 12\tilde{X}\tilde{F})\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3 - \tilde{X}^2\tilde{F}\tilde{a}_3^2 - 16\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}}(3\tilde{F} + 4\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{X})\tilde{a}_2 + 8\tilde{F}(\tilde{X}\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}} - \tilde{F})\tilde{a}_3 + 48\tilde{F}\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}}^2 \right], \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tilde{a}_5 = \frac{(-4\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}} + 2\tilde{a}_2 - \tilde{X}\tilde{a}_3)(-2\tilde{a}_2^2 - 3\tilde{X}\tilde{a}_2\tilde{a}_3 + 4\tilde{F}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{a}_2 + 4\tilde{F}\tilde{a}_3)}{8(\tilde{F} - \tilde{X}\tilde{a}_2)^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

We then consider the perturbed Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker (FLRW) metric given by

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = -\tilde{a}^2 d\tau^2 + \tilde{a}^2 (e^{\tilde{h}})_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.7)$$

where \tilde{h}_{ij} are the tensor perturbations, or alternatively the transverse-traceless degrees of freedom. Note that for simplicity we only focus on the tensor perturbations. The quadratic action for tensor perturbations reads

$$S_T^{(2)} = \int d^3x d\tau \tilde{a}^2 \frac{\tilde{M}^2}{2} \left[\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\prime 2} - (1 + \tilde{\alpha}_T)(\partial \tilde{h}_{ij})^2 \right], \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\frac{\tilde{M}^2}{2} = \tilde{F} - \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{X}, \quad \frac{\tilde{M}^2}{2} (1 + \tilde{\alpha}_T) = \tilde{F}. \quad (2.9)$$

From now on we work in Fourier space, where in our convention we have

$$\tilde{h}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \tau) = \int d^3k e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \tilde{h}_k^\lambda(\tau) \epsilon_{ij}^\lambda(\hat{\mathbf{k}}). \quad (2.10)$$

Then, introducing the canonical normalized variable $\tilde{v} = \tilde{a}\tilde{M}\tilde{h}_k^\lambda$, the equation of motion for both of the tensor modes is given by

$$\tilde{v}'' + \left((1 + \tilde{\alpha}_T)k^2 - \frac{(\tilde{a}\tilde{M})''}{\tilde{a}\tilde{M}} \right) \tilde{v} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

From Eq. (2.11) we see that, general speaking, modified gravity influences the evolution of the tensor modes in two distinct ways. Firstly, it can alter the sound speed via $\tilde{\alpha}_T$ and the mass term via \tilde{M} (which is equivalent to the friction for the non-canonical normalized tensor modes). Secondly, it affects the evolution of the Hubble parameter/scale factor \tilde{a} via the modified Friedmann equation. However, as is the case of a simple non-minimal coupling like $f(\phi)\tilde{R}$, the effects from \tilde{a} and \tilde{M} may cancel each other (see, e.g., App. A). We find that to simplify the discussion, it is more convenient to perform the calculations in what we call the ‘‘GW frame’’, where the tensor modes propagate as in GR, i.e. $M = 0$ and $\alpha_T = 0$. Note that hereon we drop the tildes for quantities in the GW frame. In that frame, all the modified gravity effects are solely encoded in the modifications of the scale factor. Note that a similar approach has been used in [33, 42] to show that inflationary predictions of the tensor power spectrum are directly given by the quantities in the GW frame.

A. The GW frame

As we shall see, it is convenient to rewrite the starting DHOST theory to its GW frame by employing a general disformal transformation given by

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = C(\phi, X)g_{\mu\nu} + D(\phi, X)\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi, \quad (2.12)$$

where C and D are functions fixed by the condition of the GW frame, as we shall shortly see. We also impose the condition that $C - DX > 0$ to have a well-defined signature of the metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. The functions \tilde{F} and \tilde{a}_1 in Eq. (2.1) are related to F and a_1 after the transformation (2.12) via the formulas provided in Refs. [20, 41], namely

$$F = \sqrt{C}\sqrt{C - DX}\tilde{F}, \quad a_1 = C^{3/2}\sqrt{C - DX}\left(\frac{D}{C(C - DX)}\tilde{F} + \frac{1}{(C - DX)^2}\tilde{a}_1\right). \quad (2.13)$$

By definition, the GW frame has $M^2 = 1$ and $\alpha_T = 0$, which implies

$$F = \frac{M^2}{2}(1 + \alpha_T), \quad a_1 = \frac{M^2}{2}\frac{\alpha_T}{X} \quad \Rightarrow \quad F = \frac{1}{2}, \quad a_1 = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

This also means that in the GW frame the equations of motion for the canonical tensor modes are given by

$$v'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)v = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

As is apparent, all the modifications must be encoded in the scale factor.

As we anticipated, the GW frame condition Eq. (2.14) fixes the functions in the disformal transformation (2.12). After solving Eq. (2.13) using Eq. (2.14) we find that

$$\hat{D} = -4\tilde{F}\tilde{a}_1C, \quad C^3 + \frac{1}{4\tilde{F}\tilde{a}_1X}C^2 - \frac{1}{16\tilde{F}^3\tilde{a}_1X} = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

where for later convenience we introduced $\hat{D} = D/C$. Note that \tilde{F} should be understood as $\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}(\phi, X/(C(1 - \hat{D}X)))$. With Eq. (2.16), we obtain that the general action in the GW frame is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2}R + P + Q\Box\phi + a_3L_3 + a_4L_4 + a_5L_5 \right] + S_M(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \chi) , \quad (2.17)$$

where note that matter is coupled to the original metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$. Also note that the classes of DHOST are invariant under disformal transformations and, therefore, using the condition for Class I (2.4) we obtain $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. In the GW frame the original functions \tilde{F} , \tilde{a}_2 and \tilde{a}_3 are replaced by a_3 , C and D . The specific form of the functions a_i , Q and P in terms of the old functions are not relevant for our purpose and we refer the interested reader to Ref. [20]. The degeneracy conditions for a_4 and a_5 simplify to

$$a_4 = -\frac{1}{4}(4a_3 + a_3^2X^2) , \quad a_5 = -a_3^2X . \quad (2.18)$$

At this point it is important to clarify the meaning and usefulness of the disformal transformation (2.12). This is clear if we expand the perturbed FLRW metric (2.7) in conformal coordinates and compare the scale factors and the tensor perturbations at leading order, which yields

$$\tilde{a} = C^{1/2}a , \quad (2.19)$$

$$\tilde{h}_{ij} = h_{ij} + \hat{D}(\phi, X) (\partial_i\delta\phi\partial_j\delta\phi)_{TT} , \quad (2.20)$$

where TT refers to the transverse-traceless component. Note that we considered perturbations of the scalar field, namely $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \delta\phi$, to illustrate in Eq. (2.20) that the effects of the function \hat{D} to \tilde{h}_{ij} only appear at second order in perturbation theory.¹ Thus, we see that leading order $\tilde{h}_{ij} = h_{ij}$. This is also consistent with the fact that GW luminosity distance depends on the scale factor of the GW frame [44, 45], since on subhorizon scales we have that $\tilde{h}_{ij} = h_{ij} \propto 1/a$.

One may wonder whether fast oscillatory modulations of the scale factor would also appear in GW detectors, since matter fields would effectively see the combination $\tilde{a}^2(\delta_{ij} + \tilde{h}_{ij})$, e.g., that appears in the volume element. However, the oscillatory modulations must yield small corrections to the expansion rate and are therefore negligible for local measurements. In any case, we eventually require that the effects of modified gravity are only present at early times and that these modifications vanish today. Namely we impose $C(t_0) = 1$ and $D(t_0) = 0$, t_0 refers to today. Consequently, the two frames (i.e. the matter frame and the GW frame) coincide at present. Thus, in summary, resonant amplifications of \tilde{h}_{ij} can be fully understood by studying resonant amplifications of h_{ij} .

¹ This effect might be interesting for secondary GWs (see, e.g., Ref. [43] for a review) but it is out of the scope of this paper. We will investigate this possibility in future works.

B. Matter fields in the GW frame

For completeness we study the equations for matter fields in the GW frame before moving on to the GW resonances. As an example for the matter sector, we model radiation as a k-essence fluid whose action is given by

$$S_m = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi \right)^2 \equiv \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \tilde{Y}^2 . \quad (2.21)$$

Working in variables of the GW frame we have that

$$S_m = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{1 - \hat{D}X} \left(Y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{D}}{1 - \hat{D}X} (\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \chi)^2 \right)^2 . \quad (2.22)$$

Note that in the GW frame, matter depends only on \hat{D} since radiation is conformal invariant. The equation of motion for the matter field χ can be written as

$$\nabla_\alpha J^\alpha = 0 . \quad (2.23)$$

Since we later take an EFT expansion, let us assume that the leading order contribution to \hat{D} is independent of X , namely $\hat{D} = d_0(\phi)/\Lambda^2$. Then, at the background level, we obtain for radiation at leading order

$$J^\alpha \simeq -\delta_0^\alpha \chi^3 \left(1 - \frac{3\dot{\phi}^2}{2\Lambda^2} d_0 \right) , \quad (2.24)$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_r + 3H(\rho_r + p_r) &= \left(1 - \frac{3d_0\dot{\phi}^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right)^{-1} 12p_r \left(\frac{d_0\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^2} + \dot{d}_0 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2\Lambda^2} \right) \\ &\simeq p_r \left(12 \frac{d_0\dot{\phi}\ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^2} + 6\dot{d}_0 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where p_r and ρ_r are the pressure and energy density of radiation respectively, and $p_r = \frac{\rho_r}{3} = \frac{\dot{\chi}^4}{4}$.

The energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}^m$ at the FLRW background can be expressed as

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^m = \delta_\alpha^0 \delta_\beta^0 \left(\rho_r + \frac{11}{2} d_0 p_r \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) + \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta j} a^2 p_r \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) . \quad (2.26)$$

Later on, we will show that the coupling of the scalar field to the matter sector is suppressed and will not lead to sizeable resonances.

III. GW RESONANCES IN DHOST

Let us explore the general possibilities for parametric resonances in the GW equation in DHOST. As a general setup, and for analytical viability, we assume that the background evolution is dominated by radiation and the modifications stemming from DHOST are subdominant. We start with the simplest case of minimally coupled scalar fields and show that resonances are in general suppressed. We then investigate two different classes of models of DHOST: (1) those with an Einstein frame (that is $a_i = Q = 0$) and (2) those without (i.e. $a_i \neq 0$ and $Q \neq 0$). We find that in the former resonances are suppressed, as in the minimally coupled case, while, in the latter, there may be sizable resonances in the GW strain.

From now on and for simplicity, we take an EFT approach and assume that we one can expand the free functions in powers of X/Λ^2 , where Λ is the cutoff scale of our theory. In this case, the leading order terms scale as

$$\begin{aligned} C &= c_0(\phi) + c_1(\phi) \frac{X}{\Lambda^2}, & \hat{D} &= \frac{d_0(\phi)}{\Lambda^2}, & a_3 &= \frac{a_{3,0}(\phi)}{\Lambda^4}, \\ P &= \frac{1}{2} P_0(\phi) X - V(\phi) + P_1(\phi) \frac{X^2}{\Lambda^2}, & Q &= Q_1(\phi) \frac{X}{\Lambda^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Note that we can always redefine the scalar field to set $P_0(\phi) = 1$.

A. Parametric resonances for minimally coupled fields

To gain an understanding of potential parametric resonances, we begin with the simplest case of a minimally coupled canonical scalar field oscillating around the bottom of the potential, which corresponds to $a_i = Q = P_1 = C = \hat{D} = 0$ and $P_0(\phi) = 1$ in Eqs. (2.17) and (3.1). In simpler terms, the GW frame coincides with the matter frame, which is GR plus a canonical scalar field. This leads to minor oscillations on top of the standard evolution of the Hubble parameter. This scenario has been extensively examined in existing literature, as seen in [16, 27, 46], hence we will just concentrate on the key points.

Given the scalar field oscillates at the bottom of the potential, we parameterize it as

$$\phi = \bar{\phi}(\tau) f(\omega\tau), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\bar{\phi}$ is the slowly varying amplitude and $|f(\omega\tau)| \leq 1$ corresponds to rapid oscillations. Additionally, we assume that $\omega \gg \mathcal{H}$, with $\mathcal{H} = a'/a$ being the conformal Hubble parameter. Utilizing this, we can approximate the equation of motion as

$$\frac{d^2 \tilde{v}}{dx^2} + \left(k^2 + \frac{\bar{\phi}^2}{6\tilde{a}^2} f'^2 - \frac{2\tilde{V}}{3\omega^2} \right) \tilde{v} = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $x = \omega\tau$. For instance, for a quartic potential $V(\phi) = \lambda\phi^4$, the scalar field frequency is given by $\omega = \sqrt{\lambda\bar{\phi}^2 a^2/2}$ and $f(\omega\tau) = \text{sn}(\omega\tau, -1)$, with sn being the Jacobian elliptic function (refer to section IV for more details). For $\omega \gg \mathcal{H}$, we can neglect the time dependence of $\bar{\phi}$ and a compared to the rapid oscillation in $f'(x)$ or $f(x)$, resulting in a Hill's equation. The parametric resonance is, however, suppressed by the amplitude of the scalar field $\bar{\phi}^2$. It is important to note that we are working in units where the Planck mass is set to one. Therefore, in this case the resonance is large only if the the scalar field amplitude is near to the Planck scale, otherwise it may yield subdominant modulations to the GW spectrum.

In what follows, we use the oscillating, minimally coupled, canonical scalar field as a reference to check whether resonances can be enhanced by modified gravity or not with respect to such benchmark.

B. Resonances in DHOST models with Einstein Frame

As a next step, let us consider the case where the modified gravity model has an Einstein frame, i.e., after performing the disformal transformation we have that $a_i = 0$ and $Q = 0$. Recall that, however, the matter sector is disformally coupled to the scalar field. The total action then reads

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} R + P(\phi, X) \right] + S_M(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \chi). \quad (3.4)$$

In this case, the EOM takes the schematic form:

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}^P + T_{\alpha\beta}^m, \quad (3.5)$$

where $T_{\alpha\beta}^P$ is the energy momentum tensors of the scalar field. At the FLRW background, it is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^P = \delta_{\alpha}^0 \delta_{\beta}^0 \rho_{\phi} + \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta j} a^2 p_{\phi} = \delta_{\alpha}^0 \delta_{\beta}^0 \left(\frac{1}{2} X + V + 3P_1 \frac{X^2}{\Lambda} \right) + \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta j} a^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} X - V + P_1 \frac{X^2}{\Lambda^2} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

where in the second step we have used the expansion of $P(\phi, X)$ given by Eq. (3.1). Using the background equation of motions in conformal time we obtain

$$-2 \frac{a''}{a} = \left(p_{\phi} - \frac{1}{3} \rho_{\phi} \right) a^2 - \frac{1}{3} d_0 p_r a^2 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

resulting in

$$v'' + \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_{\phi} - \frac{1}{3} \rho_{\phi} \right) a^2 - \frac{1}{6} d_0 p_r a^2 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) v \simeq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Firstly, note that if the original model is related to GR via a pure conformal transformation (i.e. $D = 0$), such as the Brans-Dicke model studied in App. A, the last term vanishes and there is no

direct dependence on the matter sector due to the conformal invariance of radiation. The structure of the equation of motion is essentially the same as in (3.3) and the resonance is highly suppressed for $\bar{\phi} \ll 1$.

Now we consider two different regimes: deep in radiation domination where $\rho_\phi \ll p_r \dot{\phi}^2/\Lambda^2$, and the scalar field domination where $p_r \dot{\phi}^2/\Lambda^2 \ll \rho_\phi$.

1. Radiation domination

In the deep radiation domination epoch, we can approximate $p_r \dot{\phi}^2/\Lambda^2 \gg \rho_\phi, p_\phi$, rendering only the last term significant. Given that term is already suppressed by $\dot{\phi}^2/\Lambda^2$, we only consider the leading order solution of ϕ and p_r . As before, we model the background solution of ϕ as $\phi = \bar{\phi}(\tau)f(\omega\tau)$. Then, the EOM can be approximated as

$$\frac{dv}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{k^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{6}d_0 \frac{\mathcal{H}^2 \bar{\phi}^2}{a^2 \Lambda^2} f'^2(x) \right) v \simeq 0, \quad (3.9)$$

where $x = \omega\tau$ and we have used that $p_r a^2 \simeq \mathcal{H}^2$ from the background EOM. The equation of motion again takes the form of a Hill's equation. However, the parametric resonance is suppressed by $\frac{\mathcal{H}^2 \bar{\phi}^2}{a^2 \Lambda^2}$. To maintain a consistent expansion in orders of X/Λ^2 , we must require that $X/\Lambda^2 = \bar{\phi}^2 \omega^2/(a^2 \Lambda^2) \ll 1$. Therefore, at best, the resonance is suppressed by \mathcal{H}^2/ω^2 . However, to neglect the time dependency of \mathcal{H} and $\bar{\phi}$, we need to require that $\omega \gg \mathcal{H}$, which shows that the resonance is highly suppressed.

2. Scalar field domination

The other possibility is that the energy density of the scalar field is not subdominant, so that we cannot neglect $p_\phi - \rho_\phi/3$. Using the form of $P(\phi, X)$ (3.1), we obtain

$$p_\phi - \frac{1}{3}\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{3}X - \frac{4}{3}V, \quad (3.10)$$

which takes the same form as for the canonical scalar field in (3.3), since the leading contribution proportional to $P_1(\phi)$ cancels each other. Therefore, the parametric resonance is suppressed by $\bar{\phi}^2$ (see discussion in the previous section III A).

In summary, as long as the modified gravity model can be expressed in terms of a K-essence fluid, whose scalar amplitude is much smaller than the Planck scale, and a non-minimally coupled radiation fluid, the resonances are highly suppressed. Note that this might change if we consider a different matter sector which is not conformal invariant (see for instance [16]).

C. Resonances in DHOST models with No Einstein Frame

As a final step, we consider the general case where it is not possible to eliminate all higher derivative terms in the action via the disformal transformation. The Einstein Equations can now be schematically written as

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}^P + T_{\alpha\beta}^m + T_{\alpha\beta}^Q + T_{\alpha\beta}^a, \quad (3.11)$$

where $T_{\alpha\beta}^Q$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}^a$ denote the contributions from the non-minimally coupled scalar field. At the background level, they are explicitly given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^Q = \delta_\alpha^0 \delta_\beta^0 \left(-6HQ_1 \frac{\dot{\phi}^3}{\Lambda^2} + Q_1' \frac{\dot{\phi}^4}{\Lambda^2} \right) + \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta i} a^2 \left(2Q_1 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 \ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^2} + Q_1' \frac{\dot{\phi}^4}{\Lambda^2} \right), \quad (3.12)$$

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^a = \delta_\alpha^0 \delta_\beta^0 \left(a_0 \left((9H^2 + 3\dot{H}) \frac{\dot{\phi}^4}{\Lambda^4} - 3H \frac{\dot{\phi}^3 \ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^4} \right) + 3a_0' H \frac{\dot{\phi}^5}{\Lambda^4} \right) + \delta_{\alpha i} \delta_{\beta i} a^2 \left(a_0 \frac{3\dot{\phi}^2 \ddot{\phi}^2 + \dot{\phi}^3 \ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^4} + a_0' \frac{\dot{\phi}^4 \ddot{\phi}}{\Lambda^4} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Assuming that $\omega \gg H$, $\phi \ll 1$ and using the ansatz $\phi = \bar{\phi}(\tau)f(\omega\tau)$ we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{k^2}{\omega^2} + Q_1 \frac{\omega^2 \bar{\phi}^3}{a^2 \Lambda^2} f'^2 f'' + \frac{1}{2} a_0 \frac{\bar{\phi}^4 \omega^4}{a^4 \Lambda^4} (3f'^2 (f'')^2 + f'^3 f''') \right) v \simeq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

We see that the leading order terms relevant for the resonances are suppressed by $Q_1 X/\Lambda^2 \bar{\phi} \sim Q_1 \omega^2 \bar{\phi}^2/(a^2 \Lambda^2) \bar{\phi}$ or $a_0 X^2/\Lambda^4 \sim \omega^4 \bar{\phi}^4/(a^4 \Lambda^4)$. These terms are dominant in comparison to a standard canonical scalar field if $X/\Lambda^2 \sim \bar{\phi}^2 \omega^2/(a^2 \Lambda^2) \geq \bar{\phi}$.

Therefore, for a very small amplitude of the scalar field, the dominant contributions will come from a_i and then Q , but they are suppressed by X^2/Λ^4 or $(X/\Lambda^2)\phi$, instead of just X/Λ^2 as one might expect. This is interesting since in the original frame, the modifications of the sound speed or the friction term scale at leading order as \tilde{X}/Λ^2 . However, as we can see from the gravitational wave frame, these modifications each other at leading order so that the final resonance is suppressed by \tilde{X}^2/Λ^4 or $\phi \tilde{X}/\Lambda^2$.

IV. NON-MINIMALLY COUPLED ULTRA-LIGHT DARK MATTER

Let us turn to an interesting application of our formalism. We consider ULDM with a non-minimal coupling to gravity, where the total action reads

$$S = \int d^4 x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{X} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\phi_\mu \phi_\nu}{\Lambda^2} \right] + S_m(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \psi), \quad (4.1)$$

Interestingly, this specific form of the non-minimal coupling has been discussed in the literature in the context of galaxy clustering [47].² As we argued in § III, it is more convenient to work in the GW frame. In the present case, the GW frame is obtained from Eq. (4.1) after performing a disformal transformation (2.12) with

$$C = -\frac{1 + s - \sqrt{2}\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}c}{8\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}} \simeq 1 - \frac{6X}{\Lambda^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\hat{D} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{8 + \sqrt{2}(-1 + s)c}{16\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}} \simeq -\frac{2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{8X}{\Lambda^4}, \quad (4.4)$$

where we defined

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{1 + s + 4\frac{X}{\Lambda^2} \left(1 + 2s - 4(3 + s)\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}\right)}{\frac{X^2}{\Lambda^4}}}, \quad s = \sqrt{1 - 8\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}}, \quad (4.5)$$

and in the last step of Eqs. (4.3) and (4.4) we expanded at leading order in X/Λ^2 . After the disformal transformation we find in the GW frame that

$$P(\phi, X) = \sqrt{1 - \hat{D}XC^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{C(1 - \hat{D}X)} - V(\phi) \right] \simeq \frac{1}{2}X - V + \frac{X}{\Lambda^2} \left(11V - \frac{7}{2}X \right), \quad (4.6)$$

$$a_3(\phi, X) \simeq \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \left(40 + 328\frac{X}{\Lambda^2} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

We have $Q = 0$ since except for the potential, the free functions are shift symmetric.

Since we are interested in small oscillatory modulations, we may only consider the leading order solution of the background equation of motion for ϕ , which is given by

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + m^2\phi + \lambda\phi^3 = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{X}{\Lambda^2}\right). \quad (4.8)$$

In the regime where the self-interaction term dominates $\lambda\phi^2 \gg m^2$, which we expect to be the case in the early universe for light scalar fields, we obtain

$$\phi \simeq \frac{\phi_0}{a} \text{sn}(x, -1) \quad , \quad X \simeq \frac{\phi_0^2 \omega^2}{2a^4} \left(\text{cn}(x, -1) \text{dn}(x, -1) - \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\omega} \text{sn}(x, -1) \right)^2, \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$x = \omega(\tau - \tau_\star), \quad \omega = \sqrt{\lambda\phi_0^2/2}, \quad (4.10)$$

and $\text{sn}(x, -1)$, $\text{dn}(x, -1)$ and $\text{cn}(x, -1)$ are the Jacobi elliptic functions and τ_\star is the conformal time at the start of the resonance period. As an abuse of notation, we will use $\text{sn}(x, -1) \equiv \text{sn}(x)$

² We can also rewrite the action into a more standard form after integration by parts, namely

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2\tilde{X}}{\Lambda^2} \right) \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{X} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 - \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left(\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \phi - (\tilde{\square} \phi)^2 \right) \right] + S_m(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \psi). \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly for $\text{cn}(x)$ and $\text{dn}(x)$. In terms of our previous notation this solution corresponds to $\bar{\phi}(\tau) = \phi_0/a$ and $f(\omega\tau) = \text{sn}(x)$. Note, that while the self-interaction dominates the scalar field behaves as radiation. Only after the mass term dominates at $\tau \geq \tau_t$, where τ_t is defined through $m^2 a(\tau_t)^2 = \lambda\phi_0^2$, the scalar field mimics dark matter, i.e. $\bar{\phi} \propto 1/a^{3/2}$. We use the subscript “ t ” in τ_t to denote the transition to the time when $m^2\phi^2$ dominates the potential. Of course, we require this to occur before matter-radiation equality, namely $\tau_t < \tau_{eq}$. Requiring that the scalar field is responsible for all dark matter at radiation-matter equality we find the amplitude ϕ_0 to be roughly $\phi_0 \sim \frac{\mathcal{H}_{eq}}{m} \sqrt{\frac{a_{eq}}{a(\tau_t)}}$.

For the tensor modes, we focus on the impact of the terms proportional to a_0 , which are dominant for $\omega \gg \mathcal{H}$ and $\phi_0 \ll 1$. Expanding Eq. (2.15) up to the order $\beta = \mathcal{H}/\omega$, we find

$$\frac{d^2v}{dx^2} + \left(\kappa^2 - 120\alpha^2(\text{sn}(x)^2 - 4\text{sn}(x)^6 + 4\text{sn}(x)^{10}) + 120\beta\alpha^2\text{cn}(x)\text{sn}(x)^3\text{dn}(x)(8 - 11\text{sn}(x)^4) \right) v \simeq 0, \quad (4.11)$$

where we defined for compactness

$$\kappa = \frac{k}{\omega}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\phi_0^2\omega^2}{a^4\Lambda^2} \equiv \frac{\alpha_\star}{(1 + \beta_\star x)^4}, \quad \beta = \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\omega} \equiv \frac{\beta_\star}{1 + \beta_\star x}, \quad a(x) = a_\star(1 + \beta_\star x). \quad (4.12)$$

In Eq. (4.12) we evaluate the parameters around $\tau = \tau_\star$ which corresponds to the onset of the resonance. In this way, the subscript “ \star ” means evaluation at $\tau = \tau_\star$. We also introduced $x = \omega(\tau - \tau_\star)$ for convenience as $x \sim 0$ for $\tau \sim \tau_\star$. Note that the leading order term in Eq. (4.11) scales as α_\star^2 , which corresponds to X^2/Λ^4 , as we have shown in general in § III. As we will later see, the ratio between the Hubble parameter at the start of the resonance \mathcal{H}_\star and the frequency ω , i.e. $\beta_\star = \mathcal{H}_\star/\omega$, determines how long the mode remains in the resonance band.

We proceed with the analysis of the resonances in (4.11). First, since resonances occur on short time scales, we may neglect cosmic expansion ($\beta_\star = 0$). Then, we solved the Eq. (4.11) numerically and show our results in Figure 1. On the left, we plot the first instability band. On the right, we show the maximal Floquet exponent μ_κ versus α_\star , which scales as $\mu_\kappa \simeq 5.55\alpha_\star^2$. This indicates that the resonance is in the first resonance band, similar to the standard Mathieu equation.

After showing the presence of the resonant bands, let us discuss the impact of cosmic expansion. We show the numerical results in Figure 2. On the left upper panel, we plotted the evolution of v for two different values of β_\star for $\kappa = 1.81$. This figure shows that the cosmic expansion halts the resonance at a certain point, and after a slight drop, it approaches a constant value. To gain a better understanding we plotted on right upper panel the scale dependency of v_{max} , i.e. the maximal value of v before the modes exit the resonance band at x_{max} . We compared this to evolving v without cosmic expansion $\beta_\star = 0$ for the same timescale x_{max} . Due to the cosmic expansion, the spectrum broadens and shifts to smaller values of κ . This shift can be easily understood since due




FIG. 1. On the left, we plotted the Floquet exponent μ_κ of the first instability band without cosmic expansion ($\beta_\star = 0$) in dependence on α_\star and κ showing that the resonance frequency shifts towards smaller values by decreasing α_\star . On the right, we plotted the maximal Floquet exponent μ_κ versus α_\star confirming that the resonance is in the first resonance band $\mu_\kappa = A\alpha_\star^2$.

redshifting the modes traverse through the resonance band. Therefore, we obtain the maximal amplification if the mode scans the entire band instead of starting at the center and only scanning part of the resonance band. This also explains why without cosmic expansion at the center of the resonance band, amplification is significant higher but falls off much more rapidly away from the center.

On the lower panel of Figure 2, we study the impact of β_\star on the maximal amplification by plotting v_{\max} vs β_\star on the left. The fit is an exponential decay $\log v_{\max} = Ae^{-B\beta_\star} + C$ with $A \simeq 22.5$, $B \simeq 479.9$ and $C \simeq 2.4$. We can see that the resonance is highly dependent on β_\star since it determines how long a mode stays inside the resonance band (lower right panel). The fit is given by $x_{\max} = A\beta_\star^B$ with $A \simeq 0.148$ and $B \simeq -0.914$. As a very rough estimate, we have that $x_{\max}\beta_\star \sim \mu_\kappa$ since the width of the resonance band is of the order of the Floquet exponent.

Parameter Estimate

The maximal time span x_{\max} a mode stays inside the resonance band depends on the band width, which is proportional to the Floquet exponent μ_κ , and the relative cosmological expansion β_\star and is at least roughly given by $x_{\max} \sim \mu_\kappa/\beta_\star$ as discussed in the previous section. On the other hand, the Floquet exponent in the first resonance bands scales as $\mu_\kappa \sim \alpha_\star^2$. Together, we can estimate the enhancement factor as $P \sim e^{x_{\max}\mu_\kappa}$. We can note that the enhancement is highly sensitive to α_\star and for a significant enhancement we need to require that $x_{\max}\mu_\kappa \sim \alpha_\star^4/\beta_\star \gtrsim 1$. In




FIG. 2. Impact of cosmic expansion on the parametric resonance for $\alpha_\star = 0.3$. On the left upper panel, we plotted the evolution of the tensor modes for two different parameters of β_\star , showing that due to the cosmic expansion modes leave the resonance band. On the right, scale dependency for v_{max} is plotted and compared without cosmic expansion up to x_{max} . On the left lower panel, maximal amplification v_{max} vs β_\star is plotted showing the strong dependence on β_\star since it fixes the timescale x_{max} how long mode stays inside the resonance band, which we show on the right lower panel.

terms of the model parameters we can express the condition as

$$\frac{\alpha_\star^4}{\beta_\star} \sim \left(\frac{H_\star}{\Lambda}\right)^8 \left(\frac{a_t}{a_{eq}}\right)^4 \frac{m}{H_\star} \frac{a(\tau_t)}{a_\star} \sim 10^{21} \left(\frac{m}{\text{eV}}\right) \left(\frac{\text{MeV}}{T_\star}\right) \left(\frac{T_{eq}}{T_t}\right)^5 \left(\frac{H_\star}{\Lambda}\right)^8 \gtrsim 1, \quad (4.13)$$

which provides a lower bound for the mass. Further, we require our EFT to hold up to BBN or even earlier, which means $a_\star \leq 10^{-8}$. In a complete picture, this would entail, e.g., that the scalar field is frozen before BBN because of a very flat potential, thus rendering the modifications negligible. Only after a_\star the modified gravity corrections become relevant as X/Λ^2 is sizable.

On the other hand, the resonance frequency $f \sim \omega$ is related to the mass m or the coupling of the quartic interaction λ via $\omega \sim \sqrt{\lambda}\phi_0 \sim ma_t$ which in terms of the model parameter is given by

$$f \sim \omega \sim 10^{11} \left(\frac{m}{\text{eV}}\right) \left(\frac{a_t}{10^{-4}}\right) \text{ Hz}, \quad (4.14)$$

or equivalently

$$m \sim 10^{-11} \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{a_t} \right) \left(\frac{f}{1\text{Hz}} \right) \text{ eV}. \quad (4.15)$$

In order to get a feeling for the parameters let us now consider two different examples:

- LISA frequency $f_{\text{LISA}} \sim 10^{-2}$ Hz

$$m \sim 10^{-13} \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{a_t} \right) \text{ eV}, \quad \beta_\star \sim 10^{-7} \left(\frac{T_\star}{10 \text{ MeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{a_\star}{10^{-8}} \right),$$

$$\frac{\alpha_\star^4}{\beta_\star} \sim 10^9 \left(\frac{m}{10^{-13} \text{ eV}} \right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{T_\star} \right) \left(\frac{T_{eq}}{T_t} \right)^5 \left(\frac{H_\star}{\Lambda} \right)^8.$$

- LIGO/ ET frequency $f_{\text{ET}} \sim 10^2$ Hz

$$m \sim 10^{-9} \left(\frac{10^{-4}}{a_t} \right) \text{ eV}, \quad \beta_\star \sim 10^{-11} \left(\frac{T_\star}{10 \text{ MeV}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{a_\star}{10^{-8}} \right),$$

$$\frac{\alpha_\star^4}{\beta_\star} \sim 10^{13} \left(\frac{m}{10^{-9} \text{ eV}} \right) \left(\frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{T_\star} \right) \left(\frac{T_{eq}}{T_t} \right)^5 \left(\frac{H_\star}{\Lambda} \right)^8.$$

We can note that in order to have a significant enhancement in the LISA frequency range we need that the resonance starts quite close to the cutoff scale $H_\star/\Lambda \sim 1$. For the ET frequency range the parameter space is significantly larger.

To shorten the computation time we solve the Eq. (4.11) for $\alpha_\star = 0.1$, $\kappa = 1.258$ and $\beta_\star = 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ numerically which is plotted in figure 3. A value of $\alpha_\star = 0.1$ is at the upper end to allow for a consistent EFT expansion and requires that $H_\star/\Lambda \sim 1$. We can see that the modes leave the resonance band at roughly $x_{max} \sim 400$, leading to a final amplification slightly above $2 \cdot 10^4$. On the right, we plotted the spectrum of v after it approaches the plateau v_p . To get an estimate for the gravitational wave spectrum nowadays $\Omega_{GW}(t_0)$, we use that the amplification is occurring on very short timescales in comparison to the Hubble parameter and after that, the tensor modes evolve like in GR so that we can estimate

$$\Omega_{GW}(t_0) = \Omega_{GR,GW}(t_0) v_p^2, \quad (4.16)$$

where $\Omega_{GR,GW}(t_0)$ is the spectrum in standard GR for slow-roll inflation and we used the initial conditions $v(t_\star) = 1$.

On the lower panel, we plotted the spectrum $\Omega_{GW}(t_0)$ in comparison to the LISA sensitivity curve with $\omega = 10^{-2}$ Hz and to the Einstein telescope with $\omega = 30$ Hz for a tensor-to-scalar ratio of $r = 0.01$. Since we fix β_\star , increasing ω means that for the Einstein telescope we consider resonances which occur earlier in time. Due to the narrow resonance band, the signal is strongly peaked. We can see that for our parameter choice, the enhancement of the gravitational wave spectrum in the




FIG. 3. In the upper left panel, we plotted the evolution of the tensor modes for $\kappa = 1.258$. In the right upper panel, we depicted the scale dependency of the first resonance band after the modes reach a plateau. In the lower left panel, we illustrated the corresponding energy density $\Omega_{GW}(t_0)$ with the first three resonance bands, compared to the sensitivity curve of LISA for $\omega = 10^{-2}$ Hz. In the lower right panel, we compared it to the sensitivity curve of the Einstein Telescope for $\omega = 30$ Hz. The other parameters used in these plots are $\alpha_\star = 0.1$ and $\beta_\star = 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

first resonance band is sufficient to be detected by LISA or ET, while the following two bands are below the sensitivity of these detectors. To shorten numerical computation time, we only evaluated the amplification for the first three bands. In general, there are further smaller peaks in the spectrum which are not shown in the plot since there are well below current and future detector sensitivity limits.

V. DISCUSSION

We discussed how gravitational waves can be enhanced by parametric resonances due to oscillations at the background level in modified gravity theories. These resonances can originate from oscillations of the Hubble parameter, as seen in standard GR [27, 46], but can also stem from the modified friction term and a non-trivial propagation speed of the tensor modes. We proposed evaluating the effect in what we called the GW frame, where all effects are incorporated

into the evolution of the scale factor. Our focus was on quadratic DHOST models in Class I. We demonstrated that if the modified gravity model can be purely expressed in terms of a minimal coupled scalar field plus disformal coupled radiation, the resonances are highly suppressed during the radiation domination epoch. To obtain sizeable modifications during this epoch, one needs modifications which cannot be achieved by a disformal transformation of GR.

As a specific example, we consider ULDM with an additional non-trivial coupling between the scalar field and gravity. The evolution of the tensor modes exhibits a structure similar to a Mathieu equation, containing instability bands. The resonances are controlled by two parameters: the ratio between the resonance frequency and the Hubble parameter β_* , which controls the time span in which the modes stay in the resonance band, and the ratio of the scalar energy density to the cutoff scale α_* , which controls the strength of the resonance. By tuning these parameters, the resonance can be sufficiently strong to enhance the background of primordial tensor modes from inflation to levels detectable by upcoming gravitational wave detectors such as LISA or the Einstein telescope.

Lastly, we would like to stress that the formalism developed in this work also applies to general oscillating non-minimally coupled scalar fields in the early universe, not only to the case of ULDM. Thus, finding very sharp peaks in the GW spectrum may be an indication of modifications of gravity in the early universe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Chao Chen and Zihan Zhou for helpful discussions and comments. A.G. receives support by the grant No. UMO-2021/40/C/ST9/00015 from the National Science Centre, Poland. G.D. and A.G. are supported by the DFG under the Emmy-Noether program grant no. DO 2574/1-1, project number 496592360. J.J. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2021R1A4A5031460). Y.F.C. is supported in part by National Key R&D Program of China (2021YFC2203100), by CAS Young Interdisciplinary Innovation Team (JCTD-2022-20), by NSFC (12261131497, 11975020, 12005309), by 111 Project (B23042), by Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities, by CSC Innovation Talent Funds, by USTC Fellowship for International Cooperation, by USTC Research Funds of the Double First-Class Initiative. B.W. is supported by NSFC (12003029).

Appendix A: Conformal coupled Matter

In this appendix we explicitly show that in the case of Brans-Dicke theory, with a coupling of the type $\phi^2 R$, the resonances in the GW equation stemming from the non-minimal coupling are

suppressed (or similar to the minimally coupled canonical scalar field) during radiation domination. The analysis of this appendix is readily applicable to the general case of $f(\phi)R$.

1. Jordan frame

Let us consider a simple conformal coupling of the matter sector, which in the Jordan frame is given by

$$S_J = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2 \right) \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \tilde{\phi} \partial_\nu \tilde{\phi} - \tilde{V}(\tilde{\phi}) \right\} + S_M(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \chi). \quad (\text{A1})$$

The background equations of motion are given by

$$3\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{\tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_r + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\phi}'^2 + \tilde{a}^2 \tilde{V}(\tilde{\phi})}{1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2 + 4\xi \frac{\tilde{\phi}'}{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \tilde{\phi}}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi}'' + 2\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\phi}' + \tilde{a}^2 \tilde{V}_{\tilde{\phi}} = 2\tilde{a}^2 \xi \tilde{\phi} \tilde{R}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

We assume that the radiation fluid dominates, i.e., $\tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_r \gg \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\phi}'^2 + \tilde{a}^2 \tilde{V}$, so that the Friedmann equation can be approximated as

$$3\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 \simeq \frac{\tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_r}{1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2 + 4\xi \frac{\tilde{\phi}'}{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \tilde{\phi}}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

In that case, in the Jordan frame, $\tilde{R} = \mathcal{O}(\xi)$, so that the correction to the scalar field equation of motion are of order $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$ and can be neglected. Furthermore, the Friedmann equation can be rewritten as

$$3\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^2 \left(1 + \frac{4\xi \frac{\tilde{\phi}'}{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \tilde{\phi}}{1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2} \right) \simeq \frac{\tilde{a}^2 \tilde{\rho}_r}{1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

Utilizing $\tilde{\rho}_r \propto \tilde{a}^{-4}$, we can identify a new scale factor and Hubble parameter as

$$a^2 = \tilde{a}^2 (1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2), \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{2\xi \tilde{\phi}' \tilde{\phi}}{1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

This is, indeed, the standard scale factor and Hubble parameter in the Einstein frame.

2. Einstein frame

Alternatively, by performing the conformal transformation

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} \quad \Omega^{-2} = 1 + 2\xi \tilde{\phi}^2, \quad (\text{A8})$$

we can rewrite the action (A1) in the Einstein frame

$$S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{1}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \Omega^4 V(\phi) \right) + S_M(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \chi) , \quad (\text{A9})$$

where we have redefined the scalar field as

$$d\phi = d\tilde{\phi} \sqrt{1 + 3 \left(\frac{\Omega_{\tilde{\phi}}}{\Omega} \right)^2} . \quad (\text{A10})$$

The matter sector remains unchanged due to the conformal invariance of radiation. The background equation of motions are given by

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 = a^2 \rho_r + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + a^2 V(\phi) , \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{\phi} + V_\phi = 0 , \quad (\text{A12})$$

with $V(\phi) = \Omega^4 \tilde{V}$. At leading order in ξ ,

$$d\phi \simeq d\tilde{\phi} \left(1 + 3\xi^2 \tilde{\phi}^2 \right) , \quad (\text{A13})$$

so that as a good approximation, $\phi \simeq \tilde{\phi} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$, and at leading order, the dynamics of the scalar field in both frames are the same. Using $\rho_r \propto a^{-4}$, the zeroth-order solution of the scale factor is $a \sim \tau$. The only leading-order contribution in ξ to the Hubble parameter is inside the potential $V(\phi) \simeq (1 + 4\xi\phi^2)\tilde{V}$. However, as long as the radiation fluid is dominating, this impact is additionally suppressed by \tilde{V}/ρ_r , i.e.

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 \simeq a^2 \rho_r \left(1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + a^2 \tilde{V}(1 + 4\xi\phi^2)}{\rho_r} \right) . \quad (\text{A14})$$

3. Tensor modes

The action for the tensor modes in both frames is given by

$$S_J = \int d^4x \tilde{a}^2 \left(1 + 2\xi\tilde{\phi}^2 \right) \left(\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\prime 2} - (\partial_k \tilde{h}_{ij})^2 \right) , \quad (\text{A15})$$

and

$$S_E = \int d^4x a^2 \left(h_{ij}^{\prime 2} - (\partial_k h_{ij})^2 \right) , \quad (\text{A16})$$

where

$$a^2 = \tilde{a}^2 (1 + 2\xi\phi^2) . \quad (\text{A17})$$

In the Einstein frame the equation of motion for the normalized tensor modes is given by

$$v'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a} \right) v \approx v'' + \left(k^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{a^2} + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{V} (1 + 4\xi\phi^2) \right) v = 0 , \quad (\text{A18})$$

where in the second step we have used the background equation of motions. The leading resonant effect due to the oscillating scalar field is the same as for a minimally coupled scalar field, while the modified gravity effect proportional to ξ is additionally suppressed by the energy density of the scalar field as discussed in the previous section.

In the Jordan frame the discussion is a bit more involved. Using the equation of motion

$$\tilde{v}'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{\tilde{a}''}{\tilde{a}} - 2\xi \frac{\tilde{\phi}'^2 + \tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}'' + 2\mathcal{H}\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}'}{1 + 2\xi\tilde{\phi}^2} + 4\xi^2 \frac{\tilde{\phi}'^2\tilde{\phi}^2}{(1 + 2\xi\tilde{\phi}^2)^2} \right) \tilde{v} = 0, \quad (\text{A19})$$

we might naively guess that the third term in the bracket provides a resonance which is not additionally suppressed by the energy density of the scalar field. However, using (A17) and $\tilde{\phi} = \phi + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$ the term is exactly cancelled by \tilde{a}''/\tilde{a} leading to the same conclusion as in the Einstein frame.

Therefore, during radiation domination the non-trivial conformal coupling between the scalar field and the curvature, $\tilde{\phi}^2\tilde{R}$, cannot enhance the parametric resonance since the contribution from the modified friction term and the background equation of motions exactly cancel each other at leading order.

-
- [1] G. Agazie et al. (NANOGrav), The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Evidence for a Gravitational-wave Background, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L8 (2023), arXiv:2306.16213 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [2] D. J. Reardon et al., Search for an Isotropic Gravitational-wave Background with the Parkes Pulsar Timing Array, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L6 (2023), arXiv:2306.16215 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [3] H. Xu et al., Searching for the Nano-Hertz Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background with the Chinese Pulsar Timing Array Data Release I, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **23**, 075024 (2023), arXiv:2306.16216 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [4] J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA), The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array III. Search for gravitational wave signals, *Astron. Astrophys.* **678**, A50 (2023), arXiv:2306.16214 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [5] J. Antoniadis et al. (EPTA), The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array IV. Search for continuous gravitational wave signals, (2023), arXiv:2306.16226 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [6] A. Afzal et al. (NANOGrav), The NANOGrav 15 yr Data Set: Search for Signals from New Physics, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L11 (2023), arXiv:2306.16219 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [7] M. C. Guzzetti, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori, and S. Matarrese, Gravitational waves from inflation, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **39**, 399 (2016), arXiv:1605.01615 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [8] E. Komatsu, New physics from the polarized light of the cosmic microwave background, *Nature Rev. Phys.* **4**, 452 (2022), arXiv:2202.13919 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [9] Y.-F. Cai, J. Jiang, M. Sasaki, V. Vardanyan, and Z. Zhou, Beating the Lyth Bound by Parametric Resonance during Inflation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 251301 (2021), arXiv:2105.12554 [astro-ph.CO].

- [10] T. Kobayashi, M. Yamaguchi, and J. Yokoyama, G-inflation: Inflation driven by the Galileon field, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 231302 (2010), arXiv:1008.0603 [hep-th].
- [11] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, and J. Wang, Solid Inflation, *JCAP* **10**, 011, arXiv:1210.0569 [hep-th].
- [12] D. Cannone, G. Tasinato, and D. Wands, Generalised tensor fluctuations and inflation, *JCAP* **01**, 029, arXiv:1409.6568 [astro-ph.CO].
- [13] C. Lin and M. Sasaki, Resonant Primordial Gravitational Waves Amplification, *Phys. Lett. B* **752**, 84 (2016), arXiv:1504.01373 [astro-ph.CO].
- [14] S. Kuroyanagi, C. Lin, M. Sasaki, and S. Tsujikawa, Observational signatures of the parametric amplification of gravitational waves during reheating after inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 023516 (2018), arXiv:1710.06789 [gr-qc].
- [15] Y.-F. Cai, C. Lin, B. Wang, and S.-F. Yan, Sound speed resonance of the stochastic gravitational wave background, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 071303 (2021), arXiv:2009.09833 [gr-qc].
- [16] A. Nikandish, S. R. Zadeh, R. Naderi, F. Elahi, and H. Mehrabpour, Signature of Non-Minimal Scalar-Gravity Coupling with an Early Matter Domination on the Power Spectrum of Gravitational Waves, (2023), arXiv:2309.10554 [hep-ph].
- [17] D. Langlois and K. Noui, Degenerate higher derivative theories beyond Horndeski: evading the Ostrogradski instability, *JCAP* **02**, 034, arXiv:1510.06930 [gr-qc].
- [18] D. Langlois and K. Noui, Hamiltonian analysis of higher derivative scalar-tensor theories, *JCAP* **07**, 016, arXiv:1512.06820 [gr-qc].
- [19] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, and G. Tasinato, Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity, *JCAP* **04**, 044, arXiv:1602.03119 [hep-th].
- [20] J. Ben Achour, D. Langlois, and K. Noui, Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski and disformal transformations, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 124005 (2016), arXiv:1602.08398 [gr-qc].
- [21] R. P. Woodard, Ostrogradsky's theorem on Hamiltonian instability, *Scholarpedia* **10**, 32243 (2015), arXiv:1506.02210 [hep-th].
- [22] A. Ganz and K. Noui, Reconsidering the Ostrogradsky theorem: Higher-derivatives Lagrangians, Ghosts and Degeneracy, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, 075005 (2021), arXiv:2007.01063 [hep-th].
- [23] Y.-F. Cai, X. Tong, D.-G. Wang, and S.-F. Yan, Primordial Black Holes from Sound Speed Resonance during Inflation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 081306 (2018), arXiv:1805.03639 [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] Y.-F. Cai, C. Chen, X. Tong, D.-G. Wang, and S.-F. Yan, When Primordial Black Holes from Sound Speed Resonance Meet a Stochastic Background of Gravitational Waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 043518 (2019), arXiv:1902.08187 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] C. Chen and Y.-F. Cai, Primordial black holes from sound speed resonance in the inflaton-curvaton mixed scenario, *JCAP* **10**, 068, arXiv:1908.03942 [astro-ph.CO].
- [26] C. Chen, X.-H. Ma, and Y.-F. Cai, Dirac-Born-Infeld realization of sound speed resonance mechanism for primordial black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 063526 (2020), arXiv:2003.03821 [astro-ph.CO].
- [27] G. Ye and A. Silvestri, Can the gravitational wave background feel wiggles in spacetime?, (2023), arXiv:2307.05455 [astro-ph.CO].
- [28] R.-G. Cai, Z.-K. Guo, J. Liu, L. Liu, and X.-Y. Yang, Primordial black holes and gravitational waves from parametric amplification of curvature perturbations, *JCAP* **06**, 013, arXiv:1912.10437 [astro-

- ph.CO].
- [29] S. Pi and M. Sasaki, Primordial black hole formation in nonminimal curvaton scenarios, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, L101301 (2023), arXiv:2112.12680 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [30] S. Pi and J. Wang, Primordial black hole formation in Starobinsky’s linear potential model, *JCAP* **06**, 018, arXiv:2209.14183 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [31] M. Sasaki, V. Vardanyan, and V. Yingcharoenrat, Super-horizon resonant magnetogenesis during inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 083517 (2023), arXiv:2210.07050 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [32] J. D. Bekenstein, The Relation between physical and gravitational geometry, *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 3641 (1993), arXiv:gr-qc/9211017.
 - [33] P. Creminelli, J. Gleyzes, J. Noreña, and F. Vernizzi, Resilience of the standard predictions for primordial tensor modes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 231301 (2014), arXiv:1407.8439 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [34] M. S. Turner, Coherent scalar-field oscillations in an expanding universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 1243 (1983).
 - [35] W. H. Press, B. S. Ryden, and D. N. Spergel, Single mechanism for generating large-scale structure and providing dark missing matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1084 (1990).
 - [36] S.-J. Sin, Late time cosmological phase transition and galactic halo as Bose liquid, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 3650 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9205208.
 - [37] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, Cold and fuzzy dark matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1158 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0003365.
 - [38] E. G. M. Ferreira, Ultra-light dark matter, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **29**, 7 (2021), arXiv:2005.03254 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [39] L. Hui, Wave Dark Matter, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **59**, 247 (2021), arXiv:2101.11735 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [40] P. C. M. Delgado, Gravitational Wave Resonance in Ultra-Light Dark Matter Halos, (2023), arXiv:2309.09946 [hep-ph].
 - [41] D. Langlois, M. Mancarella, K. Noui, and F. Vernizzi, Effective Description of Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor Theories, *JCAP* **05**, 033, arXiv:1703.03797 [hep-th].
 - [42] T. Fujita, X. Gao, and J. Yokoyama, Spatially covariant theories of gravity: disformal transformation, cosmological perturbations and the Einstein frame, *JCAP* **02**, 014, arXiv:1511.04324 [gr-qc].
 - [43] G. Domènech, Scalar Induced Gravitational Waves Review, *Universe* **7**, 398 (2021), arXiv:2109.01398 [gr-qc].
 - [44] E. Belgacem, Y. Dirian, S. Foffa, and M. Maggiore, Gravitational-wave luminosity distance in modified gravity theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 104066 (2018), arXiv:1712.08108 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [45] E. Belgacem *et al.* (LISA Cosmology Working Group), Testing modified gravity at cosmological distances with LISA standard sirens, *JCAP* **07**, 024, arXiv:1906.01593 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [46] M. Alzarraj and R. Brandenberger, Moduli and graviton production during moduli stabilization, *JCAP* **09**, 008, arXiv:2103.07684 [hep-th].
 - [47] G. Gandolfi, B. S. Haridasu, S. Liberati, and A. Lapi, Looking for Traces of Nonminimally Coupled Dark Matter in the X-COP Galaxy Clusters Sample, *Astrophys. J.* **952**, 105 (2023), arXiv:2305.13974 [astro-ph.CO].