

OBSERVABLE FULL-HORSESHOES FOR LAGRANGIAN FLOWS ADVECTED BY STOCHASTIC 2D NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

WEN HUANG AND JIANHUA ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we mainly study the turbulence of Lagrangian flow advected by stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations. It is proved that this system has observable full-horseshoes. The observable full-horseshoe means that it is a kind of chaotic structure and occurs on any two disjoint non-empty closed balls.

1. INTRODUCTION

Turbulence is said that a fluid motion becomes complicated, unpredictable, irregular and chaotic over time. This kind of physical phenomenon ubiquitously exists in nature (for example, see [30, 34, 39, 42]). There are amount of experimental results to indicate that some turbulent fluids systems are sensitive dependence on initial conditions, such as [38, 16, 26]. Recently, Bedrossian et.al made a breakthrough. And they proved some kinds of fluid models including Lagrangian flow advected by stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equation and Galerkin truncation of stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations, have positive Lyapunov exponents in [3, 4, 5].

In chaotic dynamical systems, another kind of landmark of chaos is horseshoe, which was introduced by Smale in [40]. It is a powerful geometry tool to describe the complex behaviour of the systems, for example see [41]. It is natural to ask whether there is horseshoe or horseshoe-like structure for turbulent dynamical system. Lately, the authors have proved the Galerkin truncation of stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations have full-horseshoes which is a weaker chaotic structure than horseshoes in [17]. In this paper, we devote to describe turbulence of Lagrangian flows advected by stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations by using full-horseshoes. Despite the statistical property of random Lagrangian flow has extensively been investigated a lot (for example, see [8, 11, 12, 22, 23, 19]), few literatures characterize the chaos of random Lagrangian flow from perspective of sample pathwise. In this paper, we obtain that the random Lagrangian flow has full-horseshoes on any two disjoint non-empty closed balls for almost sure sample and initial velocity (see Theorem 1.2). The crucial point of our result is that it provides a possibility to capture the chaotic behaviour of random Lagrangian flow.

1.1. Random Lagrangian flow. In this subsection, we introduce random Lagrangian flow and some basic assumptions. Denote \mathbb{T}^2 as the two-dimensional torus. The Lagrangian flow advected by stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations is diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t(\omega, u) : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow$

Date: November 10, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37H05, 37A50, 60H10.

Key words and phrases. Lagrangian flow; hyperbolic SRB measure; full-horseshoes; Lyapunov exponents; K -system.

\mathbb{T}^2 for $t \geq 0$ defined by following random ordinary differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d\varphi_t(\omega, u)x}{dt} = u_t(\omega, u)(\varphi_t(\omega, u)x), \quad \varphi_0(\omega, u)x = x.$$

Here, the random velocity field $u_t : \Omega \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the solution of following 2D incompressible stochastic Navier-Stokes equation with $u_0(\cdot, u) \equiv u$,

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_t u_t + (u_t \cdot \nabla) u_t = \epsilon \Delta u_t - \nabla p + \dot{W}_t, \quad \operatorname{div}(u_t) = 0,$$

where ϵ is the fixed positive constant viscosity, p is the pressure, and \dot{W}_t is the stochastic external force described more precisely below.

Take the phase space of Equation (1.2) as following Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{H} = \{u \in \mathbf{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2) : \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} u dx = \mathbf{0}, \operatorname{div}(u) = 0\},$$

where $s \geq 4$. Define a basic of \mathbb{H} by

$$e_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin(k \cdot x) & k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2, \\ \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos(k \cdot x) & k \in \mathbb{Z}_-^2, \end{cases}$$

where $k^\perp = (k_2, -k_1)$,

$$\mathbb{Z}_+^2 = \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : k_2 > 0\} \cup \{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : k_1 > 0, k_2 = 0\} \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}_-^2 = -\mathbb{Z}_+^2.$$

Denote $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ as an infinite-dimensional Wiener space, i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (C_0([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_0, \mathbb{P}_0)^{\mathbb{Z}_0^2},$$

where \mathcal{F}_0 is the Borel σ -algebra of $C_0([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ with compact open topology, \mathbb{P}_0 is the Wiener measure on $(C_0([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}_0)$, and $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 = \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \cup \mathbb{Z}_-^2$. Then $\{W_t^k(\omega) := \omega_k(t)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$ is a family of independent one-dimensional Wiener processes on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Throughout this paper, we will consider a white-in-time stochastic forcing \dot{W}_t being the form

$$\dot{W}_t = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2} q_k e_k \dot{W}_t^k,$$

where q_k are non-negative constants with following assumptions

(Low mode non-degeneracy): $q_k > 0$ whenever $k = (\pm 1, 0)$ or $(0, \pm 1)$;

(High mode non-degeneracy): there exist some $\alpha \in (s + 1, s + 2)$ and some large positive integer L such that

$$q_k \approx |k|^{-\alpha},$$

holds for any $k \in \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : \max\{|k_1|, |k_2|\} \geq L\}$.

The above two assumptions mainly ensure that the Lagrangian flow has positive Lyapunov exponents. The reader can refer to [3, Theorem 1.6] for details. Now, we summary the well-posedness and properties of velocity flow $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and Lagrangian flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Proposition 1.1. Under above setting, one has that

- (1) For all $u \in \mathbb{H}$, Equation (1.2) exists a unique mild solution $(u_t(\omega, u))_{t \geq 0} \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{H})$ with $u_0(\omega, u) = u$ for almost trajectory. And the Markov process $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ admits a unique Borel stationary measure μ in \mathbb{H} .

(2) Equation (1.1) exists a unique Carathéodory solution

$$(\varphi_t(\omega, u))_{t \geq 0} \in C([0, \infty); \text{Diff}^2(\mathbb{T}^2))$$

with $\varphi_0(\omega, u) = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ for almost trajectory. And the Markov process $(u_t, \varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ admits a unique Borel stationary measure $\mu \times \text{vol}$ in $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{T}^2$, where vol is the volume measure on \mathbb{T}^2 .

The existence and uniqueness of solution of Equation (1.2) follows from [9, Chapter 15] or [20, Chapter 2]; the unique ergodicity can be obtained by adjusting the arguments in [13, 37]. The existence of uniqueness of solution of Equation (1.1) follows from the regularity of u_t and [1, Chapter 2]; the unique ergodicity was proved in [3, Section 7].

1.2. Main result and discussions. The existence of full-horseshoes for Lagrangian flows can be obtained by checking conditions in [17, Proposition 5.6]. But we give more precise argument for the Lagrangian flow to determine the location of the full-horseshoes in this paper. Particularly, we give an abstract result to ensure the existence of observable full-horseshoes (see Proposition 4.8). Now, we state the main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *The Lagrangian flow $(\varphi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by Equation (1.1) has full-horseshoes on any two disjoint non-empty closed balls of \mathbb{T}^2 . Namely, given any two disjoint non-empty closed balls $\{U_1, U_2\}$ of \mathbb{T}^2 , for $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -a.s. $(\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{H}$, there exists a subset $J(\omega, u)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that*

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|J(\omega, u) \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}|}{n} > 0$;
- (b) for any $s \in \{1, 2\}^{J(\omega, u)}$, there exists an $x_s \in \mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\varphi_j(\omega, u)x_s \in U_{s(j)}$ for each $j \in J(\omega, u)$.

Remark 1.3. In fact, the Lagrangian flow has observable full-horseshoes of any discrete time form and the hitting time has uniformly positive lower bound. Particularly, for any $t > 0$ and any two disjoint non-empty closed balls $\{U_1, U_2\}$ of \mathbb{T}^2 , there exists positive constants b such that for $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -a.s. $(\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{H}$, there is a subset $J(\omega, u)$ of \mathbb{Z}_+ such that

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|J(\omega, u) \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}|}{n} > b$;
- (b) for any $s \in \{1, 2\}^{J(\omega, u)}$, there exists an $x_s \in \mathbb{T}^2$ such that $\varphi_{tj}(\omega, u)x_s \in U_{s(j)}$ for each $j \in J(\omega, u)$.

Recently, the phenomenon of observable full-horseshoe has been obtained in [24] for a kind of one-dimensional expanding random dynamical system. However, the method in [24] looks like to be invalid for high-dimensional dynamical system. In this paper, we adopt totally different method, which is based on the hyperbolic property of stationary measure and authors' recent work [17]. We believe our method can relax the condition of stationary measure to ensure the existence of observable full-horseshoes.

This paper is organized as following: In Section 2, we mainly review the basic knowledge of entropy, Pinsker σ -algebra, and K -system for random dynamical system. In Section 3, borrowing the invariant manifold theory in smooth random dynamical systems, we give a sufficient condition of a system to guarantee that it is a K -system. In Section 4, we mainly verify that Lagrangian flow is a K -system and use the result in [17] to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

1.3. Acknowledgments. The authors were supported by NSFC of China (12090012, 12090010, 12031019, 11731003). The second author would like to thanks College of Mathematics of Sichuan University for their warm hospitality, as the idea of this part work was formulated when he was visiting here.

2. ENTROPY, PINSKER σ -ALGEBRA AND K -SYSTEM FOR RDS

In this section, we review some basic concepts and classical results about measurable partition, entropy, Pinsker σ -algebra and K -system for random dynamical system. The reader can see [1, 10, 14, 43] for details.

2.1. Measurable partition and RDS. In this section, we always assume that triple (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) is a *Polish probability space*, which means that X is a Polish space, \mathcal{B}_X is the Borel σ -algebra of X , μ is a probability measure on (X, \mathcal{B}_X) .

Definition 2.1 (Measurable partition). A partition α of (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) is called the *measurable partition* if there exists a family of countable measurable subsets $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying that (1) for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ A_i is the union of elements in α , (2) for any two distinct elements B_1, B_2 of α there exists some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either $B_1 \subset A_i, B_2 \not\subset A_i$ or $B_1 \not\subset A_i, B_2 \subset A_i$. Obviously, the elements in α are measurable.

For any measurable partition α of (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) , denote $\hat{\alpha}$ as the σ -algebra generated by measurable set in \mathcal{B}_X which is the union of elements in α . Then we define the inclusion relationship of measurable partition through the inclusion relationship of σ -algebra. i.e.

- (1) given any two partitions α_1 and α_2 of (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) , we say that α_1 is finer (coarser) than α_2 , and denote it by $\alpha_2 \prec \alpha_1$ ($\alpha_2 \succ \alpha_1$) if σ -algebra $\hat{\alpha}_2 \subset \hat{\alpha}_1 \pmod{\mu}$ ($\hat{\alpha}_2 \supset \hat{\alpha}_1 \pmod{\mu}$). Particularly, denote $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ if $\alpha_2 \succ \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2 \prec \alpha_1$;
- (2) letting $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable partitions of (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) , denote $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i$ ($\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i$) as the most the finer (coarser) measurable partition which is coarser (finer) than all α_i .

In fact, sub σ -algebras of \mathcal{B}_X are in one-to-one correspondence with the classes of mod-0 equal measurable partitions (see the details in [27, Chapter 0 §2]). Now, we review a useful tool in this paper: measure disintegration.

Lemma 2.2 ([10, Proposition 5.19]). Let α be a measurable partition of Polish probability space (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) . Then, there is a family of conditional probability measures $\{\mu_x^\alpha\}_{x \in X}$ on (X, \mathcal{B}_X) which are characterized by

- there exists a μ -full measure subset X' such that for any $x \in X'$ one has that $\mu_x^\alpha(\alpha(x)) = 1$ and $\mu_{x_1}^\alpha = \mu_{x_2}^\alpha$, where $\alpha(x)$ is the unique atom in α which contains x , and $x_1, x_2 \in \alpha(x)$;
- for each $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$, one has that $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu_x^\alpha)$ for μ -a.s. $x \in X$, the map $x \mapsto \int_X f d\mu_x^\alpha$ belongs to $L^1(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu)$ and $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^\alpha d\mu(x)$ in the sense that

$$\int_X \left(\int_X f d\mu_x^\alpha \right) d\mu(x) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Then $\mu = \int_X \mu_x^\alpha d\mu(x)$ is called *disintegration* of μ with respect to α .

Next, we recall some basic definitions of measure-preserving dynamical systems. For sake of convenience, denote \mathbf{T} as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+ := \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \geq 0\}, \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{t \in \mathbb{Z} : t \geq 0\}$.

Definition 2.3. A measurable dynamical system $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ is said that the mapping

$$T : \mathbf{T} \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (t, x) \mapsto T_t x$$

is $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{T}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_X / \mathcal{B}_X$ -measurable satisfying that $T_0 = \text{id}_X$ and $T_t \circ T_s = T_{t+s}$ for any $s, t \in \mathbf{T}$. If $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Z} , then (X, \mathcal{B}_X, T) is called an invertible measurable dynamical system.

Definition 2.4. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ be a measurable dynamical system and (X, \mathcal{B}_X, μ) be a Polish probability space.

- (a) the measure μ is called a $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ -invariant measure if $(T_t)_* \mu = \mu$ for every $t \in \mathbf{T}$;
- (b) the measure μ is called a $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ -ergodic measure if (1) μ is a $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ -invariant measure; (2) any measurable subset A with $\mu(A \Delta (T_t)^{-1} A) = 0$ for any $t \in \mathbf{T}$ has μ -full measure or μ -null measure.

The quadruple $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ is refer to a *measure-preserving dynamical system* or *metric system*. Sometimes, we will write $(X, \mathcal{B}_X, \mu, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ short as $(X, \mu, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$.

A random dynamical system (being abbreviated as RDS) φ on a Polish space M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ means that

$$\varphi : \mathbf{T} \times \Omega \times M \rightarrow M, \quad (n, \omega, x) \mapsto \varphi_n(\omega)x$$

is a mapping satisfying that

- (i) φ is $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{T}} \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M / \mathcal{B}_M$ -measurable;
- (ii) for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, one has that $\varphi_0(\omega) = \text{id}_M$ and $\varphi_{n+m}(\omega) = \varphi_n(\theta_m \omega) \circ \varphi_m(\omega)$ for any $n, m \in \mathbf{T}$.

The RDS φ induces a measurable dynamical system $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M, (\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi : \mathbf{T} \times \Omega \times M \rightarrow \Omega \times M, \quad (t, \omega, x) \mapsto (\theta_t \omega, \varphi_t(\omega)x).$$

If \mathbf{T} is \mathbb{R}_+ or \mathbb{Z}_+ (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z}), the RDS φ is called a *one-side* (*two-side*) RDS. In the case of $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{R} , note that the induced measurable dynamical system $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M, (\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ is invertible.

Definition 2.5. Let φ be a measurable RDS on a Polish space M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$. A probability measure μ on $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M)$ is an invariant (ergodic) measure of the RDS φ if μ is $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}}$ -invariant (ergodic) and the marginal measure on Ω is the measure \mathbb{P} . The pair (φ, μ) is refer to a *measure-preserving* RDS on M over $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$.

In the end of this subsection, we recall measure disintegration of RDS, which can be regard as a special version of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.6 ([1, Lemma 1.4.3]). Let (φ, μ) be a measure-preserving RDS on a Polish space M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$. The disintegration of μ with respect to measurable partition $\varpi = \{\omega \times M : \omega \in \Omega\}$ can be expressed as

$$(2.2) \quad \mu = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{\omega} \times \mu_{\omega} d\mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

where δ_{ω} is the Dirac's measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) at ω , and $\{\mu_{\omega}\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is a family of probability measures on (M, \mathcal{B}_M) .

2.2. Entropy and K -system for RDS. In the subsection, we will give the definitions of entropy and K -system for RDS. Assume that (φ, μ) is a measure-preserving RDS on a Polish space M over a metric dynamical system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}})$ through this subsection, where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_+ . Firstly, recall that

- $\varpi = \{\omega \times M : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is the measurable partition of $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M, \cdot)$;
- $\mu = \int_{\Omega} \delta_{\omega} \times \mu_{\omega} d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ is the disintegration of μ with respect to ϖ which is defined as (2.2);
- $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ is measurable map on $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M)$ which is defined as (2.1).

Definition 2.7. For any finite measurable partition α of $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M)$, its entropy with respect to the RDS (φ, μ) is defined as

$$h_{\mu}(\varphi, \alpha) := \int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\delta_{\omega} \times \mu_{\omega}} \left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (\Phi_i)^{-1} \alpha \right) d\mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

where $H_{\nu}(\alpha) := -\sum_{A \in \alpha} \nu(A) \log \nu(A)$ with a probability measure ν on $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M)$. The measure-theoretic entropy of the measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) is defined as

$$(2.3) \quad h_{\mu}(\varphi) := \sup_{\alpha} h_{\mu}(\varphi, \alpha),$$

where α is taken all over finite Borel measurable partitions of $\Omega \times M$.

Remark 2.8. For a measure-preserving dynamical system $(X, \mu, (T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}})$ on the Polish probability space, its $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ -invariant σ -algebra is one to one the $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ -invariant measurable partition (for example, see [10, Theorem 6.5]). Therefore, the definition of entropy in (2.3) is coincide with the classical definition of relative entropy (see the detail discussions in [27, Chapter 0]).

The Pinsker σ -algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\varphi)$ of measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) is defined as the smallest σ -algebra containing

$$\{A \in \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M : h_{\mu}(\varphi, \{A, \Omega \times M \setminus A\}) = 0\},$$

which is a $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ -invariant sub- σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M$ (for example, see [43, Section 4.10] or [15]). Denote \mathcal{P}_{μ} as a $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$ -invariant unique measurable partition determined by the Pinsker σ -algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}(\varphi)$, which is called *Pinsker partition* of the measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) .

Definition 2.9 (K -system). A measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) is called a K -system if the Pinsker partition \mathcal{P}_{μ} of (φ, μ) is trivial. That is $\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = \varpi$.

Finally, we review a proposition which states a relationship between Pinsker partition and general partition for RDS. The reader can see a general form in [44, Lemma 3.6].

Proposition 2.10. Assume that (φ, μ) is a two-side measure-preserving RDS on a Polish space over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}})$. Then for any measurable partition η of $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M)$ with

$$\varpi \prec \eta \prec \Phi_1 \eta, \quad \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_i \eta = \varepsilon_{\Omega \times M}$$

implies that $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{-i} \eta \succ \mathcal{P}_{\mu}$, where $\varepsilon_{\Omega \times M}$ is the extreme measurable partition, i.e. $\varepsilon_{\Omega \times M} = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M\}$.

3. HYPERBOLIC RDS IS A K-SYSTEM

In this section, we limit our setting on abstract smooth RDSs and we prove that a C^2 RDS with a totally ergodic non-uniformly hyperbolic SRB measure is a K -system, namely Proposition 3.9. The main idea of this proof is inspired by the Pesin's spectral decomposition theorem for determinant systems (for example, see [33, 2]). Throughout this section, we assume that

- (A1) M is a d -dimensional closed connected Riemannian manifold with $d \geq 2$, and φ is a RDS on M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ satisfying that $\varphi_1(\omega)$ is a C^2 diffeomorphism on M for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{Z}_+ ;
- (A2) the RDS φ satisfies following integrability condition

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\log^+ \|\varphi_1(\omega)\|_{C^2} + \log^+ \|\varphi_1(\omega)^{-1}\|_{C^2}) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) < +\infty,$$

where $\log^+ |a| = \max\{\log |a|, 0\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$;

- (A3) the Borel probability measure μ on $\Omega \times M$ is an ergodic measure of RDS φ .

3.1. Lyapunov exponents and entropy. In this section, we mainly review the relationship between Lyapunov exponents and entropy for RDSs. Firstly, we recall the well-known multiplicative ergodic theorem, which ensures the existence of Lyapunov exponents. The reader can refer to [1, Theorem 3.4.1, Theorem 4.2.6 and Theorem 4.3.14] for the details.

Proposition 3.1. Let (φ, μ) be a measure-preserving RDS on M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$ satisfying (A1)-(A3).

One-side: assume that φ is one-side RDS. Then there exist $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, constant values $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r$, $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a measurable filtration

$$TM =: V_1(\omega, x) \supsetneq V_2(\omega, x) \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq V_r(\omega, x) \supsetneq V_{r+1}(\omega, x) := \{0\}$$

with following properties for μ -a.s. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$:

- (a) for $v \in V_i(\omega, x) \setminus V_{i+1}(\omega, x)$, one has that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |d_x \varphi_n(\omega)v| = \lambda_i;$$

- (b) $d_x \varphi_n(\omega)V_i(\omega, x) = V_i(\Phi_n(\omega, x))$ and $m_i = \dim V_i(\omega, x) - \dim V_{i+1}(\omega, x)$, where Φ_n is defined as (2.1).

Two-side: assume that φ is two-side RDS. Then there exist $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, constant values $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r$, $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a measurable filtration

$$TM = E_1(\omega, x) \oplus E_2(\omega, x) \oplus \dots \oplus E_r(\omega, x)$$

with following properties for μ -a.s. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$:

- (a) for all $v \in E_i(\omega, x)$, one has that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |d_x \varphi_n(\omega)v| = \lambda_i;$$

- (b) $d_x \varphi_n(\omega)E_i(\omega, x) = E_i(\Phi_n(\omega, x))$ and $m_i = \dim E_i(\omega, x)$;

- (c) denoting $\pi_i(\omega, x)$ as the projection on $E_i(\omega, x)$ along $\bigoplus_{j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus i} E_j(\omega, x)$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\pi_i(\Phi_n(\omega, x))| = 0.$$

The constants λ_i are called Lyapunov exponents of (φ, μ) with multiplicities m_i .

Let (φ, μ) be a one-side measure-preserving RDS on M over $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ which satisfies (A1)-(A3). In the following we will give a natural way to define a two-side measure-preserving RDS. By [35, 36], there exists an ergodic measure-preserving dynamical system $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}, (\widehat{\theta}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ on the Polish probability space $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$ and a factor map

$$(3.2) \quad \widehat{\pi} : (\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}, (\widehat{\theta}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}).$$

Usually, (3.2) is called the invertible extension of $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$. Then define a two-side RDS $\widehat{\varphi}$ on M over $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}, (\widehat{\theta}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ as

$$(3.3) \quad \widehat{\varphi}_n(\widehat{\omega})x = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\omega}))x & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ \varphi_{-n}(\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\theta}_n \widehat{\omega}))^{-1}x & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

By [1, Theorem 1.7.2], it is clear that there exists a unique ergodic measure $\widehat{\mu}$ of RDS $\widehat{\varphi}$ with the marginal μ on $\Omega \times M$. In this paper, we call two-side measure-preserving RDS $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ as invertible extension of the measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) . The reader should be caution that the main reason why we can do as this is that $\varphi_1(\omega)$ is a diffeomorphism on M for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$. According to Proposition 3.1 and a similar argument in [27, Theorem 2.3 in Chapter I], we have the following remark.

Remark 3.2. The entropy and the Lyapunov exponents of (φ, μ) are coincides with the Lyapunov exponents and entropy of $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$, respectively.

Finally, we review the celebrate Pesin's entropy formula and its characterization in RDSs.

Proposition 3.3. Assuming that (φ, μ) is a one-side RDS satisfying (A1)-(A3), then μ is a SRB measure (see Definition 3.8) if and only if following equality holds,

$$h_\mu(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^+ m_i,$$

where $a^+ := \max\{a, 0\}$ with $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Particularly, if $\mu = \mathbb{P} \times \text{vol}$ is an ergodic measure of RDS φ , where vol is the volume measure on M , then μ and $\widehat{\mu}$ are both SRB measure of RDS φ and $\widehat{\varphi}$, respectively, where $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ is the invertible extension of the RDS (φ, μ) .

Proof. Note that

$$-\log^+ |\varphi_1(\omega)^{-1}|_{C^2} \lesssim \log \inf_{x \in M} |\det d_x \varphi_1(\omega)| \lesssim \log^+ |\varphi_1(\omega)|_{C^2}.$$

By [28, Theorem 2.2 and] or [21, Theorem 3.13], one has that μ is a SRB measure if and only if $h_\mu(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^+ m_i$. If $\mu = \mathbb{P} \times \text{vol}$, then μ and $\widehat{\mu}$ are both random SRB measures, since Remark 3.2 and [28, Corollary 2.3]. This completes the proof of the Proposition. \square

3.2. K -system. Recall that (φ, μ) is the measure-preserving RDS on M over the metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{T}})$ and it satisfies (A1)-(A3). In this subsection, we additionally assume that

- (A4) φ is a two-side measure-preserving RDS;
- (A5) μ is an ergodic hyperbolic measure of φ , i.e. (φ, μ) has non-zero Lyapunov exponents;

(A6) (φ, μ) is totally ergodic, i.e. its induced measure-preserving dynamical system $(\Omega \times M, (\Phi_{nm})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, \mu)$ is ergodic for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the next, we will use the invariant manifold theorem to prove that (φ, μ) is a K -system. Invariant manifold theorem describes geometry structures of stables sets and unstable sets defined as following,

$$W_{(\omega, x)}^s = \{y \in M : \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \text{dist}(\varphi_n(\omega)y, \varphi_n(\omega)x) < 0\}$$

$$W_{(\omega, x)}^u = \{y \in M : \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \text{dist}(\varphi_{-n}(\omega)y, \varphi_{-n}(\omega)x) < 0\},$$

where dist is the metric induced by the Riemannian structure of M . Due to multiplicative ergodic theorem (see Proposition 3.1), denote

$$E_{(\omega, x)}^s := \bigoplus_{\lambda_i < 0} E_i(\omega, x) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{(\omega, x)}^u := \bigoplus_{\lambda_i > 0} E_i(\omega, x)$$

as the stable space and unstable space at (ω, x) of (φ, μ) , respectively. Now, we state a convenient version of invariant manifold theorem. The reader can refer to [7] or [27, Chapter V] for an analogous proof.

Proposition 3.4 (Invariant manifold theorem). Assume that the measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) satisfies that (A1)-(A5). Given a $\lambda \in (0, \min_{i=1, \dots, r} |\lambda_i|)$, there exist two tempered functions $l, C : \Omega \times M \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ ¹ such that for any enough small constant $\delta > 0$ there is a Φ -invariant μ -full measure set Γ and a unique family of C^{1+Lip} maps

$$\{g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau : E_{(\omega, x)}^\tau(\delta l(\omega, x)^{-1}) \rightarrow E_{(\omega, x)}^{\tau'}\}_{(\omega, x) \in \Gamma},$$

where $\tau \neq \tau' \in \{u, s\}$, with following properties

- (a) $d_0 g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau = 0$;
- (b) $\text{Lip}(g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau) \leq 1/10$, and $\text{Lip}(d_0 g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau) \leq C(\omega, x)l(\omega, x)$;
- (c) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has that

$$\text{dist}(\varphi_{-n}(\omega)y_1, \varphi_{-n}(\omega)y_2) \leq C(\omega, x)e^{-n\lambda}d(y_1, y_2) \quad \text{if } y_1, y_2 \in W_{(\omega, x), \delta}^u := \exp_x(\text{graph } g_{(\omega, x)}^u),$$

$$\text{dist}(\varphi_n(\omega)y_1, \varphi_n(\omega)y_2) \leq C(\omega, x)e^{-n\lambda}d(y_1, y_2) \quad \text{if } y_1, y_2 \in W_{(\omega, x), \delta}^s := \exp_x(\text{graph } g_{(\omega, x)}^s),$$

where \exp_x is the exponential map at x ;

- (d) one has that

$$(3.4) \quad W_{(\omega, x)}^u = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \varphi_n(\theta_{-n}\omega)W_{(\Phi_{-n}(\omega, x)), \delta}^u, \quad W_{(\omega, x)}^s = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \varphi_{-n}(\theta_n\omega)W_{(\Phi_n(\omega, x)), \delta}^s,$$

which are immersed submanifolds of M .

In the above proposition, we usually call $W_{(\omega, x), \delta}^u$ ($W_{(\omega, x), \delta}^s$) as local unstable (stable) manifolds at (ω, x) of (φ, μ) . Next, we mainly review the construction process of measurable partitions which are subordinated to the unstable or stable manifolds. In the following, we always assume that (φ, μ) is a measure-preserving RDS on M over $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbf{T}})$, and it satisfies that (A1)-(A5) without extra specific explanation.

¹A tempered function l on $\Omega \times M$ is that l is a Borel measurable function and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{\log |l(\Phi_n(\omega, x))|}{n} = 0$.

Definition 3.5. A measurable partition η of $\Omega \times M$ is subordinated to the unstable manifolds of (φ, μ) if there exists a positive measurable function $r : \Omega \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for μ -a.s. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$, one has that

$$\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}^u(r(\omega, x)) \subset \eta^\omega(x) := \{y \in M : (\omega, y) \in \eta(\omega, x)\} \subset W_{(\omega, x)}^u,$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}^u(r(\omega, x))$ is the open ball at (ω, x) with the radius $r(\omega, x)$ on the immersed manifold $W_{(\omega, x)}^u$. The definition of measurable partition which is subordinated to the stable manifolds is similar.

Using Pesin's block, Lusin's theorem and properties of invariant manifolds, we can obtain following claims. Since the argument is standard in smooth ergodic theory, the proof will be omitted. The reader can refer to [27, Chapter IV] and [6] for the details.

Claim 3.6 (Local properties). Recall that Γ is a μ -full measure subset of $\Omega \times M$ defined as Proposition 3.4. Then there exists a positive μ -measure compact subset $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ such that for any $\tau \neq \tau' \in \{u, s\}$, for all $r > 0$, there is a sufficiently small positive constant $\epsilon = \epsilon(r)$ and a point $(\check{\omega}, \check{x}) \in \Gamma_1$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}(r) := \Gamma_1 \cap (\overline{\mathcal{B}(\check{\omega}, \epsilon)} \times \overline{\mathcal{B}(\check{x}, \epsilon)})$$

with following properties

- (1) $\mu(\mathcal{L}(r)) > 0$;
- (2) for any $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}(r)$, there is a C^{1+Lip} map $g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau : E_{(\check{\omega}, \check{x})}^\tau(r) \rightarrow E_{(\check{\omega}, \check{x})}^{\tau'}(r)$ with $\text{Lip}(g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau) \leq 1$ such that the connected component of $W_{(\omega, x)}^\tau \cap \overline{B(\check{x}, \epsilon)}$ containing x coincides with $\exp_{\check{x}}(\text{graph } g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau)$;
- (3) $(\omega, x) \mapsto g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau$ varies continuously in the uniform norm on $C(E_{(\check{\omega}, \check{x})}^\tau(r), E_{(\check{\omega}, \check{x})}^{\tau'}(r))$ as (ω, x) varies in $\mathcal{L}(r)$, where $C(X, Y)$ is collections of the continuous maps from X to Y with compact metric spaces X, Y ;
- (4) for any $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}(r)$, submanifold graph $g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau$ is transverse to the family of manifolds $\{\text{graph } g_{(\omega, y)}^{\tau'}\}_{(\omega, y) \in \mathcal{L}(r)}$.

Claim 3.7 (Subordinated partitions). For any $\tau \in \{u, s\}$, define τ -random stack as

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_\tau(r) := \bigcup_{(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}(r)} \{\omega\} \times \exp_{\check{x}}(\text{graph } g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau)$$

which is a positive μ -measure subset of $\Omega \times M$, and measurable partition as

$$\xi_\tau(r) := \{\omega \times \exp_{\check{x}}(\text{graph } g_{(\omega, x)}^\tau) : (\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}(r)\} \cup \{\Omega \times M \setminus \mathcal{S}_\tau(r)\}.$$

Then there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that $\eta_s := \bigvee_{i=0}^{+\infty} \Phi_{-i} \xi_s(r_1) \vee \varpi$ and $\eta_u := \bigvee_{i=0}^{+\infty} \Phi_i \xi_u(r_1) \vee \varpi$ are two measurable partitions of $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M, \mu)$, where $\varpi = \{\omega \times M : \omega \in \Omega\}$, which satisfy that

- (a) $\varpi \prec \eta_s \prec \Phi_1 \eta_s$ and $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_n \eta_s = \varepsilon_{\Omega \times M}$;
- (b) $\varpi \prec \eta_u \prec \Phi_{-1} \eta_u$ and $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{-n} \eta_u = \varepsilon_{\Omega \times M}$;
- (c) η_u and η_s are subordinated to the unstable and stable manifolds, respectively.

Finally, we are going to state the main result (Proposition 3.9) in this section. Despite there is a similar result in [25, Theorem C] for i.i.d. RDS as Proposition 3.9, we are dealing with general RDSs. For sake of convenience, we introduce two simplified notations:

- given a measurable partition η of $(\Omega \times M, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_M, \mu)$ with $\eta \succ \varpi$, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ denote η^ω as projection measurable partition on M , i.e.

$$(3.6) \quad \eta^\omega = \{A \in \mathcal{B}_M : \{\omega\} \times A \in \eta\};$$

- given a Borel measurable subset B of $\Omega \times M$, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ denote B^ω as projection set, i.e.

$$(3.7) \quad B^\omega = \{x \in M : (\omega, x) \in B\}.$$

Definition 3.8. An ergodic measure μ of RDS φ is called a SRB measure if for any measurable partition η which is subordinated to the stable manifolds of (φ, μ) , one has that

$$(\mu_\omega)_x^{\eta^\omega} \ll \text{vol}_{(\omega, x)}^s$$

for μ -a.s. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$, where $\{(\mu_\omega)_x^{\eta^\omega}\}_{x \in M}$ is the disintegration of μ_ω with respect to η^ω , and $\text{vol}_{(\omega, x)}^s$ is the volume measure on $W_{(\omega, x)}^s$ induced by its inherited Riemannian metric as a submanifold of M .

Proposition 3.9. Assume that (φ, μ) is a measure-preserving RDS on M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ satisfying (A1)-(A6). If μ is a SRB measure, then (φ, μ) is a K -system.

Proof. Let η_s and η_u be two measurable partitions defined as Claim 3.7. Due to the properties in Claim 3.6 and SRB property of μ , it is easy to see that there exists a positive μ -measure compact subset \mathcal{L}_1 of $\mathcal{L}(r_1)$ and $r_2 > 0$ such that for every $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}_1$

(H1) for any $\tau \in \{s, u\}$ one has that $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}^\tau(r_2) \subset \eta_\tau(\omega, x)$, where $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}^\tau(r_2)$ is the open ball at (ω, x) with the radius r_2 on the immersed manifold $W_{(\omega, x)}^\tau$;

(H2) $(\mu_\omega)_x^{\eta_s^\omega}(\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}^s(r_2)) > 0$.

According to the absolutely continuous theorem (see [27, Chapter III §5]) and (H1)-(H2), there exists a positive μ -measure subset \mathcal{L}_2 of \mathcal{L}_1 such that for any $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}_2$ there is a positive μ_ω -measure subset $\mathcal{B}_{(\omega, x)}$ of $\bigcup_{y \in \eta_s^\omega(x)} \eta_u^\omega(y)$. Therefore, for every $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}_2$ one has that $\mu_\omega((\eta_u \wedge \eta_s)^\omega(x)) > 0$ by using the fact

$$\eta_s \wedge \eta_u(\omega, x) \supset \bigcup_{y \in \eta_s^\omega(x)} \{\omega\} \times \eta_u^\omega(y).$$

Recall that \mathcal{P}_μ is the Pinsker partition of measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) . By Proposition 2.10, one has that

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu \prec \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_{-n} \eta_s \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_\mu \prec \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi_n \eta_u,$$

which implies that $\mathcal{P}_\mu \prec \eta_s \wedge \eta_u$. Therefore, for any $(\omega, x) \in \mathcal{L}_2$ one has that

$$\mu_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\mu^\omega(x)) > 0.$$

By the invariance of \mathcal{P}_μ and ergodicity of the measure μ , we have that $\mu_\omega(\mathcal{P}_\mu^\omega(x)) > 0$ for μ -a.s. $(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times M$. Therefore, the projective partition \mathcal{P}_μ^ω has at most countable positive μ_ω -measure element element for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$. According to [32, Corollary 4.30], there exists a finite Borel measurable partition $\gamma = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ of $\Omega \times M$ such that

$$\Phi_{j-1}(A_1) = A_j \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } \gamma \vee \varpi = \mathcal{P}_\mu.$$

Since (φ, μ) is totally ergodic and $\Phi_m(A_1) = A_1$, we have that $A_1 = \cdots = A_m = \Omega \times M \pmod{\mu}$. Therefore, (φ, μ) is a K -system. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we will mainly verify the invertible extension of Lagrangian flow is a K -system by borrowing Proposition 3.9. Combining this property and Lemma 4.6, we prove that the Lagrangian flow has observable full-horseshoes.

4.1. Totally ergodic property. In this subsection, we mainly explain that the time-1 map of Lagrangian flow induces a totally ergodic discrete RDS. Recall that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is the infinite-dimensional Wiener space which is defined as (1.3). Now, we define Wiener shift $(\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ on it as

$$(4.1) \quad \theta_t(\omega) = (\omega_k(t + \cdot) - \omega_k(t))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : n \neq (0, 0)\}$. Following the classical argument of Bernoulli system being ergodic (for example, see [10, Proposition 2.15]), the reader can prove that the Wiener measure \mathbb{P} is $(\theta_{\tau t})_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ -ergodic for any $\tau > 0$. In the following, we use $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ to represent the velocity flow generated by Equation (1.1) and the Lagrangian flow generated by Equation (1.2), respectively.

Lemma 4.1. Recall that μ is the unique stationary measure of velocity flow defined in Proposition 1.1. Then $u_t : \Omega \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is a continuous RDS on \mathbb{H} over the metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ and $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ is an invariant measure of RDS $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Furthermore, φ_t is a C^2 RDS on \mathbb{T}^2 over the metric system $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu, (\theta_t \times u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$.

Proof. According to the argument in [20, Chapter 2.4.4], we know that $(u_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous RDS on \mathbb{H} over the metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$. By that and [1, Theorem 2.1.7], one has that $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ is an invariant measure of RDS $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Since φ_t is the solution of a random ordinary differential equation of (1.1), $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a C^2 RDS on \mathbb{T}^2 over the metric system $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu, (\theta_t \times u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+})$ by [1, Theorem 2.2.2]. \square

Denote $(\mathcal{U}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ as the measurable maps generated by $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ and $(u_t, \varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ as (2.1). Letting vol be the volume measure on \mathbb{T}^2 , then we have following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol}, (\mathcal{U}_{nm})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ is an ergodic measure-preserving dynamical system. Particularly, the time-1 map of RDS $(\varphi, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol})$ generates a totally ergodic measure-preserving RDS $(\varphi^{(1)}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol})$ on \mathbb{T}^2 over the metric system $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu, (\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ as

$$\varphi^{(1)} : \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2, \quad (n, (\omega, u), x) \mapsto \varphi_n(\omega, u)x.$$

Proof. Denote $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ as the Markov semigroup generated by $(u_t, \varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. According to the weak irreducibility (see [3, Lemma 7.3]) and strong Feller property of $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, one has that $\mu \times \text{vol}$ is also unique stationary measure for Markov semigroup $(\mathcal{P}_{mn})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by [31, Corollary 3.17]. Therefore, $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{T}^2, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol}, (\mathcal{U}_{nm})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ is ergodic by combining [9, Theorem 3.2.6] and [1, Theorem 2.1.7]. \square

4.2. Integrable conditions for Lagrangian flow. In this subsection, we mainly verify the integral condition (3.1) for the Lagrangian flow. Firstly, we give two useful lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. Let $f \in \mathbf{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s > 3$. Then $f \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|f\|_{C^2} \lesssim_s \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^s},$$

where $a \lesssim_s b$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$ only depending on s such that $a \leq Cb$.

This lemma follows from the classical Sobolev embedding theorem. The reader can refer to [29, Theorem 3.13] for a simple case.

Lemma 4.4 ([20, Exercise 2.5.4]). Recall that $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is the velocity flow generated by Equation (1.1), and μ is the unique stationary measure of the velocity flow $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}} \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}^s} d\mu < +\infty.$$

Proposition 4.5. Recall that $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be the Lagrangian flow generated by Equation (1.1). Then

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{H}} (\log^+ \|\varphi_1(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} + \log^+ \|\varphi_1(\omega, u)^{-1}\|_{C^2}) d(\mathbb{P} \times \mu) < \infty.$$

Proof. Firstly, recall a uniform estimation from [3, Proposition A.3] with a special case: for any $u \in \mathbb{H}$ one has that

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{\mathbf{H}^s} d\mathbb{P} \lesssim_s 1 + \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}^s}.$$

By utilizing Lemma 4.3, we have that

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} d\mathbb{P} \lesssim_s 1 + \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}^s}.$$

According to (1.1), for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and any $t > 0$ one has that

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi_t(\omega, u)x = x + \int_0^t u_\tau(\omega, u)(\varphi_\tau(\omega, u)x) d\tau$$

holds for $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -a.s. $(\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{H}$. Then, for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$ one has that

$$(4.6) \quad |\partial_i \varphi_1(\omega, u)x| \leq 1 + \int_0^1 \|d_{\varphi_t(\omega, u)x} u_t(\omega, u)\| \cdot |\partial_i \varphi_t(\omega, u)x| dt.$$

By using Gronwal's inequality, it is clear that $|\partial_i \varphi_1(\omega, u)x| \leq \exp(\int_0^1 \|d_{\varphi_t(\omega, u)x} u_t(\omega, u)\| dt)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \log^+ \|d\varphi_1(\omega, u)\| d\mathbb{P} &\leq \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \sup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^2} \|d_{\varphi_t(\omega, u)x} u_t(\omega, u)\| dt d\mathbb{P} \\ &\stackrel{(4.4)}{\lesssim_s} 1 + \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking twice differential of (4.5) with respect to space parameter, for any $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ one has that

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{ij}\varphi_1(\omega, u)| &\lesssim \int_0^1 \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} (|\partial_i\varphi_t(\omega, u)x| \cdot |\partial_j\varphi_t(\omega, u)x| + |\partial_{ij}\varphi_t(\omega, u)x|) dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} \exp 2 \left(\int_0^1 \|d_{\varphi_t(\omega, u)x} u_t(\omega, u)\| dt \right) \\ &\quad + \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} \int_0^1 |\partial_{ij}\varphi_t(\omega, u)x| dt. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \log^+ |d_2\varphi_1(\omega, u)| d\mathbb{P} &\lesssim \int_{\Omega} \log \left(\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} + 1 \right) + 3 \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|u_t(\omega, u)\|_{C^2} d\mathbb{P} \\ &\lesssim_s 1 + \|u\|_{\mathbf{H}^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, together with Lemma 4.4 we have $\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{H}} \log^+ |\varphi_1(\omega, x)|_{C^2} d(\mathbb{P} \times \mu) < +\infty$. Finally, applying the equality

$$\varphi_t(\omega, u)^{-1}x = x - \int_0^t u_\tau(\omega, u)(\varphi_\tau(\omega, u)\varphi_t^{-1}(\omega, u)x) d\tau$$

for $t > 0$ with above similar argument, we can obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{H}} \log^+ |\varphi_1(\omega, x)^{-1}|_{C^2} d(\mathbb{P} \times \mu) < +\infty,$$

which implies the above proposition. \square

4.3. Observable full-horseshoes. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, we recall a lemma to ensure existence of the full-horseshoes which is from our previous work [17]. Although there is a no formal result in [17] as following lemma, the reader can begin with [17, Lemma 4.5] to obtain following lemma by using analogous arguments in [17, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.4].

Lemma 4.6. Let (φ, μ) be an ergodic continuous² two-side measure-preserving RDS on a compact metric space M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$. If $h_\mu(\varphi) > 0$, then for any two disjoint non-empty closed balls $\{U_1, U_2\}$ of M with

$$\mu \times_{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \mu \left((\Omega \times U_1) \times (\Omega \times U_2) \right) > 0,$$

φ has full-horseshoes on $\{U_1, U_2\}$, where \mathcal{P}_μ is the Pinsker σ partition of measure-preserving RDS (φ, μ) and $\mu \times_{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \mu$ is the relative independent joining (see [14, Chapter 6]).

After completing all the preparatory work, we begin to give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\hat{\pi} : (\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}, (\widehat{\theta}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow (\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu, (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$$

²Here, φ being a continuous RDS means that $\varphi_1(\omega)$ is a continuous map on M for \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$.

be the invertible extension system defined as (3.2), where $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is the measurable maps generated by $(u_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ as (2.1), and let $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ be the invertible extension of measure-preserving RDS $(\varphi^{(1)}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol})$ on \mathbb{T}^2 over the metric system $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu, (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ (see (3.3) for details). It is clear to see that if RDS $\widehat{\varphi}$ has observable full-horseshoes, then RDS φ has observable RDS. Therefore we only need to prove that RDS $\widehat{\varphi}$ has observable RDS.

Firstly, we verify that $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ is a K -system. By Proposition 4.5 and integral changing formula, one has that

$$\int_{\widehat{\Omega}} (\log^+ \|\varphi_1(\widehat{\omega})\|_{C^2} + \log^+ \|\varphi_1(\widehat{\omega})^{-1}\|_{C^2}) d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\widehat{\omega}) < +\infty.$$

By [3, Theorem 1.6], we know that $(\varphi^{(1)}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol})$ has positive Lyapunov exponents. Combining Lemma 4.2, Proposition 3.3 and Remark 3.2, it is clear to see that $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ satisfies the all assumptions in Proposition 3.9. Hence that the measure-preserving RDS $(\widehat{\varphi}, \widehat{\mu})$ is a K -system. It follows that

$$(4.7) \quad \widehat{\mu} \times_{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \widehat{\mu} = \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}} \times \widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}} d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\widehat{\omega}),$$

where $\widehat{\mu} = \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}} d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ is the disintegration of the measure $\widehat{\mu}$ with respect to measurable partition $\widehat{\omega} = \{\widehat{\omega} \times \mathbb{T}^2 : \widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\Omega}\}$. Next, we would like to prove following claim.

Claim 4.7. The measure $\widehat{\mu}$ is full-support. Particularly, for $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. $\widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\Omega}$, $\text{supp}(\widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}}) = \mathbb{T}^2$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a positive $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ -measure subset $\widehat{\Omega}_1$ of $\widehat{\Omega}$ such that $\text{supp}(\widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}}) \neq \mathbb{T}^2$ for any $\widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\Omega}_1$. By [1, Proposition 1.6.11] and ergodicity of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$, one has that $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\widehat{\Omega}_1) = 1$. Then there exists a random open set \widehat{U} on $(\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$ satisfying $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{U}) = 0$ (see [1, Chapter 1.6]), where random open set \widehat{U} means that

- (1) \widehat{U} is Borel measurable subset of $\widehat{\Omega} \times \mathbb{T}^2$;
- (2) $\widehat{U}(\widehat{\omega}) := \{x \in \mathbb{T}^2 : (\omega, x) \in \widehat{U}\}$ is an open subset of \mathbb{T}^2 for $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. $\widehat{\omega} \in \widehat{\Omega}$.

By [17, Lemma 4.3], there exists a measurable map

$$\tilde{\pi} : (\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu) \rightarrow (\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}})$$

such that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \tilde{\pi}(\omega, u) = (\omega, u)$ for $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -a.s. $(\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Therefore, there exists a random open set U on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{H}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbb{P} \times \mu)$ satisfying that $U(\omega, u) = \widehat{U}(\tilde{\pi}(\omega, u))$ for $\mathbb{P} \times \mu$ -a.s. $(\omega, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{H}$. Then one has that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{By } (\widehat{\pi} \times \text{Id}_{\mathbb{T}^2})_* \widehat{\mu} = \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol}) \quad 0 = \widehat{\mu}(\widehat{U}) &= \mathbb{P} \times \mu \times \text{vol}(U) \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{H}} \text{vol}(U(\omega, u)) d(\mathbb{P} \times \mu) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is contradictory. \square

Finally, applying (4.7) and Claim 4.7, one has that for any two disjoint non-empty closed balls $\{U_1, U_2\}$ of \mathbb{T}^2 ,

$$\widehat{\mu} \times_{\mathcal{P}_\mu} \widehat{\mu} \left((\widehat{\Omega} \times U_1) \times (\widehat{\Omega} \times U_2) \right) = \int_{\widehat{\Omega}} \widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}}(U_1) \widehat{\mu}_{\widehat{\omega}}(U_2) d\widehat{\mathbb{P}}(\widehat{\omega}) > 0.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we know that the RDS $\widehat{\varphi}$ has full-horseshoes on $\{U_1, U_2\}$. Hence that $\widehat{\varphi}$ has observable full-horseshoes. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Through the proof, one can obtain following abstract result (Proposition 4.8) to ensure the existence of the observable full-horseshoes for RDS. It is notable that this result is even new when the RDS degenerates a deterministic dynamical system. Deterministic systems under powerful assumptions of Proposition 4.8 have horseshoes (see [18]), but we can't get precise information about the locations of horseshoes. The shining point of our result is that it gives a kind of observable version of chaotic structure (full-horseshoe).

Proposition 4.8. Let (φ, μ) be an ergodic two-side C^2 measure-preserving RDS on a closed Riemannian manifold M over a metric system $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$ satisfying (3.1). If μ is hyperbolic, SRB, totally ergodic and full-support, then φ has observable full-horseshoes.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Arnold, *Random dynamical systems*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. (Cited on page 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 15.)
- [2] L. Barreira and Y. Pesin, *Introduction to smooth ergodic theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 148. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. (Cited on page 7.)
- [3] J. Bedrossian, A. Blumenthal and S. Punshon-Smith, *Lagrangian chaos and scalar advection in stochastic fluid mechanics*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **24** (2022), no. 6, 1893–1990. (Cited on page 1, 2, 3, 12, 13, and 15.)
- [4] J. Bedrossian, A. Blumenthal and S. Punshon-Smith, *A regularity method for lower bounds on the Lyapunov exponent for stochastic differential equations*, Invent. Math. **227** (2022), no. 2, 429–516. (Cited on page 1.)
- [5] J. Bedrossian and S. Punshon-Smith, *Chaos in stochastic 2d Galerkin-Navier-Stokes*, arXiv:2106.13748 (2021). (Cited on page 1.)
- [6] A. Blumenthal, and L.-S. Young, *Equivalence of physical and SRB measures in random dynamical systems*, Nonlinearity **32** (2019), no. 4, 1494–1524. (Cited on page 10.)
- [7] A. Carverhill, *Flows of stochastic dynamical systems: ergodic theory*, Stochastics **14** (1985), no. 4, 273–317. (Cited on page 9.)
- [8] A. Crisanti, M. Falcioni, A. Vulpiani and G. Paladin, *Lagrangian chaos: Transport, mixing and diffusion in fluids*, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **14** (1991), 1–80. (Cited on page 1.)
- [9] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for infinite-dimensional systems*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 229, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. (Cited on page 3 and 12.)
- [10] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 259, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. (Cited on page 4, 6, and 12.)
- [11] G. Falkovich, K. Gawędzki and M. Vergassola, *Particles and fields in fluid turbulence*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001), no. 4, 913–975. (Cited on page 1.)
- [12] A. Fannjiang, and G. Papanicolaou, *Convection enhanced diffusion for periodic flows*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **54** (1994), no. 2, 333–408. (Cited on page 1.)
- [13] F. Flandoli and B. Maslowski, *Ergodicity of the 2-D Navier-Stokes equation under random perturbations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **172** (1995), no. 1, 119–141. (Cited on page 3.)
- [14] E. Glasner, *Ergodic theory via joinings*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 101, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. (Cited on page 4 and 14.)
- [15] E. Glasner, J.-P. Thouvenot and B. Weiss, *Entropy theory without a past*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), no. 5, 1355–1370. (Cited on page 6.)
- [16] J.P. Gollub and H.L. Swinney, *Onset of turbulence in a rotating fluid*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35** (1975), 927–930. (Cited on page 1.)

- [17] W. Huang and J. Zhang, *Full-horseshoes for the Galerkin truncations of 2D Navier-Stokes equation with degenerate stochastic forcing*, arXiv:2303.05027 (2023). (Cited on page 1, 3, 14, and 15.)
- [18] A. Katok, *Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math, (1980), no. 51, 137–173. (Cited on page 16.)
- [19] V. Jakšić, V. Nersesyan, C.-A. Pillet and A. Shirikyan, *Large deviations and entropy production in viscous fluid flows*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **240** (2021), no. 3, 1675–1725. (Cited on page 1.)
- [20] S. Kuksin, Sergei and A. Shirikyan, *Mathematics of two-dimensional turbulence*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 194. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. (Cited on page 3, 12, and 13.)
- [21] Y. Kifer and P.-D. Liu, *Random dynamics*, Handbook of dynamical systems. Vol. 1B, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006, pp. 379–499. (Cited on page 8.)
- [22] T. Komorowski, S. Peszat and T. Szarek, *Passive tracer in a flow corresponding to two-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **26** (2013), no. 7, 1999–2026. (Cited on page 1.)
- [23] R.H. Kraichnan, *Diffusion by a random velocity field*, Phys. Fluids **13** (1970), 22–31. (Cited on page 1.)
- [24] J. Lamb, G. Tenaglia and D. Turaev, *Horseshoes for a class of nonuniformly expanding random dynamical systems on the circle*, arXiv:2304.03685 (2023). (Cited on page 3.)
- [25] F. Ledrappier and L.-S. Young, *Entropy formula for random transformations*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **80** (1988), no. 2, 217–240. (Cited on page 10.)
- [26] A. Libchaber, *From chaos to turbulence in Bénard convection*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **413** (1987), no. 1844, 63–69 (Cited on page 1.)
- [27] P.-D. Liu and M. Qian, *Smooth ergodic theory of random dynamical systems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1606, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. (Cited on page 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, and 11.)
- [28] P.-D. Liu, M. Qian and F.-X. Zhang, *Entropy formula of Pesin type for one-sided stationary random maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **22** (2002), no. 6, 1831–1844. (Cited on page 8.)
- [29] G. Łukaszewicz and P. Kalita, *Navier-Stokes equations. An introduction with applications*, Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics, 34. Springer, Cham, 2016. (Cited on page 13.)
- [30] A. Majda and X. Wang, *Nonlinear dynamics and statistical theories for basic geophysical flows*, Cambridge University Press, 2006. (Cited on page 1.)
- [31] H. Martin and J.C. Mattingly, *Ergodicity of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing*, Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 3, 993–1032. (Cited on page 12.)
- [32] W. Parry, *Entropy and generators in ergodic theory*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969. (Cited on page 11.)
- [33] Ya. Pesin, *Characteristic Lyapunov exponents, and smooth ergodic theory*, Russ. Math. Surv. **32** (1977), no. 4, 55–114. (Cited on page 7.)
- [34] S. Pope, *Turbulent flows*, Cambridge University Press, 2000. (Cited on page 1.)
- [35] V. Rohlin, *Exact endomorphisms of a Lebesgue space*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **25** (1961), 499–530. (Cited on page 8.)
- [36] V. Rohlin, *Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **22** (1967), no. 5 (137), 3–56. (Cited on page 8.)
- [37] M. Romito and L. Xu, *Ergodicity of the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by mildly degenerate noise*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **121** (2011), no. 4, 673–700. (Cited on page 3.)
- [38] D. Ruelle and F. Takens, *On the nature of turbulence*, Comm. Math. Phys. **20** (1971), 167–192. (Cited on page 1.)
- [39] R. Salmon, *Lectures on geophysical fluid dynamics*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998. (Cited on page 1.)
- [40] S. Smale, *Diffeomorphisms with many periodic points*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology (A Symposium in Honor of Marston Morse), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, pp. 63–80. (Cited on page 1.)
- [41] M. Shub, *What is ... a horseshoe?* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (2005), no. 5, 516–517. (Cited on page 1.)
- [42] G. Vallis, *Atmospheric and oceanic fluid dynamics. Fundamentals and large-scale circulation*, Cambridge University Press, 2017. (Cited on page 1.)

- [43] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. (Cited on page 4 and 6.)
- [44] G. Zhang, *Relative entropy, asymptotic pairs and chaos*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), no. 1, 157–172. (Cited on page 6.)

(Wen Huang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026, CHINA
Email address: wenh@mail.ustc.edu.cn

(Jianhua Zhang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, ANHUI, 230026, CHINA
Email address: leapforg@mail.ustc.edu.cn