

Irreversibility of sudden processes: Compression versus expansion in perfect gases

Andrés Vallejo and Matías Osorio

Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay

(Dated: November 14, 2023)

We focus on the study of the processes undergone by a perfect gas when the external pressure is suddenly modified. The analysis shows that, from the second law perspective, there is a non-evident asymmetry between the processes of compression and expansion between the same pressures, which is manifested through different levels of entropy production. If the system remains in contact with a thermal reservoir during the processes this asymmetry is remarkable, since while entropy grows linearly with the relative change of pressure during the compression, the relation between those variables is logarithmic during the expansion.

I. INTRODUCTION

Irreversibility is an universal feature of macroscopic physical processes. However, despite its ubiquity, understanding the causes of irreversible behaviour has represented a huge challenge, given the reversible character of the underlying microscopic laws, both in the classical and in the quantum regime. Although an universally accepted explanation of irreversibility is still lacking, this problem has played an important role in the development of physics [1].

A system is said to undergo an irreversible process when it is impossible to return the system as well as the environment to their initial states. The heat exchange between bodies at different temperatures, abrupt expansions and the presence of friction are typically mentioned in thermodynamics textbooks as paradigmatic examples of irreversible processes [2–5], but all processes that occur in practice are irreversible to a greater or lesser degree. It is clear that in the mentioned cases the system can be returned to its initial configuration, but at the expense of external resources that modify the state of the environment.

Despite the fundamental role they play within the theory, the understanding of concepts such as reversibility, irreversibility or quasi-static process presents difficulties that, in our opinion, classic textbooks in the undergraduate level does not always address satisfactorily. In order to contribute to this issue, in this paper we focus on the study of thermodynamic irreversibility performing the comparison, from the second-law perspective, between the compression and expansion processes undergone by a perfect gas after a sudden change of the external pressure exerted on the system.

Several works have addressed these topics using original approaches, in order to develop teaching strategies to be applied in undergraduate courses [6–8]. In particular, the reversible limit of the compression and expansion processes between two fixed pressures have been studied in Ref. [9] for the case of an ideal gas in a thermally isolated piston-cylinder device. It was shown that if the processes are performed by placing the system in contact with N work reservoirs at increasing (decreasing) pressures, the entropy variation of the universe tends to

zero as the number of reservoirs N goes to infinity. Here we analyse the opposite limit in which the processes are performed in one step, and our main interest is to compare these processes in terms of their departure from the reversible limit studied in [9].

Although many readers may argue that sentences like *process A is more/less irreversible than process B* is nonsense and that processes either are reversible or are not, in practice, quantifying the level of irreversibility of a process is of paramount importance. It provides a measure of the work that could have been done and was lost due to dissipation sources, such as friction, irreversible chemical reactions, etc. In engineering thermodynamics, several measures of irreversibility have been defined and proved to be useful, such as *exergy destroyed*, *second-law efficiency*, or *irreversibility* itself [2, 3]. Since all of them are in one way or another linked to entropy production, we will use this quantity as a measure of the lost work due to the presence of irreversibilities in the process.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section II we compare the processes of irreversible compression and expansion for a perfect gas in contact with a thermal reservoir. The adiabatic case is analyzed in Section III, and some remarks and conclusions are presented in Section IV.

II. SYSTEM WITH DIATHERMAL WALLS

Let us consider 1 mol of a perfect gas (an ideal gas with constant heat capacities C_P and C_V), contained in a frictionless cylinder-piston device with diathermal walls (system A). Initially, the system is in thermal equilibrium with the environment (system B) at temperature T_1 , and the pressure (due to the joint action of the atmosphere and the piston weight) is P_1 . Let us consider the irreversible process suffered by the gas after abruptly increasing the weight over the piston in a constant amount such that it would be equilibrated by an internal pressure P_2 . Although at first the gas temperature rises, it is clear that, due to the heat exchange through the walls, the gas will reach a new equilibrium state at pressure P_2 and at the original temperature T_1 . In what follows, we evaluate the closeness of the process to the reversible limit through the entropy production, which coincides with the global

entropy variation.

The entropy change of the gas can be found integrating the Gibbs relation [2]

$$TdS = dH - VdP, \quad (1)$$

along a reversible trajectory linking the initial and final states. The simplest path in order to perform the integration is the isothermal one, for which $dH = 0$ since the enthalpy of an ideal gas is a function of the temperature only [2, 3]. Combining the Gibbs relation with the equation of state of an ideal gas, we obtain:

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = - \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \frac{R}{P} dP, \quad (2)$$

where R is the universal gas constant. For reasons that will become clear shortly, we decide not to perform the integration in an explicit way.

From the first law [2]:

$$\Delta U_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = Q^A - W^A, \quad (3)$$

and noting that the internal energy of the gas is also a function of the temperature only, we can conclude that the heat exchanged equals the work done by the gas. Here we note that even if the pressure of the gas is not defined along the whole process, the external pressure is known and constant and, consequently, we can find the heat exchanged as [10]:

$$Q^A = \int_{V_1}^{V_2} P_{\text{ext}} dV = P_2 (V_2 - V_1). \quad (4)$$

The initial and final volumes can be expressed in terms of the pressures employing the equation of state for an ideal gas ($n=1$ mol):

$$\begin{cases} V_1 = RT_1/P_1 \\ V_2 = RT_1/P_2, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and, from the above equations, we obtain that

$$Q^A = RT_1 \left(1 - \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right). \quad (6)$$

Considering the environment as an internally reversible heat reservoir at constant temperature T_1 , we have that its entropy variation is

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^B = \frac{Q^B}{T_1} = \frac{-Q^A}{T_1} = \frac{R}{P_1} (P_2 - P_1), \quad (7)$$

and finally, from Eqs. (2), and (7), the entropy change of the universe for the sudden compression can be expressed as:

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} = \Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A + \Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^B = \frac{R}{P_1} (P_2 - P_1) - \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \frac{R}{P} dP. \quad (8)$$

Now, let us consider the irreversible expansion undergone after removing the weight from the piston. Since the gas returns to the initial state, its entropy variation is opposite to that corresponding to the process $1 \rightarrow 2$:

$$\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1}^A = \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \frac{R}{P} dP. \quad (9)$$

Once again the heat exchanged coincides with the work done, but in this case the expansion occurs under a different external pressure (the initial pressure):

$$Q^A = W^A = \int_{V_2}^{V_1} P_{\text{ext}} dV = P_1 (V_1 - V_2). \quad (10)$$

Then, from Eqs. (5) and (10), we have that:

$$Q^A = RT_1 \left(1 - \frac{P_1}{P_2} \right), \quad (11)$$

and, consequently:

$$\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1}^B = \frac{-Q^A}{T_1} = -\frac{R}{P_2} (P_2 - P_1). \quad (12)$$

Finally, from Eqs. (9) and (12), the global entropy variation is:

$$\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\text{Univ}} = \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \frac{R}{P} dP - \frac{R}{P_2} (P_2 - P_1). \quad (13)$$

It is relatively easy to perform an analytic study of the expressions (8) and (13) in order to compare both entropy productions. However, it is more illustrative to consider the diagram of Fig. (1), in which we plot R/P as a function of P . Regarding the compression process $1 \rightarrow 2$,




FIG. 1. Plot of $f(P) = R/P$ as a function of P . The entropy productions associated to the compression and expansion processes between the pressures P_1 and P_2 can be interpreted as areas in that diagram.

notice that the first term in Eq.(8), $(R/P_1)(P_2 - P_1)$, is the area of the rectangle whose base is the interval of the P axis between P_1 and P_2 , and whose height is

R/P_1 . Meanwhile, the term $\int_{P_1}^{P_2} R/P dP$, is the area under the graph of the function $f(P) = R/P$ in the same interval. Consequently, the entropy production $\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}}$ is represented by the upper region in Fig. (1). A similar reasoning allows us to quickly identify the entropy production in the expansion process as the lower region of the figure. Notice that the union of both regions is a rectangle with sides are parallel to the axes. Since this rectangle is crossed by the curve $f(P)$ by opposite vertices, the convex character of $f(P)$ implies that the compression always generate more entropy than the expansion between the same pressures. This asymmetry in irreversibility increases with the difference in pressures, as the construction of Fig. (1) for a larger value of P_2 makes evident. In fact, from Eqs. (8) and (13), we can see that defining the relative change of pressure:

$$x = (P_2 - P_1)/P_1 = \Delta P/P_1, \quad (14)$$

Eq. (8) adopts the form:

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} = R[x - \ln(1+x)], \quad (15)$$

presenting a linear behaviour with x in the limit of large x , while, on the other hand

$$\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\text{Univ}} = R \left[\ln(1+x) - \frac{x}{1+x} \right], \quad (16)$$

so the entropy is produced logarithmically in the expansion process (see Fig. (2)).




FIG. 2. Entropy production (in units of R) as a function of x for the compression (red, solid line) and the expansion (blue, dashed line) sudden processes. The gas exchanges heat with its surroundings at temperature T_1 .

In the opposite limit ($x \ll 1$), the Taylor expansions of Eqs. (15) and (16) allow to show that

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} \simeq \Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\text{Univ}} = \frac{R}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P_1} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P_1} \right)^3, \quad (17)$$

so in both cases the entropy is produced quadratically with the relative change of pressure, a result that has been reported in Ref. [11].

III. SYSTEM WITH ADIABATIC WALLS

We proceed to compare the processes of compression and expansion in the limit in which the gas is thermally isolated (i.e. the cylinder is built with adiabatic walls). After adding the weight over the piston, the system again evolves irreversibly towards an equilibrium state at pressure P_2 . But, in this case, the temperature of the system increases, since the gas cannot release energy during the process. Here it is important to note that the final temperature T_2 cannot be obtained from the well-known relation $P^{1-\gamma} T^\gamma = \text{const.}$, which is only valid if, in addition to being adiabatic, the process is quasi-static [2, 3], and clearly an abrupt compression does not satisfy the second condition. To derive T_2 , we start from the first law for an adiabatic process:

$$\Delta U_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = -W^A. \quad (18)$$

Using that for a perfect gas $\Delta U_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = nC_V(T_2 - T_1)$, and noting that the gas is compressed by a constant external pressure P_2 (although the gas pressure is probably not well-defined), we obtain that ($n = 1$ mol):

$$C_V(T_2 - T_1) = -P_2(V_2 - V_1) \quad (19)$$

We can express the volumes in terms of the temperatures employing the ideal gas equation:

$$\begin{cases} V_1 = RT_1/P_1 \\ V_2 = RT_2/P_2, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

so from Eqs. (19) and (20) we obtain:

$$C_V(T_2 - T_1) = -RT_2 + \frac{P_2 RT_1}{P_1}. \quad (21)$$

Finally, defining x as in Eq. (14) and using that for an ideal gas $C_P - C_V = R$, after some work we obtain that the temperature of the equilibrium state is

$$T_2 = T_1(1 + \beta x), \quad (22)$$

where $\beta = R/C_P$. Since the gas does not exchange heat with the environment, in this case the total entropy production is simply the entropy variation of the gas, which, as in the previous case, can be obtained integrating the Gibbs relation (1) along a convenient trajectory. The general result is

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = C_P \ln \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) - R \ln \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1} \right), \quad (23)$$

so, finally, from Eqs. (14), (22) and (23), we obtain:

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} = \Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^A = C_P [\ln(1 + \beta x) - \beta \ln(1 + x)]. \quad (24)$$

The non-negativity of the above expression is a consequence of Bernoulli's inequality:

$$(1 + x)^\beta \leq 1 + \beta x, \quad (25)$$

which is valid for $0 < \beta < 1$ and $x \geq -1$ (notice that $\beta = R/C_P$ and x given by Eq. (14) satisfy these conditions).

Now let us consider the expansion followed by the gas after suddenly changing the external pressure from the value P_2 to its initial value P_1 . Following the same procedure, we find that the temperature of the final state $1'$ is

$$T_{1'} = T_2 \left(\frac{1 + x(1 - \beta)}{1 + x} \right), \quad (26)$$

so, from Eq. (23), the entropy created during the expansion adopts the form:

$$\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1'}^{\text{Univ}} = C_P \left[\beta \ln(1 + x) - \ln \left(\frac{1 + x}{1 + x(1 - \beta)} \right) \right]. \quad (27)$$

In this case, another version of Bernoulli's inequality:

$$\frac{1 + x}{1 + x(1 - \beta)} \leq (1 + x)^\beta, \quad (28)$$

allows us to derive the non-negativity of (27). Notice that, unlike the case previously studied, the system does not return to the initial state when the pressure is suddenly reduced. This is due to the absence of heat exchange with the environment. However, the entropy productions (24) and (27) depend only on the pressures of the initial and final states, so they are independent on the order in which the processes are implemented. These quantities are represented in Fig. (3) for the case of a diatomic gas.




FIG. 3. Entropy production (in units of C_P) as a function of x , for the processes of compression (red, solid line) and expansion (blue, dashed line) in the adiabatic case. The working substance is nitrogen (diatomic gas, $\beta = 2/7$)

Note that, unlike the previous case, both quantities present a logarithmic growth with the relative change of pressure in the limit of large x . For small values of x , Eqs. (24) and (27) adopt the approximate form

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} \simeq \Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1'}^{\text{Univ}} = \frac{C_P \beta (1 - \beta)}{2} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P_1} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\Delta P}{P_1} \right)^3, \quad (29)$$

presenting a quadratic behaviour as in the previous case.

It is also interesting to notice that, for $\beta = 1/2$, the expressions (24) and (27) reduce to

$$\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} = \Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1'}^{\text{Univ}} = C_P \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + x) \right], \quad (30)$$

so the compression and the expansion involve exactly the same level of irreversibility. This case corresponds to a heat capacity ratio

$$\gamma = \frac{C_p}{C_v} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} = 2, \quad (31)$$

so recalling the relation between γ and the degrees of freedom per particle f :

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{2}{f}, \quad (32)$$

we conclude that this symmetric behaviour of the entropy generations occurs only for $f = 2$, i.e., for two-dimensional monoatomic gases.

The curves in Fig. (3) show the behaviour of entropy production for a diatomic gas, but similar results are obtained for other types of three-dimensional gases. To verify this statement, let us define the quantity

$$\delta(\beta, x) = \frac{\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2}^{\text{Univ}} - \Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1'}^{\text{Univ}}}{C_P}, \quad (33)$$

whose sign allows us to infer which process is closer to the reversible limit. By virtue of Eqs. (24) and (27), δ adopts the form:

$$\delta(\beta, x) = \ln \left[\frac{(1 + \beta x)(1 + x)}{1 + x(1 - \beta)} \right] - 2\beta \ln(1 + x). \quad (34)$$

Since $\delta(\beta, x = 0) = 0$, the sign of δ is defined by the sign of its derivative with respect to x :

$$\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial x} = \frac{\beta(1 - 2\beta)(1 - \beta)x^2}{(1 + x)[1 + x(1 - \beta)](1 + \beta x)}, \quad (35)$$

which is positive for $0 < \beta < 1/2$. Since the maximum value of β for a gas in three dimensions is $2/5$ (monoatomic gas), we conclude that, despite the logarithmic growth shown in both processes, the compression is always further from the reversible limit than the expansion. The mentioned behaviour can be observed on Figs. (4) and (5).

IV. REMARKS AND CONCLUSIONS

Entropy production is a measure of the additional work that could have been obtained if the processes were carried out in a reversible manner. For that reason, the determination of the entropy production along given process, and the comparison of processes from the entropy




FIG. 4. Difference between the entropies produced during the sudden compression and the sudden expansion, δ , as a function of the relative change of pressure x and β .




FIG. 5. Contour levels of the function $\delta(\beta, x)$ shown in Fig. (4). The dashed lines correspond to different types of perfect gases in three dimensions. For those gases, the sudden compression always generates more entropy than the sudden expansion.

generation point of view are matters of great practical interest. In this paper we have addressed this topic by performing the comparison between the processes of sudden compression and sudden expansion of a perfect gas. The analysis was carried out both in the case in which the gas exchanges heat with a thermal reservoir and when it is thermally isolated.

The results show that, in both cases, the compression involves a higher level of entropy generation for all possible values of the initial and final pressures. In our experience this result is counter-intuitive for students, perhaps

due to the use of the free expansion as a paradigmatic example of irreversible process in most textbooks.

For the case in which the gas exchanges heat with a reservoir the comparison between both processes was performed geometrically, interpreting the entropy productions as areas in a certain diagram. This diagram is an interesting didactic tool, since it makes evident the strong asymmetry of the entropies produced in both processes, in the limit of large relative change of pressure. The mentioned asymmetry was also found (although in a lesser degree) when the system is isolated from the environment.

Finally, it is important to highlight that the analysis has been performed for perfect gases, so the validity of the results is restricted to such kind of systems. For example, in the diathermal case, the fact that the internal energy of a perfect gas depends only on the temperature implies that we can find its entropy variation integrating the function $f(P) = R/P$, and the positive concavity of this function determines that the compression creates more entropy (see Fig. (1)). However, for high pressures (or low temperatures), the interaction between the molecules of the gas is not negligible, and the ideal gas model, on which the deductions are based, starts to fail. As an example, let us consider 1 mol of water steam in an adiabatic cylinder-piston device at 6 MPa and 450 °C (compressibility factor $Z \simeq 0.93$) that is abruptly compressed to 7 MPa by changing the weight over the piston. Using tables of thermodynamic properties usually employed in undergraduate courses [3], it is possible to show that the entropy increase of the water is $\Delta S_{1 \rightarrow 2} \simeq 0.0028 \text{ J/K}$. On the other hand, after the removal of the weight, the entropy increase associated to the expansion process (back to the initial pressure of 6 MPa) is $\Delta S_{2 \rightarrow 1'} \simeq 0.0049 \text{ J/K}$, so it exceeds the previous value. This analysis shows that the conclusions obtained in this work cannot be extrapolated to real gases far from ideal behaviour.

We believe that, through the trigger question about which process is further from the reversible limit, the results presented here can be used to plan a didactic activity that could improve the understanding of the irreversibility concept, exercise entropy analysis as well as develop thermodynamic intuition in students.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by Agencia Nacional de Investigación e Innovación (Uruguay). The authors thank the anonymous referees for their comments that helped to improve the manuscript.

[1] J. J. Halliwell, J. Pérez-Mercader and W. H. Zurek, *Physical Origins of Time Asymmetry*, Cambridge Univ. Press:

Cambridge, 1994.

- [2] G. J. Van Wylen and R.E Sonntag, *Fundamentals of Classical Thermodynamics*, first edition, John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1965.
- [3] Y.A. Cengel, and M.A. Boles, *Thermodynamics: An Engineering Approach*, fourth edition, McGraw-Hill: New York, 2001.
- [4] M. W. Zemansky and R. H. Dittman, *Heat and Thermodynamics*, seventh edition, McGraw-Hill: Auckland, 1997.
- [5] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics*, second edition, Wiley: New York, 1985.
- [6] J. Anacleto and M. G. Pereira, “From free expansion to abrupt compression of an ideal gas”, *Eur. J. Phys.*, **30**, 177-183, 2009.
- [7] E. N. Miranda, “What lies between a free adiabatic expansion and a quasi-static one?”, *Eur. J. Phys.*, **5**, 937, 2008.
- [8] H. S. Leff, “Reversible and irreversible heat engine and refrigerator cycles”, *Am. J. Phys.*, **5**, 344, 2018.
- [9] J. Anacleto, J. M. Ferreira, and A. A. Soares, “When an adiabatic irreversible expansion or compression becomes reversible”, *Eur. J. Phys.* **30**, 487–495, 2009.
- [10] E. A. Gislason and N. C. Craig, “Pressure-volume integral expressions for work in irreversible processes”, *J. Chem. Educ.*, **84**, 499, 2007.
- [11] V. K. Gupta, G. Shanker and N. K. Sharma, Reversibility and step processes: an experiment for the undergraduate laboratory, *Am. J. Phys.* **52** 945–947, 1984.