## Stochastic Quantization of the three-dimensional polymer measure via the Dirichlet form method

Sergio Albeverio<sup>\*</sup> Seiichiro Kusuoka<sup>†</sup>

oka<sup>†</sup> Song Liang<sup>‡</sup>

Makoto Nakashima<sup>§</sup>

Dedicated to the Memory of Xian Yin Zhou, with great admiration and gratitude for his work, on which the present contribution builds

#### Abstract

We prove that there exists a diffusion process whose invariant measure is the three dimensional polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$  for small  $\lambda > 0$ . We follow in part a previous incomplete unpublished work of the first named author with M. Röckner and X.Y. Zhou. For the construction of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  we rely on previous work by J. Westwater, E. Bolthausen and X.Y. Zhou. Using  $\nu_{\lambda}$ , the diffusion is constructed by means of the theory of Dirichlet forms on infinite-dimensional state spaces. The closability of the appropriate pre-Dirichlet form which is of gradient type is proven, by using a general closability result in [AR89a]. This result does not require an integration by parts formula (which does not even hold for the two-dimensional polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$ ) but requires the quasi-invariance of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  along a basis of vectors in the classical Cameron-Martin space such that the Radon-Nikodym derivatives have versions which form a continuous process.

# AMS 2010 Subject Classification: Primary 81S20, 60J65. Secondary 60J46, 60H30.

**Key words:** Three-dimensional polymer measure, closability, Dirichlet forms, diffusion processes, quasi-invariance.

### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Polymer measure

In the literature on polymer physics, random walks and Brownian motions can be regarded as realizations of polymer chains that consist of a huge number of monomers. Moreover, it

<sup>\*</sup>Institute for Applied Mathematics and HCM, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>School of Education, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>nakamako@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp, Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, Japan

is natural to think of self-interaction of polymer chains, e.g. an attractive or repulsive effect due to Van der Waals force between monomers, and a repulsive effect due to the physical restriction such that different monomers should not occupy the same point in space. Edwards' model (see [Edw65]) is a probabilistic model for long polymer chains that takes into account the self-exclusive volume effects. It is formally given by a probability measure on Wiener space:

$$\nu_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = N_{\lambda}^{-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{s}^{1} \delta_{0}(\omega_{t} - \omega_{s}) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t\right) \nu_{0}(\mathrm{d}\omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\nu_0$  is the Wiener measure and

$$J(\omega) := \int_0^1 \int_s^1 \delta_0(\omega_t - \omega_s) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t$$

is the self-interaction local time of the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion  $\{\omega_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  in time interval  $[0,1], \lambda \in [0,\infty)$  is a coupling constant, and  $N_{\lambda}$  is the normalizing constant to assure that  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is a probability measure. We will give their precise definitions below.

In constructive quantum field theory (CQFT), Symanzik independently introduced a representation of  $\phi^4$  theory in terms of a gas of Brownian paths (see [Sym69]). In this representation, a self-intersection local time naturally appear. Later, Brydges, Fröhlich, Sokal, and Spencer established the existence and nontriviality of  $\phi_2^4$  and  $\phi_3^4$  via a random walk representation (discrete counterpart to (1.1)), Schwinger-Dyson equation, and skeleton inequality (see [BFS82, BFS83a, BFS83b, BF84, FFS92]).

The intersection properties of Brownian paths have been investigated since the forties [Lév40]. In [DEK50, DEKT57] Dovorezcky, Erdös, Kakutani, and Taylor proved that the *d*-dimensional Brownian motion  $\omega = \{\omega_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  has no multiple points when  $d \geq 4$  almost surely so that J = 0 and  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is trivial, whereas it has multiple points for  $d \leq 3$  almost surely, that is  $\{0 \leq s < t \leq 1 : \omega_s = \omega_t\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, we focus on the case for  $d \leq 3$ . We remark that the critical dimension of multiple points is d = 6 from the viewpoint of quasi-every [Lyo86]. (In connection with the triviality of  $\phi_4^4$  theory, intersection local time and Edwards' model in d = 4 are discussed in [Aiz85, ADC21, AK95, AFHKL86, AZ94, ABZ04, Frö82, Frö83, Hab23, Nel83].)

In order to describe J and  $\nu_{\lambda}$  more precisely, we start with recalling some facts about the d-dimensional polymer measure. Let  $X := C_0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the set of all continuous paths in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  indexed by [0, 1] and starting at zero. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all maps  $\omega \mapsto \omega_t, t \ge 0$ , from X to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $\nu_0$  denote the Wiener measure on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

First, we "approximate"  $J(\omega)$  in the following way: For  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 \le s \le t \le 1$ ,  $0 \le u \le v \le 1$ , and  $\omega \in X$ , let

$$J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon,a} := \int_s^t \mathrm{d}\sigma \int_{(u\vee(\sigma+\varepsilon))\wedge v}^v \mathrm{d}\tau p_a(\omega_\sigma - \omega_\tau)$$

where

$$p_a(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi a)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2a}\right) \text{ for } a > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Taking the limit of  $J_{s,t:u,v}^{\varepsilon,a}$  as  $a \searrow 0$  formally,  $J_{s,t:u,v}^{\varepsilon,0}$  describes the "self-intersection local time" of Brownian paths  $\omega[s,t]$  and  $\omega[u,v]$  with removal of times near the diagonal by parameter  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The probability measure in (1.1) is defined by

$$\nu_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{1}{N_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon}} \exp\left(-\lambda J_{0,1;0,1}^{\varepsilon,0}\right) \nu_{0}(\mathrm{d}\omega)$$
(1.2)

if the limit exists. For  $\lambda > 0$ , the realization of paths under  $\nu_{\lambda}$  likes to avoid self-intersection other than those already present under  $\nu_0$ . The difficulty to define  $\nu_{\lambda}$  mathematically rigorously is that it depends on the dimension of the space.

For the case d = 1, Westwater discussed the  $L^1$ -convergence of  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a}$  as  $a \searrow 0$  and the limit is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_1(x)^2 \mathrm{d}x \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\{\ell_1(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}}$  is the local time of Brownian motion  $\omega$  (almost surely defined) up to time 1, which are continuous and hence (1.3) makes sense (see [Wes80]). Furthermore, (1.1) does make sense. This explicit expression allows us to analyze the properties of the long-time behavior of  $\omega$  under the polymer measures (see [Kus85a, Wes85]). Westwater has shown that for  $T \to \infty$ , the law of  $\frac{\omega_T}{T}$  converges under  $\nu_{\lambda,T} := \frac{1}{Z_{\lambda,T}} \exp\left(-\lambda J_{0,T;0,T}^{0,0}\right) \nu_0$  to  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\lambda^*\lambda^{1/3}} + \delta_{-\lambda^*\lambda^{1/3}})$ , where  $J_{0,T;0,T}^{0,0}$  is defined similarly to  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,0}$  by replacing [0,1] by [0,T] and  $\lambda^* \sim 1.1$  is a constant. This result is improved by van der Hofstad, den Hollander, König in [vdH98, vdHdHK03b, vdHdHK03a] and Najnudel in [Naj10].

In the case that d = 2, it is known that  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a}$  diverges almost surely as  $a \searrow 0$  (see [Var69, LG85, Yor85]). But, there exists a random variable Y such that  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a} - E[J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a}]$  converges to Y in  $L^2(\nu_0)$  as  $a \searrow 0$  (Varadhan's renormalization) and  $E[e^{-\lambda Y}] < \infty$  for all  $\lambda \ge 0$  (see [Var69, LG85, Ros86]). Therefore, (1.1) is mathematically rigorously defined by  $\nu_{\lambda}(d\omega) = \frac{1}{E[e^{-\lambda Y}]}e^{-\lambda Y}\nu_0(d\omega)$ . Moreover, there exists  $g_0 > 0$  such that  $E[e^{gY}] < \infty$  for  $g \in (-\infty, g_0)$  (see [Sto89, LG94]). Then, Bass and Chen showed that the best constant  $g_0$  coincides with  $A^{-4}$ , where A is the best constant in the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality [BC04]. Here, we remark that for  $\lambda \in (-g_0, \infty)$ ,  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu_0$ . The k-multiple intersection local times for the planar Brownian motion are also discussed in [Dyn88a, Dyn88b, Ros90].

The case d = 3 is more complicated, because Varadhan's renormalization does not work, since the variance of  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}$  diverges as  $a \searrow 0$  and  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  (see (1.6) below). However, Westwater constructed the polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$  in [Wes80, Wes82] and then Bolthausen gave a simpler construction in [Bol93] for small values of the coupling constant  $\lambda \ge 0$ . In [Wes82] it is shown that the latter approach is still suitable for all positive coupling constants, and in [AZ98] it is proved that the polymer measure given by Bolthausen is actually the same as the one given by Westwater. It is also proved that the three-dimensional polymer measure is singular with respect to the Wiener measure (see [Wes82]). More precisely,  $\nu_{\lambda_1}$  and  $\nu_{\lambda_2}$ are mutually singular for  $0 \le \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ . We remark that Bovier, Felder, and Fröhlich proved the tightness of approximated polymer measures in [BFF84]. Also, Gubinelli and Lörinczi discussed the construction of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  (for d = 1) with the general theory of Gibbs measures via rough path theory in [GL09].

The self-intersection local times are interesting objects themselves, and many studies are dedicated to them. For example, the Wiener-Chaos decomposition of regularizations of the self-intersection local times are studied in [BOS16, dFDS00, Hu96, IPAV95]. On the other hand, nondifferentiability of the self-intersection local times in the sense of Meyer-Watanabe is discussed in [AHZ97, Hu96] in the case that d = 2. The self-intersection local times are generalized formally by letting  $J_x(\omega) := \int_0^1 \int_0^t \delta_0(\omega_t - \omega_s - x) ds dt$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, it is known that for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $J_x(\omega)$  is well-defined as a function for d = 1, 2, 3 and as a generalized function in Sobolev space with negative order for  $d \geq 4$  (see [Ros83, LG85, Yor85, IPAV95, Ros05]). We remark that for  $d \geq 4$ , the self-intersection local time  $J_0(\omega)$  of Brownian motion can be defined as a generalized functional of Brownian motion in the space of Hida distributions (see [Wat91, HYYW95]) with some suitable renormalization.

Now, we give a rigorous definition of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  as in [Bol93, AZ98]: For the rest of this paper, we fix  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ . We recall the following result by Bolthausen.

**Proposition 1.1.** [Bol93, Proposition (2.1)] There exists a version of  $J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon,a}$  which is jointly continuous in all the variables  $0 \le s \le t \le 1$  and  $0 \le u \le v \le 1$ , and parameters  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  and  $a \in (0,1)$ . Moreover, the limit as  $a \downarrow 0$  exists and also has these properties.

**Remark 1.2.** We can prove in a similar way to [Bol93, Proposition (2.1)] that for each  $\varepsilon > 0, \ 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \ 0 \le u \le v \le 1$ , and for any  $p \ge 1, \ J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon,a}$  converges in  $L^p(\nu_0)$  as  $a \searrow 0$ , which is not mentioned in the paper [Bol93] explicitly.

From now on, we regard  $J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon,a}$  as the version given in Proposition 1.1. In particular, we write  $J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon} := J_{s,t;u,v}^{\varepsilon,0}$  and we replace  $p_a(\omega_{\sigma} - \omega_{\tau})$  by  $\delta_0(\omega_{\sigma} - \omega_{\tau})$  in equations. Also, we denote  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}$  by  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \ge 0$  (see also Corollary 2.2).

For  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we set

$$\kappa_1(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} p_t(0) dt = 2(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1)$$
(1.4)

and

$$\kappa_{2}(\varepsilon) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}s_{1} \int_{s_{1}}^{1} \mathrm{d}s_{2} \int_{s_{2}}^{1} \mathrm{d}s_{3} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varepsilon \leq s_{2}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\varepsilon \leq s_{3} - s_{1}\}} \times (s_{1}(s_{2} - s_{1}) + s_{1}(s_{3} - s_{2}) + (s_{3} - s_{2})(s_{2} - s_{1}))^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

In Section A.5, we shall prove that there exist constants  $K_1, K_2, K_3 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left( E[J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}] - \kappa_1(\varepsilon) \right) = K_1, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left( \operatorname{Var} \left( J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} \right) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2} \log \varepsilon \right) = K_2, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \left( \kappa_2(\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \log \varepsilon \right) = K_3.$$
(1.7)

These imply that  $\kappa_1(\varepsilon)$  and  $2\kappa_2(\varepsilon)$  are divergent parts of the expectation and variance of  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}$ , respectively.

Let

$$\bar{J}_{s,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} := \lambda J_{s,t}^{\varepsilon} - \lambda(t-s)\kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \lambda^2(t-s)\kappa_2(\varepsilon),$$

and

$$\nu_{\varepsilon,\lambda} := E\left[\exp\left(-\bar{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\right]^{-1} \exp\left(-\bar{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\nu_0,$$

where E is the expectation with respect to  $\nu_0$ . It was shown in [Bol93] and [AZ98] that the limit  $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \nu_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$  exists in the weak sense. This limit is denoted by  $\nu_{\lambda}$ , which is a rigorous version of (1.1). The reader may refer to the lecture notes [Bol02, Chapter 1] treating Edwards' model.

As mentioned above,  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is singular with respect to  $\nu_0$  for  $\lambda > 0$  for the three-dimensional case, and hence it is hard to analyze path properties under  $\nu_{\lambda}$ . In [Kus85b], Shigeo Kusuoka proved the  $\{\omega_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$  under  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is a Dirichlet process in the sense of Föllmer [Föl81]. In [Zho91, Zho92c, Zho92b, Zho92a, Zho96], Xianyin Zhou discussed the self-intersection local times, the Hausdorff dimensions of the set of double points, and the other properties of the paths.

Also, the self-intersection local time and the associated polymer measures have been studied for *d*-dimensional fractional Brownian motions with Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$  [BFS17, BFS21, BOS16, GOdSS11, Hu01, HN05, HNS08, Ros87]. In [HN05], it is proved that if dH < 1,  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a}$  converges in  $L^2$ -sense; and if  $dH \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$ , Varadhan's renormalization does also work for  $J_{0,1;0,1}^{0,a}$ . In [GOdSS11, BFS21], a construction of the polymer measures for  $dH \leq 1$  has been given by analyzing the corresponding self-intersection local times.

We can also consider a similar model in a discrete setting by replacing the Brownian motion by a simple random walk  $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , that is, the self-intersection local time is defined by  $L_N(S) = \sum_{0 \le i < j \le N} 1\{S_i = S_j\}$  and define Gibbs measures by

$$P_N^g(\mathrm{d}S) = \frac{1}{Z_N^g} \exp\left(-gL_N(S)\right) P(\mathrm{d}S), \quad \text{for } g \ge 0,$$

where P is the law of simple random walk S. This model is called the *weakly self-avoiding* walk or the Domb-Joyce model, and has been well-studied (see [dH09, Law13, MS13]). Unlike Brownian motion, the self-intersection local time with respect to the simple random walk can be positive. Hence,  $L_N$  and  $P_N^g$  do make sense. As discussed in [Law13], the interaction in Edwards' model is weaker than the one in the weakly-self avoiding walk. To approximate Edwards' model for d = 2, 3, it is suitable to renormalize g as  $g_N = 2N^{\frac{d-2}{2}}$  with some timespace rescaling. Actually, Stoll in [Sto89] constructed Edwards' model for d = 2 via this rescaling and the use of Robinson's nonstandard analysis (see e.g. [AFHKL86]). In [ABZ94], the same method was discussed for d = 3. For d = 4, it is known that paths of the simple random walk have infinitely many self-intersection points, thus behave in another way with respect to Brownian motion (see [Law13]). The limit of the rescaled measures  $P_N^{g_N}$  may in principle under certain restriction on  $\lambda$  yield the polymer measure for d = 4. In [AZ94], the question of the asymptotics of the probabilities of the event that two independent simple random walks in  $\mathbb{Z}^4$  have no intersection points up to time N is discussed. Symanzik, Nelson and Aizenman pointed out that this quantity is related to the  $\phi_4^4$  theory (see [Aiz85, ADC21]). In the view point of self-intersection local times, the first author and Zhou in [AZ95] proved the central limit theorem for the renormalized self-intersection local times  $L_N(S)$  for  $d \geq 3$ as discussed in [Yor85].

### **1.2** Stochastic quantization

Parisi and Wu introduced the idea for stochastic quantizations in the literature of quantum fields theory. For a given probability measure  $\nu$  on a measurable space  $\mathcal{X}$ , the stochastic quantization of  $\nu$  means a construction of a Markov process that has an invariant distribution  $\nu$  (see [PW81]). In the case of finite-dimensions Kolmogorov had studied such a problem via Fokker-Plank equations many years before (see [Kol37]). In the present paper we discuss stochastic quantization of the three-dimensional polymer measure, which is a much more difficult case, because the measure is on the (infinite-dimensional) path space and is defined via renormalization.

In the case of  $\phi^4$  Euclidean quantum field theory, the stochastic quantization has been a very hot topic starting many years ago and it remains so also now. In [JLM85] stochastic quantization for dimension 2 given by stochastic partial differential equations (SPDE) with regularized noise is studied. Stochastic quantization of the two-dimensional  $\phi^4$  theory is studied by infinite-dimensional Dirichlet forms in [AR91] (see also [BCM88]) and also by SPDE approach in [DPD03]. On the other hand, stochastic quantization for quantum field models in the three-dimensional case had been remained as a difficult problem for a long time. However, recently the theories of regularity structures introduced by Hairer in [Hai14] and paracontrolled calculus introduced by Gubinelli, Imkeller and Perkowski in [GIP15] appeared and these methods enable us to solve nonlinear SPDEs with singular noise via renormalization. These methods work very well on stochastic quantization of quantum field theories, and are now developing remarkably. Stochastic quantization of the three-dimensional  $\phi^4$  theory via SPDEs was first achieved locally in time on torus by Hairer via regularity structure (see [Hai14, Section 1.5.2]), also via paracontrolled calculus locally in time on torus (see [CC18]), then globally in time on torus (see [MW17]), and globally in time on the whole space (see [GH19]). Construction of the three-dimensional  $\phi^4$ -quantum field measure also has succeeded by the methods of singular SPDEs, e.g., on torus (see [AK20]), on the whole space by discrete approximation [GH21], and on the whole space by continuous approximation (see [AK22]). Besides, stimulated by the development of the methods of singular SPDEs, many mathematicians study similar but different approaches, e.g. by renormalization group (see [Kup16]), by a variational method (see [BG20]), by elliptic stochastic quantization (see [GH19, ADVG21]), and by scaling argument (see [Duc22]). For the details of the history of the stochastic quantization of  $\phi^4$  theory, see the introductions of [AK20, AK22, GH21] and references therein. Here, we recall that, as mentioned in above, in [Sym69] Symanzik suggested a close relation between the three-dimensional polymer measure and the three-dimensional  $\phi^4$  theory by formal calculations, and indeed many similarities of the models are known, e.g., the renormalization constants which appeared in both models have the same asymptotics, both measures are singular with respect to the base measures (the base measure is the free field measure in the case of the  $\phi^4$  theory, and the Wiener measure in the case of the polymer measure). Also, the renormalization constants of the one-dimensional KPZ equation have the same asymptotics of those of the three-dimensional polymer measure. See [Hai13] and [GP17] for approaches from singular SPDEs. The relation between the one-dimensional KPZ equation and the (1 + 1)-dimensional directed polymers in random environment (DPRE) had already been known in the paper [KPZ86], which first introduced the KPZ equation. Recently, DPRE has focused on the connection between the KPZ equation and the stochastic Burgers equation (see [AZ96, BC20, BC22, BL18, BL19, Bol89, Com17, DS88, FJ22, FJ23, Gia07, GP17, IS88, NN23, SZ96]). Furthermore, stochastic quantization techniques for random measures on a path space have been applied to other problems (see [BC22]). Besides, the stochastic quantization of exponential interaction (Høegh-Krohn model) has also been studied both from Dirichlet form theory and singular SPDEs (see [AKMR23, HKK21, HKK23] and references therein).

Our main result in this paper is about the stochastic quantization of the three-dimensional polymer measure via the Dirichlet form method.

In [AHRZ99], the stochastic quantization of the two-dimensional polymer measure was constructed using the theory of Dirichlet forms on infinite-dimensional state spaces. In the present paper the same is done in the case of the three-dimensional polymer measure, which is technically much more difficult, since in three-dimensions the polymer measure is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Wiener measure (as opposed to the lower-dimensional cases after Varadhan's renormalization for d = 2). In the unpublished work [ARZ96] a construction of the Dirichlet form associated with the three-dimensional polymer measure was attempted. However, the proofs were not complete or contained gaps (e.g. [ARZ96, Proposition 2.1]). This work in 1996 remained unfinished due to the sudden tragic departure of Xianyin Zhou in his early age. The present paper is largely based on the previous work [ARZ96], that in turn was possible through deep in sights accumulated by X.Y.Zhou in his deep work (see also e.g. [Zho91, Zho92a, Zho92b, Zho92c, Zho96]). In the present paper we present a self-contained full proof of the construction of the Dirichlet form associated with the three-dimensional polymer measure, which guarantees that the statement of the main theorem of the preprint [ARZ96] is correct.

Let us remind that the construction of the polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$  in the cases that  $d \leq 2$  are somewhat similar in difficulty with the construction of the Euclidean measures of models of quantum field theory in dimension  $d \leq 2$ , see e.g. the references given in the introductions of [AK20, AK22, GH21]. For the construction of associated Dirichlet forms see [AR91, AMR15, RZZ17, AKMR23, HKK21, HKK23, BG21] for  $d \leq 2$ . For the case d = 3, the construction of the polymer measure and associated Dirichlet form is comparable in difficulty with the construction of the  $\phi_3^4$ -measure and and associated Dirichlet form (see [ZZ18, BG21]). A direct construction of a stochastic process with the  $\phi_3^4$ -measure as an invariant measure has been provided in various recent works [Hai13, GH19, AK20, ZZ18] and the references in [AK22]. See below after Remark 1.6 for further comments on this.

We formulate the main results of the present paper in the next subsection (Theorems 1.4, 1.5 and 1.7). Let us note that the irreducibility of the Dirichlet form associated to  $\nu_{\lambda}$  also remains as an open problem (whereas it has been solved for the case that d = 2 in [AHRZ99], see also [AKR97a, AKR97b] for relations between irreducibility and ergodicity of associated process).

**Remark 1.3.** When one replaces Brownian motion by a fractional Brownian motions, similar constructions of associated polymer measures and stochastic quantization have been discussed in [BFS17]. In [Hu01, HNS08], it is proved that the self-intersection local times are Meyer-Watanabe differentiable for dH < 1 where H is the Hurst index of fractional white noise. In [BFS17], the authors used [Pot00] and Meyer-Watanabe differentiability to obtain a diffusion process whose invariant measure is the fractional Edwards' model for d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion. The diffusion is constructed via Dirichlet form techniques in infinite-dimensional analysis, where the closability was shown by an integration by parts formula, and irreducibility of the constructed diffusion follows as in the case of planar Brownian motion (discussed in [AHRZ99]).

### 1.3 Main results

Recall that  $X := C_0([0,1], \mathbb{R}^d)$  and X equipped with the supremum-norm is a separable real Banach space. Let X' be its dual. Let  $H \subset X$  be the classical Cameron-Martin space, i.e.,  $H := \{h \in X : h \text{ is absolutely continuous and } |h|_H^2 = \int_0^1 |h'(t)|^2 dt < \infty\}$ . Furthermore, let  $K := \{h \in H : \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |h'(t)| < \infty\}$ . Then,  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a real separable Hilbert space which is densely and continuously embedded into X, and K is a linear subspace of  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ . By identifying H with its dual we obtain that X' is densely embedded into H. Hence,  $X' \subset H \subset X$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$  restricted to  $X' \times H$  coincides with the dualization between X' and X. Define the set of bounded smooth cylinder functions by

$$\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty} := \{ f(l_1, \cdots, l_m) : m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m), l_1, \cdots, l_m \in X' \},\$$

where  $C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  denotes the set of all bounded infinitely differentiable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with all bounded continuous partial derivatives.

Let  $h \in X$ . For  $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}$ ,  $\omega \in X$ , define

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial h}(\omega) := \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} u(\omega + sh) \right|_{s=0}$$

and let  $\nabla u(\omega)$  denote the unique element in H such that

$$\langle \nabla u(\omega), h \rangle_H = \frac{\partial u}{\partial h}(\omega) \quad \text{for all } h \in H$$

Define for  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(u,v) := \int \langle \nabla u(\omega), \nabla v(\omega) \rangle_{H} \nu_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\omega)$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda},1} := \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(X;\nu_{\lambda})}.$ 

Now, we can formulate our first result.

- **Theorem 1.4.** (i) The support of  $\nu_{\lambda}$ ,  $\operatorname{supp}\nu_{\lambda}$ , coincides with X (i.e.  $\nu_{\lambda}(U) > 0$  for all non-empty open  $U \subset X$ ). In particular, we can identify each  $u \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}$  with the corresponding class in  $L^2(X;\nu_{\lambda})$ , and thus  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}},\mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty})$  is a symmetric non-negative definite bilinear form on  $L^2(X;\nu_{\lambda})$ .
- (ii) The symmetric bilinear form  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  is closable on  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  and the closure  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  is a symmetric Dirichlet form, i.e., a closed non-negative definite symmetric bilinear form such that  $u^{\#} := (u \vee 0) \wedge 1 \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}})$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(u^{\#}, v^{\#}) \leq \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(u, v)$  for all  $u \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}})$ .

The closability of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  on  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  means that the unique continuous extension  $\bar{\iota}$  of the continuous embedding  $\iota : \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty} \hookrightarrow L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}$  is equipped with norm  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda},1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  with  $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}(X;\nu_{\lambda})}$ , to the completion  $\overline{\mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}}$  of  $\mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty}$  with respect to  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda},1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is still continuous embedding. The *closure*  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  is then the smallest closed extension of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  on  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$ . For more details on Dirichlet forms the reader is referred, for example, to [MR92, FOT11, BH91, Sil74], and for the special type of Dirichlet form appearing here, namely so-called *classical Dirichlet forms* to [AR90a].

Let (L, D(L)) be the generator of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$ , i.e., the unique non-positive definite self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  such that

$$D(\sqrt{-L}) = D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\sqrt{-L}u, \sqrt{-L}v) = \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(u, v) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}).$$
(1.8)

Let  $T_t := e^{tL}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Then, the general theory of Dirichlet form yields the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** There exists a diffusion process  $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X_t)_{t\geq 0}, (P_{\omega})_{\omega\in X})$  which is associated with  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$ , i.e., for all  $(\nu_{\lambda}$ -versions of )  $f \in L^2(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  and all  $t \geq 0$  the function

$$\omega \mapsto p_t f(\omega) := \int_{\Omega} f(X_t) \mathrm{d} P_{\omega}, \quad \omega \in X,$$

is a  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -version of  $T_t f$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{M}$  is conservative and  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -symmetric. In particular,  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is an invariant measure for  $\mathbb{M}$ .

- **Remark 1.6.** (i)  $\mathbb{M}$  is in fact even properly associated to  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  in the sense of [MR92, Chap.IV, Definition 2.5].
  - (ii)  $\Omega$  in Theorem 1.5 can, of course, always be chosen to be  $C([0,\infty), X)$ , since  $\mathbb{M}$  has continuous sample paths and is conservative.
- (iii) The proof of Theorem 1.7 fills gaps in the proof of [ARZ96]. In particular, in Section 2 we adjust Rosen's method to the three-dimensional case, and by applying the estimates obtained in Section 2 we prove some suitable estimates in Section 4, which are not correctly proved in [ARZ96].

As already emphasized in [AHRZ99] the construction of the stochastic quantization of  $\nu_{\lambda}$ by the theory of Dirichlet forms uses  $\nu_{\lambda}$  and is based on some of its properties. It thus does not lead to an independent construction of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  itself. This is similar to early works on the stochastic quantization of measures in Euclidean field theory (such as e.g.  $P(\phi)_2$ -fields, see for example [JLM85, AR89a, AR89b, AR91, AMR15, ABR22]) where the respective measures have been used in an essential way. A truly constructive approach of the invariant measures (more precisely by the stochastic quantization equations for Euclidean quantum fields) was not known (for dimension d = 2, 3) before the work initiated in [DPD03] for d = 2, and [Hai13, GIP15, AK20, GH21, AK22] for d = 3. For polynomial and exponential type models in d = 2 even uniqueness results are known (see e.g. [RZZ17, BDV21, VGT22]). For d = 3 $\phi_3^4$ -models results are less compete (see [ALZ06, ZZ18, BG20, AK20, AK22]). To the best of our knowledge, the problem of a constructive approach of  $\nu_{\lambda}$  for polymer measures in d = 2, 3does not seem to have been discussed from this point of view, i.e. via solutions of SPDEs. As mentioned in Section 1.1, Theorem 1.5 is the first construction of the Dirichlet form with respect to a singular Euclidean quantum field measure.

For the proof of Theorem 1.4, we need the following result, which is of its own interest and is in fact the heart of this paper. For  $h \in X$ , we define  $\tau_h(\omega) := \omega + h$ ,  $\omega \in X$ , and let

$$K_0 := \left\{ h \in K | \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |h''(t)| < \infty \right\}.$$

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $h \in K_0$ . Then,  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is k-quasi-invariant, i.e.,  $\nu_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{sh}^{-1}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu_{\lambda}$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $a_{sh} := \frac{\mathrm{d}(\nu_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{sh}^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the process  $(a_{sh})_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  has a version which has  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -almost every continuous sample paths.

The proof of Theorem 1.7 is given in Section 5 below after the necessary preparations in Section 2-4.

Once we prove Theorem 1.7, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 follow from the theory of the Dirichlet forms as in [AHRZ99, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (i) By Theorem 1.7,  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is k-quasi-invariant for each  $k \in K_0$ . Since  $K_0$  is a linear space and dense in H, hence also in X, it follows by [AR90b, Proposition 2.7] that  $\operatorname{supp}\nu_{\lambda} = X$ .

(ii) Since  $K_0$  is dense in H, we can find an orthogonal basis  $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of H in  $K_0$ . Then, we can find

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} {}_{E'} \langle l, h_n \rangle_E^2 < \infty \quad \text{for all } l \in E'.$$

Suppose that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}_{h_n}, \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty})$  on  $L^2(X; \nu_{\lambda})$  is closable, where

$$\mathcal{E}_{h_n}(u,v) = \int \frac{\partial u}{\partial h_n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial h_n} \mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}, \quad u,v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}.$$

Then, we can find from [MR92, Remark 3.7 in Chapter II] that for  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}C_b^{\infty}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}(u,v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{\partial u}{\partial h_n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial h_n} \mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}, \qquad (1.9)$$

and from [AR90a, Theorem 3.8] or [MR92, Proposition 3.5 in Chapter II ] that  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  is closable on  $L^{2}(\nu_{\lambda})$  and its closure  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  is a symmetric Dirichlet form. Thus, it suffices to prove the closability of each  $(\mathcal{E}_{h_{n}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  on  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$ .

Since  $s \mapsto a_{sh_n}$  is continuous  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -almost everywhere by Theorem 1.7 for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_n$  is admissible [AR89b, Corollary 2.5] in the sense [AR89b, Definition 1.6]. Then, the closability follows from [AR89b, Theorem 1.3].

The proof of Theorem 1.5 then follows by the standard machinery of Dirichlet forms on infinite-dimensional state spaces and is exactly the same as that of [AHRZ99, Theorem 1.2].

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Since we have verified the closability of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, \mathcal{F}C_{b}^{\infty})$  on  $L^{2}(X; \nu_{\lambda})$ , it follows from [MR92, Subsection 4 b) in Chapter IV] that  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  is quasi-regular. Then, the existence of a  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -symmetric  $\mathbb{M}$  is a consequence of [MR92, Theorem 3.5 in Chapter IV].

Also, by [MR92, Example 1.12 (ii) in Chapter V], the quasi-regular Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  possesses the local property, and hence sample paths of  $\mathbb{M}$  are continuous by [MR92, Theorem 1.11 in Chapter V]. The conservativity of  $\mathbb{M}$  is obvious since  $1 \in D(L)$  and L1 = 0, hence  $T_t 1 = 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . The fact that  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is an invariant measure for  $\mathbb{M}$  then follows immediately.

Thus, the rest of this paper will be devoted to proving Theorem 1.7.

**Remark 1.8.** In contrast to the two-dimensional case we have not yet succeeded in proving the irreducibility (which is equivalent to ergodicity) of  $(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}, D(\mathcal{E}_{\nu_{\lambda}}))$  in Theorem 1.4.

### 1.4 Some observations and organization of the paper

This subsection explains the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.7 and the organization of the present paper. As mentioned above, we are able to construct

$$\nu_{\lambda}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = E[e^{-\lambda J_{0,1}^0}]^{-1} \exp\left(-\lambda J_{0,1}^0\right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)$$

where

$$J_{0,1}^0 = \int_0^1 \int_s^1 \delta_0(\omega_t - \omega_s) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}s$$

by approximations. By using this formal expression we would see from Girsanov's transformation that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}(\nu_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}} = \exp\left(-\lambda \left(J_{0,1}^{0}(\omega - uh) - J_{0,1}^{0}(\omega)\right) + u \int_{0}^{1} h_{s}' \mathrm{d}\omega_{s} - \frac{u^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \left(h_{s}'\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}s\right) \quad (1.10)$$

which should corresponds with  $a_{uk}$  in Theorem 1.7. Of course, the discussion above is formal and not mathematically rigorous. First, it is not clear whether the stochastic integral  $\int_0^1 h'_s d\omega_s$ is well-defined or not in Itô's sense, because we do not know whether  $\omega$  is a semimartingale under  $\nu_{\lambda}$  or not. However, we can avoid such a problem by interpreting the stochastic integral  $\int_0^1 h'_s d\omega_s$  as

$$\int_{0}^{1} h'_{s} \mathrm{d}\omega_{s} = h'_{1}\omega_{1} - \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{s} \mathrm{d}h'_{s}, \qquad (1.11)$$

for  $h \in K_0$ , where the right-hand side of (1.11) is well-defined for any continuous function  $\omega$ . Next, we should make sense of the difference  $J_{0,1}^0(\omega - uh) - J_{0,1}^0(\omega)$  under  $\nu_{\lambda}$ . In view of the heuristic observation above we provide the proofs of the main theorems step by step in the following sections.

In Section 2, we will give an estimate of

$$J_{0,1}^{2^{-\epsilon_0 n},2^{-n}}(\omega-uh) - J_{0,1}^{2^{-\epsilon_0 n},2^{-n}}(\omega).$$

for  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  small. As a corollary, we will find that

$$\left\{J_{0,1}^{2^{-\epsilon_0 n}, 2^{-n}}(\omega - uh) - J_{0,1}^{2^{-\epsilon_0 n}, 2^{-n}}(\omega)\right\}_{n \ge 1}$$
(1.12)

is an  $L^4(\nu_0)$ -Cauchy sequence. This is the most technical and hard part in the proofs of the main theorems. For the proof we give an extension of Rosen's method, which is an adjusted version to the three-dimensional case. Also in Section 2 we provide some lemmas in Sections 2.4 and 2.5, which guarantee the treatment of Dirac functions and the differentiability of a key quantity in the parameter of approximation, respectively.

In Section 3, we will give an estimate of roughly speaking, the "difference" between  $\mu_{\varepsilon,\lambda}(f)$ and  $\nu_{\lambda}(f)$ , which will play a key role in controlling  $\nu_{\lambda}(f)$ .

In Section 4, by combining the results in Sections 2 and 3, we will prove that (1.12) is also an  $L^p(\nu_{\lambda})$ -Cauchy sequence for small  $\lambda > 0$  for each  $1 \le p < 4$ . We denote by  $\rho(-u, k)$ the  $L^p(\nu_{\lambda})$  limit, which corresponds to the above quantity  $J_{0,1}^0(\omega - uh) - J_{0,1}^0(\omega)$ .

Finally, in Section 5 we provide the proof of Theorem 1.7, which yields the main theorems as mentioned in Section 1.2.

We remark that some explicit calculations are put in Appendix (Section A).

### 2 Some estimates of self-intersection local times in Wiener space

In this section, we prepare some estimates which are needed for later arguments. We remark that the proofs in this section are very technical. We set

$$f_a(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \exp\left(-\frac{a|x|^2}{2}\right), \quad \text{for } a > 0, x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then, the following holds in the weak sense

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\langle x,y\rangle} f_a(y) \mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{(2\pi a)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2a}} \to \delta_0(x), \quad a \searrow 0,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the Dirac function at point  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3$  for  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  and we denote by  $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$  the Euclidean norm. We remark that

$$J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega) = \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\langle y,\omega_t - \omega_s \rangle} f_a(y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t = \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(2\pi a)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|\omega_t - \omega_s|^2}{2a}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t,$$

where  $T_{\varepsilon} := \{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2 : t-s \ge \varepsilon\}$ . The following lemma has been given already in [Bol93, Proposition (2.1)], but we will give in Section 2.2 below its proof for self-containedness.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K$ , and  $p \ge 1$  be given. Then, for  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ , there exists C such that

$$E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a'}(\cdot+uh)\right|^{p}\right] \le C|a-a'|^{p}a^{-\frac{5}{6}p} + C|a-a'|^{\gamma p}a^{\frac{1-4\gamma}{24}p}\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{4}p}$$

for 0 < a' < a < 1 and for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

As a corollary of Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K$ , and  $p \ge 1$  be given. Then,  $\{J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega + uh)\}_{a \in (0,1)}$  has a continuous version (we also denote it by  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega + uh)$ ) and has the  $L^p(\nu_0)$ -limit  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega + uh) = \lim_{a \ge 0} J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega + uh)$ .

Moreover, we have

$$E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}(\cdot+uh)\right|^{p}\right] \le Ca^{\frac{p}{6}} + Ca^{\frac{1+20\gamma}{24}p}\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{4}p}$$
(2.1)

for  $\gamma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ . Then, we can apply the Kolmogorov continuity theorem to  $\left\{J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega+uh)\right\}_{a\in(0,1)}$  in view of Lemma 2.1 for  $p \geq 1$  with  $p\gamma > 1$ . Also, we can see that  $\left\{J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega+uh)\right\}_{a\in(0,1)}$  is an  $L^p(\nu_0)$ -Cauchy sequence as  $a \searrow 0$  which guarantees the existence of the  $L^p(\nu_0)$ -limit. Letting  $a' \searrow 0$ , (2.1) follows from Fatou's lemma.

We define

$$\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u,h) := J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega),$$

and

$$\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u_1, u_2, h) := \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u_1, h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u_2, h),$$

for  $a, \varepsilon \in (0, 1), u, u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $h \in K$ . For  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{21})$ , we define

$$\varepsilon_n = 2^{-\epsilon_0 n} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$a_n = 2^{-n} \tag{2.3}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{1-2\gamma}{21})$ , there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that the following holds. For any  $h \in K$  and any interval [-M, M] with M > 0, there exists C > 0 such that

$$E\left[\left|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{m}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)\right|^{4}\right] \leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{4\gamma}2^{-\beta m}$$

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in [-M, M], n \ge m \ge 1$ .

We will prove this lemma in Section 2.3 below. Taking  $u_2 = 0$  and combining with Corollary 2.2, we have the following.

**Corollary 2.4.**  $\left\{\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u_1,u_2,h)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ ,  $\left\{\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$  and  $\left\{J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega+uh)-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$  are  $L^4(\nu_0)$ -Cauchy sequences for each  $u_1, u_2, u \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for any  $h \in K$ . In particular,  $\left\{\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$  is bounded in  $L^4(\nu_0)$ .

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 2.3  $\left\{\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u_1,u_2,h)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$  is an  $L^4(\nu_0)$ -Cauchy sequence for each  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u,0,h) = \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u,h),$$

 $\left\{\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h)\right\}_{n\geq 1} \text{ is also } L^4(\nu_0)\text{-Cauchy sequence. Furthermore, from this fact and Corollary 2.2, we also have the assertion for <math>\left\{J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega+uh)-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ .

**Remark 2.5.** In [ARZ96, Proposition 2.1], a similar statement to Lemma 2.3 for another approximation of  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\eta_{n,\varepsilon}$ , is discussed. However, we can find serious errors in its proof. Indeed, they missed the problem in (Step 4) in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in the present paper, which is applied in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Moreover, they did not give any proof of an analogous result to Proposition 2.16 in the present paper. Actually, their statement says that a similar bound to Lemma 2.3 holds for any  $p \ge 1$ , but we can show it only for  $1 \le p \le 4$ . Also, we remark that if one can prove a similar bound for any  $p \ge 1$ , then the whole argument after Section 3 in the present paper does work, and hence the stochastic quantization of  $\nu_{\lambda}$ will be achieved for any  $\lambda > 0$  (i.e. without the restriction we make in this paper that  $\lambda$  be small).

### 2.1 J. Rosen's method

To prove Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we will modify the technique in [Ros83, Lemma 2]. For later use, we give some equations, notations, and some lemmas.

**Proposition 2.6.** We set  $T \subset [0,1]^2 \cap \{0 \leq s < t \leq 1\}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we find that for  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $h \in K$ , and  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$E\left[\left(\int_{T} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}-\omega_{s}+u(h_{t}-h_{s})\rangle}g(y)\right)^{p}\right]$$
  
= 
$$\int_{T^{p}} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}y \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{iu\langle y_{j},h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\rangle}g(y_{j})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle y_{j},\omega_{t_{j}}-\omega_{s_{j}}\rangle\right)}$$
(2.4)

and

$$E\left[\left(\int_T \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(e^{i\langle y,\omega_t+u_1h_t-\omega_s-u_1h_s\rangle} - e^{i\langle y,\omega_t+u_2h_t-\omega_s-u_2h_s\rangle}\right)g(y)\right)^p\right]$$

$$= \int_{T^p} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^p \left( e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_1 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_2 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) g(y_j) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \left\langle y_j, \omega_{t_j} - \omega_{s_j} \right\rangle \right)}$$
(2.5)

where  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$  denotes an element in  $[0, 1]^{2p}$  with  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_p)$ ,  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_p) \in [0, 1]^p$  such that  $0 \leq s_i < t_i \leq 1$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, p$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3p}$  with  $y_1, \dots, y_p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and we define  $h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)} := h_{t_j} - h_{s_j}$  for  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$  and  $j = 1, \dots, p$ .

*Proof.* Since  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we can use Fubini's theorem so that

$$E\left[\left(\int_{T} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}y e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}-\omega_{s}+u(h_{t}-h_{s})\rangle}g(y)\right)^{p}\right]$$
  
= 
$$\int_{T^{p}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}y \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{\langle y_{j},u(h_{t_{j}}-h_{s_{j}})\rangle}g(y_{j})\right) E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{i\langle y_{j},\omega_{t_{j}}-\omega_{s_{j}}\rangle}\right]$$

and that

$$E\left[\left(\int_{T} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}y \left(e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}+u_{1}h_{t}-\omega_{s}-u_{1}h_{s}\rangle}-e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}+u_{2}h_{t}-\omega_{s}-u_{2}h_{s}\rangle}\right)g(y)\right)^{p}\right]$$
  
= 
$$\int_{T^{p}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}y \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \left(e^{i\langle y_{j},u_{1}\left(h_{t_{j}}-h_{s_{j}}\right)\rangle}-e^{i\langle y_{j},u_{2}\left(h_{t_{j}}-h_{s_{j}}\right)\rangle}\right)g(y_{j})\right)E\left[\prod_{j=1}^{p} e^{i\langle y_{j},\omega_{t_{j}}-\omega_{s_{j}}\rangle}\right].$$

The statement holds from the fact  $\langle y_j, \omega_{t_j} - \omega_{s_j} \rangle$  is a Gaussian random variable for any **y** and **s**, **t**.

Next, we focus on Var  $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \langle y_j, \omega_{t_j} - \omega_{s_j} \rangle\right)$  for  $p \ge 1$  and  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$ . As in the proof of [Ros83, Lemma 2], we denote the pair  $(s_j, t_j)$  by  $S^j$  and set  $(z_1, \dots, z_{2p}) := (s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2, \dots, s_p, t_p)$ . Then, we split the domain of the integral in  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$  on the right-hand side of (2.5) into  $T^p \cap \Delta_p(\pi)$  for some permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, 2p\}$ , where

$$\Delta_p(\pi) := \{ (z_1, \cdots, z_{2p}) : z_{\pi(1)} < \cdots < z_{\pi(2p)} \},$$
(2.6)

Let us define a partition  $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^{2p-1}$  of the interval  $[z_{\pi(1)}, z_{\pi(2p)}]$  by

$$R_i := [z_{\pi(i)}, z_{\pi(i+1)}], \quad 1 \le i \le 2p - 1, \tag{2.7}$$

and let  $W(R_i) := \omega_{z_{\pi(i+1)}} - \omega_{z_{\pi(i)}}$ . Then it holds that

$$\omega_{t_j} - \omega_{s_j} = \sum_{i; R_i \subset [s_j, t_j]} W(R_i).$$

Since  $W(R_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2p - 1$ , are independent, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \langle y_j, \omega_{t_j} - \omega_{s_j} \rangle\right) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \left\langle y_j, \sum_{R_i \subset [s_j, t_j]} W(R_i) \right\rangle\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i} \left|\overline{y}^i\right|^2 |R_i|, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\overline{y}^i = \sum_{\substack{j:[s_j,t_j] \supset R_i}} y_j$  and  $|R_j|$  denotes the length of  $R_j$ . For convenience, we let  $R_0 := [0, z_{\pi(1)}], R_{2p} := [z_{\pi(2p)}, 1], \overline{y}^0 := 0$  and  $\overline{y}^{2p} := 0$ . We define

$$f(\ell) := \min\{i \mid R_i \subset [s_\ell, t_\ell]\}, \quad r(\ell) := \max\{i \mid R_i \subset [s_\ell, t_\ell]\} + 1$$
(2.9)

Then, it holds that

$$[s_{\ell}, t_{\ell}] = R_{f(\ell)} \cup R_{f(\ell)+1} \cup \dots \cup R_{r(\ell)-1}$$

and hence

$$y_{\ell} = \overline{y}^{f(\ell)} - \overline{y}^{f(\ell)-1}, \quad -y_{\ell} = \overline{y}^{r(\ell)} - \overline{y}^{r(\ell)-1}.$$
(2.10)

We have the following three cases:

- (T-i)  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1,...,p\}} (s_j, t_j)$  is connected.
- (T-ii)  $\bigcup_{\substack{j \in \{1,\dots,p\}\\\bigcup_{i \neq j} [s_i,t_i] = \emptyset}} (s_j,t_j) \text{ is disconnected and there exists } j \in \{1,\dots,p\} \text{ such that } (s_j,t_j) \cap$
- (T-iii)  $\bigcup_{j \in \{1,\dots,p\}} (s_j, t_j) \text{ is disconnected and for any } j \in \{1,\dots,p\}, (s_j, t_j) \cap \bigcup_{i \neq j} [s_i, t_i] \neq \emptyset.$

**Remark 2.7.** We can find that for each case,  $\{\overline{y}^j\}_{j=0}^{2p}$  has the following property.

- 1. In the case of (T-i), there exists  $j, k \in \{1, ..., p\}$  such that r(j)-1 = r(k) and  $\overline{y}^{r(k)} = y_j$ . This is true for the largest  $t_j$  and the second-largest  $t_k$ . We denote by  $j_{\max}$  and  $k_{2-\max}$  the j and k such that  $t_j = \max\{t_\ell\}$  and  $t_k = \max\{t_j : j \in \{1, ..., p\} \setminus \{j_{\max}\}\}$ .
- 2. In the case of (T-ii), there exists  $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$  such that
  - $\overline{y}^{f(j)-1} = \overline{y}^{r(j)} = 0.$
  - f(j) = r(j) 1 and  $\overline{y}^{f(j)} = y_j$ .

•  $\overline{y}^k \ (k \neq f(j))$  does not contain  $y_j$ .

Then, we have the following properties, which are obtained in [Ros83].

**Lemma 2.8.** [Ros83, Lemma 3] For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_1, \ldots, S_p \in \{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2 : t-s > 0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3p}$ , we set  $\{f(\ell)\}_{\ell=1,\ldots,p}$ ,  $\{r(\ell)\}_{\ell=1,\ldots,p}$ ,  $\{\overline{y}^j\}_{j=0}^{2p}$  as above. Then,  $\overline{y}^{f(1)}, \ldots, \overline{y}^{f(p)}$  is a nonsingular set of coordinates for  $\mathbb{R}^{3p}$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $a \in (0,1)$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p \geq 2$ ,  $S_1, \ldots, S_p \in \{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2 : t - s \geq a\}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{3p}$ , we set  $\{f(\ell)\}_{\ell=1,\ldots,p}$ ,  $\{r(\ell)\}_{\ell=1,\ldots,p}$ ,  $\{\overline{y}^j\}_{j=0}^{2p}$  as above. Then, for each  $j, 1 \leq j \leq p$ , there exists  $k \in \{f(j), \ldots, r(j) - 1\}$  such that  $|R_k| \geq \frac{a}{2p}$ . We denote by  $d(j) \in \{f(j), \cdots, r(j) - 1\}$  the smallest integer in such k. Also, we can find that

- (i) rank  $\left(\{\overline{y}^{r(1)},\ldots,\overline{y}^{r(p)},\overline{y}^{d(1)},\ldots,\overline{y}^{d(p)}\}\right) = 3p.$
- (ii) Moreover, if  $S_1, \ldots, S_p$  satisfies (T-i), then

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\{\overline{y}^{r(1)},\ldots,\overline{y}^{r(p)}\}\right) \geq 3.$$

**Remark 2.10.** Lemma 2.9(i) was given in [Ros83, Lemma 4] and we can also find the proof of Lemma 2.9(ii) there. Also, we remark that there might be the possibility that  $R_{d(i)} = R_{d(j)}$  for  $i \neq j$ .

**Definition 2.11.** (Time-reversal) Changing variables  $\tilde{t}_j = 1 - s_j$ ,  $\tilde{s}_j = 1 - t_j$  in (2.5), it is rewritten as

$$\int_{T^p} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbf{s}} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{\mathbf{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^p \left( e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_1 h_{\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_2 h_{\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) g(y_j) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^p \left\langle y_j, \omega_{1-\widetilde{s}_j} - \omega_{1-\widetilde{t}_j} \right\rangle \right)}.$$

Then, we can introduce  $\{\widetilde{R}_j\}_{j=1}^{2p-1}$ ,  $\{\widetilde{\overline{y}}^j\}_{j=0}^{2p}$ , and  $\{\widetilde{f}(\ell), \widetilde{r}(\ell), \widetilde{d}(\ell)\}_{\ell=1}^p$  in a similar manner to the above.

**Remark 2.12.** We can see that  $\tilde{\overline{y}}^{\tilde{f}(j)} = \overline{y}^{r(j)-1}$  and  $\tilde{\overline{y}}^{\tilde{r}(j)-1} = \overline{y}^{f(j)}$  for each  $j = 1, \ldots, p$ .

Throughout this section, we use these notations as long as they are not confusing.

### 2.2 Proof of Lemma 2.1

Now we give the proof of Lemma 2.1. We will split the proof into four steps.

(Step 1) It is easy to see that

$$\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}(\omega+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a'}(\omega+uh)\right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i \langle y, \omega_{t} - \omega_{s} + u(h_{t} - h_{s}) \rangle} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^{2}}{2}} \right) f_{a'}(y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right|$$

$$\le \left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i \langle y, \omega_{t} - \omega_{s} + u(h_{t} - h_{s}) \rangle} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^{2}}{2}} \right) f_{a'}(y) 1\{|y| \le a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} e^{i \langle y, \omega_{t} - \omega_{s} + u(h_{t} - h_{s}) \rangle} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^{2}}{2}} \right) f_{a'}(y) 1\{|y| > a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right|$$

Since  $1 - e^{-x} \le x$  for  $x \ge 0$ , we have

$$\left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i \langle y, \omega_t - \omega_s + u(h_t - h_s) \rangle} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^2}{2}} \right) f_{a'}(y) \mathbf{1}\{|y| \le a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right| \le c(a-a')a^{-\frac{5}{6}}$$

for some constant c > 0. Hence, it is sufficient to estimate

$$\left|\int_{T_{\varepsilon}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}-\omega_{s}+u(h_{t}-h_{s})\rangle}\left(1-e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^{2}}{2}}\right)f_{a'}(y)\mathbf{1}\{|y|>a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t\right|$$

for  $p \in 2\mathbb{N}$ .

(Step 2) We can use Proposition 2.6 by setting  $g(y) = f_{a'}(y) \mathbb{1}\{|y| > a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\}$  and  $T = T_{\varepsilon}$ , and changing  $y_{\frac{p}{2}+1}, \ldots, y_p \mapsto -y_{\frac{p}{2}+1}, \ldots, -y_p$ . For our convenience, we keep the notation  $\{y_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,p}$ .

We remark that for  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , there exists C > 0 such that

$$1 - e^{-x} \le C x^{\gamma}$$

for  $x \ge 0$ . Combining this with (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{split} &E\left[\left|\int_{T_{\varepsilon}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t}-\omega_{s}+u(h_{t}-h_{s})\rangle}\left(1-e^{-\frac{(a-a')|y|^{2}}{2}}\right)f_{a'}(y)\mathbf{1}\{|y|>a^{-\frac{1}{6}}\}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t\Big|^{p}\right]\\ &\leq |a-a'|^{\gamma p}\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{p}}\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t\int_{Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\prod_{j=1}^{p}|y_{j}|^{2\gamma}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle y_{j},\omega_{t_{j}}-\omega_{s_{j}}\rangle\right)\right)\right)\\ &= |a-a'|^{\gamma p}\sum_{\pi}\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{p}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)}\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t\int_{Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\prod_{j=1}^{p}|y_{j}|^{2\gamma}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2p-1}|R_{i}||\overline{y}^{i}|^{2}},\end{split}$$

where we write  $Y(t) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \{ |y_j| \ge t \}$  for  $t \ge 0$ .

(Step 3) Using (2.10), we get

$$|y_{\ell}| \leq \left(|\overline{y}^{f(\ell)}| + |\overline{y}^{f(\ell)-1}|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|\overline{y}^{r(\ell)}| + |\overline{y}^{r(\ell)-1}|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since each  $\overline{y}^i$  is equal to either  $\overline{y}^{f(\ell)}$  or  $\overline{y}^{r(\ell)}$  for a unique  $\ell$ , and either  $\overline{y}^{f(q)-1}$  or  $\overline{y}^{r(q)-1}$  for a unique q, we have

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{p} |y_i| &\leq \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \left( |\overline{y}^{f(\ell)}| + |\overline{y}^{f(\ell)-1}| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( |\overline{y}^{r(\ell)}| + |\overline{y}^{r(\ell)-1}| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{2p-1} (1+|\overline{y}^{i}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{split}$$

by using (2.10) and  $(a+b)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1+a^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}(1+b^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ .

We now change the variable:  $J : (S^1, \dots, S^p) \mapsto (z_{\pi(1)}, |R_1|, \dots, |R_{2p-1}|)$ . Then, the Jacobian matrix of J has  $\{0, 1, -1\}$ -entries and is invertible almost everywhere. Hence, we can find  $1 \leq |DJ(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})| \leq 2^{2p}$ . These properties yield that  $|DJ(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})| = 1$ .

We may divide  $T_{\varepsilon}^p \cap \Delta_p(\pi)$  by the indices  $\mathbf{d} = (d(1), \dots, d(p)), T_{\varepsilon}^p(\pi, \mathbf{d})$ . We remark that J can be written as the invertible linear transformation of  $(S^1, \dots, S^p)$  on  $T_{\varepsilon}^p(\pi, \mathbf{d})$ . Then, we have

$$\int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{p}(\pi,\mathbf{d})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} |\overline{y}^{i}|^{2} |R_{i}|\right) \prod_{j=1}^{p} |y_{j}|^{2\gamma} \\
\leq C \int_{J(T_{\varepsilon}^{p}(\pi,\mathbf{d}))} \mathrm{d}z_{\pi(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{2p-1} \mathrm{d}|R_{i}| \int_{Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} |\overline{y}^{i}|^{2} |R_{i}|\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2p-1} \left(1 + |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}\right)^{\gamma} \quad (2.11)$$

The integral in  $d|R_i|$  is dominated as follows: If i = d(k) for some  $k \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ , then there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2p}}^{1} \exp\left(-\frac{|\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2 t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{C}{1+|\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2}{4p}\right) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2}{4p}\right).$$

If  $i \neq d(k)$  for any  $k \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ , then we have

$$\int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{|y|^2 t}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \le \frac{C}{1+|y|^2}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

From these, we can see that the right-hand side of (2.11) can be bounded from above by

$$C\int_{Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left(1 + |\overline{y}^{f(i)}|^2\right)^{-1+\gamma} \left(1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^2\right)^{-1+\gamma} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2}{4p^2}},\tag{2.12}$$

where  $p^2$  of the denominator in the power of e is put to remove the effect of overlaps of d(i). (Step 4) Now, we remark that on  $Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})$  there are  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq 2p$  such that  $|\overline{y}^{i_j}| \geq \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, p$ . Indeed, for each  $i = 1, \ldots, 2p - 1$ , there exists  $k(i) \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ 

such that  $y_{k(i)} = \overline{y}^{i+1} - \overline{y}^i$  or  $-\overline{y}^{i+1} + \overline{y}^i$ , and hence either  $|\overline{y}^{2i-1}| \ge \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}$  or  $|\overline{y}^{2i}| \ge \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}$  on  $Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})$ . Let

$$A_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})} := \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3p} : \text{There exist } 1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_{\frac{p}{2}} \le p \right.$$
  
such that  $\left| \overline{y}^{f(j_k)} \right| \ge \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}, \, k = 1, \dots, \frac{p}{2} \right\}.$ 

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the right-hand side of (2.12) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{split} C \left( \int_{A_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{f(i)}|^2 \right)^{-2+2\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{A_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^2 \right)^{-2+2\gamma} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2}{2p^2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ C \left( \int_{A_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})}^c} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{f(i)}|^2 \right)^{-2+2\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \left( \int_{A_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})}^c \cap Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^2 \right)^{-2+2\gamma} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^2}{2p^2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &=: CF_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},1)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + CF_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Lemmas 2.8 and 2.9 allow us to see that  $\{\overline{y}^{f(1)}, \dots, \overline{y}^{f(p)}\}\$  is a (nonsingular) set of coordinates for  $\mathbb{R}^{3p}$  and that we can choose a (nonsingular) set of coordinates (denoted by  $(\overline{y}^{k_1}, \dots, \overline{y}^{k_p}))$  for  $\mathbb{R}^{3p}$  from the set

$$\overline{y}^{r(1)}, \cdots, \overline{y}^{r(p)}, \overline{y}^{d(1)}, \cdots, \overline{y}^{d(p)}$$

Also, it is easy to see that each element in Jacobian matrices is a constant. Therefore, we have for  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ 

$$F_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},1)} \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|y|^2)^{-2+2\gamma} dy\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(\int_{|y| \ge \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}} (1+|y|^2)^{-2+2\gamma} dy\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \le Ca^{\frac{(1-4\gamma)p}{12}}$$

Also, it is easy to see that

$$R_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},1)} \le C\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}p}, \quad F_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},2)} \le C$$

Hence, the proof of Lemma 2.1 is completed, once Proposition 2.13 below is proved.

Proposition 2.13. We have

$$R_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},2)} \le Ca^{\frac{1-4\gamma}{12}p} \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}p}.$$

*Proof.* First, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} A^c_{(\pi,\mathbf{d})} \cap Y(a^{-\frac{1}{6}}) &\subset \bigcup_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{\frac{p}{2}} \le p} \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \mathbb{R}^{3p} : \left| \overline{y}^{r(i_k)} \right| \ge \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}, k = 1, \dots, \frac{p}{2} \right\} \\ &=: \bigcup_{\mathbf{i}} B_{\mathbf{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $\mathbf{i} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{\frac{p}{2}}\}$  with  $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{\frac{p}{2}} \le p$ . Fix a nonsingular coordinate  $\overline{y}^{r(j_1)}, \ldots, \overline{y}^{r(j_k)}, \overline{y}^{d(m_1)}, \ldots, \overline{y}^{d(m_{p-k})}$ .

Let  $A_1 := \{i_1, \dots, i_{\frac{p}{2}}\}$  and  $A_2 := \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ . Also, let  $a_1 := \sharp(A_1 \cap A_2)$  and  $a_2 := \sharp(A_1 \cap A_2^c)$ . Then,

$$\int_{B_{\mathbf{i}}} d\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^{2} \right)^{-2+2\gamma} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^{2}}{4p^{2}}} \\
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(p-k)}} d\overline{y}^{d(m_{1})} \dots d\overline{y}^{d(m_{p-k})} \prod_{l=1}^{p-k} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |\overline{y}^{d(m_{l})}|^{2}}{4p^{2}}} \\
\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3k}} d\overline{y}^{r(j_{1})} \dots d\overline{y}^{r(j_{k})} \prod_{i \in A_{1} \cup A_{2}} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^{2} \right)^{-2+2\gamma}.$$
(2.13)

Since

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d} y e^{-\frac{\varepsilon |y|^2}{4p^2}} \leq C p^3 \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d} y (1+|y|^2)^{-2+2\gamma} \leq C \\ &\int_{|y| \geq \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}} \mathrm{d} y (1+|y|^2)^{-2+2\gamma} \leq C \left(\frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}\right)^{-4+4\gamma+3} \\ &(1+|y|^2)^{-2+2\gamma} 1\{|y| \geq \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}\} \leq C \left(\frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}\right)^{(-4+4\gamma)}, \end{split}$$

(2.13) is dominated by

$$C\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}(p-k)}\left(\frac{a^{-\frac{1}{6}}}{2}\right)^{(-4+4\gamma+3)a_1+(-4+4\gamma)a_2}$$

.

Since  $a_1 + a_2 = \sharp A_1 = \frac{p}{2}$  and  $a_1 \leq \frac{p}{2}$ , we have

$$(-4+4\gamma+3)a_1 + (-4+4\gamma)a_2 = (-4+4\gamma)\frac{p}{2} + 3a_1 \le (-1+4\gamma)\frac{p}{2}.$$

So,

$$R_{(\pi,\mathbf{d},2)} \le C\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}p}a^{\frac{1-4\gamma}{12}p}.$$

By Proposition 2.13 the proof of Lemma 2.1 is finished.

### 2.3 Proof of Lemma 2.3

Lemma 2.3 follows from Propositions 2.14 and 2.16 below.

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , and  $h \in K$  be given. For any given  $p \ge 1$ , there is a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$E\left[\left|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h) - \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{k}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)\right|^{p}\right]$$

$$\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{p}a_{\ell}^{\frac{p}{7}} + C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p}a_{\ell}^{\frac{(1-2\gamma)p}{28}}\varepsilon_{m}^{-\frac{3}{4}p}$$
(2.14)

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}, k \ge \ell \ge 1$ .

**Remark 2.15.** Proposition 2.14 holds for any  $p \ge 1$ , while Lemma 2.3 will be proved only for the fourth moment.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2.1. It is sufficient to consider the case  $k > \ell$ . The constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  given in this proof is independent of  $n, m, u_1, u_2$ , which can be different from line to line.

First, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_m,a_k}(u_1,u_2,h) &- \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_m,a_\ell}(u_1,u_2,h) \\ &= \int_{T_{\varepsilon_m}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}y \left( e^{i\langle y,\omega_t - \omega_s + u_1(h_t - h_s) \rangle} - e^{i\langle y,\omega_t - \omega_s + u_2(h_t - h_s) \rangle} \right) \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{a_\ell - a_k}{2}|y|^2} \right) f_{a_k}(y) \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument to (Step 1) in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can see that

$$\begin{split} \left| \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{k}}(u_{1},u_{2},h) - \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h) \right| \\ &\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}||a_{k} - a_{\ell}|a_{\ell}^{-\frac{6}{7}} \\ &+ C \left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{m}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \int_{|y| > a_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{7}}} \mathrm{d}y \left( e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t} - \omega_{s} + u_{1}(h_{t} - h_{s}) \rangle} - e^{i\langle y,\omega_{t} - \omega_{s} + u_{2}(h_{t} - h_{s}) \rangle} \right) \\ &\times \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{a_{\ell} - a_{k}}{2}|y|^{2}} \right) f_{a_{k}}(y) \right| \\ &=: C|u_{1} - u_{2}||a_{k} - a_{\ell}|a_{\ell}^{-\frac{6}{7}} + |E_{k,\ell}|. \end{split}$$

We use Proposition 2.6 by setting

$$g(y) = g_{k,\ell}(y) := \left(1 - e^{-\frac{a_\ell - a_k}{2}|y|^2}\right) f_{a_k}(y) \mathbb{1}\{|y| \ge a_\ell^{-\frac{1}{7}}\}$$

and  $T = T_{\varepsilon_m}$ , and changing  $y_{\frac{p}{2}+1}, \ldots, y_p \mapsto -y_{\frac{p}{2}+1}, \ldots, -y_p$ . For our convenience, we will keep the notation  $\{y_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,p}$ . By using (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), we have

$$E\left[|E_{k,\ell}|^{p}\right]$$

$$= \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{m}}^{p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \left(e^{i\left\langle y_{j}, u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j}, u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle}\right) g_{k,\ell}(y_{j})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1}|R_{i}||\overline{y}^{i}|^{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{\pi} \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{m}}^{p} \cap \Delta_{p}(\pi)} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \left(e^{i\left\langle y_{j}, u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j}, u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle}\right) g_{k,\ell}(y_{j})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1}|R_{i}||\overline{y}^{i}|^{2}}.$$

$$(2.15)$$

We remark that for any given  $\gamma \in (0,1]$  there is a constant  $C \in (0,\infty)$  such that

 $|\sin x| \le C(|x|^{\gamma} \wedge 1), \quad |1 - \cos x| \le C(|x|^{\gamma} \wedge 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$ (2.16)

and it follows that

$$E\left[|E_{k,\ell}|^{p}\right] \leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{\gamma p}\sum_{\pi}\int_{T^{p}_{\varepsilon_{m}}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1}|R_{i}|\left|\overline{y}^{i}\right|^{2}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}|y_{j}|^{\gamma}|t_{j}-s_{j}|^{\gamma}\widetilde{g}_{\ell}(y_{j})\right),\tag{2.17}$$

where  $\tilde{g}_{\ell}(y) = 1\{|y| \ge a_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{7}}\}$ . Then, the statement follows by modifying the argument of (Step 3) and (Step 4) in the proof of Lemma 2.1 by  $\gamma \mapsto \frac{\gamma}{2}$ ,  $a^{-\frac{1}{6}} \mapsto a_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{7}}$ .

**Proposition 2.16.** For  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that the following holds. For any  $h \in K$  and M > 0, there exists C > 0 such that

$$E\left[\left|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)\right|^{4}\right]$$
  
$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{4}a_{m}^{\frac{4}{7}}+C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{4\gamma}a_{m}^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{7}}\varepsilon_{m}^{-3}+C_{h,\gamma}|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{4\gamma}\varepsilon_{m}^{\beta}.$$

for  $u_1, u_2 \in [-M, M], n \ge m \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.14, we have

$$\begin{split} & E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right] \\ & \leq 3^{p-1}E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right] + 3^{p-1}E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right] \\ & + 3^{p-1}E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right] \\ & \leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p}a_{m}^{\frac{p}{7}}+C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}a_{m}^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{28}p}\varepsilon_{m}^{-\frac{3p}{4}} + 3^{p-1}E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right] \\ & \text{for } \ell \geq n \geq m \geq 1, \text{ and } p \in 2\mathbb{N}. \text{ In the same manner as (2.15), we have} \end{split}$$

$$E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h) - \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{\ell}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right]$$

$$= \sum_{\pi} \int_{T^{p}_{\varepsilon_{m},\varepsilon_{n}}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} \left(e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle}\right) f_{a_{\ell}}(y_{j})\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1}|R_{i}||\overline{y}^{i}|^{2}},$$

$$(2.18)$$

where we set

$$T_{\varepsilon_m,\varepsilon_n} := \{ (s,t) \in [0,1]^2 : \varepsilon_n \le t - s \le \varepsilon_m \}.$$

[Ros83, Lemma 2] allows us to apply the dominated convergence theorem to (2.18) in  $\ell$ . Thus, we find that

$$\begin{split} &E\left[|\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)-\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{m},a_{n}}(u_{1},u_{2},h)|^{p}\right]\\ &\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p}a_{m}^{\frac{p}{7}}+C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}a_{m}^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{28}p}\varepsilon_{m}^{-\frac{3p}{4}}\\ &+3^{p-1}\sum_{\pi}\int_{T_{\varepsilon_{m},\varepsilon_{n}}^{p}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle}-e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle}\right)\right)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1}|R_{j}||\bar{y}^{j}|^{2}}, \end{split}$$

From this inequality we see that it is sufficient to estimate the integrals in

$$\int_{T^{p}_{\varepsilon_{m},\varepsilon_{n}}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{p} \left( e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1} |R_{j}| |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}}$$
(2.19)

with p = 4 due to the type of  $\pi$ .

In the case of (T-ii): We look at the integral in  $y_j$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $(s_j, t_j) \cap \bigcup_{k \neq j} [s_k, t_k] = \emptyset$ . We only prove the case j = 4, because the other cases are similar. Let us observe that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{12}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{4} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_1 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_2 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{7} |R_j| \left| \overline{y}^j \right|^2}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} dy_{4} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{4}, u_{1} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(4)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{4}, u_{2} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(4)} \right\rangle} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_{4} - s_{4})|y_{4}|^{2}} \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} dy_{1} dy_{2} dy_{3} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{3} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{j}, u_{1} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{j}, u_{2} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right)$$
(2.20)  
$$\times \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j=1, \dots, 7\\ j \neq f(4), r(4)}} |R_{j}| \left| \overline{y}^{j} \right|^{2} \right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}y_4 \left( e^{i \left\langle y_4, u_1 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(4)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_4, u_2 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(4)} \right\rangle} \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_4 - s_4)|y_4|^2} \right| \\ &= \left| p_{t_4 - s_4} \left( u_1 \left( h_{t_4} - h_{s_4} \right) \right) - p_{t_4 - s_4} \left( u_2 \left( h_{t_4} - h_{s_4} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|t_4 - s_4|^{\frac{5}{2}}} |u_2^2 - u_1^2| |h_{t_4} - h_{s_4}|^2 \end{split}$$

and that its integral in  $s_4, t_4$  is bounded by  $CM|u_2 - u_1|\varepsilon_m^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $u_1, u_2 \in [-M, M]$ . Thus, we can reduce it to the case that p = 3.

In the case of (T-iii): The union  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} [s_j, t_j]$  is decomposed into two disjoint sets as  $[s_{j_1}, t_{j_1}] \cup [s_{j_2}, t_{j_2}]$  and  $[s_{j_3}, t_{j_3}] \cup [s_{j_4}, t_{j_4}]$  where  $\{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Hence, similarly to (2.20),

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{12}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{4} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{j}, u_{1} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{j}, u_{2} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{7} |R_{j}| |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \mathrm{d}y_{j_{1}} \mathrm{d}y_{j_{2}} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{2} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{j_{k}}, u_{1} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j_{k})} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{j_{k}}, u_{2} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j_{k})} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{3} |R_{k}| |\overline{y}^{k}|^{2}} \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \mathrm{d}y_{j_{3}} \mathrm{d}y_{j_{4}} \left( \prod_{k=3}^{4} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{j_{k}}, u_{1} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j_{k})} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{j_{k}}, u_{2} h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j_{k})} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=5}^{7} |R_{k}| |\overline{y}^{k}|^{2}}, \end{split}$$

which are estimated by those in the case that p = 2.

In the case of (T-i): Here, we consider the estimate of (2.19) for  $2 \le p \le 4$ . We apply the same argument as in (2.11) and (2.12) so that

$$\left| \int_{T^p_{\varepsilon_m,\varepsilon_n} \cap \Delta_p(\pi)} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^p \left( e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_1 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_2 h_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2p-1} |R_j| |\overline{y}^j|^2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{\ell},\varepsilon_{\ell+1}}^{p}\cap\Delta_{p}(\pi)} d\mathbf{s} d\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} d\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{p} \left( e^{i \left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2p-1} |R_{j}| |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}} \right|$$

$$\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p} \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\ell}^{p\gamma} \int_{J(T_{\varepsilon_{\ell},\varepsilon_{\ell+1}}^{p}(\pi,\mathbf{d}))} dz_{\pi(1)} \prod_{i=1}^{2p-1} d|R_{i}|$$

$$\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} d\mathbf{y} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} |\overline{y}^{i}|^{2}|R_{i}|\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2p-1} (1 + |\overline{y}_{j}|^{2})^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}$$

$$\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p} \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\ell}^{p\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} d\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left(1 + |\overline{y}^{f(i)}|^{2}\right)^{-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}} \left(1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^{2}\right)^{-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon_{\ell+1}|\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^{2}}{4p^{2}}\right)$$

$$(2.21)$$

We will use Hölder's inequality with  $a,b\geq 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} &= 1, \quad 2\left(-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)a + 2 < -1, \quad 2\left(-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)b + 2 < -1, \\ p\gamma &> \frac{3(p-2)}{2b}, \end{aligned}$$

where such a, b exist if  $\gamma \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ . For example, we can take  $\gamma = \frac{3}{7}$ , a = b = 2. Then, (2.21) is bounded by

$$C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{f(i)}|^{2} \right)^{(-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2})a} \right)^{\frac{1}{a}}$$

$$\times \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\ell}^{\gamma p} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3p}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( 1 + |\overline{y}^{r(i)}|^{2} \right)^{(-1 + \frac{\gamma}{2})b} \exp\left( -b \frac{\varepsilon_{\ell}|\overline{y}^{d(i)}|^{2}}{8p^{2}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{b}}$$

$$\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p} \sum_{\ell=m}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\ell}^{\gamma p - \frac{1}{2b}(3p - \operatorname{rank}\left(\{\overline{y}^{r(1)}, \dots, \overline{y}^{r(p)}\}\right)$$

$$\leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma p} \varepsilon_{m}^{\gamma p - \frac{3(p-2)}{2b}}$$

for  $\pi$  of **Type**(**T**-i) and

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\{\overline{y}^{r(1)},\ldots,\overline{y}^{r(p)}\}\right) \ge 6.$$

Therefore, the remainder of  $\pi$  with **Type**(**T**-i) is the one such that

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\{\overline{y}^{r(1)},\ldots,\overline{y}^{r(p)}\}\right) = 3.$$
(2.22)

We remark that (2.22) holds in the following cases.

$$p = 2 \text{ and } \pi \text{ belongs to } \mathbf{Type}(\mathbf{T}-\mathbf{i}),$$
 ( $\Delta_2$ )

$$p = 3 \text{ and } s_1 < s_2 < t_2 < s_3 < t_3 < t_1, \qquad (\Delta_3(\pi_1))$$

$$p = 3 \text{ and } s_2 < s_1 < t_2 < s_3 < t_3 < t_1,$$
  $(\Delta_3(\pi_2))$ 

$$p = 4$$
 and  $s_1 < s_2 < t_2 < s_3 < t_3 < s_4 < t_4 < t_1$ ,  $(\Delta_4(\pi_1))$ 

$$p = 4 \text{ and } s_2 < s_1 < t_2 < s_3 < t_3 < s_4 < t_4 < t_1.$$
 ( $\Delta_4(\pi_2)$ )

Furthermore, by taking time-reversal (see Definition 2.11)  $(\Delta_3(\pi_2))$  and  $(\Delta_4(\pi_2))$  are reduced to the case where

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\{\widetilde{\overline{y}}^{\widetilde{r}(1)},\ldots,\widetilde{\overline{y}}^{\widetilde{r}(p)}\}\right) \geq 6.$$

Hence, the remaining cases are  $(\Delta_2)$ ,  $(\Delta_3(\pi_1))$  and  $(\Delta_4(\pi_1))$ . The estimates for these cases are proved by Propositions 2.17, 2.18, 2.19, 2.20 below, and this finishes then this proof.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(\pi_1) &:= \{ 0 < s_1 < s_2 < t_2 < t_1 \le 1 \}, \\ \Delta_2(\pi_2) &:= \{ 0 < s_1 < s_2 < t_1 < t_2 \le 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.17.** For any  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists C > 0 such that for any  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\left| \int_{T^{2}_{\varepsilon_{n-1},\varepsilon_{n}}\cap\Delta_{2}(\pi_{1})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3} |R_{j}| |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}} \right|$$

$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{2\gamma}\varepsilon_{n-1}^{\gamma}$$
(2.23)

*Proof.* Take  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . We set  $s = s_2 - s_1 = |R_1|$ ,  $t = t_2 - s_2 = |R_2|$ ,  $u = t_1 - t_2 = |R_3|$ . Then, the left-hand side of (2.23) is dominated by

$$\begin{split} C|u_1 - u_2|^{2\gamma} \varepsilon_{n-1}^{2\gamma} \int_{0 < s+u < \varepsilon_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \int_{\varepsilon_n < t < \varepsilon_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}t \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y |x|^{\gamma} |y|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s+u}{2}|x|^2 - \frac{t}{2}|x+y|^2\right) \\ \leq C|u_1 - u_2|^{2\gamma} \varepsilon_{n-1}^{2\gamma} \int_{0 < s+u < \varepsilon_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \int_{\varepsilon_n < t < \varepsilon_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}t \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}z |x|^{\gamma} (|x|^{\gamma} + |z|^{\gamma}) \exp\left(-\frac{s+u}{2}|x|^2 - \frac{t}{2}|z|^2\right) \\ \leq C|u_1 - u_2|^{2\gamma} \varepsilon_{n-1}^{\gamma}. \end{split}$$

Here, note the choice of  $\varepsilon_n$  (see (2.2)).

**Proposition 2.18.** For any  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists C > 0 such that for any  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\left| \int_{T^{2}_{\varepsilon_{n-1},e_{n}}\cap\Delta_{2}(\pi_{2})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{2} \left( e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3} |R_{j}| \left| \overline{y}^{j} \right|^{2}} \right|$$

$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{2\gamma}\varepsilon_{n-1}^{\gamma}. \tag{2.24}$$

*Proof.* Take  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . We set  $s = s_2 - s_1 = |R_1|$ ,  $t = t_1 - s_2 = |R_2|$ ,  $r = t_2 - t_1 = |R_3|$ . Then, the left-hand side of (2.23) is dominated by

$$\begin{split} C|u_1 - u_2|^{2\gamma} & \int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < s+t < \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ \varepsilon_n < t+r < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}r \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y (s+t)^{\gamma} (t+r)^{\gamma} |x|^{\gamma} |y|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2} |x|^2 - \frac{t}{2} |x+y|^2 - \frac{r}{2} |y|^2\right) \\ &= C|u_1 - u_2|^{2\gamma} \int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < s+t < \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ \varepsilon_n < t+r < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}r \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y (s+t)^{\gamma} (t+r)^{\gamma} |x|^{\gamma} |y|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s+t}{2} \left|x + \frac{t}{s+t}y\right|^2 - \frac{st+tr+rs}{2(s+t)} |y|^2\right). \end{split}$$

Changing variables  $x + \frac{t}{s+t}y \mapsto z, y \mapsto y$ , we can get an upper bound of the integral,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < s+t < \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ \varepsilon_n < t+r <$$

These terms are estimated by  $C\varepsilon_{n-1}^{\gamma}$ , because for  $a \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ 

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < s+t < \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ \varepsilon_n < t+r < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}r (st+tr+rs)^{-\frac{3}{2}-a} \\ &\leq C \int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < s+t < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t (s+t)^{-1} (st)^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} \\ &= C \int_{\substack{\varepsilon_n < R < \varepsilon_{n-1}, 0 < s < R}} \mathrm{d}R \mathrm{d}s \left[ R^{-1} (s(R-s))^{-\frac{1}{2}-a} \right] \end{split}$$

$$= CB\left(\frac{1}{2} - a, \frac{1}{2} - a\right) \int_{\varepsilon_n < R < \varepsilon_{n-1}} \mathrm{d}R R^{-1-2a} \le C\varepsilon_n^{-2a}.$$

where  $B(a,b) = \int_0^1 x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} dx$  is the Bessel function for a, b > 0. Hence, we have the assertion.

We can prove the following propositions by the same argument as in Proposition 2.17. **Proposition 2.19.** For  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , there exists C > 0 such that for any  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\left| \int_{T^{3}_{\varepsilon_{n-1},e_{n}}\cap\Delta_{3}(\pi_{1})} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{3} \left( e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{1}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} - e^{i\left\langle y_{j},u_{2}h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)}\right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{5} |R_{j}| |\overline{y}^{j}|^{2}} \right|$$

$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{3\gamma} \varepsilon_{n}^{\frac{1+3\gamma}{2}}. \tag{2.25}$$

*Proof.* Take  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ . We set  $r_1 = s_2 - s_1$ ,  $s = t_2 - s_2$ ,  $r_2 = s_3 - t_2$ ,  $t = t_3 - s_3$ ,  $r_3 = t_1 - t_1$ . Then, the left-hand side of (2.25) is dominated by

$$C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{3\gamma} \int_{\substack{s,t \in [\varepsilon_{n},\varepsilon_{n-1}]\\0 < r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3} < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}z (s + t + r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3})^{\gamma} s^{\gamma} t^{\gamma} \times |x|^{\gamma} |y|^{\gamma} |z|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2}|x + z|^{2} - \frac{t}{2}|y + z|^{2} - \frac{r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3}}{2}|z|^{2}\right).$$

Changing variables  $x + z \mapsto \tilde{x}, y + z \mapsto \tilde{y}$ , we can get an upper bound of the integral,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\substack{s,t\in[\varepsilon_{n},\varepsilon_{n-1}]\\0< r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}<\varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}z (s+t+r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3})^{\gamma} s^{\gamma} t^{\gamma}} \\ &\quad \times |x|^{\gamma} |y|^{\gamma} |z|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2}|x+z|^{2}-\frac{t}{2}|y+z|^{2}-\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}{2}|z|^{2}\right) \\ &\leq \int_{\substack{s,t\in[\varepsilon_{n},\varepsilon_{n-1}]\\0< r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}<\varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{x} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{y} \mathrm{d}z (s+t+r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3})^{\gamma} s^{\gamma} t^{\gamma} \\ &\quad \times (|\widetilde{x}|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma}) (|y|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma})|z|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2}|\widetilde{x}|^{2}-\frac{t}{2}|\widetilde{y}|^{2}-\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}{2}|z|^{2}\right) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{n-1}^{3\gamma} \int_{\substack{s,t\in[\varepsilon_{n},\varepsilon_{n-1}]\\0< r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}<\varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{x} \mathrm{d}\widetilde{y} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\quad (|\widetilde{x}|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma}) (|y|^{\gamma}+|z|^{\gamma})|z|^{\gamma} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2}|\widetilde{x}|^{2}-\frac{t}{2}|\widetilde{y}|^{2}-\frac{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}{2}|z|^{2}\right) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon_{n-1}^{\frac{1+3\gamma}{2}}. \\ \mathrm{Here, note the choice of } \varepsilon_{n} \ (\mathrm{see} \ (2.2)). \end{split}$$



**Proposition 2.20.** There exists C > 0 such that for any  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\left| \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{n-1},e_n}^4 \cap \Delta_4(\pi_1)} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{12}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \left( \prod_{j=1}^4 \left( e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_1 h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} - e^{i \left\langle y_j, u_2 h_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{t}}^{(j)} \right\rangle} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^7 |R_j| \left| \overline{y}^j \right|^2} \right|$$
  
$$\leq C |u_1 - u_2|^4 \varepsilon_n^3. \tag{2.26}$$

*Proof.* We set  $v_1 = s_2 - s_1$ ,  $s = t_2 - s_2$ ,  $v_2 = s_3 - t_2$ ,  $t = t_3 - s_3$ ,  $v_3 = s_4 - t_3$ ,  $r = t_4 - s_4$ ,  $v_4 = t_1 - t_4$ . Then, the lefthand side of (2.26) is dominated by

$$\begin{split} C|u_1 - u_2|^4 & \int_{\substack{s,t,r \in [\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}]\\0 < v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 < \varepsilon_{n-1}}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{12}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}z \mathrm{d}w \\ & (s+t+r+v_1+v_2+v_3+v_4) str|x||y||z||w| \\ & \times \exp\left(-\frac{s}{2}|x+w|^2 - \frac{t}{2}|y+w|^2 - \frac{r}{2}|z+w|^2 - \frac{v_1+v_2+v_3+v_4}{2}|z|^2\right). \end{split}$$

In a similar fashion to the discussion in Proposition 2.19, we can then prove the statement of Proposition 2.20.



### 2.4 Remarks on the treatment of Dirac functions

In this section, we will look at the self-intersection local time for pinned Brownian motion. In particular, its continuity will allow us to use the notation

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{t_{i}})\right]$$

(see Remark 2.24) where  $\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$  is given after (1.7), which is useful in our analysis.

Similarly to [Bol93], we define a self-intersection local time of conditioned Brownian motion. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each 0 < u < v < 1,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , a > 0, we define

$$H_{u,v,x,y}^{\varepsilon,a} := \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}sp_a \left(\Psi_{u,v,x,y}(\omega)(t) - \Psi_{u,v,x,y}(\omega)(s)\right),$$

where for  $\omega \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^3)$ 

$$\Psi_{u,v,x,y}(\omega)(\tau) = \begin{cases} x\frac{\tau}{u} + \omega_{\tau} - \frac{\tau}{u}\omega_{u}, & 0 \le \tau \le u \\ x\left(1 - \frac{\tau - u}{v - u}\right) + y\frac{\tau - u}{v - u} + \left(\omega_{\tau} - \omega_{u} - \frac{\tau - u}{v - u}(\omega_{v} - \omega_{u})\right), & u \le \tau \le v \\ y + \omega_{\tau} - \omega_{v}, & v \le \tau. \end{cases}$$

By modifying the proof of Proposition 2.14 or [Bol93, Proposition 2.1], we can prove the following.

**Lemma 2.21.** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 \le u < v \le 1$ . Then, there exists a version of  $H_{u,v,x,y}^{\varepsilon,a}$  which is jointly continuous in  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $a \ge 0$ . Moreover, the limit as  $\searrow 0$  denoted by  $H_{u,v,x,y}^{\varepsilon}$  exists and also has these properties.

*Proof.* We omit the proof since it follows by the arguments of [Bol93, Proposition 2.1]. In fact we have the estimate that there exists  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  such that for any  $p \ge 2$ ,

$$E\left[\left|H_{u,v,x,y}^{\varepsilon,a} - H_{u,v,x',y'}^{\varepsilon,a'}\right|^p\right] \le C\left(|x - x'|^{\gamma p} + |y - y'|^{\gamma p} + |a - a'|^{\frac{\gamma p}{2}}\right).$$

**Remark 2.22.** The map  $\Psi_{u,v,x,y}$  maps a Brownian motion to conditional Brownian motion which bridges between (0,0), (u,x), (v,y). Lemma 2.21 can be generalized for any n intermediate points. For  $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_n \le 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we denote by  $H_{(t_i,x_i)}^{\varepsilon,a}$  a modified version of H. We omit the upper index a for a = 0. **Corollary 2.23.** Let  $\Phi$  be any bounded measurable function. Then, we have

$$\lim_{a \to 0} E\left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_a(\omega_{t_i}, x_i) \right] \\= E\left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \middle| \omega_{t_i} = x_i, i = 1, \dots, n \right] p_{t_1}(0, x_1) \prod_{j=2}^{n} p_{t_j - t_{j-1}}(x_{j-1}, x_j)$$

for  $0 \le t_1 < \dots < t_n \le 1, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* From construction, we have that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} E\left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{a}(\omega_{t_{i}}, x_{i}) \middle| \omega_{t_{i}} = y_{i}, i = 1, \dots, n \right] p_{t_{1}}(0, y_{1}) \prod_{j=2}^{n} p_{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}(y_{j-1}, y_{j}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} E\left[ e^{-\lambda H_{(t_{i}, y_{i})}^{\varepsilon} + \lambda \kappa_{1}(\varepsilon) - \lambda^{2} \kappa_{2}(\varepsilon)} \Phi \right] \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{a}(y_{i}, x_{i}) p_{t_{1}}(0, y_{1}) \prod_{j=2}^{n} p_{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}(y_{j-1}, y_{j}). \end{split}$$

Letting  $a \searrow 0$ , we see that the statement holds.

Corollary 2.23 allows us to use the formal expression in Remark 2.24 below.

**Remark 2.24.** Let us use the following notation:

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{t_{i}})\right]$$
  
:=  $E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\middle|\omega_{t_{i}}=x_{i}, i=1,\ldots,n\right]p_{t_{1}}(0,x_{1})\prod_{j=2}^{n}p_{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}(x_{j-1},x_{j}).$ 

Also, we set

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s_{i}}-\omega_{t_{i}})\right] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}}E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{s_{i}})\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{t_{i}})\right)\right]\mathrm{d}x_{1}\ldots\mathrm{d}x_{n}$$

for  $s_1, \ldots, s_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n \in [0, 1]$ .

Similarly to Corollary 2.23, we can show that

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{r_{i}})\prod_{j=1}^{m}J_{s_{j},t_{j}}^{\varepsilon}\Phi\right] = \int_{T_{\varepsilon}^{m}} \mathbf{dsdt}E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{i}}(\omega_{r_{i}})\prod_{j=1}^{m}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s_{j}}-\omega_{t_{j}})\Phi\right]$$

for  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in [0, 1]$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We omit the detail of the proof.

### 2.5 Differentiability

In this subsection, we will look at the differentiability of

$$\rho(\varepsilon) := E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\Phi\right]$$

in  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\Phi$  is any bounded random variable.

**Lemma 2.25.**  $\rho$  is differentiable at  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  for any bounded random variable  $\Phi$  and satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\rho(\varepsilon) = \lambda \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}sE\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\right] + \left(\lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_2(\varepsilon)\right)\rho(\varepsilon).$$

**Remark 2.26.** In [Bol93],  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  with some  $\Phi$  is used to construct the polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$ . The author focused on a lower bound for

$$\rho(\varepsilon_1) - \rho(\varepsilon_2).$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ . He avoided the discussion of differentiability of  $\rho$  and used the lower bound derived from the derivative of the modified function in which  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}$  is replaced by  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,a}$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\Phi$  is a positive random variable. Fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1 - \varepsilon)$  be small enough. Then, we have

$$\rho(\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}) - \rho(\varepsilon) = -E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}, \lambda}\right) \left(\exp\left(\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}, \lambda} - \overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon, \lambda}\right) - 1\right)\Phi\right].$$

We can cope with the deterministic part in  $\overline{J}_{0,1}^{,\lambda}$  easily. Thus, it is enough to focus on

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(\exp\left(\lambda\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-1\right)\Phi\right].$$

Since  $\lambda \left( J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}} - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} \right)$  is negative, we have

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(\exp\left(\lambda\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-1\right)\Phi\right]$$
  
$$\geq\lambda E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\Phi\right]$$
  
$$=-\lambda\int_{T_{\varepsilon}\setminus T_{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})\Phi\right]$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}\to 0} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varepsilon}} \int_{T_{\varepsilon}\setminus T_{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right) \delta_0(\omega_u-\omega_v)\Phi\right]$$

$$= \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\right].$$

On the other hand, we can use the following bound obtained by Rosen [Ros83, p.336, just below (4.4)]: For any  $k \ge 2$  and p > 4, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{k}\right] \leq C|T_{\varepsilon}\backslash T_{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}|^{\frac{k}{p}} \leq C\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{\frac{k}{p}},\tag{2.27}$$

where  $|T_{\varepsilon} \setminus T_{\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}}|$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $T_{\varepsilon} \setminus T_{\varepsilon + \widetilde{\varepsilon}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\overline{\lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}\to 0}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varepsilon}} E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right) \left(\exp\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)-1\right) \Phi: J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}>\delta\right] = 0$$

Let r > 0 be a small constant and take  $\delta > 0$  such that  $1 - e^{-x} \ge (1 - r)x$  for  $0 \le x \le \delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} &E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(\exp\left(\lambda\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-1\right)\Phi\right]\\ &\leq -(1-r)\lambda E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}\right)\Phi:J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}\leq\delta\right]+o(\widetilde{\varepsilon})\\ &\leq -(1-r)\lambda E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right)\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}\right)\Phi\right]+o(\widetilde{\varepsilon}), \end{split}$$

where we have used (2.27) in the last line. Thus, we have

$$\overline{\lim_{\widetilde{\varepsilon}\to 0}} \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varepsilon}} E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon},\lambda}\right) \left(\exp\left(\lambda\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon+\widetilde{\varepsilon}}-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)-1\right)\Phi\right] \\
\leq (1-r)\lambda \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\right].$$

Since 0 < r < 1 is arbitrary, the right differentiability follows. The left differentiability follows by the same kind of argument.

### 3 Some estimates with respect to $\nu_{\varepsilon,\lambda}$

### 3.1 Recursive estimates

For 
$$x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
, we set  $p_t(x) := (2\pi t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2t}\right)$ , and  
 $g_t^{\varepsilon,\lambda}(x) := E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\delta_x(\omega_t)\right].$ 

In [Bol93] and [AZ98] it was shown that for any given  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  there is a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\left|g_t^{\varepsilon,\lambda}(x) - p_t(x)\right| \le Ct^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{2t}(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Here, we remark that the constant C in (3.1) can be chosen independently of  $\lambda$ , at least for small  $\lambda$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , we define an *n*-points "transition density" by

$$g_{t}^{T,\varepsilon,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) := E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,T}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\delta_{x_{1}}(\omega_{t_{1}})\cdots\delta_{x_{n}}(\omega_{t_{n}})\right],$$

for  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  with  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T \leq 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^n$ . The following lemma is provided in [AZ98, Lemma 4.1].

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $n \ge 1$ , there exists a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$g_{t}^{T,\varepsilon,\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) \leq Cp_{2t_{1}}(x_{1})p_{2(t_{2}-t_{1})}(x_{2}-x_{1})\cdots p_{2(t_{n}-t_{n-1})}(x_{n}-x_{n-1}).$$

for  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^n$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $\psi : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded and infinitely often differentiable with bounded derivatives of all orders, and for  $0 \le s < t \le 1$ , we define  $\Psi_{s,t}$  by

$$\Psi_{s,t} := \exp\left(\int_s^t \psi(u,\omega_u) \mathrm{d}u\right).$$
(3.2)

As was shown in [Bol93, §4], (3.1) implies that for any such  $\psi$  and  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  there is a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{1},\lambda}\right)\Psi_{0,1}\right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{2},\lambda}\right)\Psi_{0,1}\right] \right| \le C(\varepsilon_{1}^{\delta} + \varepsilon_{2}^{\delta})$$
(3.3)

for all  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$ , where  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . This implies that the limit

$$N(\lambda) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\right] \in (0,\infty)$$
(3.4)

exists for all  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$ . Strict positivity was proved in [AZ98]. In this section, we will give a similar estimate for more general functions  $\Phi$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded measurable function. Let  $\Delta_{u,v;s,t} := \{(\sigma, \tau) : u \leq \sigma \leq v, s \leq \tau \leq t\}$ , and for any fixed  $a \in (0, 1)$  set

$$\Phi_{u,v;s,t} := \int_{T_a \cap \Delta_{u,v;s,t}} f(\omega_\sigma - \omega_\tau) \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau$$
(3.5)

where  $T_a := \{(s,t) \in [0,1]^2 : t-s \ge a\}$  as above. For short, we set  $\Phi := \Phi_{0,1;0,1}$  and  $\Phi_{s,t} := \Phi_{s,t;s,t}$ . We set

$$\rho(\varepsilon) := E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)\Phi\right].$$
(3.6)

The main aim of this section is to prove the following lemma, that will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 below.
**Lemma 3.2.** There are constants  $C \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\tilde{\delta} \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$|\rho(\varepsilon_1) - \rho(\varepsilon_2)| \le C \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)| (\varepsilon_1^{\widetilde{\delta}} + \varepsilon_2^{\widetilde{\delta}})$$
(3.7)

for  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$ .

The proof of Lemma 3.2 will be provided in Section 3.2 below. The estimate (3.7), with  $|\Phi|$  replaced by  $\Psi_{0,1}$  in (3.2), was already proved by Bolthausen in [Bol93, §4]. By modifying his argument one can see that the discussion in [Bol93, §4] is also suitable for the present case. Since  $|\Phi_{0,t+s}|$  is not equal to  $|\Phi_{0,s}||\Phi_{s,s+t}|$ , some arguments given in the proof of Lemma 3.2 below are different from those given in [Bol93, §4].

**Remark 3.3.** • Lemma 3.2 is true for  $|\Phi|$  where  $\Phi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2$  for  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2$  of the form of the  $\Phi$  entering in (3.5). A typical example of  $\Phi$  to which Lemma 3.2 applies is:

$$\Phi := \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(u, h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}, a_{n-1}}(u, h) \quad h \in K$$

where  $\widetilde{J}$  was defined just after Corollary 2.2.

• We are able to generalize (3.7) as follows: Let h be a given function in  $K_0$  (with  $K_0$  is as in Theorem 1.7 and K as in Section 1.3) and  $U_{s,t}(h) := h'_t \omega_t - h'_s \omega_s - \int_s^t \omega_\tau h''_\tau d\tau$  with  $U_1(h) := U_{0,1}(h)$ . Let F be an element of  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ , the set of continuously differentiable functions F with  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}} |F(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}} |\partial_{\alpha}F(x)| < \infty$ . Then, we

have (with  $\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$  is given after (1.7))

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{1},\lambda}\right)F(\Phi,U_{1},\omega_{t_{1}},\cdots,\omega_{t_{n}})\right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{2},\lambda}\right)F(\Phi,U_{1},\omega_{t_{1}},\cdots,\omega_{t_{n}})\right] \right| \\ &\leq C\left( \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+2}}|F(x)| + \max|f|\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+2}}|\partial_{x_{1}}F(x)| + \sum_{i=2}^{n+2}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+2}}|\partial_{x_{i}}F(x)| \right)(\varepsilon_{1}^{\delta_{0}} + \varepsilon_{2}^{\delta_{0}}), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_n \le 1$ .

• We also have another generalization: For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  be any bounded measurable function. For  $a_i \in (0, 1)$  and  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , set

$$\Psi_{u,v;s,t}^{(i)} := \int_{T_{a_i} \cap \Delta_{u,v;s,t}} f_i(\omega_\tau - \omega_\sigma) \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Then, we have that for any given  $\ell \geq 1$ , there are constants  $C \in (0,\infty)$  and  $\tilde{\delta} \in (0,1)$  such that (with  $\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$  is given after (1.7))

$$\left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{1},\lambda}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi_{0,1;0,1}^{(i)} \right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{2},\lambda}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \Psi_{0,1;0,1}^{(i)} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq C \prod_{i=1}^{m} \max_{x \in R^3} |f_i(x)| \left(\varepsilon_1^{\widetilde{\delta}} + \varepsilon_2^{\widetilde{\delta}}\right)$$

for  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1)$ . For the proof, we remark that the same argument as the proof of Lemma 3.2 can be applied in view of the fact that

$$\left|\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + v_i) - \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_i| \prod_{j \ne i} |x_j + v_j| \lor |x_j|$$

for any  $x_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n).

**Remark 3.4.** Lemma 3.2 is the same as the one in [ARZ96] and the idea of the proof is based on the one in [ARZ96] (where the detailed estimation of some integrals was omitted, but we confirm that it can be provided).

#### 3.2 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Proof of Lemma 3.2. For short, we will denote  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|$  by  $\max |f|$ . Also, we remark that  $\rho(\varepsilon) \leq C \max |f|$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . From Lemma 2.25, we have the following relation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\rho(\varepsilon) = \lambda \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}sE\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\right] + \left(\lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_2(\varepsilon)\right)\rho(\varepsilon).$$
(3.8)

We remark that in [Bol93] the differentiability of  $\rho(\varepsilon)$  is not provided.

We decompose  $\overline{J}$  as follows (see Figure 1):

$$\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} = \lambda J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} - \lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon) 
= \lambda J_{0,s}^{\varepsilon} - \lambda s \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \lambda^2 s \kappa_2(\varepsilon) 
+ \lambda J_{s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon} - \lambda(1 - s - \varepsilon) \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \lambda^2(1 - s - \varepsilon) \kappa_2(\varepsilon) 
+ \lambda J_{0,s;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon} + \lambda J_{0,s;s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + \lambda J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon(\lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon)) 
= \overline{J}_{0,s}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \overline{J}_{s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \lambda J_{0,s;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon} + \lambda J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + \lambda J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon(\lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon))$$
(3.9)

and set

`

$$\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda} := \overline{J}_{0,s}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \overline{J}_{s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \lambda J_{0,s;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon}.$$

**Remark 3.5.** The readers have to be careful not to confuse it with the similar notation  $\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon,a}(u,h)$  given just after Corollary 2.2.

It is obvious from the definition of  $\kappa_1$  in (1.4) and  $\kappa_2$  entering (1.7) that

$$K_{\varepsilon,\lambda} := \varepsilon(\lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon)) = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(3.10)



Figure 1: A decomposition of  $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}$ .

Using the fact that  $1 - x \le e^{-x} \le 1 - x + \frac{1}{2}x^2$  for x > 0, one shows that

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\Phi\right] = E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\Phi\right]e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} -\lambda E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})(J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}+J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon})\Phi\right]e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} + R_{\lambda,s,\varepsilon},$$
(3.11)

where the remainder term  $R_{\lambda,s,\varepsilon}$  is dominated by

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{2} E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) (J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon})^2 |\Phi|\right] e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}$$

Substituting this into (3.8), we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\rho(\varepsilon) = \lambda \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}sE\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\Phi\right]e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}$$

$$-\lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s E \left[ e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon}) (J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}+J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon}) \Phi \right] e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}} +\lambda \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s R_{\lambda,s,\varepsilon} + \left( \lambda \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_{1}(\varepsilon) - \lambda^{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_{2}(\varepsilon) \right) \rho(\varepsilon).$$
(3.12)

We set

$$\begin{split} I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(1)} &:= E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\Phi\right],\\ I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} &:= E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})(J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon})\Phi\right],\\ I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} &:= E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})(J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon})^2\Phi\right]. \end{split}$$

and will prove that there exists  $\widetilde{\delta} \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(1)} ds = -(1-\varepsilon)\rho(\varepsilon) \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \kappa_{1}(\varepsilon) + \lambda(1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon) \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon)\rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon^{\tilde{\delta}-1}$$

$$= -(1-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon\lambda\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon))\rho(\varepsilon) \frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon^{\tilde{\delta}-1}$$
(3.13)

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} \mathrm{d}s = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_2(\varepsilon) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}-1}$$
(3.14)

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} \mathrm{d}s \le O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.15)

(3.13)-(3.15) will be proven in Sections 3.2.1–3.2.3 below. Once we obtain (3.13)-(3.15), we deduce from (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\rho(\varepsilon) &= -\lambda\left((1-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon\lambda\kappa_1(\varepsilon))e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}-1\right)\rho(\varepsilon)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_1(\varepsilon) \\ &+\lambda^2\left(e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}-1\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_2(\varepsilon)\rho(\varepsilon) \\ &+O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}-1}e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}+O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we find from (1.5), (1.7), and (3.10) that

$$(1-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon\lambda\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon))e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}-1 = (1-\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon\lambda\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon))\left(1+\varepsilon\left(\lambda\kappa_{1}(\varepsilon)-\lambda^{2}\kappa_{2}(\varepsilon)\right)+O(\varepsilon)\right)-1$$
$$= O\left(\varepsilon\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$
$$e^{K_{\varepsilon,\lambda}}-1 = \left(1+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)-1 = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right),$$

and hence we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\rho(\varepsilon) &= O\left(\varepsilon\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\rho(\varepsilon)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \lambda^2 O(1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\rho(\varepsilon)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_2(\varepsilon) + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{-\max\{\frac{1}{2},1-\tilde{\delta}\}} \\ &= O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\hat{\delta}-1}, \end{split}$$

for some  $\hat{\delta} \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\delta}\})$ , where we have used (A.8). From this, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.1.

### **3.2.1 Proof of** (3.15)

Proof. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\begin{split} \left| I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} \right| &\leq E \left[ e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) (J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon})^2 |\Phi| \right] \\ &\leq 2E \left[ e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) (|J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}|^2 + |J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon}|^2) |\Phi| \right]. \end{split}$$

By definition, we know that

$$|J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}|^{2} = \int_{([0,s]\times[s,s+\varepsilon])^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{2} 1\{\tau_{i} - \sigma_{i} \ge \varepsilon\} \delta_{0}(\omega_{\sigma_{i}} - \omega_{\tau_{i}}) \mathrm{d}\tau_{i} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{i}$$

and by using Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$E\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{\sigma_{1}}-\omega_{\tau_{1}})\delta_{0}(\omega_{\sigma_{2}}-\omega_{\tau_{2}})\right]$$

$$\leq \begin{cases}
C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dx\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dy\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dzp_{2\sigma_{1}}(x)p_{2(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1})}(x,y)p_{2(s-\sigma_{2})}(y,z) \\ \times p_{2(\tau_{1}-s)}(z,x)p_{2(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})}(x,y)p_{2(s+\varepsilon-\tau_{2})}(y,z) \\ \text{if } 0 < \sigma_{1} < \sigma_{2} < s < \tau_{1} < \tau_{2} < s + \varepsilon; \\
C\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dx\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dy\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dzp_{2\sigma_{1}}(x)p_{2(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1})}(x,y)p_{2(s-\sigma_{2})}(y,z) \\ \times p_{2(\tau_{2}-s)}(z,y)p_{2(\tau_{1}-\tau_{2})}(y,x)p_{2(s+\varepsilon-\tau_{1})}(x,z) \\ \text{if } 0 < \sigma_{1} < \sigma_{2} < s < \tau_{2} < \tau_{1} < s + \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$
(3.16)

By the relations

$$p_s(x)p_t(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi(s+t))^{3/2}} p_{\frac{st}{s+t}}(x),$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_s(x,y)p_t(y,z)dy = p_{s+t}(x,z),$$

the right-hand side in (3.16) is given by

$$C((\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(\tau_2 - \tau_1)(s + \varepsilon - \tau_2 + s - \sigma_2) + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_2 - \tau_1)(s + \varepsilon - \tau_2)(s - \sigma_2) + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_2 - \tau_1)(s + \varepsilon - \tau_2 + s - \sigma_2)(\tau_1 - s))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

for  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < s + \varepsilon$  and

$$C(\tau_2 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(\tau_1 - \tau_2) + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_1 - \tau_2)(s - \sigma_2)(\tau_2 - s) + (\tau_2 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_1 - \tau_2)(s + \varepsilon - \tau_1))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

for  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s < \tau_2 < \tau_1 < s + \varepsilon$ .



Figure 2: The transitions for the case that  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < s + \varepsilon$ . When we regard it as an electrical circuit, the computation technique of the resistance helps us to compute the integrals with respect to the space variables x, y, z.

Hence, we have

$$E\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})|J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}|^{2}|\Phi|\right] \leq C\max|f|\int_{0<\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}< s<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}< s+\varepsilon} d\sigma_{1}d\tau_{1}d\sigma_{2}d\tau_{2} \\ [(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1})(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})(s+\varepsilon-\tau_{2}+s-\sigma_{2}) \\ + (\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})(s+\varepsilon-\tau_{2}+s-\sigma_{2})(s-\sigma_{2}) \\ + (\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}+\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})(s+\varepsilon-\tau_{2}+s-\sigma_{2})(\tau_{1}-s)]^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

+ C max 
$$|f| \int_{0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s < \tau_2 < \tau_1 < s + \varepsilon} d\sigma_1 d\tau_1 d\sigma_2 d\tau_2$$
  

$$[(\tau_2 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(\tau_1 - \tau_2) + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_1 - \tau_2)(s - \sigma_2)(\tau_2 - s) + (\tau_2 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \tau_1 - \tau_2)(s + \varepsilon - \tau_1)]^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Changing variables  $\tau_i - s = \varepsilon t_i$ ,  $s - \sigma_i = \varepsilon s_i$  (i = 1, 2), we have

$$E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})|J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}|^{2}|\Phi|\right]$$

$$\leq C\max|f|\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\iint_{0

$$[(s_{1}-s_{2})(t_{2}-t_{1})(1-t_{2}+s_{2})+(s_{1}-s_{2}+t_{2}-t_{1})(1-t_{2})s_{2}$$

$$+(s_{1}-s_{2}+t_{2}-t_{1})(1-t_{2}+s_{2})t_{1}]^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$$$

$$+ \iint_{0 < s_2 < s_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < t_1 < 1} ds_1 ds_2 dt_1 dt_2 [(t_2 + s_2)(s_1 - s_2)(t_1 - t_2) + (s_1 - s_2 + t_1 - t_2)s_2 t_2 + (t_2 + s_2)(s_1 - s_2 + t_1 - t_2)(1 - t_1)]^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
  
$$= C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.17)

For details see Appendix A.1.

Similarly, we have that

$$E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})|J_{s,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon}|^2|\Phi|\right] \le C\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this way we then obtain (3.15).

### **3.2.2 Proof of** (3.13)

Before starting the proof of (3.13), we give two propositions.

**Proposition 3.6.** We take (s,t) and u, v such as

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0\leq s\leq 1-\varepsilon, & 1-\varepsilon\leq t\leq 1\\ 0\leq u\leq s\leq v\leq t, & v-u\leq \varepsilon. \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$E\left[\delta_0(\omega_v-\omega_u)e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}}\Phi_{0,t}\right]$$

$$= p_{v-u}(0)E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,t-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\lambda Y_{u,v}}\Phi_{0,t-(v-u)}\right] + O(1)\max|f|(v-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$
(3.18)

where

$$Y_{u,v} := Y_{u,v}^{t,\varepsilon} = \iiint_{\substack{u < \tau < (u+\varepsilon) \land (t-(v-u)) \\ u - (v-\varepsilon) < \tau - \sigma < \varepsilon}} \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau \delta_0(\omega_\tau - \omega_\sigma).$$





Figure 3: The domains in the integrals  $\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}, \overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ , and  $J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}$ .

Figure 4: The domains in the integral is shifted by the Markov property of Brownian motion.

*Proof.* By definition we know that

$$|\Phi_{0,u;u,v}| + |\Phi_{u,v;v,t}| + |\Phi_{u,v;u,v}| \le C \max |f|(v-u).$$

This and Lemma 3.1 imply that

$$E\left[\delta_{0}(\omega_{v}-\omega_{u})e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}}\left(|\Phi_{0,u;u,v}|+|\Phi_{u,v;v,t}|+|\Phi_{u,v;u,v}|\right)\right]$$

$$\leq C\max|f|(v-u)E\left[\delta_{0}(\omega_{v}-\omega_{u})e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\right]$$

$$\leq C\max|f|(v-u)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}dxdyg_{u}(x)p_{v-u}(0)g_{t-v}(y-x)$$

$$\leq C\max|f|(v-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Similarly we have

$$E\left[\delta_{0}(\omega_{v}-\omega_{u})e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}}\left|\int_{T_{a}\cap\left\{\begin{array}{c}(u-a)\vee0<\sigma< u\\v<\tau<(v+a)\wedge t\\\tau-\sigma\leq v-u+a\end{array}\right\}}f(\omega_{\tau}-\omega_{\sigma})\mathrm{d}\tau\mathrm{d}\sigma\right|\right]$$



Figure 5: Decomposition of the integral of  $\Phi$ .

that

$$\begin{split} E \left[ \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,t} \right] \\ &= O(1) \max |f| (v-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ E \left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}} \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) \left( \Phi_{0,t} - \Phi_{0,u;u,v} - \Phi_{u,v;v,t} - \Phi_{u,v;u,v} - \Theta_{a,u,v} \right) \right] \\ &= O(1) \max |f| (v-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ E \left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}} \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) \left( \Phi_{0,u} + \Phi_{v,t} + \Phi_{0,u;v,t} - \Theta_{a,u,v} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

By the Markov property of Brownian motion and the shift invariance of J we have that conditioned on  $\omega_v = \omega_u$ , the following equality in distribution holds.

$$(\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}+\overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}+\lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon},\Phi_{0,u},\Phi_{v,t},\Phi_{0,u;v,t}-\Theta_{a,u,v})$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} (\overline{J}_{0,t-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \lambda Y_{u,v}, \Phi_{0,u}, \Phi_{u,t-(v-u)}, \Phi_{0,u;u,t-(v-u)}).$$

From this we have

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,t}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,t}^{\varepsilon}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{v} - \omega_{u}) \left(\Phi_{0,u} + \Phi_{v,t} + \Phi_{0,u;v,t} - \Theta_{a,u,v}\right)\right]$$
  
=  $p_{v-u}(0)E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,t-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda Y_{u,v}} \left(\Phi_{0,u} + \Phi_{u,t-(v-u)} + \Phi_{0,u;u,t-(v-u)}\right)\right]$   
=  $p_{v-u}(0)E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,t-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda Y_{u,v}} \Phi_{0,t-(v-u)}\right].$ 

From this equality and the inequalities above we obtain the desired estimate of this proposition.

**Lemma 3.7** (cf. [Bol93, (4.6)]). There exists  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$  such that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r \leq 2\varepsilon$ ,

$$\left| E\left[ e^{-\bar{J}_{0,1-r}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \right] - E\left[ e^{-\bar{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi \right] \right| \le C \max |f| \varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* This estimate is proved similarly to [Bol93, (4.6)]. We omit the detailed proof.

*Proof of* (3.13). Applying Proposition 3.6 for u = s,  $v = s + \varepsilon$ , and t = 1, we have

$$\begin{split} I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(1)} &= O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + p_{\varepsilon}(0) E\left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon} \right] \\ &= O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + p_{\varepsilon}(0) \left( E\left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon} \right] - \lambda E\left[ Y_{s,s+\varepsilon} e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon} \right] \right. \\ &\quad + O(1) \lambda^2 E\left[ Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}^2 e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon} \right] \right) \\ &=: O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + p_{\varepsilon}(0) \left( A_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} - \lambda A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} + O(1) \lambda^2 A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} \right). \end{split}$$

Here, we note that  $p_{\varepsilon}(0) = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon}\kappa_1(\varepsilon)$ . Since

$$A_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi_{0,1}\right] + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon,$$

Lemma 3.7 gives that

$$A_{\varepsilon}^{(1)} = \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} = E\left[Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}^2 e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon}\right] \le C \max|f|\varepsilon$$
(3.19)

(see Appendix A.2) and hence,

$$p_{\varepsilon}(0)\lambda^2 A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(3)} \le C \max |f|\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $0 \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon$ .

In the rest, we will prove that

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 - 2\varepsilon \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \left( \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon, & \varepsilon \le s \le 1 - 2\varepsilon \\ \frac{2}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \left( 2\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon, & 0 \le s \le \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} \mathrm{d}s = (1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon\kappa_1(\varepsilon)\rho(\varepsilon) + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon,$$

which then, completes the proof of (3.13).

Since

$$E\left[Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}|\Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon}-\Phi_{0,1}|\right] \le C\max|f|\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} &= E\left[Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon}\right] \\ &= E\left[Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi_{0,1}\right] + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= E\left[\int\int_{0\le u\le s\le v\le 1-\varepsilon, v-u\le \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\delta_0(\omega_v-\omega_u)e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi_{0,1}\right] + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &=: A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1)} + O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As in (3.11), we have

$$\begin{split} A^{(2,1)}_{\varepsilon,s} \\ &= E\left[\int \int_{0 \le u \le s \le v \le 1-\varepsilon, v-u \le \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1-\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,1}\right] \\ &= E\left[\int \int_{0 \le u \le s \le v \le 1-\varepsilon, v-u \le \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,u} - \overline{J}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}_{v,1-\varepsilon} - \lambda J^{\varepsilon}_{0,u;v,1-\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,1} e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}}\right] \\ &- O(1)\lambda E\left[\int \int_{0 \le u \le s \le v \le 1-\varepsilon, v-u \le \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,u} - \overline{J}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}_{v,1-\varepsilon} - \lambda J^{\varepsilon}_{0,u;v,1-\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,1} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(J^{\varepsilon}_{0,u;u,v} + J^{\varepsilon}_{u,v;v,1-\varepsilon}\right) e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}} \right] \end{split}$$

$$=: A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1)} - O(1)\lambda A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,2)},$$

where

$$K_{\varepsilon,\theta,\lambda} := \theta(\lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon)).$$

Using the same argument as in the proof of (3.15), one proves that

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,2)} = O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon \tag{3.20}$$

for  $0 \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon$  (see Appendix A.3).

Applying Proposition 3.6, we get that

$$\begin{split} &A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1)} \\ &= E\left[\iint_{0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u \leq \varepsilon} \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}} \Phi_{0,1} e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}}\right] \\ &= \iint_{0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u \leq \varepsilon} p_{v-u}(0) E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda Y_{u,v}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}\right] e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v \\ &\quad + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= \iint_{0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u \leq \varepsilon} p_{v-u}(0) E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - (v-u)} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}\right] e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v \\ &\quad - O(1) \lambda \iint_{0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u \leq \varepsilon} p_{v-u}(0) E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - (v-u)} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)} Y_{u,v}\right] e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}} \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{d} v \\ &\quad + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &=: A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1,1)} - O(1) \lambda A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1,2)} + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1,2)} &\leq C \iint_{0 \leq u \leq s \leq v \leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u \leq \varepsilon} p_{v-u}(0) E \left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)} Y_{u,v} \right] \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\ &= O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon$ . Since

$$\iint_{0 \le u \le s \le v \le 1-\varepsilon, v-u \le \varepsilon} p_{v-u}(0) \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v = \begin{cases} 4\sqrt{1-\varepsilon-s} - 2\frac{1-\varepsilon-s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, & 1-2\varepsilon \le s \le 1-\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\varepsilon, & \varepsilon \le s \le 1-2\varepsilon \\ \frac{2}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \left(2\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right), & 0 \le s \le \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\left|\Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon-(v-u)} - \Phi_{0,1}\right|\right] = O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon, \quad \text{for } 0 < v-u < \varepsilon,$$

Lemma 3.7 yields that

$$\begin{pmatrix}
O(1)\max|f|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} & 1-2\varepsilon \le s \le 1-\varepsilon \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1,1)} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon \left( \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon, & \varepsilon \le s \le 1 - 2\varepsilon \\ \frac{2}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \left( 2\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f|\varepsilon & 0 \le s \le \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1,1)} = \begin{cases} O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 - 2\varepsilon \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \left( \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon, & \varepsilon \le s \le 1 - 2\varepsilon \\ \frac{2}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \left( 2\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon & 0 \le s \le \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Putting things together, we obtain that

$$A_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 - 2\varepsilon \le s \le 1 - \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \left( \kappa_1(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon, & \varepsilon \le s \le 1 - 2\varepsilon \\ \frac{2}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3} \left( 2\sqrt{s} - \frac{s}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \rho(\varepsilon) + O(1) \max |f| \varepsilon & 0 \le s \le \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

## **3.2.3** Proof of (3.14)

 $\operatorname{Let}$ 

$$I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1)} := E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})J_{0,s;s,s+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\Phi\right],$$
  
$$I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,2)} := E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})J_{0,s+\varepsilon;s+\varepsilon,1}^{\varepsilon}\Phi\right].$$

As in [Bol93, §4], we choose  $1 > \beta > \gamma > \frac{1}{2} > \alpha > 0$ . Letting

$$\begin{split} T^1(\varepsilon^{\alpha}) &:= \{(s, u, v) : s < \varepsilon^{\alpha}, 0 < u < s < v < s + \varepsilon, v - u \ge \varepsilon\} \\ &\cup \{(s, u, v) : s > 1 - \varepsilon^{\alpha}, 0 < u < s < v < s + \varepsilon, v - u \ge \varepsilon\}, \\ T^2(\varepsilon^{\alpha}, \varepsilon^{\beta}) &:= \{(s, u, v) : \varepsilon^{\alpha} < s < 1 - \varepsilon^{\alpha}, 0 < u < s - \varepsilon^{\beta} < s < v < s + \varepsilon, v - u \ge \varepsilon\}, \end{split}$$

we find that

$$\int_{T^{1}(\varepsilon^{\alpha})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})|\Phi|\right]$$
  
$$\leq C \max|f| \int_{T^{1}(\varepsilon^{\alpha})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y p_{2u}(x) p_{2(s-u)}(x,y) p_{2(v-s)}(y,x) p_{2(s+\varepsilon-v)}(x,y)$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\int_{T^2(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_0(\omega_v - \omega_u) |\Phi|\right] \\ &\leq C \max|f| \int_{T^2(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \int \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y p_{2u}(x) p_{2(s-u)}(x,y) p_{2(v-s)}(y,x) p_{2(s+\varepsilon-v)}(x,y) \\ &\leq C \max|f| \varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1)} = \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{u < s < v < s+\varepsilon, v-u > \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E \left[ e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_0(\omega_u - \omega_v) \Phi \right]$$
$$= \int_{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}^{1-\varepsilon^{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon^{\beta}}^{s} \mathrm{d}u \int_{s \lor (u+\varepsilon)}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v E \left[ e^{-\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_0(\omega_u - \omega_v) \Phi \right]$$
$$+ \max |f| O \left( \varepsilon^{(\alpha-1) \land \left( -\frac{\beta+1}{2} \right)} \right).$$

We write

$$T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha}, \varepsilon^{\beta}) := \{ (s, u, v) : \varepsilon^{\alpha} < s < 1 - \varepsilon^{\alpha}, s - \varepsilon^{\beta} < u < s < v < s + \varepsilon, v - u \ge \varepsilon \}$$
$$= \{ (s, u, v) : \varepsilon^{\alpha} < s < 1 - \varepsilon^{\alpha}, s - \varepsilon^{\beta} < u < s \lor (u + \varepsilon) < v < s + \varepsilon \}.$$

For  $\varepsilon^{\gamma} \leq s \leq 1 - \varepsilon^{\gamma}$ , we set

$$\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda} := \overline{J}_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \overline{J}_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \lambda J_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon}$$

Let us recall that  $1 > \beta > \gamma > \frac{1}{2} > \alpha$ . We note that the deterministic part in  $\widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda} - \widetilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}$  derived from  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  is of order  $\varepsilon^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}$  and the random part comes from the intersection local time is positive and dominated by

$$\lambda \left( J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon} - J_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon} - J_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon} - J_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon} \right) = \lambda \left( J_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon} \right) \\ = \lambda \left( J_{0,s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon} + J_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon} \right) \quad (3.21)$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{T^3_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\tilde{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_0(\omega_u - \omega_v)\Phi\right]$$
$$= \int_{T^3_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\tilde{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} \delta_0(\omega_s - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_0(\omega_u - \omega_v)\Phi\right]$$

$$+ O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \int_{T^{3}_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\check{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})|\Phi|\right] \\ + O(1) \int_{T^{3}_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\check{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} J^{\varepsilon}_{0,s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})|\Phi|\right] \\ + O(1) \int_{T^{3}_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\check{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} J^{\varepsilon}_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})|\Phi|\right].$$

Moreover, we can see from Appendix A.4 that there exists  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\int_{T^3_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\check{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} J^{\varepsilon}_{0,s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}} \delta_0(\omega_s-\omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_0(\omega_u-\omega_v) |\Phi|\right] = O(\varepsilon^{\delta-1})$$
(3.22)

$$\int_{T^3_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\tilde{J}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}_{0,1}} J^{\varepsilon}_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},s+\varepsilon^{\gamma};s-\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} \delta_0(\omega_s-\omega_{s+\varepsilon}) \delta_0(\omega_u-\omega_v) |\Phi|\right] = O(\varepsilon^{\delta-1}).$$
(3.23)

Thus, we have

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1)} = \left(1 + O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma - \frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(\omega_{s} - \omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u} - \omega_{v})\Phi\right]$$

$$+ \max |f|O(\varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}-1}).$$
(3.24)

for some  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ .

Also, we can see that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}vE\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})\Phi\right] \\ &= \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}vE\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right] \\ &+ \max|f|O(\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}) \\ &= \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \iint \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}yE\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(\omega_{s}-\omega_{s+\varepsilon})\delta_{0}(\omega_{u}-\omega_{v})\right. \\ &\quad \times \delta_{0}(x-\omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}})\delta_{0}(y-\omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}})\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right] \\ &+ \max|f|O(\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}) \\ &= \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v \iint \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}yE\left[e^{-\tilde{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\delta_{0}(x-\omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}})\right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\left|\omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}}=y\right]q_{u,s,v}(x,y) \end{split}$$

$$+\max|f|O(\varepsilon^{\gamma-1}),\tag{3.25}$$

where

$$q_{u,s,v}(x,y) := \int \mathrm{d}z \int \mathrm{d}w p_{u-(s-\varepsilon^{\gamma})}(x,z) p_{s-u}(z,w) p_{v-s}(w,z) p_{s+\varepsilon-v}(z,w) p_{(s+\varepsilon^{\gamma})-(s+\varepsilon)}(w,y).$$

Explicit calculation implies

$$q_{u,s,v}(x,y) = \tau p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon - (s-u) + \sigma}(x,y),$$

where

$$\tau := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} ((s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s) + (s + \varepsilon - v)(s - u) + (v - s)(s - u))^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$
  
$$\sigma := \frac{(s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s)(s - u)}{(s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s) + (s + \varepsilon - e)(s - u) + (v - s)(s - u)} \le s - u.$$

In particular, we have  $2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon^{\beta} - \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon - (s - u) + \sigma \leq 2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon$ . Let

$$r_{u,s,v}(x,y) := q_{u,s,v}(x,y) - \tau p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(x,y).$$

Then, we derive in a similar way to (3.22)-(3.23) that

$$\int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \iint \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(x-\omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}) \times \left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right) \middle| \omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}}=y\right] \tau p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(x,y)$$

$$= \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \tau E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right]$$

$$= \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \tau E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi_{0,1}\right] + O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \max|f| \qquad (3.26)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\kappa_2(\varepsilon)}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \rho(\varepsilon) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}}\right) \max|f|, \qquad (3.27)$$

where we have used (A.9) in the last line. For the proof of (3.26), we note that from (3.21) we have

$$\begin{split} &E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right]\\ &=E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right]\\ &+O\left(E\left[e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}}\left|\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}\right|\left(\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}+\Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}+\Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}\right)\right]\right) \end{split}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda} \leq 2\lambda\varepsilon^{\gamma}\kappa_1(\varepsilon) - \lambda^2\varepsilon^{\gamma}\kappa_2(\varepsilon) \leq 1$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough and  $|e^x - e^y| \leq e^{x+1}|x - y|$  for x + 1 > y. Then, it is easy to see that the last is  $O\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \max |f|$  and the first term is  $E\left[e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}}\Phi\right] + O(\varepsilon^{\gamma}) \max |f|$ . Also, we show that

$$\int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \iint \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y E \left[ e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(x - \omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}) \times \left( \Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}} + \Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} + \Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} \right) \middle| \omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}} = y \right] r_{u,s,v}(x,y)$$

$$= O\left(\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma-1}\right) \max |f|. \tag{3.28}$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |q_{u,s,v}(x,y) - \tau p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(x,y)| &\leq C\tau \frac{s+\varepsilon - u - \sigma}{2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon - (s-u) + \sigma} p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon - (s-u) + \sigma}(x,y) \\ &+ C\tau \frac{(s+\varepsilon - u - \sigma)|x-y|^2}{(2\varepsilon^{\gamma} - \varepsilon - (s-u) + \sigma)(2\varepsilon^{\gamma})} p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(x,y) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{\beta - \gamma} \tau \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{\varepsilon^{\gamma}}\right) p_{2\varepsilon^{\gamma}}(x,y) \\ &=: \widetilde{R}_{u,s,v}(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda} \geq \overline{J}_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} + \overline{J}_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ . Therefore, in view of Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \iint \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y E\left[ e^{-\check{J}_{0,1}^{s,\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(x-\omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}) \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. \left( \Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}} + \Phi_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} + \Phi_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma};s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1} \right) \right| \omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}} = y \right] r_{u,s,v}(x,y) \right| \end{split}$$

$$\leq \max |f| \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \\ \times \iint \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y E \left[ e^{-\overline{J}_{0,s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma},1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}} \delta_{0}(x-\omega_{s-\varepsilon^{\gamma}}) \right] \omega_{s+\varepsilon^{\gamma}} = y \right] \widetilde{R}_{u,s,v}(x,y) \\ \leq C \max |f| \varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma} \int_{T^{3}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta})} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v\tau \\ \leq O(\varepsilon^{\beta-\gamma-1}) \max |f|.$$

Thus, we obtain from (3.24)-(3.28) that

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_2(\varepsilon) \rho(\varepsilon) + \max|f| O(\varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}-1})$$

for some  $\tilde{\delta} \in (0, 1)$ .

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s I_{\varepsilon,s}^{(2,2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_2(\varepsilon) \rho(\varepsilon) + \max|f| O(\varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}-1})$$

for some  $\widetilde{\delta} \in (0, 1)$ .

## 4 Some estimates for the self-intersection local times with respect to $\nu_{\lambda}$

In Section 2, we actually proved that  $\{\widehat{J}_{2^{-\epsilon_0 n},2^{-n}}(u_1,u_2,h)\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\nu_0)$  for  $1 \leq p \leq 4$ . The aim of this section is to prove that this sequence is also Cauchy in  $L^1(\nu_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ . Lemma 3.2 will help us to achieve it. The main result in this section is the following theorem, which will play an important role in the proof that  $\nu_\lambda$  is h-quasi-invariant for  $h \in K_0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\gamma \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$  be the constant in Lemma 2.3. Then, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in (0, \infty)$  such that the followings hold.

(i) For any given  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ ,  $1 \le p < 4$  and  $h \in K$  (with K as in Section 1.3), there is a random variable  $\rho(u_1, u_2, h) \in L^p(\nu_\lambda)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\nu_{\lambda}} \left[ \left| \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(u_1, u_2, h) - \rho(u_1, u_2, h) \right|^p \right] = 0$$

 $(\widehat{J} \text{ is defined between Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 2.3}).$ 

(ii) For any given  $u_1, u_2 \in [-M, M]$   $(M > 0), \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0), h \in K$ , and  $1 \le p < 4$ , there is a constant  $C \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$E_{\nu_{\lambda}}[|\rho(u_1, u_2, h)|^p] \le C|u_1 - u_2|^{\gamma p}.$$

**Remark 4.2.** When  $u_1 = u$  and  $u_2 = 0$ , we have that

$$J_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h) \to \rho(u,0,h) =: \rho(u,h) \text{ in } L^p(\nu_\lambda)$$

as  $n \to \infty$  ( $\widetilde{J}$  was defined just after Corollary 2.2).

 $\sim$ 

**Remark 4.3.** Compared with [ARZ96], we only discuss the case for small  $\lambda$  and  $1 \leq p < 4$ . This is based on Lemma 2.3.

*Proof.* For  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in K$ , we let

$$\Phi_n = \Phi_n(u_1, u_2, h) := \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(u_1, u_2, h) - \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}, a_{n-1}}(u_1, u_2, h), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (4.1)

It follows that

$$\Phi_{n} = \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{n}} \cap \Delta_{0,1,0,1}} \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau \int e^{i\langle y,\omega_{\sigma}-\omega_{\tau}\rangle} \left( e^{i\langle y,u_{1}(h_{\sigma}-h_{\tau})\rangle} - e^{i\langle y,u_{2}(h_{\sigma}-h_{\tau})\rangle} \right) f_{a_{n}}(y) \mathrm{d}y$$
$$- \int_{T_{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \cap \Delta_{0,1,0,1}} \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau \int e^{i\langle y,\omega_{\sigma}-\omega_{\tau}\rangle} \left( e^{i\langle y,u_{1}(h_{\sigma}-h_{\tau})\rangle} - e^{i\langle y,u_{2}(h_{\sigma}-h_{\tau})\rangle} \right) f_{a_{n-1}}(y) \mathrm{d}y.$$

Hence,  $\Phi_n$  has the form  $\Phi^1 - \Phi^2$ , where  $\Phi^1$  and  $\Phi^2$  are functions defined by (3.5) with replacement of f by  $\tilde{f}_1$  and  $\tilde{f}_2$ , respectively (see Remark 3.3). Since  $|e^{ix} - e^{iy}| \leq |x - y|$ , there exists a constant C > 0 which is independent of  $\epsilon_0$  such that  $\max |\tilde{f}_1| + \max |\tilde{f}_2| \leq C|u_1 - u_2|2^{2n}$ . Therefore, Lemma 3.2 and Remark 3.3 imply that

$$\left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{1},\lambda}\right) |\Phi_{n}|^{p} \right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{2},\lambda}\right) |\Phi_{n}|^{p} \right] \right| \leq C |u_{1} - u_{2}|^{p} 2^{2pn} (\varepsilon_{1}^{\widetilde{\delta}} + \varepsilon_{2}^{\widetilde{\delta}}),$$
$$\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in (0,1).$$

Letting  $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$ , in view of (1.2) we have that for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ 

$$\left|N(\lambda)E_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left[|\Phi_{n}|^{p}\right] - E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right)|\Phi_{n}|^{p}\right]\right| \leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{p}2^{2pn}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{\delta}}.$$
(4.2)

We choose

$$\widetilde{\varepsilon}_n = 2^{-3p\widetilde{\delta}^{-1}n}.\tag{4.3}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\left| N(\lambda) E_{\nu_{\lambda}} \left[ |\Phi_n|^p \right] - E \left[ \exp\left( -\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n,\lambda}} \right) |\Phi_n|^p \right] \right| \le C |u_1 - u_2|^p 2^{-pn}.$$

$$\tag{4.4}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know that there is a constant  $\delta' \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$E\left[|\Phi_n|^{pq}\right] \le C_p |u_1 - u_2|^{pq\gamma} 2^{-pq\tilde{\delta}'n}$$

for  $q \ge 1$  with  $pq \le 4$ . From the definition of  $\overline{J}_{u,v}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}$ , we see that for  $q \ge 1$  and  $0 \le u < v \le 1$ ,

$$\exp\left(-\frac{q}{q-1}\overline{J}_{u,v}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}\right) = \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{u,v}^{\varepsilon,\frac{q}{q-1}\lambda} + \left(\frac{q^2}{(q-1)^2} - \frac{q}{q-1}\right)\lambda^2(v-u)\kappa_2(\varepsilon)\right)$$
$$= \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{u,v}^{\varepsilon,\frac{q}{q-1}\lambda}\right)\exp\left(\frac{q\lambda^2\kappa_2(\varepsilon)}{(q-1)^2}(v-u)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

This together with (3.1) implies that

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n},\lambda}\right)|\Phi_{n}|^{p}\right] \leq E\left[\exp\left(-\frac{q}{q-1}\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n},\lambda}\right)\right]^{\frac{q-1}{q}}E\left[|\Phi_{n}|^{pq}\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}2^{-p\widetilde{\delta}'n}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}\kappa_{2}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n})}{q-1}\right)E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n},\frac{q\lambda}{q-1}}\right)\right]^{\frac{q-1}{q}}$$
$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}2^{-p\widetilde{\delta}'n}\exp\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}\kappa_{2}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n})}{q-1}\right)$$
$$\leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}2^{-\frac{p\widetilde{\delta}'n}{2}},\qquad(4.6)$$

if  $\lambda > 0$  is small enough such that  $\frac{\lambda^2 \kappa_2(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)}{q-1} < \frac{p \tilde{\delta}' n}{2} \log 2$ . By (4.4) and (4.6), we then have that

$$N(\lambda)E_{\nu_{\lambda}}[|\Phi_{n}|^{p}] \leq C|u_{1}-u_{2}|^{p\gamma}\left(2^{-pn}+2^{-\frac{p\tilde{\delta}'n}{2}}\right),$$

which proves that the sequence  $(\widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u_1,u_2,h))_{n\geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\nu_{\lambda})$ . Then, there exists a  $\rho(u_1,u_2,h)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\nu_{\lambda}} \left[ \left| \widehat{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(u_1, u_2, h) - \rho(u_1, u_2, h) \right|^p \right] = 0$$

and assertion (i) is proved.

From (i) and (3.4) we see that

$$N(\lambda)E_{\nu_{\lambda}}[|\rho(u_{1}, u_{2}, h)|^{p}]^{1/p} \leq N(\lambda)E_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left[|\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{1}, a_{1}}(u_{1}, h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{1}, a_{1}}(u_{2}, h)|^{p}\right]^{1/p} + \sum_{n \geq 2} N(\lambda)E_{\nu_{\lambda}}[|\Phi_{n}|^{p}]^{1/p} \leq C|u_{1} - u_{2}|^{\gamma}.$$

Thus, we obtain (ii).

By a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** Suppose that random variable  $\Phi_n$  satisfies  $E[|\Phi_n|^p] \leq C2^{-bn}$  for some b > 0and p > 1. We set  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = 2^{-cn}$  for some c > 0. If  $\frac{c}{(p-1)(2\pi)^2}\lambda^2 < \frac{b}{2p}$ , then

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_n,\lambda}\right)|\Phi_n|\right] \le C2^{-\widetilde{\delta}n}$$

for some C > 0 and  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ .

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.7

Let

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda} := \lambda J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n, a_n} - \lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon_n) + \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon_n),$$
  
$$\mu_{n,\lambda} := E \left[ \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}'_{n,\lambda}\right) \right]^{-1} \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}'_{n,\lambda}\right) \nu_0$$

where  $\mathcal{J}'_{n,\lambda} := \mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda} \left\{ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n} - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n} \right| \le 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_1 n} \right\}$  for  $\widetilde{\delta}_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{200})$  which is chosen later. In this section  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  will be retaken and made smaller again and again by

In this section,  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$  will be retaken and made smaller again and again, but only finitely many times. Let us first prove the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1.** For  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  small enough and for  $\tilde{\delta}_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{200})$  small enough,

 $\mu_{n,\lambda} \Rightarrow \nu_{\lambda}$  in the weak sense as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* We note that there is a constant  $\tilde{c} \in (0, \infty)$  which is independent of  $\lambda$  and  $\epsilon_0$  such that

$$E\left[\exp\left(-2\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right)\right] = E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},2\lambda} + 2\lambda^{2}\kappa_{2}(\varepsilon_{n})\right)\right]$$
$$\leq Ce^{2\lambda^{2}\kappa_{2}(\varepsilon_{n})} \leq C2^{2\widetilde{c}\lambda^{2}\epsilon_{0}n}.$$
(5.1)

Let  $\Xi$  be a nonnegative measurable function. Then, for any given  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\left| E \left[ \Xi \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}^{\prime}\right) \right] - E \left[ \Xi \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right] \right| \\
\leq 2\lambda 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} E \left[ \Xi \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right] + E \left[ \Xi \left(1 + \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right)\right) : \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}} - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \right| \geq 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} \right] \quad (5.2)$$

as long as  $\lambda 2^{-\tilde{\delta}_1 n} \leq 1$  is sufficiently small. Taking  $\Xi = \Psi_{0,1}$  which is defined as in (3.2), we can see from Corollary 2.2, Lemma 3.1, (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$\left| E \left[ \Psi_{0,1} \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right) \right] - E \left[ \Psi_{0,1} \exp\left(-\overline{\mathcal{J}}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n,\lambda}}\right) \right] \right| \\
\leq C 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} + C 2^{\left(\widetilde{c}\lambda^{2}\epsilon_{0} - \frac{1}{6} + \widetilde{\delta}_{1}\right)n} + C 2^{\left(\widetilde{c}\lambda^{2}\epsilon_{0} - \frac{1+20\gamma}{24} + \frac{3\epsilon_{0}}{4} + \widetilde{\delta}_{1}\right)n} \leq C 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{2}n} \tag{5.3}$$

for some  $\tilde{\delta}_2 \in (0, 1)$  if  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_1$  are sufficiently small, where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the second inequality. By definition of  $\nu_{\lambda}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to 0} E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right)\right]^{-1} E\left[\Psi_{0,1}\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right)\right] = E_{\nu_\lambda}\left[\Psi_{0,1}\right].$$

It follows from (5.3) that

$$\lim_{n \to 0} E\left[\exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right)\right]^{-1} E\left[\Psi_{0,1}\exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right)\right] = E_{\nu_{\lambda}}\left[\Psi_{0,1}\right].$$

Let

$$A_n := \left\{ \omega : \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(\omega) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega) \right| < 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_1 n} \right\}$$

and let  $\tilde{\delta}_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{200})$  be the small constant which is given in Lemma 5.1 and will be retaken and made smaller in the proof of Lemma 5.2 below. Set

$$D_{n,\lambda}(\omega) := \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'(\omega - uh) + \mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'(\omega)\right) \exp\left(u\int_0^1 h_t' \mathrm{d}\omega_t - \frac{1}{2}u^2\int_0^1 (h_t')^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)$$

with  $\int_0^1 h'_t d\omega_t$  understood as an Itô stochastic integral. It is clear that  $D_{n,\lambda} = \frac{d(\mu_{n,\lambda}\circ\tau_{uh}^{-1})}{d\mu_{n,\lambda}}$ . Since we do not know at present whether  $(\omega_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ , as coordinate process under  $\nu_{\lambda}$ , is a semimartingale or not, the quantity  $\int_0^1 h'_t d\omega_t$  is not guaranteed to be well-defined under the polymer measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$ . Moreover, it is known that  $\nu_{\lambda}$  is singular with respect to  $\nu_0$  (see [Wes82]). However, if  $h \in K_0$ , by Itô's formula we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} h'_{t} \mathrm{d}\omega_{t} = h'_{1}\omega_{1} - \int_{0}^{1} \omega_{t} \mathrm{d}h'_{t}.$$
(5.4)

The right-hand side of the above equality is well-defined under the measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$ . From now on we assume that  $h \in K_0$ , and we always regard the left-hand side of (5.4) under the measure  $\nu_{\lambda}$  as the term defined by the right-hand side of (5.4). Let

$$a_{uh} := e^{-\lambda \rho(-u,h) + V(u,h)}$$

where

$$V(u,h) = V(\omega, u, h) := uh'_1\omega_1 - u\int_0^1 \omega_t h''_t dt - \frac{1}{2}u^2 \int_0^1 |h'_t|^2 dt,$$

and  $\rho(u, h)$  is as defined in Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 5.2.** For any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K_0$  and for  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  small enough, it holds that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}(\nu_{\lambda}\circ\tau_{uh}^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}} = a_{uh}, \quad \nu_{\lambda}\text{-}a.e.$$

This lemma follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be the set of bounded continuous functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^{3p} \to \mathbb{R}$  for some  $p \ge 1$ . Then, for any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K_0$  and for  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  small enough, the following holds

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) \frac{\mathrm{d}(\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda} = \int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) a_{uh} \mathrm{d}\nu_{\lambda}$$
(5.5)

for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and any  $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_p \le 1$  with  $p \ge 1$ .

Proof of Lemma 5.2. By lemma 5.1, we also know that for any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $h \in K_0$ 

$$\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1} \Rightarrow \nu_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1}$$
 in the weak sense,

as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, we obtain that for any given  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_p \le 1$   $(p \ge 1)$ 

$$\int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) \frac{\mathrm{d}(\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda} \to \int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) \mathrm{d}(\nu_\lambda \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1}), \tag{5.6}$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Combining (5.5) and (5.6), we have that

$$\int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) \mathrm{d}(\nu_\lambda \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1}) = \int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) a_{uh} \mathrm{d}\nu_\lambda,$$
  
for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0, 0 \le t_1 < \dots < t_p \le 1$ 

This implies that both  $d(\nu_{\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1})$  and  $a_{uh}d\nu_{\lambda}$  are the probability measures on the Wiener space and that their finite-dimensional distributions coincide. Therefore, we obtain the desired result.

We postpone the proof of Lemma 5.3 to Section 5.1, and now prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We have to show that for each  $h \in K_0$ , there is  $\{a'_{uh}, u \in \mathbb{R}\}$  such that

$$\nu_{\lambda}(a'_{uh} = a_{uh}) = 1, \quad u \in R, \tag{5.7}$$

 $\nu_{\lambda}(a'_{uh} \text{ is continuous with respect to } u \in \mathbb{R}) = 1.$  (5.8)

We choose a small  $\lambda > 0$  so that the assertions in Theorem 4.1, and Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 hold. By Lemma 5.2, we know that  $a_{uh} = \frac{d\tau_{uh}(\nu_{\lambda})}{d\nu_{\lambda}}$ ,  $\nu_{\lambda}$ -almost everywhere. By Theorem 4.1 and the Kolmogorov theorem, we know that there is  $\rho'(u, h)$  such that

$$\nu_{\lambda}(\rho'(u,h) = \rho(u,h)) = 1, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$
  
 $\nu_{\lambda}(\rho'(u,h) \text{ is continuous with respect to } u \in R) = 1.$ 

For  $h \in K_0$ , we set

$$a'_{uh} = e^{-\lambda \rho'(-u,h) + V(u,h)}.$$

Then,  $\{a'_{uh}; u \in \mathbb{R}\}$  satisfies (5.7) and (5.8).

### 5.1 Proof of Lemma 5.3

We prove Lemma 5.3. Hereafter, we fix  $f \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_p \le 1$ . Let

$$m(n) = \frac{\widetilde{\delta}\epsilon_0}{2}n,$$

where  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$  is a constant given in Lemma 3.2. For the proof of Lemma 5.3, we prepare following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.4.** For any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K_0$  and for  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  small enough

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} E_{\mu_{n,\lambda}} \left[ \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h) \right| \right] < \infty.$$
(5.9)

*Proof.* We find from Lemma 2.3 and (5.1) that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) \right| \right] \leq C$$
(5.10)

for  $\lambda > 0$  small enough. Moreover, we will see later that

$$\left\{ E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) \right| \right] \right\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence.}$$
(5.11)

Combining (5.10) and (5.11) yields

$$E\left[\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right)\left|\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h)\right|\right] \leq C \quad .$$
(5.12)

Taking  $\Xi = |\widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(u,h)|$  in (5.2) and recalling that  $\widetilde{\delta}_1 \in (0,\frac{1}{200})$ , we see from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{split} \left| E \left[ \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right| \right] - E \left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right| \right] \right| \\ &\leq \lambda 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} e^{\lambda 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n}} E \left[ \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right| \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right] \\ &+ E \left[ \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right| \left( 1 + \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right) : \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}} - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \right| \ge 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} \right] \\ &\leq C 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} e^{\lambda 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n}} E \left[ \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right|^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E \left[ \exp\left(-2\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ C E \left[ \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(u,h) \right|^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + E \left[ \exp\left(-4\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \cdot 2^{\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}} - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n}} \right|^{4} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{3}n} \end{split}$$

for some  $\tilde{\delta}_3 > 0$  by Corollaries 2.2 and 2.4, and (4.5), if  $\lambda > 0$  small enough. Hence, we can obtain (5.9) for small  $\lambda > 0$  by (5.12).

We complete the proof by showing (5.11). We have

$$\begin{split} & \left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) \right| \right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n-1)},a_{m(n-1)}}(u,h) \right| \right] \right| \\ & \leq \left| E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) \right| \right] - E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) \right| \right] \right| \\ & + E\left[ \exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n-1},\lambda}\right) \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(u,h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n-1)},a_{m(n-1)}}(u,h) \right| \right] \\ & =: P_n^1 + P_n^2. \end{split}$$

Then, we see from Corollaries 2.2, 2.4 and 4.4 that there exist C > 0 and  $\tilde{\delta}_3 \in (0,1)$  such that

$$P_n^2 \le 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_3 n}$$

for  $n \ge 1$  if  $\lambda > 0$  is sufficiently small. Noting the definition of m(n) and applying Lemma 3.2 (see Remark 3.3) to  $P_n^1$ , we see that there exist C > 0 and  $\tilde{\delta}_4 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$P_n^1 \le C2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_4 n}$$

for  $n \ge 1$ . Thus, we obtain (5.11).

For M > 1 large enough, we set

$$B_n(M) := \left\{ \left| \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h) \right| \ge \log M \right\} \cup \left\{ |V(u, h)| \ge \log M \right\}.$$

**Lemma 5.5.** For any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K_0$  and for  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  small enough, there exists C > 0 such that

$$\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1}(B_n(M)) = \mu_{n,\lambda}\left(\{\omega + uh \in B_n(M)\}\right) \le \frac{C}{\log M}$$

for any  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.1 we easily obtain that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} E_{\mu_{n,\lambda}} \left[ |\omega_1| + \left( \int_0^1 |\omega_t|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \le C < \infty.$$
(5.13)

Since it holds that

$$V(\omega + uh, u, h) = uh'_1\omega_1 - u\int_0^1 \omega_t h''_t dt + \frac{u^2}{2}\int_0^1 |h'_t|^2 dt + u^2 h_0 h'_0,$$

from (5.13) we have

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \mu_{n,\lambda} \left( |V(\cdot+uh,u,h)| \geq \log M \right) \\
\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} \mu_{n,\lambda} \left( \left| uh_1'\omega_1 - u\int_0^1 \omega_t h_t'' \mathrm{d}t \right| + \frac{u^2}{2} \left| \int_0^1 |h_t'|^2 \mathrm{d}t + h_0 h_0' \right| \geq \log M \right) \\
\leq \frac{C}{\log M}.$$
(5.14)

From Lemma 5.4 we also have

$$\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1} \left( \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h) \right| \ge \log M \right) = \mu_{n,\lambda} \left( \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(u, h) \right| \ge \log M \right) \le \frac{C}{\log M}$$
(5.15)

for  $n \ge 1$ . The assertion follows from (5.14) and (5.15).

**Lemma 5.6.** For any R > 0, any given  $v \in [-R, R]$ ,  $h \in K_0$  and for  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  small enough, there exist C > 0 and  $\tilde{\delta}_5 > 0$  such that

$$\mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{vh}^{-1}(A_n^c) = \mu_{n,\lambda}(\{\omega + vh \in A_n^c\}) \le C2^{-\delta_5 n}$$

$$(5.16)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof. Taking 
$$\Xi = \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\omega+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\omega+uh) \right| \text{ in (5.2), we have} \\ E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right) \right] \\ \leq E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right) \right] \\ + \left| E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{n,\lambda}'\right) \right] \\ -E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right) \right] \right| \\ \leq E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right) \right] \\ + 2\lambda 2^{-\tilde{\delta}_1 n} E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right) \right] \\ + E \left[ \left| J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh) \right| \left(1 + \exp\left(-\mathcal{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right)\right) \right].$$
(5.17)

Then, we see from Corollary 2.2 and (5.1) that there exists  $\widetilde{\delta}_4 > 0$  such that

the right-hand side of (5.17)

$$\leq E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\cdot+uh)-J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot+uh)\right|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}E\left[\exp\left(-2\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,\lambda}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ \lambda 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{1}n} E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n}}(\cdot+uh)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\exp\left(-2\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + E\left[\left|J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},a_{n}}(\cdot+uh) - J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n}}(\cdot+uh)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} E\left[\left(1+\exp\left(-\overline{J}_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_{n},\lambda}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \le C2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_{4}n}$$

for small  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence, there exist C > 0 and  $\widetilde{\delta}_5 > 0$  such that

$$\mu_{n,\lambda}(A_n^c(\cdot+uh)) \le C2^{-\delta_5 n},$$

for  $n \geq 1$ .

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $h \in K_0$ , we set  $\{\omega + h \in A\}$  by  $A(\cdot - h)$ .

**Lemma 5.7.** For M > 1 large enough, any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h \in K_0$ , and  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  small enough, there exist C > 0 and  $\widetilde{\delta}_6 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{B_n^c(M) \cap (A_n(\cdot - uh) \cap A_n(\cdot))^c} f(\omega) e^{-\lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h) + V(u, h)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n, \lambda} \right| \le C 2^{-\widetilde{\delta}_6 n} M^2 \max |f|.$$
(5.18)

*Proof.* We have

$$\left| \int_{B_n^c(M) \cap (A_n(\cdot - uh) \cap A_n(\cdot))^c} f(\omega) e^{-\lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h) + V(u, h)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n, \lambda} \right|$$
  
$$\leq \max |f| M^2 \left| \int_{(A_n(\cdot - uh) \cap A_n(\cdot))^c} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n, \lambda} \right|,$$

and hence, the statement follows from Lemma 5.6.

Now we prove Lemma 5.3 by using Lemmas 5.5, 5.6 and 5.7.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We note that  $B_n^c(M)$  can be written as

$$\{|V(u,h)| \le \log M\} \cap \left\{ \left| \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(-u,h) \right| \le \log M \right\}.$$

Let  $\varphi_M \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a continuous function which satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \leq \varphi_M(x_1, x_2) \leq 1, & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \varphi_M(x_1, x_2) = 1, & \text{for } |x_1| \leq \log M, \ |x_2| \leq \log M, \\ \varphi_M(x_1, x_2) = 0, & \text{for } |x_1| \geq 2 \log M, \text{ or } |x_2| \geq 2 \log M, \end{array}$$

and we set  $\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega) := \varphi_M(\lambda \widetilde{J}_n(-u,h), V(u,h))$ . Then, we have

$$\int f(\omega) D_{n,\lambda} d\mu_{n,\lambda} = \int \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega) f(\omega) D_{n,\lambda} d\mu_{n,\lambda} + \int (1 - \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega)) f(\omega) D_{n,\lambda} d\mu_{n,\lambda}.$$

for  $f(\omega) = f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p})$ .

It is easy to see from Lemma 5.5 that

$$\left| \int \left( 1 - \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega) \right) f(\omega) D_{n,\lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda} \right| \le \sup |f| \mu_{n,\lambda} \circ \tau_{uh}^{-1} \left( B_n(M) \right) \le \frac{C}{\log M} \max |f|.$$
(5.19)

We remark that

$$D_{n,\lambda} = \exp\left(-\lambda \widetilde{J}_n(-u,h) \mathbf{1}_{A_n(\omega-uh)\cap A_n(\omega)} + V(u,h)\right)$$
  
 
$$\times \exp\left(-\left(\lambda J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\omega-uh) - \lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon_n) + \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon_n)\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_n(\omega-uh)\setminus A_n(\omega)}\right)$$
  
 
$$\times \exp\left(\left(\lambda J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(\omega) - \lambda \kappa_1(\varepsilon_n) + \lambda^2 \kappa_2(\varepsilon_n)\right) \mathbf{1}_{A_n(\omega)\setminus A_n(\omega-uh)}\right),$$

and hence on  $A_n(\cdot - uh) \cap A_n(\cdot)$ ,

$$D_{n,\lambda} = \exp\left(-\lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n,a_n}(-u,h) + V(u,h)\right).$$

Applying Lemma 5.6 for v = u, 0 and Lemma 5.7, we obtain that

$$\left| \int \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\omega) f(\omega) D_{n,\lambda} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda} - \int \widetilde{\varphi}_{M}(\omega) f(\omega) e^{-\lambda \widetilde{J}_{n}(-u,h) + V(u,h)} \mathrm{d}\mu_{n,\lambda} \right| \to 0$$
(5.20)

as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $F_M := \varphi_M(x_1, x_2)e^{-x_1+x_2}f(x_3, \cdots, x_{p+2}) \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ . Note that  $F_M$  is Lipschitz continuous for each M. Then, we can see from the same argument as the proof of (4.6) and Corollary 2.4 that

$$\begin{split} \left| \int \left( F_M \left( \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) \right) \\ -F_M \left( \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)}, a_{m(n)}}(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) \right) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_{n, \lambda} \right| \\ \leq C_{M, f} \int \left| \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h) - \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)}, a_{m(n)}}(-u, h) \right| \mathrm{d}\mu_{n, \lambda} \to 0. \end{split}$$

Also, a similar argument as in (4.2) and (4.4) yields that

$$\left| \int F_M \left( \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)}, a_{m(n)}}(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) \right) d\mu_{n,\lambda} - \int F_M \left( \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)}, a_{m(n)}}(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) \right) d\nu_{\lambda} \right| \to 0.$$

Theorem 4.1 (see Remark 4.2) implies that

$$\int F_M\left(\lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_{m(n)},a_{m(n)}}(-u,h), V(u,h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p}\right) d\nu_\lambda$$
$$\rightarrow \int F_M(\lambda \rho(-u,h), V(u,h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p}) d\nu_\lambda.$$

Putting these together, we obtain

$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int F_M \left( \lambda \widetilde{J}_{\varepsilon_n, a_n}(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) \right) d\mu_{n, \lambda} - \int F_M \left( \lambda \rho(-u, h), V(u, h), \omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_p} \right) d\nu_{\lambda} \right| = 0.$$

This inequality, (5.19) and (5.20) yield

$$\frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \int f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) D_{n,\lambda} d\mu_{n,\lambda} - \int \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega) e^{-\lambda \rho(-u,h) + V(u,h)} f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) d\nu_\lambda \right| \le \frac{C}{\log M} \sup |f|.$$

Since

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} \int \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\omega) e^{-\lambda \rho(-u,h) + V(u,h)} f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) d\nu_{\lambda} = \int e^{-\lambda \rho(-u,h) + V(u,h)} f(\omega_{t_1}, \dots, \omega_{t_p}) d\nu_{\lambda},$$

we obtain Lemma 5.3.

This implies that also Theorem 1.7 has a complete proof.

## A Some estimates of integrals

In this appendix, we give some calculations of integrals, that have been used in the course of various proofs given above.

## A.1 Proof of (3.17)

Observe that

$$\iint_{0 < s_2 < s_1 < \infty, 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \\ = [(s_1 - s_2)(t_2 - t_1)(1 - t_2 + s_2) + (s_1 - s_2 + t_2 - t_1)(1 - t_2)s_2 ]$$

$$\begin{split} &+ (s_1 - s_2 + t_2 - t_1)(1 - t_2 + s_2)t_1]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= 2 \iint_{0 < s_2 < \infty, 0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{s_2 + t_2(1 - t_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t_2 - t_1)((1 - t_2 + s_2)t_1 + (1 - t_2)s_2)}} \\ &\leq C \iint_{0 < s_2 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{s_2 + t_2(1 - t_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - t_2 + s_2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{0 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2(1 - t_2)}} < \infty, \end{split}$$

where in the first inequality we have used

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x-s)(t-x)+u}} dx = \arcsin\frac{b - \frac{(s+t)}{2}}{\sqrt{u + \frac{(t-s)^{2}}{4}}} - \arcsin\frac{a - \frac{(s+t)}{2}}{\sqrt{u + \frac{(t-s)^{2}}{4}}}$$

when s < t, u > 0 and  $a, b \in [s, t]$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{split} \iint_{0 < s_2 < s_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < t_1 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_1 \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \\ & [(t_2 + s_2)(s_1 - s_2)(t_1 - t_2) + (s_1 - s_2 + t_1 - t_2)s_2 t_2 \\ & + (t_2 + s_2)(s_1 - s_2 + t_1 - t_2)(1 - t_1)]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ & = 2 \iint_{0 < s_2 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < t_1 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_1 \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{s_2 + t_2(1 - t_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t_1 - t_2)(s_2 t_2 + (s_2 + t_2)(1 - t_1))}} \\ & \leq C \iint_{0 < s_2 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}s_2 \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{s_2 + t_2(1 - t_2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_2 + t_2}} \\ & \leq C \int_{0 < t_2 < 1} \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2(1 - t_2)}} < \infty. \end{split}$$

## A.2 Proof of (3.19)

Observe that

$$E\left[Y_{s,s+\varepsilon}^{2}e^{-\overline{J}_{0,1-\varepsilon}}\Phi_{0,1-\varepsilon}\right]$$

$$\leq C\max|f|\int_{\substack{\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}

$$((\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})(\tau_{1}-\sigma_{1})+(\tau_{1}-\sigma_{2})(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{1}))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$+C\max|f|\iint_{\substack{\sigma_{1}<\sigma_{2}$$$$

$$((\tau_1 - \tau_2 + \sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(\tau_2 - \sigma_2))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
  
=:  $Y_1 + Y_2$ .

Taking the integral of  $Y_1$  in  $\tau_2 \in [\tau_1, \sigma_2 + \varepsilon]$ , the integrand is given by

$$\frac{1}{(\tau_1 - \sigma_1)} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\tau_1 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\sigma_2 + \varepsilon - \tau_1)(\tau_1 - \sigma_1) + (\tau_1 - \sigma_1)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)}} \right) \\
\leq C \frac{1}{(\tau_1 - \sigma_1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\tau_1 - \sigma_2)(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)}}.$$

Taking integral in  $\tau_1 \in [s, \sigma_1 + \varepsilon]$  gives an upper bound

$$\frac{2C}{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}(\sqrt{\sigma_1 + \varepsilon - \sigma_2} - \sqrt{s - \sigma_2})}{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 + \sqrt{(\sigma_1 + \varepsilon - \sigma_2)(s - \sigma_2)}} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(s - \sigma_2)}},$$

where we have used the integral

$$\int_{d}^{e} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{(x+a)\sqrt{bx+c}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{ab-c}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{ab-c}(\sqrt{be+c}-\sqrt{bd+c})}{ab-c+\sqrt{(be+c)(bd+c)}}$$
(A.1)

when ab - c > 0. Then, taking integrals in  $s - \varepsilon < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s$ , we obtain an upper bound of  $Y_1$ ,  $C \max |f| \varepsilon$ .

The integral in  $Y_2$  in  $\tau_1 \in [\tau_2, \sigma_1 + \varepsilon]$  is equal to

$$\frac{2}{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_2 - \sigma_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \frac{2}{(\sigma_2 + \varepsilon - \tau_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_2 - \sigma_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ \leq \frac{2}{(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_2 - \sigma_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Taking integral in  $\tau_2 \in [s, \sigma_1 + \varepsilon]$  gives an upper bound

$$\frac{4}{((\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)(s - \sigma_2))^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Then, the integration in  $s - \varepsilon < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < s$  gives an upper bound of  $Y_2$ ,  $C \max |f| \varepsilon$ .

## A.3 Proof of (3.20)

By Lemma 3.1 and the some argument as in (3.16), we can find

$$E\left[\int\int_{0\leq u\leq s\leq v\leq 1-\varepsilon, v-u\leq\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v\delta(\omega_v-\omega_u)e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\overline{J}_{v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda}-\lambda J_{0,u;v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}}|\Phi_{0,1}|J_{0,u;u,v}^{\varepsilon}e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}}\right]$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v \int_{v-\varepsilon}^{s} \mathrm{d}u \int_{u}^{v} \mathrm{d}t \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r((t-u)(u-r) + (v-t)(t-u) + (v-t)(u-r))^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v \int_{v-\varepsilon}^{s} \mathrm{d}u \int_{u}^{v} \mathrm{d}t \frac{1}{v-u} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(v-u) - (t-u)^{2}}}$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v \int_{v-\varepsilon}^{s} \mathrm{d}u \frac{1}{v-u} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{v-u}{\varepsilon}}$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \varepsilon,$$

$$\leq C \max |f| \varepsilon,$$

where we have used that  $K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda} \leq c$  for some constant c > 0 if  $v - u \leq \varepsilon$  in (A.2). The same calculation yields

$$E\left[\int \int_{0 \le u \le s \le v \le 1-\varepsilon, v-u \le \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \delta(\omega_v - \omega_u) e^{-\overline{J}_{0,u}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \overline{J}_{v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,\lambda} - \lambda J_{0,u;v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}} |\Phi_{0,1}| J_{u,v;v,1-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} e^{K_{\varepsilon,v-u,\lambda}}\right]$$
  
$$\le C \max |f|\varepsilon.$$

## A.4 Proofs of (3.22), (3.23)

For (3.22), the region

$$T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma} := T^3_{s,u,v}(\varepsilon^{\alpha},\varepsilon^{\beta}) \times \{(\sigma,\tau) : 0 < \sigma < s + \varepsilon^{\gamma}, s - \varepsilon^{\gamma} < \tau < s + \varepsilon^{\gamma}, \tau - \sigma > \varepsilon\}$$

is partitioned into 15 regions due to the order of  $\{s, u, v, s + \varepsilon, \sigma, \tau\}$  in  $[0, s + \varepsilon^{\gamma}]$ , denoting by  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}$  (i = 1, ..., 15):

$$\begin{split} R^{(1)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{\sigma < \tau < u < s < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(2)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{\sigma < u < \tau < s < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(3)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{\sigma < u < s < \tau < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(4)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{\sigma < u < s < v < \tau < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(5)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{\sigma < u < s < v < \tau < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(6)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < \sigma < \tau < s < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(7)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < \sigma < s < \tau < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(7)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < \sigma < s < v < \tau < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(9)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < \sigma < s < v < \tau < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(10)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < s < \sigma < \tau < v < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(11)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < s < \sigma < v < \tau < s + \varepsilon\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(12)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < s < \sigma < v < s + \varepsilon < \tau\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(12)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{u < s < \sigma < v < s + \varepsilon < \tau\} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} R^{(13)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{ u < s < v < \sigma < \tau < s + \varepsilon \} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(14)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{ u < s < v < \sigma < s + \varepsilon < \tau \} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}, \\ R^{(15)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau} &= \{ u < s < v < s + \varepsilon < \sigma < \tau \} \cap T^4_{s,u,v,\varepsilon,\alpha,\beta,\gamma}. \end{split}$$

We remark that  $R^{(10)}$ ,  $R^{(11)}$ ,  $R^{(13)}$  are empty sets due to the restriction of  $\tau - \sigma \geq \varepsilon$ . Then, we will estimate the integrals

$$C \int_{R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}} \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}u \mathrm{d}v \mathrm{d}\sigma \mathrm{d}\tau Q^{(i)}(s, u, v, \sigma, \tau, \varepsilon)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{A.3}$$

where  $Q^{(i)}(s, u, v, \sigma, \tau, \varepsilon)$  is a polynomial function of  $(s, u, v, \sigma, \tau, \varepsilon)$  on  $R^{(i)}_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, 15$ .

For each region, we can find an associated electrical circuit given in figure 6 as follows:

- (1):  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(5)}$ .
- (2):  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(2)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(3)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(4)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(7)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(8)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(9)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(11)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(12)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(14)}$ ,  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(12)}$ ,  $R_{$
- (3):  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(1)}, R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(15)}$ .
- (4):  $R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(6)}, R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(10)}, R_{s,u,v,\sigma,\tau}^{(13)}$ .

In particular,  $Q^{(i)}$  has the form

$$\begin{cases} b(cd + de + ec) + f(cd + de + ec) + cde & (1) \text{ in Figure 6,} \\ bc(e + f) + ef(b + c) + d(b + c)(e + f) & (2) \text{ in Figure 6,} \\ b(de + ef + fd) & (3) \text{ in Figure 6,} \\ c((b + d)e + (b + d)f + ef) & (4) \text{ in Figure 6.} \end{cases}$$

Applying this to each case and simplifying the polynomial,  $Q^{(i)}$  is given as follows.

$$\begin{split} Q^{(1)} &= (\tau - \sigma)((s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s) + \varepsilon(s - u)), \\ Q^{(2)} &= (u - \sigma)(\tau - u)[(s + \varepsilon - v) + (v - s)] \\ &+ (v - s)(s + \varepsilon - v)[(\tau - u) + (u - \sigma)] \\ &+ (s - \tau)[(\tau - u) + (u - \sigma)][(s + \varepsilon - v) + (v - s)], \\ Q^{(3)} &= (u - \sigma)(v - \tau)[(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s - u)] \\ &+ (s - u)(s + \varepsilon - v)[(v - \tau) + (u - \sigma)] \\ &+ (\tau - s)[(v - \tau) + (u - \sigma)][(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s - u)], \end{split}$$



Figure 6:  $Q^{(i)}$  can be computed from these types of electrical circuits. The associated electrical circuit for  $R^{(15)}$  has the other version of (3) where the tail from 0 is adjusted to z. Even for such a version,  $Q^{(15)}$  has the same form.

$$\begin{split} Q^{(4)} &= (s + \varepsilon - \tau)[(\tau - v) + (u - \sigma)][(v - s) + (s - u)] \\ &+ (s - u)(v - s)[(\tau - v) + (u - \sigma)] \\ &+ (\tau - v)(u - \sigma)[(v - s) + (s - u)], \\ Q^{(5)} &= (\tau - s - \varepsilon)[(s - u)(v - s) + (v - s)(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s + \varepsilon - v)(s - u)] \\ &+ (u - \sigma)[(s - u)(v - s) + (v - s)(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s + \varepsilon - v)(s - u)] \\ &+ (s - u)(v - s)(s + \varepsilon - v), \\ Q^{(6)} &= (\tau - \sigma)((s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s) + \varepsilon(s - \tau + \sigma - u)), \\ Q^{(7)} &= (s + \varepsilon - v)[(v - \tau) + (\sigma - u)][(\tau - s) + (s - \sigma)] \\ &+ (v - \tau)(\sigma - u)[(\tau - s) + (s - \sigma)] \\ &+ (\tau - s)(s - \sigma)[(v - \tau) + (\sigma - u)], \\ Q^{(8)} &= (v - s)[(\tau - v) + (\sigma - u)][(s - \sigma) + (s + \varepsilon - \tau)] \\ &+ (\tau - v)(\sigma - u)[(s - \sigma) + (s + \varepsilon - \tau)] \\ &+ (s - \sigma)(s + \varepsilon - \tau)[(\tau - v) + (\sigma - u)], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Q^{(9)} &= (\sigma - u)[(s - \sigma) + (\tau - s - \varepsilon)][(s + \varepsilon - v) + (v - s)] \\ &+ (s - \sigma)(\tau - s - \varepsilon)[(s + \varepsilon - v) + (v - s)] \\ &+ (s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s)[(s - \sigma) + (\tau - s - \varepsilon)], \\ Q^{(12)} &= (v - \sigma)[(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s - u)][(\tau - s - \varepsilon) + (\sigma - s)] \\ &+ (s + \varepsilon - v)(s - u)[(\tau - s - \varepsilon) + (\sigma - s)] \\ &+ (\tau - s - \varepsilon)(\sigma - s)[(s + \varepsilon - v) + (s - u)], \\ Q^{(14)} &= (\sigma - v)[(v - s) + (s - u)][(\tau - s - \varepsilon) + (s + \varepsilon - \sigma)] \\ &+ (s + \varepsilon - \sigma)(\tau - s - \varepsilon)[(v - s) + (s - u)] \\ &+ (v - s)(s - u)[(\tau - s - \varepsilon) + (s + \varepsilon - \sigma)], \\ Q^{(15)} &= (\tau - \sigma)((s + \varepsilon - v)(v - s) + \varepsilon(s - u)). \end{split}$$

Estimates of all integrals in (A.3) are obtained by explicit calculations. By reversing the time, (3.23) can be reduced to (3.22).

# A.5 Asymptotics of Expectation and Variance of $J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}$

In this subsection, we prove (1.5)-(1.7).

## A.5.1 Proof (1.5)

Observe that

$$E\left[J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right] = \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}t p_{t-s}(0) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 2(1-\sqrt{\varepsilon})\right).$$

### **A.5.2 Proof of** (1.6)

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{split} E\left[\left(J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \\ &= 2\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}y p_{r}(0,x) p_{s-r}(x,x) p_{t-s}(x,y) p_{u-t}(y,y) \\ &+ 2\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}r \int_{(r+\varepsilon)\vee t}^{u} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}y p_{r}(0,x) p_{t-r}(x,y) p_{s-t}(y,x) p_{u-s}(x,y) \\ &+ 2\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{t}^{u-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{u} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \mathrm{d}y p_{t}(0,x) p_{r-t}(x,y) p_{s-r}(y,y) p_{u-s}(y,x) \end{split}$$

 $=: 2V_1(\varepsilon) + 2V_2(\varepsilon) + 2V_3(\varepsilon).$ 

We should now investigate the asymptotics of each term:

Observe that

$$(2\pi)^{3}V_{1}(\varepsilon) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dt \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} du \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} dr \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{t} ds (s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (u-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} = 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dt \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} du (u-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{t-\varepsilon} dr \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dt \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} du (u-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left((t-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2\sqrt{t} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) = 4 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dt \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left((t-\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2\sqrt{t} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) = 4 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} dt \left(\varepsilon^{-1}t - \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}t - 2\sqrt{t}\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) + C_{1}(\varepsilon),$$

where  $C_1(\varepsilon)$  converges to some constant as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Since

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \left(\varepsilon^{-1}t - \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}t - 2\sqrt{t}\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2 - \varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left(2\left(\sqrt{1-\varepsilon} - \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\left((1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right) - \frac{4}{3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left((1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),$$

there exists a constant  $\check{C}_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( 2V_1(\varepsilon) - E[J_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}]^2 + \frac{8}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) = \check{C}_1.$$
(A.4)

Similarly, we have

$$(2\pi)^{3}V_{3}(\varepsilon) = \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{t}^{u-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{u} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (u-s+r-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
  
$$= \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{r} \mathrm{d}t \int_{s}^{1} \mathrm{d}u(u-s+r-t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
  
$$= 2\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{0}^{r} \mathrm{d}t \left( (r-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1-s+r-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$$
  
$$= 4\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left( \sqrt{r} - \sqrt{1-s+r} + \sqrt{1-s} \right).$$

Since

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{r} = \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r 2\sqrt{r} \left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (1-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + C_{3,1}(\varepsilon)$$
$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{1-s+r} &= \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \left( 2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} - \frac{\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{1-r}} \right) - \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-r} \mathrm{d}\sigma \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma(1-\sigma)}} \right) \\ &= 2 \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + C_{3,2}(\varepsilon) \\ \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s(s-r)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{1-s} &= 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \sqrt{1-s} \left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} - s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + C_{3,3}(\varepsilon), \end{split}$$

where  $C_{3,i}(\varepsilon)$  (i = 1, 2, 3) converge to some constants as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , there exists a constant  $\check{C}_3$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( 2V_3(\varepsilon) - \frac{8}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{2}{3} \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) = \check{C}_3.$$
(A.5)

To calculate  $V_2(\varepsilon)$ , we first divide the integration as follows:

$$\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}r \int_{(r+\varepsilon)\vee t}^{u} \mathrm{d}s F(r,s,t,u)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \int_{r}^{s} \mathrm{d}t \int_{(t+\varepsilon)\vee s}^{1} \mathrm{d}u F(r,s,t,u)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \int_{r}^{s-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_{s}^{1} \mathrm{d}u F(r,s,t,u) + \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u F(r,s,t,u),$$
(A.6)

where  $F(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left( (t - r)(s - t) + (u - s)(s - t) + (u - s)(t - r) \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  is a continuous function on  $\{0 < r < t < s < u < 1\}$ . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^3 V_{2,1}(\varepsilon) \\ &:= \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_r^{s-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \int_s^1 \mathrm{d}u F(r,s,t,u) \\ &= 2 \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_r^{s-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \frac{1}{s-r} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-r)(s-t)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-s)(s-r) + (t-r)(s-t)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2\pi)^{3} V_{2,2}(\varepsilon)$$
  
:=  $\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s} \mathrm{d}t \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u F(r,s,t,u)$ 

$$= 2 \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^s \mathrm{d}t \frac{1}{s-r} \\ \times \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t+\varepsilon-s)(s-r)+(t-r)(s-t)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-s)(s-r)+(t-r)(s-t)}} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} &(2\pi)^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} (V_{2,1}(\varepsilon) + V_{2,2}(\varepsilon)) \\ &= -\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_{r+\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^s \mathrm{d}t \left( (t+\varepsilon-s)(s-r) + (t-r)(s-t) \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_r^{r+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(t-r)\varepsilon + (t-r)(r+\varepsilon-t)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-r-\varepsilon)\varepsilon + (t-r)(r+\varepsilon-t)}} \right) \\ &= -\int_{\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^s \mathrm{d}t \int_0^{s-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \left( (t+\varepsilon-s)(s-t) + \varepsilon(t-r) \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}r \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\sqrt{u(2\varepsilon-u)}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \int_{s-\varepsilon}^s \mathrm{d}t \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - (s-t)^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon s - (s-t)^2}} \right) - \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} (1-\varepsilon) + O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} (1-\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}s \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{s}} = -\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right). \end{split}$$

Therefore, there exists a constant  $\check{C}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( 2V_2(\varepsilon) + \frac{2}{(2\pi)^2} \log \varepsilon \right) = \check{C}_2.$$
(A.7)

(A.4)-(A.7) yield (1.6).

## A.5.3 Proof of (1.7)

First, we remark that the proof (1.7) was already indicated in [Bol02, p.20] but we shall give more detail by the following calculation.

As in (A.6), we have

$$(2\pi)^3 \kappa_2(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \int_{s+\varepsilon}^1 \mathrm{d}u \int_\varepsilon^u \mathrm{d}t \frac{1}{(s(t-s)+(t-s)(u-t)+(u-t)s)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$+\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u \int_{s}^{u} \mathrm{d}t \frac{1}{(s(t-s)+(t-s)(u-t)+(u-t)s)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Hence,

$$(2\pi)^{3} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_{2}(\varepsilon) = -\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t (s(t-s) + (s+\varepsilon-t)t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} -\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t (s(t-s) + (s+\varepsilon-t)t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} -\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u (s(\varepsilon-s) + (u-\varepsilon)\varepsilon)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Using the integral

$$\int_{s}^{t} (ax^{2} + bx + c)^{-\frac{3}{2}} dx = \left[\frac{4ax + 2b}{(4ac - b^{2})\sqrt{ax^{2} + bx + c}}\right]_{s}^{t},$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t (s(t-s) + (s+\varepsilon-t)t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \left( \frac{2}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon\sqrt{2\varepsilon s - s^{2}}} + \frac{12s}{\varepsilon(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\varepsilon s - s^{2}}} \right), \\ &\int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t (s(t-s) + (s+\varepsilon-t)t)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{4}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}}, \\ &\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \int_{s+\varepsilon}^{1} \mathrm{d}u (s(\varepsilon-s) + (u-\varepsilon)\varepsilon)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon s - s^{2}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) + s(\varepsilon-s)}} \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, we find that

$$(2\pi)^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_2(\varepsilon) = -\int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \left( \frac{2}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} + \frac{12\sqrt{s}}{\varepsilon(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\varepsilon-s}} \right) - \int_\varepsilon^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{4}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} \\ + \int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon) + s(\varepsilon-s)}} \\ = -\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{4}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} + \int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \frac{2}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} - \int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \frac{12\sqrt{s}}{\varepsilon(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\varepsilon-s}}$$

$$+ \, O\left( \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

It is easy to see from (A.1) that

$$-\int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{4}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} + \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s \frac{2}{(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{\varepsilon s}} = -\frac{4}{\varepsilon} \arctan\left(2\sqrt{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}\right) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \arctan 2$$
$$= -\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) + \frac{\pi}{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \arctan \frac{1}{2}.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s \frac{12\sqrt{s}}{\varepsilon(4s+\varepsilon)\sqrt{2\varepsilon-s}} &= \frac{12}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}t \frac{\sqrt{t}}{(4t+1)\sqrt{2-t}} \\ &= \frac{12}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}u \frac{4u^2}{(9u^2+1)(u^2+1)} \qquad (u = \sqrt{\frac{t}{2-t}}) \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{2\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \arctan 3 = \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \arctan \frac{1}{3}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\arctan \frac{1}{2} + \arctan \frac{1}{3} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,

$$(2\pi)^3 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_2(\varepsilon) = -\frac{2\pi}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right). \tag{A.8}$$

We can also compute

$$\int_{s-\varepsilon^{\beta}}^{s} \mathrm{d}u \int_{s\vee(u+\varepsilon)}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v \frac{(2\pi)^{-3}}{((s+\varepsilon-v)(v-s)+(s+\varepsilon-v)(s-u)+(v-s)(s-u))^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

$$= \int_{s}^{s+\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}v \left( \frac{2(2\pi)^{-3}}{\varepsilon((s+\varepsilon-v)(v+\varepsilon-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{2(2\pi)^{-3}}{\varepsilon(\varepsilon^{\beta+1}+(s+\varepsilon-v)(v-s))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{(2\pi)^{-3}\pi}{\varepsilon} + O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon} \kappa_{2}(\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{\beta+1}{2}}) \qquad (A.9)$$

 $\text{for } \varepsilon^\alpha < s < 1-\varepsilon^\alpha \text{ with } \alpha \in (0, \tfrac{1}{2}) \text{ and } \beta \in (\tfrac{1}{2}, 1).$ 

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 19H00643, 21H00988, 21K03302, 22K03351, 22H00099, 22H01128. The authors thank Professor M. Hairer for a fruitful discussion. They are very indebted to Professor Michael Röckner and the late Professor Xian Yin Zhou for the unpublished preprint [ARZ96], which gave the initial spark and basic methods for the working on the present paper.

## References

- [ABR22] Sergio Albeverio, Vladimir I. Bogachev, and Michael Röckner. Markov uniqueness and Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations. In *Dirichlet forms and related topics*, volume 394 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat., pages 1–21. Springer, Singapore, [2022] (©)2022.
- [ABZ94] Sergio Albeverio, Edwin Bolthausen, and Xian Yin Zhou. On the discrete Edwards model in three dimensions. In M.F. Chen, editor, *Collection papers of Zhou XianYin*, volume 1, pages 1031–1059. Beijing National University publisher, 1994.
- [ABZ04] Sergio Albeverio, Maria Simonetta Bernabei, and Xian Yin Zhou. On the almost intersections of transient Brownian motions. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 84(1):1–28, 2004.
- [ADC21] Michael Aizenman and Hugo Duminil-Copin. Marginal triviality of the scaling limits of critical 4D Ising and  $\phi_4^4$  models. Ann. of Math. (2), 194(1):163–235, 2021.
- [ADVG21] Sergio Albeverio, Francesco C. De Vecchi, and Massimiliano Gubinelli. The elliptic stochastic quantization of some two dimensional Euclidean QFTs. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 57(4):2372–2414, 2021.
- [AFHKL86] Sergio Albeverio, Jens Erik Fenstad, Raphael Høegh-Krohn, and Tom Lindstrøm. Nonstandard methods in stochastic analysis and mathematical physics, volume 122 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [AHRZ99] Sergio Albeverio, Yao Zhong Hu, Michael Röckner, and Xian Yin Zhou. Stochastic quantization of the two-dimensional polymer measure. Appl. Math. Optim., 40(3):341– 354, 1999.
- [AHZ97] Sergio Albeverio, Yaozhong Hu, and Xian Yin Zhou. A remark on non-smoothness of the self-intersection local time of planar Brownian motion. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 32(1):57–65, 1997.
- [Aiz85] Michael Aizenman. The intersection of Brownian paths as a case study of a renormalization group method for quantum field theory. Comm. Math. Phys., 97(1-2):91–110, 1985.
- [AK95] Sergio Albeverio and Shigeo Kusuoka. A basic estimate for two-dimensional stochastic holonomy along Brownian bridges. J. Funct. Anal., 127(1):132–154, 1995.
- [AK20] Sergio Albeverio and Seiichiro Kusuoka. The invariant measure and the flow associated to the  $\Phi_3^4$ -quantum field model. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, XX(4):1359–1427, 2020.
- [AK22] Sergio Albeverio and Seiichiro Kusuoka. Construction of a non-gaussian and rotationinvariant  $\phi^4$ -measure and associated flow on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  through stochastic quantization, 2022. to appear in Memoir American Mathematical Society.

- [AKMR23] Sergio Albeverio, Hiroshi Kawabi, Stefan Radu Mihalache, and Michael Röckner. Strong uniqueness for dirichlet operators related to stochastic quantization under exponential/trigonometric interactions on the two-dimensional torus. Annali della Scuola normale superiore di Pisa-Classe di scienze, 24(1):33–69, 2023.
- [AKR97a] Sergio Albeverio, Yuri G. Kondratiev, and Michael Röckner. Ergodicity for the stochastic dynamics of quasi-invariant measures with applications to Gibbs states. J. Funct. Anal., 149(2):415–469, 1997.
- [AKR97b] Sergio Albeverio, Yuri G. Kondratiev, and Michael Röckner. Ergodicity of L<sup>2</sup>semigroups and extremality of Gibbs states. J. Funct. Anal., 144(2):394–423, 1997.
- [ALZ06] Sergio Albeverio, Song Liang, and Boguslav Zegarlinski. Remark on the integration by parts formula for the  $\phi_3^4$ -quantum field model. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 9(1):149–154, 2006.
- [AMR15] Sergio Albeverio, Zhi-Ming Ma, and Michael Röckner. Quasi regular Dirichlet forms and the stochastic quantization problem. In *Festschrift Masatoshi Fukushima*, volume 17 of *Interdiscip. Math. Sci.*, pages 27–58. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2015.
- [AR89a] Sergio Albeverio and Michael Röckner. Classical Dirichlet forms on topological vector spaces—the construction of the associated diffusion process. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 83(3):405–434, 1989.
- [AR89b] Sergio Albeverio and Michael Röckner. Dirichlet forms, quantum fields and stochastic quantization. In Stochastic analysis, path integration and dynamics (Warwick, 1987), volume 200 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pages 1–21. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1989.
- [AR90a] Sergio Albeverio and Michael Röckner. Classical Dirichlet forms on topological vector spaces—closability and a Cameron-Martin formula. J. Funct. Anal., 88(2):395– 436, 1990.
- [AR90b] Sergio Albeverio and Michael Röckner. New developments in the theory and application of Dirichlet forms. In Stochastic processes, physics and geometry (Ascona and Locarno, 1988), pages 27–76. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990.
- [AR91] Sergio Albeverio and Michael Röckner. Stochastic differential equations in infinite dimensions: solutions via Dirichlet forms. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 89(3):347– 386, 1991.
- [ARZ96] Sergio Albeverio, Michael Rockner, and Xian Yin Zhou. Stochastic quantization of the three-dimensional polymer measure, April 1996. preprint.

- [AZ94] Sergio Albeverio and Xian Yin Zhou. On the intersections of Wiener sausages in four dimensions. In *Partial differential equations (Han-sur-Lesse, 1993)*, volume 82 of *Math. Res.*, pages 9–39. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [AZ95] Sergio Albeverio and Xian Yin Zhou. A renormalization result for the intersection local time of lattice random walks in  $d \ge 3$  dimensions. J. Statist. Phys., 80(3-4):603– 624, 1995.
- [AZ96] Sergio Albeverio and Xian Yin Zhou. A martingale approach to directed polymers in a random environment. J. Theoret. Probab., 9(1):171–189, 1996.
- [AZ98] Sergio Albeverio and Xian Yin Zhou. On the equality of two polymer measures in three dimensions. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 5(3):561–596, 1998.
- [BC04] Richard F. Bass and Xia Chen. Self-intersection local time: critical exponent, large deviations, and laws of the iterated logarithm. Ann. Probab., 32(4):3221–3247, 2004.
- [BC20] Erik Bates and Sourav Chatterjee. The endpoint distribution of directed polymers. Ann. Probab., 48(2):817–871, 2020.
- [BC22] Yuri Bakhtin and Hong-Bin Chen. Dynamic polymers: invariant measures and ordering by noise. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 183(1-2):167–227, 2022.
- [BCM88] V. S. Borkar, R. T. Chari, and S. K. Mitter. Stochastic quantization of field theory in finite and infinite volume. J. Funct. Anal., 81(1):184–206, 1988.
- [BDV21] Nikolay Barashkov and Francesco C. De Vecchi. Elliptic stochastic quantization of sinh-gordon qft, 2021.
- [BF84] Anton Bovier and Giovanni Felder. Skeleton inequalities and the asymptotic nature of perturbation theory for  $\phi^4$ -theories in two and three dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys., 93(2):259–275, 1984.
- [BFF84] Anton Bovier, Giovanni Felder, and Jürg Fröhlich. On the critical properties of the Edwards and the self-avoiding walk model of polymer chains. *Nuclear Physics B*, 230(1):119–147, 1984.
- [BFS82] David Brydges, Jürg Fröhlich, and Thomas Spencer. The random walk representation of classical spin systems and correlation inequalities. Comm. Math. Phys., 83(1):123–150, 1982.
- [BFS83a] David C. Brydges, Jürg Fröhlich, and Alan D. Sokal. A new proof of the existence and nontriviality of the continuum  $\phi_2^4$  and  $\phi_3^4$  quantum field theories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 91(2):141–186, 1983.

- [BFS83b] David C. Brydges, Jürg Fröhlich, and Alan D. Sokal. The random-walk representation of classical spin systems and correlation inequalities. II. The skeleton inequalities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 91(1):117–139, 1983.
- [BFS17] Wolfgang Bock, Torben Fattler, and Ludwig Streit. Stochastic quantization for the fractional Edwards measure. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 151:81–88, 2017.
- [BFS21] Wolfgang Bock, Torben Fattler, and Ludwig Streit. The Edwards model for fractional Brownian loops and starbursts. J. Stoch. Anal., 2(2):Art. 4, 8, 2021.
- [BG20] N. Barashkov and M. Gubinelli. A variational method for  $\Phi_3^4$ . Duke Math. J., 169(17):3339–3415, 2020.
- [BG21] Nikolay Barashkov and Massimiliano Gubinelli. On the variational method for euclidean quantum fields in infinite volume, 2021. arXiv: 2112.05562, to appear in Probability and Mathematical Physics.
- [BH91] Nicolas Bouleau and Francis Hirsch. Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space, volume 14 of De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1991.
- [BL18] Yuri Bakhtin and Liying Li. Zero temperature limit for directed polymers and inviscid limit for stationary solutions of stochastic Burgers equation. J. Stat. Phys., 172(5):1358– 1397, 2018.
- [BL19] Yuri Bakhtin and Liying Li. Thermodynamic limit for directed polymers and stationary solutions of the Burgers equation. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 72(3):536–619, 2019.
- [Bol89] Erwin Bolthausen. A note on the diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment. Comm. Math. Phys., 123(4):529–534, 1989.
- [Bol93] Erwin Bolthausen. On the construction of the three-dimensional polymer measure. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 97(1-2):81–101, 1993.
- [Bol02] Erwin Bolthausen. Large deviations and interacting random walks. In Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1999), volume 1781 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 1–124. Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [BOS16] Jinky Bornales, Maria João Oliveira, and Ludwig Streit. Chaos decomposition and gap renormalization of Brownian self-intersection local times. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 77(2):141–152, 2016.
- [CC18] Rémi Catellier and Khalil Chouk. Paracontrolled distributions and the 3-dimensional stochastic quantization equation. Ann. Probab., 46(5):2621–2679, 2018.

- [Com17] Francis Comets. Directed polymers in random environments, volume 2175 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2017. Lecture notes from the 46th Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, 2016.
- [DEK50] Aryeh Dvoretzky, Paul Erdös, and Shizuo Kakutani. Double points of paths of Brownian motion in *n*-space. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 12:75–81, 1950.
- [DEKT57] Aryeh Dvoretzky, Paul Erdös, Shizuo Kakutani, and Samuel James Taylor. Triple points of Brownian paths in 3-space. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 53:856–862, 1957.
- [dFDS00] Margarida de Faria, Custódia Drumond, and Ludwig Streit. The renormalization of self-intersection local times. I. The chaos expansion. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 3(2):223–236, 2000.
- [dH09] Frank den Hollander. Random polymers, volume 1974 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Lectures from the 37th Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, 2007.
- [DPD03] Giuseppe Da Prato and Arnaud Debussche. Strong solutions to the stochastic quantization equations. Ann. Probab., 31(4):1900–1916, 2003.
- [DS88] Bernard Derrida and Herbert Spohn. Polymers on disordered trees, spin glasses, and traveling waves. J. Statist. Phys., 51(5-6):817–840, 1988. New directions in statistical mechanics (Santa Barbara, CA, 1987).
- [Duc22] Paweł Duch. Flow equation approach to singular stochastic pdes, 2022.
- [Dyn88a] E. B. Dynkin. Regularized self-intersection local times of planar Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 16(1):58–74, 1988.
- [Dyn88b] E. B. Dynkin. Self-intersection gauge for random walks and for Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 16(1):1–57, 1988.
- [Edw65] Sam F. Edwards. The statistical mechanics of polymers with excluded volume. Proc. Phys. Soc., pages 613–624, 1965.
- [FFS92] Roberto Fernández, Jürg Fröhlich, and Alan D. Sokal. Random walks, critical phenomena, and triviality in quantum field theory. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [FJ22] Ryoki Fukushima and Stefan Junk. Number of paths in oriented percolation as zero temperature limit of directed polymer. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 183(3-4):1119– 1151, 2022.
- [FJ23] Ryoki Fukushima and Stefan Junk. Moment characterization of the weak disorder phase for directed polymers in a class of unbounded environments, 2023.

- [Föl81] H. Föllmer. Dirichlet processes. In Stochastic integrals (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1980), volume 851 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 476–478. Springer, Berlin, 1981.
- [FOT11] Masatoshi Fukushima, Yoichi Oshima, and Masayoshi Takeda. Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes, volume 19 of De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, extended edition, 2011.
- [Frö82] Jürg Fröhlich. On the triviality of  $\lambda \varphi_d^4$  theories and the approach to the critical point in  $d_- > 4$  dimensions. Nuclear Phys. B, 200(2):281–296, 1982.
- [Frö83] Jürg Fröhlich. Continuum (scaling) limits of lattice field theories (triviality of λφ<sup>4</sup> in d(≥)4 dimensions). In Structural elements in particle physics and statistical mechanics (Freiburg, 1981), volume 82 of NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser. B: Physics, pages 273–286. Plenum, New York, 1983.
- [GH19] Massimiliano Gubinelli and Martina Hofmanová. Global solutions to elliptic and parabolic  $\Phi^4$  models in Euclidean space. Comm. Math. Phys., 368(3):1201–1266, 2019.
- [GH21] Massimiliano Gubinelli and Martina Hofmanová. A PDE construction of the Euclidean  $\phi_3^4$  quantum field theory. Comm. Math. Phys., 384(1):1–75, 2021.
- [Gia07] Giambattista Giacomin. *Random polymer models*. Imperial College Press, London, 2007.
- [GIP15] Massimiliano Gubinelli, Peter Imkeller, and Nicolas Perkowski. Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs. In *Forum of Mathematics, Pi*, volume 3. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [GL09] Massimiliano Gubinelli and József Lörinczi. Gibbs measures on Brownian currents. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 62(1):1–56, 2009.
- [GOdSS11] Martin Grothaus, Maria João Oliveira, José Luís da Silva, and Ludwig Streit. Self-avoiding fractional Brownian motion—the Edwards model. J. Stat. Phys., 145(6):1513–1523, 2011.
- [GP17] Massimiliano Gubinelli and Nicolas Perkowski. KPZ reloaded. Comm. Math. Phys., 349(1):165–269, 2017.
- [Hab23] Zbigniew Haba. Lectures on Quantum Field Theory and Functional Integration. Springer Cham, 2023.
- [Hai13] Martin Hairer. Solving the KPZ equation. Ann. of Math. (2), 178(2):559–664, 2013.
- [Hai14] Martin Hairer. A theory of regularity structures. Invent. Math., 198(2):269–504, 2014.

- [HKK21] Masato Hoshino, Hiroshi Kawabi, and Seiichiro Kusuoka. Stochastic quantization associated with the  $\exp(\Phi)_2$ -quantum field model driven by space-time white noise on the torus. J. Evol. Equ., 21(1):339–375, 2021.
- [HKK23] Masato Hoshino, Hiroshi Kawabi, and Seiichiro Kusuoka. Stochastic quantization associated with the  $\exp(\Phi)_2$ -quantum field model driven by space-time white noise on the torus in the full  $L^1$ -regime. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 185(1-2):391–447, 2023.
- [HN05] Yaozhong Hu and David Nualart. Renormalized self-intersection local time for fractional Brownian motion. Ann. Probab., 33(3):948–983, 2005.
- [HNS08] Yaozhong Hu, David Nualart, and Jian Song. Integral representation of renormalized self-intersection local times. J. Funct. Anal., 255(9):2507–2532, 2008.
- [Hu96] Yaozhong Hu. On the self-intersection local time of Brownian motion-via chaos expansion. Publ. Mat., 40(2):337–350, 1996.
- [Hu01] Yaozhong Hu. Self-intersection local time of fractional Brownian motions—via chaos expansion. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 41(2):233–250, 2001.
- [HYYW95] Sheng Wu He, Wen Qiang Yang, Rong Qin Yao, and Jia Gang Wang. Local times of self-intersection for multidimensional Brownian motion. Nagoya Math. J., 138:51–64, 1995.
- [IPAV95] Peter Imkeller, Víctor Pérez-Abreu, and Josep Vives. Chaos expansions of double intersection local time of Brownian motion in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and renormalization. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 56(1):1–34, 1995.
- [IS88] John Z. Imbrie and Thomas C. Spencer. Diffusion of directed polymers in a random environment. J. Statist. Phys., 52(3-4):609–626, 1988.
- [JLM85] Giovanni. Jona-Lasinio and Pronob K. Mitter. On the stochastic quantization of field theory. Comm. Math. Phys., 101(3):409–436, 1985.
- [Kol37] Andrei Nikolaevich Kolmogoroff. Zur Umkehrbarkeit der statistischen Naturgesetze. Math. Ann., 113(1):766–772, 1937.
- [KPZ86] Mehran Kardar, Giorgio Parisi, and Yi-Cheng Zhang. Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces. *Physical Review Letters*, 56(9):889, 1986.
- [Kup16] Antti Kupiainen. Renormalization group and stochastic PDEs. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 17(3):497–535, 2016.
- [Kus85a] Shigeo Kusuoka. Asymptotics of polymer measures in one dimension. In Infinitedimensional analysis and stochastic processes (Bielefeld, 1983), volume 124 of Res. Notes in Math., pages 66–82. Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.

- [Kus85b] Shigeo Kusuoka. On the path property of Edwards' model for long polymer chains in three dimensions. *Res. Notes in Math*, pages 48–65, 1985.
- [Law13] Gregory F. Lawler. Intersections of random walks. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013. Reprint of the 1996 edition.
- [Lév40] Paul Lévy. Le mouvement brownien plan. Amer. J. Math., 62:487–550, 1940.
- [LG85] Jean-François Le Gall. Sur le temps local d'intersection du mouvement brownien plan et la méthode de renormalisation de Varadhan. In Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, volume 1123 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 314–331. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [LG94] Jean-François Le Gall. Exponential moments for the renormalized self-intersection local time of planar Brownian motion. In Séminaire de Probabilités, XXVIII, volume 1583 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 172–180. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [Lyo86] Terry J. Lyons. The critical dimension at which quasi-every Brownian path is selfavoiding. Adv. in Appl. Probab., pages 87–99, 1986.
- [MR92] Zhi Ming Ma and Michael Röckner. Introduction to the theory of (nonsymmetric) Dirichlet forms. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [MS13] Neal Madras and Gordon Slade. *The self-avoiding walk*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013. Reprint of the 1993 original.
- [MW17] Jean-Christophe Mourrat and Hendrik Weber. The dynamic  $\Phi_3^4$  model comes down from infinity. Commun. Math. Phys., 356(3):673–753, 2017.
- [Naj10] Joseph Najnudel. Construction of an Edwards' probability measure on  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R})$ . Ann. Probab., 38(6):2295–2321, 2010.
- [Nel83] Edward Nelson. A remark on the polymer problem in four dimensions. In Studies in applied mathematics, volume 8 of Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud., pages 1–5. Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [NN23] Shuta Nakajima and Makoto Nakashima. Fluctuations of two-dimensional stochastic heat equation and KPZ equation in subcritical regime for general initial conditions. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 28:Paper No. 1, 38, 2023.
- [Pot00] Jürgen Potthoff. On differential operators in white noise analysis. volume 63, pages 333–347. 2000. Recent developments in infinite-dimensional analysis and quantum probability.
- [PW81] G. Parisi and Yong Shi Wu. Perturbation theory without gauge fixing. Sci. Sinica, 24(4):483–496, 1981.

- [Ros83] Jay Rosen. A local time approach to the self-intersections of Brownian paths in space. Comm. Math. Phys., 88(3):327–338, 1983.
- [Ros86] Jay Rosen. A renormalized local time for multiple intersections of planar Brownian motion. In Séminaire de Probabilités, XX, 1984/85, volume 1204 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 515–531. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [Ros87] Jay Rosen. The intersection local time of fractional Brownian motion in the plane. J. Multivariate Anal., 23(1):37–46, 1987.
- [Ros90] Jay Rosen. Random walks and intersection local time. Ann. Probab., 18(3):959–977, 1990.
- [Ros05] Jay Rosen. Derivatives of self-intersection local times. In Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII, volume 1857 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 263–281. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [RZZ17] Michael Röckner, Rongchan Zhu, and Xiangchan Zhu. Restricted Markov uniqueness for the stochastic quantization of  $P(\Phi)_2$  and its applications. J. Funct. Anal., 272(10):4263–4303, 2017.
- [Sil74] Martin L. Silverstein. Symmetric Markov processes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 426. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [Sto89] Andreas Stoll. Invariance principles for Brownian intersection local time and polymer measures. Math. Scand., 64(1):133–160, 1989.
- [Sym69] K Symanzik. Euclidean quantum field theory. Rend. Scu. Int. Fis. Enrico Fermi 45: 152-226(1969)., 1 1969.
- [SZ96] Renming Song and Xian Yin Zhou. A remark on diffusion of directed polymers in random environments. J. Statist. Phys., 85(1-2):277–289, 1996.
- [Var69] Srinivasa R. S. Varadhan. Appendix to "EUCLIDEAN QUANTUM FIELD THE-ORY." by K. Symanzik. Rend. Scu. Int. Fis. Enrico Fermi 45: 152-226(1969)., 1 1969.
- [vdH98] Remco. W. van der Hofstad. One-dimensional random polymers, volume 123 of CWI Tract. Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [vdHdHK97] Remco van der Hofstad, Frank den Hollander, and Wolfgang König. Central limit theorem for the Edwards model. Ann. Probab., 25(2):573–597, 1997.

- [vdHdHK03a] Remco van der Hofstad, Frank den Hollander, and Wolfgang König. Large deviations for the one-dimensional Edwards model. Ann. Probab., 31(4):2003–2039, 2003.
- [vdHdHK03b] Remco van der Hofstad, Frank den Hollander, and Wolfgang König. Weak interaction limits for one-dimensional random polymers. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 125(4):483–521, 2003.
- [VGT22] Francesco C. De Vecchi, Massimiliano Gubinelli, and Mattia Turra. A singular integration by parts formula for the exponential euclidean qft on the plane, 2022.
- [Wat91] Hisao Watanabe. The local time of self-intersections of Brownian motions as generalized Brownian functionals. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 23(1):1–9, 1991.
- [Wes80] Michael John Westwater. On Edwards' model for long polymer chains. Comm. Math. Phys., 72(2):131–174, 1980.
- [Wes82] Michael John Westwater. On Edwards' model for polymer chains. III. Borel summability. Comm. Math. Phys., 84(4):459–470, 1982.
- [Wes85] Michael John Westwater. On Edwards' model for polymer chains. In Trends and developments in the eighties (Bielefeld, 1982/1983), pages 384–404. World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1985.
- [Yor85] Marc. Yor. Renormalisation et convergence en loi pour les temps locaux d'intersection du mouvement brownien dans R<sup>3</sup>. In Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84, volume 1123 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 350–365. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [Zho91] Xian Yin Zhou. The Hölder continuity of Westwater process and its applications. Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.), 7(4):289–297, 1991.
- [Zho92a] Xian Yin Zhou. Hausdorff dimension of the double point set of the Westwater process. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B*, 13(1):86–94, 1992. A Chinese summary appears in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 13 (1992), no. 1, 133.
- [Zho92b] Xian Yin Zhou. Hausdorff dimension of the sample path of the Westwater process. Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.), 8(1):26–45, 1992. A Chinese summary appears in Acta Math. Sinica 36 (1993), no. 2, 287.
- [Zho92c] Xian Yin Zhou. The intersection local time of the Westwater process. Probability theory and related fields, 91(3-4):375–397, 1992.
- [Zho96] Xian Yin Zhou. The Hausdorff measure for the sample path of the Westwater process. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B, 17(3):375–388, 1996. A Chinese summary appears in Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 17 (1996), no. 4, 516.

[ZZ18] Rongchan Zhu and Xiangchan Zhu. Dirichlet form associated with the  $\Phi_3^4$  model. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23:Paper No. 78, 31, 2018.