

# Equivalence of Weak and Strong Uniform Glivenko-Cantelli Classes

Shane R. Crowe

November 14, 2023

## Abstract

Strong uniform Glivenko-Cantelli classes are weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli, but to date results that prove the converse require additional conditions on the function class. This paper shows that no such extra requirements are necessary.

## Introduction

Let  $(S, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space,  $\mathcal{P}$  the class of all probability measures on it, and  $H$  a class of real valued integrable functions on  $S$ , assumed throughout to contain at least one non-constant function in order to avoid trivialities. Then we say that  $H$  is a *weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli* class if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^n \{ \|P_n - P\|_H^* > \epsilon \} = 0$$

and a *strong uniform Glivenko-Cantelli* class if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^\infty \{ \sup_{k \geq n} \|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon \} = 0$$

where asterisks denote measurable cover functions,  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(S^n, \Sigma^n, P^n)$  is the usual product space,  $P_n$  is the empirical probability on  $n$  i.i.d samples under  $P$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_H$  is given by

$$\|F\|_H = \sup_{f \in H} |F(f)|$$

for any real valued functional  $F$ .

If we drop the requirement of uniformity of convergence over  $\mathcal{P}$ , so we ask that convergence to zero in both definitions holds only for all elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  individually, then we call  $H$  (respectively) a weak/strong *universal* Glivenko-Cantelli class, and it is known [2] that in fact these notions are equivalent, i.e.

a weak universal Glivenko-Cantelli class is strong universal Glivenko-Cantelli and vice versa. A strong uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class  $H$  is of course weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli, but the converse is only known to hold if additional conditions are placed on  $H$ , for example that it be image admissible Suslin [1], [2]. This paper will show that in fact the converse holds without any such special requirements on  $H$ .

## Background Material

**Proposition:** Suppose  $H$  is a weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class. Then  $0 < M < \infty$  where

$$M = \sup_{f \in H} [\sup f - \inf f]$$

This is proved in Proposition 10.2 in [1]. It follows immediately that for a weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class  $H$  that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M$$

for any  $f \in H$  and any  $x, y \in S$ , and that for any  $n$  and any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  that

$$\|P_n - P\|_H = \sup_{f \in H} |P_n(f - \inf f) - P(f - \inf f)| \leq 2M$$

**Lemma:** If  $H$  is a weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] = 0$$

**Proof:** For any  $n$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we have that  $\|P_n - P\|_H^* \leq 2M$  with  $P^n$  probability 1. Then for any  $n$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] &= \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left[ \int_{\{\|P_n - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\}} \|P_n - P\|_H^* dP^n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\{\|P_n - P\|_H^* \leq \epsilon\}} \|P_n - P\|_H^* dP^n \right] \\ &\leq 2M \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^n\{\|P_n - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\} + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and the result now follows from the assumption that  $H$  is weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli. ■

For any  $n$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  the function  $\|P_n - P\|_H$  satisfies McDiarmid's bounded difference property with constants  $Mn^{-1}$ , which is to say that if  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in$

$S^n$  is any point, and for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we exchange  $s_i$  with any  $y \in S$ , calling this point  $s'$  say, then

$$| \|P_s - P\|_H - \|P_{s'} - P\|_H | \leq \sup_{f \in H} |P_s f - P_{s'} f|$$

(where  $P_s, P_{s'}$  denote the empirical probabilities at the subscripted points),

$$= \sup_{f \in H} |n^{-1}(f(s_i) - f(y))| \leq Mn^{-1}$$

For any  $n$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we have (after a possible null set modification) that  $\|P_n - P\|_H^* \leq 2M$ , and then following the definition in [3], the function  $\|P_n - P\|_H^*$  is weakly difference-bounded by  $(4M, Mn^{-1}, 0)$  because if  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^n, y \in S$  are any points and  $s'$  is  $s$  with  $s_i$  replaced by  $y$  again then

$$| \|P_s - P\|_H^* - \|P_{s'} - P\|_H^* | = | [ \|P_\bullet - P\|_H^* \circ \pi ](s, y) - [ \|P_\bullet - P\|_H^* \circ \pi \circ \sigma_i ](s, y) |$$

where  $\pi(s_1, \dots, s_n, y) = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  is a projection, and  $\sigma_i$  the permutation that swaps the  $i$ -th and  $(n+1)$ -th entries in any elt of  $S^{n+1}$ . Then since projections and permutations on i.i.d. spaces are perfect functions we have almost surely that

$$| \|P_s - P\|_H^* - \|P_{s'} - P\|_H^* | \leq | \|P_s - P\|_H - \|P_{s'} - P\|_H |^*$$

and the RHS is again bounded by  $Mn^{-1}$  a.s.

## Main Result

**Theorem:** A weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class is strong uniform Glivenko-Cantelli.

**Proof:** Let  $H$  be a weak uniform Glivenko-Cantelli class. As discussed above, for any  $n$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  we have that  $\|P_n - P\|_H^*$  is weakly difference-bounded by  $(4M, Mn^{-1}, 0)$  and so by Corollary 4.7 in [3] for any  $\epsilon > 0$  we can say that

$$P^n \{ \|P_n - P\|_H^* - E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] > \epsilon/2 \} \leq 2e^{-3n\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)}$$

Therefore

$$P^n \{ \|P_n - P\|_H^* - \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] > \epsilon/2 \} \leq 2e^{-3n\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)}$$

The Lemma from earlier tells us that  $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] \rightarrow 0$ , so there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > n_0$  implies that  $\sup_P E[\|P_n - P\|_H^*] < \epsilon/2$  and thus  $n > n_0$  implies that

$$P^n\{\|P_n - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\} \leq 2e^{-3n\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)}$$

for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . To show that  $H$  is strong uniform Glivenko-Cantelli we need to prove that the sequence

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^\infty\{\sup_{k \geq n} \|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\}$$

converges to zero, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that for any  $n$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^\infty\{\sup_{k \geq n} \|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\} &= \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^\infty\left[\bigcup_{k \geq n} \{\|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\}\right] \\ &\leq \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{k \geq n} P^k\{\|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus for  $n > n_0$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P^\infty\{\sup_{k \geq n} \|P_k - P\|_H^* > \epsilon\} &\leq 2 \sum_{k \geq n} e^{-3k\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)} \\ &= 2Le^{-3n\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $L < \infty$  is the sum of the geometric series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-3j\epsilon^2/8M(15M+\epsilon)}$ , and the RHS above converges to zero, as required. ■

## References

- [1] R.M. Dudley, Uniform Central Limit Theorems (2014). *Cambridge University Press*.
- [2] R.M. Dudley, E. Giné, and J. Zinn, Uniform and Universal Glivenko-Cantelli Classes (1991). *Journal of Theoretical Probability* **4**, 485–510.
- [3] S. Kutin, Extensions to McDiarmid’s inequality when differences are bounded with high probability (2002). Technical Report TR-2002-04, *Department of Computer Science, University of Chicago*.