

# A MULTISCALE MODEL FOR WEAKLY NONLINEAR SHALLOW WATER WAVES OVER PERIODIC BATHYMETRY

DAVID I. KETCHESON\*, LAJOS LÓCZI†, AND GIOVANNI RUSSO‡

**Abstract.** We study the behavior of shallow water waves over periodically-varying bathymetry, based on the first-order hyperbolic Saint-Venant equations. Although solutions of this system are known to generally exhibit wave breaking, numerical experiments suggest a different behavior in the presence of periodic bathymetry. Starting from the first-order variable-coefficient hyperbolic system, we apply a multiple-scale perturbation approach in order to derive a system of constant-coefficient high-order partial differential equations whose solution approximates that of the original system. The high-order system turns out to be dispersive and exhibits solitary-wave formation, in close agreement with direct numerical simulations of the original system. We show that the constant-coefficient homogenized system can be used to study the properties of solitary waves and to conduct efficient numerical simulations.

**1. Introduction.** Linear wave propagation in periodic media has been extensively studied in a variety of contexts. In solid state physics, the behavior of optical and acoustic waves in crystals has been understood for more than a century, including associated effects like Bragg diffraction and band-gaps, which result from the interaction of the wave with the periodic structure (see, for example, [1]). Such effects become significant when the period of the structure is small but not negligible with respect to typical length scale of the wave.

More recently, periodic materials have been engineered specifically to obtain desired wave propagation properties. Metamaterials are composite materials obtained by assembling a large number of small unit cells, such that on a length scale much greater than the cellular size, they behave as a homogeneous material with different properties from the original constituent materials. In the most interesting cases they exhibit properties that are not found in natural homogeneous materials and are not even intermediate between the properties (mechanical or optical) of the constituents. An enormous literature on metamaterials is available, and is mostly focused on electromagnetic, acoustic, or elastic waves. Recently, metamaterials (including effects like cloaking) have been studied in the context of water waves [3, 18]. In this context a periodic medium is introduced by periodic variation of the bottom elevation (bathymetry). Analysis of the linear propagation of water waves over periodic bathymetry is facilitated through perturbation theory; see for instance the recent works [16, 19, 15] for specific application to water waves and earlier works such as [24, 21] for more general analysis of linear waves.

The nonlinear behavior of waves in periodic structures has received relatively less attention. Indeed, the analysis of the properties of acoustic metamaterials is in general based on linear or linearized equations, so that it is assumed that the displacement, or any type of signal, is sufficiently small so that linear theory can be used, or that nonlinear effects can be computed by perturbation methods, still assuming sufficiently small signals. However, in real-world settings there are often situations in which nonlinear effects are crucial and cannot be neglected.

Perturbation techniques are also commonly used to study weakly nonlinear effects, thus capturing essential features of the phenomenon, without the complexity of the full nonlinear system. In [14], propagation of waves in a layered elastic medium has been studied. The medium is formed by a large number of alternating layers of two materials, each one with its unperturbed density and strain-stress relation. All layers of the same material have the same unperturbed thickness. Under the assumption that the period of the unperturbed multimedia (i.e., the thickness of a double layer) is considerably smaller than the wavelength, detailed numerical computation shows the appearance of wave patterns typical of dispersive waves. Using perturbation methods, the authors were able to derive effective equations for the propagation of waves in a homogenized medium, which are in good agreement with the detailed numerical simulation of the wave propagation in the multilayer system. The agreement improves if more terms are included in the perturbation expansion.

---

\*david.ketcheson@kaust.edu.sa, Applied Mathematics and Computational Science, CEMSE Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, 23955-6900, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

†LLoczi@inf.elte.hu, Department of Numerical Analysis, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány P. s. 1/C, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary, and Department of Analysis and Operations Research, BME Budapest University of Technology and Economics

‡giovanni.russo@unict.it, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Catania, Viale A. Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

Multilayered fluids have also been considered in the literature. In [17], for example, the behaviour of a large number of pairs of layers is studied numerically. Each pair is formed by two different fluids, each one treated as a stiffened gas with its own standard density  $\rho$ , adiabatic exponent  $\gamma$ , and a constant  $\Pi$  which determines the stiffness of the fluid, and which is sometimes called attractive pressure [5]. In the paper it is shown that a simple isentropic homogeneous model is able to capture the behavior of the solution to the multilayer problem, but only before shocks develop.

Water waves present an interesting scenario for the study of nonlinear waves in a periodic medium. Here the periodicity is introduced through variation in the bathymetry, or bottom elevation. This is the subject of the present work. In order to understand possible dispersive effects introduced by the bathymetry, separate from other dispersive effects present in water waves over a flat bottom, we start from the non-dispersive shallow water equations. We apply a perturbation technique similar to that employed in [14], with the goal of deriving a set of homogenized effective equations that approximate to high accuracy the solution of the variable-bathymetry shallow water equations.

In addition to the literature on water wave metamaterials mentioned already, many other previous works have examined the effect of bathymetry on water waves. Starting from the equations for irrotational, inviscid flow with a free surface, it has been shown that solitary waves in shallow water over periodic bathymetry obey a KdV-type equation with modified velocity and dispersion [23]. Effective dispersion of shallow water waves over periodic bathymetry was also studied in [22], where the configuration of the bathymetry to the waves is rotated by 90 degrees relative to what is studied in the present work. Here we focus on waves that are (somewhat) long relative to the bathymetric variation; in [2], the authors study the opposite relative scaling, in which the waves oscillate much more rapidly than the bathymetry. Multiple-scale analysis was also applied to the shallow water equations in [25], with flat bathymetry and a rapidly-oscillating boundary.

The plan of the paper is the following. The next subsection is devoted to the description of the problem set-up. In Section 2 we perform the multiple-scale analysis which leads to the effective equations to various order in the small parameter. In Section 4 we perform comparisons with a detailed numerical solution of Saint-Venant equations over periodic bathymetry. In the last section we draw conclusions and discuss perspective for future work.

The analysis in Section 2 is facilitated by a certain averaging operator  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ , defined therein. In the Appendix, we state and prove several properties of this operator that are essential in simplifying the analysis.

**1.1. Model equations and assumptions.** In this work we study the shallow water wave (or Saint-Venant) model:

$$(1.1a) \quad h_t + (hu)_x = 0$$

$$(1.1b) \quad (hu)_t + \left( hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2 \right)_x = -ghb_x,$$

where  $h(x, t)$  and  $u(x, t)$  denote, respectively the water depth and the depth-averaged velocity,  $b(x)$  denotes the bottom elevation (bathymetry), and subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to the corresponding variables. We are interested in the behavior of waves propagating over periodic bathymetry with period  $\delta$ :

$$b(x + \delta) = b(x).$$

We focus on waves whose wavelength is long relative to  $\delta$ , and scenarios in which the variation in  $b(x)$  is of the same order as the overall depth (but not so large that dry states appear). The notation and scales involved are depicted in Figure 1.1. To facilitate the analysis that will follow, in this work we assume that  $b(x)$  is continuously differentiable. However, numerical experiments suggest that the regularity of  $b$  has little effect on the qualitative behavior discussed herein. Throughout the paper we use SI units for all physical quantities. In Figure 1.2 we show an example of the surprising behavior exhibited by waves under these conditions. Here we take  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\eta^0 = 0$ , and

$$b(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & 0 \leq x - [x] < 1/2, \\ -3/10 & 1/2 \leq x - [x] < 1. \end{cases}$$

The initial velocity  $u$  is zero, while the initial water depth  $h$  is a Gaussian pulse of amplitude  $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ , which splits into two symmetric pulses. The figures show the evolution of the right-going pulse. Whereas




FIG. 1.1. *Depiction of notational conventions used in this work*

solutions of (1.1) (like those of other first-order hyperbolic systems) generically exhibit wave breaking after a short time, in this example the initial pulse breaks up into a train of what are apparently traveling waves. These appear to be globally attractive and stable solitary waves, similar to those observed in other hyperbolic systems with periodic coefficients [14, 20, 22]. For comparison, we plot in blue the solution obtained with a flat bottom, which shows the expected behavior of  $N$ -wave formation and subsequent decay.

In this work we seek to explain and further understand this behavior. We employ a multiple-scale analysis following a technique pioneered by Yong and coauthors [26, 14]. This leads to a constant-coefficient wave equation that includes a dispersive term, indicating that the periodic bathymetry induces an effective dispersion of long waves, similar to what has been found for other hyperbolic systems with periodically-varying coefficients [14]. After deriving this *homogenized* equation in Section 2, we investigate its properties in Section 3 and compare numerical solutions of the first-order and homogenized equations in Section 4. We also investigate the properties and dynamics of the solitary wave solutions appearing in this system.

Code to reproduce all time-dependent simulation results in this paper can be found online<sup>1</sup>.

**2. Multiple-scale analysis.** We begin by rewriting the shallow water system (1.1) in terms of the surface elevation  $\eta = h + b$  and the discharge  $q = hu$ :

$$(2.1a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0$$

$$(2.1b) \quad q_t + \left( \frac{q^2}{\eta - b} \right)_x + g(\eta - b)\eta_x = 0.$$

We will exploit a separation of spatial scales, and the advantage of working with  $q$  instead of  $u$  is that the velocity  $u$  tends to vary as rapidly (in space) as  $b$ , whereas the discharge  $q$  can be slowly-varying even when  $b$  is rapidly-varying. In the derivation of the equations we shall act formally, assuming sufficient regularity of the functions. Furthermore, the solutions in which we are most interested appear not to contain shocks, as we will see. For this reason it is not essential to work with a conservative version of the equations.

We introduce a small parameter  $\delta$  and fast spatial scale  $y = x/\delta$ . The two spatial scales are treated formally as independent variables, so that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \delta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

We assume there exists a power series expansion for each in terms of  $\delta$ :

$$(2.3a) \quad \eta = \eta^0(x, t) + \delta\eta^1(x, t, y) + \delta^2\eta^2(x, t, y) + \dots$$

$$(2.3b) \quad q = q^0(x, t) + \delta q^1(x, t, y) + \delta^2 q^2(x, t, y) + \dots$$

<sup>1</sup>[https://github.com/ketch/shallow\\_water\\_bathymetry\\_effective\\_medium\\_RR](https://github.com/ketch/shallow_water_bathymetry_effective_medium_RR)




FIG. 1.2. Evolution of an initial Gaussian pulse over periodic bathymetry. The surface elevation is shown, measured in meters. For comparison, the dashed blue line shows the solution for flow over a flat bottom.

Here superscripts on  $\eta$  and  $q$  are indices, while superscripts on other quantities are exponents. We follow this convention through the remainder of Section 2. When powers of these quantities are needed, we will use parentheses; e.g.,  $(q^1)^2$ . All functions appearing in (2.3) are assumed to be periodic in  $y$ , with period 1, so that the integral of any  $y$ -derivative over one period must vanish.

As we will see below, it turns out that  $\eta^0$  is constant. It is therefore convenient to introduce the function

$$H(y) := \eta^0 - b(y).$$

We assume throughout the paper that  $H(y) > 0$  and note that  $b'(y) = -H'(y)$ ; see Figure 1.1.

Next we make the above substitutions in (2.1) and collect terms for each power of  $\delta$ . We immediately see that there is only one term proportional to  $\delta^{-1}$ , coming from equation (2.1b):

$$\delta^{-1} \frac{(q^0)^2 H'(y)}{(\eta - b)^2}.$$

This implies that  $q^0 = 0$ , i.e.,  $q = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$ . Taking this into account, the expansion of (2.1) takes the form

$$(2.4a) \quad \eta_t^0 + q_y^1 + \delta (q_y^2 + q_x^1 + \eta_t^1) + \delta^2 (q_y^3 + q_x^2 + \eta_t^2) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$$

$$g(\eta_x^0 + \eta_y^1) H + \delta (q_t^1 + 2q^1 q_y^1 H^{-1} + g((\eta_x^0 + \eta_y^1)\eta^1 + (\eta_x^1 + \eta_y^2)H) - (q^1)^2 H' H^{-2}) \\ + \delta^2 (2q^1 q_x^1 H^{-2} + q_t^2 + 2((q^2 - q^1 \eta^1 H^{-1})q_y^1 + q^1 q_y^2) H^{-1} - (q^1)^2 \eta_y^1 H^{-2})$$

$$(2.4b) \quad + g((\eta_x^0 + \eta_y^1)\eta^2 + (\eta_x^1 + \eta_y^2)\eta^1 + (\eta_x^2 + \eta_y^3)H) - 2(q^2 - q^1 \eta^1 H^{-1})q^1 H' H^{-2} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3).$$

Here to save space we have displayed only the terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ ; in what follows we will make use of these expansions to even higher order. As we can see, the first equation has a simple structure, but the second equation has a rapidly-increasing number of terms at each order. In what follows, we repeat the following steps (following a process developed and applied earlier in [26, 25, 14]):

1. Equate terms of the same order in  $\delta$  and solve for the terms with highest index;
2. Average the resulting equations with respect to  $y$ ;
3. Integrate to find formulas for the highest-index variables in terms of  $y$ -averages of lower-index variables and the function  $H(y)$ .

Eventually we will obtain equations for the  $y$ -averages of  $\eta$  and  $q$ , by summing the result obtained at each order in step 2. Step 3 is required in order to allow us to determine the  $y$ -dependence explicitly at subsequent orders.

**2.1. Averaging operators.** The following operators will appear frequently in our analysis. First, the integral (or average) of  $f$  over one period, denoted by  $\langle f \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as

$$\langle f \rangle := \int_0^1 f(y) dy.$$

Second, the fluctuating part of the function  $f$ , denoted by  $\{f\}$ , is defined as

$$\{f\}(y) := f(y) - \langle f \rangle.$$

Finally, the fluctuating part of the antiderivative of the fluctuating part, denoted by  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ , is defined for any  $y$  as

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket(y) := \left\{ \int_0^y \{f(\xi)\} d\xi \right\},$$

that is,

$$(2.5) \quad \llbracket f \rrbracket(y) = \int_0^y \{f\}(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \{f\}(\xi) d\xi d\tau.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\langle \{f\} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

Some useful properties of the  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  operator, which will be used throughout the paper, are provided in Appendix A.

REMARK 1. *We will often write  $\langle f \rangle$  even for functions  $f$  that are independent of  $y$  a priori, in order to emphasize which factors do not depend on  $y$ . Also, note that while  $\langle f \rangle$  is  $y$ -independent,  $\{f\}$  and  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  depend on  $y$ .*

**2.2.  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^0)$ .** We now follow the 3 steps outlined above at each order, starting with terms proportional to  $\delta^0$ . Equating these terms and solving for the highest-index terms (step 1) yields

$$(2.6a) \quad -q_y^1 = \eta_t^0$$

$$(2.6b) \quad -\eta_y^1 = \eta_x^0.$$

Next (step 2) we integrate the equations above over one period with respect to  $y$ . The left-hand-sides vanish, since they represent the  $y$ -integral (over one period) of the  $y$ -derivative of a periodic function. Thus we have  $\eta_t^0 = \eta_x^0 = 0$ , so  $\eta^0$  is a constant.

In this case we can skip step 3, since we see immediately that

$$q^1(x, t, y) = \langle q^1(x, t) \rangle$$

$$\eta^1(x, t, y) = \langle \eta^1(x, t) \rangle.$$

Thus the expansion (2.3) simplifies to

$$(2.7a) \quad \eta = \eta^0 + \delta \eta^1(x, t) + \delta^2 \eta^2(x, t, y) + \dots$$

$$(2.7b) \quad q = \delta q^1(x, t) + \delta^2 q^2(x, t, y) + \dots$$

We see that the waves in which we are interested occur as perturbations to a flat surface, still water state.

**2.3.  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^1)$ .** We proceed to follow the same steps, focusing on the next-order terms. Collecting terms proportional to  $\delta^1$  and solving for the highest-index terms (step 1) gives

$$(2.8a) \quad -q_y^2 = \eta_t^1 + q_x^1$$

$$(2.8b) \quad -\eta_y^2 = \eta_x^1 + \frac{q_t^1}{gH} - \frac{(q^1)^2 H'}{gH^3}.$$

Note that the additional terms appearing in (2.4) vanish because  $\eta_x^0 = 0$  and  $q^1$  and  $\eta^1$  do not depend on  $y$ . Next (step 2) we integrate both sides of the above equations over one period in  $y$ . The integral of the left hand side vanishes since all functions are periodic in  $y$ :

$$(2.9a) \quad \langle \eta_t^1 \rangle + \langle q_x^1 \rangle = 0$$

$$(2.9b) \quad \langle \eta_x^1 \rangle + \frac{\langle H^{-1} \rangle}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle - \frac{\langle H' H^{-3} \rangle}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2 = 0.$$

Note that  $\eta^1 = \langle \eta^1 \rangle$ ,  $q^1 = \langle q^1 \rangle$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  commutes with space and time derivatives, so  $\eta_t^1 = \langle \eta_t^1 \rangle$ ,  $q_x^1 = \langle q_x^1 \rangle$ , and that Eq. (2.9b) can be simplified since  $\langle H' H^{-3} \rangle = 0$  as it is the average of the derivative of a periodic function (see property (A.1) in the Appendix). So system (2.9) simplifies to

$$(2.10a) \quad \langle \eta_t^1 \rangle + \langle q_x^1 \rangle = 0$$

$$(2.10b) \quad \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{g}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \langle \eta_x^1 \rangle = 0.$$

This is simply the linear wave equation, with sound speed

$$c = \sqrt{g / \langle H^{-1} \rangle},$$

which is an averaged version of the usual characteristic speed  $\sqrt{gh}$  for gravity waves in shallow water.

Finally we carry out step 3. Subtracting (2.8) from (2.9) yields

$$q_y^2 = 0$$

$$\eta_y^2 = -\frac{\{H^{-1}\}}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{\{H' H^{-3}\}}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2.$$

Next we integrate with respect to  $y$ , obtaining

$$\int_0^y q_s^2(x, t, s) ds = q^2(x, t, y) - q^2(x, t, 0) = 0 \implies q^2 = \langle q^2 \rangle$$

and

$$\int_0^y \eta_s^2(x, t, s) ds = \int_0^y \left( -\frac{\{H(s)^{-1}\}}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{\{H'(s)H(s)^{-3}\}}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2 \right) ds;$$

therefore

$$\eta^2(x, t, y) = \eta^2(x, t, 0) + \int_0^y \left( -\frac{\{H(s)^{-1}\}}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{\{H'(s)H(s)^{-3}\}}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2 \right) ds.$$

Subtracting the mean we obtain

$$\eta^2 = \langle \eta^2 \rangle - \frac{\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{\llbracket H' H^{-3} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2.$$

In summary we have obtained (also simplifying the last term a bit more)

$$(2.11a) \quad q^2 = \langle q^2 \rangle$$

$$(2.11b) \quad \eta^2 = \langle \eta^2 \rangle - \frac{\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \frac{\llbracket H' H^{-3} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2 = \langle \eta^2 \rangle - \frac{\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q_t^1 \rangle - \frac{\{H^{-2}\}}{2g} \langle q^1 \rangle^2.$$

From (2.11) we see that  $\eta^2$  depends on the fast scale  $y$ , while  $q^2$  does not.

**2.4.  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ .** The  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$  equations are

$$(2.12a) \quad -q_y^3 = \eta_t^2 + \langle q_x^2 \rangle$$

$$(2.12b) \quad -\eta_y^3 = \eta_x^2 + H^{-1} \langle \eta^1 \rangle (\langle \eta_x^1 \rangle + \eta_y^2) \\ + g^{-1} \left( 2H'H^{-4} \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q^1 \rangle^2 + H^{-1} \langle q_t^2 \rangle - 2H'H^{-3} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q^2 \rangle + 2H^{-2} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q_x^1 \rangle \right).$$

Next we want to write the right-hand side of (2.12) in terms of only  $H(y)$  and quantities that are independent of  $y$ . Therefore we use (2.11b) and (2.8b) to replace  $\eta^2$  and  $\eta_y^2$ , obtaining

$$(2.13a) \quad -q_y^3 = \langle \eta_t^2 \rangle - \frac{\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket}{g} \langle q_{tt}^1 \rangle - \frac{\{H^{-2}\}}{2g} (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_t + \langle q_x^2 \rangle$$

$$(2.13b) \quad -g\eta_y^3 = g \langle \eta_x^2 \rangle - \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \langle q_{tx}^1 \rangle - \frac{\{H^{-2}\}}{2} (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_x - H^{-2} \langle \eta^1 \rangle \left( \langle q_t^1 \rangle - \frac{\langle q^1 \rangle^2 H'}{H^2} \right) \\ + 2H'H^{-4} \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q^1 \rangle^2 + H^{-1} \langle q_t^2 \rangle - 2H'H^{-3} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q^2 \rangle + 2H^{-2} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q_x^1 \rangle$$

$$(2.13c) \quad = g \langle \eta_x^2 \rangle - \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \langle q_{tx}^1 \rangle - \frac{\{H^{-2}\}}{2} (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_x - \frac{\langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q_t^1 \rangle}{H^2} + 3 \frac{\langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q^1 \rangle^2 H'}{H^4} \\ + H^{-1} \langle q_t^2 \rangle - 2H'H^{-3} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q^2 \rangle + 2H^{-2} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q_x^1 \rangle.$$

Next we integrate these equations over one period with respect to  $y$ . Several terms vanish due to the facts that  $\langle \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0$  by definition and property (A.1). The equations simplify to

$$(2.14a) \quad \langle \eta_t^2 \rangle + \langle q_x^2 \rangle = 0$$

$$(2.14b) \quad \langle q_t^2 \rangle + \frac{g}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \langle \eta_x^2 \rangle - \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q_t^1 \rangle + 2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q_x^1 \rangle = 0.$$

To obtain (2.14b) we have additionally divided by  $\langle H^{-1} \rangle$ , as this form will be convenient later. Subtracting (2.13) from (2.14) (but without dividing by  $\langle H^{-1} \rangle$  in (2.14b)) and integrating from zero to  $y$  gives

$$(2.15a) \quad q^3(x, t, y) = \langle q^3(x, t) \rangle + \frac{\llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket}{g} \langle q_{tt}^1 \rangle + \frac{\llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket}{2g} (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_t$$

$$(2.15b) \quad g\eta^3(x, t, y) = g \langle \eta^3(x, t) \rangle + \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \langle q_{tx}^1 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_x + \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q_t^1 \rangle + \{H^{-3}\} \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q^1 \rangle^2 \\ - \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \langle q_t^2 \rangle - \{H^{-2}\} \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q^2 \rangle - 2 \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \langle q^1 \rangle \langle q_x^1 \rangle.$$

**2.5.  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$  governing equations.** Adding  $\delta$  times (2.10) with  $\delta^2$  times (2.14), we obtain the approximations

$$(2.16a) \quad \delta(\langle \eta^1 \rangle + \delta \langle \eta^2 \rangle)_t + \delta(\langle q^1 \rangle + \delta \langle q^2 \rangle)_x = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$$

$$(2.16b) \quad \frac{\langle H^{-1} \rangle}{g} \delta(\langle q^1 \rangle + \delta \langle q^2 \rangle)_t + \delta(\langle \eta^1 \rangle + \delta \langle \eta^2 \rangle)_x - \delta^2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{g} \left( \langle \eta^1 \rangle \langle q_t^1 \rangle - (\langle q^1 \rangle^2)_x \right) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3).$$

Defining the averaged variables

$$(2.17a) \quad \bar{\eta} = \langle \eta^1 \rangle + \delta \langle \eta^2 \rangle + \dots$$

$$(2.17b) \quad \bar{q} = \langle q^1 \rangle + \delta \langle q^2 \rangle + \dots,$$

we can write (2.16) as

$$(2.18a) \quad \delta(\bar{\eta}_t + \bar{q}_x) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$$

$$(2.18b) \quad \delta \left( \frac{\langle H^{-1} \rangle}{g} \bar{q}_t + \bar{\eta}_x \right) + \delta^2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{g} (-\bar{\eta} \bar{q}_t + (\bar{q}^2)_x) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3).$$

Order $\delta^p$	$q_y^p$ terms	$\eta_y^p$ terms
$p = 1$	2	3
$p = 2$	5	8
$p = 3$	14	37
$p = 4$	62	138
$p = 5$	239	653

TABLE 2.1

Number of terms appearing in the equations for  $q_y^p$  and  $\eta_y^p$  as a function of the order  $p$ . Terms are counted after collecting like terms (those with the same product of  $q$ ,  $\eta$ , and their derivatives) but before any further simplification.

Note that superscripts on  $\bar{\eta}$  and  $\bar{q}$ , denote exponents. Indeed, as we will not need to work directly with the expansion (2.3) any more, all superscripts in the rest of the paper are exponents. We see that  $\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}$  approximately satisfy a hyperbolic equation with weak nonlinearity. Using  $\bar{q}_t = -c^2 \bar{\eta}_x + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$ , the last equation can be rewritten as

$$\delta(\bar{q}_t + c^2 \bar{\eta}_x) + \delta^2 \left( \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} (\bar{q}^2)_x + g \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x \right) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^3),$$

which is an averaged version of the original equation (2.1b).

**2.6. Higher-order homogenized equations.** Following a similar (though increasingly cumbersome) procedure, we analyze the terms proportional to  $\delta^3, \delta^4$ , and  $\delta^5$ . The details are not included here as the expressions become increasingly lengthy. The number of terms appearing in the equations at each order (before simplification) is listed in Table 2.1. We have used Wolfram *Mathematica* to perform the calculations, and the notebook that contains them is included in the supplementary material. Properties of the operator  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  proved in Appendix A are essential in simplifying the lengthy expressions that arise. Summing the terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$ , we obtain the following equations

$$(2.19a) \quad \delta(\bar{\eta}_t + \bar{q}_x) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^6)$$

$$(2.19b) \quad \delta(\bar{q}_t + c^2 \bar{\eta}_x) + \delta^2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} ((\bar{q}^2)_x - \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_t) + \delta^3 \left( -\frac{\mu}{c^2} \bar{q}_{ttt} - \frac{\alpha_2}{c^2} \bar{q}^2 \bar{q}_t - \frac{\langle H^{-3} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \bar{\eta} (2(\bar{q}^2)_x - \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_t) \right) + \delta^4 \left( \frac{\hat{\alpha}_4}{c^2} \bar{q}^3 \bar{q}_x + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_6}{c^2} \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_t - 2 \frac{\gamma}{c^2} (2\bar{q}_x \bar{q}_{tt} - \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_{ttt}) + \frac{\langle H^{-4} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} \bar{\eta}^2 (3(\bar{q}^2)_x - \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_t) \right)$$

$$(2.19c) \quad + \delta^5 \left( \frac{\nu_1}{c^4} \bar{q}_{ttttt} + \frac{\nu_2}{c^2} \bar{q}_{xxttt} + \hat{F}(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}) \right) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^6),$$

where  $\hat{F}(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q})$  is a function that depends on  $\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}$  and its derivatives (see Appendix B); all terms of  $\hat{F}$  are nonlinear. Expressions for the coefficients of this equation are also given in Appendix B. We have explicitly written out the linear 5th-order terms because these turn out to have the most significant effect on the solution, and also affect the linear dispersion relation as discussed below. It can be shown that  $\alpha_1 < 0$ ,  $\alpha_2 < 0$ , and  $\alpha_3 \leq 0$  (see Remark 4), and also that  $\mu > 0$  (see (B.1a)).

**2.7. Alternative forms of the homogenized equations.** The homogenized system (2.19), as written, is inconvenient for two reasons. First, the equations include high-order time derivatives, whereas the shallow water equations we started from are first-order in time. In principle this could be remedied by introducing additional variables representing time derivatives of the dependent quantities. However, a second and more serious issue is that these equations exhibit a linear instability at low wavenumbers, as explained in Section 3. One way to remedy this is to convert all higher-order time derivatives to space derivatives, as was done in [14]. This is accomplished by differentiating the equations and using equality of mixed partial



derivatives, keeping again only terms up to the desired order in  $\delta$ :

$$(2.20a) \quad \delta(\bar{\eta}_t + \bar{q}_x) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$$

$$(2.20b) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta(\bar{q}_t + c^2 \bar{\eta}_x) + \delta^2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} (c^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x + (\bar{q}^2)_x) \\ & + \delta^3 (c^2 \mu \bar{\eta}_{xxx} + \alpha_1 \bar{q} \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_x + \alpha_2 \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta}_x + g \alpha_3 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{\eta}_x) \\ & + \delta^4 \left( \frac{\alpha_4}{g} \bar{q}^3 \bar{q}_x + \alpha_5 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{q} \bar{q}_x + \alpha_6 \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x + g \alpha_7 \bar{\eta}^3 \bar{\eta}_x \right. \\ & \left. + \hat{\alpha}_8 \bar{q}_x \bar{q}_{xx} + g \hat{\alpha}_9 \bar{\eta}_x \bar{\eta}_{xx} + g \hat{\alpha}_{10} \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_{xxx} + \hat{\alpha}_{11} \bar{q} \bar{q}_{xxx} \right) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^5), \end{aligned}$$

where again coefficients are provided in Appendix B. The system (2.20) is linearly stable for small wavenumbers. However, it is unstable for sufficiently large wavenumbers (see Section 3). This problem is less severe, since we do not expect the homogenized equations to be valid for large wavenumbers in any case, and solutions of (2.20) agree reasonably well with solutions of the original shallow water equations.

However, it is possible to obtain a system that is linearly stable for all wavenumbers (and equivalent to the systems above up to the given order in  $\delta$ ). This can be accomplished by rewriting the linear term proportional to  $q_{ttt}$  in terms of  $q_{xxt}$  (again using equality of mixed partial derivatives). It is convenient to write the nonlinear terms with high-order derivatives in terms of spatial derivatives only; we are free to do this since they do not affect the linear dispersion relation. In this way we obtain the system:

$$(2.21a) \quad \delta(\bar{\eta}_t + \bar{q}_x) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^6)$$

$$(2.21b) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta(\bar{q}_t + c^2 \bar{\eta}_x) + \delta^2 \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} (c^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x + (\bar{q}^2)_x) \\ & + \delta^3 (-\mu \bar{q}_{xxt} + \alpha_1 \bar{q} \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_x + \alpha_2 \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta}_x + g \alpha_3 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{\eta}_x) \\ & + \delta^4 \left( \frac{\alpha_4}{g} \bar{q}^3 \bar{q}_x + \alpha_5 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{q} \bar{q}_x + \alpha_6 \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x + g \alpha_7 \bar{\eta}^3 \bar{\eta}_x \right. \\ & \left. + \alpha_8 (2 \bar{q}_x \bar{q}_{xx} + c^2 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_{xxx}) + \alpha_9 (5 c^2 \bar{\eta}_x \bar{\eta}_{xx} + 2 \bar{q} \bar{q}_{xxx}) \right) \\ & + \delta^5 (\nu_1 + \nu_2 - \mu^2) \bar{q}_{xxxxt} + F(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}) = \mathcal{O}(\delta^6), \end{aligned}$$

where again coefficients and the details of  $F$  are provided in Appendix B.

### 3. Properties of the homogenized equations.

**3.1. Dispersion relations.** In this section we study the dispersion relation of the systems (2.19), (2.20), and (2.21). For simplicity of notation we drop the average sign on  $\eta$  and  $q$ .

We start by considering the first form of the homogenized system (2.19), but neglecting the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  terms. We linearize around the constant state  $(\eta_0, q_0) = (0, 0)$ , obtaining the system

$$(3.1a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad q_t + c^2 \eta_x - \frac{\hat{\mu}}{c^2} q_{ttt} = 0,$$

where  $\hat{\mu} = \delta^2 \mu$ . Now we look for solutions of system (3.1) as a superposition of Fourier modes of the form  $\eta(x, t) = \hat{\eta} \exp(i(kx - \omega t))$ ,  $q(x, t) = \hat{q} \exp(i(kx - \omega t))$ , where  $i$  denotes the imaginary unit. Inserting this *ansatz* in (3.1) we obtain:

$$(3.2a) \quad -i\omega \hat{\eta} + ik \hat{q} = 0$$

$$(3.2b) \quad -i\omega \hat{q} + ikc^2 \hat{\eta} - i \frac{\hat{\mu}}{c^2} \omega^3 \hat{q} = 0.$$

Non-trivial solutions of (3.2) are obtained if the determinant of the coefficient matrix is zero, which is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad \omega^2 + \frac{\hat{\mu}}{c^2} \omega^4 - c^2 k^2 = 0.$$

This equation is the dispersion relation for the linearized system (3.1). In order to reduce the number of parameters, we divide this relation by  $c^2k^2$ , obtaining the dispersion relation in non-dimensional form:

$$(3.4) \quad \Omega^2 + K^2\Omega^4 - 1 = 0,$$

where

$$\Omega = \frac{\omega}{ck}, \quad K = k\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}.$$

Note that  $\hat{\mu} > 0$  so that  $K$  is real. System (3.1) requires four initial conditions, namely one has to assign  $\eta(x, 0), q(x, 0), q_t(x, 0), q_{tt}(x, 0)$ , which correspond to four initial values for each Fourier mode. This means that for each wave number  $k$  there will be four linearly-independent solutions, one for each solution of the biquadratic equation (3.4). The four roots are given by the two equations

$$\Omega^2 = Z_+, \quad \Omega^2 = Z_-$$

where

$$Z_{\pm} = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4K^2}}{2K^2}$$

therefore the two roots of  $\Omega^2 = Z_+$  will be real and the two roots of  $\Omega^2 = Z_-$  will be imaginary conjugate. The existence of a root with positive coefficient of the imaginary part indicates that the initial value problem for system (3.1) is ill-posed. For this reason the homogenized systems in the form (2.19) are not very useful for any practical purpose.

We now consider the second form of homogenized system, namely (2.20). Linearizing around  $(\eta_0, q_0) = (0, 0)$  we obtain the system

$$(3.5a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0,$$

$$(3.5b) \quad q_t + c^2\eta_x + c^2\hat{\mu}\eta_{xxx} = 0.$$

Using the same *ansatz* as before, i.e.,  $\eta(x, t) = \hat{\eta} \exp(i(kx - \omega t))$ , inserting it in system (3.5), and looking for non-trivial solutions gives the following dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 - c^2k^2 + \hat{\mu}c^2k^4 = 0,$$

or in non-dimensional form

$$(3.6) \quad \Omega^2 + K^2 = 1.$$

Solving for  $\Omega$  we find

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{1 - K^2}.$$

This means that the dispersion relation corresponding to the second form of the system allows only bounded wavenumbers: the non-dimensional form requires  $|K| \leq 1$ , which corresponds to

$$|k| \leq k_{\max} \equiv \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{\hat{\mu}}}.$$

Since we do not expect the homogenized approximation to be accurate for such large wavenumbers, it is possible to work with this form of the equations, as is done for instance in [14]. However, we prefer the third form of the equations, given in (2.21) for reasons that will soon be apparent.

The third form of the homogenized model equation is reported in (2.21). Once again neglecting terms of  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  and linearizing around  $(\eta_0, q_0) = (0, 0)$ , we obtain

$$(3.7a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0,$$

$$(3.7b) \quad q_t + c^2\eta_x - \hat{\mu}q_{xxt} = 0.$$

Using the same *ansatz* as before, i.e.,  $\eta(x, t) = \hat{\eta} \exp(i(kx - \omega t))$ , inserting it in system (3.7), and looking for non-trivial solutions gives the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 - c^2k^2 + \hat{\mu}\omega^2k^2 = 0,$$




FIG. 3.1. Non-dimensional dispersion relations corresponding to the three forms of the linearized homogenized systems (2.19), (2.20), and (2.21).

which can be written in non-dimensional form after dividing by  $c^2 k^2$ :

$$(3.8) \quad \Omega^2(1 + K^2) - 1 = 0.$$

Solving for  $\Omega$  one obtains

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + K^2}},$$

therefore  $\forall K \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ , therefore there are no unstable modes or forbidden wave numbers.

A plot of the dispersion relation corresponding to the positive branches of relations (3.6) and (3.8), and to the real positive branch of (3.4) is shown in Figure 3.1. Notice that, as expected, for small values of  $K$ , all branches give

$$\Omega = 1 - \frac{K^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(K^4),$$

which corresponds to the dispersion relation  $\omega = ck - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}ck^3 + \mathcal{O}(k^5)$ .

Finally, we consider the linearization of system (2.21) including the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  terms. The linearized system reads

$$(3.9a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0,$$

$$(3.9b) \quad q_t + c^2 \eta_x - \hat{\mu} q_{xxt} + (\hat{\nu}^2 - \hat{\mu}^2) q_{xxxxt} = 0,$$

where  $\hat{\nu}^2 = \delta^4(\nu_1 + \nu_2)$ . Proceeding as before, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$\omega^2(1 + \hat{\mu}k^2 + (\hat{\nu}^2 - \hat{\mu}^2)k^4) - c^2 k^2 = 0.$$

Using the notation defined above, the relation can be written as

$$\Omega_{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + K^2 + rK^4}}$$

where  $r = (\nu_1 + \nu_2)/\mu^2 - 1$ . We see that all wavenumbers are stable if  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 > \mu^2$ ; this condition is fulfilled in all examples we have studied, including those shown in the next section. Indeed, it can be proved that this condition is satisfied for any piecewise-constant bathymetry; see Appendix B.1.

**3.2. Traveling wave solutions.** All three model systems, (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21), admit traveling wave solutions; this is the case whether or not we include the 4th- and 5th-order terms. Here we describe how to construct periodic solutions of system (2.21). We focus on this form of the equations since it is the most amenable for numerical simulations.

**3.2.1. Traveling wave to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$ .** We start describing how to compute a traveling wave for system (2.21), accounting for terms up to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$ . To this purpose we adopt a common technique that can be found in textbooks such as [6]. We start by rewriting system (2.21) in the form

$$(3.10a) \quad \eta_t + q_x = 0$$

$$(3.10b) \quad q_t + c^2 \eta_x + \hat{\beta}_1 \eta \eta_x + \hat{\beta}_2 (q^2)_x - \hat{\beta}_3 q \eta q_x - \hat{\beta}_4 q^2 \eta_x - \hat{\beta}_5 \eta^2 \eta_x - \hat{\mu} q_{xxt} = 0,$$

where

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \delta \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_2 c^2, \quad \hat{\beta}_3 = -\delta^2 \alpha_1, \quad \hat{\beta}_4 = -\delta^2 \alpha_2, \quad \hat{\beta}_5 = -g \delta^2 \alpha_3, \quad \hat{\mu} = \delta^2 \mu.$$

Now we look for a solution that depends only on  $\xi \equiv x - Vt$ , where  $V$  is the desired traveling wave speed. Assuming then  $\eta = \eta(\xi)$ ,  $q = q(\xi)$ , the first equation becomes

$$-V\eta' + q' = 0,$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $\xi$ . This equation immediately tells us that  $q = q_0 + V(\eta - \eta_0)$ . We consider waves propagating on a still, flat-surface background, so that as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $q = 0$  and we can choose the vertical reference point so that  $\eta = 0$  in the unperturbed state. Thus we take  $\eta_0 = q_0 = 0$ . Replacing  $q$  by  $V\eta$ , the second equation becomes:

$$(3.11) \quad -V^2 \eta' + c^2 \eta' + \hat{\beta}_1 \eta \eta' + V^2 \hat{\beta}_2 (\eta^2)' - V^2 \hat{\beta}_3 \eta^2 \eta' - \hat{\beta}_4 V^2 \eta^2 \eta' - \hat{\beta}_5 \eta^2 \eta' + V^2 \hat{\mu} \eta''' = 0.$$

Observing that  $\eta \eta' = (\eta^2)'/2$ , and  $\eta^2 \eta' = (\eta^3)'/3$ , after changing sign to all terms in (3.11), the equation can be written as

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} (\gamma_1 \eta - \gamma_2 \eta^2 + \gamma_3 \eta^3 - V^2 \hat{\mu} \eta'') = 0,$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = V^2 - c^2, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\beta}_1 + V^2 \hat{\beta}_2, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{3} ((\hat{\beta}_3 + \hat{\beta}_4) V^2 + \hat{\beta}_5).$$

We can integrate once to obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \eta'' = F(\eta), \quad \text{with } F(\eta) \equiv (\gamma_1 \eta - \gamma_2 \eta^2 + \gamma_3 \eta^3 + A) / (\hat{\mu} V^2),$$

where  $A$  is an integration constant. Again, since  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $A = 0$ . Eq. (3.12) can be interpreted as Newton's second law of a particle of unit mass under the action of a positional force  $F(\eta)$ . Here  $\eta$  plays the role of the particle position and  $\xi$  represents the "time". This equation admits a first integral, which plays the role of the total energy: multiplying Eq. (3.12) by  $\eta'$  and integrating once more one obtains

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\eta')^2 + U(\eta) = E$$

with

$$(3.14) \quad U(\xi) = \left( -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{3} \gamma_2 \eta^3 - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_3 \eta^4 \right) / (\hat{\mu} V^2).$$

A typical shape of the potential (3.14) corresponding to  $\gamma_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, 3$  is shown in the top-left panel of Fig. 3.2, where the traveling waves are obtained from the dynamics of a point that moves in a potential well. Level set curves of constant "energy" are represented in the bottom-left panel. Integrating the equation of motion (3.12) with a point in phase space which lies inside the right dashed lobe one obtains an orbit  $(\eta(\xi), \eta'(\xi))$ . The expression of  $\eta(\xi)$  corresponds to a periodic traveling wave that moves with speed  $V$ . The solitary wave is a particular orbit that passes through the separatrix. It can be viewed as the limiting case of a periodic orbit as the total energy approaches zero, and the corresponding period tends to infinity. The panel on the right depicts the solitary wave corresponding to the separatrix. The separatrix has been computed by a symplectic integrator based on a Gauss-Legendre collocation method for the solution of the initial value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation of the form (3.12), using the package `gni_irk2` [8]; see also [9]. As initial condition we take a point very close to the origin, with  $\eta(0) = 10^{-9}$  and  $\eta'(0) = \sqrt{\gamma_1 / \hat{\mu}} \eta(0)$ , where  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu(V/c)^2$ .




FIG. 3.2. Typical potential for the “motion” of the particle. The first panel represents a typical potential  $U(\xi)$  of Eq. (3.2), while the second panel is a closeup of the first one in the area near the origin. If the total energy is less than zero and the initial position of the particle is located between 0 and  $\eta_C$  (second panel), then the motion is periodic. This case is described by the red curve, which corresponds to a “particle” oscillating between points A and B.  $\eta_A$  and  $\eta_B$  represent the minimum and the maximum of the periodic traveling wave, while the period is twice the “time” necessary to go from A to B. If the level of the energy approaches zero from below, then the period becomes longer and longer, up to the limit situation corresponding to the dashed line. In such a case the solution describes a solitary wave traveling with speed  $V$ . The third panel displays the trajectory in phase space. The red energy level corresponds to the periodic solution which oscillates between A and B. The dashed line represents the separatrix, whose right lobe corresponds to a solitary wave, which is reported in the right panel. Finally, the last panel shows the shape of the solitary wave as a function of  $\xi = x - Vt$ .

**3.2.2. Traveling wave to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$ .** Now we consider the more challenging problem of computing a traveling wave for system (2.21). Using the same *ansatz* adopted in the previous section, i.e., looking for a solution of the form  $\eta = \eta(x - Vt)$  and  $q = q(x - Vt)$ , we obtain, as before,  $q = V\eta$ . Replacing this in the second equation, one obtains the following equation for  $\eta$ :

$$-\gamma_1\eta' + 2\gamma_2\eta\eta' - 3\gamma_3\eta^2\eta' + 4\gamma_4\eta^3\eta' + 2\gamma_5\eta'\eta'' + 2\gamma_6\eta\eta''' + \hat{\mu}\eta''' - \hat{\nu}\eta^{(5)} = 0.$$

Integrating this equation we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad -\gamma_1\eta + \gamma_2\eta^2 - \gamma_3\eta^3 + \gamma_4\eta^4 + \gamma_5(\eta')^2 + \gamma_6(2\eta\eta'' - (\eta')^2) + \hat{\mu}\eta'' - \hat{\nu}\eta^{(4)} = A,$$

where  $A$  is a constant. Since we look for solutions that are perturbation of a constant state, and denote  $\eta$  the deviation from such a constant state, it follows that  $A = 0$ . The values of the additional coefficients are given by

$$\gamma_4 = \frac{\delta^3}{4} \left( \frac{\alpha_4}{g} V^4 + \alpha_5 V^2 + \alpha_6 V^2 + g\alpha_7 \right), \quad \gamma_5 = \delta^3 \left( \alpha_8 V^2 + \frac{5}{2}\alpha_9 c^2 \right),$$

$$\gamma_6 = \delta^3 \left( \frac{1}{2}\alpha_8 c^2 + \alpha_9 V^2 \right), \quad \hat{\nu} = \delta^4 V^2 (\nu_1 + \nu_2 - \mu^2).$$




FIG. 3.3. Comparison between the direct solution of the shallow water (SW) equations and the traveling wave solutions of the homogenized equations. Solutions are plotted as a function of time: the red line represents the value of  $\eta(\bar{x}, t - \tau)$ . The parameter  $\tau$  is adjusted to align the computed solution with the traveling wave solutions. The black line is the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$ -accurate solitary wave obtained by numerically integrating Eq. (3.12) along the separatrix, while the blue dashed line is accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$ , obtained by solving Eq. (3.15).

In this case the usual analogy with classical mechanics is not possible. Furthermore, the system does not seem to possess further first integrals. It cannot be written as a Hamiltonian system of a particle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , since the “forces” are not gradient of a potential. We therefore resort to a completely different technique in order to find the solution of the ODE (3.15) that corresponds to a traveling wave. We consider a domain which is sufficiently wide to contain the solitary wave, and such that the expected value of the traveling wave at the boundary of the domain is less than  $10^{-7}$ . Then we discretize the domain, approximate the derivatives by finite difference and solve a boundary-value problem with homogeneous Neumann and Dirichlet conditions at both ends, looking for non-trivial solutions. Notice that  $\eta \equiv 0$  is a trivial solution of (3.15). However, since the equation is nonlinear, it may have more solutions. Using Newton’s method with the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$  solitary wave as initial guess, we obtain the solution shown by a dashed line in Fig. 3.3.

Here we also plot a solitary wave obtained from the finite volume simulation of the shallow water equations (the solitary wave is plotted for a fixed value  $x$ , over time). Notice that the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  solitary wave is in much better agreement with the true solitary wave, compared to the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$  one. In computing both of the homogenized solitary waves, we have chosen the velocity  $V$  in order to yield an amplitude equal to that of the true solitary wave. This required choosing slightly different velocities in the two cases. Specifically, we take  $V_3 = c \times 1.023928$  for the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$  solitary wave, and  $V_5 = c \times 1.02327$ , so the solitary wave speed differs by less than 2.4% from the unperturbed wave speed, and the relative difference in the imposed traveling wave speeds is about 0.064%.

**4. Comparison of direct and homogenized solutions .** In this section we explore the accuracy of the homogenized approximation by comparing its numerical solutions to accurate solutions of the original system (1.1). We start by discussing the methods adopted for the numerical solution of both the original system (1.1) and the homogeneous system (2.21).

**4.1. Numerical discretization of the homogenized equations.** We solve the homogenized equations with a Fourier pseudo-spectral method. We use the mixed-derivative form of the equations (2.21), due to its favorable dispersion relation and also its convenience in terms of numerical discretization. We can write this system as

$$(4.1) \quad \bar{\eta}_t = -\bar{q}_x$$

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{q}_t = (1 - \delta^2 \mu \partial_x^2)^{-1} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a nonlinear operator that involves derivatives with respect to  $x$  only. We discretize  $\mathcal{F}$  in the standard pseudo-spectral way and then apply the inverse elliptic operator  $(1 - \delta^2 \mu \partial_x^2)^{-1}$  in Fourier space,

which does not require the solution of any algebraic system. The operator  $\mathcal{F}$  may appear to be stiff since it contains high-order spatial derivatives. However, these high-order terms have small coefficients and are nonlinear, which renders them relatively small for the weakly nonlinear regime in which we are interested. We can therefore integrate the pseudo-spectral semi-discretization of (4.1) efficiently with an explicit Runge–Kutta method. In the examples that follow, we use the fifth-order method of Bogacki and Shampine [4].

For the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  approximation, there are additional linear terms, with 5th-order derivatives, in the momentum equation. We convert these derivatives to be 4th-order in space and first order in time, and incorporate them into the elliptic operator. This results in a system of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{\eta}_t = -\bar{q}_x$$

$$(4.4) \quad \bar{q}_t = (1 - \delta^2 \mu \partial_x^2 - \delta^4 \mu_5 \partial_x^4)^{-1} \mathcal{F}_5(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}).$$

The operator  $F_5$  contains derivatives of up to fourth order, but again its stiffness is alleviated by the fact that these terms are highly nonlinear and have small coefficients. Thus, explicit time integration is efficient in this case also. For the spatial domain, we take  $x \in [-L, L]$  where  $L$  is chosen large enough that the waves do not reach the boundaries before the final time.

**4.2. Numerical methods for the variable-bathymetry shallow water system.** For the solution of the first-order variable-coefficient hyperbolic shallow water system (1.1) we use the finite volume code Clawpack. We make use of two different algorithms implemented in Clawpack:

- The *classic Clawpack* algorithm, based on the Lax–Wendroff method with limiters [13];
- The *SharpClaw* algorithm, based on 5th-order WENO reconstruction in space and 4th-order Runge–Kutta integration in time [11].

Accurate solution of this system requires a much finer spatial grid, in order to resolve the bathymetric variation and its effects. In order to save computational effort in the finite volume solutions, we take the spatial domain  $[0, L]$  with a reflecting (solid wall) boundary condition applied at  $x = 0$ .

**4.3. Accuracy and computational cost.** The main purpose of the computations shown here is to provide a visual comparison of the homogenized model solution and the shallow water solution. We therefore compute solutions that are sufficiently accurate so that further grid refinement would not produce any visible change in the plots. In practice we have overdone this by computing solutions that change by less than  $10^{-5}$  (absolute pointwise difference) when the grid is refined by a factor of two in both space and time.

With piecewise-constant bathymetry and a domain of length 800, reaching this level of error with a pseudospectral solver for the homogenized equations requires only 6 seconds of computation on an M1 Apple Macbook. Using SharpClaw to solve the shallow water equations, reaching this level of error requires about 20 minutes of computation. Thus the use of the homogenized model allows for a speedup of about 200x. Although computing the solution for a single scenario is quite feasible with either approach, the homogenized model is invaluable when exploring different parameter regimes to understand general solution behavior across a range of scenarios.

**4.4. Piecewise-constant bathymetry.** We consider first a slight variation on the example presented in the introduction, with bathymetry and initial data given by

$$(4.5a) \quad b(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & 0 \leq x - [x] < 1/2 \\ -0.3 & 1/2 \leq x - [x] < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.5b) \quad \eta(x, 0) = \frac{1}{40} e^{-x^2/9},$$

$$(4.5c) \quad u(x, 0) = 0.$$

In Figure 4.1, we compare the pseudospectral solution of the homogenized approximation, calculated up to terms of order three, four, or five, and the finite volume solution of the variable-bathymetry shallow water system (1.1). The solution of the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^4)$  system is not shown because it is nearly indistinguishable from that of the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^3)$  system.

We see that the homogenized solution is a good approximation at early times and becomes less accurate at later times, as expected. The fourth-order terms provide only a small improvement.




FIG. 4.1. Comparison of homogenized and direct solutions, with bathymetry and initial data given by (4.5). The surface elevation  $\eta - \eta^0$  is shown, with the  $x$ -axis shifted to show the wave structure at each time.

Indeed, we have found that the linear dispersive terms appearing at odd orders contribute much more significantly to the solution behavior, whereas the nonlinear terms contribute significantly less. Motivated by this, we have additionally computed just the linear terms appearing in the momentum equation at 7th order (as might be expected, there are no linear dispersive 6th-order terms). If these are included, the homogenized solution becomes even closer to the shallow water solution.

It is possible to recover also the fast-scale variation in the solution using the equations for  $\eta^j(x, y, t)$  and  $q^j(x, y, t)$ . The fast-scale components can be computed simply as a post-processing step, since they are functions of  $\bar{\eta}$  and  $\bar{q}$  (and their derivatives) and of  $H(y)$ . In Figure 4.2 we show the solution obtained using the  $\mathcal{O}(\delta^5)$  averaged equations plus the linear 7th-order terms, and then adding the fast-scale terms from (2.11b) and (2.15b). It can be seen that these terms capture most, though not all, of the finer-scale oscillations in the direct solution.

**4.5. Smooth bathymetry.** Next we take the same initial data as in (4.5), but we use the bathymetry profile

$$(4.6) \quad b(x) = -\frac{3}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \sin(2\pi x).$$

Numerical solutions are shown in Figure 4.3. We see that in this case the direct finite volume solution includes some high-wavenumber oscillations; convergence tests with different numerical methods indicate that these oscillations are part of the exact solution. The qualitative behavior is similar to that of the piecewise-constant case above: the accuracy of the homogenized approximation gradually diminishes over time, and the 5th-order approximation is more accurate than what is obtained with only the terms of up to 3rd or 4th order.






FIG. 4.2. Comparison of homogenized and direct solutions at  $t = 25.2$ , with bathymetry and initial data given by (4.5). The surface elevation  $\eta - \eta^0$  is shown. Both the averaged and full solutions of the homogenized equations are plotted.

In these examples we have deliberately chosen bathymetry and initial data that lead to solitary wave formation. For much larger initial data, or bathymetry with smaller variation relative to the average fluid depth, wave breaking occurs and the homogenized model fails to fully capture the solution dynamics. We do not investigate this further here but refer the reader to [10, 12] for related work on this topic.

**5. Conclusions and future work.** In this work we have shown that water waves over periodic bathymetry can be accurately described by an effective system of constant-coefficient equations derived via multiple-scale perturbation theory. The resulting equations are dispersive and possess periodic and solitary traveling-wave solutions. This is in contrast to typical solutions of the shallow water equations, which generally exhibit wave breaking. Nevertheless, numerical solutions of the shallow water equations in the presence of periodic bathymetry are in close agreement with solutions of the effective medium equations. Numerical solution of the effective equations can be drastically more efficient; using state-of-the-art discretizations for both the original shallow water equations and the effective homogenized equations, we have seen a speedup of more than two orders of magnitude by using the latter.

Although we have focused on scenarios that give rise to solitary waves, it should be emphasized that a number of other solution behaviors exist. In addition to that already-mentioned periodic traveling waves, for large initial data and/or small bathymetry variation, shock formation is observed; initial data consisting of a negative perturbation to a flat surface yield still other kinds of behavior that have yet to be explored. Even the solitary and periodic wave solutions are not true traveling waves as they are modulated by the periodic bathymetry; in this sense they resemble breathers. Further investigation of the structure of all of these types of solutions is an area for future work.

This study is similar in many ways to that of LeVeque & Yong [14], which was focused on the  $p$ -system. Indeed, the qualitative solution behaviors and the structure of the equations derived have much in common, even though periodicity comes into the equations in somewhat different ways. The Wolfram *Mathematica* code developed in the present work can be adapted in a very straightforward way to reproduce the results of [14], and such a reproduction is also included with the code written for this paper. We hope that this may facilitate similar analysis of other systems.

Finally, this work could be extended in important mathematical and physical directions, for instance by quantifying the space and time scales of validity of the various approximations, or starting from a more accurate water wave model that already includes dispersion.




FIG. 4.3. Comparison of homogenized and direct solutions, with smooth bathymetry (4.6). The surface elevation  $\eta = h + b$  is shown.

### Appendix A. Appendix.

Here, we recall the averaging functionals and operators introduced in [26], and prove some of their properties that we have used in our work.

In what follows, let  $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote some sufficiently smooth functions—continuous or continuously differentiable, guaranteeing the existence of the definite and indefinite integrals appearing below. We always assume that the compositions  $\varphi \circ f$  and  $\psi \circ f$  are defined on the whole interval  $[0, 1]$ .

**A.1. The functional  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ .** The integral average of  $f$  over the interval  $[0, 1]$ , denoted by  $\langle f \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as

$$\langle f \rangle := \int_0^1 f.$$

For functions satisfying  $f(0) = f(1)$ , we have

$$(A.1) \quad \langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot f' \rangle = 0,$$

since  $\int_0^1 ((\varphi \circ f) \cdot f') = \Phi(f(1)) - \Phi(f(0))$ , with  $\Phi$  denoting an antiderivative of  $\varphi$ .

**A.2. The operators  $\{ \cdot \}$  and  $[[ \cdot ]]$ .** The fluctuating part of the function  $f$ , denoted by  $\{f\} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as

$$\{f\} := f - \langle f \rangle.$$

Clearly, we have  $\langle \{f\} \rangle = 0$ .

In [26], the integral of the fluctuating part, denoted by  $\llbracket f \rrbracket : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is defined for any  $y \in [0, 1]$  as

$$\llbracket f \rrbracket(y) := \int_s^y \{f\}, \quad \text{where } s \text{ is chosen so that } \langle \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

(Note the typo in [26, Appendix A]: in their corresponding sentence “where  $s$  is chosen such that...” the symbol  $\{ \}$  should be replaced by  $\llbracket \rrbracket$ .) In the above—somewhat implicit—definition of  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ , one can think of  $s$  as choosing the constant of integration suitably:  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  is the antiderivative of  $\{f\}$  with zero mean. We can easily check that this operator can be rewritten more explicitly as our formula (2.5) in Section 2.1.

PROPOSITION 1. *We also have the representations*

$$(A.2) \quad \llbracket f \rrbracket(y) = \int_0^y f(\xi) d\xi + \left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right) \int_0^1 f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau f(\xi) d\xi d\tau$$

and

$$(A.3) \quad \llbracket f \rrbracket(y) = \int_0^y f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} + y - \xi\right) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

*Proof.* By using (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket f \rrbracket(y) &= \int_0^y (f(\xi) - \langle f \rangle) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau (f(\xi) - \langle f \rangle) d\xi d\tau = \\ &= \int_0^y f(\xi) d\xi - \langle f \rangle y - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau f(\xi) d\xi d\tau + \int_0^1 \langle f \rangle \tau d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

which is (A.2). To prove (A.3), let  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the antiderivative  $F(\tau) := \int_0^\tau f$ . Integration by parts then yields

$$\int F(\tau) d\tau = \int 1 \cdot F(\tau) d\tau = \tau F(\tau) - \int \tau f(\tau) d\tau,$$

hence

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^\tau f(\xi) d\xi d\tau = \int_0^1 F(\tau) d\tau = 1 \cdot F(1) - 0 \cdot F(0) - \int_0^1 \xi f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Plugging this into (A.2), we obtain (A.3).  $\square$

Next, we formulate some results implying that certain integral averages involving  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  vanish (cf. (A.1)). One such statement is found in [26], where it is proved that

$$(A.4) \quad \langle f \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

From this comes the identity

$$(A.5) \quad \langle f \llbracket g \rrbracket \rangle = -\langle \llbracket f \rrbracket g \rangle,$$

which is, essentially, a form of integration by parts.

PROPOSITION 2. *Assume that  $f(0) = f(1)$ . Then for any continuous functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  we have*

$$(A.6) \quad \langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot f' \cdot \llbracket (\psi \circ f) \cdot f' \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi$  denote an antiderivative of  $\psi$ . Then  $\Psi \circ f$  is an antiderivative of  $(\psi \circ f) \cdot f'$ , so (A.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket (\psi \circ f) \cdot f' \rrbracket(y) &= \Psi(f(y)) - \Psi(f(0)) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - y\right) (\Psi(f(1)) - \Psi(f(0))) - \text{const}_1 = \\ &= \Psi(f(y)) - \text{const}_2, \end{aligned}$$

where we took into account the fact that  $f(0) = f(1)$ , and the double integral in (A.2) and  $\Psi(f(0))$  have been merged into the constants. Therefore

$$\langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot f' \cdot \llbracket (\psi \circ f) \cdot f' \rrbracket \rangle = \langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot (\Psi \circ f - \text{const}_2) \cdot f' \rangle,$$

so (A.1) becomes applicable, proving (A.6).  $\square$

COROLLARY 1. Assume that  $f > 0$  and  $f(0) = f(1)$ . Then for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\langle f^\alpha f' \cdot \llbracket f^\beta f' \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

Another generalization of (A.4) is as follows. Let  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_j$  denote the operator  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  applied  $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$  times; e.g.,  $\llbracket f \rrbracket_3 = \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket f \rrbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket$ .

PROPOSITION 3. If  $j = 2k + 1$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  (i.e., if  $j$  is a positive odd number), then

$$\langle f \llbracket f \rrbracket_j \rangle = 0.$$

*Proof.* By repeatedly applying (A.5), we have

$$\langle f \llbracket f \rrbracket_j \rangle = (-1)^k \langle \llbracket f \rrbracket_k \llbracket f \rrbracket_{k+1} \rangle = (-1)^{k+1} \langle \llbracket f \rrbracket_{k+1} \llbracket f \rrbracket_k \rangle.$$

But the last equality implies that all these quantities vanish.  $\square$

Expressions such as  $\langle f^2 \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle$  do not vanish in general, as shown, for example, by the function

$$f(y) := \sin(2\pi y) + \cos(2\pi y) + \cos(4\pi y).$$

However, under a certain symmetry assumption,  $\langle f^2 \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0$ . This is the content of Proposition 4 below.

We say that a function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *even with respect to the midpoint* if

$$(A.7) \quad \forall y \in [0, 1] : \quad g(y) = g(1 - y),$$

and *odd with respect to the midpoint* if

$$(A.8) \quad \forall y \in [0, 1] : \quad g(y) = -g(1 - y).$$

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $f$  is even with respect to the midpoint. Then  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  is odd with respect to the midpoint.

*Proof.* By using (A.3), the substitution  $\xi = 1 - z$ , and the fact that  $f$  is even with respect to the midpoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket f \rrbracket(1 - y) &= \int_0^{1-y} f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + (1 - y) - \xi \right) f(\xi) d\xi = \\ &= - \int_1^y f(1 - z) dz + \int_1^0 \left( \frac{1}{2} + (1 - y) - (1 - z) \right) f(1 - z) dz = \\ &= \int_y^1 f(1 - z) dz - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + z - y \right) f(1 - z) dz = \int_y^1 f(z) dz - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + z - y \right) f(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our claim  $\llbracket f \rrbracket(y) = -\llbracket f \rrbracket(1 - y)$  is equivalent to

$$\int_0^y f(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + y - \xi \right) f(\xi) d\xi = - \int_y^1 f(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \xi - y \right) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

that is, to

$$\int_0^y f(\xi) d\xi + \int_y^1 f(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + y - \xi \right) f(\xi) d\xi + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \xi - y \right) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

which is clear, since both sides are equal to  $\langle f \rangle$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose that  $f$  is even with respect to the midpoint. Then for any continuous function  $\varphi$  we have

$$\langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

*Proof.* By splitting the integral and using the substitution  $y = 1 - z$ , we can use Lemma 1 in the second component and get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \right) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \left( (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \right) = \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \right) - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 \varphi(f(1-z)) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket (1-z) dz = \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \right) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 \varphi(f(z)) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket (z) dz = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**A.3. Periodic functions.** We can extend the results of Sections A.1–A.2 to a larger class of functions as follows. Here, we consider—sufficiently smooth—periodic functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with (without loss of generality) period 1:

$$f(y+1) = f(y) = f(y - \lfloor y \rfloor) \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

For some  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , let  $f_\sigma$  denote the shifted function

$$f_\sigma(y) := f(y - \sigma) \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

For periodic functions, let  $\langle f \rangle$  denote the integral average over a single period ( $\langle f \rangle := \int_0^1 f$ ), and we define  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  again by (2.5) but this time for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is well-known that a shift does not alter the single-period average,

$$(A.9) \quad \langle f_\sigma \rangle = \langle f \rangle,$$

since

$$\int_0^1 f(y - \sigma) dy = \int_{-\sigma}^{1-\sigma} f = \left( \int_{-\sigma}^0 + \int_0^1 + \int_1^{1-\sigma} \right) f = \left( \int_{-\sigma}^0 + \int_0^1 + \int_0^{-\sigma} \right) f = \int_0^1 f.$$

To investigate the corresponding property for the operator  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ , note first that an antiderivative of a 1-periodic function is not necessarily 1-periodic.

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose that the function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is 1-periodic, and let  $G(y) := \int_0^y g$ . Then  $G$  is 1-periodic if and only if  $\langle g \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.*

$$G(y+1) = \int_0^{y+1} g = \int_0^1 g + \int_1^{y+1} g = \langle g \rangle + \int_0^y g(\xi+1) d\xi = \langle g \rangle + \int_0^y g = \langle g \rangle + G(y). \quad \square$$

**LEMMA 3.** *Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is 1-periodic. Then  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  is also 1-periodic.*

*Proof.* The first term on the right-hand side of (2.5) is 1-periodic in  $y$  due to Lemma 2 with the periodic function  $g := \{f\}$ , since  $\langle g \rangle = \langle \{f\} \rangle = 0$ . □

**LEMMA 4.** *Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is 1-periodic. Then the shift operator commutes with the operator  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ :*

$$\llbracket f_\sigma \rrbracket = \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma.$$

*Proof.* Let  $F(y) := \int_0^y \{f\}$ . Then  $F(1) = 0$ , so due to Lemma 2,  $F$  is 1-periodic. Then, by (2.5), the claim of the lemma is equivalent to any of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^y \{f\}(\xi - \sigma) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \{f\}(\xi - \sigma) d\xi d\tau &= \int_0^{y-\sigma} \{f\}(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \{f\}(\xi) d\xi d\tau, \\ \int_{-\sigma}^{y-\sigma} \{f\}(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_{-\sigma}^{\tau-\sigma} \{f\}(\xi) d\xi d\tau &= \int_0^{y-\sigma} \{f\}(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^1 \int_0^\tau \{f\}(\xi) d\xi d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$F(y - \sigma) - F(-\sigma) - \int_0^1 (F(\tau - \sigma) - F(-\sigma)) d\tau = F(y - \sigma) - F(0) - \int_0^1 (F(\tau) - F(0)) d\tau,$$

$$\int_0^1 F(\tau - \sigma) d\tau = \int_0^1 F(\tau) d\tau,$$

which is just (A.9) applied to  $F$ .  $\square$

We say that a 1-periodic function  $f$  is *translation-even* if there exists a shift  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f_\sigma$  is even with respect to the midpoint of the interval  $[0, 1]$  (see (A.7)).

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose that  $f$  is a translation-even 1-periodic function with shift  $\sigma$ . Then for any continuous function  $\varphi$  we have*

$$\langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = 0.$$

*Proof.* Due to Lemma 3,  $(\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket$  is 1-periodic, so (A.9) applied to this function and Lemma 4 yield that

$$\langle (\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket \rangle = \langle ((\varphi \circ f) \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket)_\sigma \rangle = \langle (\varphi \circ f)_\sigma \cdot \llbracket f \rrbracket_\sigma \rangle = \langle (\varphi \circ f)_\sigma \cdot \llbracket f_\sigma \rrbracket \rangle = \langle (\varphi \circ f_\sigma) \cdot \llbracket f_\sigma \rrbracket \rangle,$$

therefore Proposition 4 applied to  $f_\sigma$  completes the proof.  $\square$

Analogously, we say that a 1-periodic function  $f$  is *translation-odd* if there exists a shift  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f_\sigma$  is odd with respect to the midpoint of the interval  $[0, 1]$  (see (A.8)).

PROPOSITION 6. *Suppose that  $f$  is a translation-even 1-periodic function. Then  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  is translation-odd.*

*Proof.* We know that  $\exists \sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f_\sigma$  is even with respect to the midpoint. So, according to Lemma 1,  $\llbracket f_\sigma \rrbracket$  is odd with respect to the midpoint. But this means, by using Lemma 4, that  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  is translation-odd.  $\square$

**A.4. Examples.** When simplifying the coefficients in Section 2, we have applied several identities which follow from the above claims; below we highlight some of them.

The first group of identities, Proposition 7, is important also in eliminating the derivative  $H'$  so that one can extend the results to non-smooth bathymetries  $H$ .

PROPOSITION 7. *Suppose that the 1-periodic function  $H$  is positive. Then*

- $\langle H^{-5} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle H^{-5} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-3} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H' \rangle = \langle H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle = \langle H^{-2} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle H^{-2} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-4} \rrbracket \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-4} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-3} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-4} \rrbracket \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-5} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rangle$

*Proof.* We prove only the first identity; the others follow similarly. Let us consider its left-hand side and denote the corresponding indefinite integral by  $I$ :

$$I := \int (H^{-5} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H').$$

By using integration by parts, we obtain

$$(A.10) \quad I = H^{-5} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H - \int \left( (H^{-5} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2)' \cdot H \right).$$

Inside the integral on the right, by using the product rule, we have

$$(H^{-5} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2)' \cdot H = 2H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket' - 5H^{-5} (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H'.$$

Notice that the representation (A.2) and the fundamental theorem of calculus show that  $\llbracket f \rrbracket' = f - \langle f \rangle$ , hence  $\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket' = H^{-1} - \langle H^{-1} \rangle$ . Plugging these into (A.10) yields

$$I = H^{-4} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 - 2 \int (H^{-5} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket) + 2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \int (H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket) + 5I,$$

from which the unknown integral  $I$  can easily be expressed as

$$I = -\frac{1}{4}H^{-4} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int (H^{-5} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket) - \frac{1}{2} \langle H^{-1} \rangle \int (H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket).$$

Now, when we switch to definite integrals  $\int_0^1$ , the first term on the right,  $-\frac{1}{4}H^{-4} \cdot (\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket)^2$ , disappears, since  $H$  is 1-periodic, and, due to Lemma 3,  $\llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket$  is also 1-periodic. In this way, we recover the desired right-hand side.  $\square$

REMARK 2. *It would be interesting to find some general rules that include the different examples above as special cases.*

The second group of identities shows some conversion rules which can be repeatedly applied until we find an expression that appears on the left-hand side of one of the formulae in Proposition 7.

REMARK 3. *Repeated application of these identities in the code is achieved by invoking Wolfram Mathematica's powerful `ReplaceRepeated` command.*

PROPOSITION 8. *Suppose that the 1-periodic function  $H$  is positive. Then*

- $\langle H^{-3} \cdot (\llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H' \rangle = \langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rrbracket \rangle = -\langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle = \langle H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle$
- $\langle H^{-2} \cdot \llbracket H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle = -\langle H^{-4} \cdot \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle$

*Proof.* We prove only the first identity; the other proofs are clearly analogous. We regroup and apply (A.5) twice to get

$$\langle H^{-3} \cdot (\llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket)^2 \cdot H' \rangle = \langle \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rangle =$$

$$-\langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle = \langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \rrbracket \cdot H' \rrbracket \rangle. \quad \square$$

Finally, under a certain symmetry assumption, many expressions will vanish according to the following.

PROPOSITION 9. *Suppose that the 1-periodic function  $H$  is positive and translation-even. Then*

- $\langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \cdot \llbracket \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rrbracket \rangle = 0$
- $\langle H^{-1} \cdot \llbracket H^{-k} \rrbracket \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}^+.$

*Proof.* We use Proposition 6 and the “translated version” of the following elementary fact (cf. the proof of Proposition 4): the definite integral of the product of an even and an odd function over an interval symmetric about the origin vanishes.  $\square$

**Appendix B. Coefficients of the homogenized equations.** In this section we provide the coefficients and some other details of the high-order homogenized equations that are too lengthy for main

text. The coefficients of (2.21) are given by

$$(B.1a) \quad \mu = \frac{\langle [[H^{-1}]]^2 \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}$$

$$(B.1b) \quad \gamma = \frac{\langle [[H^{-1}]] [H^{-2}] \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}$$

$$(B.1c) \quad \nu_1 = \frac{\langle H^{-1} (\langle [[H^{-1}]] \rangle)^2 \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^3}$$

$$(B.1d) \quad \nu_2 = 3 \frac{\langle (\langle [[H^{-1}]] \rangle)^2 \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}$$

$$(B.1e) \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{2}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2} \left( \langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - 2 \langle H^{-3} \rangle \langle H^{-1} \rangle \right)$$

$$(B.1f) \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{3 \langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - 2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle - 3 \langle H^{-4} \rangle}{2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}$$

$$(B.1g) \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^3} \left( \langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - \langle H^{-3} \rangle \langle H^{-1} \rangle \right)$$

$$(B.1h) \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{3 \langle H^{-2} \rangle^3 - 4 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle - 3 \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-4} \rangle + 4 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-5} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}$$

$$(B.1i) \quad \alpha_5 = \frac{2 \langle H^{-2} \rangle^3 - 6 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle + 6 \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \langle H^{-4} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^3}$$

$$(B.1j) \quad \alpha_6 = \frac{3 \langle H^{-2} \rangle^3 - 7 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle + 3 \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \langle H^{-4} \rangle - 3 \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-4} \rangle + 6 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-5} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^3}$$

$$(B.1k)$$

$$(B.1l) \quad \alpha_7 = \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle^3 - 2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle + \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \langle H^{-4} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^4}$$

$$(B.1m) \quad \alpha_8 = 2 \left( \mu \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle} - \gamma \right)$$

$$(B.1n) \quad \alpha_9 = \mu \frac{\langle H^{-2} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle}.$$

In order to simplify the expression of the 5th-order nonlinear terms, we consider the special case of translation-even periodic functions  $H(y)$ , which include both of the examples given in the paper (piecewise-constant and sinusoidal bathymetry). Then the nonlinear 5th-order terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(\bar{\eta}, \bar{q}) = & \beta_1 \bar{q}^4 \bar{\eta}_x + \beta_2 \bar{\eta}^4 \bar{\eta}_x + \beta_3 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta}_x + \beta_4 \bar{\eta} \bar{q}^3 \bar{q}_x + \beta_5 (\bar{\eta}_x)^3 + \beta_6 \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta}_x \bar{\eta}_{xx} + \beta_7 \bar{\eta}^2 \bar{\eta}_{xxx} \\ & + \beta_8 \bar{\eta}_x \bar{q} \bar{q}_{xx} + \beta_9 \bar{q} \bar{\eta}^3 \bar{q}_x + \beta_{10} \bar{\eta}_{xx} \bar{q} \bar{q}_x + \beta_{11} \bar{\eta}_x (\bar{q}_x)^2 + \beta_{12} \bar{q}^2 \bar{\eta}_{xxx} + \beta_{13} \bar{\eta} \bar{q}_x \bar{q}_{xx} + \beta_{14} \bar{\eta} \bar{q} \bar{q}_{xxx} \end{aligned}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \theta_3^2 - \frac{21}{4} \theta_2^2 \theta_3 + \frac{3}{2} \theta_2 \theta_4 + \frac{3}{2} \theta_3 \hat{\theta}_4 + \frac{15}{2} \theta_2 \hat{\theta}_5 - \frac{5}{2} \hat{\theta}_6 - \frac{15}{4} \theta_7 \langle H^{-1} \rangle^{-2} + \frac{9}{4} (\theta_2^2 - \hat{\theta}_4)^2 \right) \\
\beta_2 &= c^2 (\theta_2^4 - 3\theta_2^2 \theta_3 + \theta_3 + 2\theta_2 \theta_4 - \theta_5) \\
\beta_3 &= -6\theta_5 - 15\hat{\theta}_6 + \frac{9}{2} \theta_2^4 - 16\theta_2^2 \theta_3 + 7\theta_3^2 + 12\theta_2 \theta_4 - \frac{9}{2} \theta_2^2 \hat{\theta}_4 + 3\theta_3 \hat{\theta}_4 + 12\theta_2 \hat{\theta}_5 \\
\beta_4 &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left( -20\hat{\theta}_6 + 6\theta_2^4 - 22\theta_2^2 \theta_3 + 8\theta_3^2 + 12\theta_2 \theta_4 - 6\theta_2^2 \hat{\theta}_4 + 6\theta_3 \hat{\theta}_4 + 16\theta_2 \hat{\theta}_5 \right) \\
\beta_5 &= c^2 \left( -2\zeta_{13} + \zeta_{122} + 2\zeta_{212} + \zeta_{311} + 3\zeta_{14} - 3\gamma\theta_2 - \zeta_{22} + 8\mu\theta_2^2 - 2\mu\theta_3 - 3\mu\hat{\theta}_4 \right) \\
\beta_6 &= c^2 (-16\gamma\theta_2 + 26\mu\theta_2^2 - 10\mu\theta_3) \\
\beta_7 &= c^2 (2\zeta_{13} + \zeta_{22} - 6\gamma\theta_2 + 5\mu\theta_2^2 - 2\mu\theta_3) \\
\beta_8 &= 4\zeta_{122} + 8\zeta_{212} + 4\zeta_{311} + 12\zeta_{14} - 12\gamma\theta_2 - 2\zeta_{22} - 4\zeta_{13} + 27\mu\theta_2^2 - 6\mu\theta_3 - 9\mu\hat{\theta}_4 \\
\beta_9 &= 2\theta_2^4 - 8\theta_2^2 \theta_3 + 4\theta_3^2 + 8\theta_2 \theta_4 - 8\theta_5 \\
\beta_{10} &= -4\zeta_{13} - 2\zeta_{22} - 8\gamma\theta_2 + 28\mu\theta_2^2 - 12\mu\theta_3 \\
\beta_{11} &= 2\zeta_{13} + \zeta_{22} - 12\gamma\theta_2 + 22\mu\theta_2^2 - 10\mu\theta_3 \\
\beta_{12} &= \zeta_{122} + 2\zeta_{212} + \zeta_{311} + 3\zeta_{14} - 3\gamma\theta_2 + \mu\theta_3 - \zeta_{22} - 2\zeta_{13} + 7\mu\theta_2^2 - 2\mu\theta_3 - 3\mu\hat{\theta}_4 \\
\beta_{13} &= 8\zeta_{13} + 4\zeta_{22} - 28\gamma\theta_2 + 24\mu\theta_2^2 - 8\mu\theta_3 \\
\beta_{14} &= -8\gamma\theta_2 + 10\mu\theta_2^2 - 4\mu\theta_3 \\
\theta_j &= \langle H^{-j} \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle \\
\hat{\theta}_j &= \langle H^{-j} \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \\
\zeta_{13} &= \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-3} \rrbracket \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \\
\zeta_{14} &= \langle \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-4} \rrbracket \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^3 \\
\zeta_{22} &= \langle \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket^2 \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^2 \\
\zeta_{212} &= \langle H^{-2} \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^3 \\
\zeta_{122} &= \langle H^{-1} \llbracket H^{-2} \rrbracket^2 \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^3 \\
\zeta_{311} &= \langle H^{-3} \llbracket H^{-1} \rrbracket^2 \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Most of the coefficients of (2.20) are already given above; those that differ are

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha}_8 &= -4\gamma + 10\mu\theta_2 \\
\hat{\alpha}_9 &= 8\mu\theta_2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle^{-1} \\
\hat{\alpha}_{10} &= (3\mu\theta_2 - 2\gamma) \langle H^{-1} \rangle^{-1} \\
\hat{\alpha}_{11} &= 4\mu\theta_2
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the additional coefficients appearing in (2.19) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\alpha}_4 &= \frac{4 \langle H^{-5} \rangle - 2 \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2} \\
\hat{\alpha}_6 &= \frac{5 \langle H^{-2} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle - 3 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-4} \rangle - 6 \langle H^{-5} \rangle}{\langle H^{-1} \rangle^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4. *It can be proved that  $\alpha_3 \leq 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 < 0$ , and  $\alpha_2 < 0$ , for arbitrary positive profiles  $H$ . In particular, equalities hold only if the function  $H$  is constant. We can start by proving that  $\alpha_3 \leq 0$ . From*

Eq. (B.1g), the numerator  $\mathcal{N}_3$  of  $\alpha_3$  is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_3 = \langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle.$$

while the denominator is positive. The sign of  $\mathcal{N}_3$  is a consequence of the following theorem of real analysis [7, Proposition 6.1].

PROPOSITION 10. *If  $0 < p < q < r \leq \infty$ , then  $L^p \cap L^r \subset L^q$  and  $\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_r^{1-\lambda}$ , where*

$$\lambda = \frac{q^{-1} - r^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}.$$

By choosing  $p = 1$ ,  $q = 2$ , and  $r = 3$  in the above proposition, we get that  $\|f\|_2^4 \leq \|f\|_1 \|f\|_3^3$ , which implies  $\langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - \langle H^{-3} \rangle \langle H^{-1} \rangle \leq 0$ .

Now from Eqs. (B.1e) and (B.1g) it follows  $\alpha_1 = 2\alpha_3 \langle H^{-1} \rangle - \langle H^{-3} \rangle / \langle H^{-1} \rangle$ , therefore  $\alpha_1 < 0$ .

Finally, the denominator of  $\alpha_2$  in Eq. (B.1f) is positive and its numerator  $\mathcal{N}_2$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = 3 \left( \langle H^{-2} \rangle^2 - \langle H^{-4} \rangle \right) - 2 \langle H^{-1} \rangle \langle H^{-3} \rangle.$$

The sign of the first term follows from the observations that

$$\int_0^1 (f(y) - \langle f \rangle)^2 dy \geq 0, \text{ with } f = H^{-2},$$

and that the second term is negative.

**B.1. Piecewise-constant bathymetry.** We can compute explicit expressions for the coefficients for particular forms of  $H$ . Here we just consider a simple piecewise-constant bathymetry

$$(B.2) \quad H(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_1} & 0 < y \leq 1/2 \\ \frac{1}{d_2} & 1/2 < y \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

In this case we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{(d_1 - d_2)^2}{48(d_1 + d_2)^2} \\ \nu_1 &= \mu/40 \\ \nu_2 &= 3\mu/40 \\ \nu_1 + \nu_2 - \mu^2 &= \frac{(d_1 - d_2)^2 (19d_1^2 + 58d_1d_2 + 19d_2^2)}{11520(d_1 + d_2)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are necessarily positive, the last expression above is also positive. With more work, this can be proven for more general piecewise-constant bathymetry (e.g., if the spatial fraction for each constant part differs from 1/2).

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Prof. Giuseppe Di Fazio who provided the reference for the proof of Proposition 10. G. Russo would like to thank the Italian Ministry of University and Research (MUR) to support this research with funds coming from PRIN Project 2017 (No. 2017KKJP4X entitled ‘‘Innovative numerical methods for evolutionary partial differential equations and applications’’), PRIN Project 2022 (No. 2022KA3JBA entitled ‘‘Advanced numerical methods for time dependent parametric partial differential equations with applications’’) and KAUST for hosting him during the time this work was completed.

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. W. ASHCROFT AND N. D. MERMIN, *Solid State Physics*, Cengage Learning, 2022.
- [2] E. S. BENOLOV AND C. P. HOWLIN, *Evolution of packets of surface gravity waves over strong smooth topography*, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 116 (2006), pp. 289–301.
- [3] C. P. BERRAQUERO, A. MAUREL, P. PETITJEANS, AND V. PAGNEUX, *Experimental realization of a water-wave metamaterial shifter*, *Physical review E*, 88 (2013), p. 051002.
- [4] P. BOGACKI AND L. F. SHAMPINE, *An efficient Runge–Kutta (4, 5) pair*, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 32 (1996), pp. 15–28.
- [5] A. CHIAPOLINO AND R. SAUREL, *Extended Noble–Abel stiffened-gas equation of state for sub-and-supercritical liquid-gas systems far from the critical point*, *Fluids*, 3 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.3390/fluids3030048>, <https://www.mdpi.com/2311-5521/3/3/48>.
- [6] P. G. DRAZIN AND R. S. JOHNSON, *Solitons: An Introduction*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [7] G. B. FOLLAND, *Real analysis, Second edition*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York)*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1999).
- [8] E. HAIRER AND M. HAIRER, *Gnucodes—MATLAB programs for geometric numerical integration*, in *Frontiers in Numerical Analysis: Durham 2002*, Springer, 2003, pp. 199–240.
- [9] E. HAIRER, C. LUBICH, AND G. WANNER, *Geometric Numerical Integration*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002.
- [10] D. I. KETCHESON AND R. J. LEVEQUE, *Shock dynamics in layered periodic media*, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 10 (2012), pp. 859–874.
- [11] D. I. KETCHESON, M. PARSANI, AND R. J. LEVEQUE, *High-order Wave Propagation Algorithms for Hyperbolic Systems*, *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 35 (2013), pp. A351–A377.
- [12] D. I. KETCHESON AND M. QUEZADA DE LUNA, *Effective Rankine–Hugoniot conditions for shock waves in periodic media*, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 18 (2020), pp. 1023–1040.
- [13] R. J. LEVEQUE, *Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems*, Cambridge University Press, 2002, <http://www.clawpack.org/book.html>.
- [14] R. J. LEVEQUE AND D. H. YONG, *Solitary waves in layered nonlinear media*, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 63 (2003), pp. 1539–1560.
- [15] C. MARANGOS AND R. PORTER, *Shallow water theory for structured bathymetry*, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 477 (2021), p. 20210421.
- [16] A. MAUREL, K. PHAM, AND J.-J. MARIGO, *Scattering of gravity waves by a periodically structured ridge of finite extent*, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 871 (2019), pp. 350–376.
- [17] D. T. M. PHAN, S. L. GAVRILYUK, AND G. RUSSO, *Numerical validation of homogeneous multi-fluid models*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 441 (2023), p. 127693.
- [18] R. PORTER, *Cloaking in water waves*, *Handbook of Metamaterials Properties*, 2 (2017).
- [19] R. PORTER, *An extended linear shallow-water equation*, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 876 (2019), pp. 413–427.
- [20] M. QUEZADA DE LUNA AND D. I. KETCHESON, *Numerical simulation of cylindrical solitary waves in periodic media*, *Journal of Scientific Computing*, 58 (2014), pp. 672–689.
- [21] M. QUEZADA DE LUNA AND D. I. KETCHESON, *Two-dimensional wave propagation in layered periodic media*, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 74 (2014), pp. 1852–1869.
- [22] M. QUEZADA DE LUNA AND D. I. KETCHESON, *Solitary water waves created by variations in bathymetry*, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 917 (2021), p. A45.
- [23] R. R. ROSALES AND G. C. PAPANICOLAOU, *Gravity waves in a channel with a rough bottom*, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 68 (1983), pp. 89–102.
- [24] F. SANTOSA AND W. SYMES, *A dispersive effective medium for wave propagation in periodic composites*, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 51 (1991), pp. 984–1005.
- [25] D. H. YONG AND J. KEVORKIAN, *Initial boundary-value problems for a pair of conservation laws*, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 108 (2002), pp. 351–367.
- [26] D. H. YONG AND J. KEVORKIAN, *Solving boundary-value problems for systems of hyperbolic conservation laws with rapidly varying coefficients*, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 108 (2002), pp. 259–303.