Innovation and Word Usage Patterns in Machine Learning

Vítor Bandeira Borges^{1,2,3} and Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro^{1,2,4*†}

 ^{1*}Department of Economics, University of Brasilia, Campus Universitario Darcy Ribeiro - FACE, Brasilia, 70910-900, DF, Brazil.
 ²Machine Learning Laboratory in Finance and Organizations (LAMFO), University of Brasilia, Campus Universitario Darcy Ribeiro - FACE,

Brasilia, 70910-900, DF, Brazil.

³Tutorial Education Program (PET), University of Brasilia, Campus Universitario Darcy Ribeiro - FACE, Brasilia, 70910-900, DF, Brazil. ⁴National Institute of Science and Technology for Complex Systems (INCT-SC), University of Brasilia, Campus Universitario Darcy Ribeiro

- FACE, Brasilia, 70910-900, DF, Brazil.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): danielcajueiro@unb.br; Contributing authors: vitorbborges@gmail.com; [†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

In this study, we investigate the dynamic landscape of machine learning research evolution. Initially, through the use of Latent Dirichlet Allocation, we determine pivotal themes and fundamental concepts that have emerged within the realm of machine learning. Subsequently, we undertake a comprehensive analysis to track the evolutionary trajectories of these identified themes. To quantify the novelty and divergence of research contributions, we use the Kullback-Leibler Divergence metric. This statistical measure serves as a proxy for "surprise", indicating the extent of differentiation between the content of academic papers and the subsequent developments in research. We also analyze the roles of prominent researchers and the significance of academic venues (journals and conferences) in the field of machine learning.

Keywords: Knowledge discovery, Kullback-Leibler Divergence, Latent Dirichlet Allocation, Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing

1 Introduction

Machine learning has become ubiquitous in many fields today, ranging from economics, finance, medicine, and healthcare to marketing and transportation. It enables businesses and organizations to extract valuable insights from large amounts of data and make predictions based on patterns and trends that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to detect. By extracting valuable information from data and automating several tasks, machine learning can save time and reduce costs while improving accuracy and efficiency.

This paper explores the evolution of research on machine learning, using a large collection of papers in the field. We begin by identifying the main topics and dominant concepts within each area. Next, we trace the emergence of these key concepts and analyze how they have evolved over time. Finally, we examine the relationships between the current state-of-the-art and past developments, providing insight into the ways in which the field has progressed. In addition, we investigate the importance of the main machine learning venues and the more frequent and prominent authors in disseminating the theme.

A fundamental building block of our work is the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) model [1]. We use it to identify the main topics and concepts of the field of machine learning. Associated with the application of this technique we also use a coherence metric [2] to indicate the suitable number of the topics of the model. With the papers divided by topics, we are able to analyze the trends of the machine learning field. In addition, we use the Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KLD) as the notion of "surprise" that measures the statistical divergence between the contributions of papers in subsequent events. We can use surprise to measure the divergence of one piece of news from previous ones called "Novelty" and the divergence of one piece of news from the later ones called "Transience". With these concepts in hand, we are able to define the concept of "Resonance" as the difference between Novelty and Transience [3]. Thus, we may evaluate the role of the most relevant venues used to disseminate the knowledge of machine learning and the role of the most frequent and prominent researchers.

Our data comes from 25 very relevant machine learning venues that include annals of conferences and prestigious journals. The method we use to choose these venues is based on a two step procedure. In the first step, we look into a series of popular machine learning blogs for the most popular indications. In the second step, we check if these indications belong to the list of the top venues based on the Google scholar h5-index considering the subcategories of "Artificial Intelligence", "Computational Linguistics", "Data Mining and Analysis" and "Engineering and Computer Science".

Our work relates to other studies that tell the history and the evolution of the machine learning field such as Langley et al. [4] and Fradkov [5]. It also naturally relates to the work of Barron et al. [3] that uses the concepts of novelty, transience and resonance to study how ideas are created, ignored or propagated in the context of the French revolution. In this same context, we may also cite Hall et al. [6] that also applied LDA to to the ACL Anthology to analyze historical trends in the field of Computational Linguistics. In addition, Savov et al. [7] proposes a a LDA based method to estimate a innovation score of a given paper.

Our work is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the procedures we adopt to tune and estimate the models. We detail the data set we use in Section 3 and present the results in Section 4. Section 5 summarizes and concludes the work.

2 Methods

We have divided this section into three segments. In Section 2.1, we provide an overview of the LDA model and the methodology for determining the optimal number of topics. Moving on to Section 2.2, we demonstrate the application of the LDA-derived topics in monitoring the progression of machine learning research. Further in Section 2.3, we revisit the KLD metric, elucidating its utility in delineating the constructs of novelty, transience, and resonance.

2.1 Latent Dirichlet Allocation

The LDA model, introduced by [1], is a generative probabilistic model for topic modeling. It is based on the assumption that documents are mixtures of topics, and each topic is a distribution over words. In order to be mathematically precise, we use the following notation. The vocabulary $\mathcal{V} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_i, \ldots, w_{N_V}\}$ is the set of all distinct words (present in all documents) and $I_V = \{1, \ldots, N_V\}$ is the set of all word indexes, where N_V is the number of distinct terms, i.e., the size of the vocabulary. A document $d = [w_{i_1}, \ldots, w_{i_k}, \ldots, w_{i_{N_d}}]$ consist of a list of N_d non-unique consecutive words $(1 \le k \le N_d \text{ and } i_k \in I_V)$, while \mathcal{V}^d is the vocabulary that appears in the document d. Based on the assumption that the number of topics K is fixed and known, LDA assumes the following generative process for each document d in a corpus D:

- 1. Choose $N_d \sim \text{Poisson}(\xi)$;
- 2. Choose $\theta \sim \text{Dir}(\alpha)$, where the parameter α is K vector of positive components that we need to estimate;
- 3. For each of the N_d words of w_n ;
- (a) Choose topic $z_n \sim \text{Multinomial}(\theta)$;
- (b) Choose word w_n from $p(w_n|z_n,\beta)$, a multinomial probability conditioned to topic z_n , where the word probabilities are parametrized by a $K \times N_V$ matrix $\beta = p(w^j = 1|z^i = 1)$ for all $j \in \{1, ..., N_V\}$ and all $k \in \{1, ..., K\}$.

In the first step, LDA chooses the number of words in the document, denoted by N_d , from a Poisson distribution with parameter ξ . This determines the length of the document. Then, it chooses the document's topic proportions, denoted by θ , from a Dirichlet distribution with parameter α . This step determines the distribution of topics within the document. Thus, for each of the N_d words in the document, it chooses the word's topic, denoted by z_n , from a Multinomial distribution with probabilities determined by the document's topic proportions θ , which determines which topic the word belongs to. And, finally, it chooses the specific word, denoted by w_n , from a Multinomial distribution conditioned on the chosen topic z_n , where, as above-mentioned, the word probabilities are parameterized by a $K \times N_V$ matrix β , where N_V is the vocabulary size. This generative process is repeated for each document in the collection. There are different ways to estimate this model. In our paper, we estimate it using the online variational Bayes algorithm due to $[8]^1$.

Selecting an appropriate number of topics stands as a fundamental prerequisite for executing the LDA model. Notably, it is imperative to acknowledge that evaluating the efficacy of LDA, akin to other unsupervised models, presents challenges stemming from the absence of labels that can serve as benchmarks to validate the accuracy of outcomes. While the most effective approach to appraising unsupervised models involves human assessments, such an evaluation methodology can incur substantial costs and, in cases of extensive datasets, may even become unfeasible. Consequently, within this contextual framework, a prevalent recourse involves the utilization of metrics that capture the frequency of co-occurrences within a given corpus. These metrics find application within the domain of LDA, hinging upon the identification of the words per topic and the analysis of their co-occurrences within the corpus. In our paper, we use the Normalized Pointwise Mutual Information (NPMI) [10] coherence measure . Let the Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI) be given by [11]

$$PMI(w_i, w_j) = \log \frac{P(w_i, w_j) + \epsilon}{P(w_i) \cdot P(w_j)}$$
(1)

where $P(w_i, w_j)$ is the joint probability of words w_i and w_j measured in a fixed-size window in the text, $P(w_i)$ and $P(w_j)$ are the individual probabilities of the words and ϵ is a small number added to the joint probability to avoid logarithm of zero. Thus, PMI is a measure of how much the actual probability of a particular co-occurrence of words $p(w_i, w_j)$ differs from what we would expect it to be on the basis of the probabilities of the individual words and the assumption of independence $p(w_i)p(w_j)$.

The NPMI is a normalized form of the PMI measure. Although there are different ways to normalize the PMI, Bouma [10] normalizes it by the $(-log(P(w_i, w_j) + \epsilon))$, since this option normalizes both the upper and the lower bound. Thus, we may write the NPMI by

$$NPMI(w_i, w_j) = \left(\frac{PMI(w_i, w_j)}{-log(P(w_i, w_j) + \epsilon)}\right).$$
(2)

In order to quantify how semantically related the words within a topic are, we may evaluate the coherence of a topic T_k using

$$C_V(T_k) = \frac{2}{|T_k|(|T_k| - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{|T_k| - 1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{|T_k|} NPMI(w_i, w_j),$$
(3)

where $|T_k|$ is the number of words in the topic T_k .

Aiming at considering the quality of all the topics together, we average C_V to get

$$\overline{C_V} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K C_V(T_k), \tag{4}$$

where K is the number of topics. An important characteristic of this coherence measure is its high correlation with human judgment in assessing the quality of topics [2].

¹We use the implementation available in the Gensim Python library [9].

2.2 Modelling trends

As in [6], in order to capture the temporal dynamics among topics, we evaluate the observed probability of each topic within specific time intervals. This probability assessment involves calculating the average likelihood of each topic across the papers published during that period. This process is repeated for all topics across all distinct time periods under consideration.

2.3 Kullback Leibler Divergence

The Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KLD) [12], also known as relative entropy, is a measure of information loss when an *observed* probability distribution p is estimated using a *theoretical* distribution q. If the observed and theoretical distributions are the same ones, the divergence is zero. On the other hand, if we consider two vastly different distributions, the divergence is very high, meaning a great loss of information due to misspecification.

In the context of topic modeling, we can use KLD to quantify the dissimilarity between a document's topic distribution and a reference topic distribution. From an information retrieval perspective, we may interpret relative entropy as a measure of "surprise" when one document is expected and another is observed [3]. Given an LDAgenerated set of probability distributions $p^{(j)} = (p_1^{(j)}, p_2^{(j)}, \ldots, p_K^{(j)})$, where j indexes chronological order and K is the number of topics, we may evaluate the *surprise* between times j and i as

KLD
$$(p^{(j)}|p^{(i)}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k^{(j)} \log_2 \frac{p_k^{(j)}}{p_k^{(i)}},$$
 (5)

where K, as before, is the number of topics².

We may define the *novelty* $\mathcal{N}_w(j)$ of the *j*-th document by the average surprise between itself and the past documents that took place in a time scale w:

$$\mathcal{N}_w(j) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{d=1}^w \text{ KLD } (p^{(j)} | p^{(j-d)}).$$
(6)

On the other hand, we may define the transience $\mathcal{T}_w(j)$ of the *j*-th document by the average surprise between itself and the future documents that will take place in a time scale w:

$$\mathcal{T}_w(j) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{d=1}^w \text{ KLD } (p^{(j)} | p^{(j+d)}).$$
(7)

 $^{^{2}}$ It is worth noting that, unlike the paper by Barron et al. [3], our approach does not adhere strictly to a chronological ordering of the papers. Instead, we arrange the papers in chronological order by month, which serves as the temporal unit allowing us to reconstruct the paper sequence based on the publication dates provided by the academic venues. In addition, to assess the novelty and transience (that we will define below), we compute these measures for each paper relative to all papers published in the preceding period and calculate the average value.

⁵

We measure resonance $\mathcal{R}_w(j)$ as the difference between novelty and transience:

$$\mathcal{R}_w(j) = \mathcal{N}_w(j) - \mathcal{T}_w(j). \tag{8}$$

We may interpret the resonance of a document in a corpus of news stories as an indicator of a novel subject that is capable of influencing the general direction of outlets, being written about again in the future.

In addition, we may measure the expected resonance of any document given some level of novelty with a linear model

$$E[\mathcal{R}|\mathcal{N}] = \beta_{\rm int} + \beta_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{N} \tag{9}$$

and, using this linear equation, we may define *novelty effectiveness* Γ as the rate at which resonance increases with novelty:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial E[\mathcal{R}|\mathcal{N}]}{\partial \mathcal{N}} = \beta_{\mathcal{N}}.$$
(10)

Novelty effectiveness provides a nuanced understanding of the dynamics of speech influence. It highlights the delicate balance speakers must strike between novelty and resonance, and the inherent risk and reward associated with introducing novel ideas.

The time period parameter for calculating the average innovation between papers, denoted as w, was set to be equal to 12 months.

3 Dataset

Our dataset consists of 25 venues related to machine learning, including both conference proceedings and periodicals. We choose these venues using a two step procedure. In the first step, we look into a series of popular machine learning sources for the most popular indications presented in Appendix A. In the second step, we check if these indications belong to the list of the top 20 publications based on the Google Scholar h5-index³ considering the subcategories of "Artificial Intelligence", "Computational Linguistics", "Data Mining and Analysis" and "Engineering and Computer Science". Of these, 24 venues were indexed on the Web of Science (WoS) database. The International Conference on Learning Representations, however, was not accessible in WoS, necessitating manual extraction from the [13] API.

The dataset, comprising 168,757 publications, serves as the foundation for this research, which aims to scrutinize abstracts and their interrelationships throughout the field's history. The dataset includes 95,626 (56.66%) papers in academic periodicals, 72,188 (42.78%) conference papers, 940 publication series, and 3 books. Among these papers, we are not able to use 4,001 of them because they do not have abstracts. We also exclude from our study 3,750 publications that we are not able to recover the date. It is worth mentioning that in most cases, the date of the publication is directly available in the data extracted from the WoS. However, in some specific cases we have to directly deal with it. In particular, in some conferences, the date of publication was

 $\mathbf{6}$

³This Google scholar tool is available at https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=top_venues.

not available and we replaced this missing value by the date that the conference took place. In a small number of cases, the description of the date of the conference was given by the station of the year, namely *Summer*, *Autumn*, *Winter* and *Spring*. In these cases, we carefully looked into the correct date of the publication and replaced this information by it. Due to the lack of precision of this piece of data, we adopt the monthly granularity for our time series.

The exponential growth of publications in our database is illustrated in Figure 1. This remarkable trend mirrors the recent upsurge of interest and resources devoted to machine learning [14], which has facilitated the swift generation and obsolescence of innovative concepts. The expansion of publications in both number and velocity is indicative of the dynamic nature of this field, where novel findings and ideas emerge at a rapid pace.



Fig. 1: Number of Publications per Year.

Table 1 offers a summary of the dataset, detailing the number of papers, accessible date ranges, and predominant publication types by venue. The complete dataset and associated code can be accessed through this paper's Zenodo.

4 Results

AIn this section, we present our results. In Subsection 4.1, we present the discovered topic trends uncovered during our study. In Subsection 4.2, we delve into the assessment of novelty, transience, and resonance as key characteristics of machine learning

Venue	Count	Date Range	Primary Publication Type
Neurocomputing	17830	Feb-1992 to $Jan-2023$	Journal
Expert Systems with Applications	17273	Dec-1992 to $Apr-2023$	Journal
International Conference on Machine Learning	15785	Oct-1997 to $Dec-2022$	Conference
International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)	12051	Jun-1995 to Feb- 2022	Conference
AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence	9246	Nov- 2008 to Feb- 2021	Conference
Applied Soft Computing	8369	Feb-2004 to Nov- 2022	Journal
Neural Computing and Applications	8296	Sep-1997 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence	7226	Jan-1986 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
Knowledge-Based Systems	6767	Mar-1991 to $Jan-2023$	Journal
Neural Information Processing Systems	6020	Dec-1992 to $Dec-2020$	Conference
Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference	5786	Jun-2000 to Jun-2019	Conference
Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)	5771	Jun-1993 to May-2022	Conference
International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization	5291	Jul-2005 to $Sep-2020$	Conference
Neural Networks	5078	Feb-1990 to $Jan-2023$	Journal
IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems	5059	Jan-2012 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
Applied Intelligence	4706	Feb-1993 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence	4515	Jan-1992 to Jan- 2023	Journal
International Conference on Learning Representations	4353	May-2013 to $Apr-2022$	Conference
European Conference on Computer Vision	4124	Sep-1997 to Mar- 2020	Conference
IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems	3766	Feb-1994 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
Journal of Machine Learning Research	2657	Oct-2000 to $Dec-2015$	Journal
International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics	2526	Apr- 2014 to Mar- 2022	Conference
Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing	2479	Feb-1999 to $Nov-2021$	Conference
IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B	2104	Feb-1996 to $Dec-2012$	Journal
Artificial Intelligence Review	1679	Feb-1993 to $Dec-2022$	Journal
Total	168757	Jan-1986 to Apr-2023	Journal

Table 1: Summary of venues, counts, date ranges, and publication types in the dataset

research. Here, we examine the roles of authors and venues in shaping this field, evaluating their impact and influence. We present the details of our LDA implementation in Appendix B.

4.1 Topics Trends

The dynamics of scientific progress and the elements influencing the ascent and descent of academic interest in diverse subjects have been extensively debated among historians, sociologists, philosophers of science, and scientists themselves [15]. By reducing a corpus of scientific documents to a set of topics, we can enhance our understanding of the development of scientific pursuits and the driving forces behind these shifts.

In the following subsections, we utilize LDA and observed probability of each topic to extract the trends of specific relevant topics in the field of machine learning, including deep learning (Section 4.1.1), computer vision (Section 4.1.2), natural language processing (Section 4.1.3), reinforcement learning (Section 4.1.4), and expert systems (Section 4.1.5). We conclude this section with Section 4.1.6, which examines the potential impact of certain real-world events on machine learning research.

4.1.1 Deep Learning

As a prominent subfield of machine learning, deep learning focuses on the design and application of artificial neural networks, particularly those with multiple hidden layers, to address complex computational problems. Influential researchers in deep learning, such as Hinton et al. [16], LeCun et al. [17], and Bengio [18], have been instrumental in the development of the field.

This powerful approach has significantly propelled advancements in various areas of machine learning, such as computer vision [19], natural language processing [20], and speech recognition [21], by enabling the extraction of hierarchical features and promoting the development of end-to-end learning systems.





Figure 2 illustrates the frequency of Deep Learning related topics over the past 30 years, clearly demonstrating the substantial evolution of the subfield within the last five to eight years. This figure exemplifies [22] model of scientific evolution, which posits that a community's adoption of a new paradigm triggers a shift in focus, provoking debates and promoting advancements in novel areas. In the subfield's literature, the prevailing paradigm transitioned from *Neural Networks* — a topic that primarily emphasized data representations and the design of network architectures for capturing features within data — to subjects that focus on improving model performance through novel training techniques, optimization algorithms, and architectural innovations.

Comparatively recent approaches, such as Adversarial Generative Modeling (AGM) [23] and Modeling with Attention Mechanisms [24], experienced an upsurge in a more condensed timeframe than Deep Learning. The foundational work of Hinton, LeCun, and Bengio influenced the surge of publications in Multi-level Feature Fusion and Deep Learning, which subsequently led to the emergence of AGM and Attention. These subjects encompass various aspects of model training, such as discovering better optimization methods, understanding the benefits of depth in neural networks, regularization techniques, and novel architectures that facilitate learning in complex domains.

4.1.2 Computer Vision

Computer vision, a multidisciplinary subfield of machine learning, focuses on enabling machines to interpret and comprehend visual information from their surroundings. Drawing on techniques from image processing [25], pattern recognition [26], and statistical learning [27], it plays a crucial role in artificial intelligence by empowering

systems to interact with and make sense of the visual world, facilitating applications in robotics, surveillance, healthcare, and autonomous vehicles.

During the 1990s, computer vision techniques were primarily based on rigorous mathematical analysis and quantitative aspects. Examples of models from this period include the concept of scale-space [28], contour models known as snakes [29], and projective 3-D reconstructions [30]. Researchers also utilized optimization frameworks such as regularization [31] and Markov random fields [32]. In addition, statistical learning techniques, like Eigenface [33], were employed for facial recognition in images. However, these traditional methods relied on handcrafted feature extraction and shallow models, often struggling to generalize and capture complex patterns in visual data.

The advent of deep learning revolutionized computer vision in recent years, significantly advancing performance and capabilities. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) [34] have enabled automatic learning of hierarchical representations from raw images, bypassing manual feature engineering. This success has been further bolstered by the progress in GPU computing power, which allows for efficient training of increasingly complex and deep models. As a result, deep learning-based computer vision systems have achieved unprecedented success in tasks like object recognition [19], semantic segmentation [35], and image generation [36], surpassing human-level performance in certain benchmarks and enabling practical applications across various sectors.

In this study, we manually subdivided topics into "Groups" or subfields of machine learning, as shown in Tables C.1 and C.2. The evolution of the *Deep Learning Group* is compared to the *Computer Vision Group* in Figure 3. The two series exhibit a statistically significant negative Pearson correlation coefficient of -0.61749, suggesting a shift in the scientific community's preference.



Fig. 3: Computer Vision vs. Deep Learning

During the 1990s, computer vision constituted between a third and a quarter of all publications, while in recent times, it represents merely 10%. This negative relationship can be attributed to deep learning's capability to automatically learn hierarchical feature representations from raw data, outperforming traditional techniques reliant on manual feature engineering. Consequently, the research focus has shifted toward data-driven methods, leading to a decline in the proportion of theoretical computer vision publications in the field.

4.1.3 Natural Language Processing

The 1950s marked the beginning of NLP as a subfield of artificial intelligence. Alan Turing's test, which involved the automated interpretation and generation of natural language, laid the groundwork for the field [37]. At this stage, a fundamental development is the classical and sparse *n*-grams model, which serves as a precursor to the contemporary large language models we are familiar with today [38]. Some decades later, we may cite the research on information retrieval that developed techniques such as TF-IDF (Token Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) [39, 40]. In the 1980s, NLP shifted towards statistical and machine learning algorithms, driven by increased computational power, the contributions in the field of informational retrieval and the decline of Chomsky's Transformational Grammar linguistic theories [41].

The 2000s saw a surge in available raw, unannotated language data, prompting a focus on unsupervised and semi-supervised learning algorithms. At this time, different data-driven approaches were applied to deal with important machine learning tasks. Among them, we may cite the matrix factorization based methods [42], the graph based methods [43, 44], and the topic modeling based methods [1].

Since 2015, NLP has shifted from statistical methods to neural networks, streamlining feature engineering. Techniques such as word embeddings, end-to-end learning of higher-level tasks, and the use of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks [45] have gained popularity, leading to significant changes in NLP system design. Deep neural network-based approaches now represent a new paradigm, distinct from statistical natural language processing.

One significant development in NLP is the introduction of attention mechanisms [24], which have improved the performance of models by allowing them to focus on specific parts of input sequences while processing information. The groundbreaking work by [46], "Attention is All You Need", introduced the Transformer architecture, which has revolutionized NLP. Transformers leverage self-attention mechanisms to process input sequences in parallel, rather than sequentially, resulting in improved efficiency and performance, as illustrated in Figure 2. Since then, Transformer-based models, such as Google's BERT [47], OpenAI's GPT-series [48, 49], and numerous other variations, have consistently achieved state-of-the-art results in various NLP tasks, transforming the field and its applications.





Figure 4 illustrates the evolution of NLP, highlighting that, in contrast to the *Computer Vision Group*, the *Natural Language Processing Group* has experienced a surge in frequency since the 1990s. This is further reinforced by its statistically significant positive Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.7426 with the *Deep Learning Group*, indicating a strong association between the growth of NLP and the advancements in deep learning techniques.

4.1.4 Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning (RL) is a subfield of machine learning that focuses on training intelligent agents to make optimal decisions by interacting with their environment. In contrast to supervised learning, which relies on labeled data to learn from, RL is inspired by the trial-and-error learning process observed in humans and animals. The primary components of a reinforcement learning system are an agent, an environment, states, actions, and rewards.

In RL, an agent observes the current state of the environment and takes an action based on its internal policy. The policy, represented by a function, maps states to actions, determining the agent's behavior. After performing an action, the agent receives feedback in the form of a reward signal from the environment. The goal of the agent is to maximize its cumulative reward over time, which requires finding an optimal balance between exploration (trying new actions) and exploitation (relying on actions that have been successful in the past).

The 1990s marked a significant period in the growth of Reinforcement Learning (RL), with groundbreaking advancements shaping the field's trajectory. Q-learning, introduced by Chris Watkins in 1989 [50], emerged as a key model-free RL algorithm that learns optimal policies without explicitly modeling the environment's dynamics.

Furthermore, Richard Sutton's development of Temporal Difference (TD) Learning [51] combined dynamic programming and Monte Carlo methods, allowing agents to learn directly from experience.

The exploration of function approximation methods, including neural networks, enabled RL algorithms to tackle problems with large state and action spaces. These pivotal developments in the 1990s propelled RL into prominence and solidified its importance in subsequent decades. The 2000s, 2010s, and 2020s witnessed consistent progress in RL, with major breakthroughs such as Deep Q-Networks (DQN) [52] and AlphaGo [53] demonstrating the power and versatility of RL algorithms in solving complex real-world problems.

Figure 5 illustrates the substantial impact of the 1990s' pivotal advancements in Reinforcement Learning (RL) on the field's enduring significance. Notably, the figure reveals a marked surge in RL publication frequency after the transformative innovations of 2015 and 2016, further emphasizing the lasting influence of early RL breakthroughs on the discipline.



4.1.5 Expert Systems

As an early branch of artificial intelligence, Expert Systems emerged in the 1970s and 1980s, focusing on developing rule-based systems emulating human expert decisionmaking capabilities [54, 55]. These systems, comprising a knowledge base, an inference engine, and a user interface, captured domain-specific knowledge in rules and facts, applying logical reasoning to draw inferences and provide recommendations. While expert systems played a relevant role in AI's historical evolution, their influence in

the current machine learning landscape has diminished due to the advent of more sophisticated techniques like representation learning [56].

Representation learning is a method in which models automatically discover and learn relevant features or representations from raw data, without relying on manually engineered features. This contrasts with the feature engineering approach used in Expert Systems, where domain experts would design and handcraft features to capture the most relevant aspects of the problem. The shift towards representation learning has allowed for more flexible, scalable, and adaptive models capable of handling complex, high-dimensional data.

In the 1990s, expert systems represented one of the most frequent topics in machine learning literature, peaking at 17.42% of all publications. During this period, expert systems gained widespread recognition and were employed in various applications, such as medical diagnosis [57], business decision-making [58], and fault detection [59]. However, today, they account for only around 1.53%, as shown in Figure 6, highlighting the decline in this topic's importance. The shift in focus towards representation learning and the rise of deep learning have contributed to the reduced emphasis on expert systems in contemporary AI research.



Fig. 6: Expert Systems & Design Topic

4.1.6 Historical Contexts

Examining the evolution of scientific ideas, methodologies, and paradigms provides researchers with insights into factors influencing past discoveries, limitations of prevailing techniques, and driving forces behind major shifts in scientific thinking. Understanding historical context allows scientists to appreciate current theories and practices, identify foundations for new knowledge, and recognize the social, economic,

and political forces shaping scientific inquiry. This contextual awareness deepens the understanding of the scientific process, informs future research direction, and fosters a holistic and nuanced perspective on scientific progress.

As Figure 7 demonstrates, the 2008 financial crisis precipitated a marked increase in machine learning publications addressing financial markets and risk. This event exposed the inadequacies of conventional risk management and forecasting methods, prompting researchers to seek innovative solutions. Machine learning proved to be a powerful resource, offering precise, data-driven insights for market trends and decisionmaking processes, which exemplifies how historical events can significantly influence the trajectory of scientific research and technology within a particular domain.



Fig. 7: Financial Markets & Risk Topic

Figure 8 highlights the substantial increase in machine learning publications focused on medical diagnosis and patient health following the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. This event emphasized the necessity for advanced diagnostic tools and personalized healthcare solutions, leading researchers to explore machine learning applications in disease detection, treatment, and patient care [60–63]. This example further illustrates the profound influence of historical context on scientific progress and technology development within specific fields, such as healthcare and medical diagnosis.



Fig. 8: Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health

4.2 Novelty, Transience, Resonance

Figure 9a shows that the relation between Transience and Novelty is close to the identity line (x = y). This suggests that an increase in novelty is generally matched by an equal increase in transience. In simpler terms, the more novel a research work is, the less likely it is for that content to propagate into subsequent works. However, this symmetry is broken by resonant works, which differ more from their past and align more with their future. These works are found below the identity line, where novelty outweighs transience.

17





In Figure 9b, we see that the red line, representing the novelty effectiveness defined in Equation 10, is close to zero. This indicates that there is no systematic relation between novelty and resonance in the entire dataset. Despite the general trend of increased novelty leading to increased transience, the lack of a systematic relationship between novelty and resonance suggests that the influence of a paper is not solely determined by its novelty.

4.2.1 Authors

In order to evaluate the author capabilities, we need to attribute publications to their respective authors. However, the extensive diversity of venues in the dataset presented challenges in accurately matching authors, particularly when dealing with identical names or those publishing under multiple name variations (e.g., YOSHUA, BENGIO and BENGIO, YOSHUA). With 225,825 unique names in the dataset, manually verifying each case was not feasible. The difficulty of dealing with unmatching names is a well-known issue in scientific research involving large datasets [64, 65]. To address this challenge, several measures were taken to improve the accuracy of author name matching.

Initially, the focus was narrowed to the top 1000 authors with the largest number of publications. Due to some authors having the same number of papers, this reduced dataset comprised 1039 unique author names. Subsequently, the [13] API and [66]'s Names Matching Fuzzy Algorithm⁴ were employed to automatically identify duplicate names, further reducing the unique names to 1029.

⁴This algorithm is structured around the subsequent steps: (1) Parsing, normalizing, and segmenting the names within each identity, resulting in a set of strings for each one. (2) Establishing the similarity between identities. (3) Creating the distance matrix between identities within two designated lists. (4) Addressing the Linear Assignment Problem (LAP) associated with this matrix.

¹⁸



Fig. 10: Innovation Bias for the 1029 most frequent authors

It is important to emphasize that the comparison being conducted pertains to the most prolific researchers in the field, whose contributions undoubtedly hold considerable significance. Nonetheless, within this group of scholars, Figure 10 reveals a notable observation: among the 1029 most frequent writers, there appears to be a significant novelty avoidance. This result suggests that established authors may have a preference for working within their areas of expertise and familiarity, leading to a more conservative approach in their research and a lower degree of novelty compared to less-established researchers who are more likely to explore uncharted territory or take risks with novel ideas.

Additionally, the top 1000 authors, who may have a higher degree of influence in their respective fields, could be more focused on refining and consolidating existing knowledge rather than pursuing radical innovations, potentially stemming from the pressure to maintain their status and reputation within the scientific community. However, it is important to note that some authors defied this tendency and managed to achieve high resonance in their work, even as they pursued high novelty.

Table 2 displays the authors with the highest and lowest scores in terms of novelty and resonance. We identified these authors by applying a z-score transformation to the data, selecting the top 100 most novel authors and the top 100 least novel authors. We then chose the 5 authors with the highest resonance and the 5 authors with the lowest resonance from each group.

	High	resonance	9		Low	resonance		
	Name	$z(\mathcal{N})$	$z(\mathcal{R})$	$\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$	Name	$z(\mathcal{N})$	$z(\mathcal{R})$	$\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$
High novelty	Qiu, Xipeng Huang, Xuanjing Sun, Xu Zhao, Dongyan Wang, William Yang	$\begin{array}{c} 1.352 \\ 1.299 \\ 1.256 \\ 1.796 \\ 1.490 \end{array}$	2.394 2.268 2.102 2.026 1.999	$2.664 \\ 2.527 \\ 2.353 \\ 2.385 \\ 2.297$	Tong, Shaocheng Chen, Huayou Hua, Changchun Liao, Huchang Mesiar, Radko	$2.138 \\ 6.271 \\ 1.201 \\ 5.121 \\ 4.408$	-2.755 -2.572 -2.500 -2.496 -2.439	-2.328 -1.321 -2.260 -1.474 -1.560
	Name	$z(\mathcal{N})$	$z(\mathcal{R})$	$\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$	Name	$z(\mathcal{N})$	$z(\mathcal{R})$	$\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$
low novelty	Pang, Yanwei Lu, Huchuan Ouyang, Wanli Shen, Jianbing Huang, Fajiyao	-1.181 -1.085 -1.350 -1.255 1.580	$2.760 \\ 2.394 \\ 2.272 \\ 2.214 \\ 2.013$	$2.524 \\ 2.178 \\ 2.002 \\ 1.964 \\ 1.697$	Hsu, Chun-fei Raja, Muhammad A. Z Veeraraghavan, Ashok Li, Kenli Hu, Bin	-1.182 -1.986 -1.146 -1.301 1.834	-2.634 -2.158 -1.917 -1.571 1.394	-2.870 -2.555 -2.145 -1.831 1.760
	i i uuing, i oi yuu	1.000	2.010	1.001	110, 111	1.001	1.004	1.100

 Table 2: Highest and lowest scoring authors for Novelty and Resonance

The metric $\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$, defined as $z(\mathcal{R}) - E[z(\mathcal{R})|z(\mathcal{N})]$, quantifies the deviation of an author's resonance score from the expected resonance score given their novelty score. Essentially, it shows the extent to which an author's resonance diverges from the overall trend observed between novelty and resonance in the data.

Observing a high $\Delta z(\mathcal{N})$ for authors with high novelty implies that these authors are able to achieve a greater than expected impact on their field, even as they pursue novel ideas. This deviation from the general trend of innovation avoidance might be attributed to the individual abilities and skills of these authors, which enable them to explore new concepts while still making a significant impact in their respective domains.

4.2.2 Venues

To be able to compare the academic venues in terms of resonance and novelty, we evaluate these metrics for individual papers using the information of the topic of the paper. Subsequently, we categorize the papers based on their respective venues. Finally, we calculate the average resonance and novelty values for each specific venue. These values are presented in Figure 11, along with the linear regression of these values. This regression can provide valuable insights into whether a given venue falls above or below the common average. It is important to acknowledge the presence of inherent sampling bias in our analysis. The selected venues were included based on their perceived significance, influence, and anticipated novelty in the field of Machine Learning. Therefore, in this figure, we are inherently comparing highly relevant venues. Deviations from the straight line should not be interpreted as diminishing a venue's worthiness, given the distinguished nature of the venues being compared.



Fig. 11: Innovation Bias for venues

Furthermore, it is worth mentioning the unique nature of the Computer Science field, which, unlike many other fields, accords conferences a distinctive significance. Notably, numerous significant findings are exclusively disseminated through conferences in this field due to their rapid information dissemination.

Table 3 presents the venues considered in this work ranked by the deviations to the expected resonance score given their novelty score. It is amazing the relevant role of conferences in specific fields, such as ICLR (International Conference on Learning Representations), ACL (Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics) ICCV (International Conference on Computer Vision).

5 Conclusions

In this study, we have employed LDA to delve into the evolution of machine learning (ML) research. Through LDA, we discern key themes and foundational concepts within the field. By segmenting these themes, we trace their temporal trends. Ultimately, leveraging the Kullback-Leibler Divergence metric, we ascertain the roles of prominent authors and machine learning venues in shaping the landscape.

Our findings unveil the swift evolution of the machine learning field towards emerging technologies, occurring concurrently with the diminishing relevance of other technologies that are gradually receding from the forefront. Remarkably, deep learning emerges as the focal point of utmost interest within the field, while expert systems, once of paramount importance, have irreversibly slipped into obscurity. Moreover,

Venue	Abbreviation	$z(\mathcal{N})$	$z(\mathcal{R})$	$\Delta z(\mathcal{R})$
International Conference on Learning Representations	ICLR	-0.12159	2.587853	2.607214
Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)	ACL	2.539549	2.471487	2.067111
International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)	ICCV	-0.29588	1.682636	1.729749
AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence	AAAI	0.567189	0.910322	0.820008
IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems	IEEE-TNNLS	-0.43608	0.309849	0.379287
Neural Information Processing Systems	NIPS	-0.62429	0.06708	0.166486
Neurocomputing	Neurocomputing	-0.57209	0.058609	0.149704
International Conference on Machine Learning	ICML	-0.10303	0.119577	0.135983
Journal of Machine Learning Research	JMLR	-0.47041	0.031591	0.106495
Neural Networks	NN	-1.51144	-0.15239	0.088282
Applied Intelligence	APIN	-0.21868	0.013124	0.047944
Artificial Intelligence Review	AIR	1.042796	0.158838	-0.00721
Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence	EAAI	-1.28932	-0.28147	-0.07617
IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)	IEEE-TSMC-B	-1.6068	-0.35138	-0.09553
IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence	IEEE-TPAMI	-1.2382	-0.34967	-0.15251
Neural Computing and Applications	NCA	-0.24493	-0.25899	-0.21999
Expert Systems with Applications	ESA	-0.13667	-0.25988	-0.23812
Knowledge-Based Systems	KBS	0.42484	-0.19057	-0.25822
Applied Soft Computing	WFSC	0.15193	-0.62989	-0.65409
International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization	IJCAI	0.821203	-0.67314	-0.8039
International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics	AISTATS	0.168854	-0.8456	-0.87248
Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing	EMNLP	1.95683	-0.58854	-0.90013
Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference	IEEE-CVPR	-0.18398	-1.05983	-1.03053
European Conference on Computer Vision	ECCV	-0.01344	-1.36115	-1.35901
IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems	IEEE-TFS	1.393643	-1.40848	-1.63039

Table 3: Venues normalized novelties and resonances ranked by $\Delta z(\mathcal{R})$

domains such as computer vision and natural language processing have substantially integrated into the realm of deep learning research.

We have also investigated the roles of the authors in generating novel insights and the academic venues for disseminating this knowledge. Notably, our exploration has revealed that certain prominent authors exhibit an inclination towards innovation, while others adopt a more conventional stance. Regarding academic venues, we have identified the distinct significance of conferences and broadly scoped periodicals in spreading this wealth of knowledge.

Acknowledgements

D.O.C. is indebt to CNPQ for partial financial support (302629/2019-0).

Table A.1: URL's used in the first step of our search

https://aclanthology.org/
https://proceedings.mlr.press/
https://www.quora.com/What-are-the-best-conferences-and-journals-about-machine-learning
https://research.com/conference-rankings/computer-science/machine-learning
https://deepai.space/top-ai-conferences-and-journals/
https://www.junglelightspeed.com/the-top-10-nlp-conferences/

Appendix A List of popular machine leaning sources

Table A.1 presents a list of the popular machine learning sources used to find the list of most popular machine learning venues.

Appendix B Details of the LDA implementation

In this section, we describe the process we used to determine the values of the LDA parameters.

We start by applying Document Frequency (TF) and Token Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) in order to eliminate words exhibiting low importance within the corpus. Then, we search for the optimal value of the number of topics K.

As we mention before, we have implemented the LDA using the Python library Gensim. It provides three alternatives for setting priors: (1) 'symmetric' (default), utilizing a fixed symmetric prior of $1/num_topics$, (2) 'asymmetric', implementing a fixed normalized asymmetric prior of $1/(topic_index + \sqrt{num_topics})$, and (3) 'auto', which learns an asymmetric prior from the corpus. We incorporate these these configurations alongside document frequency and tf-idf filters to optimize the LDA model's performance during the hyperparameter search process.

Table B.1 displays the results, with document frequency values set at 0.5, meaning words appearing in more than 50% of the documents were removed from the corpus. The second value, 1.0, indicates no words were removed for models trained with this parameter. The tf-idf parameter compared a low value (0.0075), which removed fewer words, against a high value (0.015), which removed more words. The search space for α was ('symmetric', 'asymmetric') and for η it was ('symmetric', 'auto'). The bestperforming model had K = 60, df = 0.5, tf-idf = 0.0075, α = asymmetric, and η = auto. The reader can refer to Tables C.1 and C.2 to examine the resulting topics derived from this optimal combination of parameters, please access this paper's Zenodo for a more detailed overview on the topics and their word distributions.

Figure B.1 displays graphically the results of the search for K values ranging from 5 to 300, with coherence peaking at 0.552 for K = 60. Lower K values fail to adequately capture the complex structure of the machine learning field's literature, likely combining disparate topics. As the K value increases, the optimal representation of the underlying semantic structure diminishes, resulting in topics primarily driven by statistical co-occurrence.

DF	TF-IDF	$\mid K$	α	$ $ η	C_V coherence
0.5	0.0075	60	symmetric	symmetric	0.531903
0.5	0.0075	60	$\operatorname{symmetric}$	auto	0.531045
0.5	0.0075	60	asymmetric	symmetric	0.545108
0.5	0.0075	60	asymmetric	auto	0.552183
0.5	0.015	60	$\operatorname{symmetric}$	symmetric	0.524149
0.5	0.015	60	symmetric	auto	0.543684
0.5	0.015	60	asymmetric	symmetric	0.528428
0.5	0.015	60	asymmetric	auto	0.523044
1	0.0075	60	symmetric	symmetric	0.526615
1	0.0075	60	$\operatorname{symmetric}$	auto	0.536799
1	0.0075	60	asymmetric	symmetric	0.527374
1	0.0075	60	asymmetric	auto	0.520164
1	0.015	60	symmetric	symmetric	0.532143
1	0.015	60	symmetric	auto	0.534907
1	0.015	60	asymmetric	symmetric	0.518847
1	0.015	60	asymmetric	auto	0.504365

 Table B.1: Parametric space search results





Appendix C Summary of topics

Tables C.1 and C.2 display the topic labels generated by a collaboration between researchers and ChatGPT. The researchers provided the most frequent words for each topic, and ChatGPT, leveraging its language understanding capabilities, responded with an appropriate label for the topic. After that, the authors reviewed the labels

generated by ChatGPT. These tables offer a succinct and interconnected representation of the dataset, showcasing the associated groups and the top words within each topic, thus providing a more comprehensive overview of the underlying themes in the data. Groups with an * were not decisively classified.

 6 Graph Theory and Network Structures 1 Control Systems and Dynamic Systems 2 Systems and Energy Management 3 Multi-level Feature Fusion and Deep Learnin 4 Probability Distribution and Estimation Alg 5 Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization 6 Urban Navigation and Localization 7 Object Recognition and Visual Saliency 8 Object Detection 9 Cybersecurity & Network Communication 10 Information Retrieval & Data Mining 11 Image Processing and Resolution 12 Relational Data and Entity Relationships 13 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects 14 Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health 15 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning 16 Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod 17 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 18 Bias and Evidence Analysis 19 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior 20 Shape and Gesture Recognition 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 23 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 24 Human Attributes & Interactions 25 Human Networks 	ry and Network Structures terms and Dynamic Systems [1 Energy Management Feature Fusion and Deep Learning Distribution and Estimation Algorithm y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization gation and Visual Saliency cotion ty & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sessing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Networks Systems & Control Systems & Control Deep Learning Statistics Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	graph, structure, node, tree, edge control, system, time, controller, design system, power, time, energy, sensor multi, level, feature, module, dataset distribution, algorithm, probability, show, estimate algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, search, retrieval, question pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
1 Control Systems and Dynamic Systems 2 Systems and Energy Management 3 Multi-level Feature Fusion and Deep Learnir 4 Probability Distribution and Estimation Alg 5 Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization 6 Urban Navigation and Localization 7 Object Recognition and Visual Saliency 8 Object Detection 9 Cybersecurity & Network Communication 10 Information Retrieval & Data Mining 11 Image Processing and Resolution 12 Relational Data and Entity Relationships 13 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects 14 Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health 15 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning 16 Time Series Forceasting and Prediction Mod 17 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 18 Bias and Evidence Analysis 19 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior 10 Computational Efficiency & Performance 20 Facial Recognition & Identification 21 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 22 Shape and Gesture Recognition <	tems and Dynamic Systems 1 Energy Management Feature Fusion and Deep Learning Distribution and Estimation Algorithm y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization gation and Visual Saliency cettion ty & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sessing and Resolution ast and Entity Relationships poiss and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Systems & Control Systems & Control Systems & Control Deep Learning Statistics Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Computer Vision Data* Computer Vision Data*	control, system, time, controller, design system, power, time, energy, sensor multi, level, feature, module, dataset distribution, algorithm, probability, show, estimate algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, search, retrieval, question pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Systems and Energy Management Multi-level Feature Fusion and Deep Learnir Probability Distribution and Estimation Alg Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Visual Saliency Object Recognition and Visual Saliency Object Detection Cybersecurity & Network Communication Information Retrieval & Data Mining Inage Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forceasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Menvel Networks 	I Energy Management Feature Fusion and Deep Learning Distribution and Estimation Algorithm y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization ognition and Visual Saliency cettion by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sessing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Systems & Control Deep Learning Statistics Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	system, power, time, energy, sensor multi, level, feature, module, dataset distribution, algorithm, probability, show, estimate algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Multi-level Feature Fusion and Deep Learnin Probability Distribution and Estimation Alg Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Visual Saliency Object Recognition and Visual Saliency Object Detection Cybersecurity & Network Communication Information Retrieval & Data Mining Inage Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Autributes & Interactions Menvel Networks 	Feature Fusion and Deep Learning Distribution and Estimation Algorithm y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization ognition and Visual Saliency ection by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sessing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships poiss and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Deep Learning Statistics Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	multi, level, feature, module, dataset distribution, algorithm, probability, show, estimate algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Probability Distribution and Estimation Alg Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Visual Saliency Object Recognition and Visual Saliency Object Detection Cybersecurity & Network Communication Information Retrieval & Data Mining Inage Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Pailure Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Neural Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Notion Estimation Game Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Menvel Networks 	Distribution and Estimation Algorithm y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization ognition and Visual Saliency ection by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sesing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	s Statistics Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	distribution, algorithm, probability, show, estimate algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Evolutionary Algorithm and Optimization Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Visual Saliency Object Recognition and Visual Saliency Object Detection Cybersecurity & Network Communication Information Retrieval & Data Mining Inage Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Menvel Networks 	y Algorithm and Optimization gation and Localization ognition and Visual Saliency ection by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sessing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Genetic Algorithms Navigation Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	algorithm, optimization, search, performance, local location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Urban Navigation and Localization Urban Navigation and Visual Saliency Object Detection Cybersecurity & Network Communication Information Retrieval & Data Mining Inage Processing and Resolution Inage Processing and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Menvel Networks 	gation and Localization ognition and Visual Saliency ection by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sesting and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Navigation Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	location, trajectory, vehicle, mobile, road object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 7 Object Recognition and Visual Saliency 8 Object Detection 9 Cybersecurity & Network Communication 10 Information Retrieval & Data Mining 11 Image Processing and Resolution 12 Relational Data and Entity Relationships 13 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects 14 Medical Diagnosis and Causal Effects 15 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning 16 Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod 17 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 18 Bias and Evidence Analysis 19 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior 20 Facial Recognition & Identification 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 23 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 24 Human Attributes & Interactions 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 	sgnition and Visual Saliency ection by & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining essing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Computer Vision Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	object, visual, image, scene, category detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 8 Object Detection 9 Cybersecurity & Network Communication 10 Information Retrieval & Data Mining 11 Image Processing and Resolution 12 Relational Data and Entity Relationships 13 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects 14 Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health 15 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning 16 Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod 17 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 18 Bias and Evidence Analysis 19 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior 20 Facial Recognition & Identification 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Shape and Gesture Recognition 23 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks 	ction ty & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining essing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships posis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Computer Vision Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	detection, detect, detector, community, proposal event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 9 Cybersecurity & Network Communication 10 Information Retrieval & Data Mining 11 Image Processing and Resolution 12 Relational Data and Entity Relationships 13 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects 14 Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health 15 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning 16 Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod 17 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation 18 Bias and Evidence Analysis 19 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior 20 Facial Recognition & Identification 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 23 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 24 Human Attributes & Interactions 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 	ty & Network Communication Retrieval & Data Mining sesting and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships prosis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Cybersecurity Data* Computer Vision Data*	event, attack, service, communication, distribute pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Information Retrieval & Data Mining Innage Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Time Series Forecasting and Motion Estimation Time Stand Rotion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	Retrieval & Data Mining sssing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships prosis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Data* Computer Vision Data*	pattern, query, search, retrieval, question
 Image Processing and Resolution Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Time Series Forecasting and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	essing and Resolution Data and Entity Relationships prosis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health	Computer Vision Data*	
 Relational Data and Entity Relationships Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Time Series Forecasting and Motion Estimation Time Sand Evidence Analysis Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	Data and Entity Relationships prosis and Causal Effects grosis and Patient Health	$Data^*$	surtace, resolution, image, color, light
 Failure Diagnosis and Causal Effects Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Time Series Forecasting and Motion Estimation Time Sand Evidence Analysis Gamer Theory and Strategic Behavior Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	prosis and Causal Effects gnosis and Patient Health		group, relation, entity, link, knowledge
 Medical Diagnosis and Patient Health Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Time Series Forecasting and Motion Estimation Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Pacial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	gnosis and Patient Health	Fault Diagnosis	fault, effect, variable, failure, causal
 Neural Network and Synaptic Functioning Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	0	Medical	diagnosis, patient, medical, disease, clinical
 Time Series Forecasting and Prediction Mod Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Pacial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	vork and Synaptic Functioning	Deep Learning	neuron, spike, mechanism, unit, channel
 Camera Tracking and Motion Estimation Bias and Evidence Analysis Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	Forecasting and Prediction Modeling	Statistics	prediction, time, series, model, predict
 Bias and Evidence Analysis Bias and Evidence Analysis Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	cking and Motion Estimation	Computer Vision	camera, tracking, point, depth, image
 Game Theory and Strategic Behavior Facial Recognition & Identification Computational Efficiency & Performance Shape and Gesture Recognition Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP Reinforcement Learning and Robotics Human Attributes & Interactions Neural Networks 	idence Analysis	Statistics	bias, evidence, hypothesis, explanation, argument
 20 Facial Recognition & Identification 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Shape and Gesture Recognition 23 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 24 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks 	ry and Strategic Behavior	Decision Making	strategy, game, play, player, equilibrium
 21 Computational Efficiency & Performance 22 Shape and Gesture Recognition 23 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 24 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks 	gnition & Identification	Computer Vision	face, recognition, image, identification, person
 22 Shape and Gesture Recognition 23 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 24 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks 	nal Efficiency & Performance	Complexity	time, large, computational, scale, reduce
 23 Semantic Word Embeddings in NLP 24 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks 	Jesture Recognition	Computer Vision	shape, recognition, hand, body, line
24 Reinforcement Learning and Robotics 25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks	ord Embeddings in NLP	Natural Language Processing	word, semantic, sentence, embedding, representation
25 Human Attributes & Interactions 26 Neural Networks	ent Learning and Robotics	Reinforcement Learning	agent, policy, environment, learning, robot
26 Neural Networks	cibutes & Interactions	Reinforcement Learning [*]	human, attribute, cell, interaction, behavior
	vorks	Deep Learning	network, neural, layer, architecture, deep
$27 \mid \text{Expert Systems } \& \text{ Design}$	$\operatorname{ems} \& \operatorname{Design}$	Expert Systems	system, knowledge, design, process, expert
$28 \mid \text{Regression Analysis } \& \text{Modeling}$	Analysis & Modeling	Statistics	error, regression, model, test, parameter
29 Information Imputation and Completion	Imputation and Completion	Machine Learning	information, miss, mutual, incomplete, side

Table C.1: Summary of topics, groups and the main terms in each topic.

30 Constraint, Planning & Logic Logic <thlogic< th=""> <thlogi< th=""><th>opics</th><th>Labels</th><th>Groups</th><th>Terms</th></thlogi<></thlogic<>	opics	Labels	Groups	Terms
31 Feature Extraction & Selection Machine Learning Geature extract, selection, extraction, select 32 Adversarial Caenariug Dep Learning Equation and Emotion Analysis 33 Probabilistic Modeling & Inference Descin Merconnendation Systems Descin Merconnendation Systems 33 Sertinent and Emotion Analysis Descin Merconnendation Systems Descin Merconnendation Systems 34 Robust Dennising Retraining a training Descin Merconnendation Systems 35 Gassification & Performance Recommendation Systems Descin Machine Evaning 36 Multi-Dimensional Data Representation Statistics Descin Making & Partitioning & Tearune Analysis 30 Data Clustering & Segmentation Statistics Descin Making & Partitioning & Tearune 40 Image Partitioning & Tearune Domain Adaptation Machine Learning 41 Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning Domain Adaptation Machine Learning 42 Domain Adaptation & Transfer Jearning Domain Adaptation Machine Learning 43 Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning Domain Adaptation Machine Learning	30	Constraint Planning & Logic	Logic	constraint, path, show, set, property
32 Adversarial Generative Modeling Deep Learning Bentiment Learning Bentiment Learning Recommendation Adversarial, training 33 Bentiment and Emotion Analysis Natural Language Processing model, parsameter, process, modeling, inference 35 Social Recommendation Systems Mathine Learning model, parsameter, process, modeling, inference 36 Classifications & Fertioning & Texture Analysis Mathine Learning model, parsameter, process, modeling, inference 37 Multi-Dimensional Data Representation Mathine, accuracy, perto 38 Robust Denoising Statistics model, parsameter, process, modeling, inference 38 Robust Denoising & Exchantion Machine Learning segmentation, view, dimensional, sparse 39 Deta Clustering & Segmentation, rest, interruction compater Vision descino, dastiffer 41 Decision Making Evention generation, adversarial, training 41 Decision Making Evention descino, dastiffer 41 Decision Making Evention descino, dastiffer 42 Deen Learning Segmentation, reson	31	Feature Extraction & Selection	Machine Learning	feature, extract, selection, extraction, select
33 Sentiment and Emotion Analysis Natural Language Processing model, dataset, sentiment, emotion, task 34 Probabilistic Modeling & Inference Recommendation Systems 35 Social Recommendation Systems model, parameter, process, modeling, inference 36 Classification & Performance Recommendation Systems 37 Multi-Dimensional Data Representation Statistics 38 Robust Denoising Statistics model, parameter, process, modeling, inference 38 Robust Denoising Statistics model, parameter, process, modeling, interneces 39 Data Clustering & Segmentation Statistics model, parameter, process, modeling, interneces 39 Data Clustering & Segmentation Computer Vision classification, resion, park 41 Decision Making Computer Vision classification, resion, park 30 Domain Adaptation & Texture Analysis Computer Vision classification, resion, park 41 Decision Making Computer Vision model, parameter, protes, model, long,	32	Adversarial Generative Modeling	Deep Learning	generate, model, generation, adversarial, training
31Probabilistic Modeling & Inference32Probabilistic Modeling & Inference33Social Recommendation Systems35Social Recommendation Systems36Classification & Performance37Multi-Dimensional Data Representation38Rounsing39Bata Clustering & Segmentation39Bata Clustering & Segmentation30Data Clustering & Segmentation31Multi-Dimensional Data Representation32Rounsi & Segmentation33Rounsi & Evaluation40Image Partitioning & Texture Analysis41Decision Making & Evaluation42Speech & Language Processing43Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning44Semi-Supervised Data Annotation45Modeling with Attention Mechanisms46Domain Adaptation47Signal Processing48Semi-Supervised Data Annotation49Domain Adaptation41Decision Making42Semi-Supervised Data Annotation43Semi-Supervised Data Annotation44Semi-Supervised Data Annotation45Modeling with Attention Mechanisms46Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation47Signal Processing48Video Action Recognition49Document Summarization49Document Summarization41Signal Processing42Document Summarization43Signal Processing44Signa	33	Sentiment and Emotion Analysis	Natural Language Processing	model, dataset, sentiment, emotion, task
35Social Recommendation Systemsuser, recommendation, item, social, system37Multi-Dimensional Data Representationiser, recommendation, item, social, system37Multi-Dimensional Data Representationisatistics38Robust DenoisingSegmentation38Robust DenoisingSegmentation39Data Clustering & Segmentationspace, representation, view, dimensional, sparse39Data Clustering & Segmentationstatistics30Data Clustering & Segmentationstatistics31Decision Makingcomputer Vision41Decision Makingcluster, clustering, algorithm, datum, partition42Speech & Language ProcessingMachine Learning43Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learningmage, segmentation, make, preference, selection44Semi-Supervised Data AnnotationMachine Learning45Semi-Supervised Data Annotationmachine Learning46Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation47Signal ProcessingDomain, target, source, transfer, adaptation48Video Action RecognitionNatural Language Processing49Dofenent KummarzitionSimilarity Measures and Rankings41Similarity Measures and RankingsSimilarity menory42Similarity Measures and RankingsSimilarity menory43Similarity Measures and RankingsSimilarity menory44Similarity Measures and RankingsSimilarity menory45Finar and Nonlinear OptimizationSimilarity menory <td>34</td> <td>Probabilistic Modeling & Inference</td> <td>Statistics</td> <td>model, parameter, process, modeling, inference</td>	34	Probabilistic Modeling & Inference	Statistics	model, parameter, process, modeling, inference
36 Classification & Performance Machine Learning classification, classifier, machine, accuracy, perfo 37 Multi-Dimensional Data Representation, view, dimensional, sparse 38 Robust Denoising Segmentation Statistics space, representation, view, dimensional, sparse 39 Data Clustering & Segmentation Statistics space, representation, reiw, dimensional, sparse 40 Image Partitioning & Texture Analysis Machine Learning space, representation, reiw, dimensional, sparse 41 Decision Making & Evaluation Computer Vision image, segmentation, reiw, dimensional, sparse 42 Speeck & Language Processing Machine Learning mage, space, transifich, model, speech 43 Domain Adaptation Mechanisms Decision Making language Processing mage, space, transifich, model, speech 44 Semi-Supervised Data Amotation Machine Learning mage, space, transifich, model, speech 45 Modeling with Attention Mechanisms Deep Learning mage, space, transifich, speech 46 Semi-Supervised Data Amotation Deep Learning modeling act, transifich, speech 47 Signal Processing Ma	35	Social Recommendation Systems	Recommendation Systems	user, recommendation, item, social, system
37Multi-Dimensional Data RepresentationStatisticsspace, representation, view, dimensional, sparse38Robust DenoisingRatisticsiosis, losis, robust, nosity, robust, nositues38Robust DenoisingRatisticsiosis, losis, robust, nositues39Data Clustering & SegmentationMachine Learninginose, lose tening, region, jixel, texture41Decision Making & EvaluationMachine Learninginose, lose tening, region, jixel, texture42Speech & Language ProcessingMachine Learning*inose, segmentation, make, preference, selection43Bounain Adaptation & Transfer Learning*machine Learning*inose, segmentation, model, speech44Semi-Supervised Data AmotationMachine Learning*iosit, criterion, make, preference, selection45Modeling with Attention MechanismsDeep Learningsequence, transfer, adaptation46Deep LearningSynaptic Functioning*sequence, attention, model, long, monoty47Signal ProcessingNatural Language Processingdomain, trasing, semi supervised, amotat48Deep LearningDeep Learningsequence, attention, model, long, monoty49Deronnent SummarizationNatural Language Processingdomain, trask, training, deep41Deconnent SummarizationSynaptic Functioning*sequence, attention, model, long, motion42Signal ProcessingSynaptic Functioning*sequence, attention, model, long, motion43Doennent SummarizationDoennent SummarizationDoennent Summary44 <td>36</td> <td>Classification & Performance</td> <td>Machine Learning</td> <td>classification, classifier, machine, accuracy, performance</td>	36	Classification & Performance	Machine Learning	classification, classifier, machine, accuracy, performance
38Robust DenoisingStatisticsInster <td>37</td> <td>Multi-Dimensional Data Representation</td> <td>Statistics</td> <td>space, representation, view, dimensional, sparse</td>	37	Multi-Dimensional Data Representation	Statistics	space, representation, view, dimensional, sparse
39 Data Clustering & Segmentation Machine Learning Image segmentation partition 40 Image Partitioning & Texture Analysis Computer Vision density, attention, model, partition 41 Decision Making & Evaluation Decision Making & Transfer Learning Decision, criterion, model, pared, texture 42 Speech & Language Processing Decision, criterion, model, pared, texture density, target, source, transfer, adaptation 43 Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning Machine Learning density, target, source, transfer, adaptation 44 Semi-Supervised Data Annotation Machine Learning density, target, source, transfer, adaptation 45 Modeling with Attention Mechanisms Deep Learning density, transfer, adaptation 45 Deep Learning Deep Learning density, target, source, transfer, adaptation 46 Deep Learning Deep Learning density, transfer, training, seen supervised, amotat 47 Signal Processing Signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity video, temporal, activity 48 Video Action Recognition Natural Language Processing domain, target, source, transfer, training, seen supervised, amotat 49 Deep Learning Deep Learning domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation 41 Signal Processing Signal, phase, prain, fre	38	Robust Denoising	Statistics	noise, loss, robust, noisy, robustness
40 Image Partitioning & Texture Analysis Computer Vision image, segmentation, megion, pixel, texture 41 Decision Making & Evaluation Decision Making Decision Making Decision Making 42 Speech & Language Processing Natural Language Processing Nathine Learning* Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation 43 Semi-Supervised Data Annotation Machine Learning* Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation 44 Semi-Supervised Data Annotation Machine Learning* Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation 45 Modeling with Attention Mechanisms Deep Learning Machine Learning* Domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation 46 Signal Processing Machine Learning Machine Learning Machine Learning 47 Signal Processing Deep Learning Deep Learning Deep Learning 47 Signal Processing Document Vision Document, training, deep Signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity 47 Signal Processing Document Summary Document Summary Document, training, deep Document, training, deep 47 Signal Processing Synaptic Functioning* Statholy, vicleo, temporal, activity Docume	39	Data Clustering & Segmentation	Machine Learning	cluster, clustering, algorithm, datum, partition
11 Decision Making & Evaluation Decision Making Decision Make, preference, selection 42 Speech & Language Processing Natural Language Processing Machine Learning* Interview	40	Image Partitioning & Texture Analysis	Computer Vision	image, segmentation, region, pixel, texture
42 Speech & Language Processing Natural Language Processing Natural Language Processing Ianguage, code, translation, model, speech 43 Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning Machine Learning Ianguage Processing Ianguage, code, translation, model, speech 44 Semi-Supervised Data Annotation Machine Learning Ianguage Processing Ianguage, code, translation, model, speech 45 Modeling with Attention Mechanisms Deep Learning sequence, attention, model, long, memory 46 Deep Learning Evary Learning sequence, attention, model, long, memory 47 Signal Processing Deep Learning sequence, attention, model, long, memory 48 Workie Attention Workie Attention, model, long, memory 49 Deep Learning sequence, attention, model, long, memory 41 Signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity video, temporal, action, frame, motion 43 Document Summarization Natural Language Processing sequence, attention, model, long, memory 44 Document Summarization Stans, frequency, activity video, temporal, action, frame, motion 51 Research Machine Learning sequence, stention, model, long, memory 52	41	Decision Making & Evaluation	Decision Making	decision, criterion, make, preference, selection
43Domain Adaptation & Transfer LearningMachine Learning*domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation44Semi-Supervised Data AnnotationMachine LearningMachine Learningsemi-supervised, annotation45Modeling with Attention MechanismsMachine Learningbeel, datum, training, semi-supervised, annotati46Deep LearningDeep Learningsequence, attention, model, long, memory47Signal ProcessingSynaptic Functioning*label, datum, training, semi-supervised, annotati48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*learning, learning, learning, learning, learning, learning, deep49Document SummarizationNatural Language Processingsignal, phase, brain, frequency, activity40Document SummarizationNatural Language Processingsignal, phase, brain, frequency, activity51Research and Development TrendsStatistics*measure, similarity, metric, correlation, redenique52Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsmeasure, similarity, metric, correlation, rack53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryOptimizationfinaction54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationFuzzy Algorithmsvalue, set, weight, interval, uncertainty55Adaptive optimizationFuzzy Algorithmsfinaction56Adaptive optimizationMachine Learningdatum, parameter, rate, filter, convergence56Adaptive optimizationDataDotimizationfaramic58Data Management and UtilizationDotimizationdata, set, real, filter	42	Speech & Language Processing	Natural Language Processing	language, code, translation, model, speech
44Semi-Supervised Data Annotation45Modeling with Attention Mechanisms45Modeling with Attention Mechanisms46Deep Learning47Signal Processing48Video Action Recognition49Document Summarization49Document Summarization50Similarity Measures and Rankings51Research and Development Trends52Fuzzy Logic Systems53Fuzzy Value & Set Theory54Linear and Nonlinear Optimization55Financial Markets & Risks56Adaptive optimization57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Mangement and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving50Optimization51Resement and Utilization52Puzzy Logie Systems53Fuzzy Value & Set Theory54Linear and Nonlinear Optimization55Financial Markets & Risks56Adaptive optimization57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Mangement and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving59Optimization & Problem-Solving51Optimization52Putimization53Fuzzy Value & Sotrinic54Linear and Utilization55Fuzzy Value & Sotrinic56Adaptive optimization57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Margement and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving </td <td>43</td> <td>Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning</td> <td>Machine Learning[*]</td> <td>domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation</td>	43	Domain Adaptation & Transfer Learning	Machine Learning [*]	domain, target, source, transfer, adaptation
45Modeling with Attention MechanismsDeep Learningsequence, attention, model, long, memory46Deep LearningDeep Learningsequence, attention, model, long, memory47Signal ProcessingDeep Learningsequence, attention, model, long, memory48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity49Document SummarizationNatural Language Processingsignal, phase, brain, frequency, activity50Similarity Measures and RankingsStatistics*notion51Research and Development TrendsFuzzy Algorithmsneesure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank52Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsneesure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank53Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsneesure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationFinzzy Algorithmsneesure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank55Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsnature, system, function, logic56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationpotimization57Class Imbalance & SamplingDataMachine Learning58Data Mangement and UtilizationDataadutm, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationpotimization59OptimizationPotimizationpotimization59Optimizati	44	Semi-Supervised Data Annotation	Machine Learning	label, datum, training, semi_supervised, annotation
46Deep LearningDeep Learninglearning, learning, learning, learning, deep47Signal ProcessingSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity48Video Action RecognitionNatural Language Processingsignal, phase, brain, frequency, activity49Document SummarizationNatural Language Processingsignal, phase, brain, frequency, activity50Similarity Measures and RankingsStatistics*neasure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank51Research and Development TrendsFuzzy Algorithmsneasure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank52Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsneasure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryOptimizationfurzy, rule, system, function, logic54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationSethistics*neasure, student, financial, price55Adaptive optimization algorithmsfunction, matrix, linear, problem, non56Adaptive optimizationData Management and Utilization57Class Imbalance & SamplingData58Data Management and UtilizationData59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimization59OptimizationPotimization59OptimizationPotimization59OptimizationPotimization59OptimizationPotimization59OptimizationPotimization5	45	Modeling with Attention Mechanisms	Deep Learning	sequence, attention, model, long, memory
47Signal ProcessingSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity48Video Action RecognitionSynaptic Functioning*signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity49Document SummarizationNatural Language Processingvideo, temporal, action, frame, motion50Similarity Measures and RankingsStatistics*measure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank51Research and Development TrendsResearchresearch, study, analysis, application, technique52Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationOptimizationresearch, student, financial, price55Fuzzy Value & SamplingOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price56Adaptive optimization algorithmsMachine Learningalgorithm, parameter, rate, filter, convergence57Class Imbalance & SamplingDataDotimizationclass, sample, instance, problem, learning58Data Management and UtilizationDotimizationBatum, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	46	Deep Learning	Deep Learning	learning, learn, task, training, deep
48Video Action Recognition49Document Summarization40Document Summarization40Document Summarization41Document Summarization42Similarity Measures and Rankings50Similarity Measures and Rankings51Research and Development Trends52Fuzzy Logic Systems53Fuzzy Value & Set Theory54Linear and Nonlinear Optimization55Financial Markets & Risks56Adaptive optimization algorithms57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Management and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving59Optimization & Problem-Solving	47	Signal Processing	Synaptic Functioning [*]	signal, phase, brain, frequency, activity
49Document Summarization50Similarity Measures and Rankings51Research and Development Trends52Fuzzy Logic Systems53Fuzzy Value & Set Theory54Linear and Nonlinear Optimization55Financial Markets & Risks56Adaptive optimization algorithms57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Management and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving59Optimization & Problem-Solving50Dotimization & Problem-Solving51Research and Nonlinear Optimization52Financial Markets & Risks53Fuzzy Value & Set Theory54Linear and Nonlinear Optimization55Financial Markets & Risks56Adaptive optimization algorithms57Class Imbalance & Sampling58Data Management and Utilization59Optimization & Problem-Solving50Optimization51Optimization52Pata Management and Utilization53Potimization & Problem-Solving54Data55Pata Management and Utilization56Dotimization & Problem-Solving57Optimization58Data59Optimization & Problem-Solving50Optimization51Optimization52Optimization53Dotimization & Problem-Solving54Patamagement and Utilization55Optimization & Problem-Solving	48	Video Action Recognition	Computer Vision	video, temporal, action, frame, motion
50Similarity Measures and RankingsStatistics*measure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank51Research and Development TrendsResearchmeasure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank52Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationOptimizationreise, weight, interval, uncertainty55Financial Markets & RisksOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price57Class Imbalance & SamplingDataDatadatum, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	49	Document Summarization	Natural Language Processing	document, topic, content, web, summary
51Research and Development TrendsResearchResearchresearch, study, analysis, application, technique52Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsresearch, study, analysis, application, technique54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationOptimizationresearch55Financial Markets & RisksOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price57Class Imbalance & SamplingDataData59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	50	Similarity Measures and Rankings	Statistics*	measure, similarity, metric, correlation, rank
52Fuzzy Logic SystemsFuzzy Algorithmsfuzzy, rule, system, function, logic53Fuzzy Value & Set TheoryFuzzy Algorithmsfuzzy, rule, system, function, logic54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationOptimizationralue, set, weight, interval, uncertainty55Financial Markets & RisksOptimizationrisk, market, student, financial, price56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationalgorithm, parameter, rate, filter, convergence57Class Imbalance & SamplingDataDatadatum, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	51	Research and Development Trends	Research	research, study, analysis, application, technique
 Fuzzy Value & Set Theory Fuzza and Nonlinear Optimization Linear and Nonlinear Optimization Financial Markets & Risks Financial Markets & Risks Financial Market, student, financial, price Adaptive optimization algorithms Adaptive optimization algorithms Class Imbalance & Sampling Data Management and Utilization Optimization & Problem-Solving Optimization & Problem-Solving Optimization & Problem-Solving Optimization 	52	Fuzzy Logic Systems	Fuzzy Algorithms	fuzzy, rule, system, function, logic
54Linear and Nonlinear OptimizationOptimizationInnotion55Financial Markets & RisksFinanceFinancial market, student, financial, price56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationalgorithm, parameter, rate, filter, convergence57Class Imbalance & SamplingData Management and UtilizationData59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	53	Fuzzy Value & Set Theory	Fuzzy Algorithms	value, set, weight, interval, uncertainty
55Financial Markets & RisksFinance56Adaptive optimization algorithmsFinance56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimization57Class Imbalance & SamplingMachine Learning58Data Management and UtilizationData59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimization59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimization	54	Linear and Nonlinear Optimization	Optimization	function, matrix, linear, problem, non
56Adaptive optimization algorithmsOptimizationalgorithm, parameter, rate, filter, convergence57Class Imbalance & SamplingMachine Learningclass, sample, instance, problem, learning58Data Management and UtilizationDatadatum, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	55	Financial Markets & Risks	Finance	risk, market, student, financial, price
57Class Imbalance & SamplingMachine Learningclass, sample, instance, problem, learning58Data Management and UtilizationDatadatum, data, set, real, technique59Optimization & Problem-SolvingOptimizationproblem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	56	Adaptive optimization algorithms	Optimization	algorithm, parameter, rate, filter, convergence
58 Data Management and Utilization Data datum, data, set, real, technique 59 Optimization & Problem-Solving Optimization problem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimizatior	57	Class Imbalance & Sampling	Machine Learning	class, sample, instance, problem, learning
59 Optimization & Problem-Solving Optimization problem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization	58	Data Management and Utilization	Data	datum, data, set, real, technique
	59	Optimization & Problem-Solving	Optimization	problem, algorithm, solution, solve, optimization

 Table C.2: Summary of topics, fields and the main terms in each topic.

Declarations

- Funding: DOC thanks to cnpq for financial support (302629/2019-0).
- Conflict of interest/Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- Ethics approval: The manuscript has not been simultaneously submitted or published in any other journal.
- Consent to participate: "Not applicable".
- Consent for publication: All authors have read and have approved the manuscript being submitted and agree to its submittal to this journal.
- Availability of data and materials: We may find the complete dataset at Zenodo.
- Code availability We may find the complete code at Zenodo.
- Authors' contributions:
 - Conceptualization: [Vítor Bandeira Borges, Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro];
 - Methodology: [Vítor Bandeira Borges, Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro];
 - Formal analysis and investigation: [Vítor Bandeira Borges];
 - Writing original draft preparation: [Vítor Bandeira Borges];
 - Writing review and editing: [Vítor Bandeira Borges, Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro];
 - Supervision: [Daniel Oliveira Cajueiro].

References

- Blei, D.M., Ng, A.Y., Jordan, M.I.: Latent dirichlet allocation. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 3(null), 993–1022 (2003)
- [2] Röder, M., Both, A., Hinneburg, A.: Exploring the space of topic coherence measures. In: Proceedings of the Eighth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining. WSDM '15, pp. 399–408. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2015). https://doi.org/10.1145/2684822.2685324 . https://doi.org/10.1145/2684822.2685324
- [3] Barron, A.T.J., Huang, J., Spang, R.L., DeDeo, S.: Individuals, institutions, and innovation in the debates of the french revolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(18), 4607–4612 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1717729115
- [4] Langley, P., et al.: The changing science of machine learning. Machine learning 82(3), 275–279 (2011)
- [5] Fradkov, A.L.: Early history of machine learning. IFAC-PapersOnLine 53(2), 1385–1390 (2020)
- [6] Hall, D., Jurafsky, D., Manning, C.D.: Studying the history of ideas using topic models. In: Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. EMNLP '08, pp. 363–371. Association for Computational Linguistics, USA (2008)

- [7] Savov, P., Jatowt, A., Nielek, R.: Identifying breakthrough scientific papers. Information Processing & Management 57(2), 102168 (2020)
- [8] Hoffman, M., Bach, F., Blei, D.: Online learning for latent dirichlet allocation. advances in neural information processing systems 23 (2010)
- [9] Řehůřek, R., Sojka, P.: Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora. In: Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks, pp. 45–50. ELRA, Valletta, Malta (2010). http://is.muni.cz/ publication/884893/en
- Bouma, G.: Normalized (pointwise) mutual information in collocation extraction. Proceedings of GSCL 30, 31–40 (2009)
- [11] Church, K., Hanks, P.: Word association norms, mutual information, and lexicography. Computational linguistics 16(1), 22–29 (1990)
- [12] Kullback, S., Leibler, R.A.: On Information and Sufficiency. The Annals of Mathematical Statistics 22(1), 79–86 (1951) https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729694
- [13] DBLP: Dblp Computer Science Bibliography. Provides bibliographic information on major computer science conferences and journals. https://dblp.org/ Accessed 2023-04-06
- [14] Jordan, M.I., Mitchell, T.M.: Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. Science 349(6245), 255–260 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aaa8415 https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aaa8415
- [15] Griffiths, T.L., Steyvers, M.: Finding scientific topics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101(suppl_1), 5228–5235 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0307752101 https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.0307752101
- [16] Hinton, G.E., Osindero, S., Teh, Y.-W.: A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets. Neural Comput. 18(7), 1527–1554 (2006) https://doi.org/10.1162/neco. 2006.18.7.1527
- [17] LeCun, Y., Boser, B., Denker, J.S., Henderson, D., Howard, R.E., Hubbard, W., Jackel, L.D.: Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. Neural Computation 1(4), 541–551 (1989) https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1989.1. 4.541
- [18] Bengio, Y.: Learning deep architectures for AI. Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2(1), 1–127 (2009) https://doi.org/10.1561/220000006
- [19] Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., Hinton, G.E.: Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks 25 (2012)
- [20] Sutskever, I., Vinyals, O., Le, Q.V.: Sequence to sequence learning with neural

networks (2014) arXiv:1409.3215 [cs.CL]

- [21] Hinton, G., Deng, L., Yu, D., Dahl, G.E., Mohamed, A.-r., Jaitly, N., Senior, A., Vanhoucke, V., Nguyen, P., Sainath, T.N., Kingsbury, B.: Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine 29(6), 82–97 (2012) https://doi.org/ 10.1109/MSP.2012.2205597
- [22] Kuhn, T.S.: The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1427 East 60th Street Chicago, IL 60637 U.S.A (1962)
- [23] Goodfellow, I.J., Pouget-Abadie, J., Mirza, M., Xu, B., Warde-Farley, D., Ozair, S., Courville, A., Bengio, Y.: Generative adversarial networks (2014) arXiv:1406.2661 [stat.ML]
- [24] Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., Bengio, Y.: Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473 (2014)
- [25] Canny, J.: A computational approach to edge detection. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence PAMI-8(6), 679–698 (1986) https: //doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.1986.4767851
- [26] Duda, R.O., Hart, P.E., Stork, D.G.: Pattern Classification. Wiley, 111 River St, United States (2000)
- [27] Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., Friedman, J.: The Elements of Statistical Learning. Springer, New York, NY (2009)
- [28] Lindeberg, T.: Scale-space theory: A basic tool for analysing structures at different scales. Journal of Applied Statistics 21, 224–270 (1994) https://doi.org/10.1080/ 757582976
- [29] Kass, M., Witkin, A.P., Terzopoulos, D.: Snakes: Active contour models. Int. J. Comput. Vis. 1(4), 321–331 (1988)
- [30] Hartley, R., Zisserman, A.: Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA (2003)
- [31] Tikhonov, A.N., Arsenin, V.Y.: Solutions of Ill-posed Problems, p. 258. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.: John Wiley & Sons, New York (1977). Translated from the Russian, Preface by translation editor Fritz John, Scripta Series in Mathematics
- [32] Geman, S., Geman, D.: Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions and the Bayesian restoration of images. IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 6(6), 721–741 (1984) https://doi.org/10.1080/02664769300000058
- [33] Turk, M., Pentland, A.: Eigenfaces for recognition. J. Cognitive Neuroscience

3(1), 71–86 (1991) https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.1991.3.1.71

- [34] Lecun, Y., Bottou, L., Bengio, Y., Haffner, P.: Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE 86(11), 2278–2324 (1998) https: //doi.org/10.1109/5.726791
- [35] Long, J., Shelhamer, E., Darrell, T.: Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic Segmentation. cite arxiv:1411.4038Comment: to appear in CVPR (2015) (2014). http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4038
- [36] Radford, A., Metz, L., Chintala, S.: Unsupervised Representation Learning with Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks. cite arxiv:1511.06434Comment: Under review as a conference paper at ICLR 2016 (2015). http://arxiv.org/abs/1511.06434
- [37] TURING, A.M.: I.—COMPUTING MACHINERY AND INTELLIGENCE. Mind LIX(236), 433–460 (1950) https://doi.org/10.1093/mind/LIX.236.433
- [38] Shannon, C.E.: A mathematical theory of communication. The Bell system technical journal **27**(3), 379–423 (1948)
- [39] Baeza-Yates, R., Ribeiro-Neto, B.: Modern Information Retrieval, 2nd edn. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, USA (2008)
- [40] Manning, C.D., Raghavan, P., Schütze, H.: Introduction to Information Retrieval. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA (2008)
- [41] Chomsky, N.: Aspects of the Theory of Syntax, 50 edn. The MIT Press, One Broadway, E70. Floor 12. Cambridge, MA 02142 (1965). http://www.jstor.org/ stable/j.ctt17kk81z Accessed 2023-04-26
- [42] Gong, Y., Liu, X.: Generic text summarization using relevance measure and latent semantic analysis. In: Proceedings of the 24th Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval. SIGIR '01, pp. 19–25. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2001)
- [43] Mihalcea, R., Tarau, P.: Textrank: Bringing order into text. In: Proceedings of the 2004 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, pp. 404–411 (2004)
- [44] Erkan, G., Radev, D.R.: Lexrank: Graph-based lexical centrality as salience in text summarization. Journal Artificial Intelligence Research 22(1), 457–479 (2004)
- [45] Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. Neural computation 9(8), 1735–1780 (1997)
- [46] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N.,

Kaiser, L., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems **30** (2017)

- [47] Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018)
- [48] Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T., Sutskever, I.: Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. OpenAI Blog (2018)
- [49] Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., Sutskever, I.: Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. OpenAI Blog (2019)
- [50] Watkins, C.J.C.H.: Learning from delayed rewards (1989)
- [51] Sutton, R.S.: Learning to predict by the methods of temporal differences. Mach. Learn. 3(1), 9–44 (1988) https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022633531479
- [52] Mnih, V., Kavukcuoglu, K., Silver, D., Rusu, A.A., Veness, J., Bellemare, M.G., Graves, A., Riedmiller, M.A., Fidjeland, A.K., Ostrovski, G., Petersen, S., Beattie, C., Sadik, A., Antonoglou, I., King, H., Kumaran, D., Wierstra, D., Legg, S., Hassabis, D.: Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature 518, 529–533 (2015)
- [53] Silver, D., Huang, A., Maddison, C., Guez, A., Sifre, L., Driessche, G., Schrittwieser, J., Antonoglou, I., Panneershelvam, V., Lanctot, M., Dieleman, S., Grewe, D., Nham, J., Kalchbrenner, N., Sutskever, I., Lillicrap, T., Leach, M., Kavukcuoglu, K., Graepel, T., Hassabis, D.: Mastering the game of go with deep neural networks and tree search. Nature **529**, 484–489 (2016) https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature16961
- [54] Hayes-Roth, F., Waterman, D.A., Lenat, D.B.: Building Expert Systems. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., USA (1983)
- [55] Lucas, P.J., Gaag, L.C.: Principles of Expert Systems, (1991)
- [56] LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., Hinton, G.: Deep learning. nature 521(7553), 436–444 (2015)
- [57] Miller, R., McNeil, M., Challinor, S., Masarie, F., Myers, J.: The internist-1/quick medical reference project-status report. The Western journal of medicine 145, 816–22 (1987)
- [58] Wong, B.K., Monaco, J.A.: Expert system applications in business: A review and analysis of the literature (1977-1993). Information & Management 29(3), 141–152 (1995)
- [59] Venkatasubramanian, V.: A review of process fault detection and diagnosis: Part

i: Quantitative model-based methods. Computers & Chemical Engineering 27, 293–311 (2003)

- [60] Vaishya, R., Javaid, M., Khan, I.H., Haleem, A.: Artificial intelligence (ai) applications for covid-19 pandemic. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome 14, 337–339 (2020)
- [61] Alimadadi, A., Aryal, S., Manandhar, I., Munroe, P.B., Joe, B., Cheng, X.: Artificial intelligence and machine learning to fight covid-19. Physiological Genomics 52(4), 200–202 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00029.2020
- [62] Khan, M., Mehran, M.T., Haq, Z.U., Ullah, Z., Naqvi, S.R., Ihsan, M., Abbass, H.: Applications of artificial intelligence in covid-19 pandemic: A comprehensive review. Expert systems with applications 185, 115695 (2021)
- [63] Utku, A.: Deep learning based hybrid prediction model for predicting the spread of covid-19 in the world's most populous countries. Expert Systems with Applications, 120769 (2023)
- [64] Tang, L., Walsh, J.P.: Bibliometric fingerprints: name disambiguation based on approximate structure equivalence of cognitive maps. Scientometrics 84(3), 763– 784 (2010) https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-010-0196-6
- [65] Strotmann, A., Zhao, D.: Author name disambiguation: What difference does it make in author-based citation analysis? Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology 63, 1820–1833 (2012) https://doi.org/10. 1002/asi.22695
- [66] Athenianco: names-matcher. https://github.com/athenianco/names-matcher. Accessed: April 29, 2023 (2021)