

# Neutron Stars in a Uniform Density Approximation

G. S. Bisnovaty-Kogan <sup>\*</sup>, E. A. Patraman <sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

Models of neutron stars are considered in the case of a uniform density distribution. A universal algebraic equation, valid for any equation of state, is obtained. This equation allows one to find the approximate mass of a star of a given density without resorting to the integration of differential equations. The solutions presented in the paper for various equations of state, including more realistic ones, differ from the exact solutions obtained by the numerical integration of differential equations by at most  $\sim 20\%$ .

## 1 INTRODUCTION

When studying the structure of white dwarfs, it was discovered that their equilibrium is possible only for masses not exceeding a certain limit, known as Chandrasekhar's. For a carbon-oxygen chemical composition, with two baryons per electron,  $\mu_e = 2$ , this limit is  $\approx 1.46 M_\odot$ . The first conclusion about the existence of an upper mass limit for cold stars, the equilibrium of which is maintained by the pressure of degenerate electrons, was made by Stoner [1], who considered the model of a white dwarf of uniform density. He generalized the consideration of the pressure of degenerate electrons, made in [2, 3], to the case of high density under conditions of ultra-relativistic degeneracy, in which the equation of state for cold matter takes the form [4]

$$P(\rho) = \frac{(3\pi^2)^{1/3}}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{(\mu_e m_u)^{4/3}} \rho^{4/3} = K \rho^{4/3}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\mu_e$ , is the number of baryons per electron,  $m_u$  is an atomic mass unit equal to 1/12 of the mass of the  $^{12}\text{C}$  isotope. According to Emden's theory, the mass of a polytropic star, corresponding to  $\gamma = 1 + 1/n = 4/3$ ,  $n = 3$ , does not depend on density and is uniquely determined by the parameter  $K$  as [4]

$$M_p = 4\pi \left( \frac{K}{\pi G} \right)^{3/2} M_3, \quad M_3 = 2.01824. \quad (2)$$

Using (2), Chandrasekhar [5] and Landau [7], independently and almost simultaneously, obtained for the equation of state (1) the limiting mass of a white dwarf in the form

$$M_{wd} = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi}}{2} \left( \frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{3/2} \frac{M_3}{(\mu_e m_u)^2} = \frac{5.83}{\mu_e^2} M_\odot. \quad (3)$$

To determine the maximum mass of observed white dwarfs, Chandrasekhar [5], following Stoner [1], used  $\mu_e = 2.5$  and obtained  $M_{wd} = 0.933 M_\odot$ . This refined the value of  $M_{wd} = 1.1 M_\odot$  calculated by Stoner using the same value of  $\mu_e = 2.5$  in the uniform density model. From the theory of stellar evolution, as well as from observations, it follows that almost all white dwarfs consist of a mixture of carbon  $^{12}\text{C}$  and oxygen  $^{16}\text{O}$  for which  $\mu_e = 2$  [6] and  $M_{wd} = 1.46 M_\odot$ . In [[7]], for the first time, a realistic value for the mass limit of a white dwarf was obtained, which deserves to be referred to as the Stoner–Chandrasekhar–Landau

<sup>\*</sup>Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 117997 Russia.; National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, 115409 Russia ; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow oblast, 141701 Russia.

<sup>†</sup>Space Research Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 117997 Russia.; Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Moscow oblast, 141701 Russia

limit. Here, we used refined modern values for all constants [8], which led to a difference of several percent from the values given in the original works.

In this paper, we construct approximate models of cold neutron stars of arbitrary mass assuming a uniform density distribution by using algebraic equations derived from the general theory of relativity (GTR). Within this model, all results, including the values of the limiting masses of neutron stars (NSs), are obtained analytically, from algebraic equations we derived, for any equations of state.

## 2 UNIFORM-DENSITY NEUTRON STARS

To construct realistic models of NSs, it is necessary to use general relativity, since the gravitational potential reaches tenths of  $c^2$  and the NS radius  $R_{ns}$  is only a few gravitational radii  $r_g = 2GM/c^2$ . The models of non-rotating NSs are constructed using a Schwarzschild-type metric [9, 10, 12]

$$ds^2 = e^{\nu(r)} c^2 dt^2 - e^{\lambda(r)} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2Gm}{rc^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$e^{\nu} = \left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{rc^2}\right) \exp \left[ \int_0^{P(r)} \frac{2dP}{P + \rho(P)} \right],$$

where

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho r'^2 dr', \quad M = m(R_{ns}), \quad \rho = \rho_0 \left(1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2}\right). \quad (5)$$

In general relativity, they consider the total density of matter  $\rho$ , which includes the total rest energy density  $\rho_0$  and internal energy  $E_T$ . The mass  $M$  is the total gravitating mass, which includes the gravitational energy; therefore, the total energy of an NS is  $\mathcal{E} = Mc^2$  and the total energy  $e$  inside a radius  $r$  is  $e(r) = m(r)c^2$ . From the general relativity equations it follows that the baryon density  $n$  is related to the number of baryons  $f(r)$  inside the radius  $r$ , as [4]

$$f(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r n r'^2 \left(1 - \frac{2Gm}{c^2 r'}\right)^{-1/2} dr', \quad N = f(R_{ns}), \quad \rho_0 = n m_u, \quad M_0 = N m_u, \quad (6)$$

where  $M_0$  - is the baryonic rest mass in the NS. For a uniform-mass NS, in which  $n$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $E_T$ , and  $\rho$  do not depend on the radius, the integral in expression (6) is calculated analytically, which leads to the expression [11]

$$f(r) = \frac{2\pi n}{D^{3/2}} \left[ \sin^{-1}(r\sqrt{D}) - r\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1-r^2D} \right], \quad D = \frac{8\pi\rho G}{3c^2}. \quad (7)$$

To relate the NS radius  $R$  to the density  $\rho$ , it is necessary to find the extremum of the function  $M(\rho_0)$  at a fixed value of the number of baryons in the star,  $N$ , which are written as

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho R^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3c^2} \rho_0 (c^2 + E_T) R^3, \quad N = \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_u D^{3/2}} \left[ \sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) - R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1-R^2D} \right]. \quad (8)$$

If we are not given a specific density distribution function, then, applying the variational principle and using expressions (5),(6) to obtain a differential equation and find the density distribution function [4], we find the equilibrium equation for a star in general relativity, obtained by Oppenheimer and Volkoff [12]. As shown in [4], p. 407, for this it is necessary to find the variation of the total energy (mass) as a functional of the variation of the radius  $\delta r(\nu)$ , where  $\nu \equiv f(r)$ , obtained in expression (6).

## 2.1 Calculation of Differentials

Taking the differentials of both functions in (8), we get

$$dM = \frac{4\pi}{3c^2} [3R^2\rho_0(c^2 + E_T)dR + (c^2 + E_T)R^3d\rho_0 + \rho_0R^3dE_T], \quad (9)$$

$$dN = \frac{2\pi}{m_u} \left[ \sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) - R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1 - R^2D} \right] \left( \frac{d\rho_0}{D^{3/2}} - \frac{3\rho_0}{2D^{5/2}}dD \right) + \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_u D^{3/2}} \\ \times \left[ \frac{RdD + 2DdR}{2\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1 - R^2D}} - \sqrt{1 - R^2D} \left( \sqrt{D}dR + \frac{R}{2\sqrt{D}}dD \right) + R\sqrt{D} \frac{R^2dD + 2DRdR}{2\sqrt{1 - R^2D}} \right]. \quad (10)$$

From thermodynamic relations, we obtain [13]

$$dE_T = \left( \frac{P}{\rho_0^2} \right) d\rho_0, \quad dD = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} d\rho = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} \right) d\rho_0 \\ + \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \frac{P}{\rho_0 c^2} d\rho_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \left( 1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} + \frac{P}{c^2 \rho_0} \right) d\rho_0. \quad (11)$$

Given (11), we obtain from (9) and (10) expressions for the differentials  $dM(d\rho_0, dR)$  and  $dN(d\rho_0, dR)$ . Considering the variations for a conserved number of baryons, with  $dN = 0$ , we obtain from the last expression a relation between the differentials  $dR$  and  $d\rho_0$ . The equilibrium of a uniform-density star corresponds to the extremum of the function  $M(\rho_0)$ , in which

$$\frac{dM}{d\rho_0} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Then, from the expressions for differentials, we obtain a relation between the radius  $R$  of a uniform-density star in general relativity and the total density  $\rho = \rho_0 \left( 1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} \right)$  in the form

$$\frac{\sqrt{1 - R^2D}}{2R^3\sqrt{D^3}} \left[ R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1 - R^2D} - \sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) \right] \\ + \frac{2\pi G}{c^2} \frac{\sqrt{1 - R^2D}}{2R^2D^2} \frac{\rho c^2 + P}{3c^2} \left[ \frac{\sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D})}{R\sqrt{D}} - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{3}R^2D}{\sqrt{1 - R^2D}} \right] + \frac{\rho c^2 + P}{3\rho c^2} = 0 \quad (13)$$

Relation (13) holds for any equations of state, allowing one to approximately find the mass of a star of a given density from an algebraic equation, without resorting to the integration of differential equations.

Expressions for the differentials  $dM$  and  $dN$  can be obtained using integral formulas for these quantities, following from (5) and (6), in the form

$$M = 4\pi \int_0^R \rho r^2 dr, \quad N = 4\pi \int_0^R n r^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2Gm}{c^2 r} \right)^{-1/2} dr, \quad m = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho r^3, \quad \rho_0 = m_u n. \quad (14)$$

The calculation of  $dM$  using the integral expression in (5) does not lead to simplifications, but the calculation of  $dN$  using the integral expression is greatly simplified. The integrals arising after using (14) are calculated analytically, which results in the expression

$$dN = \frac{4\pi\rho_0}{m_u} \frac{R^2 dR}{\sqrt{1 - R^2D}} + \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_u} \frac{R^3}{\sqrt{1 - R^2D}} \frac{dD}{D} \\ + \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_u D^{3/2}} \left( \frac{d\rho_0}{\rho_0} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{dD}{D} \right) \left[ \sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) - R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1 - R^2D} \right] \quad (15)$$

which relates  $dR$  and  $d\rho_0$  and allows the differential  $dM$  to be written in the form

$$dM = 4\pi\rho R^3 \left[ -\frac{1}{6} \frac{dD}{D} - \frac{\sqrt{1-R^2D}}{2D^{3/2}R^3} [\sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) - R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1-R^2D}] \left( \frac{d\rho_0}{\rho_0} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{dD}{D} \right) \right]. \quad (16)$$

As a result, from (16), considering (12), we obtain an algebraic equation that determines the equilibrium of a uniform-density star, identical to (13):

$$\frac{1}{6} \frac{d\rho}{d\rho_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} + \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2x^3} [\sin^{-1}(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}] \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{d\rho}{d\rho_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \right) = 0, \quad \frac{d\rho}{d\rho_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} = \frac{1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} + \frac{P}{c^2\rho_0}}{1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2}} \quad (17)$$

For convenience, here we use the designations

$$x = R\sqrt{D} = \sqrt{\frac{R_g}{R}}, \quad R_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \quad \frac{dD}{D} = \frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \frac{1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} + \frac{P}{c^2\rho_0}}{1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2}} \frac{d\rho_0}{\rho_0} \quad (18)$$

Algebraic equation (17) is written in the form

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\rho_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} \left[ \frac{1}{6} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2x^3} (\sin^{-1} x - x\sqrt{1-x^2}) \right] + \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{2x^3} (\sin^{-1} x - x\sqrt{1-x^2}) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Given the expression for  $\frac{d\rho}{d\rho_0} \frac{\rho_0}{\rho}$  in (17), we obtain from (19) a relation for pressure in the form

$$\frac{P}{\rho c^2} = \frac{\Phi_0(x)}{\Phi_1(x)}. \quad (20)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(x) &= 2x^3 - 3\sqrt{1-x^2}(\sin^{-1} x - x\sqrt{1-x^2}) = x(3-x^2) - 3\sqrt{1-x^2}\sin^{-1} x, \\ \Phi_1(x) &= -2x^3 + 9\sqrt{1-x^2}(\sin^{-1} x - x\sqrt{1-x^2}) = x(7x^2-9) + 9\sqrt{1-x^2}\sin^{-1} x. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

### 3 POST-NEWTONIAN ASYMPTOTICS, $x^2 \ll 1$

In the limit of weak gravity, at  $x \ll 1$  in (18), we obtain from (20) in the post-Newtonian approximation the following equation:

$$\frac{P}{\rho c^2} = \frac{x^2}{10} \left( 1 + \frac{61}{70} x^2 \right) \quad (22)$$

where

$$x^2 = \frac{R_g}{R} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \rho R^2 = \frac{2G}{c^2} \left( \frac{4\pi\rho}{3} \right)^{1/3} M^{2/3}.$$

Using the expansions from [11], we get the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \frac{2\pi\rho_0}{m_u D^{3/2}} \left[ \sin^{-1}(R\sqrt{D}) - R\sqrt{D}\sqrt{1-R^2D} \right] \approx \frac{4\pi\rho_0 x^3}{3m_u D^{3/2}} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{10} x^2 \right), \\ N_0 &= \frac{4\pi\rho_0 R_0^3}{3m_u} \quad x^2 = DR^2 = \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}, \quad R_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}, \quad R_{g0} = \frac{2GM_0}{c^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The index “0” denotes Newtonian quantities. From the condition of conservation of the number of baryons when the radius of the star changes, we relate the radius  $R$  in general relativity to the Newtonian radius  $R_0$  in the post-Newtonian limit  $x^2 \ll 1$  in the form

$$N = N_0, \quad R^3 \left( 1 + \frac{3}{10} x^2 \right) \approx R_0^3. \quad (24)$$

Using (23) and (24), we obtain the post-Newtonian relation between the mass values in general relativity and in the Newtonian metric in the form

$$M = M_0 \left( 1 + \frac{E_T}{c^2} - \frac{3}{10} \frac{R_{g0}}{R_0} \right). \quad (25)$$

We write the first post-Newtonian relation in (22) as

$$\frac{P}{\rho_0^{4/3}} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{GM}{\rho_0^{4/3}} \frac{\rho}{R} \left( 1 + \frac{61}{70} \frac{R_{g0}}{R_0} \right). \quad (26)$$

Hence, considering the post-Newtonian relation between Newtonian and relativistic quantities in (24) and (25), we obtain a post-Newtonian algebraic equilibrium equation for a uniform-density star in the form

$$\frac{P}{\rho_0^{4/3}} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{GM_0}{\rho_0^{1/3} R_0} \left( 1 + \frac{2E_T}{c^2} + \frac{47}{70} \frac{R_{g0}}{R_0} \right), \quad \frac{R_{g0}}{R_0} = \frac{2}{c^2} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} GM_0^{2/3} \rho_0^{1/3} \quad (27)$$

In the small second term in (27), we use the equality of gravitational and thermal energies in a Newtonian polytropic star with an exponent 4/3 in the form [8]

$$P = K \rho_0^{4/3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{d \ln P}{d \ln \rho_0} = \frac{4}{3}, \quad E_T = \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} GM_0^{2/3} \rho_0^{1/3}. \quad (28)$$

Given (28), the equilibrium equation (27) is transformed to

$$\frac{P}{\rho_0^{4/3}} = \frac{1}{5} \frac{GM_0}{\rho_0^{1/3} R_0} \left[ 1 + \frac{89}{35c^2} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} GM_0^{2/3} \rho_0^{1/3} \right]. \quad (29)$$

For the equation of state (28), the equation of equilibrium of a uniform-density star will be written as

$$K = \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} GM_0^{2/3} \left[ 1 + \frac{89}{35c^2} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} GM_0^{2/3} \rho_0^{1/3} \right] \quad (30)$$

This equation is identical to the corresponding equation from [8], based on the post-Newtonian expansions given in [10].

## 4 UNIFORM DENSITY MODELS AT VERY HIGH DENSITIES

As the denominator on the right-hand side of (20) decreases to zero, the ratio  $\frac{P}{\rho c^2}$  and the density  $\rho$  tend to infinity. The abscissa of the vertical dashed line  $x = x_l$  in Fig. 1 corresponds to the zero root of

the denominator of (20),  $x_l = 0.9849$ . The horizontal dash-dotted line  $\frac{P}{\rho c^2} = 1$  separates the physically permissible region from the upper region, where the principle of causality is violated, according to which the speed of sound in matter cannot exceed the speed of light. As follows from rigorous calculations based on the numerical solution of the Oppenheimer–Volkoff differential equilibrium equation [4, 10], NSs with different equations of state become unstable at significantly lower values of the parameter  $x = \frac{R_g}{R} \sim \frac{1}{3}$ , which can be slightly larger or slightly smaller than  $1/3$  for different equations of state. The corresponding parameters of NSs within the uniform density model are calculated in the subsequent sections.




Figure 1: (Solid line) Dependence of  $\frac{P}{\rho c^2}$  on the parameter  $x$ , according to (20). The abscissa of the vertical dashed line  $x = x_l$  corresponds to the zero root of the denominator of (20),  $x_l = 0.9849$ . The horizontal dash-dotted line  $\frac{P}{\rho c^2} = 1$  separates the physically admissible region from the upper region where the principle of causality is violated.

The asymptotic solution of (20) at  $x \rightarrow x_l$  is obtained analytically using the decompositions

$$x = x_l - \delta, \quad \Phi_1(x) = \Phi_1(x_l) - \Phi_1'(x_l)\delta. \quad (31)$$

Since  $\Phi_1(x_l) = 0$ , the asymptotic solution of (20) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{\rho c^2} &\simeq \frac{\Phi_0(x_l)}{-\Phi_1'(x_l)\delta} \simeq \frac{0.02493}{\delta}, \\ \Phi_0(x_l) &= x_l(3 - x_l^2) - 3\sqrt{1 - x_l^2} \sin^{-1} x_l \simeq 1.275, \\ \Phi_1'(x_l) &= 21x_l^2 - 9\frac{x_l}{\sqrt{1 - x_l^2}} \sin^{-1} x_l \simeq -51.15. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This asymptotics is shown in Fig. 1.

Interestingly, in a uniform-density star, the dependence  $M(\rho)$  for unstable models near the threshold  $x = x_l$  is universal and does not depend on the equation of state. Indeed, near the boundary  $x = x_l$ , the relations from (18) for  $M$  and  $x^2$  are written as

$$M = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho R^3, \quad x^2 = x_l^2 = 0.9845^2 = 0.97 = \frac{2GM}{c^2 R}, \quad M = 0.97 \frac{c^2 R}{2G}. \quad (33)$$

Hence, from two expressions for  $M$ , we obtain asymptotic dependences  $R(\rho)$  and  $M(\rho)$  in the form

$$R = \left(\frac{0.97c^2}{2G\rho}\right)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}}, \quad M = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \left(\frac{0.97c^2}{2G}\right)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}. \quad (34)$$

The asymptotic dependence  $M(\rho)$ , the same for all equations of state, is shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: Dependence  $M(\rho)$  for different equations of state. The solid line shows asymptotics (34). The dashed line shows the decrease of  $M(\rho)$  in model I H, the dotted line refers to a degenerate neutron gas with a quadratic correction, and the dash-dotted line corresponds to a degenerate neutron gas.

The solutions near the limiting value do not have any special physical meaning, since they are obtained from an approximate uniform density model, which is generally inapplicable for unstable star models at densities significantly exceeding the maximum mass density, when the curve  $M(\rho)$  is qualitatively different from exact solutions with an arbitrary number of degrees of freedom [14],[15]. It is worth noting the possibility of using the Galerkin method to obtain equilibrium solutions [16] when the density is represented as a sum over given functions with coefficients that minimize the energy functional. This will lead to an increase in the number of degrees of freedom and to obtaining a more accurate solution, compared to a uniform distribution, which is determined by a system of algebraic equations. The increase in the number of functions will lead to a qualitative improvement of the solution at very high densities. With an increase in the number of functions, the density at which the solution is qualitatively reliable increases. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  obtained is consistent with the conclusion of [17] about the metastability of any mass relative to relativistic collapse and that, for any gravitating mass, there are at least two equilibrium states, of which only the state with the lowest density is stable. These states are separated by a large potential barrier with a negligible but finite probability of penetration through it and subsequent relativistic collapse.

It is believed that, for realistic star models, the principle of causality is satisfied, according to which the speed of sound cannot exceed the speed of light. To satisfy this condition, the inequality  $P \leq \rho c^2$  must

be satisfied [18], which is determined by the horizontal line with the ordinate  $y = 1$  in Fig. 1, as well as vertical lines in subsequent figures, corresponding to densities where  $P = \rho c^2$ . The pressure in the asymptotic models is determined by the equation of state using (32).

## 5 ALGORITHM FOR CONSTRUCTING AN EQUILIBRIUM CURVE $M(\rho)$ FOR A UNIFORM DENSITY MODEL OF A STAR WITH A GIVEN EQUATION OF STATE

The dependences  $M(\rho)$  or  $M(\rho_0)$  and  $M(R)$  can be built using the following procedure.

1. Select an equation of state  $P(\rho)$  or  $P(\rho_0)$  given by formulas or tables.
2. Set the ratio of the gravitational radius of the star to the physical radius:  $x^2 = \frac{R_g}{R}$ .
3. Find from (20) the ratio of pressure to density.
4. Using formulas or tables specifying the equation of state, find the value of the density at which relation (20) is satisfied.
5. Find the radius of the model from the definition of  $x$  in the form  $R^2 = \frac{3c^2 x^2}{8\pi G \rho}$ .
6. Finally, find the mass of the uniform-density model,  $M = \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho R^3$ . The calculation results for several equations of state are presented in Figs. 2–9.

## 6 EQUATIONS FOR DETERMINING THE CRITICAL MASS OF A UNIFORM-DENSITY NEUTRON STAR WITH AN ARBITRARY EQUATION OF STATE

As shown by Ya.B. Zel'dovich [19] (see also [4, 10, 15, 20, 21]), the hydrodynamic stability of a star is lost at the point of the mass-density curve where the mass has a maximum. In general relativity, the maxima of the curves  $M(\rho)$  and  $M(\rho_0)$  coincide [10]; therefore, any of these curves can be used. Let us consider the dependence  $M(\rho)$ . Given (21), Eq. (20) can be written as

$$g(\rho) = \Phi(\rho, M), \quad (35)$$

where

$$g(\rho) = \frac{P}{\rho c^2}, \quad \Phi(\rho, M) = \frac{\Phi_0(x)}{\Phi_1(x)}, \quad x = R\sqrt{D} = \sqrt{\frac{R_g}{R}} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3} M^{2/3} \rho^{1/3}}, \quad R = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right)^{1/3}.$$

Differentiating expression (35), we obtain

$$\frac{dg(\rho)}{d\rho} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial M} \frac{dM}{d\rho} + \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\rho}. \quad (36)$$

Considering that, at the maximum of the mass,  $\frac{dM}{d\rho} = 0$ , we obtain the following system of equations for determining the parameters  $M_{max}$  and  $\rho_{cr}$  of the critical state of a neutron star:

$$g(\rho) = \Phi(\rho, M), \quad \frac{dg(\rho)}{d\rho} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\rho}. \quad (37)$$

Here, the right-hand sides of Eqs. (37) have the same form for all equations of state and are calculated by formula (35). The left-hand sides of Eqs. (37) are determined by the equation of state, specified by an analytical formula or from a table.



## 7 CALCULATIONS AND RESULTS

To build solutions of the algebraic equation for various equations, we used the system of equations (18) and (20). The solution was carried out by Newton's method [22] with a relative accuracy of  $10^{-7}$ . Models of cold NSs were constructed using the equation of state of a degenerate neutron gas and with more realistic equations of state from [23, 24]. Analytical expressions for  $P$  and  $\varepsilon$  (total energy density) in models 3–6 (see below) were obtained as a result of approximation of tabulated data in [24].

**1. Model of a degenerate neutron gas.** It is the simplest case, which does not consider interactions [12] (see Fig. 3):

$$\begin{cases} P = \frac{m^4 c^5}{24\pi^2 \hbar^3} (y(2y^2 - 3)\sqrt{1+y^2} + 3 \sinh^{-1} y) = 6.859 \times 10^{35} (y(2y^2 - 3)\sqrt{1+y^2} + 3 \sinh^{-1} y) \quad \text{dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = \frac{m^4 c^5}{24\pi^2 \hbar^3} (3y(2y^2 + 1)\sqrt{1+y^2} - 3 \sinh^{-1} y) = 6.859 \times 10^{35} (3y(2y^2 + 1)\sqrt{1+y^2} - 3 \sinh^{-1} y) \quad \text{erg/cm}^3, \\ y = \left( \frac{3\pi^2 \rho_0}{m} \right)^{1/3} \frac{\hbar}{mc} = \left( \frac{\rho_0}{6.1 \times 10^{15} \text{ g/cm}^3} \right)^{1/3} \end{cases}$$




Figure 3: Degenerate neutron gas: dependence  $M(\rho)$  in the case of (solid line) a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) the exact model; dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of uniform density and (dotted line) the exact model.

**2. Model of a degenerate neutron gas with a quadratic correction for density.** This equation of state approximately considers nuclear interaction [25] (see Fig. 4):

$$\begin{cases} P = \frac{m^4 c^5}{24\pi^2 \hbar^3} (y(2y^2 - 3)\sqrt{1+y^2} + 3 \sinh^{-1} y) + \frac{6\pi \hbar^3}{m_p^4 c} \rho_0^2 = \\ = 6.859 \times 10^{35} (y(2y^2 - 3)\sqrt{1+y^2} + 3 \sinh^{-1} y) + 0.9421086 \cdot 10^5 \rho_0^2 \quad \text{dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = \frac{m^4 c^5}{24\pi^2 \hbar^3} (3y(2y^2 + 1)\sqrt{1+y^2} - 3 \sinh^{-1} y) + \frac{6\pi \hbar^3}{m_p^4 c} \rho_0^2 = \\ = 6.859 \times 10^{35} (3y(2y^2 + 1)\sqrt{1+y^2} - 3 \sinh^{-1} y) + 0.9421086 \times 10^5 \rho_0^2 \quad \text{erg/cm}^3 \end{cases}$$




Figure 4: Degenerate neutron gas with a correction for nuclear interaction: the dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model.

3. **Model I H, based on the Reid potential, the same for all baryons** (see Fig. 5):

$$\begin{cases} P = 586 \left( \frac{\rho}{m_n \times 10^{39}} \right)^{2.48} \times 10^{33} \text{ dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = n(15.05 + 3.96n^{1.48}) \times 10^{35} \text{ erg/cm}^3, \\ n = \frac{\rho_0}{m_n} \end{cases}$$

4. **Model III H, based on a more realistic potential for np-interaction** (see Fig. 6):

$$\begin{cases} P = 474 \left( \frac{\rho}{m_n \times 10^{39}} \right)^{2.55} \times 10^{33} \text{ dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = n(15.05 + 3.06n^{1.55}) \times 10^{35} \text{ erg/cm}^3 \end{cases}$$




Figure 5: Model I H. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) in the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of a uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model. The vertical solid line shows at what density  $v_s = c$  and  $\rho = 2.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ g/cm}^3$ .




Figure 6: Model III H. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) in the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of a uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model. The vertical solid line shows at what density  $v_s = c$  and  $\rho = 1.91 \times 10^{16} \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

5. Model V H, similar to model V N (see below), but considering the creation of hyperons (see Fig. 7):

$$\begin{cases} P = 403 \left( \frac{\rho}{m_n \times 10^{39}} \right)^{2.33} \times 10^{33} \text{ dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = n(15.05 + 3.03n^{1.33}) \times 10^{35} \text{ erg/cm}^3 \end{cases}$$




Figure 7: Model V H. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) in the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of a uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model. The vertical solid line shows at what density  $v_s = c$  and  $\rho = 2.15 \times 10^{16} \text{ g/cm}^3$ .

**6. Model V N. Model of nucleon interaction considering experimental data on the  $\omega$ -meson creation at high energies** (see Fig. 8):

$$\begin{cases} P = 490 \left( \frac{\rho}{m_n \times 10^{39}} \right)^{2.508} \times 10^{33} & \text{dyn/cm}^2, \\ \varepsilon = n(14.89 + 3.25n^{1.508}) \times 10^{35} & \text{erg/cm}^3 \end{cases}$$

**7. Limitingly stiff equation of state** (see Fig. 9):

$$P = P^* + (\varepsilon - \varepsilon^*)$$

To confirm the correctness of the calculations and compare uniform- and nonuniform-density NS models, we constructed models of cold NSs in the case of a nonuniform density distribution. To find models with different equations of state, it is necessary to integrate the Oppenheimer–Volkoff equations with the thermodynamic functions 1–7 given above:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{G}{r^2 c^2} \frac{(\varepsilon + P)(m + \frac{4\pi r^3 P}{c^2})}{1 - \frac{2Gm}{rc^2}} \\ \frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{c^2} \end{cases}$$

Integration was carried out using the 4th-order Runge–Kutta method with automatic step selection [22]. In addition to building the dependencies  $M(\rho)$  shown in Fig. 3-9, the dependences of rest mass on density,  $M_0(\rho)$ , were plotted in cases of uniform and nonuniform density distribution. For uniform-density NSs, this dependence is constructed relatively simply, using Eqs. (5) (see Figs. 3-9). In the case of a nonuniform density model, it is necessary to integrate the following equation:




Figure 8: Model V N. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) in the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of a uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model. The vertical solid line shows at what density  $v_s = c$  and  $\rho = 6.64 \times 10^{16} \text{ g/cm}^3$ .




Figure 9: Limitingly stiff model. The dependence  $M(\rho)$  (solid line) in the case of a uniform density distribution and (dash-dotted line) in the exact model; the dependence  $M_0(\rho)$  (dashed line) in the case of a uniform density and (dotted line) in the exact model.

$$m_0 = 4\pi \int_0^r \frac{\rho r'^2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2Gm'}{r'c^2}}} dr'; \quad M_0 = m_0(R);$$

The integration was also carried out using the 4th order Runge–Kutta method. The parameters of the critical state of an NS are given in Table 1. The density values in the case of a nonuniform density model, within the limits of error, coincide with the values given in [24].

Table 1: Critical parameters of neutron stars for different equations of state

<b>Uniform density distribution</b>							
	Neutron gas	Neutron gas with a quadratic correction for density $\rho^2$	I H	III H	V H	V N	Limitingly rigid model
$\rho_0, 10^{15} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$	1.3	0.74	1.2	1.44	1.4	1.37	0.76
$\rho, 10^{15} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$	1.43	0.84	1.39	1.68	1.63	1.57	0.87
$M/M_\odot$	0.84	1.84	2.13	1.98	1.86	2.02	3.42
$M_0/M_\odot$	0.87	1.99	2.5	2.36	2.15	2.41	4.54
$R, \text{ km}$	6.54	10.1	8.99	8.27	8.16	8.49	12.3

<b>Exact model</b>							
	Neutron gas	Neutron gas with a quadratic correction for density $\rho^2$	I H	III H	V H	V N	Limitingly rigid model
$\rho_{c0}, 10^{15} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$	3.54	1.68	2.15	2.54	2.62	2.43	1.07
$\rho_c, 10^{15} \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3}$	4.2	2.17	2.97	3.52	3.59	3.33	1.57
$M/M_\odot$	0.706	1.59	1.86	1.73	1.62	1.77	3.02
$M_0/M_\odot$	0.733	1.7	2.16	2.03	1.85	2.08	3.9
$R, \text{ km}$	9.14	12.8	9.9	9.06	9.17	9.35	12.7

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

Within the framework of exact general relativity, we have obtained algebraic equation (20), which makes it possible to approximately determine the parameters of a superdense star (neutron or quark) in a uniform density model. The equation has a form that is universal for any equation of state  $P(\rho)$ , where  $\rho$  considers the contribution of all types of energy. It enables one to find approximate dependences  $M(\rho)$  and  $R(\rho)$  for equilibrium stars and to find the critical mass of a star, which is the maximum allowable in equilibrium for a given equation of state. After reaching the density corresponding to this maximum mass on the  $M(\rho)$  curve, the star becomes unstable and must collapse with the formation of a black hole. A comparison of our results for uniform density models with exact solutions of differential equations of equilibrium for various equations of state from [24] shows that the approximate value of the critical mass of a star can exceed the exact value by at most  $\sim 20\%$ . Critical densities in uniform density models turn out to be significantly lower than the values of central densities in exact models (see Table 1) and are comparable with the average densities of these models.

Note that the existence of the limiting mass of a cold white dwarf was first discovered by Stoner [1] within the framework of an approximate Newtonian uniform density model. The value of the mass obtained by him was  $\sim 20\%$  higher than the exact value of this mass obtained later [5, 7].

## References

- [1] E.C. Stoner , London, Edinburgh Dublin Philos. Mag.J. Sci.: Ser. 7 **9** (60), 944 (1930).
- [2] R. H. Fowler, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **87**, 114 (1926).
- [3] J. Frenkel , Zeitschr. Phys. **50**, 234 (1928)
- [4] G. S. Bisnovaty-Kogan, Physical Problems in the Theory of Stellar Evolution (Nauka, Moscow, 1989) [in Russian].
- [5] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophysical Journal. **74**, 81 (1931)
- [6] E.L. Schatzman, White dwarfs. Amsterdam. North Holland (1958)
- [7] L.D. Landau , Phys. Zs. Sowjet. **1**, 285 (1932)
- [8] G. S. Bisnovaty-Kogan and E. A. Patraman, Astrofizika **64**, 551 (2021).
- [9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 2: The Classical Theory of Fields (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975; Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 2001).
- [10] Ya. B. Zel'dovich and I. D. Novikov, The Theory of the Gravitation and Stars Evolution (Nauka, Moscow, 1971) [in Russian].
- [11] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Ed. by Yu. V. Geronimus and M. Yu. Tseytlin (Academic, New York, 1965).
- [12] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, Phys. Rev. **55**, 374 (1939).
- [13] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 5: Statistical Physics (Nauka, Moscow, 1976; Pergamon, Oxford, 1980).
- [14] N. A. Dmitriev and S. A. Kholin, in Problems of Cosmogony (Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1963), Vol. 9, p. 254 [in Russian].
- [15] S. H. Harrison, K. S. Thorne, M. Wakano, and J. A. Wheeler, Gravitational Theory and Gravitational Collapse (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1965).
- [16] G. S. Bisnovaty-Kogan and A. V. Dorodnitsyn, Grav. Cosmol. **4**, 174 (1998).
- [17] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Sov. Phys. JETP **15**, 446 (1962).
- [18] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, Sov. Phys. JETP **14**, 1143 (1962).
- [19] Ya. B. Zel'dovich, in Problems of Cosmogony (Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1963), Vol. 9, p. 157 [in Russian].
- [20] Ya. B. Zel'dovich and I. D. Novikov, Sov. Phys. Usp. **8**, 522 (1966).
- [21] S. Shapiro and S. Teukolsky, Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects (Wiley, New York, 1983)
- [22] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007).
- [23] H. A. Bethe and M. B. Johnson, Nucl. Phys. A **230**, 1 (1974).
- [24] R. C. Malone, M. B. Johnson, and H. A. Bethe, Astrophys. J. **199**, 741 (1975).
- [25] G. S. Bisnovaty-Kogan, Astrophysics **4**, 79 (1968).