

ResMGCN: Residual Message Graph Convolution Network for Fast Biomedical Interactions Discovering

Ze Cheng Yin

The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Shenzhen, China
yinzcheng.cuhk@gmail.com

Abstract—Biomedical information graphs are crucial for interaction discovering of biomedical information in modern age, such as identification of multifarious molecular interactions and drug discovery, which attracts increasing interests in biomedicine, bioinformatics, and human healthcare communities. Nowadays, more and more graph neural networks have been proposed to learn the entities of biomedical information and precisely reveal biomedical molecule interactions with state-of-the-art results. These methods remedy the fading of features from a far distance but suffer from remedying such problem at the expensive cost of redundant memory and time. In our paper, we propose a novel Residual Message Graph Convolution Network (ResMGCN) for fast and precise biomedical interaction prediction in a different idea. Specifically, instead of enhancing the message from far nodes, ResMGCN aggregates lower-order information with the next round higher information to guide the node update to obtain a more meaningful node representation. ResMGCN is able to perceive and preserve various messages from the previous layer and high-order information in the current layer with least memory and time cost to obtain informative representations of biomedical entities. We conduct experiments on four biomedical interaction network datasets, including protein-protein, drug-drug, drug-target, and gene-disease interactions, which demonstrates that ResMGCN outperforms previous state-of-the-art models while achieving superb effectiveness on both storage and time. Our code and involved datasets are publicly available at <https://github.com/Yonggie/ResMGCN>.

Index Terms—residual message graph convolution, interaction prediction, biomedical interaction networks.

I. INTRODUCTION

A biological information system is an amazingly sophisticated and interesting network consisting of various biological molecules such as proteins, genes, as well as the interaction/reactions between these entities. The bioinformation between these molecules can be represented as a information graph, with molecular entities as nodes and interactions as edges between them. Distinguished from language or image, graph structure representation of the system offers a conceptual and intuitive precondition for further explore to understand or discover the direct or indirect interactions between molecular entities in the biological system[1][2]. Such technology boosted the explore of biology in system level and made novel discoveries in interactions such as drug-drug interactions (DDI)[3], drug-target interactions(DTI)[4], gene-disease associations (GDI)[5], and protein-protein interactions (PPI)[6].

Recently, a great number of deep learning methods are proposed to achieve promising performance across

various domains, such as recommendation systems[7][8], chemistry[9], citation networks[10][11][12], social networks[13] and medicine[14]. Most of these works are based on Graph Convolution Network (GCN)[15]. GCN is a popular work that passes the messages of neighbouring nodes to the central node repeatedly by stacking multiple GCN layers, to learn the predictive representation of the nodes for downstream tasks. Although GCN-based models show great success in link prediction in bioinformatics[3][16], there is a fundamental issue existing in GCN, which might depress the representation ability of biomedical graphs. GCN could not obtain information from different hierarchy at the same time and use these information to guide node updates. [17] and HOGCN[18] and SkipGNN[17] deem that GCN only considers the instant neighbours (also known as 1-hop neighbours) and message from nodes at a long distance is diminished on the way. Therefore, they conduct different methods to alleviate this problem. HOGCN[18] calculates messages from neighbours up to K hops at one time in one layer to combine information of neighbours from different distances, but it is computationally expensive and causes slow network propagation and optimization. SkipGNN[17] leverages a copy of the original graph but with 1-hop neighbour removed and 2-hop neighbour connected to preserve information of 2-hop neighbours, and shows improvement. However, reconstructing a copy of the original graph and processing a new 2-hop connected graph itself is expensive in both storage and time.

Targeting this pain point, inspired by ResNet[19] in computer vision, instead of handling the messages from nodes far away in expensive computational or storage cost, we propose Residual Message Graph Convolution Network (ResMGCN), a simple but effective improvement on GCN, a novel graph convolution that is able to effectively preserve lower order information and process together with the instant neighbour message to guide this layer's node update to obtain informative representation. We applied ResMGCN on an end2end biomedical entity representation learning that learns every entity of a biomedical system via an interaction network \mathcal{G} and its features X and conduct link prediction on two certain entities to determine whether they have meaningful interaction or not. Specifically, we first encode the biomedical graph using ResMGCN that utilizes low-order and high-order information, then define a simple linear decoder to predict interactions

between the nodes.

We demonstrate our ResMGCN’s performance with state-of-the-art heuristic learning methods[20], network embedding methods [21], [22], [23], [24], and graph convolution-based methods [17], [15], [18] for biomedical link prediction. We conduct our experiments on four publicly available datasets that represent various interaction in biomedical. ResMGCN outperforms the methods above both in accuracy and computation, demonstrating the superiority of ResNGCN mechanism.

We conduct case study on the PDI network to show that graph neural network using ResMGCN is able to learn meaningful informative entity representation of biomedical molecules.


In conclusion, our paper proposed residual graph convolution for predicting links between entities from the biomedical system, a novel graph convolution with leveraging low and high-order information in node representation learning, simple, fast, and effective. Our code and datasets are publicly available at <https://github.com/Yongjie/ResMGCN>.

II. RELATED WORK


By utilizing molecular biomedical graphs, the goal of biomedical network prediction is to predict whether it has biomedical information correlation for two given entity nodes such as drug-drug, protein-protein, drug-gene, etc. There are three main flows of graph-based methods to achieve such goal: (1) **Heuristic learning** comes with the idea that it may exist a link if a pair of unconnected nodes are similar or close in the network. Methods such as [25], [26], computes a similarity score by a certain expert-designed algorithm to represent how close the two nodes are. They are often limited to give strong constraints on the network and idealize the graph heavily to satisfy certain properties, which requires expert knowledge and departures from real-world scenarios. (2) **Direct graph embedding** such as [24], [22], [21] generates embeddings for nodes in the graph by absorbing the topology information. Even though these methods is intuitive and simple, they are limited to only model the topology information and lose the rich information of the nodes. (3) **Neural network based representation learning** utilizes graph convolution and learns graph entity informative representations and predicts node interactions by downstream predictor. In concrete, they learn node representation by aggregating the information from neighbour nodes (a.k.a. message passing[27]) and optimize the process by downstream task loss like link prediction in a convenient end2end manner. In GCN layer, spatially speaking, the node can only obtain its own first-order neighbor information in message passing process and then update its own representation. It’s the same with its neighbour nodes. In this case, the higher-order information from the far end can be indirectly obtained by stacking multiple graph convolutional layers, because its first-order neighbor also has obtained neighbor information of theirs. Details of this process are discussed in section III-B.

III. PRELIMINARIES

The graph in a biomedical system is defined as $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{V} is the set of nodes in biomedical enti-



(a) Collect messages from the 1-hop neighbour.



(b) Update the node representation accordingly.

Fig. 1. Message passing framework illustration. Message step takes representations of multiple nearby neighbours to calculate the message the node needed for updating, formulated in Eq.1. Passing step updates the node representation according to the message provided by the first step and its own original representation, formulated in Eq.2.

ties such as gene, protein, drug, and \mathcal{E} is the set of edges information denoting the interactions between the entities in biomedical system, and $\mathcal{X} \in R^{|\mathcal{V}| \times F}$ is the node feature representing the information of each entity and can help graph convolution with additional information other than topology information, where F is feature dimension.

Our goal in constructing biomedical information graph is to learn a predictor P which is able to tell whether two arbitrary entities in a biomedical system interact with each other.

A. Message Passing Framework

In recent days, spatial graph convolution theory attracts more and more attentions after GCN is proposed. In message passing framework[28], as shown in Figure 1, to learn an informative representation requires nodes interaction, and the interaction operation is divided into 2 steps: message and passing, where message is the various information to guide node representation learning and passing is an update process that utilizes the message into new representation.

In most graph convolutions, the message m_i in **message step** is calculated from nearby node representation, formulated as a function f_m that takes representations of neighbouring nodes (1-hop neighbour) and calculates the message the central node v_i needs:

$$m_i = f_m(\{x_j\}), j \in \mathcal{N}_i \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{N}_i is the index set of neighbourhood of node v_i . In **passing step**, the node v_i takes the message m_i obtained in last




Fig. 2. Illustration of multiplayer of message passing. Node v_i is able to capture messages from node v_j via node v_k by stacking two layers of graph convolution.

step and its own representation x_i to update the representation of node v_i , formulated as a update function f_u :

$$x'_i = f_u(m_i, x_i) \quad (2)$$

Various of recently proposed graph convolutions apply different f_m and f_u as all kinds of variants of message passing framework.

As an interesting case to note, nodes is able to capture k -hop ($k > 1$) neighbours' message by stacking multiple graph convolution layers, in an indirect manner. As shown in Figure 2, we take 2-layer message passing as an example, the first layer node only receives 1-hop messages of node v_i , while in the second layer node, however, node v_i will be able to sense its 2-hop messages through the update of its 1-hop neighbour node v_k indirectly, as the neighbour nodes v_k is also updated with messages from its 1-hop neighbour such as node v_j , which is the 2-hop neighbour for node v_i .

B. Graph Convolution(GCN)

Graph Convolution Network[15] is a popular implement version of graph convolutions. Derived from spectral graph signal processing[29][30], it extends the convolution operation in computer vision or natural language processing to graph-structured data. In graph spectral space, the GCN is actually an ideal simplified version of ChebyNet[29] series, but in recent days people care more about what GCN processes the message in spatial space, as spatial space is much more intuitive, and thus developed a message passing system to describe different implementations of graph convolution. Concretely, GCN layer takes edge information as the adjacency matrix of graph A and node feature matrix X to update, where the element of adjacency matrix A is defined as:

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ connects } v_j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In spectral space, GCN layer is denoted as:

$$X' = f(X, A) = \sigma \left(\hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} X W \right) \quad (4)$$

where $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the degree matrix of the graph, a diagonal matrix with each degree of nodes on the diagonal, n is the number of nodes, and d is the feature dimension, and $\hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = A + I$ is the adjacency matrix with self-loops, and

$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is node feature matrix, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times o}$ is a learnable linear transformation matrix of GCN to strengthen learning capability[15], o is output dimension of this layer.

IV. PROPOSED RESIDUAL GCN

A. Message Passing in GCN

In the paper, GCN is proposed in a spectral form, on the other side, however, in spatial space, in an equivalent wayA, we can also interpret GCN as follows:

$$x'_i = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} x_i + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} x_j \right) W \right) \quad (5)$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ is the node representation of single node v_i . To fit into the message passing framework, we separate the operation as follows. GCN sums up linear projected 1-hop neighbour representation as message function, and updates the node by taking the mean value of the neighbouring and its own linear projected representation. GCN layer's operations are formulated as:

$$m_i = f_m(\{x_j\}) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} x_j W \quad (6)$$

$$x'_i = f_u(m_i, x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} (m_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} x_i W) \quad (7)$$

The illustration of this process is illustrated in Figure 3.

B. Residual Message GCN (ResMGCN)

In biomedical data graphs, hierarchical connection correlations are crucial because new reactions or new drug molecular effects are often based on the progression of previous reaction information, which means information or message from recent lower order is extremely crucial, thus requires advanced information processing for messages from both last layer and current layer.

To address this problem, inspired by ResNet[19], we propose Residual Message GCN (ResMGCN), a simple but effective improvement for message fusion processing.

In the section IV-A, the message m_i denotes different information in the different layer. For example, with l denotes the layer index, for a 2-layer GCN, in the first layer m^1 is the message from 1-hop, while in the second layer, m^2 is the message from 2-hop neighbour via 1-hop neighbour, and so on. In order to jointly obtain hierarchical information and current information to guide node updates at the same time, we add a shortcut for message passing framework in different layers. Let l the denote ResMGCN layer index, ResMGCN is formulated as:

$$m_i^l = f_m(\{x_j\}), j \in \mathcal{N}_i \quad (8)$$

$$c_i^l = \Phi(m_i^l, m_i^{(l-1)}) \quad (9)$$

$$x_i^l = f_u(c_i^l, x_i^{(l-1)}) \quad (10)$$

where Φ is a message fusion function to handle message from the current layer and last layer, to provide both lower and current information, and l denotes layer index of ResMGCN, and m_i^l denotes indirect distant message of l th layer, and c_i^l




Fig. 3. Illustration of message passing. The layer outputs the updated node representation X for the next layer. The messages are left within the current layer.




Fig. 4. Illustration of ResMGCN. Besides of the updated representations, layer keeps the messages of current layer and pass the hierarchy information for next layer. Each layer has a information fusion function Φ to manage the information received for calculating the guided information C for node updating.

denotes combined information from the different layer. The message from the previous layer flows into the current layer as message residue. The residual message and current message are fused by the fusion function Φ to guide node representation learning together.

In Figure 3 and Figure 4, M is the total messages of all nodes, and C is the total fused messages of all nodes, and l indicates the layer index, and X denotes the node representation matrix. In the Figures, message or information from the lower hierarchy could flow better compared to the original, which provide fundamental interaction information for the current layer from the previous layer.

V. RESMGCN FOR BIOMEDICAL INTERACTION PREDICTION

A. Graph ResMGCN Feature Encoder

We develop ResMGCN to fuse information from the different hierarchy of biomedical graphs. We conduct ResMGCN as graph feature encoder as a component in an end2end model and learn link prediction by linear predictor from biomedical graph representation. Specifically, the ResMGCN in end2end model is:

$$m_i^l = f_m(\{x_j^{(l-1)}\}) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} x_j^{(l-1)} W \quad (11)$$

$$c_i^l = \Phi(m_i^l, m_i^{(l-1)}) = \frac{1}{2}(m_i^l + m_i^{(l-1)}) \quad (12)$$

$$x_i^l = f_u(c_i^l, x_i^l) = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}}(c_i^l + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}}x_i^{(l-1)}W)\right) \quad (13)$$

B. Graph Predictor

After feature encoding of the biomedical interaction graph, we introduce a simple linear predictor that takes the combination of feature from two entities and predicts the probability of interaction. For two embedded node representation h_i and h_j , the predictor is formulated as:

$$p_{ij} = \sigma(\Psi(h_i, h_j)W_p + b)$$

where p_{ij} is the probability of interaction between nodes v_i and v_j , $W_p \in \mathbb{R}^{2d \times 1}$ is a learnable weight matrix of the predictor, $h_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and $h_j \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ are node representation vectors of two arbitrary node v_i and v_j , d is feature dimension, b is the bias of the predictor, σ is a non-linear activation function, and Ψ is a combination function that combines node feature h_i and h_j such as concatenation. We use vector concatenation as Ψ function (same as SkipGNN) for a fair comparison with many baselines.

C. Model Training and Optimization

We apply binary cross entropy loss to optimize the model parameters:

$$\mathcal{L}(v_i, v_j) = -((1 - A_{ij})\log(1 - p_{ij}) + A_{ij}\log(p_{ij})) \quad (14)$$

where p_{ij} is the predicted interaction or reaction probability between node v_i and v_j , and A_{ij} denotes the label interaction (also the adjacency information) among nodes. Cross entropy loss could guide the model to predict a higher probability for ground truth interactions than that for non-interactions. For all nodes in training set, \mathcal{L} is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{L}(v_i, v_j) \quad (15)$$

As an end2end model, the training information flows back from cross entropy loss to predictor and to feature encoder ResMGCN in the end during backpropagation.

VI. EXPERIMENT

The biomedical interaction prediction problem is solved by a link prediction task on the interaction network. We conduct experiments on various interaction datasets and compare our ResMGCN with state-of-the-art models.

1) *Datasets*: We conduct link prediction experiments on four datasets in wide fields of bioinformatics, and all of them are publicly available. Details of the datasets are summarized in Table I.

- BioSNAP-DTI[4]: DTI network contains 15,139 drug-target interactions between 5,018 drugs and 2,325 proteins.
- BioSNAP-DDI[3]: DDI network contains 48,514 drug-drug interactions between 1,514 drugs extracted from drug labels and biomedical literature.
- HuRI-PPI[6]: HI-III human PPI network contains 5,604 proteins and 23,322 interactions generated by multiple orthogonal high-throughput yeast two-hybrid screens.
- DisGeNET-GDI [5]: GDI network consists of 81,746 interactions between 9,413 genes and 10,370 diseases curated from GWAS studies, animal models and scientific literature.

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF THE DATASETS

Dataset	# Nodes	# Edges train	# Edges validate	# Edges test	Edges Total	Avg. degree
DTI	5,018 drugs, 2,325 proteins	10,597	1,514	3,028	15,139	4.12
DDI	1,514 drugs	33,960	4,852	9,702	48,514	64.09
PPI	5,604 proteins	16,326	2,332	4,664	23,322	8.32
GDI	9,413 genes, 10,370 diseases	57,222	8,175	16,349	81,746	8.26

A. Baselines

We compare ResMGCN with seven powerful predictors of molecular interactions from network science and graph machine-learning fields. For direct embedding models, we use three direct network embedding methods: DeepWalk[21], node2vec[22], and we also include struct2vec[23]. struct2vec and node2vec are conceptually distinct by leveraging local network structural information, while Deepwalk uses random walks to learn embeddings for nodes in the network. Moreover, we compare with five graph neural networks: VGAE[31],GCN[15],GAT[32], GIN[33],JK-Net[34], MixHop[35] and HOGCN[18]. These methods utilize graph convolution to extract the informative representations of biomedical entities, with the same input as ResMGCN. For heuristic learning, we consider Spectral Clustering[36] and L3[20] heuristic.

B. Metrics

We use the same evaluations for all baselines. Datasets are divided into training, validation, and test sets in the ratio of 7:1:2. We use AUROC, which is the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, and AUPRC, which is the area under the Precision-Recall Curve as two evaluation indicators.

C. Experimental Settings

For a fair comparison, we provide node initial representation as one hot initialization and linear link predictor for SkipGNN, HOGCN, and ResMGCN. SkipGNN implemented by the paper author used one hot initialization, and HOGCN implemented by the paper author used node2vec representation initialization to offer informative embedding in the beginning and did not provide the initial embedding in the Github project. According to the variable-controlling method, we initialize the node representation with one hot and only change the graph feature encoder in these three methods to reveal the true comparison of these methods.

D. Results

In this section, we demonstrate the performance of baselines and ResMGCN, and analysis details about the results. The summary of performance is in Table II. We referred to the statistics from the previous paper[18], [17] and conduct a fair implementation of HOGCN experiment.

ResMGCN shows very competitive performance compared with all baselines including state-of-the-art methods both on AUPRC and AUROC on all four biomedical datasets. ResMGCN outperformed all baselines on DDI and GDI datasets. In DDI dataset, ResMGCN achieved around 7%

higher and 5% higher than previous sota SkipGNN in AUPRC and AUROC respectively. In GDI dataset, ResMGCN achieved around 1% higher and 0.4% higher than previous sota HOGCN in AUPRC and AUROC respectively. In these four datasets, DDI has the highest node average degree 64.09, whereas other datasets have only less than 10 average degree. ResMGCN has the best performance in DDI dataset, proving that ResMGCN is good at handling different hierarchies and dense topology information. ResMGCN has huge advantages on both data scale and training cost, even though this is not so revealed in rather in datasets on smaller scales. Dataset GDI has the greatest amount of nodes and edges (around 20,000), and ResMGCN outperformed the baselines, together with later discussion VI-E on training cost analysis, demonstrating the ResMGCN has good capability of dealing with large dataset.

E. ResMGCN is simple and much more effective

In this section, we compare ResMGCN effectiveness with state-of-the-art methods in recent years. We conduct model training on the same server executing one single task at a time with RTX 3090s. The time cost of the average epoch and training process with their best epoch number, which is the epoch round that would give the model the best performance on biomedical indicators, are listed below in Table III. As time cost is also related to implementation acceleration, we clarify that these methods are all based on basic matrix multiplication provided by Pytorch.

We obviously see our proposed ResMGCN reached state-of-the-art effectiveness compared with all related methods. (1) SkipGNN reconstructed a copy of the original graph with 1-hop edges removed and 2-hop edges connected to obtain higher-order information and then aggregates features from the two graphs, which requires large computation for graph preprocessing and doubled the memory and time cost. It is too expensive to conduct such operation in a real large scale scenario. Despite of the performance superiority of ResMGCN, the time cost of ResMGCN is **significantly** lower than SkipGNN, nearly **one-tenth** of SkipGNN in terms of single epoch cost and up to **one eighteenth** ($\frac{1}{18}$) of that in terms of single epoch cost. ResMGCN converges in a significantly fast and effective manner with a superb performance in many of the datasets. (2) HOGCN is a method that stacks neighbour messages from 0-hop to up to k-hop in one layer as information fusion, where it requires multiple matrix multiplications in one single layer, which could cost a huge amount of time. To gain a fair comparison, we implemented experiments on DDI dataset in the same settings with HOGCN[18] in sparse adjacency matrix and achieved sota effectiveness, but our model could **reach 5.2s per epoch** on this dataset if

TABLE II
AVERAGE AUPRC AND AUROC WITH ONE STANDARD DEVIATION ON BIOMEDICAL INTERACTION PREDICTION

Dataset	Method	AUPRC	AUROC	Rank
DTI	DeepWalk	0.753 ± 0.008	0.735 ± 0.009	
	node2vec	0.771 ± 0.005	0.720 ± 0.010	
	struct2vec	0.677 ± 0.007	0.656 ± 0.010	
	SC	0.818 ± 0.007	0.743 ± 0.008	
	L3	0.891 ± 0.004	0.793 ± 0.006	
	VGAE	0.853 ± 0.010	0.800 ± 0.010	
	GCN	0.904 ± 0.011	0.899 ± 0.010	
	GIN	0.922 ± 0.004	0.907 ± 0.006	4
	JK-Net	0.921 ± 0.006	0.907 ± 0.008	
	MixHop	0.921 ± 0.006	0.920 ± 0.004	2
	SkipGNN	0.928 ± 0.006	0.922 ± 0.004	1
	HOGCN	0.929 ± 0.001	0.919 ± 0.001	3
	ResMGCN	0.918 ± 0.001	0.901 ± 0.010	5
	DDI	DeepWalk	0.698 ± 0.012	0.712 ± 0.009
node2vec		0.801 ± 0.004	0.809 ± 0.002	
struct2vec		0.643 ± 0.012	0.654 ± 0.007	
SC		0.749 ± 0.009	0.816 ± 0.006	
L3		0.860 ± 0.004	0.869 ± 0.003	
VGAE		0.844 ± 0.076	0.878 ± 0.008	
GCN		0.856 ± 0.005	0.875 ± 0.004	
GIN		0.856 ± 0.005	0.876 ± 0.003	
JK-Net		0.870 ± 0.009	0.885 ± 0.005	3
MixHop		0.861 ± 0.006	0.879 ± 0.004	4
SkipGNN		0.866 ± 0.006	0.886 ± 0.003	2
HOGCN		0.8501 ± 0.003	0.8620 ± 0.002	
ResMGCN		0.9317 ± 0.001	0.9360 ± 0.001	1
PPI		DeepWalk	0.715 ± 0.008	0.706 ± 0.005
	node2vec	0.773 ± 0.010	0.766 ± 0.005	
	struct2vec	0.875 ± 0.004	0.868 ± 0.006	
	SC	0.897 ± 0.003	0.859 ± 0.003	
	L3	0.899 ± 0.003	0.861 ± 0.003	
	VGAE	0.875 ± 0.004	0.844 ± 0.006	
	GCN	0.909 ± 0.002	0.907 ± 0.006	
	GIN	0.907 ± 0.004	0.897 ± 0.006	
	JK-Net	0.912 ± 0.003	0.901 ± 0.005	3
	MixHop	0.909 ± 0.004	0.913 ± 0.003	
	SkipGNN	0.921 ± 0.003	0.917 ± 0.004	1
	HOGCN	0.9155 ± 0.002	0.9104 ± 0.001	2
	ResMGCN	0.9104 ± 0.001	0.8940 ± 0.001	4
	GDI	DeepWalk	0.827 ± 0.007	0.832 ± 0.003
node2vec		0.828 ± 0.006	0.834 ± 0.003	
struct2vec		0.910 ± 0.006	0.909 ± 0.005	
SC		0.905 ± 0.002	0.863 ± 0.003	
L3		0.899 ± 0.001	0.832 ± 0.001	
VGAE		0.902 ± 0.006	0.873 ± 0.009	
GCN		0.909 ± 0.002	0.906 ± 0.006	
GIN		0.916 ± 0.004	0.900 ± 0.005	
JK-Net		0.891 ± 0.049	0.898 ± 0.002	
MixHop		0.912 ± 0.005	0.916 ± 0.004	3
SkipGNN		0.915 ± 0.003	0.912 ± 0.004	4
HOGCN		0.924 ± 0.001	0.921 ± 0.001	2
ResMGCN		0.935 ± 0.001	0.925 ± 0.001	1

TABLE III
SUMMARY OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF RELATED METHODS

Dataset	Method	Epoch	Training
DTI	SkipGNN	14.8	241.8
	HOGCN	4.70	119.6
	ResMGCN	2.6	105.7
DDI	SkipGNN	55.04	749.4
	HOGCN	12.5	503.1
	ResMGCN	6.3	81.7
PPI	SkipGNN	20.6	310.2
	HOGCN	6.2	161.1
	ResMGCN	3.5	55.5
GDI	SkipGNN	180.9	903.6
	HOGCN	20.3	320.0
	ResMGCN	9.8	50.2

we use dense adjacency matrix. Despite of the performance superiority of ResMGCN, ResMGCN could reach nearly **half** the time of HOGCN in terms of a single epoch and up to **one sixth** ($\frac{1}{6}$) the time of HOGCN in terms of whole training cost. Other than that, HOGCN[18] needs human hyperparameter k to preprocess information in the beginning, while ResMGCN is a simple and fully end2end convolution that doesn't require any intervention.

The larger the dataset scale, the greater advantages of ResMGCN. The advantage of ResMGCN is more obvious when it is applied in large datasets such as GDI dataset. We see greater epoch cost margin compared with PPI whose node number is 1,514 and GDI whose node number is around 20,000.

As mentioned before, we conduct a fair comparison, we did not apply bilinear edge reconstruction as HOGCN did, and did not use any trick for higher performance, which means this is not the end of ResMGCN's potential, and further exploration works are expected.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a novel graph convolution ResMGCN, a new message passing scheme to fuse information from different hierarchies to guide more meaningful graph node representations. We conduct solid experiments on four publicly available datasets on interaction prediction and compare ResMGCN with state-of-the-art baselines. ResMGCN outperforms all baselines with clear improvement on interaction prediction task on the biomedical information graph, as ResMGCN learns informative representation through interacted messages from various orders of neighbour. Moreover, the proposed shortcut scheme enables ResMGCN to process significantly fast and effective feature encoding both on computation and storage compared with the methods which try to alleviate the similar issue. The experiments of biomedical interaction prediction and effectiveness comparison demonstrates ResMGCN's superiority and great potential in other related areas.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF THE EQUIVALENCE OF SPATIAL AND SPECTRAL CONVOLUTION OF GCN

$$X' = f(X, A) = \sigma \left(\hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{A} \hat{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} XW \right) \quad (16)$$

where $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the degree matrix of the graph, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the adjacency matrix of the graph, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ is the representation matrix of all nodes in the graph. Taking a graph composed of three nodes as an example, we explicitly demonstrate the every element of D, A and X matrices. These matrices are denoted as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} W \right)$$

where d_i is a scalar, the degree of node v_i , a_{ij} is a scalar, the element of adjacency matrix A , $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ is the representation of single node v_i , and $x'_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ is the updated representation of node v_i , the output of this layer.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} XW \right)$$

To reveal the updating of representation x'_i of node v_i , we let $Z = D^{-\frac{1}{2}} A D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Z is:

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{11} & d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{12} & d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{13} \\ d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{21} & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{22} & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{23} \\ d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{31} & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{32} & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

We multiply Z with the representation matrix in vector format $X = (x_1^T, x_2^T, x_3^T)$:

$$ZX = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{11} & d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{12} & d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{13} \\ d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{21} & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{22} & d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{23} \\ d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{31} & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{32} & d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$ZX = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{11} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{12} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{13} x_3) \\ d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{21} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{22} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{23} x_3) \\ d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{31} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{32} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{33} x_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

As $X' = \sigma(ZXW)$, finally, the node updating of nodes v_i is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{11} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{12} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{13} x_3) \\ d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{21} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{22} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{23} x_3) \\ d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{31} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{32} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{33} x_3) \end{bmatrix} W \right)$$

Taking x'_1 as an example, the updating process is:

$$x'_1 = \sigma(d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{11} x_1 + d_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{12} x_2 + d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{13} x_3) W)$$

This equation demonstrates an equivalent spatial manner that every node takes its 1-hop neighbour in spatial graph

and aggregates the messages to updates its own representation. Thus, in the aspect of spectral domain, we can formulate the GCN process on one node as:

$$x'_i = \sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}} x_i + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_j}} x_j \right) W \right) \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{N}_i is the index set of neighbourhood of node v_i .

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Cowen, T. Ideker, B. J. Raphael, and R. Sharan, "Network propagation: a universal amplifier of genetic associations," *Nat Rev Genet*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 551–562, 09 2017.
- [2] S. M. Marinka Zitnik, Rok Sosič and J. Leskovec, "BioSNAP Datasets: Stanford biomedical network dataset collection," <http://snap.stanford.edu/biodata>, Aug. 2018.
- [3] M. Zitnik, M. Agrawal, and J. Leskovec, "Modeling polypharmacy side effects with graph convolutional networks," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 34, no. 13, pp. i457–i466, 07 2018.
- [4] Y. Luo, X. Zhao, J. Zhou, J. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Kuang, J. Peng, L. Chen, and J. Zeng, "A network integration approach for drug-target interaction prediction and computational drug repositioning from heterogeneous information," *Nat Commun*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 573, 09 2017.
- [5] M. Agrawal, M. Zitnik, and J. Leskovec, "Large-scale analysis of disease pathways in the human interactome," *Pac Symp Biocomput*, vol. 23, pp. 111–122, 2018.
- [6] K. Luck, D. K. Kim, L. Lambourne, K. Spirohn, B. E. Begg, W. Bian, R. Brignall, T. Cafarelli, F. J. Campos-Laborie, B. Charlotteaux, D. Choi, A. G. Coté, M. Daley, S. Deimling, A. Desbuleux, A. Dricot, M. Gebbia, M. F. Hardy, N. Kishore, J. J. Knapp, I. A. Kovács, I. Lemmens, M. W. Mee, J. C. Mellor, C. Pollis, C. Pons, A. D. Richardson, S. Schlabach, B. Teeking, A. Yadav, M. Babor, D. Balcha, O. Basha, C. Bowman-Colin, S. F. Chin, S. G. Choi, C. Colabella, G. Coppin, C. D'Amata, D. De Ridder, S. De Rouck, M. Duran-Frigola, H. Ennajaoui, F. Goebels, L. Goehring, A. Gopal, G. Haddad, E. Hatchi, M. Helmy, Y. Jacob, Y. Kassa, S. Landini, R. Li, N. van Lieshout, A. MacWilliams, D. Markey, J. N. Paulson, S. Rangarajan, J. Rasla, A. Rayhan, T. Rolland, A. San-Miguel, Y. Shen, D. Sheykhkarimli, G. M. Sheynkman, E. Simonovsky, M. Taşan, A. Tejada, V. Tropepe, J. C. Twizere, Y. Wang, R. J. Weatheritt, J. Weile, Y. Xia, X. Yang, E. Yeger-Lotem, Q. Zhong, P. Aloy, G. D. Bader, J. De Las Rivas, S. Gaudet, T. Hao, J. Rak, J. Tavernier, D. E. Hill, M. Vidal, F. P. Roth, and M. A. Calderwood, "A reference map of the human binary protein interactome," *Nature*, vol. 580, no. 7803, pp. 402–408, 04 2020.
- [7] Y. Jin, W. Zhang, X. He, X. Wang, and X. Wang, "Syndrome-aware herb recommendation with multi-graph convolution network," in *ICDE*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 145–156.
- [8] C. Gao, Y. Zheng, N. Li, Y. Li, Y. Qin, J. Piao, Y. Quan, J. Chang, D. Jin, X. He, and Y. Li, "A survey of graph neural networks for recommender systems: Challenges, methods, and directions," *ACM Transactions on Recommender Systems (TORS)*, 2021.
- [9] J. Gilmer, S. S. Schoenholz, P. F. Riley, O. Vinyals, and G. E. Dahl, "Neural message passing for quantum chemistry," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1704.01212, 2017. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.01212>
- [10] C. Park, D. Kim, J. Han, and H. Yu, "Unsupervised attributed multiplex network embedding," in *AAAI*, vol. 34, no. 04, 2020, pp. 5371–5378.
- [11] Y. Ren, B. Liu, C. Huang, P. Dai, L. Bo, and J. Zhang, "Heterogeneous deep graph infomax," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1911.08538, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.08538>
- [12] P. Velickovic, W. Fedus, W. L. Hamilton, P. Liò, Y. Bengio, and R. D. Hjelm, "Deep graph infomax," in *ICLR (Poster)*, 2019.
- [13] J. Qiu, J. Tang, H. Ma, Y. Dong, K. Wang, and J. Tang, "Deepinf: Social influence prediction with deep learning," in *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery; Data Mining*, ser. KDD '18. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2018, p. 2110–2119. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3219819.3220077>
- [14] H. Stärk, O. Ganea, L. Pattanaik, R. Barzilay, and T. Jaakkola, "Equibind: Geometric deep learning for drug binding structure prediction," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 20 503–20 521.
- [15] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, "Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks," in *5th ICLR*, 2017.

- [16] X. Yue, Z. Wang, J. Huang, S. Parthasarathy, S. Moosavinasab, Y. Huang, S. M. Lin, W. Zhang, P. Zhang, and H. Sun, "Graph embedding on biomedical networks: methods, applications and evaluations," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1241–1251, 02 2020.
- [17] K. Huang, C. Xiao, L. Glass, M. Zitnik, and J. Sun, "Skipgmn: Predicting molecular interactions with skip-graph networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14949*, 2020.
- [18] K. Kc, R. Li, F. Cui, and A. Haake, "Predicting biomedical interactions with higher-order graph convolutional networks," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, pp. 1–1, 2021.
- [19] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03385*, 2015.
- [20] I. A. Kovács, K. Luck, K. Spirohn, Y. Wang, C. Pollis, S. Schlabach, W. Bian, D.-K. Kim, N. Kishore, T. Hao, M. A. Calderwood, M. Vidal, and A.-L. Barabási, "Network-based prediction of protein interactions," *Nature Communications*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 1240, Mar 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09177-y>
- [21] B. Perozzi, R. Al-Rfou, and S. Skiena, "Deepwalk: Online learning of social representations," in *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, ser. KDD '14. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2014, pp. 701–710. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2623330.2623732>
- [22] A. Grover and J. Leskovec, "node2vec: Scalable feature learning for networks," in *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2016.
- [23] D. Figueiredo, L. Ribeiro, and P. Saverese, "struc2vec: Learning node representations from structural identity," *KDD*, 04 2017.
- [24] J. Tang, M. Qu, M. Wang, M. Zhang, J. Yan, and Q. Mei, "Line: Large-scale information network embedding," in *WWW*. ACM, 2015.
- [25] A. L. Barabasi and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random networks," *Science*, vol. 286, no. 5439, pp. 509–512, Oct 1999.
- [26] L. Lü, C. H. Jin, and T. Zhou, "Similarity index based on local paths for link prediction of complex networks," *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys*, vol. 80, no. 4 Pt 2, p. 046122, Oct 2009.
- [27] C. Shi, Y. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Sun, and S. Y. Philip, "A survey of heterogeneous information network analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 17–37, 2016.
- [28] Y. Li, C. Gu, T. Dullien, O. Vinyals, and P. Kohli, "Graph matching networks for learning the similarity of graph structured objects," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1904.12787, 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12787>
- [29] M. Defferrard, X. Bresson, and P. Vandergheynst, "Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering," in *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, ser. NIPS'16. Red Hook, NY, USA: Curran Associates Inc., 2016, p. 3844–3852.
- [30] J. Bruna, W. Zaremba, A. Szlam, and Y. Lecun, "Spectral networks and locally connected networks on graphs," in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR2014), CBLS, April 2014*, 2014.
- [31] T. N. Kipf and M. Welling, "Variational graph auto-encoders," *NIPS Workshop on Bayesian Deep Learning*, 2016.
- [32] P. Veličković, G. Cucurull, A. Casanova, A. Romero, P. Lio, and Y. Bengio, "Graph attention networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903*, 2017.
- [33] K. Xu, W. Hu, J. Leskovec, and S. Jegelka, "How powerful are graph neural networks?" *CoRR*, vol. abs/1810.00826, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.00826>
- [34] K. Xu, C. Li, Y. Tian, T. Sonobe, K. Kawarabayashi, and S. Jegelka, "Representation learning on graphs with jumping knowledge networks," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1806.03536, 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03536>
- [35] S. Abu-El-Haija, B. Perozzi, A. Kapoor, N. Alipourfard, K. Lerman, H. Harutyunyan, G. Ver Steeg, and A. Galstyan, "Mixhop: Higher-order graph convolutional architectures via sparsified neighborhood mixing," in *international conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2019, pp. 21–29.
- [36] L. Tang and H. Liu, "Leveraging social media networks for classification," *Data Min. Knowl. Discov.*, vol. 23, pp. 447–478, 11 2011.