

# Spectral representation of two-sided signals from $\ell_\infty$ and applications to signal processing

Nikolai Dokuchaev

Submitted: October 16, 2023. Revised: June 4, 2024

## Abstract

The paper studies spectral representation as well as predictability and recoverability problems for non-vanishing discrete time signals from  $\ell_\infty$ , i.e. for bounded discrete time signals, including signals that do not vanish at  $\pm\infty$ . The extends the notions of transfer functions, the spectrum gaps, bandlimitness, and filters, on these general type signals. Some frequency conditions of predictability and data recoverability are presented, and some recovery methods and predictors have been suggested.

**Key words:** non-vanishing signals, spectral representation, transfer functions, data recovery, predictors

## 1 Introduction

The most important tools used for signal processing and system theory are based on the representation of signal processes in the frequency domain. This includes, in particular, the notions of transfer functions, spectrum gaps, filters, conditions of predictability and data recoverability. For the continuous time processes  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ , the spectrum representation is via the Fourier transform for two-sided processes vanishing as  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$  and via the Laplace transform for one-sided processes being zero on a half of the time axis but not necessarily vanishing on the other half of the time axis. A similar situation is for the discrete time processes  $\{x(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  and their spectrum representation via Z-transform. For two-sided processes vanishing sufficiently fast on  $\pm\infty$  such as processes from  $\ell_2$ , this Z-transform is well defined on the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . For one-sided processes  $\{x(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  from  $\ell_\infty$ , i.e. such that either  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  or  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t > 0$ , one can apply Z-transform defined in some open domains with circular boundaries either outside or inside of the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . In this case, the signals they do not have

to vanish on the other half of the time axis. For the special case of bounded one-sided processes  $\{x(t)\}_{t=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ , i.e. such that either  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t < 0$  or  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t > 0$ , this Z-transform is defined either in the domain  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$  or in the domain  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| > 1\}$ .

It can be observed that any signal from  $\ell_\infty$  can be modified to a signal from  $\ell_1$  without any loss of information, for example, by replacement  $x(t)$  by  $e^{-|t|}x(t)$ . However, at least for the case of signals from  $\ell_2$ , these damping transformations represent the convolutions on the circle  $\{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$  in the frequency domain, with smoothing kernels. Unfortunately, these transformations would remove spectrum degeneracies commonly exploited in data recovery and prediction for signal processing. For the general type two-sided processes from  $\ell_\infty$ , one could expect a similar impact of the damping transformations on the spectrum. This could be inconvenient, since it imposes undesirable restrictions on the underlying models. This is our motivation for studying spectral representation for general type non-vanishing bounded signals.

Formally, the spectral representation for bounded non-vanishing discrete time signals is defined as Fourier transforms for pseudo-measures on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  were represented as elements of  $\ell_\infty$ ; see Chapter III in [5]. However, this definition didn't lead so far to frequency based notions and methods such as filtering, predicting, and data recovery, for non-vanishing signals. The paper suggests more constructive definition of spectral representation for non-vanishing signals. Based on this definition, the paper extends the notions of transfer functions, spectrum gaps, and filters, on these general type signals (Section 3). This allowed to obtain some frequency conditions of predictability and data recoverability for non-vanishing signals with spectrum degeneracy (see Section 4).

It can be noted the a similar approach was developed for spectral representation and predicting of non-vanishing bounded continuous time signals in [3]. This spectral representation was applied for the sampling problem and interpolation formula in [4].

## Some notations

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$ , be the set of all integer, real, and complex numbers, respectively.

Let  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| > 1\}$ , and  $\bar{\mathbb{D}} := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \geq 1\}$ .

We denote by  $\ell_\infty$  the set of all processes (signals)  $x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , such that  $\|x\|_{\ell_\infty} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x(t)| < +\infty$ .

For  $r \in [1, \infty)$ , we denote by  $\ell_r$  the set of all processes (signals)  $x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , such that  $\|x\|_{\ell_r} := (\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^r)^{1/r} < +\infty$ .

Let  $C([-\pi, \pi])$  be the standard linear space of continuous functions  $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  with the uniform norm  $\|f\|_C := \sup_\omega |f(\omega)|$ .

Let  $W_2^p(-\pi, \pi)$  denote the Sobolev space of functions  $f : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  that belong to  $L_2(-\pi, \pi)$  together with the distributional derivatives up to the first order, and such that  $f(-\pi) = f(\pi)$ .

We denote by  $\mathbb{I}$  the indicator function.

## 2 Spectral representation of processes from $\ell_\infty$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the space of functions  $f \in C([-\pi, \pi])$  with the finite norm  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}_k|$ , where  $\widehat{f}_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\omega s} f(s) ds$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the space of absolutely convergent Fourier series on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . By the choice of its norm, this is a separable Banach space that is isomorphic to  $\ell_1$ .

Clearly, the embeddings  $\mathcal{A} \subset C([-\pi, \pi])$  is continuous. It can be noted that there are functions in  $C([-\pi, \pi])$  that do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ ; see, e.g., [6], p.113.

We assume that each  $X \in L_1([-\pi, \pi])$  represents an element of the dual space  $C([-\pi, \pi])^*$  such that  $\langle X, f \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(\omega) f(\omega) d\omega$  for  $f \in C([-\pi, \pi])$ . We will use the same notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  for the extension of this bilinear form on  $\mathcal{A}^* \times \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 1** *i. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $h = fg \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

*ii. The embedding  $W_2^p(-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathcal{A}$  is continuous.*

It follows that the embeddings

$$\mathcal{A} \subset C([-\pi, \pi]) \subset L_1([-\pi, \pi]), \quad L_1([-\pi, \pi])^* \subset C([-\pi, \pi])^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$$

are continuous.

The space  $\mathcal{A}$  and its dual  $\mathcal{A}^*$  can be used to define formally a spectral representation for  $x \in \ell_\infty$  via  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $\langle X, f \rangle = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} x(k) \widehat{f}_k$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\{\widehat{f}_k\} \in \ell_1$  is the series of the Fourier coefficients for  $f$ , similarly to Chapter III in [5], where the Fourier transforms for pseudo-measures on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  were represented as elements of  $\ell_\infty$ . For the continuous time signals, a definition of the Fourier transform via a similar duality is given in Chapter VI in [6]. However, for the purposes related to the problems of recoverability and prediction of digital signals, we will need a straightforward definition based on the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** *For any  $x \in \ell_\infty$ , there exists a weak\* limit  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  of the sequence of functions  $X_m(\omega) := \sum_{t=-m}^m e^{-i\omega t} x(t)$  defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . This  $X$  is such that  $\|X\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} = \|x\|_\infty$  and that  $\langle X, f \rangle = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} x(k) \widehat{f}_k$ , where  $\{\widehat{f}_k\} \in \ell_1$  is the series of the Fourier coefficients for  $f$ .*

It can be noted that, in the lemma above,  $X_m \in L_1([-\pi, \pi]) \subset C([-\pi, \pi])^* \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ .

We define a mapping  $\mathcal{F} : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  such that  $X = \mathcal{F}x$  for  $x \in \ell_\infty$  is the limit in  $\mathcal{A}^*$  introduced in Lemma 1. By Lemma 1, this mapping is linear and continuous.

Further, define a mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  such that

$$x(t) = \langle X, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle \quad \text{for } x = \mathcal{G}X, \quad X \in \mathcal{A}^*, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Clearly, the operator  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is linear and continuous. In addition, for  $x = \mathcal{G}X$  and  $x_m := \mathcal{G}X_m$ , we have that  $x_m(t) = x(t)\mathbb{1}_{|t| \leq m}$ .

**Theorem 1** *The mappings  $\mathcal{F} : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  are continuous isometric bijections such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ .*

Based on this, we will write  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  instead of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Remark 1** *The space  $\mathcal{A}$  was selected by the following reasons:*

- i. it is wide enough, with weak enough topology, to embed the set of functions  $\{e^{i \cdot t}\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in its unit ball, and*
- ii. it is tiny enough, with strong enough topology, to ensure that Lemma 1 holds.*

Further, we say that  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  is real valued (imaginary valued) if  $\langle X, f \rangle$  is real (or imaginary) for any real valued  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . Clearly, any  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  allows a unique representation  $X = \bar{X} + i\tilde{X}$ , where  $\bar{X}, \tilde{X} \in \mathcal{A}^*$  are real. We will use notations  $\text{Re } X$  for  $\bar{X}$  and  $\text{Im } X$  for  $\tilde{X}$ .

We say that a real valued  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  is odd (even) if  $\langle X, f \rangle = 0$  for any real valued odd (even)  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It is easy to show that if  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  is real valued then  $\text{Re } X$  is even and  $\text{Im } X$  is odd; if  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  is real valued and even then  $\text{Im } X = 0$ .

### 3 Applications for signal processing

The spectral representation introduced above allows to extend some standard tools of signal processing on the case of signals from  $\ell_\infty$ . In particular, it allows to characterise signals from  $\ell_\infty$  featuring spectral gaps, such as band limited processes. Also, it supports implementation of important tools such as transfer functions and low-pass filter, and it helps to obtain predicting and data recovery algorithms for these signals.

### 3.1 Transfer functions

The existing theory does not consider transfer functions applied to the general type two-sided processes  $x(t)$  from  $\ell_\infty$  that do not vanish  $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . The suggested above spectral representation of  $x \in \ell_\infty$  via elements from  $\mathcal{A}^*$  allows to implement the transfer functions for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$ .

**Definition 1** *Let  $H : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that the function  $H(e^{i\cdot})$  defined on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we say that  $H(e^{i\cdot})$  is a transfer function on  $\ell_\infty$ . For  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $X = \mathcal{F}x$ , we define  $\widehat{X} = HX \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\widehat{x} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{X}$  such that  $\langle \widehat{X}, f \rangle := \langle X, H(e^{i\cdot})f \rangle$ .*

It can be noted that, by Proposition 1(i), for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $H(e^{i\cdot})f \in \mathcal{A}$  as well. Hence  $\widehat{X} = HX$  is well defined as an element of  $\mathcal{A}^*$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $H : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $H(e^{i\cdot}) \in \mathcal{A}$ , and let  $H(e^{i\omega}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_k e^{i\omega k}$ , where  $\{\widehat{h}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_1$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\widehat{X} = HX$ , and  $\widehat{x} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{X}$ . Then*

$$\widehat{x}(t) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_{t-q} x(q).$$

*The series absolutely converges uniformly over any bounded set of  $x \in \ell_\infty$ .*

### 3.2 Spectrum degeneracy

The spectral representation introduced above allows to describe signals from  $\ell_\infty$  featuring spectral gaps, such as band limited processes, as well as weaker types of spectrum degeneracy.

**Definition 2** *(i) For a Borel measurable set  $D \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  with non-empty interior, let  $x \in \ell_\infty$  be such that  $\langle \mathcal{F}x, f \rangle = 0$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f|_{[-\pi, \pi] \setminus D} \equiv 0$ . In this case, we say that  $D$  is a spectral gap of  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and of  $X = \mathcal{F}x$ .*

*(ii) Let  $\Gamma$  be a set. Assume that a function  $G : \mathbf{R} \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $G(\cdot, g) \in \mathcal{A}$  for each  $g \in \Gamma$ , and  $\sup_{g \in \Gamma} \|G(\cdot, g)\|_{\mathcal{A}} = +\infty$ . Let  $x \in \ell_\infty$  be such that  $\sup_{g \in \Gamma} \|G(\cdot, g)X\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} < +\infty$ . Then we say that the signal  $x$  features spectrum degeneracy that compensates  $G$ .*

**Example 1** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $\Gamma = (0, 1)$ , and  $G(\omega, \nu) = (|\omega|^r + \nu)^{-1}$ . Let  $x \in \ell_1 \cap \ell_\infty$  be such that  $\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]} |X(\omega)|/G(\omega, 0) < +\infty$  for  $X = \mathcal{F}x$ . Then  $x$  features spectrum degeneracy that compensates  $G$ .*

In the example above,  $G(\cdot, \nu) \in W_2^1$   $([-\pi, \pi])$ , hence  $G(\cdot, \nu) \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $\nu > 0$ . The corresponding spectrum degeneracy is a single point spectrum degeneracy.

### 3.3 Filters

Unfortunately, the ideal low-pass and high-pass filters with rectangle profile of the transfer function do not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence they are not covered by Definition 1 of the transfer functions applicable to signals from  $\ell_\infty$ . However, some approximations of these ideal filters can be achieved with trapezoid response functions from  $\mathcal{A}$ . For example, let

$$H_{p,q}(e^{i\omega}) := \mathbb{I}_{\{\omega \in [-p,p]\}} + \frac{q-\omega}{q-p} \mathbb{I}_{\{\omega \in (p,q]\}} + \frac{q+\omega}{q-p} \mathbb{I}_{\{\omega \in [-q,-p]\}},$$

where  $0 < p < q < \pi$ . Since  $W_2^p(-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathcal{A}$ , the functions  $H_{p,q}(e^{i\cdot})$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , hence they are admissible transfer functions on  $\ell_\infty$ . Clearly, for any  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $X = \mathcal{F}x$ , we have that  $H_{p,q}X$  has a spectral gap on  $(-\pi, -q) \cup (q, \pi)$ ; in this sense, the filtered process  $\hat{x} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(H_{p,q}X)$  is band-limited.

For  $q \rightarrow p+$ , these functions approximate the ideal low pass filter with the pass interval  $(-p, p)$ , i.e. with the rectangular transfer function  $H_{p,p}(e^{i\omega}) = \mathbb{I}_{\{\omega \in [-p,p]\}}$ . As was mentioned above,  $H_{p,p}(e^{i\cdot}) \notin \mathcal{A}$ , hence it does not represent a transfer function that is applicable for signals from  $\ell_\infty$ .

**Remark 2** For any  $p > 0$  and  $q > p$  and for  $\Gamma = \{\nu : \nu > 0\}$ , each signal  $x$  with a spectrum gap  $[-q, q]$  features spectrum degeneracy that compensates  $G(\omega, p, q) = \nu H_{p,q}(\omega)$ .

### 3.4 Causal transfer functions

**Definition 3** We say that a transfer function  $H$  on  $\ell_\infty$  such as described in Definition 1 is causal if, for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any  $x \in \ell_\infty$  such that if  $x(t) = 0$  for all  $t \leq \tau$  then  $\hat{x}(\tau) = 0$ , where  $\hat{x} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{X} = HX$ .

It can be noted that, in the definition above,  $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ .

**Theorem 2** Assume that a function  $H : \bar{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , and that  $H(e^{i\cdot}) \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $H(e^{i\cdot})$  is a transfer function on  $\ell_\infty$  that is causal in the sense of Definition 3.

## 4 Applications for data recovery and prediction problems

We consider the task of recovering of non-observed values  $x(t_k)|_{k \in \mathcal{M}}$  from the observed values  $x(t_k)|_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$  for signals from certain subsets of  $\ell_\infty$ .

## 4.1 Recovery of a finite set of missed values

Let  $D \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  be a Borel set with non-empty interior. Let  $\mathcal{V}(D)$  (or  $\mathcal{V}_R(D)$ , or  $\mathcal{V}_I(D)$ ) be the set of all signals  $x \in \ell_\infty$  such that  $x = x_v + x_s$ , where

- $D$  is a spectral gap for  $X_v = \mathcal{F}x_v$  (or for  $\text{Re } X_v$ , or for  $\text{Im } X_v$ , respectively);
- $X_s = \mathcal{F}x_s$  is such that  $X_s \in C([-\pi, \pi])^*$ , and this  $X_s$  is represented by a Radon measure on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  that is singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

In particular, the corresponding signals include  $x_s$  include signals  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k e^{i\omega_k t}$  for all  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}^\infty \in \ell_1$ . In this case,  $X_s(\cdot) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_k \delta(\cdot - \omega_k)$ , where  $\delta(\cdot - \omega_k)$  are delta-functions, i.e.  $\langle \delta(\cdot - \omega_k), f \rangle = f(\omega_k)$  for  $f \in C([-\pi, \pi])$ .

Clearly,  $\mathcal{V}(D) \subset \mathcal{V}_R(D) \cap \mathcal{V}_I(D)$ .

**Theorem 3** *For any Borel set  $D \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  set with non-empty interior, for any finite set  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , the values  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{V}_R(D) \cup \mathcal{V}_I(D)$  are uniquely defined by the values  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$ .*

As far as we know, the impact on the recoverability of the degeneracy featured only by the real (imaginary) part of the signal spectrum has not been presented in the existing literature.

Formally, Theorem 3 implies a method of recovery for  $x|_{\mathcal{M}}$  since the trigonometric polynomial  $X_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} e^{-it\omega} x(t)$  is observable on  $D$  in the following sense: for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  supported on  $D$ , we have that  $\langle X_{\mathcal{M}}, f \rangle = -\langle X_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}, f \rangle$ , where  $X_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{I}_{\notin \mathcal{M}} x(\cdot))$ . However, this would require to calculate  $X_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$ , which seems to be numerically challenging. The following theorem gives an alternative approach based on implementation of explicitly given causal transfer functions and applicable for signals from a more narrow class  $\mathcal{V}(D)$ .

## 4.2 Predicting problem

Let  $c > 0$  and  $q > 1$  be given. For  $\hat{\omega} \in (-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\nu \in (0, 1)$ , let

$$G(\omega, \hat{\omega}, \nu) := \exp \frac{c}{|e^{i\omega} - e^{i\hat{\omega}}|^q + \nu}.$$

The functions  $G(\cdot, \hat{\omega}, \nu) \in W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)$  for any  $\hat{\omega}$  and  $\nu$ . Hence they belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ . In addition, we have that  $\|G(\cdot, \hat{\omega}, \nu)\|_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\omega}}$  be the set of all processes  $x \in \ell_\infty$  with a single point spectrum degeneracy at  $\hat{\omega}$  compensating  $G$ , i.e., such that

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\omega}}} := \sup_{\nu \in (0, 1)} \|XG(\cdot, \hat{\omega}, \nu)\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} < +\infty, \quad X = \mathcal{F}x.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\omega}}$  be the set of all processes  $x \in L_{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  such that

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\omega}}} := \sup_{\nu \in (0,1)} \|XG(\cdot, \widehat{\omega}, \nu)\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} < +\infty, \quad X = \mathcal{F}x.$$

We consider  $\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\omega}}$  as a linear normed space with the corresponding norm.

In particular, this set included all processes with the any spectral gap  $D \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  with non-empty interior such that  $e^{i\widehat{\omega}}$  belongs to the interior of the arc  $\{e^{i\omega}\}_{\omega \in D}$ .

Let  $r \in (0, 1)$  and be given. For all  $\gamma > 0$ , define

$$H_{\gamma}(z) := z \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{\gamma}{z + 1 - \gamma^{-r}} \right] \right). \quad (1)$$

We have that  $H_{\gamma}(e^{i\cdot}) \in W_2^1(-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 4** *The functions  $\{H_{\gamma}(e^{i\cdot})\}_{\gamma > 0} \subset \mathcal{A}$  are causal transfer functions defined on  $\ell_{\infty}$  such that, for any  $\widehat{\omega} \in (-\pi, \pi]$ , there exists  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |x(t+1) - \widehat{x}_{\gamma}(t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \gamma \geq \bar{\gamma}$$

for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\omega}}$  such that  $\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}_{\widehat{\omega}}} \leq 1$ . Here

$$\widehat{x}_{\gamma}(t) = e^{i(\widehat{\omega}-\pi)t} \sum_{s=-\infty}^t h_{\gamma}(t-s) e^{i(\pi-\widehat{\omega})s} x(s), \quad h_{\gamma} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} H_{\gamma}.$$

It will be shown below that the functions  $H_{\gamma}$  approximate  $e^{i\omega}$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  for  $\omega \in (-\pi, \pi)$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ , i.e., they represent a one-step predictor.

These predictors were introduced in [1]. In [2], some numerical experiments for these predictors have been described, in particular, with different choices with choice of  $r$ .

### 4.3 Recoverability in the case of unknown spectral gap

For  $\Omega > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(\Omega)$  (or  $\mathcal{U}_R(\Omega)$ , or  $\mathcal{U}_I(\Omega)$ ) be the set of all signals  $x \in \ell_{\infty}$  such that, for each  $x$ , there exists a Borel measurable set  $D = D(x(\cdot)) \subset [-\pi, \pi]$  such that  $\text{mes } D \geq \Omega$  and  $x \in \mathcal{V}(D)$  (or  $\mathcal{V}_R(D)$ , or  $\mathcal{V}_I(D)$ , respectively). Clearly,  $\mathcal{U}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{U}_R(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{U}_I(\Omega)$ .

Let  $[r]$  denotes the integer part of  $r > 0$ , and  $|\mathcal{M}|$  denotes the number of elements of a set  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Theorem 5** *For any finite set  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{U}_R(\Omega) \cup \mathcal{U}_I(\Omega)$ , for a given set of observations  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$ , the number of possible different ordered sets  $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  cannot exceed  $N := [2\pi/\Omega]$ .*

It can be noted that, in Theorem 5, the estimate for the possible number of values in  $\mathbf{C}^{|\mathcal{M}|}$  for the non-observable vector  $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  does not depend on  $\mathcal{M}$  or  $|\mathcal{M}|$ .

In particular, if  $\Omega > \pi/2$  then the vector  $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  is uniquely defined by the observations  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$ . If  $\Omega > \pi/4$  then the vector  $\{x(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  can take no more than two possible values in  $\mathbf{C}^M$  for any given set of the observations  $x(t)|_{t \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}}$ .

## 5 Proofs

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Let  $\hat{f}_k, \hat{g}_k,$  and  $\hat{h}_k,$  be the Fourier coefficients for  $f, g,$  and  $h.$

We have that

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{h}_k| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_{k-d} \hat{g}_d \right| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_{k-d}| |\hat{g}_d| = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}_d| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_{k-d}| = \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

This proves statement (i).

Further, let  $f \in W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)$ . We have that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2) |\hat{f}_k|^2 \leq C \|f\|_{W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)}^2$$

for some  $C > 0$  independent on  $f$ . Further, we have that  $df(\omega)/d\omega \in L_2(-\pi, \pi)$  and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_k| \leq \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2) |\hat{f}_k|^2 \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2)^{-1} \right)^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_{W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2)^{-1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

This proves statement (ii).  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 1.* Let  $U_{\mathcal{A}} := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1\}$ . For any  $f \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ , we have that

$$|\langle X_m, f \rangle| = \left| \sum_{t=-m}^m x(t) \hat{f}_t \right| \leq \max_{t: |t| \leq m} |x(t)| \sum_{t=-m}^m |\hat{f}_t| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Here  $\hat{f}_t$  are the Fourier coefficients for  $f$ .

For  $r > 0$ , let  $P(r) := \{X \in \mathcal{A}^* : |\langle X, f \rangle| \leq r \ \forall f \in U_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ . We have that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a separable Banach space. By the Banach–Alaoglu theorem,  $P(r)$  is sequentially compact in the weak\* topology of the dual space  $\mathcal{A}^*$  for any  $r > 0$ ; see, e.g., Theorem 3.17 [7], p.68. Hence there exists a sequence of positive integers  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$  such that the subsequence  $\{X_{m_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of the sequence  $\{X_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset P(\|x\|_\infty)$  has a weak\* limit in  $X \in P(\|x\|_\infty)$ .

Further, for any  $f \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  and any integers  $n > m > 0$ , we have that

$$|\langle X_m - X_n, f \rangle| = \left| \sum_{t: m \leq |t| \leq n} x(t) \hat{f}_t \right| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \sum_{t: m \leq |t| \leq n} |\hat{f}_t| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (2)$$

Let us prove that the original sequence  $\{X_m\}$  also has a weak\* limit  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}^*$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be given. Let us show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N = N(f, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$|\langle X_m - X, f \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall k \geq N. \quad (3)$$

Since  $X$  is a weak\* limit of the subsequence  $\{X_{m_k}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ , and by the property (2), it follows that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N = N(f, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle X_{m_k} - X, f \rangle| &\leq \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall k \geq N, \\ |\langle X_m - X_{m_k}, f \rangle| &\leq \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall k \geq N, \quad \forall m > m_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3) holds. Hence the sequence  $\{X_m\}$  has the same as  $\{X_{m_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  weak\* limit  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}^*$  that belongs to  $P(\|x\|_\infty)$ , i.e.,  $|\langle X, f \rangle| \leq \|x\|_\infty$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1$ .

Furthermore, let a sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t_k)| = \|x\|_\infty$ . Consider functions  $f_k(\omega) = e^{i\omega t_k}$ ; they belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\|f_k\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$  for all  $k$ . We have that  $|\langle X, f_k \rangle| = |x(t_k)| \rightarrow \|x\|_\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence  $\sup_k |\langle X, f_k \rangle| \geq \|x\|_\infty$ . It follows that the operator norm  $\|X\|_{\mathcal{A}^*}$  is  $\|x\|_\infty$ . The proof that  $\langle X, f \rangle = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} x(t) \widehat{f}_t$  is straightforward. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We have that the mappings  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{F} : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  are linear and continuous.

Let us show that the mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is injective, i.e. that

$$\text{if } x = \mathcal{G}(X) = 0_{\ell_\infty} \quad \text{then} \quad X = 0_{\mathcal{A}^*}.$$

Suppose that  $x = \mathcal{G}(X) = 0_{\ell_\infty}$ , i.e.

$$x(t) = \langle X, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = 0$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In this case, for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have that  $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}_k e^{i \cdot k}$  for  $\{\widehat{f}_k\} \in \ell_1$ , and

$$|\langle X, f \rangle| = \left| \langle X, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}_k e^{i \cdot k} \rangle \right| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle X, e^{i \cdot k} \rangle| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}_k| = 0.$$

This means that  $X = 0_{\mathcal{A}^*}$ . Hence the mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is injective.

Let us show that the mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is surjective, i.e. that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}^*) = \ell_\infty$ . Let  $x \in \ell_\infty$  be any, let  $X_m(\omega) = \sum_{t=-m}^m e^{-i\omega t} x(t)$ , and let  $X = \mathcal{F}x$ . We have that  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . It can be calculated directly that  $\langle X_m, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = x(t) \mathbb{1}_{|t| \leq m}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence

$$x(t) = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \langle X_m, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = \langle X, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $x = \mathcal{G}X$ . Therefore, the mapping  $\mathcal{G}$  is surjective. Moreover, this proof implies also that  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}x) = x$  for any  $x \in \ell_\infty$ . In its turn, this implies that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}X) = X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$ .

Hence the mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is a bijection,  $\mathcal{G}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{G}$ .

As was mentioned above, the continuity of the mapping  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is obvious. The continuity of the mapping  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}^{-1} : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  follows from Lemma 1; alternatively, it can be shown using e.g. Corollary 2.12(c) in [7], p. 49. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Let  $x_m(t) = x(t)\mathbb{I}_{|t| \leq m}$ ,  $X_m(\omega) := \mathcal{F}x_m = \sum_{t=-m}^m e^{-i\omega t}x(t)$ . We have that  $X_m$  converges to  $X$  in weak\* topology of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence

$$\widehat{x}(t) = \langle \widehat{X}, e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = \langle X, H(e^{i \cdot}) e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \langle X_m, H(e^{i \cdot}) e^{i \cdot t} \rangle$$

for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_m, H(e^{i \cdot}) e^{i \cdot t} \rangle &= \langle X_m, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_k e^{-i \cdot k} e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = \langle X_m, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_k e^{i \cdot (t-k)} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{q=-m}^m e^{-i\omega q} x(q), \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_k e^{i \cdot (t-k)} \right\rangle = \sum_{q=-m}^m x(q) \widehat{h}_{t-q}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $q = t - k$  if and only if  $k = t - q$ . It follows that the sequence  $\langle X_m, H(e^{i \cdot}) e^{i \cdot t} \rangle$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , has a limit  $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} x(q) \widehat{h}_{t-q}$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ . This series absolutely converges uniformly over any bounded set of  $x \in \ell_\infty$  since

$$\left| \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}: |q| > m} x(q) \widehat{h}_{t-q} \right| \leq \|x\|_{\ell_\infty} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}: |q| > m} |\widehat{h}_{t-q}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* The assumptions on  $H$  imply that  $H(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{h}_k z^{-k}$ , i.e. that  $H(e^{i \cdot}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{h}_k e^{-i \cdot k}$ . Then the result follows from Lemma 2.  $\square$

In addition, let us provide an alternative proof of Theorem 2 that does not rely on Lemma 2. Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $x = \mathcal{F}^{-1}X$  be such that  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t < \tau$ . For  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $x_m(t) = x(t)\mathbb{I}_{|t| \leq m}$  and  $X_m = \mathcal{F}^{-1}x_m = \sum_{t=-m}^m e^{-i \cdot t}x(t)$ . Further, let  $\widehat{X}_m := H(e^{i \cdot})X_m$  and  $\widehat{x}_m := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{X}_m$ . From the standard theory of causal transfer functions for processes from  $\ell_2$ , we know that  $\widehat{x}_m(\tau) = 0$  for all  $m$ . As was shown in the proof of Theorem 1, we have that  $X_m \rightarrow X$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  in weak\* topology of  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . Since  $H(e^{i \cdot})f \in \mathcal{A}$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $\widehat{X}_m = H(e^{i \cdot})X_m \rightarrow \widehat{X}$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  in weak\* topology of  $\mathcal{A}^*$ . Hence  $\widehat{x}_m(\tau) \rightarrow \widehat{x}(\tau)$  as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ . Therefore,  $\widehat{x}(\tau) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{V}(D)$  be such that  $x_1(t) = x_2(t)$  for  $t \notin \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $y := x_1 - x_2$ . It is easy to see that  $y \in \mathcal{V}(D)$ . Furthermore, we have that  $y(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin \mathcal{M}$ , hence  $Y(\omega) = (\mathcal{F}y)(\omega) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} e^{-it\omega} y(t)$ . Since a non-zero finite combination of sine and cosine functions cannot be identically zero on an interval, we can have that  $y \in \mathcal{V}(D)$  only if  $y(t) = 0$  for any  $t \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e. if  $y = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* The functions  $H_\gamma(z)$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}$ , and they are analytic on  $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| > 1\}$ . Hence they are causal transfer functions belonging to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Assume first that  $\widehat{\omega} = \pi$ , i.e.,  $e^{i\widehat{\omega}} = -1$ .

Let

$$U_\gamma(z) := 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma}{z+1-\gamma^{-r}}\right], \quad V_\gamma(\omega) := e^{i\omega}U_\gamma(e^{i\omega}), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \omega \in (-\pi, \pi].$$

In our setting,  $x(t+1)$  is the output of anticausal convolution with the transfer function  $K(z) \equiv z$ , i.e.,  $x(t+1) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(K\mathcal{Z}x)(t)$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t+1) - \widehat{x}_\gamma(t) &= \langle X, [1 - H_\gamma(e^i)]e^{i \cdot t} \rangle = \langle X, e^{i \cdot t}V_\gamma \rangle \\ &= \langle X, G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}e^{i \cdot t}V_\gamma \rangle \\ &= \langle XG(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r}), G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}e^{i \cdot t}V_\gamma \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3** (i) For any  $\gamma > 0$ , the functions  $zU_\gamma(z)$  are continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

(ii)  $V(i \cdot)G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  for any  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $\|V(i \cdot)G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Clearly,  $1 - \exp(z) = -\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^k z^k / k!$  for  $x \in \mathbf{C}$ . Hence

$$U_\gamma(z) = -\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \gamma^k}{k!(z+1+\gamma^{-r})^k}$$

and  $V_\gamma \in W_2^1(-\pi, \pi) \subset \mathcal{A}$ .

Since the growth of the module  $z$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  is being compensated by multiplying on  $U_\gamma(z)$ , it follows that  $zU_\gamma(z)$  are continuous and bounded on  $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$  and analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then statement (i) Lemma 3 follows.

Further, we have that  $\|V(i \cdot)G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|V(i \cdot)G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}\|_{W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)}$ . To prove Lemma 3 (ii), it suffices to show that  $\|V(i \cdot)G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1}\|_{W_2^1(-\pi, \pi)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We have that  $V_\gamma(e^{i\omega})G(\omega, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1} = e^{-\psi_\gamma(\omega)}$ , where

$$\psi_\gamma(\omega) := \frac{c}{|e^{i\omega} + 1|^q + \gamma^{-r}} + \frac{\gamma}{e^{i\omega} + 1 - \gamma^{-r}}.$$

The proof for Lemma 3 (ii) explores the fact that  $\operatorname{Re} \psi_\gamma(\omega) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$  for all  $\omega \neq \pm\pi$  and  $\inf_\gamma \operatorname{Re} \psi_\gamma(\omega)$  is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\omega = \pm\pi$ . The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1 in [1] and is rather technical; it will be omitted here.  $\square$

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|x(t+1) - \hat{x}_\gamma(t)| &\leq \|XG(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \|e^{i\cdot t} G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1} V_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&= \|XG(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \|G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1} V_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&\leq \sup_{\gamma>0} \|XG(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \|G(\cdot, \pi, \gamma^{-r})^{-1} V_\gamma\|_{\mathcal{A}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 (ii), the proof of Theorem 4 follows for the case where  $\hat{\omega} = \pi$ .

For the case where  $\hat{\omega} \neq \pi$ , we can apply these predictors  $H_d$  to the signal  $y(t) := e^{i(\pi - \hat{\omega})t} x(t)$ . Let  $Y = \mathcal{F}^{-1}y$  and  $\hat{Y} = H_d Y$ ; this is a one-step prediction process for  $y(t)$ , i.e.  $\hat{y}(t) \sim y(t+1)$ . The implied one-step prediction process  $\hat{x}$  for  $x$  can be obtained as  $\hat{x}(t) = e^{i(\hat{\omega} - \pi)t} \hat{y}(t)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Let  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(D)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\Omega)$  denote either  $\mathcal{V}_R(D)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_R(\Omega)$  or  $\mathcal{V}_I(D)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_I(\Omega)$  respectively. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{N+1} \in \hat{\mathcal{U}}(\Omega)$  are such that  $x_1(t) = x_2(t) = \dots = x_{N+1}(t)$  for all  $t \notin \mathcal{M}$  and that at least some of the vectors  $v_k = \{x_k(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{M}}$  are different. By the assumptions, there exist Borel sets  $D_1, \dots, D_{N+1}$  with the measure  $\Omega$  or larger such that  $x_k \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(D_k)$ . Since  $N > \lfloor 2\pi/\Omega \rfloor$ , we have that  $N+1 > \lfloor 2\pi/\Omega \rfloor + 1$ . Hence there exist  $m, n \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$  such that  $\text{mes}(D_n \cap D_m) > 0$  and  $m \neq n$ .

Let  $y := x_m - x_n$ . We have that  $y(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin \mathcal{M}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{V}(D_n \cap D_m)$ . The remaining part of the proof follows the proof of Theorem 3: we have  $Y(\omega) = (\mathcal{F}y)(\omega) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{M}} e^{-it\omega} y(t)$ . Since a non-zero finite combination of the sine and cosine functions cannot be identically zero on an interval, we can have that  $y \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(D_n \cap D_m)$  only if  $y(t) = 0$  for any  $t \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e. if  $y = 0$ . This contradicts supposition. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Concluding remarks and further research

- i. It would be interesting to characterise, in the time domain, the set of "irregular" signals  $x \in \ell_\infty$  such that  $X = \mathcal{F}x \in \mathcal{A}^* \setminus C([-\pi, \pi])^*$ .
- ii. So far, it is unclear if the set of all predictable/recoverable processes is everywhere dense in the space  $\ell_\infty$  similarly to the space  $\ell_2$ , where the set of all band-limited processes is everywhere dense.
- iii. Theorem 4 implies that, for any  $x \in \ell_\infty$  such that  $X = \mathcal{F}x$  has a single point spectrum degeneracy of a certain kind, and any  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the observations of the one-sided tail  $\{x(t)\}_{t \leq \tau}$  defines the entire signals  $x$ ; therefore, this theorem implies as well the statement of Theorem

3 for these processes. However, Theorem 4 does not cover processes from wider classes  $\mathcal{V}_R(D)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_I(D)$  covered by Theorem 3.

- iv. The proof of Theorem 4 implies that the functions  $H_\gamma(e^{i\omega})$  approximate  $e^{i\omega}$  for  $\omega \in (-\pi, \pi)$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ , in a certain sense.

## References

- [1] Dokuchaev, N. (2012). Predictors for discrete time processes with energy decay on higher frequencies. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* **60**, No. 11, 6027-6030.
- [2] Dokuchaev, N. (2016). Near-ideal causal smoothing filters for the real sequences. *Signal Processing* **118**, iss. 1, pp.285-293. arXiv:2405.05566
- [3] Dokuchaev, N. (2024). On predicting for non-vanishing continuous time signals. arXiv:2405.05566
- [4] Dokuchaev, N. (2024). Sampling Theorem and interpolation formula for non-vanishing signals. arXiv:2405.10007
- [5] Kahane, J.-P. (1970). *Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [6] Katznelson, Y. (2004). *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*. 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [7] Rudin, W. (1973). *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, NY.