

Extended Relative Power Contribution that Allows to Evaluate the Effect of Correlated Noise

Genshiro Kitagawa

The Institute of Statistical Mathematics
and
Graduate University for Advanced Study

Yoko Tanokura

CIPPS Inc., Visiting Fellow

Seisho Sato

Graduate School of Economics, The University of Tokyo

November 6, 2023

Abstract

We proposed an extension of Akaike's relative power contribution that could be applied to data with correlations between noises. This method decomposes the power spectrum into a contribution of the terms caused by correlation between two noises, in addition to the contributions of the independent noises. Numerical examples confirm that some of the correlated noise has the effect of reducing the power spectrum.

Key words and phrases: power spectrum, relative power contribution, multivariate autoregressive model, variance covariance matrix of noise.

1 Introduction

Multivariate dynamic systems, such as industrial plants like cement rotary kilns and thermal power plant boilers, ships navigating in the ocean, and economic systems, vary with many variables with serial and time series correlations. Akaike (1968) proposed the concept of relative power contribution as a tool to analyze multivariate systems with such feedback. This method has been applied to the analysis and control of many real systems and many successful examples have been reported, for example, analysis and statistical control of a cement rotary kilns and boiler of electric power plant, design of autopilot system of ships, and analysis of financial asset prices. For more details, readers are referred to Akaike and Nakagawa (1988) and Akaike and Kitagawa (1998).

The relative power contribution is defined under fairly strong assumption that the variance-covariance matrix of the noise in a multivariate AR model representing a multivariate time series system is diagonal. Therefore, when there is a strong correlation between the noise terms in each variable, as in economic systems, the results obtained by assuming uncorrelation among the noises may have a large bias, making the application of this concept problematic.

Therefore, extending Akaike's concept of relative power contribution to include the case where there is correlation between noises is an important issue, as it allows for application to diverse fields of multivariate systems. On this issue, Tanokura and Kitagawa (1994) defined a generalized relative power contribution that can be applied when the uncorrelatedness of noise does not hold by decomposing the $k \times k$ variance-covariance matrix of noise into the sum of $k(k+1)/2$ rank 1 matrices. The distinctive feature of this method is that it generally uses not only the correlation of two noise variables, but also the correlated noise of three or more variables. This method is mainly used in the analysis of economic systems. See Tanokura and Kitagawa (2015) for details.

In this paper we consider a simpler method than the above method to extend Akaike's power contribution. When the variance-covariance matrix of the noise contains off-diagonal elements, the power spectrum of each component requires a term related to the correlation coefficient between the two noises, in addition to the contribution from the independent noises. By considering this as a contribution to the power spectrum from the correlated noise, we can extend the concept of relative power contribution. In this case, however, some of the contribution terms take negative values. The meaning of this negative contribution will be discussed through examples of actual time series and simulations.

This paper consists of seven sections: in Section 2, we review Akaike's concept of the relative power contribution and briefly introduce the generalized relative power contribution by Tanokura and Kitagawa (1994). Section 3 defines the new extended relative power contribution. Two examples are presented to illustrate this approach. In Section 4, we consider the generalized relative power contribution for ship's motions. In the case of this system, the correlations between the noises are relatively small, and in this case the results are similar to the Akaike relative contribution, so the application of the extended relative power contribution is not essential. Section 5, on the other hand, analyzes GDP data, which yields quite different results since there are strong correlations between noise inputs. Chapter 6 reinterprets the results of the extended relative power contribution from a simulation perspective. Chapter 7 discusses the concluding remarks.

2 A Review of Akaike's Relative Noise Contribution

Assume that y_n is k -dimensional time series and consider a multivariate autoregressive model

$$y_n = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_{n-j} + v_n, \quad (1)$$

where A_j is $k \times k$ autoregressive coefficient matrix for lag j , v_n is k -dimensional Gaussian white noise with mean 0 and variance-covariance matrix V , $N(0, V)$. In the definition of Akaike's relative power contribution (or relative noise contribution), it is assumed that the variance covariance matrix of the noise input V is diagonal and is given by $V = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2)$.

Then the cross spectrum matrix of the AR process is given by

$$P(f) = A(f)^{-1} V (A(f)^{-1})^* \equiv B(f) V B(f)^*, \quad -1/2 \leq f \leq 1/2, \quad (2)$$

where $*$ denote the complex conjugate of the complex matrix and $A(f)$ is the Fourier transform of the AR coefficient matrices defined by

$$A(f) = I - \sum_{j=1}^m A_j e^{-2\pi i j f}, \quad -1/2 \leq f \leq 1/2. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, from equation (2), the power spectrum of j -th time series is given by

$$p_{jj} = \sum_{l=1}^k b_{jl}(f) \sigma_l^2 b_{jl}^*(f) = \sum_{l=1}^k |b_{jl}(f)|^2 \sigma_l^2, \quad (4)$$

where b_{jl} is the (j, l) element of the complex matrix $B(f)$. This indicates that the power spectrum can be decomposed into k components where $|b_{jl}(f)|^2 \sigma_l^2$ can be considered as the contribution from the noise input to the l -th time series. Based on this consideration, Akaike (1969) defined the **relative power contribution** (relative noise contribution) to j from l at frequency f by

$$r_{jl} = \frac{|b_{jl}(f)|^2 \sigma_l^2}{p_{jj}(f)}, \quad l = 1, \dots, k. \quad (5)$$

Relative power contribution is useful in the analysis of feedback systems and the design of optimal control systems, and have been applied in many areas, including cement rotary kilns (Akaike and Nakagawa (1989)), thermal power plants (Nakamura and Akaike (1981), Akaike and Kitagawa (1998)), ships motion and engine system (Ohtsu (1912) and Ohtsu, Peng and Kitagawa (2015)), and macro economics (Tanokura and Kitagawa (2015)).

In this paper, we will refer to

$$s_{jl} = |b_{jl}(f)|^2 \sigma_l^2, \quad l = 1, \dots, k \quad (6)$$

as the **absolute power contribution** of l -th time series to the j -th time series at frequency f . As will be seen in the examples in the next section, important information can be obtained from the absolute power contribution.

Tanokura and Kitagawa (1994, 2015) extend Akaike's power contribution by decomposing the variance-covariance matrix in the following manner:

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{jj} I_{H_j(k-1)} I_{H_j(k-1)}^T + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{k-(m+1)+j,j} I_{H_j(k)} I_{H_j(k)}^T, \quad (7)$$

where $I_{H_j(m)} = \{i_{jm}(1), \dots, i_{jm}(k)\}$ is a k -dimensional vector. of which m components are 0 and $(k-m)$ components are either 1 or -1 , depending on the signs of correlations for $m = 0, \dots, k-1; j = 1, \dots, m+1$. Here $H_j(k)$, the suffix of $I_{H_j(m)}$, is a subset of $H_j(k) = \{h_{j,1}, \dots, h_{j,m}\}$ of $H = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and indicates the components of 0 of $I_{H_j(m)}$.

Then the power spectrum of the r -th component is expressed as

$$p_{rr}(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{jj} |b_{rj}(f)|^2 + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \alpha_{k-(m+1)+j,j} \sum_{h=1, h \neq r}^k \sum_{n=1, n \neq r}^k C_{rjm}(h) c_{rjm}(n)^*, \quad (8)$$

where $c_{rjm}(h) = i_{jm} b_{rh}(f)$. Finally, the generalized power contribution is defined as

$$r_{rjm}(f) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{k-(m+1)+j,j} \sum_{h=1, h \neq r}^k \sum_{n=1, n \neq r}^k C_{rjm}(h) c_{rjm}(n)^*}{p_{rr}(f)}, & m = 0, \dots, k-2; j = 1, \dots, m+1 \\ \frac{\alpha_{jj} |b_{rj}(f)|^2}{p_{rr}(f)}, & m = k-1; j = 1, \dots, m \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The applications of this generalized power contribution can be found in Tanokura and Kitagawa (2015).

3 Noise Contribution in the Presence of Correlated Noise

Although the relative power contribution is very useful, the assumption that the variance-covariance matrix of the noise is a diagonal matrix limits its applicability. Here, we consider a way to extend the relative power contribution to the case where the AR model has a variance-covariance matrix in general form. As shown in the previous section, based on the same motivation, Tanokura and Kitagawa (2004) proposed a method by decomposing the variance-covariance matrix to $k(k+1)/2$ rank one matrices, but here we consider a method that can directly use the off-diagonal terms of the covariance matrix as they are.

Assume that the variance-covariance matrix of the noise term of the k -variate autoregressive model is given by

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} & \cdots & \tau_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_{k1} & \cdots & \tau_{kk} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Then from equation (2), the power spectrum of the j -th time series, i.e., the (j, j) component of the cross spectral matrix is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{jj}(f) &= [b_{j1} \cdots b_{jk}] \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{11} & \cdots & \tau_{1k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau_{k1} & \cdots & \tau_{kk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{j1}^* \\ \vdots \\ b_{jk}^* \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^k b_{jl}(f) b_{jm}^*(f) \tau_{lm}, \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^k |b_{jm}(f)|^2 \tau_{mm} + \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{m \neq l}^k b_{jl}(f) b_{jm}^*(f) \tau_{lm}, \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^k |b_{jm}(f)|^2 \tau_{mm} + \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (b_{jl}(f) b_{jm}^*(f) + b_{jm}(f) b_{jl}^*(f)) \tau_{lm}, \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^k |b_{jm}(f)|^2 \tau_{mm} + 2 \sum_{l=2}^k \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_{jl}(f) \alpha_{jm}(f) + \beta_{jl}(f) \beta_{jm}(f)) \tau_{lm}, \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $b_{jk}^*(f)$ is the complex conjugate of the complex number $b_{jk}(f)$ and $\alpha_{jm}(f)$ and $\beta_{jm}(f)$ are the real and imaginary part of $b_{jm}(f)$, i.e., $b_{jm}(f) = \alpha_{jm}(f) + i\beta_{jm}(f)$.

For this case, extending Akaike's relative power contribution, we define the **extended absolute power contribution** of j -th time series to the fluctuation of i -th time series at frequency f by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{ij}(f) &= b_{il}(f) b_{im}^*(f) \tau_{lm} \\
&= \begin{cases} |b_{ij}(f)|^2 \tau_{jj}, & j = 1, \dots, k \\ (\alpha_{il}(f) \alpha_{im}(f) + \beta_{il}(f) \beta_{im}(f)) \tau_{lm}. & j = k+1, \dots, k(k+1)/2, \end{cases} \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, there is a relationship between j and (l, m) , $j = j_l + m$, where j_l is obtained by the following sequential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
j_2 &= k, \\
j_l &= j_{l-1} + l - 2, \quad l = 3, \dots, k. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Further, the **extended relative power contribution** of j -th time series to the fluctuation of i -th time series at frequency f is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{ij}(f) &= \frac{b_{il}(f) b_{im}^*(f) \tau_{lm}}{p_{ii}(f)} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{|b_{ij}(f)|^2 \tau_{jj}}{p_{ii}(f)}, & j = 1, \dots, k \\ \frac{(\alpha_{il}(f) \alpha_{im}(f) + \beta_{il}(f) \beta_{im}(f)) \tau_{lm}}{p_{ii}(f)}, & j = k+1, \dots, k(k+1)/2. \end{cases} \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for $j = 1, \dots, k$, $r_{ij}(f)$ is the contribution of the τ_{jj} which is equivalent to the Akaike's relative power contribution, whereas for $j = k+1, \dots, k(k+1)/2$, they are the contribution of the correlated noise corresponding to the covariance τ_{lm} . They are real values but are not necessarily be positive.




Figure 1: Hakusan-Maru ship data. Data length $N = 1,000$, sampling interval $\Delta t = 1\text{sec}$.

4 Example of Ship's Data

We consider here a 7-variate ship's data, Hakusan-Maru data, consisting of yaw rate, Zacc (vertical acceralation), roll, pitch, engine r.p.m., engine governor and rudder angle shown in Figure 1 provided by late Prof. Ohtsu of Tokyo University of Marine Science and Technology. Number of observations is $N = 1,000$ and sampling interval is 1 second.

Figure 2 shows power spectra $p(f)$, $0 \leq f \leq 0.25$ (Hz) of 7 variables; yaw rate, Zacc, roll, pitch, r.p.m., governor and rudder. Table 1 shows the frequencies of the first and the second largest peaks (including the inflection point) of each power spectrum. The frequencies of the maximum peak are classified into three frequencies: about 0.6Hz for roll and rudder, about 0.8Hz for Zacc, pitch, and governor, and 0.125Hz for yaw rate, but the frequencies of the second peaks are concentrated at 0.124, except for yaw rate, which is a very small peak.

Table 1: Frequencies (Hz) of the first and the second peaks of the power spectra.

	Yaw rate	Zacc	Roll	Pitch	r.p.m.	Governor	Rudder
Frequency of heighest peak	0.125	0.081	0.059	0.085	0.131	0.081	0.060
Frequency of the second peak	0.054	0.124	–	0.121	0.124	0.124	–

Figure 3 shows Akaike's relative and absolute power contribution of the Hakusan-Maru data. For each time series, upper panel shows the relative power contribution and the lower panel shows the absolute power contribution.

The relative power contribution is a good way to see how much noise contributes to the variation of the time series at each frequency. Yaw rate fluctuates over the entire frequency




Figure 2: Hakusan data.




Figure 3: Relative power contribution of Hakusan-Marui data.

range due to noise directly introduced into the Yaw rate itself. Rudder is similarly dominated by its own noise, but for frequency $0.05 < f < 0.15$, it is also strongly influenced by yaw rate. Yaw rate also has nearly 50% influence on roll, pitch, and r.p.m. in a certain frequency range. Pitch shows a strong influence on Zacc, r.p.m., and governor as well as pitch itself.

However, even when the effect of a variable is very strong, if the power spectrum at that frequency is small, the effect on the variability of that variable is not significant. The absolute power contribution allows for an actual effect analysis that takes this into account. For example, in the case of the roll variation, the influence of roll itself is strongest in the high power region, and the influence of rudder is also found to be about 30%. Zacc is affected by pitch, yaw rate and Zacc itself. Pitch has a strong influence of about 50% in the major fluctuation regions of Zacc, pitch, r.p.m. and governor, and yaw rate has an influence of about 20–40% in the major regions of Zacc, pitch, r.p.m. and governor.

Table 2: Correlation matrix of the noise of ship data

	Yaw rate	Zacc	Roll	Pitch	r.p.m.	Governor	Rudder
Yaw rate	1.000	0.000	0.000	-0.197	0.029	-0.021	0.001
Zacc	0.000	1.000	0.064	0.075	-0.057	0.084	-0.008
Roll	0.000	0.064	1.000	-0.068	-0.070	-0.002	-0.041
Pitch	-0.197	0.075	-0.068	1.000	-0.144	0.111	-0.002
r.p.m.	0.029	-0.057	-0.070	-0.144	1.000	-0.020	-0.026
Governor	-0.021	0.084	-0.002	0.111	-0.020	1.000	-0.082
Rudder	0.001	-0.008	-0.041	-0.002	-0.026	-0.082	1.000

The correlation matrix of the noise V is shown in Table 2. From this correlation matrix, we can see that the absolute value of the correlation coefficient of the noise is small, at most 0.197. Many correlation coefficients are statistically significant since the variance of the sample correlation coefficients for time series can be approximated by $1/\sqrt{N+2}=0.0316$ (Box and Jenkins (2015)). However, in applying Akaike’s relative power contribution, we will be able to consider that the correlations are sufficiently small except for the correlated noises (pitch and yaw rate), (r.p.m. and pitch), and (governor and pitch).

Therefore, the assumption that the variance-covariance matrix of the noise is diagonal is relatively reasonable for the Hakusan-Maruru data, and the above analysis using Akaike’s power contribution ratio is appropriate.

Figure 4 shows the results of calculating the extended power contribution for reference. In some parts, the independent noise is replaced by correlated noise, but in general, almost the same decomposition is obtained, confirming that good results are obtained in the analysis assuming uncorrelatedness of the noise in the case of this data.




Figure 4: Extended relative power contribution of Hakusan-Maruu data.

5 Example of GDP Data

In this section, we consider quarterly four-variate macroeconomic data for Japan (GDP, private consumption, government consumption, public investment, 19XX-202XX, $N=72$, AR components of seasonally adjusted data by DECOMP) shown in Figure 5.




Figure 5: GDP data.

Figure 6 shows Akaike's power contribution ($0 < f < 0.25\text{Hz}$) obtained assuming that the variance-covariance matrix of the noise is diagonal. For GDP, there is about 20% contribution from PC in the range $0 < f < 0.1$. The variation of private consumption is almost entirely due to its own contribution in the low frequency range, $f < 0.1$. For government consumption, the contribution from private consumption is about 50% at around 0.125 of the spectral peak, but




Figure 6: Relative power contribution of GDP data.

private consumption is dominant at lower frequencies and government consumption is dominant at higher frequencies. For public investment, the GDP contribution is about 20% and the private consumption contribution is about 30%.

However, Table 3 shows the correlation coefficient matrix of the noise, and the absolute values of all correlation coefficients exceed the sample variance (0.116), especially r_{21} , r_{31} , and r_{32} are very large. This suggests that the usual power contribution may not be sufficient to capture the variability characteristics of this data.

Table 3: Correlation matrix of the noise

	GDP	PC	GC	PI
GDP	1.00000	0.84575	0.43107	0.22950
PC	0.84575	1.00000	0.50969	0.27136
GC	0.43107	0.50969	1.00000	0.13831
PI	0.22950	0.27136	0.13831	1.00000

Figure 7 shows the extended power contribution of the GDP data. In this case, unlike the usual relative power contribution in Figure 6, the appearance of negative values and values above 1 is the main feature. In the case of GDP, GC, and PI, the contributions of the correlated noise (GDP+PC) are negative, with an equal amount of values above 1 appearing on the positive side. In the case of PI, the effects of (GDP+PI) and (PC+PI) are also negative, which means that the power spectrum is reduced by the negative contribution of the correlated noise.

Figure 8 is an enlarged plot of the absolute power contribution of the PI in the lower right corner of Figure 8. The contribution from correlated noise (PC+PI) is positive for $f < 0.1$ but negative for $f > 0.1$. On the other hand, the contribution from (GDP+PI) is the opposite of this, being negative for $f < 0.1$ and positive for $f > 0.1$.




Figure 7: Extended relative power contribution of GDP data. Labels 1, 2, 3, 4 denote GDP, Private consumption, Government consumption and Public investment, respectively.




Figure 8: Extended relative power contribution to PI.




Figure 9: Simulation analysis of GDP data.

6 Simulation analysis

In this section, we revisit the findings obtained by the power contribution analysis from a simulation perspective using the GDP data. Here we first obtain the realization of noise input $e_n = (e_n^{\text{GDP}}, e_n^{\text{PC}}, e_n^{\text{GC}}, e_n^{\text{PI}})^T$ by

$$e_n = y_n - \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_{n-j}. \quad (15)$$

Then the effect of the noise input to a certain series is obtained by

$$y_n^\delta = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_n^\delta + e_n^\delta, \quad \text{for } n = 37, \dots, 72, \quad (16)$$

where $\delta (= 1, \dots, 4)$ is either of GDP, PC, GC or PI and as the initial values we set $y_n^\delta = y_n$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$.

In Figure 9, the results for the GDP, PC, GC, and PI components are shown from left to right. For each component, the contributions of GDP, PC, GC, and PI and the sum of these contributions are shown from top to bottom. In the case of GDP, it can be seen that most of the variation is caused by the noise of GDP, while the noise of PC causes the long-period component and the noise of GC causes part of the short-period component, and the effect of PI is almost negligible. This is consistent with the power contribution analysis shown in Figure 6. In the case of PC, most of the variation is caused by PC noise, but the effect of GC noise is also observed. In the case of GC, the contribution of GS noise accounts for more than half of the variation, while the contribution of GDP is observed on the long-period side, and that of PC on the short-period variation. In the case of PI, GDP, PC, GC, and PI all contribute to the fractuation of PI. These results are also consistent with the power contribution analysis results in Figure 6.

Figure 10 shows a 4-variate time series (GDP, PC, GC, and PI from top to bottom) generated using pseudo-random numbers. The upper four plots on the left side are the case where only four independent noises (GDP, PC, GC, and PI) were added. The middle of the upper 4 row shows the simulation results when the correlated noise of GDP and PC is added in addition to these

four independent noises, and four right side plots show the simulation results when the correlated noise of GDP and GC is added in addition to the four independent noises. Similarly, the four columns in the bottom half show, from left to right, the simulation results when correlated noise of (GDP+PI), (PC+GC), (PC+PI), and (GC+PI) are added to the four independent noises, respectively.

Although difficult to see visually, the addition of correlated noise between GDP and PC (GDP+PC) results in smaller fluctuations in PI but larger fluctuations in GDP and PC compared to the case of independent noise alone. In the case of (GDP+GC), the variation of GC is slightly larger. In other cases, there is no clear difference.

Since it is difficult to find clear differences in a simulation of a short time series of $N = 72$, we generated 10,000 time series of length 1000, and the results for the mean and standard deviation are shown in Table 4. The addition of correlated noise between GDP and PC, labeled (1+2), reduces the variance of PI by 23%, as indicated by the green letters, while the variance of PC is 3.3 times larger. The variance of GDP also increases by 31%. The correlated noise between GDP and GC, labeled (1+3), increases the change in GDP by 18%, while PC and PI also increase slightly, by 8.5% and 3.5%, respectively. The correlation noise between GDP and PI, labeled (1+4), increases the variance of GDP, PC, and GC by 5.3%, 15.7%, and 13.4%, respectively. Some influence of PC and GC, labeled (2+3), and PC and PI, labeled (2+4), on PI is also observed, but the increase in variance is only 1.6%.

Table 4: Mean and the variance of the varainces of time series

Mean

	(1,2,3,4)	(1+2)	(1+3)	(1+4)	(2+3)	(2+4)	(3+4)
GDP	0.077257	0.101473	0.091400	0.081338	0.077257	0.077257	0.077257
PC	0.011133	0.036870	0.012081	0.012886	0.011133	0.011133	0.011133
GC	0.001109	0.001134	0.001198	0.001258	0.001109	0.001109	0.001109
PI	0.025985	0.019983	0.026887	0.025859	0.026394	0.026399	0.025985

100 times of the standard deviation

	(1,2,3,4)	(1+2)	(1+3)	(1+4)	(2+3)	(2+4)	(3+4)
GDP	0.650517	0.985340	0.790528	0.693810	0.650517	0.650517	0.650517
PC	0.079129	0.283344	0.084028	0.094602	0.079129	0.079129	0.079129
GC	0.005959	0.006204	0.006429	0.006930	0.005959	0.005959	0.005959
PI	0.190314	0.146561	0.196088	0.189435	0.193996	0.193257	0.190314

7 Concluding Remarks

Although Akaike's relative power contribution is a very useful tool for analysis of multivariate dynamic systems with feedback, this method is not applicable to the time series with significant correlations of the noise inputs. In order to address this problem, we defined a extended power contribution that can be applied to general systems.

Numerical examples with ship data show that this extended relative power contribution is similar to the Akaike's relative power contribution when the correlation between the noises is low. On the other hand, the numerical example with the GDP data shows that some of the correlated noise has a negative effect on the power spectrum, which has the effect of reducing the power spectrum of the variability of the time series. Simulation experiments also confirmed that the variance may indeed be reduced by negative contribution of correlated noises.




Figure 10: Simulation analysis of GDP data.

References

- [1] Akaike, H. (1968), “On the Use of a Linear Model for the Identification of Feedback Systems”, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, Vol.20, No.1, 425–439.
- [2] Akaike, H. (1974). “A new look at the statistical model identification”. *IEEE transactions on automatic control*, 19(6), 716–723.
- [3] Akaike, H. and Kitagawa, G. eds. (1998), *The Practice of Time Series Analysis*, Statistics for Engineering and Physical Science, Springer, New York.
- [4] Akaike, H. and Nakagawa, T. (1988), *Statistical Analysis and Control of Dynamic Systems*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Tokyo.
- [5] Box, G. E., Jenkins, G. M., Reinsel, G. C., and Ljung, G. M. (2015). *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
- [6] Kitagawa, G. (2021), *Introduction to Time Series Modeling with Applications in R*, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability 166, CRC Press, Chapman & Hall, New York.

- [7] Nakamura, H., and Akaike, H. (1981). “Statistical identification for optimal control of supercritical thermal power plants”. *Automatica*, Vol.17, No.1, 143–155.
- [8] Ohtsu, K. (2012), *Model Based Monitoring and Statistical Control*, Kaibundo, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- [9] Ohtsu, K., Peng, H. and Kitagawa, G. (2015). *Time series modeling for analysis and control: advanced autopilot and monitoring systems*, Springer Brief in Statistics, Springer.
- [10] Tanokura, Y. and Kitagawa, G. (2004). “Modeling influential correlated noise sources in multivariate dynamic systems”. In *Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference on Modeling and Simulation*, 19–24, ACTA Press.
- [11] Tanokura, Y. and Kitagawa, G. (2015). *Indexation and Causation of Financial Markets, Nonstationary Time Series Analysis Method*, Springer Brief in Statistics, Springer.