

On effective radiational gravity acceleration at the interface of dense plasmas and vacuum

¹A. Asma, ²Ch. Rzoina, ³I. Zafar and ^{4,5}S. Poedts

Department of Physics, FC College (A Chartered University), Lahore 54000, Pakistan

²*Department of Physics, GGC for Women. Gulberg, Lahore, Pakistan*

³*Salam Chair, Department of Physics, G. C. University Lahore, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan*

⁴*Centre for Mathematical Plasma Astrophysics,*

Department of Mathematics, CmPA,

KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven,

Belgium: rozina.chaudhary@student.kuleuven.be: drchrozina@gmail.com and

⁵*Institute of Physics, University of Maria Curie-Sklodowska,*

Pl. M. Curie-Sklodowska 5, 20-031 Lublin, Poland

Abstract

Radiative, gravitational surface waves are investigated at the interface of high density and low temperature, magnetized incompressible electron- ion plasmas in the presence of dense radiation electromagnetic radiation pressure (DEM RP). The inhomogeneous **embedded** is reported at plasma-vacuum interface. The DEM RP is found to stabilize the surface waves, however for a specific case, it tends to enhance the growth rate of surface waves via the frictional instability. The group velocity of gravitational radiation is shown to be the function of wavelength. The obtained analytical results are presented both numerically and graphically as function of DEM RP. to show that the incorporation of DEM RP may introduce quite different dispersive properties of charged surface wave phenomena. It is shown numerically that the frequency of the obtained radiative gravitational waves in the presence of DEM RP is found to lie in the range of high frequency radio waves, while in case of rare laboratory plasma, the frequency of these waves is found to lie within the very low frequency radio waves of the electromagnetic radiation spectrum. This work may enhance the gravitational aspects of electromagnetic radiations in dense astrophysical systems such as neutron star and white dwarfs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic radiation pressure (EMRP), being an operative tool to transmit momentum to plasma particles, has engrossed a lot of attention [1]. EMRP is applicable to various physical situations extending from particle acceleration in the laboratory [2, 3] to astrophysical environments [4], radiation generated winds [5, 6], photon bubbles stars and accretion disks [7] etc. Generally speaking EMRP is generated by the interaction of the radiation with medium such as an electron-ion plasma, as a result the ions are accelerated by the charge separation field generated by electrons, which are being pushed by both thermal and radiation pressures. The existence of RP (Ponderomotive force) in various systems has been reported experimentally [8–10], EMRP is of practical interest to drive high energy ions via laser source [11]. In this context, while considering the particle nature of photon, the investigation of novel features of RP of electromagnetic (thermal) radiations at the plasma–vacuum interface is an important research area.

In a plasma electron performs a quantum jump in the electric field of an ion (or a positron), consequently it may emit or may engross a photon, this oscillatory motions of electrons may disturb the radiation field and may change the dispersion law of the radiation field. Eventually, the thermal radiation may introduce the new thermodynamics, provided the thermal radiations are considered in a dense plasma medium [12]. In this scenario, a detailed modified thermodynamics was presented in [12], to show that the REMRP of photon gas in a rare plasma ($\hbar\omega_{ps} \ll k_B T_s$) may read as $P_{s,r}^\gamma = \frac{U_{s,r}^r}{3} = \frac{\alpha_r (k_B T_s)^4}{3}$ (where $s = e$ or i , denotes the electrons or ions respectively) $\alpha_r = \pi^2/45(\hbar c)^3$ is the Stefan Boltzmann constant and $\omega_{ps}^2 = (\frac{4\pi n_{0s} e^2}{m_s})$ is the electron plasma frequency, whereas the DEMRP ($P_{s,d}^r$), in case of high density and low temperature plasma ($\hbar\omega_{ps} \gg k_B T_s$), is

$$P_{s,d}^\gamma = \frac{U_{sd}^r}{3} = \beta_{d,s} T_{d,s}^{5/2}, \quad (1)$$

here $\beta_{d,s}$ is the radiation coefficient defined as ($\beta_{d,s} = 0.202(\hbar \omega_{ps})^{3/2}/(\hbar c)^3$) with all standard notations and temperature in energy units. The impact of DEMRP shown in Eq. (1) may significantly alter various plasma dispersive modes [13, 14].

On the other hand gravity is an important force of nature which plays a vital role in most astronomical systems. However, the gravitational force has smaller transmission capacity as compare to light, so gravitational ripples known as gravitational radiations may reach to other objects within finite time. Presently the gravitational radiation is one of the last

un opened and important windows into the Universe, i.e., if someone picks up book from table, means that person has overcome the collective gravitational pull of planet Earth on the book, this energy comes from the muscular chemical force of that person, arising from electromagnetic interaction [15]. In short gravitational and electromagnetic forces are two important forces of nature, specifically their oscillations at the interface between two plasma medium or vacuum plasma interfaces is an important research area to understand various natural and laboratory phenomena.

The propagation of surface waves (SWs) has attracted considerable attention due to its relevance with real experimental and astrophysical plasma dynamics [16, 17]. One of the important types of surface waves includes the inhomogeneity of the fluid caused by the separation of charges, due to thermal effects, as function of the surface coordinates [16, 18]. Such inhomogeneous waves may propagate on the interface of an incompressible fluid in a fashion that pressure varies with height. The consequent motion of electrons in height may disturb the equilibrium state, resulting to an oscillatory motion on the plasma -vacuum interface, known as magneto, capillary, gravity waves, for example when two clouds collides the scintillations can be seen on the cloud interface. The electromagnetic energy of the SWs is confined to the regions of inhomogeneous plasmas [19]. The stability analysis of such charged, gravity surface waves at the interface of an incompressible plasma and vacuum have been investigated by several authors [13, 14, 16, 20]. It was shown in these papers that single fluid magneto-hydrodynamic oscillations does not hold good in the presence of larger thermal speed of electrons as compare to the ions because, the electrons moves towards the plasma- vacuum interface more frequently and may get diffuse there. In other words potential field on the surface is maintained by the thermal motion of the electrons [16] such that, $e\phi \sim k_B T_e$.

Taking into account all previous works mentioned above, presently we aim to investigate the impact of DEMRP shown in Eqs. (1) on the stability analysis of gravitational waves at the interface of classical, dense electron ion plasma and vacuum. To address some new features of gravitational radiations, we shall follow the method adopted in [20] to show that inhomogeneous- gravitational radiation as a function of electron temperature may appear in the transitional region of vacuum-plasma interface, which under suitable conditions, may account for both stability and instability features of charged surface waves. We aim to show numerically, that DEMRP shown in Eq. (1) and REMRP presented in Eq. (1.3) of ref. [20],

belongs to different gravitational radiation spectrum of thermal radiations.

II. BASIC MODEL

It was mentioned by Landau and Lifshitz [18] that in many cases of flow of gases, their density may be supposed invariable i.e., constant throughout the volume of the gas and throughout its motion. In other words, there is no noticeable compression or expansion of the fluid in such cases, hence such fluids can be named as incompressible. In this context, the stability analysis of charged surface waves, at the interface of incompressible plasma and vacuum, is issued in various works [13, 16, 21].

In order to express our contribution to the already existing literature on the charge surface waves, we use the one fluid MHD model presented in [20] for the plasma with constant density. We consider an incompressible electron-ion plasma in the presence of DEMRP, magnetic and gravitational forces, embedded by an external magnetic field $B = B_0 \hat{z}$ directed along the z -axis, (\hat{z} is the unit vector along z -axis). We assume plasma under consideration to be in the $y-z$ plane and normal to the plane is x -direction, which is assumed to be vacuum. We further consider a uniform temperature inside the plasma, but small temperature jumps may appear in the transitional region of plasma-vacuum interface. It is worth to mention that since we have considered an incompressible plasma i.e., the density of both electrons and ions is constant in space and time, so the quantum effects are not incorporated in present analysis. For our investigations one fluid MHD model may be achieved by ignoring the inertia of electrons, while taking into account ions inertia i.e.,

$$en_e \mathbf{E} - \frac{e}{c} n_e (\mathbf{v}_e \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla (P_g + P_{d,e}^\gamma) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$m_i n_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = en_i \mathbf{E} + \frac{en_i}{c} (\mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}) - m_i n_i \mathbf{g}, \quad (3)$$

where n_e and n_i are the number density of electron and ion fluid, \mathbf{v}_e and \mathbf{v}_i are their respective speeds, P_g is the usual gas pressure for electron-ions, and $P_{d,e}^\gamma$ is the DEMRP of electron fluid shown in Eq. (1), which is valid only for the plasmas dense enough such that their plasma energy ($\hbar\omega_{ps}$) is more than the thermal energy ($k_B T_s$) i.e., $\hbar\omega_{ps} \gg k_B T_s$. Consequently, the ion density ($n_e = n_i = n_0$) along with their mass play vital role to

enhance the ion gravitational effects, shown in Eq. (3). In this scenario, lots of works are reported in the literature, where the ion gravitational effects have been taken into account [13, 16, 22–24].

It is well known that the one-fluid approximation assumes that charge particles are rigidly bound to each other by electric forces, and that the plasma is electrically neutral at each point. However, this assumption is not strictly fulfilled in the presence of a large gradient in the charge density [16], in particular on the surface between the plasma and the vacuum. Since electrons are lighter than ions, on the surface conditions are favorable for the diffusion of the electron gas with respect to the ions. Therefore, surface space charges appear in the transition region i.e., the polarization of the surface is accompanied by the creation of a strong electric field [16]. This field prevents further polarization and inhibits the diffusion electron current. In the absence of external fields, the separation of charge and the potential field in the transition region are maintained only by the thermal motion of the electrons i.e., $e\delta\phi \sim k_B\delta T_e$. Consequently, the electron surface charge will be normal to the interface and follow the Poisson's law in terms of surface charge density as [16],

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\nabla^2\phi = 4\pi\sigma_e, \quad (4)$$

here $\sigma_e = en_e$ is the electron charge density. Since plasma under consideration is incompressible, we assumed that density perturbations are not significant (incompressibility assumption). The continuity equation (mass conservation) of both fluids are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial n_{e,i}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_{e,i}\mathbf{u}_{e,i}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c}\mathbf{J}, \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (8)$$

and the MHD equation

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = \bar{\eta}\mathbf{J} \quad (9)$$

while $\mathbf{J} = -e(-n_i\mathbf{v}_i + n_e\mathbf{v}_e)$ is the charge current density and $\bar{\eta}$ is the thermal conductivity of the material, where $\mathbf{v} = (m_e\mathbf{v}_e + m_i\mathbf{v}_i)/(m_e + m_i)$ is the electron effective mass. Adding Eqs. (2) and (3)

$$\rho_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = e(n_i - n_e) \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c}(\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla(P_g + P_d^\gamma) - \rho_i \mathbf{g}, \quad (10)$$

Eq. (4) can be used to calculate the associated negative pressure gradient acting normal to the interface as

$$e(n_i - n_e) \mathbf{E} = \sigma_e \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla_x \mathbf{E}^2 \quad (11)$$

where $\nabla_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi = -(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x})$. Coupling Eqs.(8-11), we eventually arrive at

$$\rho_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \nabla E^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) - \nabla \left(P_g + P_d^\gamma + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) - \rho_i \mathbf{g} \quad (12)$$

where $\rho_i = m_i n_{i0}$ is the ion mass density and the first term on the r.h.s. represents the negative pressure gradient, which acts normal to the plasma-vacuum interface. Since the plasma under consideration is incompressible, so the continuity equation becomes $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$, which leads to another definition of fluid velocity i.e., $\mathbf{v} = \nabla\Psi$ [20], so incompressibility equation further reduces to where Ψ is the gravitational potential. The equilibrium electric field E_0 , may be calculated from Eq. (4)

$$E_0 = 4\pi \int \sigma_e dx = 4\pi \sigma_{se}, \quad (13)$$

where $\sigma_{se} = \sigma_e x$ denotes the equilibrium electrons surface charge density and x is the surface coordinate, the associated electrostatic potential, ϕ is

$$\phi = -4\pi \sigma_{se} x \quad (14)$$

Next, if the interface undergoes small perturbations such that the surface coordinate x undergoes small surface displacement $\zeta(y, z, t)$ above the equilibrium, then the associated oscillating electrostatic potential $\delta\phi$ can be deduced from Eq. (14) as

$$\delta\phi = 4\pi \sigma_{se} \zeta(y, z, t), \quad (15)$$

We consider here small amplitude surface waves, which describes the minor differences in the potential functions as, $\delta\phi \sim \exp[i(k_y y + k_z z - \omega t) - kx]$, where $k > 0$ is a positive number

such that $k^2 = (k_y^2 + k_z^2)$. It maybe noted here that in our present consideration $\delta\phi$ decays exponentially in the x -direction and eventually may disappear for the $x \rightarrow \infty$. We can write from Eq.'s (13-15)

$$\frac{E_x^2}{8\pi} = 2\pi\sigma_{se}^2 + k\sigma_{se}\delta\phi|_{x=0} = 2\pi\sigma_{se}^2 + 4\pi\sigma_{se}^2 k\zeta(y, z, t), \quad (16)$$

where $\delta E_x = k\delta\phi$. In linear approximation the perpendicular component of the ion velocity $v_{i,x}$ is defined as $v_{i,x} = \partial\zeta(y, z, t)/\partial t$. Since for an incompressible fluid the velocity can be expressed as gradient of scalar function, $v_{i,x} = \partial\Psi/\partial x$, so one may equate the two definitions to obtain $\partial\Psi/\partial x|_{x=0} = \partial\zeta/\partial t$. Since we have considered an incompressible electron ion plasma, so the usual definition of thermal pressure will not work here, instead the plasma under consideration may obey the Laplace's formula [18]

$$P - P_0 = -\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2\zeta}{\partial z^2} \right). \quad (17)$$

where P and P_0 are the pressure terms attributable to the two different mediums (plasma and vacuum, respectively), and ϵ is the surface tension coefficient. Next by following the method mentioned in [14], we may get an evolution equation of electrons for a sharp plasma-vacuum boundary

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial t^2} - V_E^2 k \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} - V_A^2 \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial z^2} + g \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\rho_i} \left(\frac{\partial P_d^\gamma}{\partial T_d} \right) \frac{\partial\delta T_d}{\partial t} - \frac{\epsilon}{\rho_i} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} \right] |_{x=0} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Here δT_d , signifies small dense electron temperature jumps on the interface, $V_A = B_0/\sqrt{4\pi\rho_i}$ and $V_E = (E_0/\sqrt{4\pi\rho_i})$ are the magnetic and electric Alfvén speeds of ions respectively. Next, in order to express δT_d in terms of scalar function Ψ , we shall make use the energy equation for electrons.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{3}{2}k_B T_d + \frac{U_{sd}^r}{n} \right) + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \left[\left(\frac{3}{2}k_B T_d + \frac{P_g}{n} \right) + \frac{4}{3} \frac{U_{sd}^r}{n} \right] + \nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{S}}{n} = 0, \quad (19)$$

here T_d and n are the (non-relativistic) dense temperature and density at quasi-equilibrium, expressed as $n (\simeq n_{e,0} = n_{i,0})$, U_{sd}^r is the electron radiation energy density defined in Eq. (1) and P_g is the usual gas pressure. The electron radiative heat conduction can be determined by the Poynting vector \mathbf{S} as

$$\mathbf{S} = -\frac{\lambda c}{3} \nabla U = -L_0 \nabla (k_B T_d) \quad (20)$$

here $L_0 = 5/2 (\lambda c/3) \beta_{d,e} (k_B T_d)^{\frac{3}{2}}$) represents the coefficient of thermal radiation conductivity for dense plasma, $\lambda = AT^\kappa$ is the Rosseland radiation mean free path, where $\kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (a positive integer), A is a positive quantity and k_B is Boltzmann's constant. We may re-write Eq. (20)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = -\frac{5\beta c A}{6} \frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla \cdot (\nabla T_d^\kappa) \quad (21)$$

substituting $T_d = T_{0d} + \delta T_d$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = -\frac{5\beta_d c A}{6} (2\nabla T_{0d}^{\kappa-1} \nabla \delta T_d + \Delta T_{0d}^{\kappa-1} \delta T_d) \quad (22)$$

where T_{0d} specifies the dense temperature at equilibrium, which is a function of the surface coordinates and δT_d denotes the small temperature jumps on the dense plasma interface, applying Fourier transformation *viz* $\delta \tilde{\phi} \exp [i(k_y y + k_z z - \omega t) + kx]$, we may obtain

$$\nabla \cdot \frac{\mathbf{S}}{n} = -\frac{5\beta_{d,e} c A}{6n} \left(2k \frac{dT_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx} + \frac{d^2 T_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx^2} \right) \delta T_d, \quad (23)$$

At equilibrium, Eq. (19) reduces to $T_{0d} = \theta |x_s - x|^{1/\kappa}$ to depict that even the equilibrium temperature T_{0d} is a function of surface coordinate x , with θ as a constant temperature inside plasma. It also demonstrates that at $x = x_s$, the temperature decreases, implying the sharp boundary across the plasma-vacuum interface. Substituting Eq. (23) into the linearized x -dimensional form of Eq. (19) may lead to

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{U_{sd}^r}{\partial T_{0d}} \right) \delta T_d + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(T_{0d} + \frac{P_g}{n} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{U_{sd}^r}{n} \right) \\ - \frac{5\beta_d c A}{6n} \left(2k \frac{dT_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx} + \frac{d^2 T_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx^2} \right) \} \delta T_d \end{array} \right] |_{=0} = 0, \quad (24)$$

Remember that the density n ($\simeq n_{e,0} = n_{i,0}$) is constant in time and space invariant. We may re-write above equation as

$$\delta T_d = \frac{\mu_d}{F_d [i\omega + \nu_d]} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_d &= \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{3}{2} T_{0d} + \frac{P_g}{n} + \frac{4}{3} \frac{U_{sd}^r}{n} \right) \\
F_d &= \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial U_{sd}^r}{\partial T_{0d}} \\
\nu_d &= \frac{5\beta_d c A}{6n \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial T_{0d}} \right)} \left(2k \frac{dT_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx} + \frac{d^2 T_{0d}^{\kappa-1}}{dx^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By substituting Eq. (25) into Eq. (18), we eventually arrive at

$$\omega^2 = k^2 (V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta - V_E^2) + \frac{b^2 k^3}{2} + kg_d^\gamma + k \left(-ig_d^{\gamma'} \frac{(\nu_d/\omega)}{1 + (\nu_d^2/\omega^2)} \right) + kg \tag{27}$$

where $b^2 (= 2\epsilon/\rho_i)$ is the the capillarity constant, also

$$g_d^\gamma = \frac{5\beta_{d,e} T_{0d}^{3/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial P}{\partial T_{0d}} + \frac{4}{3n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial T_{0d}} \right) \frac{\partial T_{0d}}{\partial x}}{6\rho_i \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial U_d^\gamma}{\partial T_{0d}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\nu_d^2}{\omega^2} \right)} \tag{28}$$

and

$$g_d^{\gamma'} = \frac{5\beta_{d,e} T_{0d}^{3/2} \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial P}{\partial T_{0d}} + \frac{4}{3n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial T_{0d}} \right) \frac{\partial T_{0d}}{\partial x}}{6\rho_i \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial U}{\partial T_{0d}} \right)} \tag{29}$$

are the radiative gravity coefficients of dense plasma.

Radiative- gravitational waves

In order to formulate a dispersion relation of charged surface magneto, radiative, gravitational waves, initially we assume that the radiative heat flux appearing S is absent in (19) and hence in (27) (*viz.* $S = 0$) to get

$$\omega^2 = k^2 V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{b^2 k^3}{2} + k(g + g_d^\gamma) - k^2 V_E^2, \tag{30}$$

above dispersion relations admits that the surface charge instability criteria is function of surface charge density via V_E with growth rate

$$\text{Im } \omega = \sqrt{k^2 V_E^2 - k(g + g_d^\gamma + k V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{b^2 k^2}{2})}, \tag{31}$$

Here the radiative gravitational acceleration (g_d^γ), gravitational acceleration (g), magnetic field (V_A) and surface tension (b) are coupled together to reduce the oscillating frequency of charged surface waves. In order to see the impact of pure

radiative gravity waves on the surface, we just ignore the surface tension and magnetic field in Eq. (31) to get

$$\omega^2 = k(g + g_d^\gamma) - k^2 V_E^2, \quad (32)$$

One can see from above dispersion equation that the radiation does not change the spatial dispersion relation of longitudinal waves, instead it appears only as gravitational radiation on the plasma vacuum surface. Furthermore, in the absence of surface charge and gravitational acceleration g , pure radiative gravity waves may propagate on the interface as function of wave number k ($\lambda = 2\pi/k$) as

$$\omega^2 = k g_d^\gamma \quad (33)$$

the associated group velocity of gravitational radiation of dense plasma is

$$\frac{d\omega}{dk} = V_{gd} = \sqrt{\frac{g_d^\gamma}{4k}} = \sqrt{\frac{g_d^\gamma}{8\pi}} \lambda, \quad (34)$$

Frictional instability

Here, we shall show that the astrophysical objects may undergo surface oscillations (fragmentation) through the surface instabilities as function of frictional or dissipative, radiative gravitational acceleration. For our purposes, we assume that if $\nu_d \neq 0$ i.e., in the presence of electron radiative heat conduction appearing through the Poynting vector in Eq. (27) via v_d and $g_d^{\gamma'}$, there exist some specific values of propagation vector

$$k_\pm = (1/gb^2)(V_E^2 - V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta) \pm (1/gb^2) \sqrt{(V_E^2 - V_A^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2 - (gb^2)^2 (g_d^{\gamma'} + g)}, \quad (35)$$

for which (27) reads as

$$\omega^2 + \frac{i g_d^{\gamma'} (v_d/\omega)}{1 + (v_d^2/\omega^2)} = 0, \quad (36)$$

provided the imaginary term is more than other terms on r.h.s of Eq. (27). Further by assuming that $|v_d^2| \ll \omega^2$, i.e, radiation acts for a short time, the above relation reduces to

$$\omega^3 + i k_\pm g_d^{\gamma'} |v_d| \approx 0, \quad (37)$$

One of the three possible complex roots of the above equation leads to the frictional instability of surface of a astrophysical objects as function of electromagnetic (thermal) radiation via v_d and $g_d^{\gamma'}$ with respective growth rate

$$\hat{\gamma}_d = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_{\pm} g_d^{\gamma'} |v_d|^{1/3}, \quad (38)$$

Equation (38) demonstrates that the growth rate of the radiative dissipative instability, $\hat{\gamma}_d$, becomes function of inhomogeneous radiative, gravitational acceleration ($g_d^{\gamma'}$) and v_d shown in Eqs. (26,29).

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

We have used (31, 33, 34, 38) to analyze both numerically and graphically, the dispersion relation as well as the growth rate of unstable modes obtained for the dense plasma under study. It is well known from the literature that magnetized compact objects like neutron star (NS) may produce strong electromagnetic (EM) waves: pulsars (strongly magnetized NS) produce bright radio emission, while magnetars may generate fast radio bursts [27, 28]. Except for black holes, neutron stars are the smallest and densest currently known class of stellar objects [29], dense enough that one teaspoon (5 mm) of its material would have a mass over 5.5×10^{12} kg [30]. Moreover the gravitational field of NS is about 2×10^{11} times stronger than on Earth. To sum up the occurrence of EM radiations in NS and their strong gravitational effects makes it suitable plasma environment for the validity of the present model. Accordingly, for the numerical calculations we choose the typical plasma parameters in the environment of neutron star [13] : $n_{i0} \simeq n_{e0} \simeq n_{0d} \sim 10^{27} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T_{0,d} = (7 \times 10^5 - 9 \times 10^5)$ K and $B_0 = 10^6$ G. Inutility, the pre-requisite condition to use DEMRP shown in Eq. (1) i.e., $\hbar\omega_{ps}(=) \gg k_B T_s (=)$ is satisfied, then by utilizing these parameters along with surface coordinate $x = 10^{-4}$ cm and $T_{0d} = 7 \times 10^5$ K in (31) one can easily calculate various physical parameters, such as the electric Alfvén velocity $V_E (= E_0^2 / 4\pi\rho_i = 4\pi\sigma_{se}^2\rho_i) = 7.60 \times 10^6$ cm s^{-1} and the gravitational radiation acceleration $g_d^{\gamma} = 2.37 \times 10^{11}$ cm s^2 . In order to see gravity- radiation spectrum in dense plasma, let us substitute, $T_{0d} = 7 \times 10^5$, $n_d = 1 \times 10^{27}$ and $k = 1 \times 10^6$ in $\omega^2 = kg_d^{\gamma}$ to get $\omega = 4.87 \times 10^3$ Hz, which belongs to the high frequency radio waves and the associated group velocity of spectrum turns out to be $V_{gd} = 243.5$ cm/s. Whereas for the REMRP, while using the data mentioned in [20] in Eq. (2.43) of this paper

i.e., $\omega^2 = k\gamma_g$, we get $\omega = 8.17 \times 10^4 Hz$.

Generally speaking, the gravitational waves are the radiation associated with the force of gravity, while in present analysis the pure radiational gravity (RG) waves are shown to be function of wavelength [Eq.(34)] and the associated inhomogeneous, effective radiative, gravitational acceleration (g_d^γ) as function of electron temperature is reported at dense plasma-vacuum interface. In other words the RG waves, calculated in the present work are independent of gravitational acceleration \mathbf{g} . To display a clear vision of the impact of DEMRP on the propagation of RG waves and further to compare our present findings with those of obtained in [20], a comparative plot is shown in Fig.1: the group velocity V_{gd} [shown in Eq. (34)] verses the scale length of pure RG waves, at the surface of high density plasma and vacuum, for varying the temperature of dense electrons, is displayed in fig.1(a), while the group velocity of RG waves propagating at low density plasma -vacuum interface [obtained in Eq. (2.44) of Ref. (20) and by using the data mentioned in this paper] is shown in fig. 1 (b), for varying the temperature of rare (low density) electrons. It may be noted here that since results displayed in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) are obtained in the presence of quite different radiation pressure i.e, $\hbar\omega_{ps} \gg k_B T_s$ and $\hbar\omega_{ps} \ll k_B T_s$ respectively, so the parameters and hence the involved scale lengths are quite different in both plots. The comparative graphs clearly admits that the group or phase velocity of RG depends on the choice of radiation pressure i.e., dense or rare radiation pressures and hence on the consequent plasma environments.

Next, it is shown in (38) that the frictional term, appearing through the Poynting vector, which involves the DEMRP, play a vital role to enhance the surface oscillations of astrophysical objects via $g_d^{\gamma'}$ and ν_d . The radiative dissipative instability is plotted for $T_{0,d} = (7 \times 10^5) K$ (red curve) and $T_{0,d} = (9 \times 10^5 K)$ (blue curve) to see from the curves that the DEMRP or the temperature of dense electrons play a vital role to increase the surface perturbations. This might be an interesting result for the astrophysical community to address the surface fragmentation of objects as function of radiative, gravitational acceleration ($g_d^{\gamma'}$). .

A more clear comparison of present work with previous results achieved in case of rare plasma [20] is presented in Table. 1, by calculating various physical parameters such as: radiation pressures, electric Alfven velocity, radiative gravitational acceleration, group velocity of radiational gravity waves and growth rates of charged surface waves. For numerical analysis, we choose typical plasma rare parameters mentioned in [20] and magnetic fusion

plasma parameters [26], i.e., $n_{e0} \simeq (10^{13} - 10^{14})\text{cm}^{-3}$, $T_{e0} = (10^7 - 10^8) \text{ K}$.

IV. TABLES AND FIGURES

<i>Sr.No.</i>	<i>Physical Parameter</i>	<i>Dense plasma</i>	<i>Rare plasma</i>	<i>Magnetic fusion rare plasma</i>
1	$n_{e0}(\text{cm}^{-3})$	1×10^{27}	1×10^{12}	1×10^{14}
2	$T_{e0}(\text{K})$	7×10^5	7×10^5	7×10^7
3	<i>radiation pressure</i> $p_{e0,r}$ (dyne/cm^2)	$p_d^\gamma = \frac{U_d^r}{3} = \beta_d T_d^{5/2}$ $\beta_d = 0.202(\hbar\omega_{pe})^{3/2}/(\hbar c)^3$ $= 1.59 \times 10^{10}$	$p_r^\gamma = \frac{U_r^r}{3} = \alpha_r T_r^4$ $\alpha_r = \pi^2/45(\hbar c)^3$ $= 2.01 \times 10^8$	$p_r^\gamma = \frac{U_r^r}{3} = \alpha_r T_r^4$ $\alpha_r = \pi^2/45(\hbar c)^3$ $= 6.81 \times 10^{10}$
4	<i>Electric Alfvén velocity $V_E(\text{cms}^{-1})$</i>	7.60×10^6	7.60×10^6	7.60×10^7
5	<i>Radiative gravitational acceleration</i> (ms^{-2})	$g_d^\gamma = 2.37 \times 10^{11}$	$\gamma_g = 6.68 \times 10^7$	$\gamma_g = 6.68 \times 10^{11}$
6	$\omega \text{ (Hz)}$	$\omega = \sqrt{g_d^\gamma k}$ $= 4.87 \times 10^3$ at $k = 1 \times 10^6$	$\omega = \sqrt{\gamma_g k}$ $= 8.17 \times 10^4$ at $k = 1 \times 10^2$	$\omega = \sqrt{\gamma_g k}$ $= 2.58 \times 10^7$ at $k = 1 \times 10^3$
7	<i>Radiative dissipative instability</i> (s^{-1})	3.33×10^6	2.30×10^{12}	4.97×10^{14}

Table 1: A comparison of different physical plasma parameters in dense and rare plasma regimes.

Group velocity of radiative gravity waves in dense plasma (V_{gd}) [by using Eq.(2.43)] is plotted against the function of wave number (k) by varying (a) dense electron temperature: $T_{0,d} = 7 \times 10^5 K$ (red curve) and $T_{0,d} = 9 \times 10^5 K$ (blue curve) at fixed values of $x = 10^{-4}$ and density $n_{0,d} = 1 \times 10^{27} cm^{-3}$. Subplot (b) displays the group velocity of radiative gravity waves in rare plasma (V_{gr}) [by using Eq.(2.44) of [20]] against wave number (k) by varying (b) rare electron temperature: $T_{0,r} = 7 \times 10^5 K$ (red curve) and $T_{0,r} = 9 \times 10^5 K$ (blue curve) at fixed density $n_0 = 1 \times 10^{12} cm^{-3}$.

The charged surface oscillations dissipative gravitational radiation instability in dense plasma ($\hat{\gamma}_d$) (as given by (2.38)) is plotted against the wavenumber (k) for the same temperature range followed in Fig. (1).

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, one fluid magnetohydrodynamic approximation does not hold good in case of charged surface waves at the interface of dense radiative electron-ion plasma under the influence of thermal and radiation pressures. An important aspect of the present findings is that the radiative gravitational acceleration becomes function of the electron temperature. It is shown that gravitational radiation acceleration plays a vital role to stabilize the surface charge instability. For a particular condition, it is focused that pure, inhomogeneous (as a function of electron temperature) gravitational- radiation waves, of typical frequencies associated with DRP may propagate on the interface as a function of wavelength. It is focused that in case of high density and low temperature astrophysical plasma, the frequency of the obtained radiative gravitational waves is found to lie in the range of high frequency radio waves, while in case of rare laboratory plasma, the frequency of these waves is found to lie within the very low frequency radio waves of the electromagnetic radiation spectrum. Further, it is depicted that if radiations acts for a short time, dissipative instability arises as a function of gravitational radiation acceleration. The growth rate of frictional instability is found to be significant function of electron temperature.

-
- [1] P N Lebedev *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **6**, 433 (1901):. Eddington A S and Mon Not R *Astron. Soc.* **85**, 408 (1925).
 - [2] T Esirkepov et al *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 175003 (2004):. S V Bulanov et al *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **30**, 196 (2004):. F Pegoraro et al *Phys. Lett. A* **347**, 133 (2005):. W Yuet al *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 046401 (2005).
 - [3] A Macchi et al *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 165003 (2005):.A Badziak et al *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 061504 (2006). *Astrophysics of Cosmic Rays* (Elsevier, Amsterdam (1990))
 - [4] P Goldreich *Phys. Scr.* **17**, 225 (1978):. P Piran and V S Berezinskii *Astrophys. J.* **257**, L23 (1982)
 - [5] E A Milne and R Mon Not *Astron. Soc.* **86**, 459 (1926):. S Chandrasekhar and R Mon Not *Astron. Soc.* **94**, 522 (1934).
 - [6] N J Shaviv *Astrophys. J.* **532**, L137 (2000).

- [7] J Arons *Astrophys. J.* **388**, 561 (1992):. C F Gammie and R Mon Not *Astron. Soc.* **297**, 929 (1998):. M C Begelman *Astrophys. J.* **551**, 897 (2001).
- [8] J Badziak, S Glowacz, S Jablonski, P Parys, J Wolowski, H Hora, J Krása, L Láska and K Rohlena Production of ultrahigh ion current densities at skin-layer subrelativistic laser-plasma interaction *PlasmaPhys. Control. Fusion* **46** B541–55 (2004)
- [9] S Kar, et al Plasma jets driven by ultraintense-laser interaction with thin foils *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 225004 (2008)
- [10] K U Akli, et al Laser heating of solid matter by light-pressure-driven shocks at ultrarelativistic intensities *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 165002 (2006)
- [11] M Borghesi, J Fuchs, S V Bulanov, A J MacKinnon, P K Patel and M Roth *Fast ion generation byhigh-intensity laser irradiation of solid targets and applications Fus. Sci. Technol.* **49** 412 (2006)
- [12] L N Tsintsadze, D K Callebauti and N L Tsintsadze Black-body radiation in plasmas. *J. Plasma Phys.* **55**, 407–413 (1996)
- [13] R Ruby, Ch Rozina, N LTsintsadze and Z Iqbal *J. Plasma Phys.* vol. **86**, 905860406 (2020)
© The Author(s) Published by Cambridge University Press doi:10.1017/S0022377820000720
- [14] Ch Rozina, L N Tsintsadze, N L Tsintsadze and R Ruby *Phys. Scr.* **94** (2019) 105601 (5pp)
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ab1cc>
- [15] F Schutz Bernard *Gravitational Radiation, Encyclopedia of Astronomy and Astro-physics (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, and Macmillan Publishers Ltd, London, (2000))*.
- [16] LN Tsintsadze Stability of a charged plane surface of an electron–positron–ion plasma. *Phys.Plasmas* **5**, 11 4107–4109 (1998); B Buti *Advances in Space Plasma Physics*. World ScientificSingapore; Philadelphia World Scientific (1985).
- [17] A W Trivelpiece and R W Gould Space charge waves in cylindrical plasma columns. *J. Appl.Phys.* **30**, 1784–1793 (1959)
- [18] L D Landau and E M Lifshitz *Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press (1984)
- [19] S V Vladimirov, M Y Yu and V N Tsytovich *Phys. Rep.* 241, (1994)
- [20] N Maryam, Ch Rozina, B Arooj, A Asma and I Kourakis *J. Plasma Phys.* (2021), vol. **87**, 905870412 (2021) © The Author(s) .Published by Cambridge University Press doi:10.1017/S0022377821000799.
- [21] Tsintsadze N L ,Rozina Chaudhary, Shah H A and Murtaza G, PHYSICS OF PLASMAS

14, 073703 2007

- [22] **F.F. Chen**, *Introduction to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion*, Plenum, NewYork, 1984
- [23] **S. Ali a,, Z. Ahmed, Arshad M. Mirza I. Ahmad**, *Physics Letters A* **373** (2009) **2940–2943**
- [24] **Ch Rozina, B Sania, S Poedts, S Ali and N Maryam** *Phys. Scr.* **98** (2023) 045616
- [25] **Chen and L A C** *Phys. Scr.* T60, **36** (1995).
- [26] **Ch Rozina, B Sania, S Poedts, S Ali and N Maryam** *Phys. Scr.* **98** (2023) 045616
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/acc218>
- [27] **Kaspi V M and Beloborodov A M** 2017 *ARA&A* **55**, 261, arXiv:1703.00068 [astro-ph.HE].
- [28] **Petroff E, Hessels J W T and Lorimer D R** 2019 *A&ARv* **27**, 4, arXiv:1904.07947 [astro-ph.HE]
- [29] **Glendenning, Norman K.** (2012). *Compact Stars: Nuclear Physics, Particle Physics and General Relativity* (illustrated ed.). Springer Science & Business Media. p. 1. ISBN 978-1-4684-0491-3. Archived from the original on 2017-01-31. Retrieved 2016-03-21.
- [30] **Lattimer, James M.** (2015). "Introduction to neutron stars". *American Institute of Physics Conference Series. AIP Conference Proceedings.* 1645 (1): 61–78. Bibcode:2015AIPC.1645...61L. doi:10.1063/1.4909560