# CHARACTERIZATION OF ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF SYSTEMS OF QUADRATIC TRINOMIAL DIFFERENCE AND PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$

GOUTAM HALDAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish some results about the existence and precise forms of finite order entire solutions of some systems of quadratic trinomial functional equations one of which in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and other two in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Our results are the generalizations and improvements of the previous theorems given by Xu-Cao [42, 43] and Xu-Jiang [45]. Moreover, we exhibit some examples in support of our claims.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It has a long history on the study of entire and meromorphic solutions of Fermat type equations by employing Nevanlinna theory as a tool. For detail study, we insist the readers to go through [5-7, 11, 12, 19, 32, 32, 40, 41, 48, 50] and the references therein. We assume the readers are familiar with the notations and basic definitions of Nevanlinna theory (see [17, 21]). In 1939, Iyer [19] proved that the entire solutions of Fermat type equation

$$f(z)^2 + g(z)^2 = 1 (1.1)$$

are  $f = \cos a(z)$ ,  $g = \sin a(z)$ , where a(z) is an entire function.

Utilizing difference Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions developed by Halburd-Korhonen [13,14], and Chiang-Feng [4], independently, Liu *et al.* [29], in 2012, proved that any finite order transcendental entire solutions of

$$f(z)^{2} + f(z+c)^{2} = 1, (1.2)$$

has the form  $f(z) = \sin(az + b)$ , where b is a constant and  $a = (4k + 1)\pi/2c$ , with k is an integer. In the same paper, they also proved that any finite order transcendental entire solution of

$$f'(z)^2 + f(z+c)^2 = 1$$
(1.3)

has the form  $f(z) = \sin(z \pm Bi)$ , where B is a constant and  $c = 2p\pi$  or  $c = (2p+1)\pi$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , whereas any transcendental entire solutions with finite order of

$$f'(z)^{2} + [f(z+c) - f(z)]^{2} = 1,$$
(1.4)

Date: Received: xxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzz.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 39A45, 30D35, 32H30, 39A14, 35A20.

*Key words and phrases.* entire solutions, Fermat-type, differential difference equations, Nevanlinna theory.

must take the form  $f(z) = 12\sin(2z+bi)$ , where  $c = (2p+1)\pi$ , p being an integer, and b is a constant.

Although there are many important and interesting results on the existence of entire and meromorphic solutions of complex difference as well as differentialdifference equations (see [25–31,39]), only a few number of results are there on the existence and forms of entire and meromorphic solutions of quadratic trinomial functional equation. To the best of our knowledge, Saleeby [37] first investigated the existence and forms of entire and meromorphic solutions of quadratic trinomial functional equation

$$f^2 + 2wfg + g^2 = 1, (1.5)$$

where w is a constant. Observe that (1.1) can be seen as the case of w = 0. For  $w \neq 0$ , Saleeby [37] proved the following classical results about the Fermat type functional equations (1.5).

**Theorem A.** [37] Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\alpha^2 \neq 0, 1$ . Then any transcendental entire solution of (1.5) has the form  $f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(h(z))}{\sqrt{1+w}} + \frac{\sin(h(z))}{\sqrt{1-w}} \right)$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(h(z))}{\sqrt{1+w}} - \frac{\sin(h(z))}{\sqrt{1-w}} \right)$ , where h is entire  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The meromorphic solutions of (1.5) is of the form  $f(z) = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\beta(z)^2}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\beta(z)}$  and  $g(z) = \frac{1 - \beta(z)^2}{(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)\beta(z)}$ , where  $\beta(z)$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\alpha_1 = -w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}, \alpha_2 = -w - \sqrt{w^2 - 1}$ .

By utilizing Theorem A, in 2016, Liu-Yang [31] obtained more precise properties of entire and meromorphic solutions of

$$f(z)^{2} + 2wf(z)f'(z) + f'(z)^{2} = 1$$
(1.6)

and

$$f(z)^{2} + 2wf(z)f(z+c) + f(z+c)^{2} = 1, \quad w \neq 0, \pm 1.$$
(1.7)

To the best of our knowledge, there are only a few number of results on the system of complex difference and differential-difference equations in the literature (see [9]). It was Gao [9], who, in 2016, first discussed the existence and form of transcendental entire solutions of system of differential difference equations and obtained the result as follows: If (f, g) be a pair of finite order transcendental entire solution of differential-difference equations

$$\begin{cases} f'(z)^2 + g(z+c)^2 = 1, \\ g'(z)^2 + f(z+c)^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

Then (f, g) must be of the form

 $(f,g) = (\sin(z-ib), \sin(z-ib_1)), \text{ or } (\sin(z+ib), \sin(z+ib_1)),$ 

where  $b, b_1$  are constants and  $c = k\pi$ , k is a integer.

# 2. Solutions of Fermat type equations in several complex variables

Recently, the study of entire and meromorphic solutions of Fermat type partial differential equations in several complex variables has received considerable attention in the literature (see [22–24, 35, 36]). The study partial differential equations which is a generalizations of the well-known eikonal equation in real variable case has a long history. We insist the readers to go through [8, 10, 33] and the references therein. In 1995, Khavinson pointed out that in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the entire solution of the Fermat type partial differential equations  $f_{z_1}^2 + f_{z_2}^2 = 1$  must necessarily be linear. After the development of the difference Nevanlinna theory in several complex variables, specially the difference version of logarithmic derivative lemma (see [1–3, 20]), many Researchers started studying the existence and the precise form of entire and meromorphic solutions of different variants of Fermat type difference and partial differential difference equations, and obtained very remarkable and interesting results (see [15, 16, 34, 38, 42–44, 46]).

Hereinafter, we denote by  $z + w = (z_1 + w_1, z_2 + w_2, \dots, z_n + w_n)$ , where  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , *n* being a positive integer.

In 2018, Xu-Cao [42] established the existence of entire solutions for some Fermat type complex difference and partial differential difference equations with several variables. We list some of them below.

**Theorem B.** [42] Let  $c = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{(0, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$ . If  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  be an entire solution with finite order of the Fermat type difference equation

$$f(z)^{2} + f(z+c)^{2} = 1,$$
 (2.1)

the f(z) must assume the form  $f(z) = \cos(L(z) + B)$ , where L is a linear function of the form  $L(z) = a_1 z_1 + \cdots + a_n z_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $L(c) = -\pi/2 - 2k\pi$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$ , and B is a constant on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem C.** [42] Let  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . If f(z) be transcendental entire solution with finite order of the Fermat type partial differential-difference equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(z_1, z_2)}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 + f(z_1 + c_1, z_2 + c_2)^2 = 1, \qquad (2.2)$$

f must be of the form  $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + Bz_2 + \phi(z_2))$ , Where A, B are constant on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $A^2 = 1$  and  $Ae^{i(Ac_1+Bc_2)} = 1$ , and  $\phi(z_2)$  is a polynomial in one variable  $z_2$  such that  $\phi(z_2) \equiv \phi(z_2 + c_2)$ . In the special case whenever  $c_2 \neq 0$ , we have  $f(z_1, z_2) = \sin(Az_1 + Bz_2 + Constant)$ .

Very recently, corresponding to Equations (2.5) and (1.6), Xu-Jiang [45] considered the following system of trinomial difference and differential-difference equations in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ 

$$\begin{cases} f(z)^2 + 2wf(z)g(z+c) + g(z+c)^2 = 1\\ g(z)^2 + 2wg(z)f(z+c) + f(z+c)^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

and

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 + 2w\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}g(z+c) + g(z+c)^2 = 1\\ \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}\right)^2 + 2w\frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}f(z+c) + f(z+c)^2 = 1, \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

and obtained the results as follows.

**Theorem D.** [45] Let  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Then any pair of finite order transcendental entire solutions of (2.3) must assume one of the following forms:

(i)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(\gamma(z) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(\gamma(z) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(\gamma(z) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(\gamma(z) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$

where  $\gamma(z) = L(z) + H(s)$ ,  $L(z) = a_1z_1 + a_2z_2$ , H(s) is a polynomial in  $s := c_2z_1 - c_1z_2$ ,  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$  satisfy the relations

$$e^{2iL(c)} = \frac{-w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}}{-w - \sqrt{w^2 - 1}}, \ e^{2i(b_1 - b_2)} = 1.$$

(ii)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(\gamma(z) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(\gamma(z) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(\gamma(z) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} - \frac{\sin(\gamma(z) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$

where  $\gamma(z)$  is the same as in (i), and  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$  satisfy the relations

$$e^{2iL(c)} = 1, \ e^{2i(b_1 - b_2)} = 1.$$

**Theorem E.** [45] Let  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  with  $c_2 \neq 0$ . Then any pair of finite order transcendental entire solutions of (2.4) must assume one of the following forms:

(i)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(L(z) - L(c) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(L(z) - L(c) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(L(z) - L(c) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(L(z) - L(c) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$

where  $L(z) = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$ ,  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$  satisfy the relations

$$a_1^2 = 1, \ e^{2iL(c)} = \frac{-w + \sqrt{w^2 - 1}}{-w - \sqrt{w^2 - 1}}, \ e^{2i(b_1 - b_2)} = 1.$$

4

(ii)

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(L(z) - L(c) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} + \frac{\sin(L(z) - L(c) + b_1)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\cos(L(z) - L(c) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 + w}} - \frac{\sin(L(z) - L(c) + b_2)}{\sqrt{1 - w}} \right),$$

where L(z) is the same as in (i), and  $a_i, b_i, c_i, i = 1, 2$  satisfy the relations

$$a_1^2 = 1, \ e^{2iL(c)} = 1, \ e^{2i(b_1 - b_2)} = 1.$$

Observing Theorems B and E, it is inevitable to ask the following open questions.

**Question 2.1.** What can be said about the entire solutions of (2.3) when one replace the right hand sides of each equation by  $e^{g_1(z)}$  and  $e^{g_2(z)}$ , respectively, where  $g_1(z), g_2(z)$  are any two polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , n being an integer?

**Question 2.2.** What can be said about the existence and precise form of transcendental entire solutions of (2.4) when one replace (a) first order partial derivative by k-th order partial derivative for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and (b) right hand sides of each of the equations by  $e^{g_1(z_1,z_2)}$  and  $e^{g_2(z_1,z_2)}$ , respectively, where  $g_1(z_1,z_2), g_2(z_1,z_2)$  are any two polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ?

**Question 2.3.** What can be said about the existence and precise form of transcendental entire solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \left[\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}}\right]^{2} + 2w \frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} [g(z+c) - g(z)] + [g(z+c) - g(z)]^{2} = 1, \\ \left[\frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}}\right]^{2} + 2w \frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} [f(z+c) - f(z)] + [f(z+c) - f(z)]^{2} = 1? \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

# 3. Results and Examples

Inspired by the above results and questions, we investigate the existence and form of transcendental entire solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} f(z)^2 + 2wf(z)g(z+c) + g(z+c)^2 = e^{g_1(z)} \\ g(z)^2 + 2wg(z)f(z+c) + f(z+c)^2 = e^{g_2(z)}, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

$$\begin{cases} \left[\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}}\right]^{2} + 2w \frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} g(z+c) + g(z+c)^{2} = e^{g_{1}(z_{1},z_{2})} \\ \left[\frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}}\right]^{2} + 2w \frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} f(z+c) + f(z+c)^{2} = e^{g_{2}(z_{1},z_{2})}, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where  $g_1(z_1, z_2)$ ,  $g_2(z_1, z_2)$  are any two polynomials and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and Eq. (2.5). Our results are the generalizations of Theorems B–C to a large extent.

Before we state our main results, let us denote two non-zero complex numbers  $A_1, A_2$  as follows.

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+w}} + \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{1-w}}$$
 and  $A_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+w}} - \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{1-w}}$ .

Before we state our main results, let us set the following.

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{C_2}} H_{i_1}^2(s_{i_1}^2) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{n_{C_3}} H_{i_2}^3(s_{i_2}^3) + \sum_{i_3=1}^{n_{C_4}} H_{i_3}^4(s_{i_3}^4) + \cdots + \sum_{i_{n-2}=1}^{n_{C_{n-1}}} H_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}(s_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}) + H_{n-1}^n(s_{n-1}^n),$$
(3.3)

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{n_{C_2}} L_{i_1}^2(t_{i_1}^2) + \sum_{i_2=1}^{n_{C_3}} L_{i_2}^3(t_{i_2}^3) + \sum_{i_3=1}^{n_{C_4}} L_{i_3}^4(t_{i_3}^4) + \cdots + \sum_{i_{n-2}=1}^{n_{C_{n-1}}} L_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}(t_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}) + L_{n-1}^n(t_{n-1}^n), \qquad (3.4)$$

where  $H_{i_1}^2$  is a polynomial in  $s_{i_1}^2 := d_{i_1j_1}^2 z_{j_1} + d_{i_1j_2}^2 z_{j_2}$  with  $d_{i_1j_1}^2 c_{j_1} + d_{i_1j_2}^2 c_{j_2} = 0, 1 \le i_1 \le {}^nC_2, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n, H_{i_2}^3$  is a polynomial in  $s_{i_2}^3 := d_{i_2j_1}^3 z_{j_1} + d_{i_2j_2}^3 z_{j_2} + d_{i_2j_3}^3 z_{j_3}$ with  $d_{i_2j_1}^3 c_{j_1} + d_{i_2j_2}^3 c_{j_2} + d_{i_2j_3}^3 z_3 = 0, 1 \le i_2 \le {}^nC_3, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \le n \dots, H_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}$  is a polynomial in  $s_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1} := d_{i_{n-2}j_1} z_{j_1} + d_{i_{n-2}j_2} z_{j_2} + \dots + d_{i_{n-2}j_{n-1}} z_{j_{n-1}}$  with  $d_{i_{n-2}j_1} c_{j_1} + d_{i_{n-2}j_2} c_{j_2} + \dots + d_{i_{n-2}j_{n-1}} c_{j_{n-1}} = 0, 1 \le i_{n-2} \le {}^nC_{n-1}, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-1} \le n$  and  $H_{n-1}^n$  is a polynomial in  $s_{n-1}^n ::= d_{i_{n-1}1} z_1 + d_{i_{n-1}2} z_2 + \dots + d_{i_{n-1}n} z_n$  with  $d_{i_{n-1}1} c_1 + d_{i_{n-1}2} c_2 + \dots + d_{i_{n-1}n} c_n = 0, L_{i_1}^2$  is a polynomial in  $t_{i_1}^2 := e_{i_1j_1}^2 z_{j_1} + e_{i_{1j_2}}^2 z_{j_2}$ with  $e_{i_1j_1}^2 c_{j_1} + e_{i_{2j_2}}^2 c_{j_2} = 0, 1 \le i_1 \le {}^nC_2, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n, H_{i_2}^3$  is a polynomial in  $t_{i_2}^{n-1} := e_{i_{n-2}j_1} z_{j_1} + e_{i_{2j_2}}^2 z_{j_2} + e_{i_{2j_3}}^3 z_{j_3}$  with  $e_{i_2j_1}^3 c_{j_1} + e_{i_{2j_2}}^3 z_{j_2} + e_{i_{2j_3}}^3 z_{j_3} = 0, 1 \le i_2 \le {}^nC_3, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \le n \dots, L_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1}$  is a polynomial in  $t_{i_{n-2}}^{n-1} := e_{i_{n-2}j_1} z_{j_1} + e_{i_{n-2}j_2} z_{j_2} + \dots + e_{i_{n-2}j_{n-1}} z_{j_{n-1}} = 0, 1 \le i_{n-2} \le {}^nC_{n-1}, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-1} \le n$  and  $L_{n-1}^n$  is a polynomial in  $t_{n-1}^{n-1} := e_{i_{n-1}1} z_{n-1} + e_{i_{n-1}2} z_2 + \dots + e_{i_{n-1}n} z_n = 0, 1 \le i_{n-2} \le {}^nC_{n-1}, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-1} \le n$  and  $L_{n-1}^n$  is a polynomial in  $t_{n-1}^n := e_{i_{n-1}1} z_{n-1} + e_{i_{n-1}2} z_2 + \dots + e_{i_{n-1}n} z_n = 0, 1 \le i_{n-2} \le {}^nC_{n-1}, 1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-1} \le n$  and  $L_{n-1}^n$  is a polynomial in  $t_{n-1}^n := e_{i_{n-1}1} z_{n-1} + e_{i_{n-1}2} z_2 + \dots + e_{i_{n-1}n} z_n = 0, 1 \le i_{n-2} \le {}^nC_{$ 

Now, we list our main results as follows.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $c = (c_1, c_2, ..., c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $w^2 \neq 0, 1$  and  $g_1(z)$ ,  $g_2(z)$  be any two polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If (f(z), g(z)) be a pair of transcendental entire solution with finite order of the system Fermat type difference equation (3.1), then one of the following must occur.

(i) 
$$g_1(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1, \ g_2(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2,$$
  
$$f(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1]}, \ g(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2]},$$

where  $L(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z_j$ ,  $\Phi(z)$  is defined as in (3.3) and satisfy the relations

$$e^{L(c)} = \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})},$$

$$e^{d_1 - d_2} = \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})},$$

$$a_j, d_1, d_2, \xi_1 \neq 0), \xi_2 \neq 0) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
(ii)

$$g_{1}(z) = L_{1}(z) + L_{2}(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_{1} + d_{3},$$
  

$$g_{2}(z) = L_{1}(z) + L_{2}(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_{2} + d_{4},$$
  

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1}e^{L_{1}(z) + \Phi(z) + d_{1}} + A_{2}e^{L_{2}(z) + \Psi(z) + d_{3}} \right],$$
  

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1}e^{L_{2}(z) + \Psi(z) + d_{4}} + A_{2}e^{L_{1}(z) + \Phi(z) + d_{2}} \right],$$

where  $L_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j z_j$ ,  $L_2(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j$ ,  $\Phi(z)$ ,  $\Psi(z)$  are defined in (3.3) and (3.4), respectively,  $a_j, b_j, d_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for j = 1, 2, ..., n, i = 1, ..., 4such that  $L_1(z) + \Phi(z) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi(z)$  and satisfy one of the following relations:

(a) 
$$e^{L_1(c)} = 1$$
,  $e^{L_2(c)} = 1$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ ,  
(b)  $e^{L_1(c)} = 1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -1$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ ,  
(c)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = 1$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ ,  
(d)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -1$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ .

(iii)  $g_1(z)$  and  $g_2(z)$  have the same form as in (ii),

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1} + A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3} \right],$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2} + A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_4} \right],$$

where  $L_1, L_2, \Phi, \Psi$  are same as in (ii) with  $L_1(z) + \Phi(z) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi(z)$ , and satisfy one of the following relations:

- (a)  $e^{L_1(c)} = A_2/A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1/A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ , (b)  $e^{L_1(c)} = A_2/A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1/A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ ,
- (c)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2/A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1/A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ ,
- (d)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2/A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1/A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ .

The following examples show the existence of transcendental entire solutions with finite order of the system (3.1).

**Example 3.1.** Let n = 2, w = 3,  $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 1$ ,  $c_1 = c_2 = \pi i$ ,  $d_1 = \pi i$ ,  $d_2 = -\pi i$ ,  $g_1(z) = z_1 + z_2 + (z_1 - z_2)^3 + d_1$ ,  $g_2(z) = z_1 + z_2 + (z_1 - z_2)^3 + d_2$ . Then in view of the conclusion (i) of Theorem 3.1, we can see that

$$(f(z), g(z)) = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[z_1 + z_2 + (z_1 - z_2)^3 + d_1]}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[z_1 + z_2 + (z_1 - z_2)^3 + d_2]}\right)$$

is a solution of (3.1).

**Example 3.2.** Let w = 2, n = 3,  $L(z) = z_1 + z_2 + z_3$ ,  $L_2(z) = z_1 + z_2 - z_3$ ,  $c_1 = \pi i, c_2 = 2\pi i, c_3 = -\pi i, \Phi(z) = -\pi^2 (z_1 - z_2)^2 + i\pi^3 (z_1 + z_2)^3 + \pi^4 (z_2 - z_3)^4$ ,  $\Psi(z) = \pi^4 (z_1 + 2z_2 - z_3)^2$ . Choose  $d_j \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $d_1 - d_2 = 2\pi i$  and  $d_3 - d_4 = 4\pi i$ . Then, in view of the conclusion (ii) of Theorem 3.1, (f(z), g(z)), where

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -\frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{6} e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1} + \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}}{6} e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3} \right] \quad and$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -\frac{3 - \sqrt{3}}{6} e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3} + \frac{3 + \sqrt{3}}{6} e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1} \right]$$

is a solution of (3.1).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $w^2 \neq 0, 1$ ,  $g_1(z_1, z_2), g_2(z_1, z_2)$  be any two polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . If (f, g) is a pair of finite order transcendental entire solution of (3.2), then one of the following cases must occur.

(i) 
$$g_1(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) + d_1, \ g_2(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) + d_2,$$
  
 $f(z) = \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) - \mathcal{L}(c) + d_2]}, \ g(z) = \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) - \mathcal{L}(c) + d_1]},$ 

where  $\mathcal{L}(z) = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$ ,  $\chi(z_2)$  is a polynomial in  $z_2$ , only such that  $\chi(z_2 + c_2) = \chi(z_2)$ ,  $\xi_j \neq 0, a_j, d_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, a_1 \neq 0$ , and satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases} e^{\mathcal{L}(c)} = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^{2k} \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})},\\ e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(c)+d_1-d_2]} = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^k \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if  $c_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\chi(z_2)$  becomes linear in  $z_2$ . (ii)

$$g_1(z_1, z_2) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_1 + d_3,$$
  

$$g_2(z_1, z_2) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_2 + d_4,$$
  

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_4} + A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_2}}{\sqrt{2}},$$
  

$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_1} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_3}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

where  $L_1(z) = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$ ,  $L_2(z) = b_1 z_1 + b_2 z_2$ ,  $\Phi_1, \Psi_1$  are two polynomials in  $z_2$ , only with  $\Phi_1(z_2 + c_2) = \Phi_1(z_2)$ ,  $\Psi_1(z_2 + c_2) = \Psi_1(z_2)$  such that  $L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2)$ ,  $a_i, b_1, d_j \in \mathbb{C}$  for i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2.3.4, and satisfy one of the following relations: (a)  $e^{L_1(c)} = a_1^k$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = b_1^k$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = 1$ , (b)  $e^{L_1(c)} = a_1^k$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -b_1^k$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = -1$ , (c)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -a_1^k$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = b_1^k$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = 1$ , (d)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -a_1^k$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -b_1^k$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = -1$ . (iii) The form of  $q_1(z_1, z_2)$  and  $q_2(z_1, z_2)$  are the same as in (ii),

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_2} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_4}}{\sqrt{2}},$$
$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_1} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_3}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

where  $L_1, L_2, \Phi_1, \Psi_1$  are the same as in (ii), and satisfy one of the following conditions:

(a) 
$$e^{L_1(c)} = A_2 a_1^k / A_1$$
,  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = 1$ ,  
(b)  $e^{L_1(c)} = A_2 a_1^k / A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = 1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = -1$ ,  
(c)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2 a_1^k / A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = 1$ ,  
(d)  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2 a_1^k / A_1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ ,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = -1$ .

The following examples show the existence of transcendental entire solutions with finite order of the system (3.2).

**Example 3.3.** Let k = 1,  $L(z) = z_1 + z_2$ ,  $\xi_1 = 1$ ,  $\xi_2 = -1$  and w be a complex number such that  $w \neq 0, \pm 1$ . Choose  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $e^{L(c)/2} = 1/2$ and  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $e^{(d_1-d_2)/2} = -1$ . Then, in view of the conclusion (i) of Theorem 3.2, we easily see that (f, g), where

$$f(z_1, z_2) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2(1+w)}} e^{\frac{1}{2}[z_1+z_2+d_2]} \quad and \quad g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2(1+w)}} e^{\frac{1}{2}[z_1+z_2+d_1]}$$

is a solution of (3.2) with  $g_1(z_1, z_2) = z_1 + z_2 + d_1$  and  $g_2(z_1, z_2) = z_1 + z_2 + d_2$ .

**Example 3.4.** Let k = 1, w = 2,  $L_1(z) = z_1 + z_2$ ,  $L_2(z) = z_1 - 2z_2$ ,  $c_1 = 8\pi i/3, c_2 = -2\pi i/3$ . Choose  $d_j \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, 4$  such that  $d_1 - d_2 = 2m\pi i$  and  $d_3 - d_4 = 2p\pi i$ ,  $m, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, in view of the conclusion (ii) of Theorem 3.2, we easily see that (f(z), g(z)), where

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \left[ -(3 - \sqrt{3})e^{z_1 + z_2 + d_2} + (3 + \sqrt{3})e^{z_1 - 2z_2 + d_4} \right] \text{ and}$$
$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}} \left[ (3 + \sqrt{3})e^{z_1 + z_2 + d_1} - (3 - \sqrt{3})e^{z_1 - 2z_2 + d_3} \right]$$

is a solution of (3.2) with  $g_1(z_1, z_2) = 2z_1 - z_2 + d_1 + d_3$  and  $g_2(z_1, z_2) = 2z_1 - z_2 + d_2 + d_4$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let k be a positive integer,  $c = (c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $w^2 \neq 0, 1$  and f(z), g(z) are transcendental entire functions of finite order not of c-periodic. If (f(z), g(z)) be a solution of (2.5), then one of the following conclusions must hold.

(i)

$$f(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}} \quad and$$
$$g(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma + \eta} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma + \eta)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}}.$$

where  $L(z) = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2 + \gamma$ ,  $\Phi_1(z_2)$  is a polynomial in  $z_2$  with  $\Phi_1(z_2+c_2) = \Phi_1(z_2)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ , and satisfy the following relations:

$$e^{L(c)} = \frac{A_2 e^{\eta}}{(-1)^k A_1 \alpha^k + A_2 e^{\eta}}, \ e^{2\eta} = 1 \ and \ \alpha^k = -\frac{(-1)^k A_1^2 + A_2^2}{(-1)^k A_1 A_2 e^{\eta}}.$$

In particular, if  $c_2 \neq 0$ , then the polynomial  $\Phi_1(z_2)$  becomes linear in  $z_2$ . (ii)

$$f(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}},$$
$$g(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma) + \eta} + A_2 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma - \eta}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}},$$

where k is an even positive integer, L(z),  $\Phi_1(z_2)$  are the same as in (i), and satisfy the following relations:

$$e^{L(c)} = 1 + e^{-\eta} \alpha^k, \ e^{2\eta} = 1 \ and \ \alpha^k = -2e^{\eta}.$$

The following example shows that the existence of transcendental entire solutions of (2.5) is precise.

**Example 3.5.** Let k = 2, w = 2 and choose  $\alpha, \eta, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha^2 = -2, \eta = 2p\pi i$  and  $c_1 + c_2 = (2m+1)\pi i$ ,  $p, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then in view of the conclusion *(ii) of Theorem* 3.3, we see that (f, g), where

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}} \left[ (3 - \sqrt{3})e^{\alpha z_1 + z_2 + 1} - (3 + \sqrt{3})e^{-(\alpha z_1 + z_2 + 1)} \right] \text{ and}$$
$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}} \left[ (3 - \sqrt{3})e^{-(\alpha z_1 + z_2 + 1)} - (3 + \sqrt{3})e^{\alpha z_1 + z_2 + 1} \right] \text{ and}$$

is a solution of (2.5).

# 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Before we starting the proof of the main results, we present here some necessary lemmas which will play key role to prove the main results of this paper.

**Lemma 4.1.** [49] Let  $f_j \neq 0$   $(j = 1, 2..., m; m \geq 3)$  be meromorphic functions such that  $f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$  are not constants,  $f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_m = 1$  and such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ N_{n-1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right) + (m-1)\overline{N}(r, f_j) \right\} < \lambda T(r, f_j) + O(\log^+ T(r, f_j))$$

holds for j = 1, ..., m - 1 and all r outside possibly a set with finite logarithmic measure, where  $\lambda < 1$  is a positive number. Then  $f_m = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** [18] Let  $f_j \neq 0$  (j = 1, 2, 3) be meromorphic functions on such that  $f_1$  are not constant,  $f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 1$ , and such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left\{ N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right) + 2\overline{N}(r, f_j) \right\} < \lambda T(r, f_j) + O(\log^+ T(r, f_j))$$

holds for all r outside possibly a set with finite logarithmic measure, where  $\lambda < 1$  is a positive number. Then, either  $f_2 = 1$  or  $f_3 = 1$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [49] Let  $a_0(z), a_1(z), \ldots, a_n(z)$   $(n \ge 1)$  be meromorphic functions on and  $g_0(z), g_1(z), \ldots, g_n(z)$  are entire functions such that  $g_j(z) - g_k(z)$  are not constants for  $0 \le j < k \le n$ . If  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j(z)e^{g_j(z)} \equiv 0$ , and  $T(r, a_j) = o(T(r))$ , where  $T(r) = \min_{0 \le j < k \le n} T(r, e^{g_j - g_k})$  for  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ , then  $a_j(z) \equiv 0$  for each  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let (f(z), g(z)) be a pair of finite order transcendental entire solution of (3.1). Let us first make a transformation

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u+v)$$
 and  $g(z+c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u-v).$  (4.1)

Then first equation of (3.1) yields

$$(1+w)u^{2} + (1-w)v^{2} = e^{g_{1}(z)},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+wu}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} + i\frac{\sqrt{1-wv}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}}\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+wu}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} - i\frac{\sqrt{1-wv}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}}\right) = 1.$$

In view of the above equation and assumption, it follows that there exists a polynomial  $p_1(z)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\frac{u\sqrt{1+w}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} + i\frac{v\sqrt{1-w}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} = e^{p_1(z)} \text{ and } \frac{u\sqrt{1+w}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} - i\frac{v\sqrt{1-w}}{e^{g_1(z)/2}} = e^{-p_1(z)}.$$
 (4.2)

Let

$$\gamma_1(z) = p_1(z) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(z), \quad \gamma_2(z) = -p_1(z) + \frac{1}{2}g_1(z).$$
 (4.3)

Then from (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$u = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1+w}} \left[ e^{\gamma_1} + e^{\gamma_2} \right], \quad v = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{1-w}} \left[ e^{\gamma_1} - e^{\gamma_2} \right]. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore, in view of (4.1) and (4.4), we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{\gamma_1} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2} \right], \quad g(z+c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{\gamma_1} + A_1 e^{\gamma_2} \right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly, in view of the second equation of (3.1), there exists a polynomial  $p_2(z)$  such that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{\gamma_3} + A_2 e^{\gamma_4} \right], \quad f(z+c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{\gamma_3} + A_1 e^{\gamma_4} \right], \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\gamma_3(z) = p_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}g_2(z), \quad \gamma_4(z) = -p_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}g_2(z).$$
 (4.7)

From (4.5) and (4.6), we easily get

$$\begin{cases} A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} - A_2 e^{\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z)} = A_1, \\ A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z)} - A_2 e^{\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_1(z)} = A_1. \end{cases}$$
(4.8)

Now we discuss the following four possible cases.

**Case 1:** Let  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z) = \zeta_1$  and  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z) = \zeta_2$ , where  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2$  are two complex constants.

Then, it clearly follows from (4.3) and (4.7) that  $p_1(z)$  and  $p_2(z)$  both are constants in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $e^{p_1} = \xi_1$  and  $e^{p_2} = \xi_2$ .

Then, from (4.5) and (4.6), it follows that

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_1(z)}, \\ g(z+c) = \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_1(z)}, \\ g(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_2(z)}, \\ f(z+c) = \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_2(z)}. \end{cases}$$
(4.9)

Since f, g are transcendental entire, it follows from (4.9) that  $A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}$ ,  $A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}$ ,  $A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}$  and  $A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}$  are all non zero constants. Since  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are constants and f, g are transcendental entire, it follows from (4.9) that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are non-constants.

After simple calculations, we have from (4.9) that

$$\begin{cases} (A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})e^{\frac{1}{2}[g_1(z+c)-g_2(z)]} = A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}, \\ (A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})e^{\frac{1}{2}[g_2(z+c)-g_1(z)]} = A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}. \end{cases}$$
(4.10)

Since  $g_1, g_2$  are non-constant polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , from (4.10), we see that  $g_1(z + c) - g_2(z) = \eta_1$  and  $g_2(z + c) - g_1(z) = \eta_2$ , where  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Otherwise, left hand sides of both the equations are transcendental, whereas right hand sides will be

polynomial, a contradiction. Therefore,  $g_1(z+2c) - g_2(z) = g_2(z+2c) - g_1(z) = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ . Thus,

$$g_1(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1$$
 and  $g_2(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2$ ,

where  $L(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z_j$  and  $\Phi(z)$  is a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined as in (3.3),  $a_j, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

Thus, from (4.10), we get

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(c)+d_1-d_2]} = \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}} \text{ and } e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(c)+d_2-d_1]} = \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}, \quad (4.11)$$

which imply

$$e^{L(c)} = \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})} \text{ and } e^{d_1-d_2} = \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})}$$
  
Therefore, from (4.0), we obtain

Therefore, from (4.9), we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1]} \text{ and } g(z) = \frac{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2}[L(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2]}.$$

**Case 2.** Let  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  and  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z)$  both are non-constants. Then, by Lemma 4.2, it follows from (4.8) that

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1$$
 or  $A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1$ 

and

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$$
 or  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$ .

Now, we consider the following four possible subcases.

Subcase 2.1. Let

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 \text{ and } A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1.$$
 (4.12)

Then, from (4.8) and (4.12), we obtain

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2$$
 and  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2.$  (4.13)

Since  $\gamma_j$ 's, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 are all polynomials, it follows from (4.9) and (4.10) that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = \zeta_1$ ,  $\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = \zeta_2$ ,  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = \zeta_3$  and  $\gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = \zeta_4$ ,  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}$  for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. This implies that

$$\gamma_1(z+2c) - \gamma_1(z) = \gamma_4(z+2c) - \gamma_4(z) = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$$
 and

$$\gamma_2(z+2c) - \gamma_2(z) = \gamma_3(z+2c) - \gamma_3(z) = \zeta_3 + \zeta_4.$$

Therefore, we must get

$$\gamma_1(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1, \ \gamma_4(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2,$$

 $\gamma_2(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3$ , and  $\gamma_3(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_4$ ,

where  $L_1(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j z_j$ ,  $L_2(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j z_j$ ,  $\Phi(z)$  and  $\Psi(z)$  are defined in (3.3) and (3.4), respectively,  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$ ,  $d_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for i = 1, 2 and  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . As  $\gamma_4(z) - \gamma_3(z)$ and  $\gamma_2(z) - \gamma_1(z)$  both are non-constants, we must have

$$L_1(z) + \Phi(z) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi(z).$$

Therefore, in view of (4.3) and (4.7), we have

$$g_1(z) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_1 + d_3$$
 and

$$g_2(z) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_2 + d_4.$$

Therefore, from (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} e^{L_1(c)+d_1-d_2} = 1, & e^{L_1(c)+d_2-d_1} = 1, \\ e^{L_2(c)+d_3-d_4} = 1, & e^{L_2(c)+d_4-d_3} = 1. \end{cases}$$
(4.14)

After simple computation, we obtain from (4.14) that

$$e^{2L_1(c)} = 1$$
,  $e^{2L_2(c)} = 1$ ,  $e^{2(d_1-d_2)} = 1$  and  $e^{2(d_3-d_4)} = 1$ ,

which yield

$$e^{L_1(c)} = \pm 1$$
,  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = \pm 1$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = \pm 1$  and  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = \pm 1$ .

If  $e^{L_1(c)} = 1$ , then from (4.14), we obtain  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_1(c)} = -1$ , then from (4.14), we obtain  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$ . Similarly, If  $e^{L_2(c)} = 1$ , then from (4.14), it follows that  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_2(c)} = -1$ , then it follows from (4.14) that  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ .

Hence, from (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1} + A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3} \right] \text{ and}$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_4} + A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2} \right].$$

Subcase 2.2. Let

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 \text{ and } A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1.$$
 (4.15)

Therefore, from (4.3) and (4.15), we obtain

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2 \text{ and } A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2.$$
 (4.16)

Since  $\gamma_j$ 's are polynomials, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, it follows from (4.15) and (4.16) that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = \zeta_1$ ,  $\gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = \zeta_2$ ,  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = \zeta_3$  and  $\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = \zeta_4$ ,  $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}$  for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. This implies that  $\gamma_1(z+4c) - \gamma_1(z) =$  $\gamma_2(z+4c) - \gamma_2(z) = \sum_{j=1}^4 \zeta_j$ . Hence, we can have  $\gamma_1(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + k_1$  and  $\gamma_2(z) = L(z) + \Phi(z) + k_2$ , where L(z) and  $\Phi(z)$  are the same as defined in Case 1. But, then we must have  $\gamma_2(z) - \gamma_1(z) = k_2 - k_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 2.3. Let

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1$$
 and  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$ .

By similar argument as used in **Subcase 2.2**, we can easily get a contradiction. **Subcase 2.4.** Let

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 \text{ and } A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1.$$
 (4.17)

In view of (4.3) and (4.17), it follows that

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2$$
 and  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2.$  (4.18)

Since  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  are all polynomials, from (4.17) and (4.18), we get  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = l_1, \gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = l_2, \gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = l_3$  and  $\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = l_4, l_j \in \mathbb{C}$  for j = 1, 2, 3, 4. This implies that  $\gamma_1(z+2c) - \gamma_1(z) = \gamma_3(z+2c) - \gamma_3(z) = l_3 + l_4$  and  $\gamma_2(z+2c) - \gamma_2(z) = \gamma_4(z+2c) - \gamma_4(z) = l_1 + l_2$ .

Therefore, we must have

$$\gamma_1(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1, \ \gamma_3(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2,$$

$$\gamma_2(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3$$
, and  $\gamma_4(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_4$ ,

where  $L_1(z), L_2(z), \Phi(z), \Psi(z)$  are defined in Subcase 2.1. As  $\gamma_2 - \gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_3$  are non-constants, we have  $L_1(z) + \Phi(z) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi(z)$ .

Therefore, in view of (4.3) and (4.7), we obtain

$$g_1(z) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_1 + d_3$$
 and

$$g_2(z) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi(z) + \Psi(z) + d_2 + d_4.$$

Hence, from (4.17) and (4.18), we have

$$A_{2}e^{L_{2}(c)+d_{3}-d_{4}} = A_{1}, \quad A_{2}e^{L_{2}(c)+d_{4}-d_{3}} = A_{1}$$

$$A_{1}e^{L_{1}(c)+d_{1}-d_{2}} = A_{2}, \quad A_{1}e^{L_{1}(c)+d_{2}-d_{1}} = A_{2}.$$
(4.19)

From (4.19), it follows that

$$e^{2L_1(c)} = A_2^2/A_1^2$$
,  $e^{2L_2(c)} = A_1^2/A_2^2$ ,  $e^{2(d_1-d_4)} = 1$  and  $e^{2(d_3-d_4)} = 1$ ,

which yield

$$e^{L_1(c)} = \pm A_2/A_1, \ e^{L_2(c)} = \pm A_1/A_2, \ e^{d_1-d_4} = \pm 1 \ \text{and} \ e^{2(d_3-d_4)} = \pm 1$$

If  $e^{L_1(c)} = A_2/A_1$ , then from (4.19), we get  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2/A_1$ , then it follows from (4.19) that  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$ . Similarly, If  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1/A_2$ , then from (4.19), we get  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1/A_2$ , then from (4.19), we get  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ .

Hence, from (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_1} + A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_3} \right] \text{ and}$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi(z) + d_2} + A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi(z) + d_4} \right].$$

**Case 3.** Let  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z) = \eta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  be non-constant. Then, in view of (4.7), we see that  $p_2(z)$  is a constant.

Since  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$  is non-constant, in view of Lemma 4.2, it follows from the second equation of (4.8) that either  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$  or  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$ .

First suppose that  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$ . Then, from the second equation of (4.8), we have  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2$ . As all  $\gamma_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3, 4 are polynomials, it follows that  $\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z) = m_1$  and  $\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_1(z) = m_2$ , where  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z) = m_1 - m_2 - \eta$ , a constant in  $\mathbb{C}$ , which contradicts to our assumption.

Similarly, we can get a contradiction for the case  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1$ .

**Case 4.** Let  $\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 = \eta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_4 - \gamma_3$  be non-constant. Then, by similar argument as used in **Subcase 3**, we easily get a contradiction.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let (f, g) is a pair of finite transcendental entire solutions of the system (3.2).

Then, in a similar manner as in Theorem 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)} + A_{2} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)} \right] \\
g(z+c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{2} e^{\gamma_{1}(z)} + A_{1} e^{\gamma_{2}(z)} \right] \\
\frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1} e^{\gamma_{3}(z)} + A_{2} e^{\gamma_{4}(z)} \right] \\
f(z+c) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{2} e^{\gamma_{3}(z)} + A_{1} e^{\gamma_{4}(z)} \right],
\end{cases}$$
(4.20)

where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$  are defined in (4.3) and (4.7).

Differentiating partially k times with respect to  $z_1$ , second and fourth equations of (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^k f(z+c)}{\partial z_1^k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 h_1(z) e^{\gamma_3(z)} + A_1 h_2(z) e^{\gamma_4(z)} \right], \\ \frac{\partial^k g(z+c)}{\partial z_1^k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 h_3(z) e^{\gamma_1(z)} + A_1 h_4(z) e^{\gamma_2(z)} \right], \end{cases}$$
(4.21)

where  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$  are given by

$$\begin{cases} h_1(z) = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_3}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + M_k \left(\frac{\partial^k\gamma_3}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial\gamma_3}{\partial z_1}\right), \\ h_2(z) = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_4}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + N_k \left(\frac{\partial^k\gamma_4}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial\gamma_4}{\partial z_1}\right) \\ h_3(z) = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + O_k \left(\frac{\partial^k\gamma_1}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial\gamma_1}{\partial z_1}\right), \\ h_4(z) = \left(\frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + R_k \left(\frac{\partial^k\gamma_2}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial\gamma_2}{\partial z_1}\right), \end{cases}$$

where  $M_k$  is the partial differential polynomial in  $\frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial z_1}$  of order less than k in which  $\frac{\partial \gamma_3}{\partial z_1}$  appears in product with at least one more partial derivative of  $\gamma_3(z)$  of order greater than or equal to 2. Similar definitions for  $N_k, O_k$  and  $R_k$ .

Now, from the first and third equations of (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} - A_2 h_1(z) e^{\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z), \\ A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z)} - A_2 h_3(z) e^{\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z). \end{cases}$$
(4.22)

Now, we consider the following four possible cases.

**Case 1.** Let  $\gamma_2(z) - \gamma_1(z) = \eta_1$  and  $\gamma_4(z) - \gamma_3(z) = \eta_2$ , where  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, in view of (4.3) and (4.7), it follows that  $h_1(z)$  and  $h_2(z)$  are both constants. Set

16

 $e^{h_1} = \xi_1$  and  $e^{h_2} = \xi_2$ ,  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are non-zero constants in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, in view of (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{A_{1}\xi_{1} + A_{2}\xi_{1}^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_{1}(z)}, \\ g(z+c) = \frac{A_{2}\xi_{1} + A_{1}\xi_{1}^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_{1}(z)}, \\ \frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{A_{1}\xi_{2} + A_{2}\xi_{2}^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_{2}(z)}, \\ f(z+c) = \frac{A_{2}\xi_{2} + A_{1}\xi_{2}^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}g_{2}(z)}. \end{cases}$$
(4.23)

As f, g are transcendental entire, in view of (4.23), we see that  $g_1(z), g_2(z)$  both are non-constant polynomials and  $A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}$ ,  $A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}$ ,  $A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}$ ,  $A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}$  are all non-zero constants.

Also, after simple computations, we obtain from (4.23) that

$$\begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{2}[g_1(z+c)-g_2(z)]} = \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}r_1(z), \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}[g_2(z+c)-g_1(z)]} = \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}r_2(z) \end{cases}$$
(4.24)

with

$$r_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_2(z)}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + G_1\left(\frac{\partial^k g_2(z)}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_2(z)}{\partial z_1}\right) \text{ and}$$
$$r_2(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial g_1(z)}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + G_2\left(\frac{\partial^k g_1(z)}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial g_1(z)}{\partial z_1}\right),$$

where  $G_1$  is a differential polynomial in  $g_2(z)$  of order less than k in which  $g'_2(z)$  appears in the product with at least one more partial derivative of order greater than or equal to 2, and similar definition for  $G_2$ .

Since  $g_1(z), g_2(z)$  are non-constant polynomials, from (4.24), conclude that  $r_1, r_2, g_1(z+c)-g_2(z)$  and  $g_2(z+c)-g_1(z)$  are all constants. Let  $g_1(z+c)-g_2(z) = \eta_3$  and  $g_2(z+c) - g_1(z) = \eta_4, \eta_3, \eta_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ . This implies that  $g_1(z+2c) - g_1(z) = g_2(z+2c) - g_2(z) = \eta_3 + \eta_4$ . Hence, we can get

$$g_1(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) + d_1, \ g_2(z_1, z_2) = \mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) + d_2,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(z) = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$ ,  $\chi(z_2)$  is a polynomial in  $z_2$  such that  $\chi(z_2 + c_2) = \chi(z_2)$ ,  $a_1, a_2, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since,  $r_1, r_2$  both are constants, it follows that

$$r_1 = r_2 = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^k.$$

Therefore, from (4.24), we see that

$$\begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(c)+d_1-d_2]} = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^k \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1}}, \\ e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(c)+d_2-d_1]} = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^k \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1}}. \end{cases}$$
(4.25)

Hence, from (4.25), it follows that

$$e^{\mathcal{L}(c)} = \left(\frac{a_1}{2}\right)^{2k} \frac{(A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1})(A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1})}{(A_1\xi_1 + A_2\xi_1^{-1})(A_1\xi_2 + A_2\xi_2^{-1})}.$$

Thus, from (4.23), we get

$$f(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2\xi_2 + A_1\xi_2^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) - \mathcal{L}(c) + d_2]} \text{ and}$$
$$g(z_1, z_2) = \frac{A_2\xi_1 + A_1\xi_1^{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(z) + \chi(z_2) - \mathcal{L}(c) + d_1]}.$$

**Case 2.** Let  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  and  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z)$  both are non-constant.

Observe that  $h_1(z)$  and  $h_2(z)$  both can not be simultaneously zero. Otherwise, from the first equation of (4.22), we obtain  $e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_2(z+c)} = -1$ , which is a contradiction as  $\gamma_2(z) - \gamma_1(z)$  is non-constant.

Now, let  $h_1(z) \neq 0$  and  $h_2(z) \equiv 0$ . Then, first equation of (4.22) reduces to

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z)} = A_2 h_1(z).$$
(4.26)

As  $\gamma_2(z) - \gamma_1(z)$  is a non-constant polynomial, in view of (4.26), it follows that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z)$  and  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z)$  both are non-constants.

Rewrite (4.26) as

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)} - A_2 h_1 e^{\gamma_3(z)} \equiv 0.$$
(4.27)

Therefore, in view of Lemma 4.3, we can easily get a contradiction from (4.27).

In a similar manner, we can get a contradiction when  $h_1(z) \equiv 0$  and  $h_2(z) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $h_1(z) \neq 0$  and  $h_2(z) \neq 0$ . By similar arguments, we also get  $h_3(z) \neq 0$  and  $h_4(z) \neq 0$ .

Therefore, by (4.22) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

either 
$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z)$$
, or  $A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z)$ 

and

either 
$$A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z)$$
, or  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z)$ .

Now, we discuss the four possible subcases.

Subcase 2.1. Let

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z)$$
 and  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z).$  (4.28)

Then, by (4.22) and (4.28), we get

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2 h_1(z) \text{ and } A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2 h_3(z).$$
 (4.29)

Since  $\gamma_j$ 's are polynomials, j = 1, ..., 4, (4.28) and (4.29) yield that  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$ ,  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z), \gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z), \gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z), \gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_1(z)$  are all constants. Let  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = k_1, \gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = k_2, \gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = k_3$  and  $\gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = k_4$ , where  $k_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, ..., 4$ . These imply  $\gamma_1(z+2c) - \gamma_1(z) = \gamma_4(z+2c) - \gamma_4(z) = k_1 + k_4$  and  $\gamma_2(z+2c) - \gamma_2(z) = \gamma_3(z+2c) - \gamma_3(z) = k_2 + k_3$ . Thus, we have

$$\gamma_1(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + d_1, \ \gamma_4(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + d_2,$$

$$\gamma_2(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_3$$
, and  $\gamma_3(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_4$ ,

where  $L_1(z) = a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2$ ,  $L_2(z) = b_1 z_1 + b_2 z_2$ ,  $\Phi_1(z_2)$  and  $\Psi_1(z_2)$  are polynomials in  $z_2$  such that  $\Phi_1(z_2 + c_2) = \Phi_1(z_2)$  and  $\Psi_1(z_2 + c_2) = \Psi_1(z_2)$ ,  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$ ,  $e_j$ ,  $t_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for j = 1, 2.

Since 
$$\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$$
 and  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z)$  are non-constants, we conclude that  
 $L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) \neq L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2).$ 

Therefore, in view of (4.3) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} g_1(z_1, z_2) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_1 + d_3, \\ g_2(z_1, z_2) = L_1(z) + L_2(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_2 + d_4. \end{cases}$$
(4.30)

Hence, from (4.28) and (4.29), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} e^{L_1(c)+d_1-d_2} = a_1^k, & e^{L_1(c)+d_2-d_1} = a_1^k, \\ e^{L_2(c)+d_3-d_4} = b_1^k, & e^{L_2(c)+d_4-d_3} = b_1^k. \end{cases}$$
(4.31)

From (4.31), we obtain

$$e^{2L_1(c)} = a_1^{2k}, e^{2(d_1-d_2)} = 1, e^{2L_2(c)} = b_1^{2k}$$
 and  $e^{2(d_3-d_4)} = 1.$ 

Therefore, we have  $e^{L_1(c)} = \pm a_1^k$ ,  $e^{L_2(c)} = \pm b_1^k$ ,  $e^{d_1-d_2} = \pm 1$  and  $e^{d_3-d_4} = \pm 1$ . If  $e^{L_1(c)} = a_1^k$ , then from (4.31), we get  $e^{d_1-d_2} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_1(c)} = -a_1^k$ , then from (4.31), we get  $e^{d_1-d_2} = -1$ . If  $e^{L_2(c)} = b_1^k$ , then from (4.31), we get  $e^{d_3-d_4} = 1$  and if  $e^{L_2(c)} = -b_1^k$ , then we get  $e^{d_3-d_4} = -1$ .

Thus, from fourth and second equations of (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_4} + A_1 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_2} \right] \\ g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_1} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_3} \right] \end{cases}$$

Subcase 2.2. Let

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z)$$
 and  $A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z).$  (4.32)

Then, in view of (4.22) and (4.32), we obtain

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2 h_1(z) \text{ and } A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2 h_3(z).$$
 (4.33)

Since  $\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(z), \gamma_3(z), \gamma_4(z)$  are polynomials, we conclude from (4.32) and (4.33) that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = m_1, \gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = m_2, \gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = m_3$ and  $\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = m_4$ , where  $m_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, ..., 4$ . These imply  $\gamma_1(z+2c) - \gamma_1(z) = \gamma_2(z+4c) - \gamma_2(z) = \sum_{j=1}^4 m_j$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_1(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(s_1) + d_1$ 

and  $\gamma_2(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(s_1) + d_2$ , where  $L_1(z)$  and  $\Phi_1(s_1)$  are defined in Subcase 2.1,  $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence,  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z) = d_1 - d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 2.3. Let

 $A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z)$  and  $A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z).$ 

Then, by similar arguments as in Subcase 2.2, we easily get a contradiction. Subcase 2.4. Let

$$A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z) \text{ and } A_2 e^{\gamma_4(z+c)-\gamma_2(z)} = A_1 h_4(z).$$
 (4.34)

Then, by (4.22) and (4.34), we get

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_3(z)} = A_2 h_1(z) \text{ and } A_1 e^{\gamma_3(z+c)-\gamma_1(z)} = A_2 h_3(z).$$
 (4.35)

As  $\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(z), \gamma_3(z), \gamma_4(z)$  are polynomials, from (4.34) and (4.35), we conclude that  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, \gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z), \gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z), \gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z), \gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_1(z)$  are all constants. Let  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z) = n_1, \gamma_4(z+c) - \gamma_2(z) = n_2, \gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_3(z) = n_3$  and  $\gamma_3(z+c) - \gamma_1(z) = n_4$ , where  $n_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \ldots, 4$ . Thus, we get  $\gamma_1(z+2c) - \gamma_1(z) = \gamma_3(z+2c) - \gamma_3(z) = n_1 + n_2$  and  $\gamma_2(z+2c) - \gamma_2(z) = \gamma_4(z+2c) - \gamma_4(z) = n_3 + n_4$ . Hence, by similar arguments as in Subcase 2.1, we obtain

$$\gamma_1(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + d_1, \quad \gamma_3(z) = L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + d_2,$$
  
 $\gamma_2(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_3, \text{ and } \gamma_4(z) = L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) + d_4,$ 

where  $L_{(z)}, L_{2}(z), \Phi_{1}(z_{2}), \Psi_{1}(z_{2})$  are same as in Subcase 2.1 with  $L_{1}(z) + \Phi_{1}(z_{2}) \neq L_{2}(z) + \Psi_{1}(z_{2})$ . Thus, in view of (4.3) and (4.7), we obtain the same form of  $g_{1}(z_{1}, z_{2})$  and  $g_{2}(z_{1}, z_{2})$  as in (4.30).

Therefore, in view of (4.34) and (4.35), we have

$$\begin{cases} A_2 e^{L_2(c) + d_3 - d_4} = A_1 b_1^k, & A_2 e^{L_2(c) + d_4 - d_3} = A_1 b_1^k, \\ A_1 e^{L_1(c) + d_1 - d_2} = A_2 a_1^k, & A_1 e^{L_1(c) + d_2 - d_1} = A_2 a_1^k. \end{cases}$$
(4.36)

From (4.36), we obtain

$$e^{2L_1(c)} = \frac{A_2^2}{A_1^2} a_1^{2k} \quad e^{2(d_1-d_2)} = 1, \quad e^{2L_2(c)} = \frac{A_1^2}{A_2^2} b_1^{2k} \text{ and } e^{2(d_3-d_4)} = 1,$$

which imply

$$e^{L_1(c)} = \pm \frac{A_2}{A_1} a_1^k \quad e^{d_1 - d_2} = \pm 1, \quad e^{L_2(c)} = \pm \frac{A_1}{A_2} b_1^k \text{ and } e^{d_3 - d_4} = \pm 1.$$

If  $e^{L_1(c)} = A_2 a_1^k / A_1$ , then from (4.36), we get  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_1(c)} = -A_2 a_1^k / A_1$ , then we have  $e^{d_1 - d_2} = -1$ . If  $e^{L_2(c)} = A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ , then from (4.36), we get  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = 1$ . If  $e^{L_2(c)} = -A_1 b_1^k / A_2$ , then we have  $e^{d_3 - d_4} = -1$ .

Hence, from fourth and second equations of (4.20), we get

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_2} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_4} \right], \\ g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 e^{L_1(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) - L_1(c) + d_1} + A_1 e^{L_2(z) + \Psi_1(z_2) - L_2(c) + d_3} \right]. \end{cases}$$

**Case 3.** Let  $\gamma_3(z) - \gamma_4(z) = \eta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  be non-constant. Then the first equation of (4.22) reduces to

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} + A_2 e^{\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)} = A_1 h_2(z) + A_2 h_1(z) e^{\eta}.$$
(4.37)

Note that  $A_1h_2(z) + A_2h_1(z)e^{\eta} \neq 0$ . Otherwise from (4.37), we have

$$A_1 e^{\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_2(z+c)} = -A_2.$$

which implies that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_2(z+c)$  and hence  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  becomes constant. This is a contradiction.

Now, in view of (4.37), it follows that

$$T(r, e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)}) = T(r, e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)}) + O(1).$$
(4.38)

We note that

$$N(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}}\right) = S(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)})$$

and

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{e^{\gamma_1(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)}-w}\right) = N\left(r,\frac{1}{e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)}}\right) = S\left(r,e^{\gamma_2(z+c)-\gamma_4(z)}\right),$$

where  $w = h_2 + A_2 h_1 e^{\eta} / A_1$ .

Now by the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna for several complex variables, we get

$$T(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}) \leq N(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}}\right) \\ + S(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}) \leq S(r, e^{\gamma_{1}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}) + S(r, e^{\gamma_{2}(z+c)-\gamma_{4}(z)}).$$

This implies that  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)$  is constant. In view of (4.38), we see that  $\gamma_2(z+c) - \gamma_4(z)$  is also constant. These imply  $\gamma_1(z+c) - \gamma_2(z+c)$  and hence  $\gamma_1(z) - \gamma_2(z)$  is a constant. This is a contradiction.

**Case 4.** Let  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = \eta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_3 - \gamma_4$  be non-constant. Then, by similar arguments as used in Subcase 3, we can get a contradiction. So, we omit the details.

**Proof of Theorem 3.3.** Let (f(z), g(z)) be a pair of finite order transcendental entire solution of (2.5).

Then, by similar argument as in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1} e^{p_{1}(z)} + A_{2} e^{-p_{1}(z)} \right], \\ g(z+c) - g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{2} e^{p_{1}(z)} + A_{1} e^{-p_{1}(z)} \right], \\ \frac{\partial^{k} g}{\partial z_{1}^{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{1} e^{p_{2}(z)} + A_{2} e^{-p_{2}(z)} \right], \\ f(z+c) - f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_{2} e^{p_{2}(z)} + A_{1} e^{-p_{2}(z)} \right], \end{cases}$$

$$(4.39)$$

where  $p_1(z)$  and  $p_2(z)$  are two non-constant polynomials.

Differentiating fourth equation of (4.39) k times, we get

$$\frac{\partial^k f(z+c)}{\partial z_1^k} - \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_1^k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ A_2 H_1 e^{p_2(z)} + A_1 H_2 e^{-p_2(z)} \right], \tag{4.40}$$

where  $H_1 = \left(\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + M_k \left(\frac{\partial^k p_2}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z_1}\right)$  and  $H_2 = \left(-\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + N_k \left(\frac{\partial^k p_2}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z_1}\right)$ , where  $M_k$  is a partial differential polynomial in  $p_2(z)$  of degree less than k in which  $\frac{\partial p_2}{\partial z_1}$  appears in the product with at least one more partial derivative of  $p_2$  of order greater than 1. Similar definition is for  $N_k$ .

Now in view of the first equation of (4.39) and (4.40), we obtain

$$A_1 e^{p_1(z+c)+p_2(z)} + A_2 e^{-p_1(z+c)+p_2(z)} - A_1 e^{p_1(z)+p_2(z)} - A_2 e^{-p_1(z)+p_2(z)} - A_2 H_1 e^{2p_2(z)} = A_1 H_2.$$
(4.41)

Similarly in view of the second and third equations of (4.39), we obtain

$$A_{1}e^{p_{2}(z+c)+p_{1}(z)} + A_{2}e^{-p_{2}(z+c)+p_{1}(z)} - A_{1}e^{p_{2}(z)+p_{1}(z)} - A_{2}e^{-p_{2}(z)+p_{1}(z)} -A_{2}H_{3}e^{2p_{1}(z)} = A_{1}H_{4},$$
(4.42)

where  $H_3 = \left(\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + O_k\left(\frac{\partial^k p_1}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z_1}\right)$  and  $H_4 = \left(-\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z_1}\right)^k + R_k\left(\frac{\partial^k p_1}{\partial z_1^k}, \dots, \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z_1}\right)$ , where  $O_k$  is a differential polynomial in  $p_1(z)$  of degree less than k in which  $\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z_1}$  appears in the product with at least one higher order derivative of  $p_1$ . Similar definition is for  $R_k$ .

Now, we consider the following two possible cases.

**Case 1.** Let  $p_2(z) - p_1(z) = \eta$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then (4.41) and (4.42) yield

$$\begin{cases}
A_{1}e^{\eta}e^{p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z)} + A_{2}e^{\eta}e^{p_{1}(z)-p_{1}(z+c)} - e^{\eta}(A_{1}+A_{2}H_{1}e^{\eta})e^{2p_{1}(z)} \\
= A_{1}H_{2} + A_{2}e^{\eta}, \\
A_{1}e^{\eta}e^{p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z)} + A_{2}e^{-\eta}e^{p_{1}(z)-p_{1}(z+c)} - (A_{1}e^{\eta}+A_{2}H_{3})e^{2p_{1}(z)} \\
= A_{1}H_{4} + A_{2}e^{-\eta}.
\end{cases}$$
(4.43)

Note that  $A_1 + A_2H_1e^{\eta}$  and  $A_1H_2 + A_2e^{\eta}$  can not be zero simultaneously. Otherwise, from the first equation of (4.43), we get  $p_1(z+c)$ , and hence  $p_1(z)$  is constant, a contradiction. Next, suppose that  $A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta} \neq 0$  and  $A_1 H_2 + A_2 e^{\eta} \equiv 0$ . The first equation of (4.43) reduces to

$$A_1 e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)} + A_2 e^{-(p_1(z)+p_1(z+c))} = A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta}.$$
(4.44)

Now, observe that

$$N\left(r, e^{-(p_1(z+c)+p_1(z))}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{-(p_1(z+c)+p_1(z))}}\right) = S\left(r, e^{-(p_1(z+c)+p_1(z))}\right)$$

and in view of (4.44), we have

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{e^{-(p_1(z+c)+p_1(z))}-w}\right) = N\left(r,\frac{1}{e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)}}\right) = S\left(r,e^{-(p_1(z+c)+p_1(z))}\right),$$

where  $w = (A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta})/A_2$ .

By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna, we obtain

$$T\left(r, e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))}\right) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))} - w}\right) + S\left(r, e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))}\right) \leq S\left(r, e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))}\right) + S\left(r, e^{p_{1}(z+c)-p_{1}(z)}\right).$$

This implies that  $p_1(z+c) + p_1(z)$  is constant, and hence  $p_1(z)$  is constant, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, we can get a contradiction for the case  $A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta} \equiv 0$  and  $A_1 H_2 + A_2 e^{\eta} \neq 0$ . Hence,  $A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta} \neq 0$  and  $A_1 H_2 + A_2 e^{\eta} \neq 0$ .

In a similar manner, we can show that  $A_1e^{\eta} + A_2H_3 \neq 0$  and  $A_1H_4 + A_2e^{-\eta} \neq 0$ . Therefore, in view of Lemma 4.1 and (4.43), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} A_2 e^{\eta} e^{p_1(z) - p_1(z+c)} = A_1 H_2 + A_2 e^{\eta}, \\ A_2 e^{-\eta} e^{p_1(z) - p_1(z+c)} = A_1 H_4 + A_2 e^{-\eta}. \end{cases}$$
(4.45)

By (4.43) and (4.45), we get

$$\begin{cases} A_1 e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)} = A_1 + A_2 H_1 e^{\eta}, \\ A_1 e^{\eta} e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)} = A_2 H_3 + A_1 e^{\eta}. \end{cases}$$
(4.46)

Since  $p_1(z)$  is a non-constant polynomial, it follows from (4.45) and (4.46) that  $p_1(z+c) - p_1(z)$ ,  $H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4$  are all constants. Otherwise, L.H.S. of both the equations of (4.45) and (4.46) are transcendental entire, whereas R.H.S's. are polynomials. Therefore, we must have  $p_1(z) = L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma$ , where  $L(z) = \alpha z_1 + \beta z_2$ ,  $\Phi_1(z_2)$  is a polynomial in  $z_2$  with  $\Phi_1(z_2 + c_2) = \Phi_1(z_2)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $p_2(z) = L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma + \eta$ .

Therefore, in view of (4.45), (4.46) and the form of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $H_3$  and  $H_4$ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} A_2 e^{\eta} e^{-\alpha c} = A_1 (-\alpha)^k + A_2 e^{\eta}, & A_2 e^{-\eta} e^{-\alpha c} = A_1 (-\alpha)^k + A_2 e^{-\eta}, \\ A_1 e^{\alpha c} = A_1 + A_2 \alpha^k e^{\eta}, & A_1 e^{\eta} e^{\alpha c} = A_2 \alpha^k + A_1 e^{\eta}. \end{cases}$$
(4.47)

From (4.47), we obtain

$$e^{L(c)} = \frac{A_2 e^{\eta}}{(-1)^k A_1 \alpha^k + A_2 e^{\eta}}, \ e^{2\eta} = 1 \text{ and } \alpha^k = -\frac{(-1)^k A_1^2 + A_2^2}{(-1)^k A_1 A_2 e^{\eta}}$$

Thus, from (4.39), we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma)}}{\sqrt{2}\alpha^k} \text{ and}$$
$$g(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma + \eta} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma + \eta)}}{\sqrt{2}\alpha^k}.$$

**Case 2.** Let  $p_2(z) - p_1(z)$  be non-constant.

Now we consider two possible subcases.

Subcase 2.1. Let  $p_2(z) + p_1(z) = \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is a constant in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then, (4.41) and (4.42) yield

$$\begin{cases}
A_{1}e^{\eta}e^{p_{1}(z+c)-p_{1}(z)} + A_{2}e^{\eta}e^{-(p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z))} - A_{2}e^{\eta}(1+H_{1}e^{\eta})e^{-2p_{1}(z)} \\
= A_{1}(H_{2}+e^{\eta}), \\
A_{1}e^{\eta}e^{p_{1}(z)-p_{1}(z+c)} + A_{2}e^{-\eta}e^{p_{1}(z+c)+p_{1}(z)} - A_{2}(H_{3}+e^{-\eta})e^{2p_{1}(z)} \\
= A_{1}(H_{4}+e^{\eta}).
\end{cases}$$
(4.48)

Now, by similar argument as in Case 1, we can prove that  $1 + H_1 e^{\eta}$ ,  $H_2 + e^{\eta}$ ,  $H_3 + e^{-\eta}$  and  $H_4 + e^{\eta}$  are all non-zero.

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 and (4.48), we have

$$e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)} = H_2 e^{-\eta} + 1$$
 and  $e^{p_1(z)-p_1(z+c)} = H_4 e^{-\eta} + 1.$  (4.49)

From (4.48) and (4.49), we obtain

$$e^{-p_1(z+c)+p_1(z)} = 1 + H_1 e^{\eta}$$
 and  $e^{p_1(z+c)-p_1(z)} = 1 + e^{\eta} H_3.$  (4.50)

Then by similar arguments as in the Case 1, we obtain that

 $p_1(z) = L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma$  and  $p_2(z) = -(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma) + \eta$ .

Therefore, from (4.49) and (4.50), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} e^{L(c)} = 1 + e^{-\eta} \alpha^k, \ e^{-L(c)} = e^{-\eta} (-\alpha)^k + 1, \\ e^{-L(c)} = 1 + e^{\eta} (-\alpha)^k, \ e^{L(c)} = 1 + e^{\eta} \alpha^k. \end{cases}$$
(4.51)

From first and fourth equations of (4.51), we get

$$e^{2\eta} = 1.$$

From the above two equations of (4.51), we obtain

$$(-1)^k e^{-\eta} \alpha^k + 1 + (-1)^k = 0.$$
(4.52)

If k is odd, then in view of (4.52), we can get a contradiction. If k is even, then from (4.52), we get

$$\alpha^k = -2e^\eta.$$

Therefore, from (4.39), we have

$$f(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma} + A_2 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma)}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}},$$
$$g(z) = \frac{A_1 e^{-(L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma) + \eta} + A_2 e^{L(z) + \Phi_1(z_2) + \gamma - \eta}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^k}}$$

**Subcase 2.2.** Let  $p_2(z) + p_1(z)$  be a non-constant polynomial.

Now we consider four possible cases below.

**Subcase 2.2.1.** Let  $p_1(z+c) + p_2(z) = \zeta_1$  and  $p_2(z+c) + p_1(z) = \zeta_2$ , where  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then, we easily see that  $p_1(z+2c) - p_1(z) = \zeta_1 - \zeta_2$  and  $p_2(z+2c) - p_2(z) = \zeta_2 - \zeta_1$ . This implies that  $p_1(z) = \alpha z + \beta_1$  and  $p_2(z) = -\alpha z + \beta_2$ , where  $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . But, then  $p_1(z) + p_2(z) = \beta_1 + \beta_2$  = constant, a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.2.2.** Let  $p_1(z + c) + p_2(z) = \zeta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p_2(z + c) + p_1(z)$  is non-constant.

Then, by Lemma 4.1 and (4.42), we get  $A_2 e^{-p_2(z+c)+p_1(z)} = A_1 H_4$ . This implies that  $-p_2(z+c) + p_1(z) = \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, we must have  $p_1(z+2c) + p_1(z) = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2$ , and hence  $p_1(z)$  must be a constant, a contradiction.

**Subcase 2.2.3.** Let  $p_2(z+c) + p_1(z) = \zeta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p_1(z+c) + p_2(z)$  is non-constant.

Then, by similar argument as in subcase 2.2.2, we obtain a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2.4. Let  $p_2(z+c)+p_1(z)$  and  $p_1(z+c)+p_2(z)$  both are non-constant. Then, using Lemma 4.1, we obtain from (4.41) and (4.42) that

$$A_2 e^{-p_1(z+c)+p_2(z)} = A_1 H_2$$
 and  $A_2 e^{-p_2(z+c)+p_1(z)} = A_1 H_4$ .

As  $p_1(z)$  and  $p_2(z)$  are non-constant polynomials, we must have  $-p_1(z+c) + p_2(z) = \xi_1$  and  $-p_2(z+c) + p_1(z) = \xi_2$ , where  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . This implies that  $-p_1(z+c) + p_1(z) = -p_2(z+c) + p_2(z) = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ . Therefore, we must get  $p_1(z) = \alpha z + \beta_1$  and  $p_2(z) = \alpha z + \beta_2$ , where  $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . But, then we get  $p_2(z) - p_1(z) = \beta_2 - \beta_1 = \text{constant}$ , which is a contradiction.

# 5. Statements and Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

**Funding** There is no funding received from any organizations for this research work.

**Data availability statement** Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no database were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank the referee(s) for the helpful suggestions and comments to improve the exposition of the paper.

# References

- Biancofiore, A, Stoll, W.: Another proof of the lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several complex variables, Recent developments in several complex variables, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 29–45 (1981)
- [2] Cao, T.B., Korhonen, R.J.: A new version of the second main theorem for meromorphic mappings intersecting hyperplanes in several complex variables, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 444(2), 1114–1132 (2016)
- [3] T. B. Cao and L. Xu, Logarithmic difference lemma in several complex variables and partial difference equations, Ann. Math. Pure Appl. 199, 767–794 (2020)
- [4] Chiang, Y.M., Feng, S.J.: On the Nevanlinna characteristic of  $f(z + \eta)$  and difference equations in the complex plane, Ramanujan J. **16**(1), 105–129 (2008).
- [5] Chiang,Y.M., Feng, S.J.: On the growth of logarithmic differences, difference quotients and logarithmic derivatives of meromorphic functions, Trans. Am. math. Soc. 361, 3767–3791 (2009).
- [6] Chen, Z.X.: Growth and zeros of meromorphic solution of some linear difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 373, 235–241 (2011).
- [7] Chen, Z.X.: Zeros of entire solutions to complex linear difference evations. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 32, 1141–1148 (2012).
- [8] Courant, R., Hilbert, D.: Methods of Mathematical Physics, vol. II: Partial Differential Equations, Interscience (New York, 1962)
- [9] L. Y. Gao: Entire solutions of two types of systems of complex differential-difference equations, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 59 (2016), 677–685.
- [10] Garabedian, P.R.: Partial Differential Equations, Wiley (New York, 1964).
- [11] Gross, F.: On the equation  $f^n(z) + g^n(z) = 1$ , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, 86–88 (1966).
- [12] Gross, F.: On the equation  $f^n(z) + g^n(z) = h^n(z)$ , Amer. Math. Monthly. **73**, 1093–1096 (1966).
- [13] Halburd, R.G., Korhonen, R.J.: Difference analogue of the lemma on the logarithmic derivative with applications to difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 314, 477–487 (2006).
- [14] Halburd, R.G., Korhonen, R.J.: Nevanlinna theory for the difference operator, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 31, 463–478 (2006).
- [15] Haldar, G.: Solutions of Fermat-type partial differential difference equations in C<sup>2</sup>, Mediterr. J. Math., 20 (2023), 50, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-022-02180-6
- [16] Haldar, G., Ahamed, M.B.: Entire solutions of several quadratic binomial and trinomial partial differential-difference equations in C<sup>2</sup>, Anal. Math. Phys. **12** (2022), Article number: 113, DOI: https:10.1007/s13324-022-00722-5
- [17] Hayman, W.K.: Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [18] Hu, P.C., Li, P., Yang, C. C.: Unicity of Meromorphic Mappings, Advances in Complex Analysis and Its Applications, vol. 1, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London (2003).
- [19] Iyer, G.: On certain functional equations. J. Indian. Math. Soc. 3, 312–315 (1939).
- [20] Korhonen, R.J.: A difference Picard theorem for meromorphic functions of several variables, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 12(1), 343–361 (2012)
- [21] Laine, I.: Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, (1993).
- [22] Li, B.Q.: On reduction of functional-differential equations, Complex Var. 31, 311–324 (1996)

- [23] Li, B.Q.: On entire solutions of Fermat type partial differential equations, Int. J. Math. 15, 473–485 (2004)
- [24] B. Q. Li, On certain non-linear differential equations in complex domains, Arch. Math. 91 (2008), 344–353.
- [25] Liu, M.L., Gao, L.Y.: Transcendental solutions of systems of complex differential-difference equations, Sci. Sin. Math. 49, 1–22 (2019).
- [26] Liu, K.: Meromorphic functions sharing a set with applications to difference equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 359, 384–393 (2009).
- [27] Liu, K., Yang, L.Z.: On Entire Solutions of Some Differential-Difference Equations. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 13, 433–447 (2012).
- [28] Liu, K., Cao, T.B.: Entire solutions of Fermat type q-difference-differential equations. Electron. J. Diff. Equ. 59, 1–10 (2013).
- [29] Liu, K., Cao, T.B., Cao, H.Z.: Entire solutions of Fermat-type differential-difference equations. Arch. Math. 99, 147–155 (2012).
- [30] Liu, K., Dong, X.: Fermat-type differential and difference equations, Electron. J. Differ.Equ. 2015, 1–10 (2015).
- [31] Liu, K., Yang, L.Z.: A note on meromorphic solutions of Fermat types equations, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Lasi Mat. (N. S.). 1, 317–325 (2016).
- [32] Montel, P.: Lecons sur les familles de nomales fonctions analytiques et leurs applications. Gauthier-Viuars Paris, 135–136 (1927).
- [33] Rauch, J.: Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag (New York, 1991)
- [34] Ronkin, L.I.: Introduction to the Theory of Entire Functions of Several Variables, Moscow: Nauka 1971 (Russian), American Mathematical Society, Providence (1974)
- [35] Saleeby, E.G.: Entire and meromorphic solutions of Fermat-type partial differential equations, Analysis (Munich) 19, 369–376 (1999)
- [36] Saleeby, E.G.: On entire and meromorphic solutions of  $\lambda u^k + \sum_{i=1}^n u_{z_i}^m = 1$ , Complex Var. Theory Appl. **49**, 101–107 (2004)
- [37] E. G. Saleeby, On complex analytic solutions of certain trinomial functional and partial differential equations, Aequationes Math. 85 (2013), 553–562.
- [38] Stoll, W.: Holomorphic Functions of Finite Order in Several Complex Variables, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1974
- [39] Tang, J.F., Liao, L.W.: The transcendental meromorphic solutions of a certain type of non-linear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 334, 517–527 (2007).
- [40] Taylor, R., Wiles, A.: Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebra, Ann. Math. 141, 553–572 (1995)
- [41] Wiles, A.: Modular elliptic curves and Fermat last theorem, Ann. Math. 141, 443–551 (1995)
- [42] Xu, L., Cao, T.B.: Solutions of complex Fermat-type partial difference and differentialdifference equations, Mediterr. J.Math. 15, 1–14 (2018)
- [43] Xu, L., Cao, T.B.: Correction to: Solutions of Complex Fermat-Type Partial Difference and Differential-Difference Equations, Mediterr. J.Math. 17, 1–4 (2020)
- [44] Xu, H.Y., Haldar, G.: Solutions of complex nonlinear functional equations including second order partial differential and difference equations in C<sup>2</sup>, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 43(2023), 1–18
- [45] Xu, H.Y., Jiang, Y.Y.: Results on entire and meromorphic solutions for several systems of quadratic trinomial functional equations with two complex variables, RACSAM (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-021-01154-9
- [46] Xu, X.Y., Liu, S.Y., Li, Q.P.: Entire solutions for several systems of nonlinear difference and partial differential-difference equations of Fermat-type, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 483, 123– 641 (2020)
- [47] Yang, C.C.: A generalization of a theorem of P. Montel on entire functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26, 332–334 (1970)

- [48] Yang, C.C., Li, P.: On the transcendental solutions of a certain type of non-linear differential equations, Arch. Math. 82, 442–448 (2004)
- [49] Yang, C.C., Yi, H.X.: Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (2003)
- [50] Zheng, X.M., Tu, J.: Growth of meromorphic solutions of linear difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384, 349–356 (2011)

Department of Mathematics, Malda College, Malda, West Bengal 732101, India.

*Email address*: goutamiit1986@gmail.com