

Smooth weighted zero-sum constants

Krishnendu Paul, Shameek Paul*

*Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, P.O. Belur
Math, Dist. Howrah, 711202, India*

Abstract

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$ be a weight-set and $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . We say that S is a smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence if there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A^k$ such that we have $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0$ and $a_1 + \dots + a_k = 0$. It is easy to see that if S is a smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence, then for every $y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ the sequence $S + y = (x_1 + y, \dots, x_k + y)$ is also a smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence. From the well known EGZ-theorem it follows that if S has length at least $2n - 1$, then S has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n . The constant \bar{E}_A is defined to be the smallest positive integer k such that any sequence of length k in \mathbb{Z}_n has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n . A sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length $\bar{E}_A - 1$ which does not have any smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n is called an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for A . For every n we consider the weight-sets $\{1\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$. When n is an odd prime p we consider the weight-set Q_p of all non-zero quadratic residues. We also study the related constants \bar{C}_A and \bar{D}_A .

1 Introduction

For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote the set $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : a \leq x \leq b\}$ by $[a, b]$. For a finite set A we denote the number of elements in A by $|A|$. Let R be a ring with unity, $A \subseteq R$ and M be an R -module. A sequence $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ in M is called a *smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence* if there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in A^k$ such that $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k = 0$ and $a_1 + \dots + a_k = 0$. For every $a \in M$ we denote the sequence $(x_1 + a, \dots, x_k + a)$ by $S + a$ and call it a translated sequence.

Remark 1.1. Suppose a sequence S in M is a smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence. Then for every $a \in M$ the sequence $S + a$ is also a smooth A -weighted zero-sum sequence. So if we want to show that a sequence S in M has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence, we may thus assume that the term which occurs the most number of times in the sequence S is zero.

*E-mail addresses: krishnendu.p.math18@gm.rkmvu.ac.in, shameek.paul@rkmvu.ac.in

The constant $\bar{C}_A(M)$ is the least positive integer k such that any sequence in M of length k has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. The constant $\bar{D}_A(M)$ is the least positive integer k such that any sequence in M of length k has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence.

The constant $\bar{E}_A(M)$ is the least positive integer k such that any sequence in M of length k has a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length $|M|$. By omitting the word ‘smooth’ from the above three definitions, we get the definitions of the constants $C_A(M)$, $D_A(M)$ and $E_A(M)$ respectively.

We denote the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by \mathbb{Z}_n and the group of units in \mathbb{Z}_n by $U(n)$. For an odd prime p , we denote the set $\{x^2 : x \in U(p)\}$ by Q_p . We denote the set $\mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ by \mathbb{Z}'_n . We denote the constants $\bar{C}_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, $\bar{D}_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ and $\bar{E}_A(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ by \bar{C}_A , \bar{D}_A and \bar{E}_A respectively where we consider \mathbb{Z}_n as a \mathbb{Z}_n -module.

Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. We claim that the constants \bar{D}_A and \bar{E}_A exist and that $\bar{D}_A \leq \bar{E}_A \leq 2n - 1$. Let $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1})$ be a sequence of length $2n - 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_n . From the EGZ theorem [6] we see that S has a zero-sum subsequence T of length n . Let $J = \{i : x_i \text{ is a term of } T\}$. As $A \neq \emptyset$ there exists $a \in A$. Since $\sum_{i \in J} ax_i = a(\sum_{i \in J} x_i) = 0$, it follows that T is a smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S of length n . This proves our claim.

Proposition 1.2. *Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length n^2 . Then S has a zero-sum subsequence T whose terms are consecutive terms of S such that the length of T is a multiple of n .*

Proof. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length $m = n^2$. For every $k \in [1, n]$, let $y_k = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{kn}$. If any of the y_k 's is zero, we get a subsequence as required. If none of the y_k 's are zero, then by the pigeonhole principle there exist $i, j \in [1, n]$ with $i < j$ such that $y_i = y_j$. Then we see that $0 = y_j - y_i = x_{in+1} + \dots + x_{jn}$ and so we get a subsequence as required. \square

Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. By a similar argument as in the paragraph preceding Proposition 1.2 it follows that $\bar{C}_A \leq n^2$.

Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. A sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length $\bar{C}_A - 1$ which does not have any smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms is called a \bar{C} -*extremal sequence for A* . A sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length $\bar{D}_A - 1$ which does not have any smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence is called a \bar{D} -*extremal sequence for A* . A sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n of length $\bar{E}_A - 1$ which does not have any smooth A -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n is called an \bar{E} -*extremal sequence for A* .

Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $T = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ be sequences in \mathbb{Z}_n . We say that S and T are *equivalent* if there exists a unit $c \in U(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that for

every $i \in [1, k]$ we have that $y_{\sigma(i)} = cx_i + b$ where σ is a permutation of the set $[1, k]$. We say that S and T are *order-equivalent* if there exists a unit $c \in U(n)$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that for every $i \in [1, k]$ we have that $y_i = cx_i + b$.

Remark 1.3. Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. If S is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for A and if S and T are order-equivalent, then T is also a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for A . If S is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for A and if S and T are equivalent, then T is also a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for A . If S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for A and if S and T are equivalent, then T is also an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for A .

In this article, we have proved the following results.

- For every n we have that $\bar{D}_{\{1\}} = \bar{E}_{\{1\}} = 2n - 1$ and $\bar{C}_{\{1\}} = n^2$.
- For every n we have that $\bar{D}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 3$ and $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 4$.
- For every $n \neq 3$ we have that $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = n + 1$. Also, we have that $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_3} = 5$.
- For every $p \neq 5$ we have that $\bar{E}_{Q_p} = p + 2$. Also, we have that $\bar{E}_{Q_5} = 9$.
- When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} = 6$.
When $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} = 9$.
- When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that \bar{D}_{Q_p} is either 4 or 5.
When $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we have that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} = 5$.
- For every n a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$ if and only if S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$ if and only if S is equivalent to the sequence $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1})$.
- For every n a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is order-equivalent to the sequence $(0, 1, 0)$.
- For every n a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is equivalent to the sequence $(0, 1)$.
- A sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_3 is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_3 if and only if S is equivalent to $(0, 0, 1, 1)$.
- For every $n \neq 3$, a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is equivalent to the sequence $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1)$.

2 Smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum constants

Observation 2.1. A sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence if and only if S is a zero-sum sequence whose length is a multiple of n .

Theorem 2.2. For every n we have that $\bar{D}_{\{1\}} = \bar{E}_{\{1\}} = 2n - 1$.

Proof. In Section 1 we have seen that $\bar{D}_A \leq \bar{E}_A \leq 2n - 1$ for any weight-set A . So in particular, we have that $\bar{D}_{\{1\}} \leq 2n - 1$. Consider the sequence

$$S = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1}).$$

This sequence does not have any zero-sum subsequence of length n . Hence, by Observation 2.1 we see that $\bar{D}_{\{1\}} \geq 2n - 1$. \square

Theorem 2.3. For every n and for every sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) S is a sequence of length $2n - 2$ which does not have any zero-sum subsequence of length n .
- (b) S is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$
- (c) S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$
- (d) S is an E -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$
- (e) S is equivalent to $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1})$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2 we have that $\bar{D}_{\{1\}} = 2n - 1$. So a sequence S is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$ if and only if S is a sequence of length $2n - 2$ which does not have any smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Thus, from Observation 2.1 we see that (a) \iff (b).

By Theorem 2.2 we have that $\bar{E}_{\{1\}} = 2n - 1$. So a sequence S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$ if and only if S is a sequence of length $2n - 2$ which does not have any smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n . Thus, from Observation 2.1 we see that (a) \iff (c).

From [6] we have that $E_{\{1\}} = 2n - 1$. A $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence is the same as a zero-sum sequence. So a sequence S is an E -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$ if and only if S is a sequence of length $2n - 2$ which does not have any zero-sum subsequence of length n . Thus, we see that (a) \iff (d).

Finally, from Lemma 4 of [4] we see that a sequence S of length $2n - 2$ does not have any zero-sum subsequence of length n if and only if there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $n - 1$ terms of S are equal to x , the remaining terms of S are equal to y , and $x - y \in U(n)$. A sequence S is of this type if and only if S is equivalent to $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1})$. Thus, we see that (a) \iff (e). \square

Theorem 2.4. *For every n we have that $\bar{C}_{\{1\}} = n^2$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.2 we have that $\bar{C}_A \leq n^2$ for any weight set A . So in particular, we see that $\bar{C}_{\{1\}} \leq n^2$. Consider the sequence

$$S = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1})$$

in which 1 occurs $n - 1$ times. This is a sequence of length $n^2 - 1$ which does not have any smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms. Hence, we have $\bar{C}_{\{1\}} \geq n^2$. \square

Remark 2.5. The C -extremal sequences for $\{1\}$ have been characterized in Theorem 1 of [11]. Let (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n which is a C -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$. Consider the sequence

$$S = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, x_1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}).$$

We observe that S is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$. However, there exist \bar{C} -extremal sequences for $\{1\}$ which are not of this form. For example, using Observation 2.1 we can check that the sequences $(0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$ in \mathbb{Z}_3 are \bar{C} -extremal sequence for $\{1\}$.

3 Smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum constants

Observation 3.1. Suppose there exist two terms of a sequence S which are equal. Then there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $T = (x, x)$ is a subsequence of S . As $1x + (-1)x = 0$, it follows that T is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $S = (x, y, z)$ be a sequence of distinct terms of \mathbb{Z}_n . Then S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

Proof. Let $a = y - z, b = z - x$, and $c = x - y$. As x, y , and z are distinct, we have that $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}'_n$. Also, we see that $ax + by + cz = 0$ and $a + b + c = 0$. Thus, it follows that S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Theorem 3.3. *For every n we have that $\bar{D}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 3$.*

Proof. Let $S = (x, y, z)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . By Observation 3.1 we can assume that all the terms of S are distinct. By Lemma 3.2 we see that S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, we see that $\bar{D}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} \leq 3$. As the sequence $(0, 1)$ does not have any smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence it follows that $\bar{D}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} \geq 3$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *For every n we have that $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 4$.*

Proof. Let $S = (x, y, z, w)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . By Observation 3.1 we can assume that no two consecutive terms of S are equal. In addition, if either $x \neq z$ or $y \neq w$, by Lemma 3.2 we see that either (x, y, z) or (y, z, w) will be a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S . So we can assume that $x = z$ and $y = w$. It follows that S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence, as $x + y - z - w = 0$. Hence, we see that $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} \leq 4$. As the sequence $(0, 1, 0)$ does not have any smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence having consecutive terms, it follows that $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} \geq 4$. \square

Definition 3.5. Suppose T is a subsequence of a sequence $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $J = \{i : x_i \text{ is a term of } T\}$. Then $S - T$ denotes the subsequence of S whose terms are precisely those x_i 's such that $i \notin J$.

Definition 3.6. Let S and T be sequences in \mathbb{Z}_n . Then $S + T$ denotes the sequence obtained by concatenating the sequences S and T .

Theorem 3.7. *For every $n \neq 3$ we have that $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = n + 1$ and $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_3} = 5$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_2} = 3$. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_5)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_3 . If S has at least three distinct terms, by Lemma 3.2 we get a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_3 -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length three. If S has at most two distinct terms, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ such that (x, x, x) is a subsequence of S . This is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_3 -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length three, as $x + x + x = 0$. Thus, we see that $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_3} \leq 5$. We can check that the sequence $(0, 0, 1, 1)$ in \mathbb{Z}_3 does not have any smooth \mathbb{Z}'_3 -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length three and so $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_3} \geq 5$.

The sequence $(\overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-1 \text{ times}}, 1)$ does not have any smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence of length n . So it follows that for every n we have $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} \geq n + 1$.

Let $n \geq 4$ and $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . By Remark 1.1 we may assume that the term which is repeated the most number of times in S is

zero. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle we see that at least two terms of S are equal to zero.

Case 1: Suppose at least three terms of S are equal to zero.

In [2] it is shown that $E_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = n + 1$. As S has length $n + 1$, it follows that there is a subsequence T of S having length n which is a \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. As at least three terms of S are equal to zero, we see that at least two terms of T are equal to zero. By permuting the terms of S , we may assume that $T = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and that $x_{n-1} = x_n = 0$. As T is a \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}'_n$ such that $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$. We can replace a_{n-1} and a_n by any two elements of \mathbb{Z}'_n . Let $c = a_1 + \dots + a_{n-2}$. If $c = 0$, we let $a_{n-1} = 1$ and $a_n = -1$. If $c \neq 0$, we let $a_{n-1} = d - c$ and $a_n = -d$ where $d \in \mathbb{Z}'_n \setminus \{c\}$. Thus, it follows that T is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Case 2: Suppose exactly two terms of S are zero.

As zero is repeated the most number of times in S , every element of \mathbb{Z}_n is repeated at most twice in S . Let T' be the subsequence of S obtained by removing pairs of repeated non-zero terms as well as triples of distinct non-zero terms from S . It follows that T' has at most two distinct non-zero terms and exactly two zeroes. From Observation 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we see that $T = S - T'$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

If T' has two distinct non-zero terms x and y , by Lemma 3.2 we see that $(x, y, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence. As the length of T' is four, it follows that $T + (x, y, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S of length n . If T' has exactly one non-zero term, then $T + (0, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S of length n .

So we can assume that T' has no non-zero term. Then we see that T has length $n - 1$. Recall that T is made up of smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequences which are either pairs of repeated non-zero terms or triples of distinct non-zero terms from S . Suppose we cannot express T as a combination of pairs of repeated non-zero terms.

Then T has a subsequence (x, y, z) of three distinct non-zero terms such that $T - (x, y, z)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. If $x - y = z$, then $x - y - z + 0 = 0$. If $x - y \neq z$, then $a = z$ and $b = y - x$ are non-zero. Also, we have that $a(x - y) + bz = 0$ and so $ax - ay + bz - b0 = 0$. Thus, in both cases $(x, y, z, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, the sequence $T + (0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

So we may assume that we can express T as a combination of pairs of repeated non-zero terms. As T' has no non-zero term, we have that $S = T + (0, 0)$.

Suppose all the terms of T are units. As $n \geq 4$, it follows that there exist distinct units x and y , both of which occur twice in T . Let T_1 denote the subsequence of T having length four in which both x and y occur twice. Now $T - T_1$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Also, we see that $T_1 + (0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence since

$$2x^{-1}x - x^{-1}x - 2y^{-1}y + y^{-1}y + (y^{-1} - x^{-1})0 = 0,$$

Hence, it follows that $T + (0)$ is also a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Suppose T has a term x which is a zero-divisor. Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}'_n$ such that $xy = 0$. As $(1 - y)x - x = 0$, it follows that $(x, x, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Also, we have that $T - (x, x)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, we see that $T + (0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

4 Extremal sequences for \mathbb{Z}'_n

Theorem 4.1. *For every n a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is equivalent to the sequence $(0, 1)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 we have $\bar{D}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 3$. It follows that a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n has length two. Let $S = (x, y)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . We observe that a sequence of length one cannot be a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Suppose $x - y$ is not a unit. Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}'_n$ such that $a(x - y) = 0$ and so S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. So if S is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n , then it follows that $x - y$ is a unit and so S is equivalent to the sequence $(0, 1)$.

It is easy to see that the sequence $(0, 1)$ is a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n . Thus, by Remark 1.3 it follows that any sequence which is equivalent to $(0, 1)$ is also a \bar{D} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n . \square

Theorem 4.2. *For every n a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is order-equivalent to the sequence $(0, 1, 0)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 we have $\bar{C}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = 4$. It follows that a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n has length three. Let $S = (x, y, z)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n .

If $x - y$ is not a unit, then as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we see that (x, y) is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Similarly, if $y - z$ is not a unit we get that (y, z) is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum subsequence. If the terms of S are distinct, by Lemma 3.2 we see that S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum

sequence. So if S is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n , it follows that S is of the form (x, y, x) where $x - y$ is a unit and so S is order-equivalent to $(0, 1, 0)$.

It is easy to see that the sequence $(0, 1, 0)$ is a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n . Thus, by Remark 1.3 it follows that any sequence which is order-equivalent to $(0, 1, 0)$ is also a \bar{C} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n . \square

Theorem 4.3. *For every $n \geq 4$ a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n .*

Proof. Let $n \geq 4$. By Theorem 3.7 we have $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_n} = n + 1$. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_n . Suppose all the terms of S are distinct. Then each element of \mathbb{Z}_n occurs exactly once as a term of S . Let b be the sum of all the terms of S . Then $2b = 0$ and so S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Thus, if S is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n it follows that there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that at least two terms of S are equal to c . By Remark 1.1 we may assume that the term which is repeated the most number of times in S is zero. Also, we may assume that $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

Suppose S is a \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. Then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}'_n$ such that $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$. Let $a = a_3 + a_4 + \dots + a_n$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}'_n \setminus \{a\}$. Then we get that $(c - a)x_1 - cx_2 + a_3x_3 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0$. So we see that S is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_n -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

It is easy to see that a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_2 is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_2 if and only if it is equivalent to $(0, 1)$. So by Theorem 1 in [1] and Theorem 4.3 we get the next result.

Corollary 4.4. *For every $n \neq 3$ a sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_n is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_n if and only if S is equivalent to the sequence $(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, 1)$.*

Theorem 4.5. *A sequence S in \mathbb{Z}_3 is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_3 if and only if S is equivalent to $(1, 1, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 we have $\bar{E}_{\mathbb{Z}'_3} = 5$. Let $S = (x, y, z, w)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_3 which is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_3 . There exists an element in \mathbb{Z}_3 which is repeated in S . By Remark 1.1 we can assume that this element is zero.

So we assume that $z = w = 0$. Then both x and y must be non-zero. If $x \neq y$, by Lemma 3.2 we get the contradiction that $(x, y, 0)$ is a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_3 -weighted zero-sum subsequence. So we see that S is of the form $(x, x, 0, 0)$ where $x \in \mathbb{Z}'_3$. Hence, it follows that S is equivalent to the sequence $S' = (1, 1, 0, 0)$.

Suppose $S' = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ has a smooth \mathbb{Z}'_3 -weighted zero-sum subsequence T having length three. Then the only possibility is that $T = (1, 1, 0)$. So there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}'_3$ such that $a + b = 0$ and $a + b + c = 0$. Then we get the contradiction that $c = 0$. Thus, it follows that any sequence which is equivalent to S' is an \bar{E} -extremal sequence for \mathbb{Z}'_3 . \square

5 Determining the value of \bar{E}_{Q_p}

Throughout this section we assume that p is an odd prime. We denote $U(p)^2$ by Q_p . As Q_p is the image of the homomorphism $U(p) \rightarrow U(p)$ given by $x \mapsto x^2$ whose kernel is $\{1, -1\}$, it follows that $|Q_p| = (p-1)/2$. We denote $U(p) \setminus Q_p$ by N_p .

Proposition 5.1. *For every odd prime p we have that $p+2 \leq \bar{E}_{Q_p} \leq p+4$.*

Proof. For any odd prime p , as $E_{Q_p} = p+2$ from Theorem 3 of [3] and $E_{Q_p} \leq \bar{E}_{Q_p}$, we have $\bar{E}_{Q_p} \geq p+2$. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{p+4})$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p . Consider the following system of equations in the variables z_1, \dots, z_{p+4} over the field \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$x_1 z_1^2 + \dots + x_{p+4} z_{p+4}^2 = 0, \quad z_1^2 + \dots + z_{p+4}^2 = 0, \quad z_1^{p-1} + \dots + z_{p+4}^{p-1} = 0.$$

By the Chevalley-Waring Theorem in [9], there exists a non-trivial solution (b_1, \dots, b_{p+4}) of the above system. Let $I = \{i \in [1, p+4] : b_i \neq 0\}$ and for every $i \in I$, let $a_i = b_i^2 \in Q_p$. As $U(p)$ is a group of order $p-1$, from the third equation we see that $|I| = p$. Let T be the subsequence of S such that x_i is a term of T if and only if $i \in I$. From the first two equations, we see that T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S having length p . Thus, it follows that $\bar{E}_{Q_p} \leq p+4$. \square

We will prove a stronger version of Proposition 5.1 later in this section. Towards that, we develop some tools. The next result is Lemma 1 of [5].

Lemma 5.2. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and $x, y, z \in U(p)$. Then we have that $xQ_p + yQ_p + zQ_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$.*

Corollary 5.3. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p which has at least three non-zero terms. Then S is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

Proof. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_1, x_2 , and x_3 are non-zero. By Lemma 5.2 there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in Q_p$ such that $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 = -(x_4 + \dots + x_n)$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and $T = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p . Suppose there exist $a_1, \dots, a_k \in Q_p$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \neq 0$. Then the sequence $S = T + (0, 0)$ is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

Proof. Let $a = a_1 + \dots + a_k$. As $a \neq 0$, by Corollary 5.3 we see that the sequence $(a, 1, 1)$ is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So there exist $c', c_1, c_2 \in Q_p$ such that $c'a + c_1 + c_2 = 0$. Then $c'a_1 x_1 + \dots + c'a_k x_k + c_1 0 + c_2 0 = 0$. So it follows that $S = (x_1, \dots, x_k, 0, 0)$ is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Remark 5.5. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.4 we can show that if T is a sequence as in the statement of Lemma 5.4 and U is a sequence having length at least two such that all the terms of U are equal to zero, then the sequence $T + U$ is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Lemma 5.6. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p which has at least three terms which are zero and at least three non-zero terms. Then S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

Proof. By rearranging the terms of S we may assume that $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_1, x_2, x_3 are non-zero and the last three terms are zero. By Corollary 5.3 we see that there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n-3} \in Q_p$ such that $a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{n-3} x_{n-3} = 0$.

Let $a = a_1 + \dots + a_{n-3}$. By Corollary 5.3 we see that the sequence $(a, 1, 1, 1)$ is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. We now argue as in the proof of Lemma 5.4 to see that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

The following results are implied by Proposition 2 from [7] and by the fact that $-1 \in Q_p$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and $x, y \in U(p)$. Then we have that $U(p) \subseteq xQ_p + yQ_p$.*

If x and y are in the same coset of Q_p , then $0 \in xQ_p + yQ_p$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

If x and y are in different cosets of Q_p , then $0 \in xQ_p + yQ_p$ if and only if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p such that at least two terms of S are non-zero. Then we have that $U(p) \subseteq x_1 Q_p + \dots + x_n Q_p$.*

Proof. We will prove this result by using induction on n . When $n = 2$, the result follows from Theorem 5.7. Let $n \geq 3$. If $x_n = 0$, we are done. So we can assume

that $x_n \neq 0$. As $|Q_p| = (p-1)/2$ and $p \geq 5$, we have $|x_n Q_p| = |Q_p| \geq 2$. Let $a, b \in x_n Q_p$ with $a \neq b$. As $U(p) = Z_p \setminus \{0\}$, we see that $U(p) + \{a\} = Z_p \setminus \{a\}$ and $U(p) + \{b\} = Z_p \setminus \{b\}$. As $a \neq b$, it follows that $Z_p = (Z_p \setminus \{a\}) \cup (Z_p \setminus \{b\})$. Thus, we get that $Z_p \subseteq U(p) + x_n Q_p$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $U(p) \subseteq x_1 Q_p + \cdots + x_{n-1} Q_p$ and so $U(p) + x_n Q_p \subseteq x_1 Q_p + \cdots + x_n Q_p$. Hence, it follows that $U(p) \subseteq x_1 Q_p + \cdots + x_n Q_p$. \square

The next result is a special case of a conjecture by Erdos and Heilbronn which was proved by Dias da Silva and Hamidoune in [8].

Theorem 5.9. *Let p be a prime and $A \subseteq Z_p$ such that $|A| \geq 2$. Let $A \hat{+} A$ denote the set $\{a + b : a, b \in A, a \neq b\}$. Then either $A \hat{+} A = Z_p$ or $|A \hat{+} A| \geq 2|A| - 3$.*

Lemma 5.10. *Let p be a prime which is at least eleven and $x, y, z \in U(p)$ such that x and y are distinct elements which belong to the same coset of Q_p . Then there exist $a, b \in Q_p$ such that $ax + by + z = 0$ and $a + b + 1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. It is enough if we prove the result when the elements x, y, z are replaced by ux, uy, uz where $u \in U(p)$. So we may assume that $x, y \in Q_p$. By Theorem 5.9 we have $|Q_p \hat{+} Q_p| \geq p - 4$ since $|Q_p| = (p-1)/2$.

As $p \geq 11$, it follows that $|Q_p| \geq 5$ and hence $Q_p \cap (Q_p \hat{+} Q_p) \neq \emptyset$. As $Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$ is closed under multiplication by elements of Q_p we have $Q_p \subseteq Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$. By a similar argument we get that $N_p \subseteq Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$. Hence, as $z \neq 0$ it follows that $-z \in Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$. So there exist $u, v \in Q_p$ such that $u \neq v$ and $u + v = -z$.

Let $a = u/x$ and $b = v/y$. Then $a, b \in Q_p$ and $ax + by = -z$. Let $a' = v/x$ and $b' = u/y$. Then $a', b' \in Q_p$ and $a'x + b'y = -z$. As $ax + by = a'x + b'y$, we get that $(a - a')x = (b' - b)y$. As $u \neq v$, we see that $a \neq a'$ and so $a - a' \neq 0$. Also, as $x \neq y$ it follows that $a - a' \neq b' - b$ and hence $a + b \neq a' + b'$. Thus, we see that either $a + b$ or $a' + b'$ is different from -1 . \square

The next result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.10.

Corollary 5.11. *Let p be a prime which is at least eleven and $x, y, z \in U(p)$ be distinct elements. Then there exist $a, b, c \in Q_p$ such that $ax + by + cz = 0$ and $a + b + c \neq 0$.*

Lemma 5.12. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven and $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a sequence in Z_p which has at least four non-zero terms. Suppose x and y are distinct non-zero terms of S which are in the same coset of Q_p . Then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in Q_p$ such that $a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n = 0$ and $a_1 + \cdots + a_n \neq 0$.*

Proof. As in the previous proof, we may assume that $x, y \in Q_p$. Let $T = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-2})$ be the subsequence of S which is obtained by removing the terms x and y from S . For every $i \in [1, n-2]$, let $w_i = -z_i$. As S has at least four non-zero terms, we see that T has at least two non-zero terms.

By Corollary 5.8 we have that $U(p) \subseteq w_1 Q_p + \dots + w_{n-2} Q_p$. Also, we have that $|Q_p \hat{+} Q_p| \geq p-4 \geq 3$ and so $(Q_p \hat{+} Q_p) \cap U(p) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it follows that $(Q_p \hat{+} Q_p) \cap (w_1 Q_p + \dots + w_{n-2} Q_p) \neq \emptyset$. So there exist $c_1, \dots, c_{n-2} \in Q_p$ such that $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_{n-2} w_{n-2} \in Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$. Let $z = c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_{n-2} z_{n-2}$. Then $-z \in Q_p \hat{+} Q_p$ and so there exist $u, v \in Q_p$ such that $u \neq v$ and $u + v = -z$.

Let $a = u/x$ and $b = v/y$. Then $a, b \in Q_p$ and $ax + by = -z$. Let $a' = v/x$ and $b' = u/y$. Then $a', b' \in Q_p$ and $a'x + b'y = -z$. As in the previous proof, we see that $a + b \neq a' + b'$. So we see that there exist $c_{n-1}, c_n \in Q_p$ such that $c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_{n-2} z_{n-2} + c_{n-1} x + c_n y = 0$ and $c_1 + \dots + c_{n-2} + c_{n-1} + c_n \neq 0$. \square

Theorem 5.13. *For every odd prime $p \neq 5$ we have that $\bar{E}_{Q_p} = p+2$ and $\bar{E}_{Q_5} = 9$.*

Proof. As $Q_3 = \{1\}$, by Theorem 2.2 we see that $\bar{E}_{Q_3} = 5$. From [6] we have that $E_{\{1\}} = 2n-1$ for any n . Suppose a sequence T in \mathbb{Z}_5 is a smooth $\{1, -1\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence of length five. Then we see that T is a $\{1\}$ -weighted zero-sum sequence. As $Q_5 = \{1, -1\}$, it follows that $\bar{E}_{Q_5} \geq E_{\{1\}} = 9$. So by Proposition 5.1 we get that $\bar{E}_{Q_5} = 9$.

Let $p \geq 7$ and $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{p+2})$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p . By the pigeonhole principle it follows that at least two terms of S must be equal. By Remark 1.1 we may assume that zero occurs as a term of S the most number of times. Suppose S has at most two non-zero terms. Then S has at least p zeroes. So we get a smooth $\{1\}$ -weighted (and hence Q_p -weighted) zero-sum subsequence of length p . Thus, we may assume that S has at least three non-zero terms.

Suppose at least three terms of S are zero. As $p \geq 7$, we see that S has at least nine terms. So we can find two terms a and b of S such that if T' is the sequence of length p which is obtained by removing a and b from S , then T' has at least three terms which are zero and at least three non-zero terms. So from Lemma 5.6 it follows that T' is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Hence, we may assume that exactly two terms of S are zero. Let $x_{p+1} = x_{p+2} = 0$ and T be the subsequence of S having length $p-2$ which is obtained by removing the last four terms of S . Then all the terms of T are non-zero. As $p \geq 7$, we see that T has at least five terms. By the pigeonhole principle, it follows that at least three terms of T are in the same coset of Q_p .

As zero occurs the most number of times as a term of S , we see that there exist distinct terms of T which are in the same coset of Q_p . Thus, by Lemma 5.12 we see that there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{p-2} \in Q_p$ such that $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_{p-2}x_{p-2} = 0$ and $a_1 + \dots + a_{p-2} \neq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 5.4 it follows that $T + (0, 0)$ is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

6 Determining the value of \bar{C}_{Q_p}

Lemma 6.1. *Let p be a prime which is at least seven such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p which has at least two terms which are zero and at least three non-zero terms. Then S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.*

Proof. By rearranging the terms of S we may assume that $S = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_1, x_2, x_3 are non-zero and $x_{n-1} = x_n = 0$. By Corollary 5.3 we see that there exist $c_1, \dots, c_{n-2} \in Q_p$ such that $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_{n-2}x_{n-2} = 0$. As $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that $-1 \in Q_p$.

Let $c = c_1 + \dots + c_{n-2}$. If $c = 0$, we see that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence since $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_{n-2}x_{n-2} + x_{n-1} - x_n = 0$. If $c \neq 0$, by Lemma 5.4 we see that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence as $S = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}) + (0, 0)$. \square

Remark 6.2. The statement of Lemma 6.1 is not valid when $p = 5$. This is because the sequence $(1, 1, 1, 0, 0)$ is not a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Proposition 6.3. *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 6$. Further, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 9$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4 of [10] we have that $C_{Q_p} = 3$. So there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that the sequence $S' = (x, y)$ is not a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Consider the sequence $S = (0, x, 0, y, 0)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . Then any smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence T whose terms are consecutive terms of S contains both x and y . Then we get the contradiction that S' is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, it follows that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 6$.

Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Consider the sequence $S = (0, 0, x, 0, 0, y, 0, 0)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p . As $-1 \notin Q_p$ the sequence $(0, 0)$ is not a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So it follows that any smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence T whose terms are consecutive terms of S contains both x and y . Then we get the contradiction that S' is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Hence, it follows that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 9$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *For every prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} = 6$ when $p \neq 5$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 for any odd prime p we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 6$. Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p having length six. By Remark 1.1 we may assume that zero is a term of S which occurs the most number of times as a term of S .

Case 1: At least two terms of S are equal to zero.

Suppose at least three terms of S are non-zero. Then by Lemma 6.1 we see that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Suppose at most two terms of S are non-zero. As S has length six, it follows that there exist two consecutive terms x and y of S which are equal to zero. Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have that $-1 \in Q_p$. As $1x + (-1)y = 0$, we see that the sequence $T = (x, y)$ is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Case 2: Exactly one term of S is zero.

As zero is the term which is repeated the most number of times in S , it follows that all the terms of S are distinct. As S has length six, there exist two terms y_1 and y_2 of S which are in the same coset of Q_p . Let x_1, x_2 and x_3 be the other non-zero terms of S .

As $p \geq 11$, by Corollary 5.11 we see that there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in Q_p$ such that $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 = 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \neq 0$. By Theorem 5.7 there exist $b_1, b_2 \in Q_p$ such that $b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 = 0$. As $y_1 \neq y_2$, it follows that $b_1 \neq -b_2$ and so $b_1 + b_2 \neq 0$. Let $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$ and $b = b_1 + b_2$. As all the terms of the sequence $(a, b, 1)$ are non-zero, by Corollary 5.3 there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in Q_p$ such that $c_1a + c_2b + c_3 = 0$. As we also have that $c_1(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3) + c_2(b_1y_1 + b_2y_2) + c_3 \cdot 0 = 0$, it follows that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_5 having length three such that all the terms of S are non-zero. Then there exists a Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence whose terms are consecutive terms of S .*

Proof. As $Q_5 = \{1, -1\}$, it is enough to prove the result for a sequence S whose terms are either one or two. If any two consecutive terms of S are equal, the result follows. So we may assume that $S = (1, 2, 1)$ or $S = (2, 1, 2)$. In both these cases, we see that S is a Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Theorem 6.6. *We have that $\bar{C}_{Q_5} = 6$.*

Proof. From Proposition 6.3 we see that $\bar{C}_{Q_5} \geq 6$. Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_5 having length six. By Remark 1.1 we may assume that zero is a term of S which occurs the most number of times as a term of S . It follows that at least two terms of S are zero.

Case 1: Exactly two terms of S are zero.

Let $T = (y_1, \dots, y_4)$ be the subsequence of S whose terms are the non-zero terms of S . By Lemma 2 of [5] we see that T is a Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence. So there exist a_i 's in Q_5 such that $a_1y_1 + \dots + a_4y_4 = 0$. Let $a = a_1 + \dots + a_4$. Suppose $a = 0$, then it follows that S is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Suppose $a \neq 0$. Since for every $i \in [1, 4]$ we have that $a_i \in Q_5 = \{1, -1\}$, it follows that $a \notin \{1, -1\}$ and so $a \in \{2, -2\}$. Let z_1 and z_2 be the two terms of S which are equal to zero. Suppose $a = 2$. Then $a_1y_1 + \dots + a_4y_4 - z_1 - z_2 = 0$ and so S is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence. Suppose $a = -2$. Then $a_1y_1 + \dots + a_4y_4 + z_1 + z_2 = 0$ and so S is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Case 2: Exactly three terms of S are zero.

Suppose there exist two consecutive terms z_1 and z_2 of S which are equal to zero. As $-1 \in Q_5$, it follows that (z_1, z_2) is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S . So we may assume that the terms of S which are equal to zero and the non-zero terms of S occur in alternate positions. Let $U = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be the sequence whose terms are the three non-zero terms of S .

By Lemma 6.5 we see that there exists a Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence V whose terms are consecutive terms of U . We claim that there exists a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence T such that the length of T is twice the length of V and the terms of T are consecutive terms of S .

We prove our claim in the case when $V = (x_1, x_2)$. As V is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence, there exist $a_1, a_2 \in Q_5$ such that $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 = 0$. So we get that $a_1x_1 - a_1 \cdot 0 + a_2x_2 - a_2 \cdot 0 = 0$ and hence $T = (x_1, 0, x_2, 0)$ is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence. By using similar arguments for the other possibilities for the sequence V , we see that our claim is true.

Case 3: At least four terms of S are zero.

As S has length six, it follows that there exist two consecutive terms x and y of S which are equal to zero. Hence, we see that the sequence $T = (x, y)$ is a smooth Q_5 -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Theorem 6.7. *For every prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} = 9$ when $p \neq 7$.*

Proof. When $p = 3$, we have $Q_3 = \{1\}$. So by Theorem 2.4 we see that $\bar{C}_{Q_3} = 9$. By Proposition 6.3 we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_p} \geq 9$. Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p having length nine. By Remark 1.1 we may assume that zero is a term of S which occurs the most number of times as a term of S .

If at least three terms of S are zero and at least three terms of S are non-zero, by Lemma 5.6 it follows that S is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So we have the following two remaining cases:

Case 1: At most two terms of S are non-zero.

There exists a subsequence $T = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$ of S such that the terms of T are consecutive terms of S and are equal to zero. By Corollary 5.3 we see that the sequence $(1, 1, 1)$ in \mathbb{Z}_p is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So there exist $a, b, c \in Q_p$ such that $a + b + c = 0$ and hence $az_1 + bz_2 + cz_3 = 0$. Thus, it follows that T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.

Case 2: At most two terms of S are zero.

In this case every element of \mathbb{Z}_p occurs at most twice as a term of S . We see that either there exists a subsequence T of length seven whose terms are consecutive terms of S such that exactly one term of T is zero or there exists a subsequence U of length eight whose terms are consecutive terms of S such that exactly two terms of U are zero.

It follows that we can partition the non-zero terms of T into two subsequences (x_1, x_2, x_3) and (y_1, y_2, y_3) such that each subsequence has distinct terms. When $p \geq 11$, by Corollary 5.11 we see that there exist a_i 's and b_i 's in Q_p such that $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 = 0$, $b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + b_3y_3 = 0$, $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 \neq 0$ and $b_1 + b_2 + b_3 \neq 0$.

Let $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3$, $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_3$. By Corollary 5.3 the sequence $(a, b, 1)$ is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in Q_p$ such that $c_1a + c_2b + c_3 = 0$. As $c_1(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3) + c_2(b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + b_3y_3) + c_3 \cdot 0 = 0$, it follows that T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence.

There are exactly two terms of U which are zero. We define a and b as we have defined in the previous two paragraphs for the sequence T . By Corollary 5.3 the sequence $(a, b, 1, 1)$ is a Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. So by a similar argument as in the previous two paragraphs, it follows that U is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

Theorem 6.8. *We have that $\bar{C}_{Q_7} = 9$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 we have that $\bar{C}_{Q_7} \geq 9$. Let S be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_7 having length nine. By Remark 1.1 we may assume that zero is a term of S which occurs the most number of times as a term of S . Thus, at least two terms of S must be zero. The only result in the proof of Theorem 6.7 which is not valid when $p = 7$ is Corollary 5.11. So we only consider Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 6.7.

Suppose at most two terms of S are zero. Then exactly two terms of S are zero. Then there exists a subsequence $T = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ of S such that all the

terms of T are in the same coset of Q_7 . As every element of \mathbb{Z}_7 occurs at most twice as a term of S , there exist two terms of T which are distinct. By Lemma 5.12 we see that there exist a_i 's in Q_7 such that $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4x_4 = 0$ and $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \neq 0$.

Let $U = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ be the subsequence of S whose terms are the non-zero terms of S which are not terms of T . By Corollary 5.3 we see that there exist b_i 's in Q_7 such that $b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + b_3y_3 = 0$. Let $a = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4$ and $b = b_1 + b_2 + b_3$. By Corollary 5.3 we see that the sequence $(a, b, 1, 1)$ is a Q_7 -weighted zero-sum sequence. So there exist c_i 's in Q_7 such that $c_1a + c_2b + c_3 + c_4 = 0$. Also, as $c_1(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4x_4) + c_2(b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + b_3y_3) + c_3 \cdot 0 + c_4 \cdot 0 = 0$, it follows that S is a smooth Q_7 -weighted zero-sum sequence. \square

7 Determining the value of \bar{D}_{Q_p}

Theorem 7.1. *For every odd prime p we have that \bar{D}_{Q_p} is either 4 or 5.*

Proof. Let $S = (x_1, \dots, x_5)$ be a sequence in \mathbb{Z}_p . Consider the following system of equations in the variables Z_1, \dots, Z_5 over the field \mathbb{Z}_p .

$$x_1Z_1^2 + \dots + x_5Z_5^2 = 0, \quad Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_5^2 = 0$$

By the Chevalley-Warning Theorem in [9], there exists a non-trivial solution (b_1, \dots, b_5) of the above system. Let $I = \{i \in [1, 5] : b_i \neq 0\}$ and for every $i \in I$, let $a_i = b_i^2 \in Q_p$. Let T be the sequence such that x_i is a term of T if and only if $i \in I$. As $I \neq \emptyset$, it follows that T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Thus, we see that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} \leq 5$.

From [1] we have that $D_{Q_p} = 3$. So there exists a sequence $U = (x, y)$ which has no Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Consider the sequence $S = (0, x, y)$. Suppose T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S . If T contains any term of U , then we get the contradiction that U has a Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence. So we see that $T = (0)$. However, the sequence (0) is not a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum sequence. Thus, we see that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} \geq 4$. \square

Theorem 7.2. *For every prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we have that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} = 5$.*

Proof. From [1] we have that $D_{Q_p} = 3$. So there exists a sequence $U = (x, y)$ which has no Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence. Consider the sequence $S = (0, 0, x, y)$. Suppose T is a smooth Q_p -weighted zero-sum subsequence of S . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 7.1 we see that the only remaining possibility is $T = (0, 0)$. So there exist $a, b \in Q_p$ such that $a + b = 0$. It follows

that $-1 \in Q_p$ and hence we get the contradiction that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, we see that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} \geq 5$. From Theorem 7.1 we have that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} \leq 5$. \square

8 Concluding remarks

When p is a prime such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we believe that $\bar{D}_{Q_p} = 4$. However, despite our best efforts, we have been unable to show this. It will also be interesting to investigate the value of the smooth A -weighted zero-sum constants for other weight-sets A .

References

- [1] S. D. Adhikari, I. Molla, and S. Paul, Extremal sequences for some weighted zero-sum constants for cyclic groups, *CANT IV, Springer Proc. Math. Stat.* **347** (2021), 1-10.
- [2] S. D. Adhikari, Y. G. Chen, J. B. Friedlander, S. V. Konyagin, and F. Pappalardi, Contributions to zero-sum problems, *Discrete Math* **306** (2006), 1-10.
- [3] S. D. Adhikari and P. Rath, Davenport constant with weights and some related questions, *Integers* **6** (2006), #A30.
- [4] A. Bialostocki and P. Dierker, On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv theorem and the Ramsey numbers for stars and matchings, *Discrete Math.* **110** (1992), 1-8.
- [5] M. N. Chintamani and B. K. Moriya, Generalizations of some zero sum theorems, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **122** (2012), 15-21.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg, and A. Ziv, Theorem in the additive number theory, *Bull. Research Council Israel* **10F** (1961), 41-43.
- [7] D. B. Leep and D. B. Shapiro, Multiplicative subgroups of index three in a field, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1989), 802-807.
- [8] M. B. Nathanson, Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets, *Springer*, 1996.
- [9] J. -P. Serre, A course in Arithmetic, *Springer*, 1973.
- [10] S. Mondal, K. Paul, and S. Paul, On a different weighted zero-sum constant, *Discrete Math.* **346** (2023), 113350.

- [11] S. Mondal, K. Paul, and S. Paul, Extremal sequences for a weighted zero-sum constant, *Integers* **22** (2022), #A93.