

Link residual closeness of graphs with fixed parameters

Leyou Xu*, Chengli Li†, Bo Zhou‡

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University,
Guangzhou 510631, P.R. China

Abstract

Link residual closeness is a newly proposed measure for network vulnerability. In this model, vertices are perfectly reliable and the links fail independently of each other. It measures the vulnerability even when the removal of links does not disconnect the graph. In this paper, we characterize those graphs that maximize the link residual closeness over the connected graphs with fixed order and one parameters such as connectivity, edge connectivity, bipartiteness, independence number, matching number, chromatic number, number of vertices and number of cut edges.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 68M15, 68R10, 05C12, 05C35

Keywords and Phrases: network vulnerability, link residual closeness, graph parameters

1 Introduction

The vulnerability of a network is the measurement of the global strength of its underlying graph where the vertices represent the processing elements of the system and the edges (or links) connect pair of vertices that mutually interact

*E-mail: leyouxu@m.scnu.edu.cn

†E-mail: lichengli@m.scnu.edu.cn

‡E-mail: zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn

exchanging information [12,13]. In understanding of computer networks, how to protect a network from vulnerability or to improve network robustness remains an overarching concern.

It is highly desirable to identify a class of easily computed measures that characterize network vulnerability. There are lots of different measures for network vulnerability such as connectivity, toughness, scattering number, binding number, and their link counterparts, see, e.g. [7, 11, 14, 18]. These measures may be used if network failure (by the removal of vertices or links) means that the underlying graph has become disconnected or trivial. To measure the vulnerability even when the removal of vertices/links does not disconnect the graph, Dangalchev [8] proposed a new type vulnerability measure that is called residual closeness (including vertex and link versions). It was argued in [8] that vertex (link, respectively) residual closeness is the most appropriate approach for modeling the robustness of network topologies in the face of possible vertex (link, respectively) destruction. The vertex version has received a lot of attention, see, e.g. [1–3, 9, 10, 15] for the computational aspects and [6, 17, 21] for the extremal properties. However, the link version received less attention. Berberler and Yiğit established formulae for the link residual closeness of path-type graphs such as regular caterpillars in [4], wheel type graphs in [20], and composite graphs such as graph unions and graph joins in [19]. Some preliminary extremal properties of the link residual closeness were given in [21]. For example, the trees with minimum and maximum link residual closeness were determined there.

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge $E(G)$. For a graph G with $u, v \in V(G)$, the distance between u and v in G , denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them in G , and let $d_G(u, v) = \infty$ if there is no path connecting u and v in G . In particular, $d_G(u, u) = 0$ for any $u \in V(G)$. For a vertex u of a graph G , the closeness of u in G is defined as $C_G(u) = \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}} 2^{-d_G(u, v)}$. The closeness of a graph G is defined as

$$C(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} C_G(u) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}} 2^{-d_G(u, v)}.$$

The link residual closeness of a nonempty graph G is defined as [8]

$$R^L(G) = \min\{C(G - e) : e \in E(G)\}.$$

If G is empty, then we set $R^L(G) = 0$. It is evident that $R^L(G) = 0$ if and only if $|E(G)| = 0, 1$. For completeness, we mention that vertex residual

closeness of a nontrivial graph G is defined as [8]

$$R(G) = \min\{C(G - v) : v \in V(G)\}.$$

To have a fuller understanding of the relationship between the link residual closeness and the structural properties of the graphs, we consider the extremal problems to maximize the link residual closeness in some families of connected graphs. In this paper, we identify those graphs that maximize the link residual closeness in the families of connected graphs of fixed order and one of the parameters such as connectivity, edge connectivity, bipartiteness, independence number, matching number, chromatic number, number of cut vertices and number of cut edges.

2 Preliminaries

For a vertex u of a graph G , the neighborhood of u in G is the set $N_G(u) = \{v : uv \in E(G)\}$ and the degree of u in G is $|N_G(u)|$, denoted by $\delta_G(u)$.

For a nonempty proper subset V_1 of vertices of a graph G , $G - V_1$ denotes the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all vertices in V_1 (and the incident edges) from G , and in particular, if $V_1 = \{u\}$, then we write $G - u$ for $G - \{u\}$. For a subset E_1 of edges of a graph G , $G - E_1$ denotes the subgraph obtained from G by deleting all edges in E_1 , and in particular, if $E_1 = \{e\}$, then we write $G - e$ for $G - \{e\}$. The complement \overline{G} of a graph G is the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ so that two vertices are adjacent in \overline{G} if and only they are not adjacent in G . For a graph G with $E_2 \subseteq E(\overline{G})$, $G + E_2$ denotes the graph obtained from G by adding all edges in E_2 , and we write $G + e$ for $G + \{e\}$ when $E_2 = \{e\}$.

For a nonempty subset V_1 of vertices of a graph G , $G[V_1]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by V_1 . For vertex disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 , the union of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \cup G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. The join of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, is the graph $(G_1 \cup G_2) + \{v_1 v_2 : v_1 \in V(G_1), v_2 \in V(G_2)\}$, i.e., $G_1 \vee G_2 = \overline{\overline{G_1} \cup \overline{G_2}}$.

Let K_{n_1, \dots, n_k} be the complete k -partite graph with coloring class sizes (or partite sizes) n_1, \dots, n_k . Let $S_n = K_{1, n-1}$. A complete k -partite graph K_{n_1, \dots, n_k} is said to be balanced if $|n_i - n_j| \leq 1$ for any i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Let K_n be the n -vertex complete graph. Let P_n be the n -vertex path.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a nonempty graph in which two vertices u and v are not adjacent. Then $R^L(G) < R^L(G + uv)$.*

Proof. Let $H = G + uv$. Assume that $R(H) = C(H - e)$ with $e \in E(H)$. If $e = uv$, then $R^L(H) = C(H - e) = C(G) > C(G - f) \geq R^L(G)$ for any $f \in E(G)$. If $e \neq uv$, then $R^L(H) = C(H - e) > C(H - e - uv) = C(H - uv - e) = C(G - e) \geq R^L(G)$. \square

If $G = K_{n_0} \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$, then we call K_{n_i} the i -th inner copy of G , where $i = 1, \dots, t$.

Lemma 2. *For integers $t \geq 2$, $n_0 \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$, let $G = K_{n_0} \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$ and $n = \sum_{i=0}^t n_i$. If $n_0 = 1$, then*

$$R^L(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 - \frac{1}{2} n & \text{if } n_1 = 1, \\ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 - \frac{1}{4} n + \frac{1}{4} n_1 - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n_1 \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Otherwise,

$$R^L(G) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 + \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} n - \frac{1}{4} n_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. It can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &= n_0 \sum_{i=1}^t n_i + \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{n_i}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} n_i n_j \\ &= n_0(n - n_0) + \frac{1}{2}(n_0^2 - n_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + (n - n_0)^2 - 2(n - n_0) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 + \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} n - \frac{1}{4} n_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $n_0 = 1$.

Denote by u the unique vertex with degree $n - 1$ in G . Let $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$. If the degree of v is one, then

$$\begin{aligned} C(G - uv) &= C(K_1 \vee (K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})) \\ &= C(G) - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is minimum for if v is in the 1-st inner copy of G because $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$.

If v is of degree at least two, then v is in the ℓ -th inner copy of G such that $n_\ell \geq 2$ for some $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, $d_{G-uv}(u, v) = 2$, $d_{G-uv}(v, z) = 3$ if z is any vertex in the i -th inner copy with $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $i \neq \ell$, and as we pass from G to $G - uv$, the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged, so

$$\begin{aligned} C(G - uv) &= C(G) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1, i \neq \ell}^t n_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1, i \neq \ell}^t n_i \\ &= C(G) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1, i \neq \ell}^t n_i, \end{aligned}$$

which is minimum for $\ell = 1, \dots, t$ if $\ell = 1$ because $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$.

Now, let wz be any edge of G with $w, z \neq u$. Then both the degrees of w and z are at least two. Evidently, $d_G(w, z) = 1$, $d_{G-wz}(w, z) = 2$, and as we pass from G to $G - wz$, the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged, so

$$C(G - wz) = C(G) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} > C(G - uv)$$

whether the degree of v is one or at least two.

If $n_1 = 1$, then $C(G) - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i < C(G) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1, i \neq \ell}^t n_i$ for any $\ell = 1, \dots, t$, so $R^L(G) = C(G - uv)$ with v in the 1-st inner copy of G . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &= C(G) - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 - \frac{1}{2} n. \end{aligned}$$

If $n_1 \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &= C(G) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=2}^t n_i \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4} (n - 1 - n_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 - \frac{1}{4} n + \frac{1}{4} n_1 - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Case 2. $n_0 \geq 2$.

For any $wz \in E(G)$, as we pass from G to $G - wz$, the distance between w and z is changed from 1 to 2, and the distance between any other vertex pair remains unchanged, so $C(G - wz) = C(G) - \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that

$$R^L(G) = C(G) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} n^2 + \frac{n_0 - 1}{2} n - \frac{1}{4} n_0^2 - \frac{1}{2},$$

as desired. \square

A path $u_0 \dots u_\ell$ in a graph G is a pendant path of length ℓ of G at u_0 if $\delta_G(u_0) \geq 3$, $\delta_G(u_\ell) = 1$, and if $\ell \geq 2$, then $\delta_G(u_i) = 2$ for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$. Particularly, if $\ell = 1$, then $u_0 u_1$ is called a pendant edge of G at u_0 .

Lemma 3. *Let G be a graph with a pendant path $v_0 v_1 \dots v_\ell$ at v_0 , where $\ell \geq 2$. Then $C(G - v_i v_{i+1}) > C(G - v_{i-1} v_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell - 1$.*

Proof. Let $V_0 = V(G) \setminus \{v_i : i = 0, \dots, \ell\}$, $V_1 = V_0 \cup \{v_j : j = 0, \dots, i - 1\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_j : j = i + 1, \dots, \ell\}$. As we pass from $G - v_{i-1} v_i$ to $G - v_i v_{i+1}$, the distance between any pair of vertices in V_1 and V_2 remains unchanged. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G - v_i v_{i+1}) - C(G - v_{i-1} v_i) \\ &= 2 \sum_{u \in V_1} 2^{-d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_i)} - 2 \sum_{u \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G-v_{i-1} v_i}(u, v_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $V_0 \subset V_1$ and for any $u \in V_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_i) &= d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_0) + d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(v_0, v_i) \\ &= d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_0) + i. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G - v_i v_{i+1}) - C(G - v_{i-1} v_i) \\ &= 2 \sum_{u \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_0) - i} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-i-1} 2^{-j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{-i+1} \sum_{u \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-v_i v_{i+1}}(u, v_0)} + (2 - 2^{-i+1}) \\
&\quad - (2 - 2^{-\ell+i+1}) \\
&\geq 2^{-i+1} + (2 - 2^{-i+1}) - (2 - 2^{-\ell+i+1}) \\
&= 2^{-\ell+i+1} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So the result follows. \square

3 Connectivity and edge connectivity

The (vertex) connectivity $\kappa(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the minimum number of vertices whose removal from G results in a disconnected graph or in the trivial graph. If G is trivial or disconnected, then $\kappa(G) = 0$. For a connected graph G of order $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq \kappa(G) \leq n - 1$, and $\kappa(G) = n - 1$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Theorem 1. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with connectivity k , where $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2n^2 - 7n + 13}{4} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n = 5, \dots, 9 \\ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n = 3, 4 \text{ or } n \geq 9 \\ \frac{n^2 - 2n + k}{2} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3})$ when $k = 1$ and $5 \leq n \leq 8$, $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2}), K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3})$ when $k = 1$ and $n = 9$, and $G \cong K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$ otherwise.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with connectivity k that maximizes the link residual closeness.

By the definition of connectivity, there is a vertex subset V_0 with $|V_0| = k$ so that $G - V_0$ is disconnected. Assume that G_1 is a component of $G - V_0$. Let $V_1 = V(G_1)$ and $V_2 = V(G) - V_0 - V_1$. Let $n_i = |V_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $n_1 \leq n_2$. As $n_1 + n_2 = n - k$, one has $n_1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. By Lemma 1, $G[V_0 \cup V_i]$ is complete for $i = 1, 2$. That is, $G \cong K_k \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n-k-n_1})$. By

Lemma 2, one has

$$R^L(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2} & \text{if } k = n_1 = 1, \\ f(n_1) & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n_1 \geq 2, \\ g_k(n_1) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + (n-1-x)^2) + \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n + \frac{1}{4}x - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(x^2 - \left(n - \frac{3}{2} \right) x \right) + \frac{1}{4}(2n^2 - 3n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

with $2 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, and

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x) &= \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + (n-k-x)^2) + \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - k^2) + \frac{k-1}{2}n - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - (n-k)x) + \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

with $2 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. It is easy to see that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $2 \leq x \leq \frac{2n-3}{4}$, $f(\frac{n-1}{2}) = f(\frac{n-2}{2})$ and $g_k(x)$ is strictly decreasing for $1 \leq x \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$.

Case 1. $k = 1$.

If $n_1 \geq 3$, then $R^L(G) = f(n_1) < f(2) = R^L(K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3}))$, a contradiction. So $n_1 = 1, 2$.

If $n = 3, 4$, then $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2})$ with $R^L(G) = \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2}$.

Suppose that $n \geq 5$. Note that $f(2) = \frac{2n^2-7n+13}{4} < \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2}$ if and only if $n > 9$. and $f(2) = \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2}$ if and only if $n = 9$. Thus

$$n_1 = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 5, \dots, 8, \\ 1, 2 & \text{if } n = 9, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \geq 10. \end{cases}$$

Correspondingly,

$$G \cong K_2 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-3}) \text{ if } n = 5, \dots, 8,$$

$$G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2}), K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3}) \text{ if } n = 9,$$

and

$$G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2}) \text{ if } n \geq 10.$$

Case 2. $k \geq 2$.

If $n_1 \geq 2$, then $R^L(G) = g_k(n_1) < g_k(1)$, a contradiction. So $n_1 = 1$, $G \cong K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$ and $R^L(G) = \frac{n^2 - 2n + k}{2}$. \square

Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with connectivity at most k that maximizes the link residual closeness, where $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and $n \geq 5$. By Lemma 1, G is connected. So we have the following corollary from previous theorem.

Corollary 1. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with connectivity at most k , where $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ and $n \geq 5$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2n^2 - 7n + 13}{4} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n = 5, \dots, 9 \\ \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{ and } n \geq 9 \\ \frac{n^2 - 2n + k}{2} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_2 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-3})$ when $k = 1$ and $n \leq 8$, $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2}), K_2 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-3})$ when $k = 1$ and $n = 9$, and $G \cong K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$ otherwise.

The edge connectivity $\kappa'(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the minimum number of edges whose removal from G results in a disconnected graph or in the trivial graph. If G is trivial or disconnected, then $\kappa'(G) = 0$. For a connected graph G of order $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq \kappa'(G) \leq n - 1$ and $\kappa'(G) = n - 1$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Lemma 4. *For positive integers s and n with $2s \leq n$, let G be a graph obtained from $K_s \cup K_{n-s}$ by adding an edge. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $s = 1$.

Proof. Let $u \in V(K_s)$ and $v \in V(K_{n-s})$ so that $uv \in E(G)$. Let $V_1 = V(K_s) \setminus \{u\}$ and $V_2 = V(K_{n-s}) \setminus \{v\}$. If $e = uv$, then

$$C(G - e) = C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}).$$

If $e = uw$ with $w \in V_1$, then we have either $s = 2$ and $C(G - e) = C(K_{n-2}) + 1 + \frac{n-2}{2} > C(K_{n-2}) + C(K_2)$ or $s \geq 3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G - e) \\
&= C(K_s) - \frac{1}{2} + C(K_{n-s}) + 1 + \frac{n-s-1}{2} + \frac{s-2}{2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(s-2)(n-s-1)}{4} + \frac{n-s-1}{8} \\
&= C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}) + \frac{s(n-s-1)}{4} + \frac{s-1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \\
&\quad + \frac{n-s-1}{8} \\
&> C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $e = vw$ with $w \in V_2$, then by direct calculation, we have

$$C(G - e) > C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}).$$

If $e = wz$ with $w, z \in V_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G - e) \\
&= C(K_s) - \frac{1}{2} + C(K_{n-s}) + 1 + \frac{n-s-1}{2} + \frac{s-1}{2} \\
&\quad + \frac{(s-1)(n-s-1)}{4} \\
&> C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $e = wz$ with $w, z \in V_2$, then

$$C(G - e) > C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L(G) &= C(G - uv) = C(K_s) + C(K_{n-s}) \\
&= s^2 - ns + \frac{n^2 - n}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f(s) = s^2 - ns + \frac{n^2 - n}{2}$. Since $2s \leq n$, $f(s)$ is strictly decreasing and hence $f(s) \leq f(1)$ with equality if and only if $s = 1$. The result follows. \square

Theorem 2. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with edge connectivity at most r , where $1 \leq r \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2} & \text{if } r = 1 \\ \frac{n^2-2n+r}{2} & \text{if } r \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_r \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-r-1})$.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with edge connectivity at most r that maximizes the link residual closeness.

By Lemma 1, G is connected.

If $r = 1$, then G has a (cut) edge, say e , and we have by Lemma 1 that $G - e \cong K_s \cup K_{n-s}$ for some $s \geq 1$, so it follows from Lemma 4 that $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2})$ with $R^L(G) = \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2}$.

Suppose that $r \geq 2$. Then $n \geq 4$. Let $\kappa(G) = k$. Then $k \leq r$. We claim that $k = r$. Suppose to the contrary that $k < r$.

If $k = 1$ and $n = 4$, then $r = 2$, and it is easy to see that there is no such graphs. So $n \geq 5$ if $k = 1$. Suppose first that $k = 1$ and $n = 5, \dots, 8$. Let $H = K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3})$. By Theorem 1, $R^L(G) \leq R^L(H)$. Let u_1, u_2 be the vertices of K_2 (the 1st inner copy of H). Let W be a subset of vertices of K_{n-3} (the 2nd inner copy of H) with $|W| = r - 2$ if $r \geq 3$. Let $H' = H + \{u_1w : w \in V(K_{n-3})\}$ if $r = 2$, and $H' = H + \{u_1w : w \in V(K_{n-3})\} + \{u_2w : w \in W\}$ if $r \geq 3$. Evidently, u_2 is the only vertex of H' with minimum degree r and each other vertex has degree at least $n - 2$. It is easy to see that $\kappa'(H') = r$. By Lemma 1, $R^L(H') > R^L(H) \geq R^L(G)$, a contradiction.

Suppose next that $k = 1$ and $n \geq 9$ or $k \geq 2$. Note that $1 \leq r - k \leq n - k - 2$. Let $H = K_k \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-k-1})$. By Theorem 1, $R^L(G) \leq R^L(H)$. Let u be the vertex with degree k and W be a subset of vertices of K_{n-k-1} (the 2nd inner copy of H) with $|W| = r - k$. Let $H' = H + \{uw : w \in W\}$. Evidently, u is the only vertex of H' with minimum degree r and each other vertex has degree at least $n - 2$. It is easy to see that $\kappa'(H') = r$. By Lemma 1, $R^L(H') > R^L(H) \geq R^L(G)$, also a contradiction.

Now we conclude that $k = r$, so by Theorem 1, we have $G \cong K_r \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-r-1})$ with $R^L(G) = \frac{n^2-2n+r}{2}$. \square

Noting that $\kappa'(G) \leq \delta(G)$ for a connected graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G)$ and by similar argument as in Theorem 2, we have the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with minimum degree at most δ , where $1 \leq \delta \leq n - 2$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2} & \text{if } \delta = 1 \\ \frac{n^2-2n+\delta}{2} & \text{if } \delta \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_\delta \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-\delta-1})$.

4 Bipartiteness

The bipartiteness of a graph G is the minimum number of vertices whose deletion from G yields a bipartite graph.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a bipartite graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - n - 3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \lceil n/2 \rceil}$.

Proof. Let r and s be the partite sizes of G with $1 \leq r \leq s$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(K_{1,s}) &= C(K_{1,s-1}) = \frac{(s-1)(s+2)}{4} = \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{4} \\ &< \frac{n^2 - n - 3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

and if $r \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(K_{r,s}) &= C(K_{r,s}) - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{1}{8} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \binom{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{s}{2} + rs - \frac{3}{4} \\ &= \frac{r(r-1)}{4} + \frac{s(s-1)}{4} + rs - \frac{3}{4} \\ &= \frac{n^2 - n - 3}{4} + \frac{rs}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{n^2 - n - 3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if $r = s$. Now the result follows from Lemma 1. \square

Theorem 4. Let G be an n -vertex graph with bipartiteness k , where $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$. Then

$$R(G) \leq \frac{3}{8}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n + \frac{1}{4}nk - \frac{k^2}{8} - \frac{k}{4} - \begin{cases} \frac{5}{8} & \text{if } n - k \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_k \vee K_{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor, \lceil (n-k)/2 \rceil}$.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with bipartiteness k that maximizes the link residual closeness.

Let $V_0 \subset V(G)$ with $|V_0| = k$ such that $G - V_0$ is bipartite. Let V_1, V_2 be the bipartite sets of $G - V_0$. By Lemma 1, $G - V_0$ is a complete bipartite graph and $G[V_0 \cup V_i]$ is complete for $i = 1, 2$. Let $r = |V_1|$ and $s = |V_2|$. Then $r + s = n - k$ and we may assume that $G = K_k \vee K_{r,s}$. Let $wz \in E(G)$. Then $d_G(w, z) = 1$, $d_{G-wz}(w, z) = 2$, and if $\{u, v\} \neq \{w, z\}$, then $d_{G-wz}(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &= C(G) - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{1}{4} \\ &= \binom{k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{r}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{s}{2} + k(r + s) + rs - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= k(n - k) + \frac{2k(k - 1) + (n - k)(n - k - 1)}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{r(n - k) - r^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $g_k(r)$ the above expression for $R^L(G)$. Assume that $r \leq s$. Then $r \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. It is easy to see that $g_k(r)$ is strictly increasing for $1 \leq r \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. So $r = \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$. This is because, if $r < \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &= g_k(r) < g_k\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \\ &= R^L(K_k \vee K_{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor, \lceil (n-k)/2 \rceil}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So $G \cong K_k \vee K_{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor, \lceil (n-k)/2 \rceil}$ with $R^L(G) = g_k\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$. \square

5 Independence number and matching number

The independence number of a graph G , denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of vertices in G . Evidently, the complete graph is the unique one with independence number one.

Theorem 5. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with independence number α , where $2 \leq \alpha \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-n-2}{4} & \text{if } \alpha = n - 1 \\ \frac{n^2-n-1}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2-\alpha}{4} & \text{if } \alpha \leq n - 2 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{n-\alpha} \vee \overline{K_\alpha}$.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with independence number α that maximizes the link residual closeness.

Let S be an independent set of G with $|S| = \alpha$. By Lemma 1, $G[V(G) \setminus S] \cong K_{n-\alpha}$, so $G \cong K_{n-\alpha} \vee \overline{K_\alpha} \cong K_{n-\alpha} \vee \underbrace{(K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_1)}_{\alpha \text{ times}}$. By Lemma 2,

$$R^L(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-n-2}{4} & \text{if } \alpha = n - 1, \\ \frac{n^2-n-1}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2-\alpha}{4} & \text{if } \alpha \leq n - 2, \end{cases}$$

as desired. □

The matching number of a graph G is the cardinality of a maximum matching of G , denoted by $\beta(G)$. Berge [5] extended Tutte's 1-Factor Theorem [16] to the Berge-Tutte Formula for the matching number of a graph, which states that

$$\beta(G) = \frac{1}{2} \min_{S \subseteq V(G)} (|V(G)| + |S| - o(G - S)),$$

where, for a graph H , $o(H)$ denotes the number of odd components (those with an odd number of vertices) of H .

Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices with matching number one. If $n = 3$, then $G \cong S_3, K_3$ with $R^L(S_3) = 1 < R^L(K_3) = \frac{5}{2}$. If $n \geq 4$, then $G \cong S_n$ with $R^L(S_n) = \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{4}$.

By [21, Theorem 6.1], K_n maximizes the link residual closeness among all n -vertex connected graphs and $R^L(K_n) = \frac{n^2-n-1}{2}$. Thus, if G is a connected graph on $n \geq 2$ vertices with matching number $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then $R^L(G) \leq \frac{n^2-n-1}{2}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Theorem 6. *Let G be an n -vertex graph with matching number β , where $2 \leq \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$.*

If $2 \leq \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}$.

If $\frac{2n+3}{5} < \beta < \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{4}n + \beta^2 - \frac{7}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$.

If $\beta = \frac{2n+3}{5}$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}, K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$.

If $\beta = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq 2\beta^2 + \beta - \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{2\beta+1} \cup (n - 2\beta - 1)K_1$.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with matching number β that maximizes the link residual closeness.

By the Tutte-Berge formula, there is a vertex set $S \subset V(G)$ such that $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(n + |S| - o(G - S))$. Let $s = |S|$ and $t = o(G - S)$. Then $n - 2\beta = t - s$. Since $\beta \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, we have $t - s \geq 2$ and hence $t \geq 2$. As $n - s \geq t = n - 2\beta + s$, we have $s \leq \beta$.

Claim 1. All components of $G - S$ are odd.

Otherwise, there is an even component in $G - S$. Let H be a graph obtained from G by adding all possible edges between vertices in an even component and an odd component of $G - S$. Then $\beta(H) \geq \beta(G) = \beta$.

Moreover, $o(H - S) = t$ and $\beta(H) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n + s - t) = \beta$. So $\beta(H) = \beta$. By Lemma 1, $R^L(H) > R^L(G)$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 1.

Denote by G_i with $i = 1, \dots, t$ the components of $G - S$ with $n_i = |V(G_i)|$. By Claim 1 and Lemma 1, n_i is odd and $G_i \cong K_{n_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. So $G \cong K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$, where $K_0 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t}) = K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t}$. Assume that $n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_t$.

Claim 2. $n_1 = \dots = n_{t-1} = 1$ and $n_t = n - s - t + 1$.

Otherwise, $n_{t-1} \geq 3$. Let $G' = K_1 \vee ((t-1)K_1 \cup K_{n-t})$ if $s = 1$ and $n_1 = \dots = n_{t-1} = 3$, and $G' = K_s \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_{t-2}} \cup K_{n_{t-1}-2} \cup K_{n_t+2})$ otherwise. As $o(G' - S) = t$, $\beta(G') \leq \frac{n+s-t}{2} = \beta$. Note that $\beta(G') \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i - t}{2} + s = \beta$. So $\beta(G') = \beta$.

Suppose first that $s = 0$. Note that $n_i = 1$ or $n_i \geq 3$, and $n_{t-1} \geq 3$. Then it is easy to see

$$R^L(G) = \sum_{i=1}^t C(K_{n_i}) - \frac{1}{2},$$

$$R^L(G') = \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} C(K_{n_i}) + C(K_{n_{t-1}-2}) + C(K_{n_t+2}) - \frac{1}{2},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & R^L(G') - R^L(G) \\ &= C(K_{n_{t-1}-2}) + C(K_{n_t+2}) - C(K_{n_{t-1}}) - C(K_{n_t}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((n_t + 2)^2 + (n_{t-1} - 2)^2) - \frac{1}{2} (n_t^2 + n_{t-1}^2) \\ &= 2(n_t - n_{t-1} + 2) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Suppose next that $s = 1$ and $n_1 = \dots = n_{t-1} = 3$. Then $n_t = n - 3t + 2$, so by Lemma 2, we have

$$R^L(G) = \frac{9}{4}(t-1) + \frac{1}{4}(n-3t+2)^2 + \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n + \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$R^L(G') = \frac{1}{4}(t-2) + \frac{1}{4}(n-t)^2 + \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n.$$

As $n \geq 3t + 1$, we have

$$R^L(G') - R^L(G)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{4}(t-2) + \frac{1}{4}(n-t)^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{9}{4}(t-1) - \frac{1}{4}(n-3t+2)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \\
&= -2t^2 + (n+1)t - \frac{5}{4}n + \frac{1}{2} \\
&\geq -2t^2 + \left(t - \frac{5}{4}\right)(3t+1) + t + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= t^2 - \frac{7}{4}t - \frac{3}{4} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Now we are left with the following three cases: (a) $s = 1$ and $n_1 = \dots = n_r = 1$, $n_{r+1} \geq 3$ for some $r = 1, \dots, t-2$, or (b) $s = 1$, $n_1 \geq 3$ and $n_{t-1} \geq 5$, or (c) $s \geq 2$. For any case, we have by Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L(G') - R^L(G) &= \frac{1}{4}((n_t+2)^2 + (n_{t-1}-2)^2) - \frac{1}{4}(n_t^2 + n_{t-1}^2) \\
&= n_t - n_{t-1} + 2 \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

also a contradiction. This proves Claim 2.

Recall that $t = n - 2\beta + s$. By Claim 2, $G \cong K_s \vee ((n - 2\beta + s - 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta - 2s + 1})$. For fixed n , let $f(s) = R^L(K_s \vee ((n - 2\beta + s - 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta - 2s + 1}))$. We want to maximize $f(s)$.

Suppose that $s \geq 2$. By Lemma 2 again,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(s) &= \frac{1}{4}(2\beta - 2s + 1)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n - 2\beta + s - 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}s^2 + \frac{1}{2}ns - \frac{1}{2}n - \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a quadratic function of $s \in [2, \beta]$, $f(s)$ has axis of symmetry $s = s^* := \frac{4\beta}{3} - \frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $\beta - s^* > s^* - 2$ if and only if $\beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}$. Thus,

$$f(\beta) > f(s) \text{ if } s \in [2, \beta) \text{ and } \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5},$$

$$f(2) = f(\beta) > f(s) \text{ if } s \in (2, \beta) \text{ and } \beta = \frac{2n+3}{5},$$

and

$$f(2) > f(s) \text{ if } s \in (2, \beta] \text{ and } \beta > \frac{2n+3}{5}.$$

That is, $f(s)$ is maximized if and only if

$$s = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}, \\ 2, \beta & \text{if } \beta = \frac{2n+3}{5}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \beta > \frac{2n+3}{5}, \end{cases}$$

and correspondingly, the maximum of $f(s)$ is equal to $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}$ if $\beta \leq \frac{2n+3}{5}$, and $f(2) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{4}n + \beta^2 - \frac{7}{2}\beta + 1$ if $\beta \geq \frac{2n+3}{5}$.

Next, by Lemma 2, we have

$$f(1) = \frac{1}{4}(2\beta - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(n - 2\beta - 1) + \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n.$$

For $\beta \in [2, \frac{2n+3}{5}]$, we have

$$f(\beta) - f(1) = \phi(\beta) := -\frac{5}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta + \frac{5}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}.$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\beta) &\geq \phi\left(\frac{2n+3}{5}\right) \\ &= -\frac{(2n+3)^2}{20} + \frac{(2n+3)n}{10} + \frac{2n+3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{n-1}{5} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f(\beta) > f(1)$. On the other hand, we have $f(2) > f(1)$ as $f(2) - f(1) = n - 2\beta + 1 > 0$. Thus $s = 1$ is impossible.

Now, we have by direct calculation that

$$f(0) = 2\beta^2 + \beta - \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $\beta \in [2, \frac{2n+3}{5}]$, we have

$$f(\beta) - f(0) = \varphi(\beta) := \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{9}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{5}{4}\beta.$$

and $\varphi(\beta) \geq g\left(\frac{2n+3}{5}\right) = \frac{9n^2+63n-6}{100} > 0$, so $f(\beta) > f(0)$, implying that $s = 0$ is impossible. On the other hand, for $\beta \in [\frac{2n+3}{5}, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1]$,

$$f(2) - f(0) = \psi(\beta) := \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{4}n - \beta^2 - \frac{9}{2}\beta + \frac{3}{2}.$$

As $\psi(\beta) = 0$ has a positive root

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\sqrt{(n + \frac{3}{2})^2 + 24}}{2} - \frac{9}{4} > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{3}{2},$$

we have $f(2) > f(0)$ if n is odd, or if $\beta < \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and n is even. In such cases, $s = 0$ is also impossible. If $\beta = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then $f(2) - f(0) = -2n + 8 < 0$, so $f(2) < f(0)$, implying that $s = 2$ is impossible.

Therefore, we conclude that $f(s)$ is maximized if and only if

$$s = \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } 2 \leq \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \frac{2n+3}{5} < \beta < \frac{n}{2} - 1, \\ 2, \beta & \text{if } \beta = \frac{2n+3}{5}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta = \frac{n}{2} - 1. \end{cases}$$

Correspondingly,

$$G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}$$

with $R^L(G) = f(\beta)$ if $2 \leq \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}$,

$$G \cong K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$$

with $R^L(G) = f(2)$ if $\frac{2n+3}{5} < \beta < \frac{n}{2} - 1$,

$$G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}, K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$$

with $R^L(G) = f(\beta) = f(0)$ if $\beta = \frac{2n+3}{5}$, and

$$G \cong K_{2\beta+1} \cup (n - 2\beta - 1)K_1$$

with $R^L(G) = f(0)$ if $\beta = \frac{n}{2} - 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Suppose that G is connected in the above proof. As $t - s = n - 2\beta \geq 2$, we have $s \neq 0$, so one immediately has the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with matching number β , where $2 \leq \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$.*

If $2 \leq \beta < \frac{2n+3}{5}$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}$.

If $\frac{2n+3}{5} < \beta \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{4}n + \beta^2 - \frac{7}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$.

If $\beta = \frac{2n+3}{5}$, then

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{1}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}\beta^2 + \frac{1}{2}n\beta - \frac{1}{4}\beta - \frac{1}{2}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_\beta \vee \overline{K_{n-\beta}}, K_2 \vee ((n - 2\beta + 1)K_1 \cup K_{2\beta-3})$.

6 Chromatic number

The chromatic number of a graph G , written $\chi(G)$, is the least k such that the vertex set can be expressed as the union of k independent sets.

The chromatic number of a graph G is defined to be the number of colors necessary to color the vertices of G such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color.

Theorem 7. For $n \geq 3$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$, let G be an n -vertex graph with chromatic number k . Suppose that $n = kq + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$. Then

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-n-3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \lfloor \frac{1}{4}n^2 \rfloor & \text{if } k = 2 \\ \frac{n^2-1}{2} - \frac{n+r(q+1)^2+(k-r)q^2}{4} & \text{if } k \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if G is a balanced complete k -partite graph.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex graph with chromatic number k that maximizes the link residual closeness.

Denote by V_1, \dots, V_k the coloring classes of G . Let $n_i = |V_i|$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Assume that $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. By Lemma 1, $G \cong K_{n_1, \dots, n_k}$.

If $k = 2$, then the result follows from Theorem 3.

Suppose next that $k \geq 3$. Recall that $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. For any $wz \in E(G)$, $d_G(w, z) = 1$, $d_{G-wz}(w, z) = 2$, and if $\{u, v\} \neq \{w, z\}$, then $d_{G-wz}(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$. So

$$R^L(G) = C(G) - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{4} n_i (n_i - 1) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} n_i n_j - \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{4} n - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $n_1 - n_k \geq 2$. Let $m_1 = n_1 - 1$, $m_k = n_k + 1$ and $m_i = n_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, k - 1$. Let $G' = K_{m_1, \dots, m_k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L(G') - R^L(G) &= -\frac{1}{4}(m_1^2 + m_k^2) + \frac{1}{4}(n_1^2 + n_k^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_k - 1) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So $n_1 - n_k = 0, 1$. As $n = kq + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$, we have $n_i = q + 1$ for i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $n_i = q$ for $i = r + 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$R^L(G) = \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}n - \frac{1}{4}r(q + 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(k - r)q^2 - \frac{1}{2},$$

as desired. □

7 Number of cut edges

A cut edge (or bridge) is a single edge whose removal disconnects a graph. For a connected graph G on n vertices, if G is a tree, then every edge is a cut edge. Otherwise, there are at most $n - 3$ cut edges.

A path $u_0 u_1 \dots u_s$ in a graph G is an internal path of G with length s if $\delta_G(u_0), \delta_G(u_s) \geq 3$ and if $s \geq 2$, then $\delta_G(u_i) = 2$ for each $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a connected graph with an internal path $u_0 \dots u_t$, where $t \geq 1$. Let $N_0 = N_G(u_t) \setminus \{u_{t-1}\}$ and*

$$G' = G - \{u_t w : w \in N_0\} + \{u_0 w : w \in N_0\}.$$

Then $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$.

Proof. Let G_1 be the component of $G - u_0 u_1$ containing u_0 and G_2 the component of $G - u_{t-1} u_t$ containing u_t . Let $V_i = V(G_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let

$G'_2 = G'[V_2 \setminus \{u_t\} \cup \{u_0\}]$. Then $G'_2 \cong G_2$. Assume that $R^L(G') = C(G' - f)$ with $f \in E(G')$. By Lemma 3, $f \neq u_i u_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. If $f = u_0 u_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in V_1} 2^{-d_{G'}(z,w)} - \sum_{i=1}^t 2^{-d_G(z,u_i)} \\ &= 2^{-d_G(z,u_t)} \left(\sum_{w \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(u_0,w)} - \sum_{i=1}^t 2^{-(t-i)} \right) \\ &\geq 2^{-d_G(z,u_t)} \left(1 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} - (2 - 2^{-(t-1)}) \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $z \in V_2 \setminus \{u_t\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & R^L(G') - R^L(G) \\ &\geq C(G' - f) - C(G - f) \\ &= 2 \sum_{z \in V_2 \setminus \{u_t\}} \left(\sum_{w \in V_1} 2^{-d_{G'}(z,w)} - \sum_{i=1}^t 2^{-d_G(z,u_i)} \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in E(G_1) \cup E(G'_2)$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^t (2^{-d_{G'-f}(z,u_i)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,u_i)}) = 0$$

for any $z \in V_2 \setminus \{u_t\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} & R^L(G') - R^L(G) \\ &\geq C(G' - f) - C(G - f) \\ &= 2 \sum_{z \in V_2 \setminus \{u_t\}} \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus \{u_0\}} (2^{-d_{G'-f}(z,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,w)}) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows because $d_{G'-f}(z,w) = d_{G-f}(z,w) - t < d_{G-f}(z,w)$ or $d_{G'-f}(z,w) = d_{G-f}(z,w) = \infty$ for any $z \in V_2 \setminus \{u_t\}$ and $w \in V_1$, and there is indeed such vertex pair for which the former inequality holds. It follows that $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$. \square

Lemma 6. Let G be a connected graph with a pendant path $u_0u_1 \dots u_t$ at u_0 , where $t \geq 2$. Let

$$G' = G - \{u_{i-1}u_i : i = 2, \dots, t\} + \{u_0u_i : i = 2, \dots, t\}.$$

Then $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$.

Proof. Let $V_1 = V(G) \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$. Assume that $R^L(G') = C(G' - e)$ with $e \in E(G')$.

Suppose first that $e \in E(G) \setminus \{u_0u_1\}$. As we pass from $G - e$ to $G' - e$, the distance between any pair of vertices in $V_1 \cup \{u_1\}$ remains unchanged. For any $w \in V_1$ and $i = 2, \dots, t$, $d_{G'-e}(w, u_i) = d_{G-e}(w, u_i) - i + 1$ if $d_{G-e}(w, u_0)$ is finite, and there is indeed such a vertex $w = w_0$, a neighbor of u_0 that is not incident to e . Moreover, $G[\{u_0, \dots, u_t\}] \cong P_{t+1}$ and $G'[\{u_0, \dots, u_t\}] \cong S_{t+1}$. Note that $C(S_{t+1}) \geq C(P_{t+1})$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & R^L(G') - R^L(G) \\ & \geq C(G' - e) - C(G - e) \\ & = 2 \sum_{i=2}^t \sum_{w \in V_1} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(u_i, w)} - 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_i, w)}) \\ & \quad + C(S_{t+1}) - C(P_{t+1}) \\ & \geq 2 \sum_{i=2}^t (2^{-d_{G'-e}(u_i, w_0)} - 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_i, w_0)}) \\ & = 2 \sum_{i=2}^t (2^{-2} - 2^{-(i+1)}) \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $e = u_0u_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, t$, say $i = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & R^L(G') - R^L(G) \\ & \geq C(G' - e) - C(G - e) \\ & = 2 \sum_{i=2}^t \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus \{u_0\}} 2^{-1-d_G(u_0, w)} + C(S_t) - C(P_t) \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in either case, we have $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$. □

If G is a tree on $n \geq 2$ vertices, then $R^L(G) \leq \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{4}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong S_n$, which is known in [21] and follows also from Lemma 6.

For $1 \leq k \leq n-3$, by $C_{n,k}$, we denote the n -vertex graph obtained from K_{n-k} by attaching k pendant edges at one common vertex. It is clear that $C_{n,1} \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2})$. For $n \geq 5$, let $C'_{n,2}$ be the graph obtained from $C_{n-1,1}$ by attaching a pendant edge at a vertex of degree $n-3$.

Theorem 8. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with k cut edges, where $1 \leq k \leq n-3$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - nk}{2} - n + \frac{k^2 + 3k}{4}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong C_{n,k}$ for $k \neq 2$ and $G \cong C_{n,k}, C'_{n,k}$ for $k = 2$.

Proof. If $k = 1$, then the edge connectivity of G is 1, so the result follows from Theorem 2.

Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and k cut edges that maximizes the link residual closeness.

By Lemmas 5 and 6, all cut edges of G are pendant edges. Let V_0 be the set of vertices with degree one in G . By Lemma 1, $G - V_0 \cong K_{n-k}$. Assume that $V(G - V_0) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-k}\}$ and that $d_G(v_i) = n - k - 1 + a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - k$, with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-k}$. That is, G is obtained from K_{n-k} by attaching a_i pendant edges at v_i if $a_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - k$. By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} C(G) &= \binom{n-k}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} \binom{a_i}{2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n-k-1}{2} + 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-k} a_i a_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(n-k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-k) + \frac{2(n-k)+1}{4}k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}k^2 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} a_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_i \geq 1$ with $i = 1, \dots, n - k$, then for a pendant edge e_i at v_i ,

$$C(G - e_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C(G) - \left(1 + \frac{n-k-1}{2} + \frac{a_i-1}{2} + \frac{k-a_i}{4}\right) \\
&= C(G) - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{4}a_i.
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n-k$ whether $a_i a_j = 0$ or not,

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(G - v_i v_j) \\
&= C(G) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(a_i + a_j) + \frac{1}{4}(a_i + a_j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}a_i a_j + \frac{1}{8}a_i a_j \\
&= C(G) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(a_i + a_j) - \frac{1}{8}a_i a_j
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-k}$, so $R^L(G) = \min\{C(G - e_1), C(G - v_1 v_2)\}$, which is equal to the minimum of $C(G) - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{4}a_1$ and $C(G) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}(a_1 + a_2) - \frac{1}{8}a_1 a_2$.

If $k = 2$, then we have either $a_1 = 2, a_2 = \dots = a_{n-k} = 0$ and so $G \cong C_{n,2}$ or $a_1 = a_2 = 1, a_3 = \dots = a_{n-k} = 0$ and so $G \cong C'_{n,2}$. In the former case,

$$C(G - e_1) - C(G - v_1 v_2) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}n < 0,$$

and in the latter case,

$$C(G - e_1) - C(G - v_1 v_2) = \frac{11}{8} - \frac{1}{2}n < 0.$$

Note that $C_{n,2} - e_1 \cong C'_{n,2} - e_1$. So $G \cong C_{n,2}, C'_{n,2}$, and $R^L(G) = C(G - e_1) = \frac{1}{2}(n-k)^2 + \frac{1}{2}$ in either case.

Suppose that $k \geq 3$. We claim that $a_2 = 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $a_2 \geq 1$. Let G' be the graph obtained from $G - V_0$ by attaching k pendant edges at v_1 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(G' - e_1) - C(G - e_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(a_1 - 1) \sum_{i=2}^{n-k} a_i + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n-k} a_i a_j \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4}(a_1 - 1) \sum_{i=2}^{n-k} a_i + \frac{1}{4}a_2 \sum_{i=3}^{n-k} a_i
\end{aligned}$$

> 0

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G' - v_1v_2) - C(G - v_1v_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-k} a_i a_j - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=3}^{n-k} a_i + \frac{1}{8} a_1 a_2 \\
&> \frac{1}{4} (a_1 - 1) \sum_{i=3}^{n-k} a_i \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L(G') &= \min\{C(G' - e_1), C(G' - v_1v_2)\} \\
&> \min\{C(G - e_1), C(G - v_1v_2)\} = R^L(G),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. It follows that $a_2 = 0$, so $G \cong C_{n,k}$ and $R^L(G) = C(G - e_1) = \frac{1}{2}(n-k)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-k) + \frac{2(n-k)+1}{4}k + \frac{1}{4}k^2 - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n^2-nk}{2} - n + \frac{k^2+3k}{4}$, as desired. \square

From Theorem 8, one immediately has

Corollary 4. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with k pendant edges, where $1 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Then*

$$R^L(G) \leq \frac{n^2 - nk}{2} - n + \frac{k^2 + 3k}{4}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong C_{n,k}$ for $k \neq 2$ and $G \cong C_{n,k}, C'_{n,k}$ for $k = 2$.

8 Number of cut vertices

A vertex v in a connected graph G is a cut vertex if $G - v$ is disconnected. For a connected graph G on $n \geq 2$ vertices, it has at least two vertices that are not cut vertices, so G possesses at most $n - 2$ cut vertices. Moreover, if G has $n - 2$ cut vertices, then it is the n -vertex path. If G is a graph of order $n \geq 4$ with one cut vertex, then, by Theorem 1,

$$R^L(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n^2-3n+2}{2} & \text{if } n = 4, n \geq 9 \\ \frac{2n^2-7n+13}{4} & \text{if } 5 \leq n \leq 8 \end{cases}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3})$ for $5 \leq n \leq 8$, $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_2 \cup K_{n-3})$, $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2})$ for $n = 4, 9$ and $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_{n-2})$ for $n \geq 10$. In the remainder of this section, we consider the n -vertex connected graphs with k cut vertices, where $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$.

For integers $r \geq 3$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \geq 0$, we denote by K^{a_1, \dots, a_r} the graph obtained from the complete graph K_r with vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ by attaching a pendant path of length a_i at v_i if $a_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 7. *For fixed integers $r \geq 3$ and $s \geq 2$, let*

$$\mathcal{G}(r, s) = \left\{ K^{a_1, \dots, a_r} : a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^r a_i = s \right\}.$$

Then $G = K^{a_1, \dots, a_r}$ maximizes the link residual closeness in $\mathcal{G}(r, s)$ if and only if $a_1 - a_r = 0, 1$.

Proof. Let $G = K^{a_1, \dots, a_r} \in \mathcal{G}(r, s)$. First, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &= 2s - 4 + 2^{2-a_1} + \sum_{i=2}^r 2^{-a_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-a_i})(2 - 2^{-a_j}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let Q_i be the component of $G - E(K_r)$ containing v_i . Then Q_i is a path P_{a_i+1} with one terminal vertex v_i , say $Q_i = v_{i,0}v_{i,1} \dots v_{i,a_i}$ with $v_{i,0} = v_i$. Let $V_i = V(Q_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Let $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Note that $d_{G-v_iv_j}(u, v) = d_G(u, v) + 1$, and if $\{w, z\} \neq \{u, v\}$, then $d_{G-v_iv_j}(w, z) = d_G(w, z)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} &C(G - v_iv_j) \\ &= C(G) - 2 \sum_{u \in V_i} \sum_{v \in V_j} \left(2^{-d_G(u, v)} - 2^{-d_{G-v_iv_j}(u, v)} \right) \\ &= C(G) - \sum_{u \in V_i} \sum_{v \in V_j} 2^{-d_G(u, v)} \\ &= C(G) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V_i} 2^{-d_G(u, v_i)} \sum_{v \in V_j} 2^{-d_G(v_j, v)} \\ &= C(G) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{a_i} 2^{-s} \sum_{s=0}^{a_j} 2^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

$$= C(G) - \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_i})(2 - 2^{-a_j}).$$

As $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$, we see that for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(G - v_i v_j) &\geq C(G - v_1 v_2) \\ &= C(G) - \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &C(G - v_i v_{i,1}) \\ &= C(G) - 2 \sum_{u \in V_i \setminus \{v_i\}} \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus V_i} 2^{-d_G(u,v)} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{w \in V_i \setminus \{v_i\}} 2^{-d_G(v_i,w)} \\ &= C(G) - \sum_{u \in V_i \setminus \{v_i\}} 2^{-d_G(u,v_i)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r // j \neq i} \sum_{v \in V_j} 2^{-d_G(v_j,v)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{a_i} 2^{-j+1} \\ &= C(G) - (1 - 2^{-a_i}) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r // j \neq i} (2 - 2^{-a_j}) - (2 - 2^{-(a_i-1)}) \\ &= C(G) - (1 - 2^{-a_i}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^r (2 - 2^{-a_j}) + 2^{-a_i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and $(1 - 2^{-a_i}) \left(\sum_{j=1}^r (2 - 2^{-a_j}) + 2^{-a_i} \right)$ is maximized if $i = 1$ because $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$. Thus, by Lemma 3, for $i = 1, \dots, r$ with $a_i > 0$ and $j = 1, \dots, a_i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &C(G - v_{i,j-1} v_{i,j}) \\ &\geq C(G - v_i v_{i,1}) \geq C(G - v_1 v_{1,1}) \\ &= C(G) - (1 - 2^{-a_1}) \sum_{j=2}^r (2 - 2^{-a_j}) \\ &\quad - (2 - 2^{-(a_1-1)}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Now it follows from Eqs. (2) and (3) that

$$C(G - v_1 v_{1,1}) - C(G - v_1 v_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}) - (1 - 2^{-a_1}) \sum_{j=2}^r (2 - 2^{-a_j}) \\
&\quad - (2 - 2^{-(a_1-1)}) \\
&< \frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}) - (1 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}) \\
&\quad - (2 - 2^{-(a_1-1)}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}) + 2^{-(a_1-1)} - 2^{-a_2} \\
&\leq \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}(2 - 2^{-a_1})(2 - 2^{-a_2}) & \text{if } a_1 \geq a_2 + 1 \\ -2 + 3 \cdot 2^{-a_1} - 2^{-2a_1-1} & \text{if } a_1 = a_2 \end{cases} \\
&< 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R^L(G) = C(G - v_1v_{1,1})$. Note that $G[V_i \cup \{v_1\}] \cong P_{a_i+2}$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. By direct calculation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(G - v_1v_{1,1}) - C(P_{a_1}) - \sum_{i=2}^r C(P_{a_i+2}) \\
&= \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \sum_{u \in V_i} 2^{-d_G(u, v_i)} \sum_{v \in V_j} 2^{-d_G(v_j, v)} \\
&= \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} \sum_{s=0}^{a_i} 2^{-s} \sum_{t=0}^{a_j} 2^{-t} \\
&= \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-a_i})(2 - 2^{-a_j}),
\end{aligned}$$

from which (1) follows, as $C(P_{a_1}) + \sum_{i=2}^r C(P_{a_i+2}) = 2a_1 - 4 + 2^{2-a_1} + \sum_{i=2}^r (2(a_i + 2) - 4 + 2^{-a_i})$.

Denote the expression for $R^L(G)$ in (1) by $f(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. Suppose that $a_1 \geq a_r + 2$. Let p be the largest integer and q be the smallest integer such that $a_p = a_1$ and $a_p \geq a_q + 2$. Then $1 \leq p < q \leq r$. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $b_p = a_p - 1$, $b_q = a_q + 1$ and $b_i = a_i$ if $i \neq p, q$. If $p = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&f(b_1, \dots, b_r) - f(a_1, \dots, a_r) \\
&= 2^{2-b_1} + \sum_{i=2}^r 2^{-b_i} + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-b_i})(2 - 2^{-b_j})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2^{2-a_1} - \sum_{i=2}^r 2^{-a_i} - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-a_i})(2 - 2^{-a_j}) \\
& = 2^{2-a_1} - 2^{-1-a_q} + 2^{-1-a_q} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq r // i \neq q} (2 - 2^{-a_i}) \\
& > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and if $p > 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(b_1, \dots, b_r) - f(a_1, \dots, a_r) \\
& = 2^{2-b_1} + \sum_{i=2}^r 2^{-b_i} + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-b_i})(2 - 2^{-b_j}) \\
& \quad - 2^{2-a_1} - \sum_{i=2}^r 2^{-a_i} - \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq r} (2 - 2^{-a_i})(2 - 2^{-a_j}) \\
& = (2^{-1-a_q} - 2^{-a_p}) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r // i \neq p, q} (2 - 2^{-a_i}) + 1 \right) \\
& > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So $f(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ is maximized if and only if $a_1 - a_r = 0, 1$. \square

Denote by $PK_{r+s, s}$ the graph K^{a_1, \dots, a_r} with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$, $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i = s$, and $a_1 - a_r = 0, 1$.

A clique of a graph G is an induced subgraph of G that is complete. A block is trivial if it has at exactly two vertices, and it is nontrivial if it has at least three vertices.

For integers b_1, b_2 and n with $b_2 \geq b_1 \geq 3$ and $2(b_1 + b_2) - 3 = n$, let $H_n(b_1, b_2)$ be the n -vertex graph consisting of two cliques of size b_1 and b_2 with a common vertex and a pendant edge at each other vertex.

Theorem 9. *Let G be an n -vertex connected graph with k cut vertices, where $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Let $k = (n - k)q + r$ with $0 \leq r < k$. If $(n, k) = (9, 5)$, then $R^L(G) \leq 16$ with equality if and if $G \cong H_9(3, 3)$. If $(n, k) \neq (9, 5)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
R^L(G) & \leq 2(n^2 + k^2 - 2nk - 3n + 4k) \\
& \quad - 2^{-q}(2n^2 + 2k^2 - 4nk - 7n + 7k - 1) \\
& \quad + 2^{-2q-1}(n^2 + k^2 - 2nk - 3n + 3k + 2)
\end{aligned}$$

if $r = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(G) &\leq (n-k)(2n-2k-6) + 2k \\ &\quad - 2^{-q} \left((n-k)(2n-2k-r-6) + \frac{5r+1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + 2^{-2q-2} \left(2(n-k-2)(n-k-r) + \frac{r^2-3r+2}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

otherwise, and the bound for $R^L(G)$ is attained if and only if $G \cong PK_{n,k}$ when $(n, k) \neq (11, 6)$ and $G \cong PK_{11,6}, H_{11}(3, 4)$ when $(n, k) = (11, 6)$.

Proof. Suppose that G is an n -vertex connected graph with k cut vertices that maximizes the link residual closeness.

By Lemma 1, all blocks of G are cliques with at least two vertices and each cut vertex of G is contained in exactly two blocks. So there are exactly $k+1$ blocks in G . As $k \leq n-3$, there is at least one nontrivial block of G . We call a nontrivial block B of G a pendant block if for some vertex x in this block, the component of $G - E(B)$ containing x is a path (that may be trivial) with one end vertex being x . In this case, we call this path the path at x . We choose a pendant block B_1 so that for one of its vertex, say u_0 , the length ℓ of the path at u_0 is minimum among all paths at vertices of all pendant blocks of G .

We will prove that either there is exactly one nontrivial block of G or $G \cong H_9(3, 3), H_{11}(3, 4)$. To this end, we suppose that there are at least two nontrivial blocks of G . Then it need only to show that $G \cong H_9(3, 3), H_{11}(3, 4)$.

Let W be the set of vertices in all nontrivial blocks different from B_1 . Let $t-1 = \min\{d_G(u, u') : u \in V(B_1), u' \in W\}$. Assume that $t-1 = d_G(u_1, u_t)$ with $u_t \in V(B_2)$ for some nontrivial block B_2 different from B_1 . Then there is a unique path, say $u_1 \dots u_t$. For any $w \in V(B_1)$ ($w \in V(B_2)$, respectively), let T_w be the the component of $G - E(B_1)$ ($G - E(B_2)$, respectively) containing w . Let $N = V(B_1) \setminus \{u_0, u_1\}$. Let $V_0 = V(T_{u_0})$, $V_1 = \cup_{w \in N} V(T_w)$ and $V_2 = \cup_{w \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}} V(T_w)$. Let

$$G' = G - \{yu_0, yu_1 : y \in N\} + \{yw : y \in N, w \in V(B_2)\}.$$

It is obvious that G' is an n -vertex connected graph with k cut vertices.

Assume that $R^L(G') = C(G' - e)$ with $e \in E(G')$. By Lemma 3, $e \notin E(G[V_0]) \cup \{u_{i-1}u_i : 1 \leq i \leq t-1\}$. Let $b_i = |V(B_i)|$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Claim 1. $e \notin E(G[V_1]) \cup E(G[V_2])$ and $e \neq u_t x$ for any $x \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}$.

Suppose that this is not true. That is, $e \in E(G[V_1]) \cup E(G[V_2])$ or $e = u_t x$ for some $x \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}$. As we pass from $G - e$ to $G' - e$, the distance between any pair of vertices in $V_0 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ and in V_1 remains unchanged. Moreover, for any $z \in V_1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, u_i)} - 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, u_i)}) = 0.$$

So

$$R^L(G') - R^L(G) \geq C(G' - e) - C(G - e) = 2 \sum_{z \in V_1} F(z) \quad (4)$$

with

$$F(z) = \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup V_2} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, w)} - 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)}).$$

We will show $F(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in V_1$, and $F(z) > 0$ for some $z \in N \subseteq V_1$, so from (4), we have $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$, a contradiction.

Suppose first that $e \in E(G[V_1]) \cup E(G[V_2])$. For any $z \in V_1$, we have

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-e}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t & \text{if } w \in V_0, \\ -t & \text{if } w \in V_2. \end{cases}$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_0, w)-1} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_t, w)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} 2^{-i} \\ &\geq (b_2 - 1) - 1 + 2^{-\ell-1} \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

So for $z \in V_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= (2^{-t} - 1) \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)} \\ &\quad + (2^t - 1) \sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)} \\ &= (2 - 2^{-t+1}) 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, u_0)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-e}(u_0, w)-1} \right) \\ & \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality is strict if $d_{G-e}(z, u_0) < \infty$, for example, for $z \in N$.

Suppose next that $e = u_t x$ for some $x \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}$. For any $z \in V_1$,

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-e}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t & \text{if } w \in V_0, \\ -t & \text{if } w \in V_2 \setminus V(T_x), \\ -t-1 & \text{if } w \in V(T_x), \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= (2^{-t} - 1) \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)} \\ & \quad + (2^t - 1) \sum_{w \in V_2 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)} \\ & \quad + (2^{t+1} - 1) \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_{G-e}(z, w)} \\ &= (2 - 2^{-t+1}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)-1} \right) \\ & \quad + (2 - 2^{-t}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} \\ & \geq (2 - 2^{-t+1}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \\ & \quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} 2^{-i} \right) \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2. $e \neq uv$ for any $u \in N$ and any $v \in V(B_2)$.

Suppose that this is not true. That is, $e = uv$ for some $u \in N$ and some $v \in V(B_2)$. Let $f = uu_1$. As we pass from $G - f$ to $G' - e$, the distance

between any pair of vertices in $V_0 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ and in V_1 remains unchanged. So

$$R^L(G') - R^L(G) \geq C(G' - e) - C(G - f) = 2 \sum_{z \in V_1} F(z)$$

with

$$F(z) = \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,w)}).$$

We will show that $F(z) > 0$ for $z \in V_1$, so $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$, a contradiction.

Suppose first that $v = u_t$, that is, $e = uu_t$. For any $z \in V_1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^t (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z,u_i)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,u_i)}) = 0,$$

so

$$F(z) = \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup V_2} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,w)}).$$

If $z \in V(T_u)$, then

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-f}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t + 1 & \text{if } w \in V_0, \\ -t - 1 & \text{if } w \in V_2, \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= (2 - 2^{-t})2^{-d_G(z,u_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_0,w)-1} \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $z \in V_1 \setminus V(T_u)$, then

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-f}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t & \text{if } w \in V_0, \\ -t & \text{if } w \in V_2, \end{cases}$$

so

$$F(z) = (2 - 2^{-t+1})2^{-d_G(z,u_0)}$$

$$\cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)-1} \right) > 0.$$

Suppose next that $v \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}$. If $z \in V(T_u)$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^t (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, u_i)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, u_i)}) = 0$$

and

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-f}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t & \text{if } w \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}, \\ -t & \text{if } w \in V(T_v), \\ -t-1 & \text{if } w \in V_2 \setminus V(T_v), \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup V_2 \setminus \{u_0\}} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, w)}) \\ &= (1 - 2^{-t}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V(T_v)} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} \right) \\ &\quad + (2 - 2^{-t}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \sum_{w \in V_2 \setminus V(T_v)} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} \\ &\geq (1 - 2^{-t}) 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t, w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $z \in V_1 \setminus V(T_u)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^t (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, u_i)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, u_i)}) = 0$$

and

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-f}(z, w) \begin{cases} +t & \text{if } w \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}, \\ -t & \text{if } w \in V_2, \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
F(z) &= \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup V_2} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,w)}) \\
&= (2 - 2^{-t+1})2^{-d_G(z,u_0)} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}} 2^{-d_G(u_0,w)-1} \right) \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In any case, we have $F(z) > 0$ for $z \in V_1$. This proves Claim 2.

By Claims 1 and 2, we have $e = u_{t-1}u_t$.

From the definition of ℓ , one has $\sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} 2^{-i} = 1 - 2^{-\ell-1}$.

Then

$$\sum_{w \in V(T_z)} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} \geq \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} = 1 - 2^{-\ell-1}$$

for any $z \in N$ whether T_z is a pendant path at z or not, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{w \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(w,u_0)} &= \sum_{w \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(w,u_1)} \\
&= \sum_{z \in N} \sum_{w \in V(T_z)} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} \\
&\geq (b_1 - 2)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{w \in V(T_z)} 2^{-d_G(u_t,w)} \geq \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} = 1 - 2^{-\ell-1}$$

for any $z \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_t,w)} &= \sum_{z \in V(B_2) \setminus \{u_t\}} \sum_{w \in V(T_z)} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} \\
&\geq (b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Claim 3. $t = 1$.

Suppose that $t \geq 2$. As we pass from $G - e$ to $G' - e$, the distance between any pair of vertices in $V_0 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}$, in V_1 and in $V_2 \cup \{u_t\}$ remains unchanged. Then

$$C(G' - e) - C(G - e) = 2 \sum_{z \in V_1} F(z)$$

with

$$F(z) = \sum_{w \in V_2 \cup \{u_t\}} 2^{-d_{G'}(z,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}} 2^{-d_G(z,w)}.$$

For any $z \in V_1$, there is some vertex $x \in N$ such that $z \in V(T_x)$. Then

$$d_{G'}(z, w) = d_G(z, x) + d_{G'}(x, w)$$

for any $w \in V_2$ and

$$d_G(z, w) = d_G(z, x) + d_G(x, w)$$

for any $w \in V_0 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & F(z) \cdot 2^{d_G(z,x)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_2 \cup \{u_t\}} 2^{-d_{G'}(x,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0 \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}\}} 2^{-d_G(x,w)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_2 \cup \{u_t\}} 2^{-d_{G'}(x,w)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} 2^{-i} - \sum_{i=1}^t 2^{-i} \\ &\geq 1 + (b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1}) - (1 - 2^{-\ell-1}) - (1 - 2^{-t}) \\ &\geq 1 + 1 - 2^{-\ell-1} - 1 + 2^{-t} \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows as $\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G'}(x,w)} = \sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_{G'}(u_t,w)} \geq (b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1})$ by Eq. (6). It thus follows that $R^L(G') = C(G' - e) > C(G - e) \geq R^L(G)$, a contradiction. So Claim 3 follows.

By Claim 3, $t = 1$. That is, $V(B_1) \cap V(B_2) = \{u_1\}$ and $e = u_0u_1$.

Claim 4. For any $y \in N \cup V(B_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$, y lies in some nontrivial block different from B_1 and B_2 or T_y is a pendant path of length ℓ at y .

Suppose to the contrary that y lies outside any nontrivial block different from B_1 and B_2 and T_y is not a pendant path of length of length ℓ . Then we have two cases: (a) T_y is a pendant path of length at least $\ell + 1$, or (b) T_y is not a path, which implies that there is a nontrivial block not containing y in

T_y . In either case, y has a unique neighbor y' in T_y , $\sum_{w \in V(T_y) \setminus \{y\}} 2^{-d_G(y,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} \geq 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G' - e) - C(G' - yy') \\
& \geq 2 \sum_{z \in V(G) \setminus (V_0 \cup V(T_y) \setminus \{x\})} \left(\sum_{w \in V(T_y) \setminus \{y\}} 2^{-d_{G'}(z,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_{G'}(z,w)} \right) \\
& = 2 \sum_{z \in V(G) \setminus (V_0 \cup V(T_y) \setminus \{y\})} 2^{-d_G(z,u_1)} \left(\sum_{w \in V(T_y) \setminus \{y\}} 2^{-d_G(y,w)} - \sum_{w \in V_0} 2^{-d_G(u_1,w)} \right) \\
& \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $C(G' - yy') - C(G - yy') > 0$ as in the argument in Claim 1. So $R^L(G) \leq C(G - yy') < C(G' - yy') \leq C(G' - e) = R^L(G')$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 4.

Claim 5. $\ell \geq 1$.

Suppose that $\ell = 0$. Since G possesses $k \geq 2$ cut vertices, there is some cut vertex $x \in (V(B_1) \cup V(B_2)) \setminus \{u_0, u_1\}$. By Claim 4, x lies in some nontrivial block B of G different from B_1 and B_2 .

Assume that $x \in V(B_1)$ as the case when $x \in V(B_2)$ may be proved similarly. Assume further that B is such a nontrivial block with the largest size b . Note that

$$\sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0,w)} \geq 2^{-1} + (b-1)2^{-2}. \quad (7)$$

Let $f = u_1x$. As we pass from $G - f$ to $G' - e$, the distance between any pair of vertices in V_1 , in $V_1 \cup \{u_1\} \setminus V(T_x)$ and in $V_2 \cup \{u_1\}$ remains unchanged. So

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G' - e) - C(G - f) \\
& = 2 \sum_{z \in V_2} \sum_{w \in V_1} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z,w)}) \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(u_1,w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(u_1,w)}) \\
& \quad - 2 \sum_{w \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1\}} 2^{-d_{G-f}(u_0,w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $z \in V_2$,

$$d_{G'-e}(z, w) = d_{G-f}(z, w) \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } w \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x), \\ -2 & \text{if } w \in V(T_x), \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in V_1} (2^{-d_{G'-e}(z, w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, w)}) \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, w)} + 3 \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_{G-f}(z, w)} \\ &= 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} \\ & \quad + 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)-1} \cdot 3 \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $w \in V(T_x)$, $d_{G'-e}(u_1, w) = d_{G-f}(u_1, w) - 1$, so

$$2^{-d_{G'-e}(u_1, w)} - 2^{-d_{G-f}(u_1, w)} = 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)-1}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{w \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1\}} 2^{-d_{G-f}(u_0, w)} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} + \sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} \\ & \quad + \sum_{z \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)-1} + 2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G' - e) - C(G - f) \\ &= 2 \left(\sum_{z \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} - 1 \right) \sum_{w \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} \\ & \quad + 2 \left(3 \sum_{z \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)-1} - 2^{-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot \left(\sum_{w \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(u_0, w)} - 3^{-1} \right) - \frac{4}{3}.$$

If $b_2 \geq 4$ or $b \geq 4$, then by Eqs. (5), (6) and (7),

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G' - e) - C(G - f) \\ & \geq 2 \left((b_2 - 1)2^{-1} - 1 \right) (b_1 - 3)2^{-1} \\ & \quad + 2 \left(3(b_2 - 1)2^{-2} - 2^{-1} \right) \left(2^{-1} + (b - 1)2^{-2} - 3^{-1} \right) - \frac{4}{3} \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $R^L(G') = C(G' - e) > C(G - f) \geq R^L(G)$, a contradiction. So $b_2 = b = 3$. If there is a nontrivial block B' different from B_1, B_2, B such that B' and B_2 share a common vertex, then

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G' - e) - C(G - f) \\ & > 2 \left((b_2 - 1)2^{-1} - 1 \right) (b_1 - 3)2^{-1} \\ & \quad + 2 \left(3(b_2 - 1)2^{-2} - 2^{-1} \right) \left(2^{-1} + (b - 1)2^{-2} - 3^{-1} \right) - \frac{4}{3} \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $R^L(G') > R^L(G)$, also a contradiction. So there is no nontrivial block B' different from B_1 such that B' and B_2 share a common vertex. From this fact, Claim 4 and the assumption that $\ell = 0$, we see that each vertex in $V(B_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ is not a cut vertex.

By similar argument, each vertex in $V(B) \setminus \{x\}$ is not a cut vertex.

Let $V(B_2) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, $V(B) = \{x, x_1, x_2\}$ and

$$G^* = G - u_2u_3 - x_1x_2 + x_2u_2 + \{x_2w, u_2w : w \in V(B_1)\}.$$

By similar argument as in Claims 1 and 2, $R(G^*) = C(G^* - u_1u_3) = C(G^* - xx_1)$. Let $f_1 = u_1u_3$, $f_2 = u_1x$ and $W_1 = V(G) \setminus (V(B_2) \cup V(B))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G^* - f_1) - C(G - f_2) \\ & = 2 \sum_{w \in W_1 \cup \{x, x_1\}} \left(2^{-d_{G^* - f_1}(u_2, w)} - 2^{-d_{G - f_2}(u_2, w)} \right) \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{w \in W_1 \cup \{u_1, u_2, x_1\}} \left(2^{-d_{G^* - f_1}(x_2, w)} - 2^{-d_{G - f_2}(x_2, w)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \sum_{w \in \{x, x_1\}} (2^{-d_{G^* - f_1}(u_1, w)} - 2^{-d_{G - f_2}(u_1, w)}) - 2 \sum_{w \in V(G) \setminus \{u_3\}} 2^{-d_{G - f_2}(u_3, w)} \\
& = 2 \left(\sum_{w \in W_1} 2^{-d_G(u_2, w)} + 3 \cdot 2^{-d_G(u_2, x) - 1} + 3 \cdot 2^{-d_G(u_2, x_1) - 1} \right) \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\sum_{w \in W_1} 2^{-d_G(x_2, w)} + 3 \cdot 2^{-d_G(x_2, u_1) - 1} + 7 \cdot 2^{-d_G(u_2, x_2) - 1} \right) + 2^{-d_G(u_1, x_1)} \\
& \quad - 2 \left(\sum_{w \in W_1} 2^{-d_G(u_3, w)} + 2 \cdot 2^{-d_G(u_3, u_2)} + 2^{-d_G(u_3, x) - 1} + 2 \cdot 2^{-d_G(u_3, x_1) - 1} \right) \\
& = 2 \sum_{w \in W_1} 2^{-d_G(u_2, w)} + 3 \cdot 2^{-2} + 3 \cdot 2^{-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{-2} + 7 \cdot 2^{-3} + 2^{-2} - 2 - 2^{-2} - 2^{-2} \\
& = 2 \sum_{w \in W_1} 2^{-d_G(u_2, w)} + \frac{1}{2} \\
& > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $R^L(G^*) = C(G^* - f_1) > C(G - f_2) \geq R^L(G)$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 5.

By Claim 5, $\ell \geq 1$. Denote by u'_0 the neighbor of u_0 in V_0 . Let $e' = u_0 u'_0$. As we pass from $G - e'$ to $G' - e$, the distance between any pair of vertices in V_0 , in V_1 and in V_2 remains unchanged. Note that

$$d_{G' - e}(z, w) = d_{G - e'}(z, w) - 1$$

for any $z \in V_1$, $w \in V_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \\
& = 2 \sum_{z \in V_1} \sum_{w \in V_2} (2^{-d_{G' - e}(z, w)} - 2^{-d_{G - e'}(z, w)}) \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{z \in V_0 \setminus \{u_0\}} 2^{-d_{G' - e}(z, u_0)} - 2 \sum_{z \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1\}} 2^{-d_{G - e'}(z, u_0)} \\
& = 2 \sum_{z \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} \sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} + \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{-i} \\
& \quad - 2 \sum_{z \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{u_1\}} 2^{-d_G(z, u_0)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(2 \sum_{z \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} - 1 \right) - 2^{-\ell+1}.$$

If $\ell \geq 2$, then by Eqs. (5) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \\ & \geq (2(b_1 - 2)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1}) - 1) ((b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-\ell-1}) - 1) - 2^{-\ell+1} \\ & \geq (1 - 2^{-2})(1 - 2^{-2}) - 2^{-1} \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. It thus follows that $\ell = 1$.

If there is a nontrivial block B with size b with $V(B_1) \cap V(B) = \{x\}$ or $V(B_2) \cap V(B) = \{x\}$. Note that

$$\sum_{z \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} \geq 2^{-1} + (b - 1)2^{-2}(2 - 2^{-1}).$$

Suppose first that $x \in N$. If $b_1 \geq 4$, $b_2 \geq 4$ or $b \geq 4$, then by Eqs. (5) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \\ & \geq \left(2 \sum_{z \in V_1} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} - 1 \right) - 2^{-\ell+1} \\ & = \left(2 \sum_{z \in V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} - 1 \right) \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{x \in V_1 \setminus V(T_x)} 2^{-d_G(z, u_1)} \left(\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} - 1 \right) - 1 \\ & \geq (1 + (b - 1)2^{-1}(2 - 2^{-1}) - 1) ((b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) \\ & \quad + (b_1 - 3)(1 - 2^{-2}) ((b_2 - 1)(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) - 1 \\ & > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So $b = b_1 = b_2 = 3$.

Note that there is no nontrivial block different from B_1 that has a common vertex with B_2 , otherwise, we have $\sum_{w \in V_2} 2^{-d_G(u_1, w)} \geq 1 - 2^{-2} + 2^{-1} + 2 \cdot 2^{-1}(1 - 2^{-2}) = 2$ and hence

$$C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \geq (1 + 2 - 2^{-1} - 1)(2 - 1) - 1 > 0,$$

a contradiction. By Claim 4, the length of pendant path at either vertex in $V(B_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ is one.

Let $G'' = u_0u_1 + \{u_1w : w \in V(B) \setminus \{x\}\}$. Assume that $R^L(G'') = C(G'' - f)$. By similar argument as in Claims 1 and 2, $f = u_0x$. Suppose that there is a nontrivial block different from B at some vertex in $V(B) \setminus \{x\}$. Let T'_w be the component of $G - w$ containing w for $w \in \{u_1\} \cup V(B) \setminus \{x\}$ and $V_B = \cup_{w \in V(B) \setminus \{x\}} V(T'_w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & C(G'' - f) - C(G'' - u_0u'_0) \\ &= \left(2 \sum_{z \in V(T'_{u_1})} 2^{-d_G(z,x)} - 1 \right) \left(\sum_{w \in V_B} 2^{-d_G(x,w)} - 1 \right) - 1 \\ &\geq (1 + 2 - 2^{-1} - 1)(2 - 1) - 1 \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. By similar argument as in Claim 4, the length of pendant path at each vertex in $V(B) \setminus \{x\}$ is one. Thus G is a graph on 12 vertices with 7 cut vertices and exactly 3 nontrivial blocks. By direct calculation, $R^L(G) = 25.625 < 27.375 = R^L(PK_{12,7})$, a contradiction.

It follows that $x \notin N$, so $x \in V(B_2)$, which is still impossible by similar arguments as above. Thus, there is no nontrivial block different from B_1 and B_2 that has a common vertex with B_1 or B_2 . By Claim 4, each pendant path at any vertex of $V(B_1) \cup V(B_2) \setminus \{u_1\}$ is of length one. That is, $G \cong H_n(b_1, b_2)$.

Assume that $b_1 \leq b_2$. If $b_1 \geq 4$, then

$$C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \geq (4(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) (3(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) - 1 > 0,$$

a contradiction. So, $b_1 = 3$. If $b_2 \geq 6$, then

$$C(G' - e) - C(G - e') \geq (2(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) (5(1 - 2^{-2}) - 1) - 1 > 0,$$

a contradiction. So $b_2 \leq 5$. If $b_2 = 5$, then by direct calculation, we have $R^L(G') = 36 > 35.25 = R^L(G)$, a contradiction. So we are left with two possibilities: $G \cong H_9(3, 3)$ or $G \cong H_{11}(3, 4)$.

Therefore, we have proved that $G \cong H_9(3, 3)$, $H_{11}(3, 4)$, or there is precisely one nontrivial block of G . By Lemma 7, we have $G \cong H_9(3, 3)$ with $(n, k) = (9, 5)$, $G \cong H_{11}(3, 4)$ with $(n, k) = (11, 6)$, or $G \cong PK_{n,k}$.

By direct calculation, $R^L(H_9(3, 3)) = 16$ and $R^L(H_{11}(3, 4)) = 24.5$.

Let q and r be integers with $k = (n - k)q + r$ and $0 \leq r < k$. Assume that $PK_{n,k} = K^{a_1, \dots, a_{n-k}}$ with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-k}$. If $r = 0$, then $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-k} = q$, so we have by Eq. (1) that

$$R^L(PK_{n,k}) = 2k - 4 + 2^{2-q} + (n - k - 1)2^{-q} + \binom{n - k - 1}{2}(2 - 2^{-q})^2.$$

If $r \geq 1$, then $a_1 = \dots = a_r = q + 1$, $a_{r+1} = \dots = a_{n-k} = q$, so we have by Eq. (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} R^L(PK_{n,k}) &= 2k - 4 + 2^{1-q} + (r - 1)2^{-q-1} + (n - k - r)2^{-q} \\ &\quad + \binom{r - 1}{2}(2 - 2^{-q-1})^2 + \binom{n - k - r}{2}(2 - 2^{-q})^2 \\ &\quad + (r - 1)(n - k - r)(2 - 2^{-q-1})(2 - 2^{-q}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the proof is completed by noting that $R^L(PK_{9,5}) = 15.25 < R^L(H_9(3, 3)) = 16$ and $R^L(PK_{11,6}) = 24.5 = R^L(H_{11}(3, 4))$. \square

Data Availability

All data are incorporated into the article and its online supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071158).

References

- [1] Aytac, A. and Odabas, Z. N. (2011) Residual closeness of wheels and related networks. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **22**, 1229–1240.
- [2] Aytac, A. and Berberler, Z. N. O. (2017) Robustness of regular caterpillars. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **28**, 835–841.
- [3] Aytac, A. and Odabas Berberler, Z. N. (2018) Network robustness and residual closeness. *RAIRO Oper. Res.*, **52**, 839–847.
- [4] Berberler, Z. N. and Yiğit, E. (2018) Link vulnerability in networks. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **29**, 447–456.

- [5] Berge, C. (1958) Sur le couplage maximum d'un graphe. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **247**, 258–259.
- [6] Cheng, M. and Zhou, B. (2022) Residual closeness of graphs with given parameters. *J. Oper. Res. Soc. China*, Doi: 10.1007/s40305-022-00405-9.
- [7] Chvátal, V. (1973) Tough graphs and Hamiltonian circuits. *Discrete Math.*, **5**, 215–228.
- [8] Dangalchev, C. (2006) Residual closeness in networks. *Phys. A*, **365**, 556–564.
- [9] Dangalchev, C. (2011) Residual closeness and generalized closeness. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **22**, 1939–1948.
- [10] Dangalchev, C. (2018) Residual closeness of generalized thorn graphs. *Fund. Inform.*, **162**, 1–15.
- [11] Frank, F. and Frisch, I. T. (1970) Analysis and design of survivable networks. *IEEE Trans. Commun. Tech.*, **18**, 501–519.
- [12] Holme, P., Kim, B. J., Yoon, C. N., and Han, S. K. (2002) Attack vulnerability of complex networks. *Phys. Rev. E.*, **65**, 056109.
- [13] Jackson, M. O. (2008) *Social and Economic Networks*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [14] Jung, H. A. (1978), On a class of posets and the corresponding comparability graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **24**, 125–133.
- [15] Odabas, Z. N. and Aytac, A. (2013) Residual closeness in cycles and related networks. *Fund. Inform.*, **124**, 297–307.
- [16] Tutte, W. T. (1947) The factorization of linear graphs. *J. London Math. Soc.*, **22**, 107–111.
- [17] Wang, Y. and Zhou, B. (2022) Residual closeness, matching number and chromatic number. *Comput. J.*, Doi: 10.1093/comjnl/bxac004.
- [18] Woodall, D. R. (1973) The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **15**, 225–255.

- [19] Yiğit, E. and Berberler, Z. N. (2019) A note on the link residual closeness of graphs under join operation. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **30**, 417–424.
- [20] Yiğit, E. and Berberler, Z. N. (2019) Link failure in wheel type networks. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. C*, **30**, 1950072.
- [21] Zhou, B., Li, Z., and Guo, H. (2021) Extremal results on vertex and link residual closeness. *Internat. J. Found. Comput. Sci.*, **32**, 921–941.