

Upper bound for the grand canonical free energy of the Bose gas in the Gross-Pitaevskii limit for general interaction potentials

Marco Caporaletti, Andreas Deuchert

October 20, 2023

Abstract

We consider a homogeneous Bose gas in the Gross-Pitaevskii limit at temperatures that are comparable to the critical temperature for Bose-Einstein condensation. Recently, an upper bound for the grand canonical free energy was proved in [13] capturing two novel contributions. First, the free energy of the interacting condensate is given in terms of an effective theory describing the probability distribution of the number of condensed particles. Second, the free energy of the thermally excited particles equals that of a temperature-dependent Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. We extend this result to a more general class of interaction potentials, including interactions with a hard core. Our proof follows a different approach than the one in [13]: we model microscopic correlations between the particles by a Jastrow factor, and exploit a cancellation in the computation of the energy that emerges due to the different length scales in the system.

Contents

1	Introduction and main result	2
1.1	Background and summary	2
1.2	Notation	3
1.3	The grand canonical free energy and the Gibbs variational principle	4
1.4	The ideal Bose gas on the torus	4
1.5	Main results	5
1.6	Outline of the article	10
2	The trial state	10
2.1	Second quantization	10
2.2	Definition of the trial state	11
2.3	Properties of the trial state	14
2.3.1	The Bogoliubov and Weyl transformations	14
2.3.2	The Bogoliubov Gibbs state in the diagonal representation	16
2.3.3	The Bogoliubov Gibbs state in the original representation	19
2.3.4	The uncorrelated trial state	25
3	Estimate for the energy	26
3.1	Analysis of the kinetic energy	27

3.2	Analysis of the renormalized interaction	30
3.3	Final upper bound	37
4	Estimate for the entropy	37
5	Proof of Theorem 1.1	39
A	Properties of the solution to the scattering equation	43
B	Properties of the effective functional for the condensate	44
C	Estimate of the expected number of particles in Γ	46

1 Introduction and main result

1.1 Background and summary

Since the first experimental realizations of Bose–Einstein condensation (BEC) in cold alkali gases in 1995 [4, 25], the dilute Bose Gas has become a prominent topic of experimental and theoretical research. The most relevant parameter regime to describe experiments with trapped quantum gases theoretically is the Gross–Pitaevskii (GP) limit. Here the scattering length of the interaction potential is scaled with the number of particles N in such a way that the interaction energy per particle is of the same order of magnitude as the spectral gap in the trap, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Many rigorous mathematical results about the GP limit of interacting Bose gases have been proved over the past twenty years. In the foundational works [39, 41, 43] it was shown that the ground state energy per particle can be approximated by the minimum of the GP energy functional, and that approximate ground states display BEC and superfluidity. These results have later been extended in [38, 47, 51] to the case of rotating Bose gases.

Condensation with an optimal rate was, in the GP limit, first proven in [11] for approximate ground states of a Bose gas captured in a three-dimensional flat torus. In [10] the same authors show that the second-order correction to the ground state energy, the low-lying eigenvalues of the many-body Hamiltonian and the corresponding eigenfunctions are well approximated by related quantities of a quadratic Hamiltonian, called Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. This confirms predictions of Bogoliubov from 1947 [15]. Similar results have later been obtained for the trapped Bose gas in the GP limit [18, 19], for the homogeneous gas in a Thomas–Fermi limit [2, 16], and for the homogeneous gas in the GP limit in two space dimensions [21, 22]. More recently, a second-order upper bound for the ground state energy of a hard sphere Bose gas has been proven in [5]. The homogeneous gas in a box with Neumann boundary conditions has been studied in [14].

While low-energy eigenstates of the Hamiltonian accurately describe the dilute Bose gas at (or near) zero temperature, understanding the system at positive temperature is crucial to describe modern experiments. In this setting the natural analogues of the ground state energy and its corresponding eigenfunction are the free energy and the Gibbs state associated to the many-body Hamiltonian. In the article [28] the trapped Bose gas is studied in a combination of thermodynamic limit in the trap and GP limit. It is proven that the free energy of the system minus that of the ideal gas is well approximated by the minimum of a GP energy functional. Moreover, the one-particle density matrix of any approximate minimizer of the free energy is, to leading order, given by the one of the ideal gas, where the condensate wavefunction has been replaced by the minimizer of the GP energy functional.

This, in particular, establishes the existence of a BEC phase transition in the system. Comparable results for the homogeneous Bose gas have been obtained in [27].

The GP limit is appropriate to describe experiments with atomic clouds containing $10^2 - 10^6$ particles. To describe truly macroscopic samples with particle numbers of the order of the Avogadro constant $N_A \approx 6.022 \times 10^{23}$, one needs to consider a thermodynamic limit followed by a dilute limit. The leading order asymptotics of the ground state energy per particle in this regime has been established in the influential works [29, 44] (three space dimensions) and [45] (two space dimensions). The one-dimensional case has been studied in [3]. Recently, also the second-order correction predicted by Lee, Huang and Yang (LHY) in 1957 [36] could be justified, see [7, 54] for upper bounds and [32, 33] for matching lower bounds. It is interesting to note that, to this date, there is no upper bound available that captures the LHY correction for a gas of hard spheres (the lower bound in [33] applies in this case). A comparable second order expansion for the two-dimensional Bose has been obtained in [31]. For the dilute Bose gas at positive temperature, asymptotic expansions capturing the leading order correction to the free energy caused by the interaction between the particles have been proved in [50, 55] (three space dimensions) and [26, 46] (two space dimensions). In [34] a LHY-type lower bound for the three-dimensional gas at suitably low temperatures is established.

In the recent work [13] the authors consider a grand canonical homogeneous Bose gas in the GP limit at temperatures that are comparable to the critical temperature for BEC in the ideal gas¹. Under the assumption that the interaction potential is of class L^3 , they establish an upper bound for the grand canonical free energy that contains two novel contributions: the free energy of the interacting condensate is given in terms of an effective theory describing its particle number fluctuations. Moreover, the free energy of the thermally excited particles equals that of a temperature-dependent Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. In the present article, we extend this result to systems with interactions in a more general class, including the hard-core potential. Our proof is based on the use of a trial state that is similar in spirit to the one in [13]. However, due to the lack of regularity of the interaction potential, we are forced to implement microscopic correlations between the particles by a full Jastrow factor. Because of this, the computation of the energy requires different arguments. A crucial step in our proof is a cancellation in the computation of the energy that emerges due to the different length scales in the problem.

1.2 Notation

Given two functions a, b of the particle number N and other parameters of the system, we write $a \lesssim b$ if there exists a constant C , independent of N , such that $a \leq Cb$. If we want to highlight the dependency of the constant on some (N -independent) parameter k , we use the notation $a \lesssim_k b$. We write $a \sim b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, and $a \simeq b$ means that $a/b \rightarrow 1$ in the limit considered. The letters C, c denote generic positive constants, whose values may change from line to line.

The Fourier coefficients of a function $f : \Lambda = [-1/2, 1/2]^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are denoted by $\hat{f}(p) = \int_{\Lambda} e^{-ip \cdot x} f(x) dx$ and, given a sequence $g : \Lambda^* = 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the inverse Fourier transformation reads $\check{g}(x) = \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*} g(p) e^{ip \cdot x}$. Standard $L^p(\Lambda)$ and $\ell^p(\Lambda^*)$ norms are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$. If H is a (separable, complex) Hilbert space we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its inner product, and by $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ the space of trace-class operators on H . If A is an operator on H and $\psi \in H$ belongs to the form domain of A , we use the notation $\langle A \rangle_{\psi} = \langle \psi, A\psi \rangle$.

¹The critical temperature in the interacting gas is expected to be the same, to leading order in N , but this has so far been proven only in the canonical setting, see [27].

1.3 The grand canonical free energy and the Gibbs variational principle

We consider a Bose gas captured in the three-dimensional box $\Lambda = [-1/2, 1/2]^3$ with periodic boundary conditions. Since we are interested in a system with a fluctuating particle number, its Hilbert is given by the bosonic Fock space

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L_s^2(\Lambda^n). \quad (1.1)$$

Here $L_s^2(\Lambda^n)$ denotes the space of permutation symmetric functions in $L^2(\Lambda^n)$. That is, the closed linear subspace of $L^2(\Lambda^n)$, whose elements $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are invariant under any permutation of its n particle coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n .

The Hamiltonian of the system in the GP scaling reads

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_N^{(n)}, \quad \text{with} \quad H_N^{(n)} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i + N^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} V(N(x_i - x_j)). \quad (1.2)$$

Here, Δ_i denotes the Laplacian with periodic boundary conditions acting on the i -th coordinate, and $V(N(x_i - x_j))$ is a multiplication operator. We assume that the interaction potential $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, radial and compactly supported. The parameter N will be chosen such that it coincides with the expected number of particles in the system. Our assumptions on V guarantee that its scattering length \mathfrak{a} is well-defined: this is a combined measure of the range and strength of the interaction potential V . For a precise definition of the scattering length, we refer the reader to Appendix A. By scaling, the scattering length \mathfrak{a}_N of $V_N = N^2 V(N \cdot)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{a}_N = \mathfrak{a}/N$. The fact that $V \geq 0$ allows us to define the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_N in (1.2) as a self-adjoint operator via Friedrichs extension.

For states $\Gamma \in \Sigma := \{\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathfrak{F}) \mid \Gamma \geq 0, \text{Tr} \Gamma = 1\}$ we define the Gibbs free energy functional $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$ by²

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}_N \Gamma] - \beta^{-1} S(\Gamma), \quad (1.3)$$

where $S(\Gamma) = -\text{Tr}[\Gamma \ln(\Gamma)]$ denotes the von Neumann entropy and $\beta > 0$ is the inverse temperature of the system. The grand canonical free energy is defined as the minimum of the Gibbs free energy functional among states with expected number of particles equal to N :

$$F(\beta, N) = \min \{ \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \Sigma, \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] = N \} = -\beta^{-1} \log \text{Tr}[\exp(-\beta(\mathcal{H}_N - \mu\mathcal{N}))] + \mu N. \quad (1.4)$$

Here $\mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} n$ denotes the number of particles operator on \mathfrak{F} . The minimum in (1.4) is attained uniquely at the Gibbs state

$$G = \frac{\exp(-\beta(\mathcal{H}_N - \mu\mathcal{N}))}{\text{Tr}[\exp(-\beta(\mathcal{H}_N - \mu\mathcal{N}))]}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the chemical potential $\mu = \mu(N, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined implicitly by the equation $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}G] = N$.

1.4 The ideal Bose gas on the torus

Before we state our main result, we recall some well-known facts about the ideal Bose gas on the unit torus, that is, the system described by the Hamiltonian in (1.2) with $V = 0$. In this case the

²Here and in the following, we interpret $\text{Tr}[AB]$ for positive operators A and B as $\text{Tr}[A^{1/2}BA^{1/2}]$. By positivity, this expression is always well defined and takes values in $[0, \infty]$. In particular, finiteness of $\text{Tr}[AB]$ under this convention requires only that the operator $A^{1/2}BA^{1/2}$ is trace-class, and not necessarily AB .

chemical potential $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\beta, N) < 0$ can be defined by the equation

$$N = \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*} \frac{1}{\exp(\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0(\beta, N))) - 1}. \quad (1.6)$$

The expected number of particles with momentum $p = 0$ is given by

$$N_0(\beta, N) = \frac{1}{\exp(-\beta\mu_0) - 1} \quad (1.7)$$

and satisfies

$$\frac{N_0(\beta, N)}{N} \simeq \left[1 - \frac{\beta_c}{\beta}\right]_+, \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_c = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{N}{\zeta(3/2)}\right)^{-2/3} \quad (1.8)$$

in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Here ζ denotes the Riemann zeta function and $[\cdot]_+ = \max\{\cdot, 0\}$. Equation (1.8) implies that the ideal gas displays a BEC phase transition with critical inverse temperature β_c . More precisely, if $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$ with $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$, we have $N_0 \simeq N(1 - 1/\kappa)$ and $|\mu_0| \sim N^{-1/3}$. If, in contrast, $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ then $N_0 \sim 1$ and $|\mu_0| \sim N^{2/3}$.

The free energy of the ideal Bose gas reads $F_0(\beta, N) = F_0^{\text{BEC}} + F_0^+$, where

$$F_0^{\text{BEC}} = \frac{1}{\beta} \log(1 - \exp(\beta\mu_0)) + \mu_0 N_0 \quad (1.9)$$

denotes the free energy of the condensate and

$$F_0^+ = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*_+} \log(1 - \exp(-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0))) + \mu_0(N - N_0) \quad (1.10)$$

that of the thermally excited particles.

1.5 Main results

The following theorem is the main result of this article.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be measurable, spherically symmetric and compactly supported. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, with $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$, $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ and β_c in (1.8), the free energy in (1.4) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\beta, N) \leq & F_0^+(\beta, N) + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N N^2 + \min\{F^{\text{BEC}} - 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0^2, F_0^{\text{BEC}}\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*_+} \left[\frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2}\right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{11/18}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

with N_0 , F_0^{BEC} and F_0^+ defined respectively in (1.7), (1.9) and (1.10), and

$$F^{\text{BEC}} = F^{\text{BEC}}(\beta, N_0, \mathbf{a}_N) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log\left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \exp(-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \mu|z|^2)) dz\right) + \mu N_0(\beta, N). \quad (1.12)$$

Here, $dz = \pi^{-1} dx dy$, where $dx dy$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} , and μ is chosen as the unique solution of the equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 g(z) dz = N_0(\beta, N), \quad (1.13)$$

with the probability density

$$g(z) = \frac{\exp(-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \mu|z|^2))}{\int_{\mathbb{C}} \exp(-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \mu|z|^2)) dz}. \quad (1.14)$$

The terms on the right-hand side of (1.11) appear in descending order according to their order of magnitude in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. The free energy $F_0^+(\beta, N)$ of the thermal cloud of the ideal gas is proportional to $N^{5/3}$. The second term is a density-density interaction, which is of order N . The third term represents the free energy of the interacting condensate. If $\kappa > 1$, it contributes two terms, one of order N and one of order $N^{2/3} \log N$. For $\kappa < 1$ it is proportional to $N^{2/3}$. Finally, the term on the second line of (1.11) is a correction to the free energy of the thermally excited particles coming from Bogoliubov theory. It is of order $N^{2/3}$ in the presence of a macroscopic condensate occupation ($\kappa > 1$), and of order $N^{-4/3}$ if $\kappa < 1$. More details concerning the last two terms can be found in Remark 1.4 below.

The following proposition, which is proved in [13, Proposition 1.2], allows us to simplify the right-hand side of (1.11) in the parameter regimes strictly above and strictly below the critical point.

Proposition 1.2. *We consider the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, with $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$, $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ and β_c in (1.8). The following statements hold for given $\varepsilon > 0$:*

1. *Assume that $N_0 \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$ and that $\mathbf{a}_N > 0$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$F^{\text{BEC}} = 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} \log(4\beta\mathbf{a}_N) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-cN^\varepsilon)). \quad (1.15)$$

2. *If $N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6-\varepsilon}$, then*

$$F^{\text{BEC}} = -\frac{1}{\beta} \log(N_0) - \frac{1}{\beta} + \mathcal{O}(N^{2/3-2\varepsilon}). \quad (1.16)$$

Proposition 1.2 describes a transition in the behavior of the effective theory of the interacting condensate. If $N_0 \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, the free energy in (1.12) is given, up to a small remainder, by the usual density-density interaction plus a contribution of order $N^{2/3} \log(N)$, which is related to the free energy of the fluctuations of the number of condensed particles. We refer to part 4 of Remark 1.4 for further details. Both contributions are caused by the self-interaction of the condensate, as is evident from their dependence on the scattering length \mathbf{a}_N . If instead $1 \ll N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6-\varepsilon}$, the free energy of the condensate (1.16) equals the one of its non-interacting counterpart, up to $o(N^{2/3})$. The threshold arises from the fact that, when $N_0 \sim N^{5/6}$, the interaction energy $4\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0^2 \sim N^{2/3}$ of the condensate becomes much smaller than β^{-1} times the classical entropy S^{cl} of $g(z)$ (see (1.21)), which is always of order $N^{2/3} \log N$ if $N^\varepsilon \lesssim N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6}$. In the transition regime $N^{5/6-\varepsilon} \lesssim N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, the free energy of the condensate does not have a simple form as in (1.15) or (1.16).

With Proposition 1.2 at hand, one readily checks that the minimum on the right-hand side of (1.11) is attained by the first term if $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$ (condensed phase) and by the second if $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ (non-condensed phase). This leads to the following reformulation of Theorem 1.1, which is better suited for a comparison with the existing literature.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a measurable, spherically symmetric and compactly supported function that is strictly positive on a set of positive measure. We consider the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, with $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$, $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ and β_c in (1.8). If $\kappa \in (1, \infty)$, the free energy (1.4) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\beta, N) \leq & F_0^+(\beta, N) + 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N(2N^2 - N_0^2) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \log(4\beta\mathbf{a}_N) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(N^{11/18}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

with N_0 and F_0^+ defined in (1.7) and (1.10), respectively. If $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$F(\beta, N) \leq F_0(\beta, N) + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N N^2 + \mathcal{O}(N^{11/18}), \quad (1.18)$$

with the free energy of the ideal gas $F_0(\beta, N)$ above (1.9).

At the critical point, corresponding to $\kappa = 1$, Proposition 1.2 does not apply, and the minimum in (1.11) is needed. We have the following remarks concerning the above results.

Remark 1.4. 1. The first two terms in (1.17) were first identified for the dilute Bose gas in the thermodynamic limit in [55] (upper bound) and [50] (lower bound). An asymptotic expansion for the canonical free energy of the Bose gas in the GP limit was given, up to remainders of order $o(N)$, in [27]. The same expansion is, however, expected to hold in the grand canonical setting, and it coincides with the first two terms on the right-hand sides of (1.17) and (1.18). The first upper bound capturing the third and fourth term on the right-hand side of (1.17) was proved in [13] for Bose gases interacting through sufficiently regular interaction potentials (of class L^3). In contrast to [13], we make no such regularity assumption, and our result applies, in particular, to the case of the hard-core interaction

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } |x| \leq \mathfrak{a}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

This generalization is the main contribution of the present article.

2. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on a trial state that is similar to the one used in [13]. In particular, we use (up to technicalities) the same uncorrelated trial state. However, in the absence of integrability assumptions on the interaction potential, we need to describe the correlations between particles by a full Jastrow factor. As a consequence our proof of the upper bound in (1.11) is not an adaptation of the one in [13] and requires different arguments. One key step in our proof is a cancellation in the computation of the interaction energy that is similar in spirit to a cancellation observed in [6] in the computation of the Lee–Huang–Yang correction to the ground state energy of the hard-sphere gas. To see this cancellation, we exploit the fact that the interaction between the particles lives on a much smaller length scale than the thermal wavelength $\beta^{1/2}$. Moreover, precise pointwise bounds for the reduced densities of our trial state without correlations and of its eigenfunctions are needed. In contrast, in [13] it was possible to implement correlations between the particles with a truncated quartic (in creation and annihilation operators) transformation in Fock space. In combination with the use of suitable momentum cutoffs in the trial state, this allowed the authors of [13] to obtain an upper bound for the free energy in a more direct way.
3. The third term on the right-hand side of (1.17) is the free energy of the fluctuations of the number of particles in the condensate. To explain this, we describe the condensate with a trial state of the form

$$G_0 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z\rangle\langle z| \varrho(z) dz, \quad (1.20)$$

where $|z\rangle = \exp(za_0^* - \bar{z}a_0)\Omega$ is the usual coherent state on the $p = 0$ mode and $\varrho(z)$ is a probability density with respect to the measure dz introduced below (1.12). This is motivated by the fact that a c -number substitution for one momentum mode is known to introduce only small corrections to the free energy, see for instance [42]. If we take $4\pi\mathfrak{a}_N a_0^* a_0$ as the effective

interaction Hamiltonian of the condensate (i.e., we replace the potential by a renormalized one proportional to the scattering length), we can write the free energy of G_0 as

$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{BEC}}(G_0) = 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varrho(z)|z|^4 dz - \frac{1}{\beta} S(G_0) \leq 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varrho(z)|z|^4 dz - \frac{1}{\beta} S^{\text{cl}}(\varrho), \quad (1.21)$$

where $S^{\text{cl}}(\varrho) = - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varrho(z) \log(\varrho(z)) dz$ denotes the classical entropy of ϱ . The inequality in (1.21) is a consequence of the Berezin-Lieb inequality, see [8, 9, 37]. If we minimize the right-hand side of (1.21) under the constraint $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 \varrho(z) dz = N_0$, we find F^{BEC} in (1.12), with the unique minimizer $g(z)$ in (1.14). Using Proposition 1.2, we thus see that

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \log(4\beta\mathbf{a}_N) = 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^4 g(z) dz - \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 g(z) dz \right)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} S^{\text{cl}}(g) + \mathcal{O}(\exp(-cN^\varepsilon)) \quad (1.22)$$

if $N_0 \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. That is, according to this effective theory, the third term on the right-hand side of (1.17) indeed equals the free energy of the fluctuations of the number of particles in the condensate.

4. While the variance of the number of particles in the condensate of the ideal gas is of order N^2 , for the Gibbs distribution g we have

$$\text{Var}_g(|z|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^4 g(z) dz - \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 g(z) dz \right)^2 \sim N^{5/3}, \quad (1.23)$$

provided $\kappa > 1$. This decrease of the fluctuations of the number of condensed particles caused by the repulsive interaction between them is a well-known effect, see e.g. [20, 24]. Motivated by the recent experimental realization [49] of a system with grand canonical number statistics, a discrete version of g has been used in [53] to compute the size of the fluctuations of the number of condensed particles for a trapped gas. The computations in [53] could be rigorously justified by showing that $g(z)$ approximates $\text{Tr}[|z\rangle\langle z|G]$, where $|z\rangle$ is the coherent state defined below (1.20) and G is the interacting Gibbs state in (1.5). This interesting mathematical problem is, however, beyond the scope of our present investigation.

5. The term on the second line of (1.11) is a correction to the free energy of the thermally excited particles, which is related to Bogoliubov theory. This can be seen with the following heuristic computation. In the first step we assume, for the sake of simplicity, that $V \in L^1(\Lambda)$. We start by writing the Hamiltonian in (1.2) in terms of the usual creation and annihilation operators a_p^*, a_p of a particle with momentum $p \in \Lambda^*$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*_+} |p|^2 a_p^* a_p + \sum_{p, q, r \in \Lambda^*} \widehat{V}_N(r) a_{p+r}^* a_q^* a_p a_{q+r}.$$

Replacing a_0^*, a_0 with $\sqrt{N_0}$, the potential $\widehat{V}_N(r)$ with its renormalized version $4\pi\mathbf{a}_N$, and neglecting cubic and quartic terms in a_p^*, a_p , we arrive at the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{Bog}} = \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*_+} |p|^2 a_p^* a_p + 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda^*_+} \left(2a_p^* a_p + a_p^* a_{-p}^* + a_p a_{-p} \right).$$

A careful analysis shows that the grand potential $\Phi^{\text{Bog}}(\beta, \mu_0)$ associated to $\mathcal{H}^{\text{Bog}} - \mu_0 \mathcal{N}$ with

the chemical potential μ_0 in (1.6) satisfies (compare to Lemma 5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{\text{Bog}}(\beta, \mu_0) &= \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log \left(1 - e^{\beta \sqrt{|p|^2 - \mu_0} \sqrt{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log \left(1 - e^{-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} \right) + 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0 (N - N_0) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} \right) \right] + o(N^{2/3}).\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side contributes to F_0^+ , the second term to the density-density interaction $4\pi \mathbf{a}_N (2N^2 - N_0^2)$, and the third term appears on the second line of (1.11).

6. Let us denote by $H_N^{(N)}$ the restriction of \mathcal{H}_N to the N -particle sector of Fock space (see (1.2)), and by E_0 the ground state of $H_N^{(N)}$. It has been shown in [12] that the eigenvalues E of $H_N^{(N)} - E_0$ that satisfy $E \ll N^{1/8}$ are well approximated by those of a Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. If we compare this threshold to the energy scale $\beta^{-1} \sim N^{2/3}$, which represents the energy per particle in our system, we see that the results in [12] are far from being sufficient to draw conclusions on the free energy in our setting.
7. If we replace the torus $\Lambda = [-1/2, 1/2]^3$ by $\Lambda_L = [-L/2, L/2]^3$ with fixed $L > 0$, Theorem 1.1 and a scaling argument imply a similar upper bound for the grand canonical free energy in this setting. In this case, the term on the second line of (1.11) reads

$$-\frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \{0\}} \left[\frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N \varrho_0(\beta, N, L)}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N \varrho_0(\beta, N, L)}{|p|^2} \right) \right].$$

If we replace \mathbf{a}_N by \mathbf{a} , divide the term in (7) by L^3 and take a formal thermodynamic limit (i.e. letting $N, L \rightarrow \infty$ with $\varrho = N/L^3$ fixed), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2\beta(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[\frac{16\pi \mathbf{a} \varrho_0}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi \mathbf{a} \varrho_0}{|p|^2} \right) \right] dp = -\frac{16\sqrt{\pi}}{3\beta} (\mathbf{a} \varrho_0)^{3/2}.$$

The right-hand side has been conjectured to appear in the asymptotic expansion of the specific free energy in the dilute limit, see [48, Theorem 11].

8. The minimum on the right-hand side of (1.11) is needed, because F^{BEC} does not accurately describe the free energy of the condensate if $N_0 \sim 1$, see (5.13). This is related to the fact that we approximate the discrete random variable associated with the operator $a_0^* a_0$ with a continuous one.
9. We state and prove Theorem 1.1 with $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ fixed. However, a straightforward adaptation of our proof applies to the case in which κ depends on N , as long as $\kappa \gtrsim 1$. In particular, it is possible to take a zero-temperature limit (corresponding to $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$).
10. We expect the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 to be sharp. That is, we expect it to be possible to prove a matching lower bound, up to remainders of order $o(N^{2/3})$.
11. A similar expansion as in (1.17) is expected to hold for the interacting canonical free energy if F_0^+ is replaced by the canonical free energy of the ideal gas and F^{BEC} by $4\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0^2$. The reason

for the latter replacement lies in the fact that, in the canonical ensemble, the variance of the number of particles in the condensate is expected to be of the order $N^{4/3}$ if $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$ with $\kappa > 1$ (This is the order of magnitude of these fluctuations in the ideal gas.). When we compare this to (1.22) and (1.23), we see that these fluctuations are too small (when compared to β^{-1} times the entropy) to generate a contribution to the free energy of the order $N^{2/3}$ or $N^{2/3} \ln(N)$. We refer the reader to [23] for a detailed analysis of the condensate fluctuations in the canonical ideal gas.

1.6 Outline of the article

To prove Theorem 1.1, we apply a trial state argument with two distinct trial states corresponding to the regimes of high and low occupation of the condensate, respectively. The analysis of the former parameter regime is considerably more difficult, and we therefore focus on it. The adaption of the proof to the (simpler) case of low condensate occupation is discussed at the end of Section 5.

In Section 2 we define the trial state and we prove some of its properties that are needed for the computation of the free energy. In particular, we prove pointwise bounds for the two- and four-body reduced densities of our trial state and of its eigenfunctions. These estimates determine their leading order behavior as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 3 we provide an upper bound for the energy. One main step in our proof is the use of the pointwise bounds for the reduced densities from Section 2 to establish in Section 3.2 a cancellation between the numerator and the denominator of the effective interaction energy. An upper bound for the entropy is provided in Section 4. In Section 5 we collect the results from Sections 3 and 4 and give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

To not disrupt the main line of the argument, we defer some technical lemmas to the Appendix. In Appendix A we recall some properties of the solution to the scattering equation. Appendix B contains useful estimates for the effective chemical potential in the BEC. Finally, the expected number of particles in our trial state is computed in Appendix C.

2 The trial state

In this section we define our trial state, which consists of the following parts: (a) the Gibbs state of a temperature-dependent Bogoliubov Hamiltonian that describes the thermally excited particles, (b) a suitable convex combination of coherent states describing the BEC, and (c) a correlation structure given by a Jastrow factor. In Section 2.3 we state and prove several lemmas that are needed in the computation of the free energy of our trial state. Before constructing the trial state, we recall some definitions concerning the formalism of second quantization, which also allows us to set some notation.

2.1 Second quantization

An important class of operators on \mathfrak{F} is given by the creation and annihilation operators $a^*(f)$, $a(f)$ of a one-particle wave function f . They satisfy the canonical commutation relations (CCR)

$$[a(f), a(g)^*] = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad [a(f), a(g)] = 0 = [a^*(f), a^*(g)]$$

for every $f, g \in L^2(\Lambda)$. In the special case $f(x) = \varphi_p(x) = e^{ip \cdot x}$ with $p \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^3$ we write $a_p = a(\varphi_p)$. We also introduce the operator-valued distributions a_x^*, a_x creating and annihilating a particle at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, respectively, which satisfy the CCR $[a_x, a_y^*] = \delta(x - y)$, $[a_x, a_y] = 0 = [a_x^*, a_y^*]$ for $x, y \in \Lambda$. Here $\delta(x)$ denotes Dirac's delta distribution with unit mass at the origin.

To be able to distinguish between the condensate and the thermally excited particles, we introduce the Fock spaces

$$\mathfrak{F}_0 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Span}\{\varphi_0\}^{\otimes n}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} L^2_{\perp}(\Lambda)^{\otimes n},$$

where φ_0 is the (normalized) constant function on Λ , and $L^2_{\perp}(\Lambda)$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\text{Span}\{\varphi_0\}$ in $L^2(\Lambda)$. We denote by Ω_0 and Ω_+ the vacuum vectors in \mathfrak{F}_0 and \mathfrak{F}_+ , respectively. The full Fock space can be identified with the tensor product $\mathfrak{F}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{F}_+$ thanks to the unitary equivalence $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{F}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{F}_+)$ defined by $\Omega = \mathcal{U}(\Omega_0 \otimes \Omega_+)$, where Ω denotes the vacuum vector in \mathfrak{F} , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^* a(\varphi_0 \oplus 0) \mathcal{U} &= a(\varphi_0) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \\ \mathcal{U}^* a(0 \oplus f) \mathcal{U} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes a(f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $f \in L^2(\Lambda)$.

2.2 Definition of the trial state

We are now prepared to give the definition of our trial state. On the excitation Fock space \mathfrak{F}_+ , we define the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_B(z) = \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} (|p|^2 - \mu_0) a_p^* a_p + 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0 \sum_{p \in P_B} \left[2a_p^* a_p + \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right] \tag{2.2}$$

with $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_0(\beta, N)$ in (1.6) and $N_0(\beta, N)$ in (1.7). It is important to note that $\mathcal{H}_B(z)$ depends on β via the latter two quantities. The momentum set P_B in the second sum is defined by

$$P_B = \{p \in \Lambda_+^* \mid |p| \leq N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}\}$$

with some $\delta_{\text{Bog}} > 0$ that will be chosen later (independently of N). The Hamiltonian in (2.2) can be diagonalized with a (unitary) Bogoliubov transformation T_z , that is,

$$T_z^* \mathcal{H}_B(z) T_z = \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}} = E_0 + \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \varepsilon(p) a_p^* a_p, \tag{2.3}$$

with $E_0, \varepsilon(p) \in \mathbb{R}$ (precise definitions will be given later in Section 2.3.1).

The thermally excited particles will be described by the following Gibbs states related to $\mathcal{H}_B(z)$:

$$G^{\text{diag}} := \frac{\exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}})}{\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}})]}, \quad \tilde{G}^{\text{diag}} := \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}})}{\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}})]}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here the spectral projection $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}$ is defined as

$$\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}} := \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} < \leq \tilde{c} \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} > \leq \tilde{c} N\}}, \tag{2.5}$$

with some $\tilde{c} > 1$ to be specified later and with the number operators

$$\mathcal{N}^< := \sum_{p \in P_B} a_p^* a_p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}^> := \sum_{p \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} a_p^* a_p. \tag{2.6}$$

Here and in the following we introduce all states once with and once without a particle number cutoff. This is motivated by the fact that both objects appear frequently in the computation of the free

energy of our final trial state. To make the identification of quantities with cutoff easier, we always denote them with a tilde. The states in (2.4), when transformed by T_z , are denoted by

$$G(z) := \frac{\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}_B(z))}{\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H}_B(z))]} = T_z G^{\text{diag}} T_z^* \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{G}(z) := T_z \tilde{G}^{\text{diag}} T_z^*. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, the uncorrelated trial states read

$$\Gamma_0 = \mathcal{U} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z\rangle\langle z| \otimes G(z) dz \right) \mathcal{U}^*, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \mathcal{U} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z\rangle\langle z| \otimes \tilde{G}(z) dz \right) \mathcal{U}^*, \quad (2.8)$$

with \mathcal{U} in (2.1) and the coherent state $|z\rangle = W_z \Omega_0 = \exp(za^*(\varphi_0) - \bar{z}a(\varphi_0))\Omega_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_0$. The probability density $\zeta(z)$ on \mathbb{C} with respect to the measure $dz = dx dy/\pi$ with $z = x + iy$ is given by

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{|z|^2 \leq \tilde{c}N\}} \exp(-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \tilde{\mu}|z|^2))}{\int_{\{|z|^2 \leq \tilde{c}N\}} \exp(-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \tilde{\mu}|z|^2)) dz}. \quad (2.9)$$

The chemical potential $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}(N) \in \mathbb{R}$ will be chosen later such that our final trial state has the correct particle number. All particle number cutoffs we have introduced so far are needed for technical reasons. The restriction of the momenta in the sum in the interaction terms in (2.2) to the set P_B is very convenient from a mathematical point of view and still allows us to obtain the term in the second line in (1.11). This is possible because $\varepsilon(p) \simeq p^2 - \mu_0$ for $|p| \gg 1$. In [13] the state Γ_0 in (2.8) has been used as uncorrelated trial state, while we are forced to work with $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ instead. This is related to the fact that we implement correlations between the particles differently than in [13].

It remains to add microscopic correlation induced by the interaction v_N to our trial state. To that end, we first apply the spectral theorem and write $G^{\text{diag}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_\alpha |\Psi_\alpha\rangle\langle\Psi_\alpha|$. We assume that each Ψ_α is a symmetrized product of plane waves with a definite particle number. This choice is possible because of (2.3), and it is important for our analysis. We highlight that $\{\Psi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a basis that jointly diagonalizes $\mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}}$, \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{N}^<$ and $\mathcal{N}^>$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}}\Psi_\alpha = E_\alpha\Psi_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{N}\Psi_\alpha = N_\alpha\Psi_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{N}^<\Psi_\alpha = N_\alpha^<\Psi_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{N}^>\Psi_\alpha = N_\alpha^>\Psi_\alpha, \quad (2.10)$$

where $N_\alpha = N_\alpha^< + N_\alpha^>$. In this representation the particle number cutoff in the definition of \tilde{G}^{diag} amounts to restricting the sum over α to the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \mid N_\alpha^< \leq \tilde{c}\beta^{-1}N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \text{ and } N_\alpha^> \leq \tilde{c}N\} \quad (2.11)$$

and to normalizing by the factor $\kappa_0 := \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha$. The eigenvalues of \tilde{G}^{diag} therefore read $\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha = \kappa_0^{-1}\lambda_\alpha$.

We define the correlation structure in terms of the solution $f(|x|)$ to the zero energy scattering equation $\Delta f(|x|) = V_N(x)f(|x|)/2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 with the boundary condition $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(|x|) = 1$. Let

$$f_\ell(x) = \begin{cases} f(|x|)/f(\ell) & \text{for } |x| < \ell, \\ 1 & \text{for } |x| \geq \ell, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where the parameter $\ell > 0$ is required to be strictly larger than the radius of the support of V_N . In the following, we assume that ℓ is at least twice as large as that radius. This, in particular, implies $\ell \geq 2\mathbf{a}_N$. We also define the operator F on \mathfrak{F} by

$$(F\Psi)^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)\Psi^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{with} \\ F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f_\ell(x_i - x_j). \quad (2.13)$$

That is, F multiplies each n -particle component of a Fock space vector Ψ by a Jastrow factor. This should be compared with [27, 29, 30, 35, 46]. Finally, our trial state with correlations is given by

$$\Gamma = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha}}{\|F\mathcal{U}\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} |F\mathcal{U}\phi_{z,\alpha}\rangle \langle F\mathcal{U}\phi_{z,\alpha}| dz \quad (2.14)$$

with \mathcal{U} in (2.1) and $\phi_{z,\alpha} = |z\rangle \otimes T_z \Psi_{\alpha}$.

For Γ to be an admissible trial state in the Gibbs variational principle, we require that $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] = N$ holds. This is not a trivial matter because (a) the chemical potential in the definition of $\tilde{G}(z)$ is fixed and (b) the correlation structure changes the particle number of Γ with respect to that of $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ because $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ and \mathcal{N} do not commute. Under suitable assumptions on the parameters, the following lemma guarantees the existence of $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Γ is an admissible trial state.

Lemma 2.1. *We consider the combined limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$ with β_c in (1.8) and assume $N_0 \geq N^{2/3}$, $\delta_{\text{Bog}} < 1/12$ as well as that \tilde{c} is sufficiently large. Then there are constants $c, M > 0$ such that if $2\mathbf{a}_N \leq \ell \leq cN^{-7/12}$ and $N \geq M$ the following holds: There exists $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the state Γ in (2.14) satisfies $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] = N$ and we have the bound*

$$|\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] - \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\tilde{\Gamma}_0]| \lesssim N^3 \ell^4 + N^{1+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 (\beta^{-1} + 1) \quad (2.15)$$

with $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ in (2.8).

In the proof of the above lemma we use a simpler version of a cancellation that we observe in the computation of the energy of Γ in the proof of Proposition 3.6. To not dilute the main line of the argument, we therefore defer it to Appendix C.

Remark 2.2. As is apparent from the assumption in the above lemma, the trial state Γ is only well defined for inverse temperatures such that $N_0(\beta, N) \geq N^{2/3}$ holds. To obtain a proof of Theorem 1.1 for all inverse temperatures satisfying $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$, we use a second and much simpler trial state in the parameter regime defined by $N_0(\beta, N) \leq N^{2/3}$. More details can be found in Section 5.

Remark 2.3. The way correlations are implemented in [13] differs from our approach in two ways. The first difference is that, instead of a Jastrow factor, the authors of [13] use a certain quartic (in creation and annihilation operators) transformation. Up to technicalities, their transformation amounts to multiplying an uncorrelated n -particle wave function by a factor $1 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} w(x_i - x_j)$, where $w(x) = 1 - f_{\ell}(x)$. This approach is very convenient from a computational perspective, but it suffers from the disadvantage that the resulting trial state is not an element of the form domain of \mathcal{H}_N , if V is chosen as in (1.19). The trial state Γ in (2.14) does not have this problem.

The second main difference between our approaches lies in the level at which correlations are introduced. In [13] correlations are added to the eigenfunctions of Γ_0 . With this choice, it is easier (when compared to our case) to estimate the effect of the correlation structure on the entropy of the trial state. However, the eigenfunctions of Γ_0 have a somewhat complicated structure, which makes it difficult to access useful properties of the eigenfunctions of the Gibbs state $G(z)$ in computing the energy and number of particles of the trial state. This is not a problem in [13], thanks to the special form of the correlation structure chosen there (however, it causes additional difficulties in proving the existence of a chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}$ such that the trial state has the correct particle number, see the discussion below Lemma 2.1 in [13]). In contrast, we add correlations to the eigenfunctions of the state $|z\rangle \langle z| \otimes G(z)$. This allows us to harness properties of a (suitably chosen) basis of eigenfunctions of $G(z)$, which turns out to be crucial in computing the energy of our trial state. We therefore had to find a different way to estimate the influence of the correlations on the entropy. More details can be found in Section 4.

To simplify the notation we will, by a slight abuse of notation, drop the isomorphism \mathcal{U} from all formulas, and identify vectors in \mathfrak{F} and $\mathfrak{F}_0 \otimes \mathfrak{F}_+$. In the remaining part of the article we prove an upper bound for the free energy of Γ that implies Theorem 1.1.

2.3 Properties of the trial state

To compute the free energy of our trial state, precise information about its properties is needed. In this section we prove the relevant statements to not interrupt the main line of the argument later.

The following lemma, which allows us to estimate momentum sums in terms of integrals, will be used frequently in our analysis. A proof can be found in [27, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative and monotone decreasing, and let $\lambda \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} f(p) \mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \infty)}(|p|) \leq (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{|p| \geq [\lambda - 2\pi\sqrt{3}]_+} f(|p|) \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{|p|} + \frac{6\pi}{|p|^2}\right) dp.$$

In the following subsection we recall some properties of the operators T_z and W_z .

2.3.1 The Bogoliubov and Weyl transformations

For $p \in \Lambda_+^*$ we define

$$\tau_p := -\frac{1}{4} \log \left[1 + \frac{16\pi \mathfrak{a}_N N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_B}(p)}{|p|^2 - \mu_0} \right] \quad (2.16)$$

as well as the Bogoliubov transformation

$$T_z := \exp \left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \tau_p \left(\frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* - \text{h.c.} \right) \right). \quad (2.17)$$

Its action on creation and annihilation is given by

$$T_z^* a_p^* T_z = u_p a_p^* + v_p \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_{-p}, \quad T_z^* a_p T_z = u_p a_p + v_p \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_{-p}^*, \quad (2.18)$$

with $u_p := \cosh(\tau_p)$ and $v_p := \sinh(\tau_p)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} u_p &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a}_N N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{1/4} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a}_N N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{-1/4}, \\ v_p &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a}_N N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{1/4} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi \mathfrak{a}_N N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{-1/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The coefficients u_p, v_p satisfy the following bounds.

Lemma 2.5. *The coefficient v_p in (2.19) satisfies the bounds*

$$v_p \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N|p|^2} \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N}, \quad \|v\|_2 \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |p|^k |v_p| \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N} N^{(k+1)\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \quad (2.20)$$

for $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. For u_p we have

$$\|u - 1\|_\infty \lesssim \frac{N_0^2}{N^2}. \quad (2.21)$$

Proof. We first observe that (2.19) implies

$$v_p^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0 \mathbf{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{|p|^2 - \mu_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0 \mathbf{1}_{P_B}(p)} \right)^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Using $\mu_0 < 0$, $\mathbf{a}_N = \mathbf{a}/N$ and the inequality $0 \leq (1+x)^{1/2} + (1+x)^{-1/2} - 2 \leq x^2/4$ for $x \geq 0$, we find

$$v_p^2 \lesssim \frac{N_0^2}{N^2 |p|^4}. \quad (2.22)$$

The remaining estimates in (2.20) and (2.21) follow from (2.22), $|p| \geq 2\pi$ for $p \in \Lambda_+^*$, the identity $u_p^2 = 1 + v_p^2$ and the inequality $\sqrt{1+x} - 1 \leq x$ for $x \geq 0$. \square

As we mentioned earlier, the unitary T_z diagonalizes the Bogoliubov Hamiltonian. The precise statement is the following.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{H}_B(z)$ in (2.2) and τ_p in (2.16). We have*

$$T_z^* \mathcal{H}_B(z) T_z = \mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}} := E_0 + \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \varepsilon(p) a_p^* a_p, \quad (2.23)$$

with the ground state energy

$$E_0 := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in P_B} [|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0 - \varepsilon(p)] \quad (2.24)$$

and the Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$$\varepsilon(p) := \begin{cases} \sqrt{|p|^2 - \mu_0} \sqrt{|p|^2 - \mu_0 + 16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}, & p \in P_B, \\ |p|^2 - \mu_0, & p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B. \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

The proof is a standard computation based on (2.18) and (2.19), which can be found for instance in [12, Lemma 5.2].

Next, we recall the definition of the Weyl operator $W_z := \exp(za^*(\varphi_0) - \bar{z}a(\varphi_0))$ and the well-known formulas

$$W_z^* a_x W_z = a_x + z, \quad W_z^* a_x^* W_z = a_x^* + \bar{z}, \quad (2.26)$$

$$W_z^* a_p W_z = a_p + z\delta_{p,0}, \quad W_z^* a_p^* W_z = a_p^* + \bar{z}\delta_{p,0}, \quad (2.27)$$

for every $x \in \Lambda$ and $p \in \Lambda^*$. The Bogoliubov and the Weyl transformations cannot change the particle number too much. The precise statement is captured in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *The operator inequalities*

$$T_z^* (\mathcal{N}^< + 1)^k T_z \lesssim_k (\mathcal{N}^< + 1)^k \quad (2.28)$$

and

$$W_z^* (\mathcal{N}^< + 1)^k W_z \lesssim_k (N^< + |z|^2 + 1)^k \quad (2.29)$$

hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We omit the proof, which is a standard application of Grönwall's inequality, compare for instance with [17, Lemma 3.1]. We are now prepared to prove several important properties of the Bogoliubov Gibbs state in the diagonal and in the non-diagonal representation.

2.3.2 The Bogoliubov Gibbs state in the diagonal representation

We start by computing the 1-pdm of G^{diag} in (2.4).

Lemma 2.8. *For every $p, q \in \Lambda_+^*$, we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_p^* a_q G^{\text{diag}}] = \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \delta_{p,q}, \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_p a_q G^{\text{diag}}] = 0, \quad (2.30)$$

with

$$\gamma_p^{\text{diag}} := \frac{1}{\exp(\beta\varepsilon(p)) - 1} \quad (2.31)$$

and $\varepsilon(p)$ in (2.25). The sequence of eigenvalues γ_p^{diag} satisfies

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \lesssim \beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1}, \quad \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} p^2 \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \lesssim \beta^{-5/2} + \beta^{-3/2}, \quad \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |\gamma_p^{\text{diag}}|^2 \lesssim \beta^{-2}. \quad (2.32)$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\Lambda} |\tilde{\gamma}^{\text{diag}}(x)| dx \lesssim \beta^{-1}. \quad (2.33)$$

Proof. The formulas (2.30), (2.31) follow directly from the definition of G^{diag} . We apply Lemma 2.4 with $\lambda \in (2\pi\sqrt{3}, 4\pi)$, use $\varepsilon(p) \geq |p|^2$ and $(\exp(x) - 1)^{-1} \leq x^{-1}$ to see that

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \leq \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \infty)}(|p|)}{\exp(\beta|p|^2) - 1} + C\beta^{-1} \lesssim \int_{|p| \geq \lambda - 2\pi\sqrt{3}} \frac{1 + |p|^{-2}}{\exp(\beta|p|^2) - 1} dp + \beta^{-1} \lesssim \beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1}$$

holds. With the same argument we prove the second bound in (2.32). We also have

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |\gamma_p^{\text{diag}}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{|p|^4} \lesssim \beta^{-2},$$

which completes the proof of (2.32). Finally, to obtain (2.33), we apply Cauchy-Schwartz and (2.32):

$$\int_{\Lambda} |\tilde{\gamma}^{\text{diag}}(x)| dx \leq \left(\int_{\Lambda} |\tilde{\gamma}^{\text{diag}}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \beta^{-1}.$$

□

The following lemma allows us to control the expectation of powers of \mathcal{N}_+ (the restriction of \mathcal{N} to \mathfrak{F}_+) in the state G^{diag} .

Lemma 2.9. *For every $k \geq 2$, we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+^k G^{\text{diag}}] - (\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}])^k \lesssim_k \beta^{-\frac{3(k-2)}{2}} \beta^{-2} + \beta^{-(k-1)}. \quad (2.34)$$

Proof. Let us introduce the notation $dX^k = dx_1, \dots, dx_k$. Using the CCR we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}^k G^{\text{diag}}] \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^{k+1}} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_{x_1}^* \dots a_{x_k}^* a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_k} G^{\text{diag}}] dX^k + \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^k - \mathcal{N} \cdot \dots \cdot (\mathcal{N} - k + 1)) G^{\text{diag}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

An application of Wick's theorem and the identity $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_x^* a_x G^{\mathrm{diag}}] = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\mathrm{diag}}]$ show that the first term on the right-hand side equals

$$\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\mathrm{diag}}]\right)^k + \sum_{\pi \in S_k \setminus \{\mathrm{Id}_k\}} \int_{\Lambda^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_{x_i}^* a_{x_{\pi(i)}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] dX^k,$$

where S_k denotes the set of permutations of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\mathrm{Id}_k \in S_k$ is the identity. To bound the sum on the right-hand side, we first observe that an application of Cauchy–Schwartz and Lemma 2.8 imply

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_x^* a_y G^{\mathrm{diag}}]| \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_x^* a_x G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_y^* a_y G^{\mathrm{diag}}]} \lesssim \beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1}.$$

Moreover, if $\pi \in S_k \setminus \{\mathrm{Id}_k\}$, there exist distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\pi(i) \neq i$, $\pi(j) \neq j$. We can thus estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi \in S_k \setminus \{\mathrm{Id}_k\}} \int_{\Lambda^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_{x_i}^* a_{x_{\pi(i)}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] dX^k \\ & \lesssim k! (\beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1})^{k-2} \left(\int_{\Lambda^4} |\check{\gamma}^{\mathrm{diag}}(x_1 - x_3) \check{\gamma}^{\mathrm{diag}}(x_2 - x_4)| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\Lambda^4} |\check{\gamma}^{\mathrm{diag}}(x_1 - x_3) \check{\gamma}^{\mathrm{diag}}(x_2 - x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right) \\ & \lesssim k (\beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1})^{k-2} \beta^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.33) in the last step.

To obtain a bound for the second term on the right-hand side of (2.35), we argue as above and find

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^k - \mathcal{N} \cdot \dots \cdot (\mathcal{N} - k + 1)) G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim_k \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}^{k-1} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim_k (\beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1})^{(k-1)}.$$

In combination, these considerations prove (2.34). \square

To compare the energy of our trial state to the expression in (1.11), we need to remove the cutoff on the number of particles in several terms. The next lemma allows us to control the corresponding errors.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$. There exist constants $\tilde{c}, c > 0$ such that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}) \mathcal{N}_+^m G^{\mathrm{diag}}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha N_\alpha^m \lesssim_m \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}) \quad (2.36)$$

with $\mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}$ in (2.5). In particular, $1 \geq \kappa_0 \geq 1 - C \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}})$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}) \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha E_\alpha \lesssim \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}). \quad (2.37)$$

Proof. We start by proving the first statement in the case $m = 0$. For notational convenience, let us introduce $\tilde{N}^< = \tilde{c} \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}$ and $\tilde{N} = \tilde{c} N$. Using the fact that

$$\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}} \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^< > \tilde{N}^<\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^> > \tilde{N}\}} \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^< > \tilde{N}^<\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^+ > \tilde{N}\}},$$

we can bound

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}})G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} < \tilde{N}\}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}]. \quad (2.38)$$

With the inequality $\mathbb{1}_{\{x > 0\}} \leq e^{\eta x}$ valid for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$, we estimate the second term on the right-hand side by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{\eta(\mathcal{N}_+ - \tilde{N})}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] = e^{-\eta\tilde{N}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}} + \eta\mathcal{N}_+}]}{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}}}]}. \quad (2.39)$$

For the choice $\eta = 2\pi^2\beta$, which ensures $\eta/\beta < 4\pi^2$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}} + \eta\mathcal{N}_+}] = \exp\left(-\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log\left(1 - e^{-\beta\varepsilon(p) + \eta}\right)\right).$$

We expand the logarithm to second order and use the fact that the function $x \mapsto (\cosh(x) - 1)^{-1}$ is decreasing and satisfies $(\cosh(x) - 1)^{-1} \leq 2x^{-2}$ for $x > 0$, to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}} + \eta\mathcal{N}_+}] &\leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}}}] \exp\left(\eta \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{4}\eta^2 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{\cosh(\frac{1}{2}\beta\varepsilon(p)) - 1}\right) \\ &\leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}}}] \exp\left(\eta \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1}\right) \exp\left(2\eta^2 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{\beta^2|p|^4}\right) \\ &\lesssim \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}}}] \exp\left(\eta \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

It follows from (1.6) that

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1} \leq \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} \leq N.$$

In combination with (2.39) and (2.40), this bound implies

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim e^{-\eta(\tilde{N} - N)}. \quad (2.41)$$

Next, we prove a similar estimate for the first term on the right-hand side of (2.38). We have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} < \tilde{N}\}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \leq e^{-\eta\tilde{N}} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}, <} + \eta\mathcal{N}^<}]}{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}, <}}]}$$

with $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}, <} = E_0 + \sum_{p \in P_B} \varepsilon(p)a_p^*a_p$. Arguing as in (2.40) with the same choice of η , we also see that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}, <} + \eta\mathcal{N}^<}] \lesssim \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}, <}}] \exp\left(\eta \sum_{p \in P_B} \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1}\right).$$

The sum in the above exponential is bounded by

$$\beta^{-1} \sum_{p \in P_B} \frac{1}{|p|^2} \lesssim \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}},$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim e^{-\eta(\tilde{N}^{<} - c_1 \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}})}, \quad (2.42)$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ independent of N . In combination, (2.38), (2.41) and (2.42) imply (2.36) for $m = 0$ and $\tilde{c} > \max\{1, c_1\}$.

If $m \geq 1$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}) \mathcal{N}_+^m G^{\mathrm{diag}}] &\leq \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \\ &\lesssim_m \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}_+ - \tilde{N})^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] + \tilde{N}^m \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}_+ > \tilde{N}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \\ &\quad + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^{<} - \tilde{N}^{<})^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] + (\tilde{N}^{<})^m \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \\ &\quad + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^{>})^m \mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}]. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first and the third term on the right-hand side, we apply the inequality $x^m \mathbb{1}_{\{x \geq 0\}} \leq m! e^{\eta x} / \eta^m$. The rest of the argument is the same as in the case $m = 0$. With (2.41), (2.42) and $\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}^{<} \lesssim N$ we see that the second and the fourth term are bounded by a constant times $\exp(-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}})$. To obtain a bound for the last term, we observe that it equals

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}^{<} > \tilde{N}^{<}\}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^{>})^m G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim e^{-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+^m G^{\mathrm{diag}}] \lesssim_m N^m e^{-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}},$$

where we used $[\mathcal{N}^{<}, \mathcal{N}^{>}] = 0$, (2.41) and (2.42).

It remains to prove (2.37). The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (2.36) for $m = 0$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}) \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}} G^{\mathrm{diag}}] &\leq (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{P}_{\tilde{c}}) G^{\mathrm{diag}}])^{1/2} (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}})^2 G^{\mathrm{diag}}])^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim e^{-cN^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}} (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}})^2 G^{\mathrm{diag}}])^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

To estimate the second factor on the right-hand side we apply Wick's theorem:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}})^2 G^{\mathrm{diag}}] = \left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \varepsilon(p) \gamma_p^{\mathrm{diag}} \right)^2 + \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \varepsilon(p)^2 \gamma_p^{\mathrm{diag}} (1 + \gamma_p^{\mathrm{diag}}) \lesssim \beta^{-5} + \beta^{-5/2}.$$

In the last step we used the monotonicity of $x \mapsto (e^x - 1)^{-1}$, $\varepsilon(p) \geq |p|^2$ and Lemma 2.4. Inserting this bound into (2.43) we get (2.37). \square

2.3.3 The Bogoliubov Gibbs state in the original representation

We now compute and estimate the correlation functions of $G(z)$.

Lemma 2.11. *For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_q^* a_p G(z)] = \gamma_p \delta_{p,q}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_q a_p G(z)] = (z/|z|)^2 \alpha_p \delta_{p,-q}, \quad (2.44)$$

with

$$\gamma_p = (1 + 2v_p^2) \gamma_p^{\mathrm{diag}} + v_p^2, \quad \alpha_p = u_p v_p (2\gamma_p^{\mathrm{diag}} + 1) \quad (2.45)$$

and u_p, v_p in (2.19). Moreover, we have the bounds

$$\gamma_p \lesssim \frac{N_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{P_{\mathrm{B}}}(p)}{N^2 |p|^4} + \frac{1}{\exp(\beta|p|^2) - 1}, \quad \alpha_p \lesssim \frac{N_0 \mathbb{1}_{P_{\mathrm{B}}}(p)}{N |p|^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta |p|^2} \right), \quad (2.46)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in P_B} \gamma_p &\lesssim \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2}, & \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p &\lesssim \beta^{-3/2} + \beta^{-1/2} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2}, \\ \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p^2 &\lesssim \beta^{-2} + \frac{N_0^4}{N^4}, & \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |p| \gamma_p &\lesssim \beta^{-2} + \beta^{-1} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} \log N, \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |\alpha_p| &\lesssim \frac{N_0}{N} (\beta^{-1} + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}), & \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |\alpha_p|^2 &\lesssim \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} (\beta^{-2} + 1), \\ \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} |p| |\alpha_p| &\lesssim \frac{N_0}{N} (\beta^{-1} \log N + N^{2\delta_{\text{Bog}}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

Proof. Using (2.18), Lemma 2.8, the fact that γ^{diag} and v are even functions of p , and the identity $u_p^2 = 1 + v_p^2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_q^* a_p G(z)] &= \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} \left[\left(u_q a_q^* + v_q \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_{-q} \right) \left(u_p a_p + v_p \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_{-p}^* \right) G^{\text{diag}} \right] \\ &= u_p^2 \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \delta_{p,q} + v_p^2 (1 + \gamma_p^{\text{diag}}) \delta_{p,q} = (1 + 2v_p^2) \gamma_p^{\text{diag}} \delta_{p,q} + v_p^2 \delta_{p,q}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

which is the first identity in (2.45). We find the second identity after an analogous computation for $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_q^* a_p^* G(z)]$. In combination, (2.45) and Lemma 2.5 show (2.46). The bounds in (2.47) and (2.48) are a consequence of (2.46) and Lemma 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.11 allows us to compare the number of particles in $G(z)$ with the one in the Gibbs state

$$G^{\text{id}} = \frac{\exp(-\beta \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+} (p^2 - \mu_0) a_p^* a_p)}{\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\exp(-\beta \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+} (p^2 - \mu_0) a_p^* a_p)]} \quad (2.50)$$

describing the thermally excited particles in the ideal Bose gas.

Lemma 2.12. *We have*

$$|\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G(z)] - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{id}}]| \lesssim \frac{N_0}{\beta N} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2}. \quad (2.51)$$

Proof. With Lemma 2.11 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G(z)] - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{id}}] &= \sum_{p \in P_B} \left(\gamma_p - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{p \in P_B} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta \varepsilon(p)} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} \right) + \sum_{p \in P_B} \left(\frac{2v_p^2}{e^{\beta \varepsilon(p)} - 1} + v_p^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

Using $e^x - 1 \geq x$, $\varepsilon(p) \geq |p|^2$, and Lemma 2.5, it is straightforward to see that

$$\sum_{p \in P_B} \left(\frac{2v_p^2}{e^{\beta \varepsilon(p)} - 1} + v_p^2 \right) \lesssim \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} (1 + \beta^{-1}). \quad (2.53)$$

To bound the first term on the right-hand side of (2.52), we write

$$\frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1} = \int_0^1 \frac{\beta(\varepsilon(p) - (|p|^2 - \mu_0))}{4 \sinh^2\left(\frac{1}{2}(t\beta\varepsilon(p) + (1-t)\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0))\right)} dt.$$

With

$$|\varepsilon(p) - (|p|^2 - \mu_0)| = (|p|^2 - \mu_0) \left| \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi a_N N_0}{|p|^2 - \mu_0}} - 1 \right| \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N},$$

we obtain the bound

$$\left| \sum_{p \in P_B} \left(\frac{1}{e^{\beta\varepsilon(p)} - 1} - \frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{\beta N_0}{N} \sum_{p \in P_B} \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\beta|p|^2/2)} \lesssim \frac{N_0}{\beta N}. \quad (2.54)$$

In the last step we used $\sinh(x) \geq x$ for $x \geq 0$. In combination, (2.52), (2.53) and (2.54) prove (2.51). \square

We now consider the eigenfunctions $\phi_{z,\alpha} = |z\rangle \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the notation

$$\varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \langle a_{x_1}^* \dots a_{x_k}^* a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_k} \rangle \phi_{z,\alpha}. \quad (2.55)$$

In the cases $k = 2, 4$ we have the following bounds.

Lemma 2.13. *We have*

$$\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \leq |z|^4 + 4|z|^2 N_\alpha + 2N_\alpha(N_\alpha - 1) + C(|z|^2 + N_\alpha)(N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) + CN^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}}(N_\alpha^< + 1)^2, \quad (2.56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda} \left| \nabla_2 \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \right| &\lesssim N_\alpha^{3/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N^{4\delta_{\text{Bog}}}(N_\alpha^< + 1)^2 \\ &+ (N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \left(N_\alpha^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N_\alpha N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \right) \\ &+ |z|^2 \left(N_\alpha^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}(N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

$$\sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda} \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_3 dx_4 \lesssim (|z|^2 + N_\alpha + 1)^2 \left((N_\alpha + |z|^2)^2 + N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}}(N_\alpha^< + 1)^2 \right). \quad (2.58)$$

Proof. The function $\varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda^*} e^{i(p_1 - q_1)x_1 + i(p_2 - q_2)x_2} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle \phi_{z,\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle \phi_{z,\alpha} = |z|^4 + |z|^2 \sum_{p, q \in \Lambda_+^*} \left(\frac{z^2}{|z|^2} \langle a_p^* a_q^* \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &\quad + 4|z|^2 \sum_{p, q \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

In the last step we used (2.27) and $\langle a_p^* a_q^* a_r \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} = \langle a_p^* \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} = 0$ for every $p, q, r \in \Lambda_+^*$, which follows from (2.18) and the fact that Ψ_α is an eigenfunction of \mathcal{N}_+ .

We first estimate the quadratic terms on the right-hand side of (2.59). Since Ψ_α is a symmetrized product of plane waves, we have, for every $k \geq 1$, $\langle a_{p_1}^* \dots a_{p_k}^* a_{q_1} \dots a_{q_k} \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} = 0$ unless $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$. This, in particular, implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} &= \delta_{p,q} \left[u_p^2 \langle a_p^* a_p \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + v_p^2 (\langle a_{-p}^* a_{-p} \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + 1) \right], \\ \langle a_p^* a_q^* \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} &= \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} u_p v_p \delta_{p,-q} (\langle a_p^* a_p \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + \langle a_{-p}^* a_{-p} \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and $N_0 \leq N$ we estimate

$$\sum_{p,q \in \Lambda_+^*} (\langle a_p^* a_q^* \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + \text{h.c.}) = 2 \frac{\Re z^2}{|z|^2} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} u_p v_p (2 \langle a_p^* a_p \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + 1) \lesssim N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \quad (2.61)$$

and

$$\sum_{p,q \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} = \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[(1 + 2v_p^2) \langle a_p^* a_p \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} + v_p^2 \right] \leq N_\alpha + C(N_\alpha^< + 1). \quad (2.62)$$

We now estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (2.59). To do so, we observe that, since T_z only acts on low momenta, the expectation in the sum vanishes if $|\{p_1, p_2\} \cap P_B| \neq |\{q_1, q_2\} \cap P_B|$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\ &+ \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + 4 \sum_{\substack{p_1, q_1 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B) \\ p_2, q_2 \in P_B}} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

The first term on the right-hand side equals

$$\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} = 2N_\alpha^> (N_\alpha^> - 1) \leq 2N_\alpha (N_\alpha - 1).$$

As for the second term, using the translation invariance of $T_z \Psi_\alpha$, the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and (2.28), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} &= \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2, q_1 \in P_B \\ p_1 + p_2 - q_1 \in P_B}} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{p_1 + p_2 - q_1} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\ &\leq 2|P_B| \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{p_1} a_{p_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \lesssim N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N_\alpha^< + 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last term on the right-hand side of (2.63) equals

$$N_\alpha^> \sum_{p,q \in P_B} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \lesssim N_\alpha (N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}),$$

where we used (2.62) in the last step. Putting these considerations together, we find

$$\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \leq 2N_\alpha (N_\alpha - 1) + CN_\alpha (N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) + CN^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N_\alpha^< + 1)^2,$$

which combined with (2.59), (2.61) and (2.62) implies (2.56).

We now show (2.57). We start by taking the gradient of (2.59):

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\nabla_2 \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x, y) &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda^*} (p_2 - q_2) e^{i(p_1 - q_1)x + i(p_2 - q_2)y} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \\
&= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} (p_2 - q_2) e^{i(p_1 - q_1)x + i(p_2 - q_2)y} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\
&\quad + |z|^2 \sum_{p, q \in \Lambda_+^*} \left(q e^{ip \cdot x + iq \cdot y} \langle a_p^* a_q^* \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \quad - q e^{ip \cdot x - iq \cdot y} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + p e^{-iq \cdot x + ip \cdot y} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\
&\quad \quad \left. + (p - q) e^{i(p-q) \cdot y} \langle a_p^* a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} - q e^{-ip \cdot x - iq \cdot y} \langle a_p a_q \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \right). \tag{2.64}
\end{aligned}$$

With a similar argument as in (2.63), we see that the absolute value of first term on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} |p_1| \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \leq \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} |p_1| \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\
&+ N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + 2 \sum_{\substack{p_1, q_1 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B) \\ p_2, q_2 \in P_B}} (|p_1| + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha}. \tag{2.65}
\end{aligned}$$

With the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} |p_1| \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{p, q \in \Lambda_+^*} |p|^2 \langle a_p^* a_q^* a_p a_q \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{p, q \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_p^* a_q^* a_p a_q \rangle_{\Psi_\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim N_\alpha^{3/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms on the right-hand side of (2.65) are bounded similarly, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} |p_1| \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} \\
&\lesssim N_\alpha^{3/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N^{4\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N_\alpha^< + 1)^2 + (N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \left(N_\alpha^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N_\alpha N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The quadratic terms on the right-hand side of (2.64) can be estimated easily using the explicit formulas in (2.60). Doing so, we see that they are bounded by

$$C|z|^2 \left(N_\alpha^{1/2} \|\mathcal{K}^{1/2} \Psi_\alpha\| + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \right).$$

Combining the previous two bounds proves (2.57).

Finally, we prove (2.58). By (2.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_3 dx_4 &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda^*} e^{i(p_1 - q_1)x_1 + i(p_2 - q_2)x_2} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \\
&\leq |z|^4 \langle \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} + |z|^2 \left[4 \sum_{p_1, q_1 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{p_1, p_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right] + \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (2.66) can be bounded by a constant times $|z|^4(N_\alpha + |z|^2 + 1)^2$ using Lemma 2.7. By the translation invariance of the state $|\phi_{z,\alpha}\rangle\langle\phi_{z,\alpha}|$ and Lemma 2.7, we see that the second term equals

$$4|z|^2 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_p^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_p \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \lesssim |z|^2 (N_\alpha + |z|^2 + 1)^3,$$

and the same bound holds for the third term.

To estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (2.66) we split the sum in momentum sets as in (2.63):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in \Lambda_+^*} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B)} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \\
&+ \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} + 4 \sum_{\substack{p_1, q_1 \in (\Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B) \\ p_2, q_2 \in P_B}} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the third term are easily seen to be bounded by a constant times $(N_\alpha + |z|^2 + 1)^4$, by Lemma 2.7. The second term can be estimated using translation invariance, Cauchy–Schwarz, and Lemma 2.7:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{q_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} &= \sum_{p_1, p_2, q_1 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N} - 1) a_{q_1} a_{p_1 + p_2 - q_1} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \\
&\lesssim N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \in P_B} \langle a_{p_1}^* a_{p_2}^* \mathcal{N}^2 a_{p_1} a_{p_2} \rangle_{\phi_{z,\alpha}} \leq N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \|(\mathcal{N}^<) \phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2 \cdot \|\mathcal{N}^2 \phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2 \\
&\lesssim N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N_\alpha^< + 1)^2 (N_\alpha + |z|^2 + 1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting the above bounds, we find (2.58). \square

The following statement quantifies how the Jastrow factor changes the norm of an eigenfunction of $|z\rangle\langle z| \otimes G(z)$.

Corollary 2.14. *Let $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$ and $\delta_{\text{Bog}} \leq 2/15$. There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|F\phi_{\alpha,z}\|^2 \geq 1 - CN\ell^2, \tag{2.67}$$

for every $|z|^2 \leq \tilde{c}N$, $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We use the inequality

$$\prod_{i < j} (1 - u_\ell(x_i - x_j)) \geq 1 - \sum_{i < j} u_\ell(x_i - x_j), \quad (2.68)$$

for $u_\ell = 1 - f_\ell^2 \geq 0$, to estimate

$$\|F\phi_{\alpha,z}\| = \sum_n \int_{\Lambda^n} |\phi_{\alpha,z}^{(n)}|^2 \prod_{i < j} (1 - u_\ell(x_i - x_j)) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\alpha,z}^{(2)}(x,y) u_\ell(x-y) dx dy. \quad (2.69)$$

By (2.56) and the assumptions $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$, $\delta_{\text{Bog}} \leq 2/15$, $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $|z|^2 \leq \tilde{c}N$, we have

$$\varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \lesssim N^2 + N^{5\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \beta^{-2} \lesssim N^2.$$

We insert this into (2.69), use (A.3) and $\mathfrak{a}_N N \lesssim 1$, and find (2.67). \square

2.3.4 The uncorrelated trial state

Finally, we need some estimates on the densities of the uncorrelated trial state Γ_0 . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \text{Tr}[a_{x_1}^* \dots a_{x_k}^* a_{x_1} \dots a_{x_k} \Gamma_0]. \quad (2.70)$$

We have the following.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$, $k \geq 2$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then the bounds*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Lambda} |\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| &\lesssim_k N^k, \\ \sup_{x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Lambda} |\nabla_i \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| &\lesssim_k \beta^{-1/2} N^k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.71)$$

hold.

Proof. For $x \in \Lambda$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we introduce the operators $a_{x,z}^* = \frac{z}{|z|} a_x^*$, $a_{x,z} = \frac{\bar{z}}{|z|} a_x$, which satisfy

$$W_z^* a_{x,z}^* W_z = a_{x,z}^* + |z|, \quad W_z^* a_{x,z} W_z = a_{x,z} + |z| \quad (2.72)$$

by (2.26). With the notation $y_i = y_{i+k} = x_i$, $\sharp_i = *$, $\sharp_{i+k} = \cdot$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we can write the k -body density of Γ_0 as

$$\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} [a_{y_1,z}^{\sharp_1} \dots a_{y_{2k},z}^{\sharp_{2k}} |z\rangle \langle z| \otimes G(z)] dz. \quad (2.73)$$

Using (2.72) and Wick's theorem for the quasi-free state $G(z)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} [a_{y_1,z}^{\sharp_1} \dots a_{y_{2k},z}^{\sharp_{2k}} |z\rangle \langle z| \otimes G(z)] \\ &= |z|^{2k} + \sum_{h=1}^k |z|^{2(k-h)} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{2h} \leq 2k} \sum_{\sigma \in P_{2h}} \prod_{j=1}^h \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [a_{y_{i_{\sigma(2j-1)}},z}^{\sharp_{i_{\sigma(2j-1)}}} a_{y_{i_{\sigma(2j)}},z}^{\sharp_{i_{\sigma(2j)}}} G(z)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_{2h} = \{\sigma \in S_{2h} \mid \sigma(2j-1) < \sigma(2j), \sigma(2j-1) < \sigma(2j+1)\}$$

denotes the set of pairings of $\{1, \dots, 2h\}$. Lemma 2.11 implies that for every $\sharp, b \in \{*, \cdot\}$ there exists $f_z^{(\sharp, b)} : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[a_{x,z}^\sharp a_{y,z}^b G(z)] = f_z^{(\sharp, b)}(x - y)$. Moreover, the functions $f_z^{(\sharp, b)}$ satisfy the bounds

$$\|f_z^{(\sharp, b)}\|_\infty \lesssim N \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla f_z^{(\sharp, b)}\|_\infty \lesssim \beta^{-1/2} N,$$

uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking into account the particle number cutoff in $\zeta(z)$, we thus find

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left(|z|^{2k} + \sum_{h=1}^k |z|^{2(k-h)} \binom{2k}{2h} |P_{2h}| N^h \right) dz \lesssim_k N^k, \\ |\nabla_i \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| &\lesssim \sum_{h=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^{2(k-h)} \binom{2k}{2h} |P_{2h}| \beta^{-1/2} N^h dz \lesssim_k \beta^{-1/2} N^k, \end{aligned}$$

which is the claim of the lemma. \square

Let us introduce the notation

$$\tilde{N}_0 = \text{Tr}[a_0^* a_0 \tilde{\Gamma}_0] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 \zeta(z) dz \quad (2.74)$$

for the expected number of particles in the condensate of $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ in (2.8), with $\tilde{\mu}$ chosen according to Lemma 2.1. As a corollary to Lemmas 2.1, 2.10 and 2.12 we prove the following estimate for the difference between \tilde{N}_0 and the expected number of particles in the condensate of the ideal gas.

Corollary 2.16. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 be satisfied. Then*

$$|N_0 - \tilde{N}_0| \lesssim \frac{N_0}{\beta N} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} + N^3 \ell^4 + N^{1+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 (\beta^{-1} + 1) \quad (2.75)$$

holds with N_0 in (1.7).

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 - \tilde{N}_0 &= \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G(z)] - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{id}}] + \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ \tilde{G}(z)] - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ G(z)] \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}[\mathcal{N}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] \end{aligned}$$

with G, \tilde{G} in (2.7), $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ in (2.8), Γ in (2.14) and G^{id} in (2.50). In combination with Lemmas 2.1, 2.10 and 2.12, this identity proves the claim. \square

3 Estimate for the energy

Throughout Sections 3, 4 and 5, we adopt the assumptions of Lemma 2.1, and take N sufficiently large so that the trial state Γ is well defined. In addition, we suppose that $\beta \sim \beta_c$, with β_c in (1.8), and we fix a sufficiently large cutoff parameter $\tilde{c} > 2$ in (2.11) such that the statements of Lemma 2.10 hold. Finally, we assume that $N\ell^2$ is sufficiently small. In this section we will prove the following statement.

Proposition 3.1. *The energy of Γ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{H}_N\Gamma] &= \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{diag}}G^{\mathrm{diag}}] + \mu_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p + 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z)|z|^4 dz \\ &\quad + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \left[\left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma(p) + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}} \lesssim N^{1/3+\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}} + N^{2/3+3\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}\ell^{1/2} + N^{5/3+3\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}\ell^2 + N^3\ell^4 + \ell^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

To prove the above proposition, we decompose

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{H}_N\Gamma] = \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\Gamma] + \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{V}_N\Gamma],$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \int_{\Lambda} a_x^*(-\Delta)a_x dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_N = \int_{\Lambda^2} v_N(x-y)a_x^*a_y^*a_xa_y dx dy$$

denote the kinetic energy operator and the interaction potential, respectively. We first compute the contribution of the kinetic term.

3.1 Analysis of the kinetic energy

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\Gamma] = \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] + \mathrm{Tr}[\chi\Gamma] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\chi := \int_{\Lambda^2} \frac{|\nabla f_{\ell}(x-y)|^2}{f_{\ell}(x-y)^2} a_x^*a_y^*a_xa_y dx dy \quad (3.4)$$

with f_{ℓ} in (2.12). The error term satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}} \lesssim N^{2/3+3\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}} \sqrt{\ell(1+N^2\ell^3)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.3. The operator χ contains the leading-order contribution of the correlation structure to the kinetic energy of the trial state. We will combine it with contributions from the potential \mathcal{V}_N to obtain the full interaction energy.

To prove Proposition 3.2, we start by expanding the trace on the left-hand side of (3.3) in particle number sectors. With the notation $dX^n = dx_1 \dots dx_n$, we write

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\Gamma] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha}}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i(F_n\phi_{z,\alpha}^n)|^2 dX^n dz, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\phi_{z,\alpha}^n$ denotes the projection of $\phi_{z,\alpha}$ onto the n -particle sector of the Fock space. Integrating by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i(F_n\phi_{z,\alpha}^n)|^2 dX^n &= - \int_{\Lambda^n} F_n \overline{\phi_{z,\alpha}^n} \Delta_i(F_n\phi_{z,\alpha}^n) dX^n \\ &= \int_{\Lambda^n} \left[(-\Delta_i F_n) F_n |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 - 2\nabla_i F_n \cdot \nabla_i \phi_{z,\alpha}^n \overline{F_n \phi_{z,\alpha}^n} + F_n^2 \overline{\phi_{z,\alpha}^n} (-\Delta_i \phi_{z,\alpha}^n) \right] dX^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

A further integration by parts shows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda^n} (-\Delta_i F_n) F_n |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n &= \int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i F_n|^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n \\ &\quad + 2\Re \int_{\Lambda^n} F_n (\nabla_i F_n \cdot \overline{\nabla_i \phi_{z,\alpha}^n}) \phi_{z,\alpha}^n dX^n. \end{aligned}$$

When we plug this into (3.7) and take the real part on both sides, we get

$$\int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i (F_n \phi_{z,\alpha}^n)|^2 dX^n = \int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i F_n|^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n + \Re \int_{\Lambda^n} F_n^2 \overline{\phi_{z,\alpha}^n} (-\Delta_i \phi_{z,\alpha}^n) dX^n.$$

Inserted into (3.6), this yields $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\Gamma] = K_1 + K_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Lambda^n} |\nabla_i F_n|^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz, \\ K_2 &:= \Re \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \langle F^2 \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K} \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle dz. \end{aligned}$$

In the next two lemmas we provide estimates for K_1 and K_2 .

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$K_1 = \text{Tr}[\chi\Gamma] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)}, \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} \lesssim N\ell^2. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Let us introduce the notation

$$f_{ij} = f_\ell(x_i - x_j), \quad u_{ij} = u_\ell(x_i - x_j), \quad \nabla f_{ij} = \nabla f_\ell(x_i - x_j), \quad (3.10)$$

where, we recall, $u_\ell = 1 - f_\ell^2$. We have $\nabla_i F_n = F_n \sum_{j \neq i} \nabla f_{ij}/f_{ij}$, which implies

$$|\nabla_i F_n|^2 = F_n^2 \left\{ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ j \neq i}} \frac{|\nabla f_{ij}|^2}{f_{ij}^2} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j, k \leq n \\ j \neq i, k \neq i, j}} \frac{\nabla f_{ij} \cdot \nabla f_{ik}}{f_{ij} f_{ik}} \right\}.$$

The first term, inserted into the definition of K_1 , gives $\text{Tr}[\chi\Gamma]$, thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda^n} F_n^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \\ i \neq j, k \neq i, j}} \frac{\nabla f_{ij} \cdot \nabla f_{ik}}{f_{ij} f_{ik}} dX^n dz.$$

In combination, (2.67), the inequality $(1-x)^{-1} \leq 1+x+2x^2$, valid for $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$, and $F_n^2 f_{ij}^{-1} f_{ik}^{-1} \leq 1$, imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} &\leq (1 + CN\ell^2) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Lambda^n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \\ i \neq j, k \neq i, j}} |\nabla f_{ij}| |\nabla f_{ik}| |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz \\ &\lesssim \kappa_0^{-1} \int_{\Lambda^3} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) |\nabla f(x_1 - x_2)| |\nabla f(x_1 - x_3)| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \leq \kappa_0^{-1} \|\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}\|_\infty \|\nabla f\|_1^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

as long as $N\ell^2$ is sufficiently small. The estimate in (3.9) follows using (2.71) with $k = 3$, (A.3), and $\kappa_0^{-1} \lesssim 1 + C \exp(-c\beta N) \lesssim 1$, which follows from Lemma 2.10. \square

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$K_2 = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the error term satisfies the bound

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} \lesssim N^{2/3+3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \sqrt{\ell(1+N^2\ell^3)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. Let us decompose the kinetic energy in momentum space as $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^< + \mathcal{K}^>$ with

$$\mathcal{K}^< = \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} p^2 a_p^* a_p, \quad \mathcal{K}^> = \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_{\text{B}}} p^2 a_p^* a_p.$$

As a symmetrized product of plane waves, Ψ_α is an eigenfunction of the localized kinetic energies $\mathcal{K}^<$ and $\mathcal{K}^>$, that is $\mathcal{K}^\geq \Psi_\alpha = E_\alpha^\geq \Psi_\alpha$. Both, T_z and W_z , act trivially on creation/annihilation operators indexed by high momenta $p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_{\text{B}}$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \langle F^2 \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K}^> \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle dz = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha E_\alpha^> = \text{Tr}[\mathcal{K}^> \tilde{\Gamma}_0].$$

To extract the largest contributions from the low-momentum part of the kinetic energy, we write

$$\langle F^2 \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K}^< \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle = \langle \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K}^< \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle + \langle (F^2 - 1) \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K}^< \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle. \quad (3.14)$$

Combining the first term on the right-hand side of (3.14) with the high momentum part of the kinetic energy gives $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\tilde{\Gamma}_0]$. It remains to estimate the remainder.

Using Cauchy–Schwarz, (2.36), (2.67) and the inequality

$$|F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2 - 1| \leq \sum_{i < j}^n u_\ell(x_i - x_j),$$

which follows from (2.68) and $F_n \leq 1$, we can estimate the error term as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)} &= \Re \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \langle (F^2 - 1) \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{K}^< \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \|(F^2 - 1) \phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \|\mathcal{K}^< \phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2 dz \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \sum_n \int_{\Lambda^n} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} u_{ij} \right|^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz \right)^{1/2} (\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_+}[(\mathcal{K}^<)^2 \Gamma_0])^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

with u_{ij} in (3.10). We have

$$\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_+}[(\mathcal{K}^<)^2 \Gamma_0] \leq N^{4\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^<)^2 G(z)] dz.$$

An application of Wick's theorem and Lemma 2.11 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_+}[(\mathcal{N}^<)^2 G(z)] &= \sum_{p,q \in P_{\text{B}}} \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_+}[a_p^* a_p a_q^* a_q G(z)] \\ &= \sum_{p,q \in P_{\text{B}}} \gamma_p \gamma_q + \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} \left(\gamma_p (\gamma_p + 1) + |\alpha_p|^2 \right) \lesssim (1 + \beta^{-1} N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[(\mathcal{K}^<)^2\Gamma_0])^{1/2} \lesssim N^{2\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}(1 + \beta^{-1}N^{\delta_{\mathrm{Bog}}}). \quad (3.16)$$

We use $\kappa_0^{-1} \lesssim 1$, which follows from Lemma 2.10, and expand the square in the integral to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \sum_n \int_{\Lambda^n} \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} u_{ij} \right|^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \\ & \quad + \int_{\Lambda^3} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2)^2 dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Moreover, applications of (A.3) and Lemma 2.15 show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \lesssim N^4 \mathbf{a}_N^2 \ell^4 \lesssim N^2 \ell^4, \\ & \int_{\Lambda^3} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \lesssim N^3 \mathbf{a}_N^2 \ell^4 \lesssim N \ell^4, \\ & \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2)^2 dx_1 dx_2 \lesssim N^2 \mathbf{a}_N^2 \ell \lesssim \ell. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

With (3.17) and (3.18), we see that the first factor on the right-hand side of (3.15) is bounded by $\sqrt{\ell(1 + N^2\ell^3)}$. Combined with (3.16), this implies (3.13). \square

Putting together Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we find

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\Gamma] = \mathrm{Tr}[\chi\Gamma] + \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{K}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}},$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(1)} + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(2)}.$$

The claim of Proposition 3.2 follows from (3.9) and (3.13). \square

3.2 Analysis of the renormalized interaction

As shown in Proposition 3.2, the expectation of the kinetic energy in our trial states yields two contributions, up to negligible errors. The first contribution is the kinetic energy of the undressed trial state $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$, which will be combined with the entropy to obtain the free energy of the ideal gas. The second is given by the expectation of the two-body operator χ in the state Γ . This term needs to be combined with the interaction potential \mathcal{V}_N to replace the integral of V_N by $8\pi\mathbf{a}_N L^{-3}$ in the relevant contributions to the free energy. The precise statement is captured by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}[(\chi + \mathcal{V}_N)\Gamma] &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \mathrm{Tr}[\mathcal{Q}_B \tilde{G}(z)] dz + 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^4 dz \\ & \quad + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \left[\left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma_p + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where \tilde{N}_0 is defined in (2.74) and

$$\mathcal{Q}_B := 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0 \sum_{p \in P_B} \left[2a_p^* a_p + \left(\frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right) \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

The error satisfies the bound

$$\mathcal{E}_V \lesssim N^{1/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + N^{2/3+2\delta_{\text{Bog}}}\ell + N^{5/3}\ell^2 + N^3\ell^4 + \ell^{-1}. \quad (3.21)$$

To prove the above proposition, we start by writing the expectation on the left-hand side of (3.19) in a more convenient way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[(\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{V}_N)\Gamma] &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\Lambda^n} \left(2 \frac{|\nabla f_\ell(x_i - x_j)|^2}{f_\ell(x_i - x_j)^2} + v_n(x_i - x_j) \right) F_n^2 |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \int_{\Lambda^n} 2\xi_N(x_i - x_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < h \leq n \\ (k,h) \neq (i,j)}} f_\ell^2(x_k - x_h) |\phi_{z,\alpha}^n|^2 dX^n dz, \end{aligned}$$

where we defined the effective potential

$$\xi_N(x) = |\nabla f_\ell(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}v_N(x)f_\ell^2(x).$$

Its L^1 -norm satisfies

$$\int_{\Lambda} \xi_N(x) dx = \mathcal{E}_\ell(f_\ell) = \frac{4\pi\mathbf{a}_N}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}_N}{\ell}}, \quad (3.22)$$

see (A.1). For $\ell \geq 2\mathbf{a}_N$, the denominator is bounded from below by $1/2$, and thus $\|\xi_N\|_1 \lesssim \mathbf{a}_N$.

Using the pointwise bound

$$\prod_{\substack{k < h \\ (k,h) \neq (i,j)}} f_\ell^2(x_k - x_h) \leq 1 - \sum_{\substack{k < h \\ (k,h) \neq (i,j)}} u_\ell(x_k - x_h) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k < h, r < s \\ (i,j) \neq (k,h) \neq (r,s) \\ (i,j) \neq (r,s)}} u_\ell(x_k - x_h) u_\ell(x_r - x_s), \quad (3.23)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[(\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{V}_N)\Gamma] &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)} \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) dx_1 dx_2 dz \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(4)} \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dz \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \int_{\Lambda^6} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(6)} \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) u_\ell(x_5 - x_6) dx_1 \dots dx_6 dz \\ &= \text{Tr}[\Xi_N \tilde{\Gamma}_0] + V_1 + V_2 + V_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Here, we defined

$$\Xi_N := \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \xi_N(x-y) a_x^* a_y^* a_x a_y \, dx \, dy,$$

V_1 is the first term on the right-hand side of (3.24), with $\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^{-2}$ replaced by $\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^{-2} - 1$, and the densities $\varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(k)}$ are defined in (2.55). Using (2.71), (3.22) and (A.3), it is easy to see that

$$V_3 \lesssim \|\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(6)}\|_\infty \|\xi_N\|_1 \|u_\ell\|_1^2 \lesssim N^6 a_N^3 \ell^4 \lesssim N^3 \ell^4. \quad (3.25)$$

In contrast, naive bounds for V_1 and V_2 are not sufficient to achieve the level of precision necessary to prove Theorem 1.1. Indeed, when considered individually, they give contributions to the energy proportional to $N^2 \ell^2$. Together with the errors arising from the localization of the minimization problem in (A.1), which are of order ℓ^{-1} , they add up to a contribution proportional to $N^{2/3}$. This is the level of accuracy of the upper bound for the free energy given in [27], and it is not sufficient to resolve the free energy of the interacting BEC and the Bogoliubov corrections we are interested in.

It turns out that V_1 and V_2 cancel out to leading order. This important cancellation is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *The following bound holds:*

$$V_1 + V_2 \lesssim N^{5/3} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof. We compute the leading order contributions of V_1 and V_2 separately, and we check that they indeed cancel. We first consider V_1 . Using (2.69) and the bound $(1-x)^{-1} \leq 1+x+2x^2$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\alpha,z}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\alpha,z}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \right) \, dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\alpha,z}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) u_\ell(x_1 - x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \right)^2 \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\alpha,z}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) \, dx_1 \, dx_2 \right) \, dz =: V_{1,1} + V_{1,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Equations (2.56), (3.22), (A.3), and the particle number cutoffs imposed on $\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha$ and $\zeta(z)$, allow us to show that

$$V_{1,2} \lesssim N^6 \left(\int u_\ell \right)^2 \left(\int \xi_N \right) \lesssim N^6 a_N^3 \ell^4 \lesssim N^3 \ell^4. \quad (3.27)$$

We recall the definition of N_α in (2.10). With the same bounds we also obtain

$$V_{1,1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha (|z|^4 + 4|z|^2 N_\alpha + 2N_\alpha^2)^2 \, dz + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(1)}, \quad (3.28)$$

where the error term satisfies (recall $\delta_{\text{Bog}} < 1/12$)

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(1)} \lesssim N^{8/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \lesssim N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2.$$

It thus follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$V_{1,1} \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa_0} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ |z|^8 + 8|z|^6 \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}] \right. \\ \left. + 20|z|^4 \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^2 + 16|z|^2 \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^3 + 4 \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^4 \right\} + CN^{4/3} \ell^2. \quad (3.29)$$

We now turn to estimating V_2 . The simple bound $\|F(z \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha)\| \leq 1$ implies

$$V_2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dz \\ = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_0} \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(2)},$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 dz.$$

Using $0 \leq u_\ell \leq 1$, (2.36), (2.58) and (3.22), we find

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} \lesssim \mathfrak{a}_N \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha (N^4 + N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} N_\alpha^2 N^2 + N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} N_\alpha^4) \lesssim \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}). \quad (3.30)$$

To extract the leading order contribution from V_2 , we expand

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int u_\ell \right) \left(\int \xi_N \right) \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)}, \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^4} \int_0^1 [(x_2 - x_1) \cdot \nabla_2 + (x_4 - x_3) \cdot \nabla_4] \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1), x_3, x_3 + t(x_4 - x_3)) \\ \times \xi_N(x_1 - x_2) u_\ell(x_3 - x_4) dt dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4. \quad (3.32)$$

With (3.22), (A.3), Lemma 2.15, and the fact that the supports of ξ_N and u_ℓ are contained in B_ℓ , we find

$$|\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}}^{(3)}| \lesssim \ell \left(\int u_\ell \right) \left(\int \xi_N \right) (\|\nabla_2 \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}\|_\infty + \|\nabla_4 \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}\|_\infty) \lesssim \mathfrak{a}_N^2 \ell^3 N^4 \beta^{-1/2} \lesssim N^{7/3} \ell^3.$$

Let us now compare (3.31) with (3.29). We write

$$\varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_1} a_{x_2} a_{x_2} |z\rangle \langle z| \otimes G(z)] dz, \quad (3.33)$$

and use (2.26) and Wick's theorem to compute the right-hand side. A naive approach generates many terms. To simplify the computation, we observe that, by Lemma 2.11, $\sup_{x \in \Lambda} |\check{\alpha}(x)| \lesssim N^{2/3}$.

In particular, when we apply (2.26) to (3.33), we see that all terms that do not contain the same number of creation and annihilation operators are subleading, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int u_\ell \right) \left(\int \xi_N \right) \int_{\Lambda^4} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(4)}(x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_1} a_{x_2} a_{x_2} G(z)] \right. \\
& \quad + 8|z|^2 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} a_{x_2} G(z)] + 8|z|^2 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_1} a_{x_2} G(z)] \\
& \quad + 2|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_1} G(z)] + 16|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} G(z)] \\
& \quad + 8|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_2} G(z)] + 8|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2}^* a_{x_1} a_{x_1} G(z)] \\
& \quad + 2|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2} a_{x_2} G(z)] \\
& \quad \left. + 8|z|^6 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_1} G(z)] + 8|z|^6 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{x_1}^* a_{x_2} G(z)] + |z|^8 \right\} dz dx_1 dx_2 + CN^{5/3} \ell^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

An application of Lemma 2.11 shows

$$\int_{\Lambda} \tilde{\gamma}(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\Lambda} |\tilde{\gamma}(x)|^2 dx \lesssim N^{4/3}.$$

When we apply Wick's theorem to compute the right-hand side of (3.34), this allows us to see that only the constant terms give leading-order contributions. More precisely, the right-hand side of (3.34) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ 4\tilde{\gamma}(0)^4 + 16|z|^2 \tilde{\gamma}(0)^3 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 20|z|^4 \tilde{\gamma}(0)^2 + 8|z|^6 \tilde{\gamma}(0) + |z|^8 \right\} dz + CN^{5/3} \ell^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.22) and (2.45) that $|\tilde{\gamma}(0) - \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]| \lesssim N^{2/3}$. The above considerations imply

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2 \leq & -\frac{1}{2\kappa_0} \left(\int \xi_N \right) \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ 4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^4 + 16|z|^2 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^3 \right. \\
& \left. + 20|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}]^2 + 8|z|^6 \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}] + |z|^8 \right\} dz + C(N^{7/3} \ell^3 + N^{5/3} \ell^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Combining (3.27), (3.29) and (3.35) we find (3.26). \square

In the next Lemma, we extract the largest contributions to the energy from the expectation of the effective interaction Ξ_N with respect to the undressed trial state.

Lemma 3.8. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}[\Xi_N \tilde{\Gamma}_0] = & \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}[\mathcal{Q}_B \tilde{G}(z)] dz + 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^4 dz \\
& + 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N \left[\left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma_p + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right] + \mathcal{E}_\Xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

with \mathcal{Q}_B in (3.20) and

$$\mathcal{E}_\Xi \lesssim N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} (N^{-1/3} + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell + N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^2 \ell^4) + \ell^{-1}. \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. In the momentum space representation, Ξ_N reads

$$\Xi_N = \sum_{p,q,r \in \Lambda^*} \widehat{\xi}_N(r) a_{p+r}^* a_q^* a_p a_{q+r},$$

and hence

$$\langle W_z^* \Xi_N W_z \rangle_{\Omega_0 \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha} = \langle \Xi_N^+ + \mathcal{C}_N + \mathcal{Q}_< + \mathcal{Q}_> \rangle_{\Omega_0 \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha} + 2\widehat{\xi}_N(0)|z|^2 \langle \mathcal{N}_+ \rangle_{T_z \Psi_\alpha} + \widehat{\xi}_N(0)|z|^4, \quad (3.38)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_N^+ &= \sum_{\substack{p,q,r \in \Lambda^* \\ p,q,p+r,q+r \neq 0}} \widehat{\xi}_N(r) a_{p+r}^* a_q^* a_p a_{q+r}, \\ \mathcal{C}_N &= 2 \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda_+^* \\ p+q \neq 0}} \widehat{\xi}_N(p) (z a_{p+q}^* a_{-p}^* a_q + \bar{z} a_q^* a_{p+q} a_{-p}), \\ \mathcal{Q}_< &= |z|^2 \sum_{p \in P_B} \widehat{\xi}_N(p) \left[2a_p^* a_p + \left(\frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right) \right], \\ \mathcal{Q}_> &= |z|^2 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \widehat{\xi}_N(p) \left[2a_p^* a_p + \left(\frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since all Ψ_α are eigenfunctions of \mathcal{N} , the expectation of the cubic term on the basis functions of $\widetilde{G}(z)$ vanishes, that is,

$$\langle \Omega_0 \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha, \mathcal{C}_N \Omega_0 \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha \rangle = 0.$$

To bound the expectation of the quartic term, we use $\Xi_N^+ \geq 0$, $\widehat{\xi}_N(p) \leq \widehat{\xi}_N(0)$, which follow from $\xi_N(x) \geq 0$ and $\xi_N(x) = \xi_N(-x)$, Wick's theorem and Lemma 2.11:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\Xi_N^+ \widetilde{\Gamma}_0] &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}} \widetilde{\lambda}_\alpha \langle T_z \Psi_\alpha, \Xi_N^+ T_z \Psi_\alpha \rangle dz \\ &\leq \kappa_0^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\substack{p,q,r \in \Lambda^* \\ p,q,p+r,q+r \neq 0}} \widehat{\xi}_N(r) \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_{p+r}^* a_q^* a_p a_{q+r} G_B(z)] dz \\ &\leq \kappa_0^{-1} \widehat{\xi}_N(0) \left(\sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,q \neq 0}} \gamma_p \gamma_q + \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,p+q \neq 0}} \gamma_p \gamma_{p+q} \right) + \kappa_0^{-1} \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,p+q \neq 0}} \widehat{\xi}_N(q) \alpha_p \overline{\alpha_{p+q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.48) and (3.22), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\xi}_N(0) \left(\sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,q \neq 0}} \gamma_p \gamma_q + \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,p+q \neq 0}} \gamma_p \gamma_{p+q} \right) &= \frac{8\pi \mathbf{a}_N}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}_N}{\ell}} \left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2, \\ \left| \sum_{\substack{p,q \in \Lambda^* \\ p,p+q \neq 0}} \widehat{\xi}_N(q) \alpha_p \overline{\alpha_{p+q}} \right| &\lesssim \mathbf{a}_N (N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + \beta^{-1})^2 \lesssim N^{1/3}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\text{Tr}[\Xi_N^+ \widetilde{\Gamma}_0] \leq 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N \left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + C(N^{1/3} + \ell^{-1}). \quad (3.39)$$

In the last step, we used Lemma 2.10 to conclude $\kappa_0^{-1} \leq 1 + C \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}})$, and $(1 - \mathbf{a}_N/\ell)^{-1} \leq 1 + 2\mathbf{a}_N/\ell$, which follows from $\ell \geq 2\mathbf{a}_N$.

We now analyze the quadratic terms, starting with $\mathcal{Q}_<$. We write

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}[\mathcal{Q}_< \tilde{G}(z)] dz = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}[\mathcal{Q}_B \tilde{G}(z)] dz + \mathcal{E}_Q \quad (3.40)$$

with \mathcal{Q}_B in (3.20) and

$$\mathcal{E}_Q = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} \left[\sum_{p \in P_B} (|z|^2 \hat{\xi}_N(p) - 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0) \left(2a_p^* a_p + \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right) \tilde{G}(z) \right] dz.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.10 and (2.47) that

$$\sum_{p \in P_B} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_p^* a_p \tilde{G}_B(z)] \leq \kappa_0^{-1} \sum_{p \in P_B} \gamma_p \lesssim N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}}, \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{p \in P_B} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_p^* a_{-p}^* \tilde{G}_B(z)] \right| \leq \sum_{p \in P_B} |\alpha_p| + C \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) \lesssim N^{2/3}. \quad (3.42)$$

We also have

$$\sup_{p \in P_B} |\hat{\xi}_N(p) - 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N| \lesssim \mathbf{a}_N N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell, \quad (3.43)$$

which follows from combining

$$|\hat{\xi}_N(p) - \xi_N(0)| \leq |p| \sup_q |(\hat{\xi}_N)'(q)| \leq |p| \int_{\Lambda} |x| \xi_N(x) \lesssim |p| \ell \mathbf{a}_N$$

with (3.22). The last inequality in the above equation follows from (3.22) and the fact that the support of ξ_N is contained in the ball of radius ℓ . Using (2.75), (3.41), (3.42), (3.43), and observing that the quantity

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} \left[\left(2a_p^* a_p + \frac{z^2}{|z|^2} a_p^* a_{-p}^* + \frac{\bar{z}^2}{|z|^2} a_p a_{-p} \right) \tilde{G}(z) \right]$$

does not depend on $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_Q &\leq \left(\sup_{p \in P_B} |\hat{\xi}_N(p) - 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N| \tilde{N}_0 + 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |\tilde{N}_0 - N_0| \right) \\ &\quad \times 2 \left(\sum_{p \in P_B} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_p^* a_p \tilde{G}_B(z)] + \left| \sum_{p \in P_B} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [a_p^* a_{-p}^* \tilde{G}_B(z)] \right| \right) \\ &\lesssim (N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell + N^{-1/3} + N^2 \ell^4 + N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2) N^{2/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

The expectation of the high-momentum term $\mathcal{Q}_>$ in $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\mathcal{Q}_> \tilde{\Gamma}_0] &= 2\tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \hat{\xi}_N(p) \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}} [a_p^* a_p \tilde{\Gamma}_0] \\ &\leq \frac{8\pi \mathbf{a}_N}{1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}_N}{\ell}} \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}} [a_p^* a_p \tilde{\Gamma}_0] \leq 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma_p + C[\ell^{-1} + \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}})], \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where we used $\widehat{\xi}_N(p) \leq \widehat{\xi}_N(0)$, $\ell \geq 2\mathbf{a}_N$, $\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma(p) \lesssim N$ and (2.36). Similarly, we estimate the term related to the second-to-last term on the right-hand side of (3.38) by

$$2\widehat{\xi}_N(0) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}[\mathcal{N}_+\widetilde{\Gamma}_0] \leq 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N\widetilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p + C\ell^{-1}. \quad (3.46)$$

Finally, the last term on the right-hand side of (3.38) satisfies

$$\widehat{\xi}_N(0) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z)|z|^4 dz \leq 4\pi\mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z)|z|^4 dz + C\ell^{-1}. \quad (3.47)$$

Combining (3.39), (3.40), (3.44), (3.45), (3.46) and (3.47), we find the claim. \square

We can now conclude the proof of Proposition 3.6. Inserting (3.36) into (3.24), we find (3.19), with $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{V}} = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + \mathcal{E}_{\Xi}$. The result now follows from (3.25), (3.26) and (3.37). \square

3.3 Final upper bound

We are now prepared to prove Proposition 3.1. Observing that $\mathcal{K} + \mathcal{Q}_B = \mathcal{H}_B(z) + \mu_0\mathcal{N}_+$ and using (2.23), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}[\mathcal{K}\widetilde{\Gamma}_0] + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{Q}_B\widetilde{G}(z)] dz &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{diag}}\widetilde{G}^{\operatorname{diag}}] + \mu_0 \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+\widetilde{G}(z)] \\ &\leq \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{diag}}G^{\operatorname{diag}}] + \mu_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p + \mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Bog}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Bog}} = (\kappa_0^{-1} - 1) \operatorname{Tr}[(\mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{diag}} - E_0)G^{\operatorname{diag}}] + \mu_0 (\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+\widetilde{G}(z)] - \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+G(z)]).$$

The lowest eigenvalue $E_0 < 0$ of $\mathcal{H}_B(z)$ has been defined in (2.23). The bounds $|p|^2 - \mu_0 \leq \varepsilon(p) \lesssim |p|^2 - \mu_0$ and an application of Lemma 2.4 show

$$\operatorname{Tr}[(\mathcal{H}^{\operatorname{diag}} - E_0)G^{\operatorname{diag}}] = \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{\exp(\beta\varepsilon(p)) - 1} \lesssim \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \frac{(|p|^2 - \mu_0)}{\exp(\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)) - 1} \lesssim \beta^{-5/2}.$$

In combination with Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.10, and $\mu_0 = -\beta^{-1} \log(1 + N_0^{-1})$, this implies

$$\mathcal{E}_{\operatorname{Bog}} \lesssim \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\operatorname{Bog}}}), \quad (3.49)$$

for some $c > 0$. The claim thus follows by combining (3.48), (3.49), and Propositions 3.2 and 3.6. \square

4 Estimate for the entropy

The goal of this section is to prove the lower bound in Proposition 4.1 for the entropy of the trial state Γ defined in (2.14). It should be compared to [13, Proposition 4.1] and to [52, Lemma 2]. We also refer to the discussion in Remark 2.3 above. The main improvement is that we can estimate the influence of correlations added to the eigenfunctions of the state $|z\rangle\langle z| \otimes \widetilde{G}(z)$, while this has been possible in [13] only for correlations added to those of $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$. The additional freedom we obtain by doing this is a crucial ingredient for our analysis in Section 3. We recall that the assumptions stated at the beginning of Section 3 also apply in this section.

Proposition 4.1. *The entropy of the state Γ in (2.14) satisfies*

$$S(\Gamma) = S(\tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}) + S^{\text{cl}}(\zeta) + \mathcal{E}_S, \quad (4.1)$$

with \tilde{G}^{diag} in (2.4), ζ in (2.9), S^{cl} defined below (1.21) and

$$\mathcal{E}_S \gtrsim -N\ell^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We define the function $\varphi(x) = -x \log(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ and we assume that $\{\xi_k\}_k$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Γ . This allows us to write

$$S(\Gamma) = \sum_k \varphi(\langle \xi_k, \Gamma \xi_k \rangle) = \sum_k \varphi\left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} |\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2 dz\right).$$

Next, we define

$$Z_k = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{|\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} dz$$

and observe that (2.67) implies the bound

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k &\leq (1 + CN\ell^2) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} |\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2 dz \\ &= (1 + CN\ell^2) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \langle z \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha, F\xi_k \rangle \langle F\xi_k, z \otimes T_z \Psi_\alpha \rangle dz \\ &= (1 + CN\ell^2) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \langle z, \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+} [F|\xi_k\rangle\langle \xi_k|F]z \rangle = (1 + CN\ell^2) \text{Tr}[F|\xi_k\rangle\langle \xi_k|F] \leq (1 + CN\ell^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

as long as $N\ell^2$ is sufficiently small. To come to the last line, we used the fact that the set $\{T_z \Psi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{F}_+ for fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the completeness relation $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z\rangle\langle z| dz = \mathbb{1}_{\mathfrak{F}_0}$, and the bound $F \leq 1$ for the multiplication operator F on \mathfrak{F} .

Using the identity $\varphi(xy) = x\varphi(y) + y\varphi(x)$ for $x, y \geq 0$, we can thus write

$$S(\Gamma) = \sum_k Z_k \varphi\left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \zeta(z) \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{|\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{Z_k \|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} dz\right) + \sum_k \varphi(Z_k) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \zeta(z) \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{|\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{Z_k \|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} dz. \quad (4.4)$$

With (4.3) we see that the second term on the right-hand side can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_k \log(Z_k) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{|\langle \psi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \\ & \gtrsim -N\ell^2 \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{|\langle \psi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} = -N\ell^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where the equality in the second line follows from the fact that $\{\xi_k\}_k$ is an orthonormal basis. Moreover, an application of Jensen's inequality to the strictly concave function φ shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k Z_k \varphi\left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \zeta(z) \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \frac{|\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{Z_k \|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} dz\right) &\geq \sum_k Z_k \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\zeta(z) \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha) \frac{|\langle \xi_k, F\phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle|^2}{Z_k \|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \zeta(z)) dz = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha) + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(\zeta(z)) dz = S(\tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}) + S^{\text{cl}}(\zeta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We insert (4.5) and (4.6) into (4.4) to conclude the proof. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let us fix some $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1/12$. To prove (1.11) we show two distinct upper bounds, corresponding to the two terms in the minimum appearing on the right-hand side. The first upper bound is obtained with the trial state Γ in (2.14) and yields the term $F^{\text{BEC}} - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N N_0^2$ in the minimum. It is only valid in the parameter regime in which $N_0 \gtrsim N^{2/3+\varepsilon_0}$ holds. A second bound that is valid for all $N_0 \leq N$ (but useful only if $N_0 \ll N^{5/6}$) and contributes the term F_0^{BEC} in the minimum will be obtained at the end of this section with a much simpler trial state. Before we discuss these issues in more detail, we provide the final upper bound for the free energy of Γ . We recall that for this part of the analysis the assumptions stated at the beginning of Section 3 hold.

In combination, Proposition 3.1 and 4.1 imply the upper bound

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) &= \text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}_N \Gamma] - \beta^{-1} S(\Gamma) \\ &\leq \text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}} G^{\text{diag}}] - \beta^{-1} S(\tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}) + 4\pi\mathfrak{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^4 dz + \beta^{-1} S^{\text{cl}}(\zeta) + \mu_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \\ &\quad + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N \left[\left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_B} \gamma_p + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right] + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}} - \beta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfy (3.2) and (4.2), respectively.

We first remove the particle number cutoff from the entropy of the Bogoliubov Gibbs state. As in Section 4 we denote $\varphi(x) = -x \log(x)$ for $x \geq 0$. Using $\kappa_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha \leq 1$, we find

$$S(\tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha) \geq \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\lambda_\alpha) + \varphi(\kappa_0^{-1}) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha = S(G^{\text{diag}}) + \log(\kappa_0) - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\lambda_\alpha). \quad (5.2)$$

An application of (2.36) shows

$$\log(\kappa_0) \gtrsim -\exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}). \quad (5.3)$$

Using the definition of λ_α above (2.10) and (2.37), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi(\lambda_\alpha) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha \log \left(\sum_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}} e^{-\beta E_{\alpha'}} \right) + \beta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha E_\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha \log \left(\sum_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}} e^{-\beta E_{\alpha'}} \right) + C \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}), \end{aligned}$$

with E_α in (2.10). A standard computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} e^{-\beta E_\alpha} \right) &= - \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - \exp(-\beta \varepsilon(p))) \leq - \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - \exp(-\beta |p|^2)) \\ &\lesssim -\beta^{-3/2} \int_0^\infty (\beta + x^2) \log(1 - \exp(-x^2)) dx \lesssim N. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities above follow from $\varepsilon(p) \geq |p|^2$, the fact that $x \mapsto -\log(1 - \exp(-x))$ is monotone decreasing for $x \geq 0$, and Lemma 2.4 with $\lambda = 0$. In combination with (2.36), this implies

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \lambda_\alpha \log \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} e^{-\beta E_\alpha} \right) \lesssim \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}). \quad (5.4)$$

Inserting (5.3) and (5.4) into (5.2) yields

$$S(\tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}) \geq S(G^{\text{diag}}) - C \exp(-cN^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}). \quad (5.5)$$

We also have

$$\text{Tr}[\mathcal{H}^{\text{diag}} G^{\text{diag}}] - \beta^{-1} S(G^{\text{diag}}) = \beta^{-1} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon(p)}). \quad (5.6)$$

The following Lemma, which is proved in [13, Appendix B], provides us with an asymptotic expansion of the term on the right-hand side of (5.6).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\beta = \kappa \beta_c$, with β_c defined in (1.8) and $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for every N ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} \log(1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon(p)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} \log(1 - e^{-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)}) + 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0 \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)} - 1} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2}\right) \right] + \frac{CN_0^2}{N^2} \left(N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + \frac{1}{\beta N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}} + \frac{1}{\beta^2 N_0} \right). \end{aligned}$$

An application of Lemma 5.1 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - e^{-\beta \varepsilon(p)}) & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - e^{-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)}) + 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0 \sum_{p \in P_{\text{B}}} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log\left(1 + \frac{16\pi \mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2}\right) \right] + CN^{2/3 - \delta_{\text{Bog}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where we introduced the notation $\gamma_p^{\text{id}} = (\exp(\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)) - 1)^{-1}$ for $p \in \Lambda_+^*$.

The sum of the third and fourth term on the right-hand side of (5.1) equals

$$4\pi \mathbf{a}_N \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^4 dz + \beta^{-1} S^{\text{cl}}(\zeta) = -\beta^{-1} \log\left(\int_{|z|^2 \leq \tilde{N}} e^{-\beta(4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |z|^4 - \tilde{\mu} |z|^2)} dz\right) + \tilde{\mu} \tilde{N}_0, \quad (5.8)$$

with \tilde{N}_0 defined in (2.74), and where we used the shorthand notation $\tilde{N} = \tilde{c}N$. Extending the integral inside the logarithm to the whole complex plane, we generate the error term

$$-\beta^{-1} \log\left(1 - \frac{\int_{|z|^2 > \tilde{N}} e^{-\beta(4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |z|^4 - \tilde{\mu} |z|^2)} dz}{\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |z|^4 - \tilde{\mu} |z|^2)} dz}\right).$$

Setting $\eta = \tilde{\mu} \sqrt{\beta/(4h)}$ and $A = \sqrt{\beta h} \tilde{c}N$, and computing the integrals in polar coordinates with the change of variables $t = \sqrt{\beta h} |z|^2 - \eta$, we find

$$\frac{\int_{|z|^2 > \tilde{N}} e^{-\beta(4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |z|^4 - \tilde{\mu} |z|^2)} dz}{\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi \mathbf{a}_N |z|^4 - \tilde{\mu} |z|^2)} dz} = \frac{\int_{A-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt}{\int_{-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt}.$$

We know from the proof of Lemma B.1 that $\eta < A/2$. If $0 \leq \eta < A/2$, we estimate the denominator from below by a constant and apply (B.5) to obtain a bound for the numerator. This shows

$$\frac{\int_{A-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt}{\int_{-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt} \lesssim \int_{A/2}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt \lesssim \exp(-cN^{1/3}).$$

In contrast, if $\eta < 0$ we apply (B.5) in both the numerator and the denominator, and find

$$\frac{\int_{A-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt}{\int_{-\eta}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt} \lesssim e^{-A^2+2A\eta} \lesssim \exp(-cN^{1/3}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\beta^{-1} \log \left(\int_{|z|^2 \leq \tilde{N}} e^{-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \tilde{\mu}|z|^2)} dz \right) \\ & \leq -\beta^{-1} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \tilde{\mu}|z|^2)} dz \right) + Ce^{-cN^{1/3}} \\ & \leq -\beta^{-1} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \mu|z|^2)} dz \right) - N_0(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) + Ce^{-cN^{1/3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

with μ in (1.13). In the last step, we used the fact that the first term on the second line of (5.9) is a concave function of $\tilde{\mu}$ and that

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \tilde{\mu}|z|^2)} dz \right) \Big|_{\tilde{\mu}=\mu} = N_0$$

holds.

Inserting (5.5)–(5.9) into (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) & \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{|p| \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - e^{-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)}) + \mu_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p - \beta^{-1} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi\mathbf{a}_N|z|^4 - \mu|z|^2)} dz \right) \\ & + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \left[N_0 \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} + \left(\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right)^2 + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^* \setminus P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p + \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p \right] \\ & + \mu N_0 + \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{N}_0 - N_0) - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi\mathbf{a}_N N_0}{|p|^2} \right) \right] \\ & + CN^{2/3 - \delta_{\text{Bog}}} + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}} - \beta^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

With Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.10, we infer that

$$\sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p = N - \tilde{N}_0 + E,$$

with $E \lesssim N^{5/3 + \delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4 \lesssim N$. Using this, we see that the terms inside the bracket on the second line of (5.10) are bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\tilde{N}_0(N - \tilde{N}_0) + (N - \tilde{N}_0)^2 + N_0 \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} - \tilde{N}_0 \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p + CNE \\ & = 2\tilde{N}_0(N - \tilde{N}_0) + (N - \tilde{N}_0)^2 + \tilde{N}_0(\tilde{N}_0 - N_0) + (N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} + CNE \\ & = N^2 - N_0^2 + \tilde{N}_0(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) + (N_0 - \tilde{N}_0)^2 + (N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} + CNE. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, an application of Lemma 2.16 shows

$$8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \left[(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0)^2 + (N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) \sum_{p \in P_B} \gamma_p^{\text{id}} + CNE \right] \lesssim N^{1/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + N^{5/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4.$$

The above considerations show that the second line of (5.10) is bounded from above by

$$8\pi\mathbf{a}_N(N^2 - N_0^2) + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \tilde{N}_0(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) + C[N^{1/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + N^{5/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4].$$

Next, we consider the second term in the above equation and the second term on the third line of (5.10). Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. If $N_0 \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, we infer from (B.1) in Appendix C that

$$(8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \tilde{N}_0 - \tilde{\mu})(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0) \lesssim e^{-cN^\varepsilon}.$$

On the other hand, if $N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, Lemma 2.16, Lemma B.1 and the lower bound $N_0 \gtrsim N^{2/3+\varepsilon_0}$ imply

$$|8\pi\mathbf{a}_N \tilde{N}_0(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0)| \lesssim N^{1/3+2\varepsilon} + N^{3/2+\delta_{\text{Bog}}+\varepsilon} \ell^2 + N^{17/6+\varepsilon} \ell^4$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{N}_0 - N_0)| &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{N}_0\beta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta N}} + \frac{\tilde{N}_0}{N} \right) \left(\frac{N_0}{N\beta} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} + N^3 \ell^4 + N^{5/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim N^{1/3+2\varepsilon} + N^3 \ell^4 + N^{5/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2. \end{aligned}$$

With the bound $|\mu_0| = \beta^{-1} \log(1 + N_0^{-1}) \leq 1/(N_0\beta)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \gamma_p &= \mu_0(N - N_0) + \mu_0(N_0 - \tilde{N}_0 + E) \\ &\leq \mu_0(N - N_0) + C(N^{1/3} + N^{5/3+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4). \end{aligned}$$

We collect the above estimates, insert the bounds for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}$ in (3.2) and (4.2), respectively, choose ε sufficiently small, and find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) &\leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{|p| \in \Lambda_+^*} \log(1 - e^{-\beta(|p|^2 - \mu_0)}) + \mu_0(N - N_0) - \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\beta(4\pi a_N |z|^4 - \mu |z|^2)} \right) + \mu N_0 \\ &\quad + 8\pi\mathbf{a}_N(N^2 - N_0^2) - \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{p \in \Lambda_+^*} \left[\frac{16\pi a_N N_0}{|p|^2} - \log \left(1 + \frac{16\pi a_N N_0}{|p|^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + C \left(N^{2/3-\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + N^{2/3+3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^{1/2} + N^{5/3+3\delta_{\text{Bog}}} \ell^2 + N^3 \ell^4 + \ell^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

The error size is minimized by choosing $\delta_{\text{Bog}} = 1/18$, $\ell = N^{-11/18}$, which yields the first upper bound.

To prove an upper bound that is valid in the non-condensed phase, we need a different trial state. In this regime, the Bogoliubov corrections become negligible compared to the size of the error term in Theorem 1.1. As undressed trial state, we can thus take the Gibbs state of the ideal gas with an appropriate cutoff for the number of particles:

$$\tilde{G}^{\text{id}} = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} \leq \tilde{c}N\}} \exp(-\beta(d\Gamma(-\Delta - \tilde{\mu}_0)))}{\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N} \leq \tilde{c}N\}} \exp(-\beta(d\Gamma(-\Delta - \tilde{\mu}_0)))]},$$

where $\tilde{c} > 1$ is chosen independent of N . The chemical potential $\tilde{\mu}_0$ is uniquely determined by the condition $\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}[\mathcal{N}\tilde{G}^{\text{id}}] = N$. The final trial state $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is obtained by dressing \tilde{G}^{id} with the correlation structure F in the same way as we did in (2.14). Since the eigenfunctions of \tilde{G}^{id} can be chosen to be eigenfunctions of \mathcal{N} , it is easy to check that, in contrast to the previous regime, the correlation structure does not alter the expected number of particles in the trial state. Computing the free energy of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a straightforward replication of Sections 3 and 4 in a simplified setting. We therefore leave the details to the reader and only state the final result:

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \leq F_0(\beta, N) + 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N N^2 + CN^{11/18}. \quad (5.12)$$

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of (5.11) and (5.12). To see this, let us make the following remarks. Using Proposition 1.2 one easily checks that the minimum in (1.11) is attained by the first term if $N_0 \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the term on the second line of (1.11) is negative, it can be pulled out of the minimum in this parameter regime. If $N_0 \lesssim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$ this term can also be pulled out of the minimum, because it is bounded in absolute value by a constant times $N^{1/3+2\varepsilon}$, which is smaller than our remainder term if ε is chosen sufficiently small. Moreover, a short computation that uses Proposition 1.2 and the identity $\mu_0 = -\ln(1 + N_0^{-1})/\beta$ show that the condition $N_0 \lesssim N^{2/3+\varepsilon_0}$ with $\varepsilon_0 < 1/12$ implies

$$F^{\text{BEC}} - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N N_0^2 \geq F_0^{\text{BEC}} - \frac{\mu_0}{2} + \mathcal{O}(N^{1/2}). \quad (5.13)$$

Using additionally $\mu_0 < 0$, we thus find

$$\min\{F^{\text{BEC}} - 8\pi\mathfrak{a}_N N_0^2, F_0^{\text{BEC}}\} = F_0^{\text{BEC}} + \mathcal{O}(N^{1/2}).$$

This explains why it is sufficient to prove the bound for the trial state Γ only in the parameter regime $N_0 \gtrsim N^{2/3+\varepsilon_0}$, concluding our proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgments. A. D. gratefully acknowledges funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) through the Ambizione grant PZ00P2 185851. M. C. gratefully acknowledges support from the European Research Council through the ERC–AdG CLaQS. It is our pleasure to thank Chiara Boccatto, Phan Thành Nam, Marcin Napiórkowski, Alessandro Olgiati and Benjamin Schlein for inspiring discussions.

— APPENDIX —

A Properties of the solution to the scattering equation

In this appendix we recall some well-known properties of the solution $f(|x|)$ to the zero energy scattering equation and of $f_\ell(x)$ defined above and in (2.12), respectively. In the whole section we assume that V is a nonnegative measurable and radial function that vanishes outside the ball with radius $R > 0$. All results that we state without proof can be found in [40, Appendix C]³.

Let us introduce the energy functional

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell[\phi] = \int_{B_\ell} \left(|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}V_N(x)|\phi(x)|^2 \right) dx$$

³For general interaction potentials, the scattering equation in [40, Theorem C.1] has to be understood in the sense of quadratic forms and not in the sense of distributions as claimed. That is, functions used to test the equation should be elements of the form domain of the energy functional \mathcal{E}_R (notation from the reference). All proofs in the reference apply, with minor adjustments.

with $\ell > R$. The function f_ℓ is the unique minimizer of \mathcal{E}_ℓ among all H^1 functions ϕ satisfying the boundary condition $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| = \ell$, and its energy is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell[f_\ell] = \min_{\substack{\phi \in H^1(B_\ell) \\ \phi=1 \text{ on } \partial B_\ell}} \mathcal{E}_\ell[\phi] = \frac{4\pi\mathbf{a}_N}{1 - \mathbf{a}_N/\ell}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Here $\mathbf{a}_N < \ell$ is a positive number called the scattering length of the potential V_N . It is easy to see that, by scaling, $\mathbf{a}_N = N^{-1}\mathbf{a}$, where \mathbf{a} is the scattering length of the unscaled potential V .

The function $f(|x|)$ is monotonically non-decreasing in $|x|$, and it is bounded from above and from below by

$$1 \geq f(r) \geq 1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}_N}{r},$$

with equality in the lower bound for $r \geq R$. This, in particular, implies

$$1 \geq f_\ell(x) \geq \frac{(1 - \mathbf{a}_N/|x|)_+}{1 - \mathbf{a}_N/\ell} \geq (1 - \mathbf{a}_N/|x|)_+. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We also need the following integral bounds on f_ℓ .

Lemma A.1. *Let $u_\ell = 1 - f_\ell$. We have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\ell(x) dx \lesssim \mathbf{a}_N \ell^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\ell(x)^2 dx \lesssim \mathbf{a}_N^2 \ell, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla f_\ell(x)| dx \lesssim \mathbf{a}_N \ell. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Proof. With (A.2), we see that

$$0 \leq u_\ell(x) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \geq \ell, \\ 2\mathbf{a}_N/|x| & \mathbf{a}_N \leq |x| < \ell, \\ 1 & |x| < \mathbf{a}_N, \end{cases}$$

which implies the first two bounds in (A.3). The last bound follows from $f' \geq 0$ and one integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla f_\ell| &= \frac{4\pi}{f(\ell)} \int_0^\ell f'(r) r^2 dr = \frac{4\pi}{f(\ell)} \left[f(\ell) \ell^2 - 2 \int_0^\ell f(r) r dr \right] \\ &\leq 4\pi \ell^2 - 8\pi \int_0^\ell (1 - \mathbf{a}_N/r)_+ r dr = 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N \ell - 4\pi \mathbf{a}_N^2 \leq 8\pi \mathbf{a}_N \ell. \end{aligned}$$

To come to the second line, we additionally used (A.2). □

B Properties of the effective functional for the condensate

We recall the definition of ζ in (2.9) with the cutoff parameter \tilde{c} . The following is an adaptation of [13, Lemma C.1].

Lemma B.1. *We assume that $\tilde{c} > 2$ and consider the combined limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\beta = \kappa\beta_c$ with $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ and β_c in (1.8). Let $1 < \tilde{b} < \tilde{c}/2$ and choose a sequence $M = M(N) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 < M < bN$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a unique $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 \zeta(z) dz = M$, with ζ in (2.9). Moreover, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $c > 0$ such that:*

1. If $M \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, then

$$|\tilde{\mu} - 8\pi\alpha_N M| \lesssim e^{-cN^\varepsilon}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

2. If $M \lesssim N^{5/6-\varepsilon}$, then

$$\left| \tilde{\mu} + \frac{1}{\beta M} \right| \lesssim \frac{N^{-2\varepsilon}}{\beta M}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

3. For any $M = M(N)$, we have

$$|\tilde{\mu}| \lesssim \left(\frac{1}{M\beta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta N}} + \frac{M}{N} \right). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of [13, Lemma C.1], we compute

$$\sqrt{\beta h} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^2 dz = \frac{1 - \exp(-A^2 + 2A\eta) + \sqrt{\pi}\eta \exp(\eta^2) \operatorname{erf}[-\eta, A - \eta]}{\sqrt{\pi} \exp(\eta^2) \operatorname{erf}[-\eta, A - \eta]} =: \Upsilon(\eta), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $h = 4\pi\alpha_N$, $\eta = \tilde{\mu}\sqrt{\beta/(4h)}$, $A = \tilde{c}\sqrt{\beta h}N$ and

$$\operatorname{erf}[a, b] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_a^b e^{-t^2} dt$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. From [1, Eq. 7.1.21] we know that

$$2 \exp(x^2) \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} dt = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2x^3} + Q(x), \quad |Q(x)| \leq \frac{3}{4x^5}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

for $x > 0$.

Using (B.5) we find

$$\Upsilon(\eta) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\eta^2} + \eta Q(-\eta) - \eta \exp(-A^2 + 2A\eta) \left(\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{A-\eta} - \frac{1}{2(A-\eta)^3} + Q(A-\eta) \right)}{-\frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{2\eta^3} + Q(-\eta) - \exp(-A^2 + 2A\eta) \left(\frac{1}{A-\eta} - \frac{1}{2(A-\eta)^3} + Q(A-\eta) \right)} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

for $\eta < 0$. This, in particular, implies $\Upsilon(\eta) \rightarrow 0$ in the limit $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$, for fixed A . Moreover, a direct computation shows $\Upsilon(A/2) = A/2$. With

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) |z|^2 dz = \beta \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left(|z|^2 - \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(w) |w|^2 dw \right) \right)^2 dz > 0,$$

we conclude that for $0 < M < \tilde{b}N$ there exists a unique solution η to the equation $\sqrt{\beta h}M = \Upsilon(\eta)$. In the following we derive the asymptotic behavior of this solution for large N .

Let us first consider the case $M \lesssim N^{5/6-\varepsilon}$, where we have $\Upsilon(\eta) \lesssim N^{-\varepsilon}$. By comparing this to

$$\Upsilon(0) = \frac{1 - \exp(-A^2)}{2 \int_0^A e^{-t^2} dt} \rightarrow \pi^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } A \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and using the monotonicity of $\Upsilon(\eta)$, we see that $\eta < 0$, for sufficiently large N . Thus, it follows from (B.6) that $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and moreover

$$\sqrt{\beta h}M = -\frac{1}{2\eta} + \mathcal{O}(|\eta|^{-3}),$$

which immediately implies (B.2).

Let us now assume that $M \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$, in which case we have

$$\Upsilon(\eta) = \sqrt{\beta h} M \gtrsim N^\varepsilon. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

From the assumption $\tilde{b} < \tilde{c}/2$ we know that

$$\Upsilon(\eta) = \sqrt{\beta h} M < A/2 = \Upsilon(A/2).$$

In combination with (B.7) and the monotonicity of $\Upsilon(\cdot)$, this allows us to conclude that $0 < \eta < A/2$, for large N . An application of (B.5) shows

$$\Upsilon(\eta) = \eta + \frac{1 - e^{-A(A-2\eta)}}{2e^{\eta^2} \sqrt{\pi} - \frac{1}{\eta} + \frac{1}{2\eta^3} - Q(\eta) - e^{-A(A-2\eta)} \left(\frac{1}{A-\eta} - \frac{1}{2(A-\eta)^3} + Q(A-\eta) \right)}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

which together with (B.8) implies $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, provided $M \gtrsim N^{5/6+\varepsilon}$. Using again $\eta < A/2$ and (B.9), we see that

$$|\eta - \sqrt{\beta h} M| \lesssim \exp(-N^{2\varepsilon}),$$

which is (B.1).

Finally, the bound (B.3) follows from the asymptotics of Υ for $\eta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and the fact that if η is bounded, then $\tilde{\mu} \lesssim (\beta N)^{-1/2}$. \square

C Estimate of the expected number of particles in Γ

This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma 2.1. We start by showing (2.15) uniformly in $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$. We will often need to remove cutoffs from the expectation of observables on the Gibbs state \tilde{G}^{diag} , producing errors that are exponentially small in N . We will omit such errors because they can be absorbed in the remaining error bounds. Since the proof is analogous to that of Lemma 3.7 we only sketch it.

Using (2.69) and (3.23) without the restriction $(k, h) \neq (i, j)$ and expanding the relevant numerator and denominator, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] &= \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \int_{\Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(1)}(x) dx \int_{\Lambda^2} u_{12} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 dz \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^3} u_{12} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 + \mathcal{O}(N\ell^2 + N^3\ell^4). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

From (2.27) and (2.60) we know that

$$\int_{\Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(1)}(x) dx = \langle \phi_{z,\alpha}, \mathcal{N} \phi_{z,\alpha} \rangle = |z|^2 + N_\alpha + \sum_{p \in P_{\mathbb{B}}} v_p^2 \left(1 + \langle \Psi_\alpha, a_p^* a_p \Psi_\alpha \rangle \right). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Using (2.57), we see that the second term on the right-hand side of (C.1) equals

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \int_{\Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(1)}(x) dx \int_{\Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x, x) dx dz + \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(1)}, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_C^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \int_{\Lambda} \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(1)}(x) dx \int_{\Lambda^2} u_\ell(x_2 - x_1) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \nabla_2 \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_1 + t(x_2 - x_1)) dt dx_1 dx_2 dz. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

It follows from (2.57), (A.3), (C.2) and the cutoff in $\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_C^{(1)}| &\lesssim \mathfrak{a}_N N \ell^3 \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \tilde{\lambda}_\alpha \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in \Lambda} |\nabla_2 \varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x_1, x_2)| dz \\ &\lesssim \ell^3 \left(N^{3/2} (\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{K} \tilde{G}^{\text{diag}}])^{1/2} + N^{4\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (\beta^{-2} N^{2\delta_{\text{Bog}}} + 1) + N^{1+2\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (1 + \beta^{-1}) \right) \\ &\lesssim \ell^3 N^2 (\beta^{-1/2} + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

To come to the last line we also used the second bound in (2.32) and the assumption $\delta_{\text{Bog}} < 1/12$.

The same arguments used to prove (2.56) show

$$\varrho_{z,\alpha}^{(2)}(x, x) = |z|^4 + 4|z|^2 N_\alpha + 2N_\alpha(N_\alpha - 1) + \mathcal{O}\left((|z|^2 + N_\alpha)(N_\alpha^< + N^{\delta_{\text{Bog}}}) + N^{3\delta_{\text{Bog}}}(N_\alpha^< + 1)^2\right), \quad (\text{C.6})$$

uniformly in $x \in \Lambda$. This follows from the observation that, thanks to the translation invariance of the eigenstates Ψ_α , the phases in the expansion (2.59) drop out when $x_1 = x_2$. Inserting (C.6) into (C.3) and using (A.3), (C.2), (C.4), (C.5) and Corollary 2.9, we see that the second term on the right-hand side of (C.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ |z|^6 + 5|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}] + 6|z|^2 (\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}])^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}])^3 \right\} dz + \mathcal{O}\left(\ell^2 N^{1+\delta_{\text{Bog}}} (\beta^{-1} + 1) + \ell^3 N^2 (\beta^{-1/2} + 1) + \ell^4 N^3\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

As for the term on the second line of (C.1), it is easy to see, using Lemma 2.15, that

$$\int_{\Lambda^3} u_{12} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = \left(\int_{\Lambda^2} u_\ell \right) \int_{\Lambda^2} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 + \mathcal{O}(N^2 \ell^3 \beta^{-1/2}).$$

From here, using Wick's theorem as in the proof of (3.35) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda^3} u_{12} \varrho_{\Gamma_0}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}} u_\ell \right) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \left\{ |z|^6 + 5|z|^4 \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 6|z|^2 (\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}])^2 + 2(\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ G^{\text{diag}}])^3 \right\} dz + \mathcal{O}\left(N^2 \ell^3 \beta^{-1/2} + N \ell^2 \beta^{-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Inserting (C.7) and (C.8) into (C.1), we get (2.15). It remains to prove the existence statement of the lemma.

We denote

$$M(\tilde{\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^2 \zeta(z) dz$$

and observe that $\text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ \tilde{G}(z)]$ is independent of $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and that

$$\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N} \tilde{\Gamma}_0] = M(\tilde{\mu}) + \text{Tr}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_+} [\mathcal{N}_+ \tilde{G}(z)]$$

holds. Using Lemmas 2.7, 2.10, 2.12 and Equation (2.54), it is easy to see that

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}_+}[\mathcal{N}_+ \tilde{G}(z)] - N + N_0 \right| \lesssim \frac{N_0}{N\beta} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2}.$$

We can thus write

$$\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] - N = M(\tilde{\mu}) - N_0 + \left(\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\tilde{\Gamma}_0] - \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{N_0}{N\beta} + \frac{N_0^2}{N^2} \right),$$

which, for $\beta \gtrsim \beta_c$, $\delta_{\text{Bog}} < 1/12$ and $\ell \leq cN^{-7/12}$, for some sufficiently small $c > 0$, yields

$$M(\tilde{\mu}) - N_0 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + C \frac{N_0}{N} \right) N^{2/3} \leq \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] - N \leq M(\tilde{\mu}) - N_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + C \frac{N_0}{N} \right) N^{2/3}, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of $\tilde{\mu}$ and N . From the proof of Lemma B.1 we know that

$$\lim_{\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow -\infty} M(\tilde{\mu}) = 0, \quad \lim_{\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow +\infty} M(\tilde{\mu}) = \tilde{c}N, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

for fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Equations (C.9), (C.10) and the assumption $N_0 \geq N^{2/3}$ imply that $\text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] - N$ takes positive and negative values as a function of $\tilde{\mu}$, for fixed N large enough. The claim now follows from the continuity of the map

$$\tilde{\mu} \mapsto \text{Tr}[\mathcal{N}\Gamma] = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \zeta(z) \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_\alpha}{\|F\phi_{z,\alpha}\|^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_{\Lambda^n} |(F_n \phi_{z,\alpha}^n)|^2 dX^n dz,$$

which is a consequence of the dominated convergence theorem. \square

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Vol. 55. Dover Publications, 1972.
- [2] A. Adhikari, C. Brennecke, and B. Schlein. “Bose–Einstein condensation beyond the Gross–Pitaevskii regime”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 22 (2021), p. 1163.
- [3] J. Agerskov, R. Reuvers, and J. P. Solovej. “Ground state energy of dilute Bose gases in 1D”. 2022. ArXiv preprint arXiv.2203.17183.
- [4] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell. “Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor”. In: *Science* 269.5221 (1995), pp. 198–201.
- [5] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, A. Olgiati, G. Pasqualetti, and B. Schlein. “A Second Order Upper Bound for the Ground State Energy of a Hard-Sphere Gas in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (2022), pp. 1–55.
- [6] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, A. Olgiati, G. Pasqualetti, and B. Schlein. “A Second Order Upper Bound for the Ground State Energy of a Hard-Sphere Gas in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 399.1 (2023), p. 1.
- [7] G. Basti, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “A new second-order upper bound for the ground state energy of dilute Bose gases”. In: *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* 9 (2021), e74.

- [8] F. A. Berezin. “Covariant and contraqvariant symbols of operators”. In: *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* 6.5 (1972), p. 1117.
- [9] F. A. Berezin. “General concept of quantization”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 40.2 (1975), pp. 153–174.
- [10] C. Boccato, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “Bogoliubov theory in the Gross–Pitaevskii limit”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 222.2 (2019), p. 219.
- [11] C. Boccato, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “Optimal Rate for Bose–Einstein Condensation in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 376.2 (2020), p. 1311.
- [12] C. Boccato, C. Brennecke, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “The excitation spectrum of Bose gases interacting through singular potentials”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 22.7 (2020), p. 2331.
- [13] C. Boccato, A. Deuchert, and D. Stocker. “Upper bound for the grand canonical free energy of the Bose gas in the Gross–Pitaevskii limit”. 2023. Arxiv preprint arXiv:2305.19173v4.
- [14] C. Boccato and R. Seiringer. “The Bose Gas in a Box with Neumann Boundary Conditions”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 24.5 (2023), p. 1505.
- [15] N. N. Bogoliubov. “On the theory of superfluidity”. In: *Journal of Physics (USSR)* 11 (1947), p. 23.
- [16] C. Brennecke, M. Caporaletti, and B. Schlein. “Excitation spectrum of Bose gases beyond the Gross–Pitaevskii regime”. In: *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* 34.09 (2022), p. 2250027.
- [17] C. Brennecke and B. Schlein. “Gross–Pitaevskii dynamics for Bose–Einstein condensates”. In: *Analysis and PDE* 12.6 (2019), pp. 1513–1596.
- [18] C. Brennecke, B. Schlein, and S. Schraven. “Bogoliubov theory for trapped bosons in the Gross–Pitaevskii regime”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 23.5 (2022), p. 1583.
- [19] C. Brennecke, B. Schlein, and S. Schraven. “Bose–Einstein Condensation with Optimal Rate for Trapped Bosons in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry* 25.2 (2022), p. 12.
- [20] E. Buffet and J. V. Pulè. “Fluctuation properties of the imperfect Bose gas”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 24.6 (1983), p. 1608.
- [21] C. Caraci, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “Bose–Einstein Condensation for Two Dimensional Bosons in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 183.3 (2021), p. 39.
- [22] C. Caraci, S. Cenatiempo, and B. Schlein. “The Excitation Spectrum of Two-Dimensional Bose Gases in the Gross–Pitaevskii Regime”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 24.8 (2023), p. 2877.
- [23] S. Chatterjee and P. Diaconis. “Fluctuations of the Bose–Einstein condensate”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 47.8 (2014), p. 085201.
- [24] E. B. Davies. “The thermodynamic limit for an imperfect Boson gas”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 28.1 (1972), p. 69.
- [25] K. B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle. “Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Gas of Sodium Atoms”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 75.22 (1995), p. 3969.
- [26] A. Deuchert, S. Mayer, and R. Seiringer. “The free energy of the dilute two-dimensional Bose gas. I. Lower bound”. In: *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* 8 (2020), e20.

- [27] A. Deuchert and R. Seiringer. “Gross–Pitaevskii Limit of a Homogeneous Bose Gas at Positive Temperature”. In: *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 236.3 (2020), p. 1217.
- [28] A. Deuchert, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason. “Bose–Einstein Condensation in a Dilute, Trapped Gas at Positive Temperature”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 368.2 (2019), p. 723.
- [29] F. J. Dyson. “Ground-State Energy of a Hard-Sphere Gas”. In: *Physical Review* 106.1 (1957), p. 20.
- [30] L. Erdős, B. Schlein, and H.-T. Yau. “Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the dynamics of Bose–Einstein condensate”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 172 (2010), p. 291.
- [31] S. Fournais, T. Girardot, L. Junge, L. Morin, and M. Olivieri. “The Ground State Energy of a Two-Dimensional Bose Gas”. 2022. Arxiv preprint arXiv:2206.11100v2.
- [32] S. Fournais and J. P. Solovej. “The energy of dilute Bose gases”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 192.3 (2020), p. 893.
- [33] S. Fournais and J. P. Solovej. “The energy of dilute Bose gases II: the general case”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 232.2 (2023), p. 863.
- [34] F. Haberberger, C. Hainzl, P. T. Nam, R. Seiringer, and A. Triay. “The free energy of dilute Bose gases at low temperatures”. 2023. ArXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02405.
- [35] R. Jastrow. “Many-Body Problem with Strong Forces”. In: *Physical Review* 98 (1955), p. 1479.
- [36] T. D. Lee, K. Huang, and C. N. Yang. “Eigenvalues and Eigenfunctions of a Bose System of Hard Spheres and Its Low-Temperature Properties”. In: *Physical Review* 106.6 (1957), p. 1135.
- [37] E. H. Lieb. “The classical limit of quantum spin systems”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 31.4 (1973), p. 327.
- [38] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. “Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii Equation for Rotating Bose Gases”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 264.2 (2006), p. 505.
- [39] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer. “Proof of Bose-Einstein Condensation for Dilute Trapped Gases”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 88.17 (2002), p. 170409.
- [40] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, J. P. Solovej, and J. Yngvason. *The mathematics of the Bose gas and its condensation*. Oberwolfach Seminars. Birkhäuser Basel, 2006.
- [41] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason. “Bosons in a trap: A rigorous derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional”. In: *Physical Review A* 61.4 (2000), p. 043602.
- [42] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason. “Justification of c -Number Substitutions in Bosonic Hamiltonians”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 94.8 (2005), p. 080401.
- [43] E. H. Lieb, R. Seiringer, and J. Yngvason. “Superfluidity in dilute trapped Bose gases”. In: *Physical Review B* 66.13 (2002), p. 134529.
- [44] E. H. Lieb and J. Yngvason. “Ground State Energy of the Low Density Bose Gas”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 80.12 (1998), p. 2504.
- [45] E. H. Lieb and J. Yngvason. “The Ground State Energy of a Dilute Two-Dimensional Bose Gas”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 103.3 (2001), p. 509.
- [46] S. Mayer and R. Seiringer. “The free energy of the two-dimensional dilute Bose gas. II. Upper bound”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 61.6 (2020), p. 061901.
- [47] P. T. Nam, N. Rougerie, and R. Seiringer. “Ground states of large bosonic systems: the Gross–Pitaevskii limit revisited”. In: *Analysis and PDE* 9.2 (2016), p. 459.

- [48] M. Napiórkowski, R. Reuvers, and J. P. Solovej. “The Bogoliubov Free Energy Functional II: The Dilute Limit”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 360.1 (2018), p. 347.
- [49] Julian Schmitt, Tobias Damm, David Dung, Frank Vewinger, Jan Klaers, and Martin Weitz. “Observation of Grand-Canonical Number Statistics in a Photon Bose-Einstein Condensate”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 112.3 (2014), p. 030401.
- [50] R. Seiringer. “Free Energy of a Dilute Bose Gas: Lower Bound”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 279.3 (2008), p. 595.
- [51] R. Seiringer. “Ground state asymptotics of a dilute, rotating gas”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 36.37 (2003), p. 9755.
- [52] R. Seiringer. “The Thermodynamic Pressure of a Dilute Fermi Gas”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 279 (2006), p. 729.
- [53] E. C. I. van der Wurff, A.-W. de Leeuw, R. A. Duine, and H. T. C. Stoof. “Interaction Effects on Number Fluctuations in a Bose-Einstein Condensate of Light”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 113.13 (2014), p. 135301.
- [54] H.-T. Yau and J. Yin. “The Second Order Upper Bound for the Ground Energy of a Bose Gas”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 136.3 (2009), p. 453.
- [55] J. Yin. “Free Energies of Dilute Bose Gases: Upper Bound”. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 141.4 (2010), p. 683.

(Marco Caporaletti) Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich
 Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
 E-mail address: marco.caporaletti@math.uzh.ch

(Andreas Deuchert) Institute of Mathematics, University of Zurich
 Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
 E-mail address: andreas.deuchert@math.uzh.ch